



Fragmentation of jets containing a prompt J/ψ meson in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Geneva, Switzerland



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 23 June 2021

Received in revised form 12 December 2021

Accepted 14 December 2021

Available online 27 December 2021

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Heavy ions

Quarkonia

Jets

ABSTRACT

Jets containing a prompt J/ψ meson are studied in lead-lead collisions at a nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy of 5.02 TeV, using the CMS detector at the LHC. Jets are selected to be in the transverse momentum range of $30 < p_T < 40$ GeV. The J/ψ yield in these jets is evaluated as a function of the jet fragmentation variable z , the ratio of the J/ψ p_T to the jet p_T . The nuclear modification factor, R_{AA} , is then derived by comparing the yield in lead-lead collisions to the corresponding expectation based on proton-proton data, at the same nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy. The suppression of the J/ψ yield shows a dependence on z , indicating that the interaction of the J/ψ with the quark-gluon plasma formed in heavy ion collisions depends on the fragmentation that gives rise to the J/ψ meson.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Dissociation of quarkonium states in nucleus-nucleus collisions is one of the best studied signatures of the formation of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), a deconfined state of quarks and gluons. Although other nuclear effects have been identified, it is widely accepted that at least part of the suppression of the various quarkonium states in central lead-lead (PbPb) collisions, at the collision energies probed at the CERN LHC, is indeed coming from Debye-like screening of heavy-quark pairs in the QGP, as anticipated in the seminal paper by Matsui and Satz [1]. This picture may be probed with quarkonia produced at rest. With the emergence of competing mechanisms, however, it becomes interesting to study the momentum dependence of the nuclear suppression. In particular, this is true for regeneration [2], wherein quarkonia may be created from heavy quarks produced independently. This effect is expected to become more relevant with increased collision energy, as more heavy-quark pairs are produced. This explains the relatively low nuclear suppression at low transverse momentum (p_T) that is observed at the LHC [3], as compared to data at lower collision energies [4,5]. Independent of these nuclear modifications, the interpretation of quarkonium results, and heavy-flavor results in general, is typically based on the assumption that quarkonia are formed at early times compared to the formation time of the QGP (on the order of 1 fm/c).

However, the formation time estimate of quarkonia is based on general arguments rather than on a detailed calculation. Despite decades of theoretical developments, models generally are not able to describe the entirety of the quarkonium data. In particular, they are not able to simultaneously describe the polarization [6] and the p_T -differential cross section [7]. A recent measurement by the LHCb Collaboration that looked at hadrons produced at small angles with respect to prompt J/ψ mesons (those not produced in b-hadron decays) in proton-proton (pp) collisions [8] gives some new insight into this puzzle. The observable is the J/ψ -jet fragmentation function, which corresponds to the distribution of z , the ratio of the J/ψ p_T to the p_T of the jet into which it is clustered. For jets in pseudorapidity $2.5 < \eta < 4.0$ and $p_T > 20$ GeV, LHCb observes that prompt J/ψ mesons are produced with far more in-jet associated hadroproduction than predicted by models, i.e., J/ψ mesons tend towards lower values of z . Models of J/ψ production typically couple fixed-order perturbative quantum chromodynamics calculations with nonperturbative matrix elements that describe hadronization of the charm quark pair into a color-neutral state. A solution to this discrepancy was proposed in Ref. [9], where the evolution of the parton shower prior to the formation of the J/ψ is accounted for. By including this parton shower contribution, which is not adequately described in hadronization generators, such as PYTHIA [10], the authors were able to successfully describe the data. Although the LHCb measurement only concerns the subset of J/ψ mesons found inside a relatively high- p_T jet, a recent measurement by CMS indicates that, for J/ψ mesons with energy larger than 15 GeV, nearly all of them are produced in association with a significant degree of jet activity [11].

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

Assuming this explanation of the LHCb data is correct, this paradigm shift in our understanding of J/ψ production has important implications for the interpretation of J/ψ data in nucleus-nucleus collisions. It implies that J/ψ are not exclusively produced at short times, but may also be produced in the course of the interaction of a hard-scattered parton with the QGP. Hence, the suppression of the yield, as quantified by the nuclear modification factor (R_{AA}), may be sensitive to parton energy loss, the same phenomenon that gives rise to jet quenching. There are already some hints in this direction. First, as observed in Ref. [12], the J/ψ R_{AA} in PbPb collisions [13,14] appears to exhibit the same rise with p_T as light hadrons show [15], which for the case of light hadrons is well described by parton energy loss models [16–21]. Second, in mid-central collisions the J/ψ show a significant magnitude of elliptic anisotropy in their azimuthal angle (v_2) [22,23] at large p_T , a region where the hydrodynamical effects that produce such an anisotropy are expected to die out. We know of no explanation for this high- p_T v_2 feature other than path-length-dependent parton energy loss.

The goal of the current measurement is to investigate the z dependence of the nuclear modification factor of jets containing a J/ψ meson, i.e.,

$$R_{AA}(z) = \frac{dN^{AA}/dz}{T_{AA}d\sigma^{pp}/dz}. \quad (1)$$

This ratio compares the per-event yield in PbPb collisions (N^{AA}) to the expectation based on pp collisions, by scaling the cross section of the latter (σ^{pp}) by T_{AA} . The factor T_{AA} is the average effective nucleon-nucleon luminosity delivered by a single heavy ion collision for a given centrality selection (a quantity related to the collision impact parameter) [24]. In the absence of nuclear effects, $R_{AA} = 1$.

This Letter constitutes the first direct study of the nuclear modification of J/ψ mesons inside jets. Jets with a constituent J/ψ meson are studied in the jet p_T range of $30 < p_T < 40$ GeV for 0–90%, as well as 0–20% and 20–90% collision centralities. The jets are required to be in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2$, such that they are completely contained in the tracker acceptance, with no explicit selection on the rapidity of the J/ψ . The p_T of the J/ψ is measured down to a threshold of 6.5 GeV. This gives a range of z from 0.22 to 1. We investigate to what extent the R_{AA} varies with z , and indirectly, the formation time of the J/ψ . These data potentially constrain the roles of the different QGP interaction mechanisms that may be at play, in particular parton energy loss and Debye screening.

2. The CMS detector

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Forward calorimeters extend the η coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are detected in gas-ionization chambers embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Muons are measured in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$, with detection planes made using three technologies: drift tubes, cathode strip chambers, and resistive plate chambers. The efficiency to reconstruct and identify muons is greater than 96% over the full range of η . Matching muons to tracks measured in the silicon tracker results in a relative transverse momentum resolution, for muons with p_T up to 100 GeV, of 1% in the barrel and 3% in the endcaps [25]. The forward hadron (HF) calorimeter uses steel as an absorber and quartz

fibers as the sensitive material. The two halves of the HF are located 11.2 m from the interaction region, one on each end, and together they provide coverage in the range $3.0 < |\eta| < 5.2$.

Events of interest are selected using a two-tiered trigger system [26]. The first level (L1), composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select events at a rate of around 100 kHz for high luminosity pp collisions and 30 kHz for PbPb collisions. The second level, known as the high-level trigger (HLT), consists of a farm of processors running a version of the full event reconstruction software optimized for fast processing, and reduces the event rate to around 1 kHz before data storage, for both pp and PbPb collisions.

The particle-flow algorithm [27] aims to reconstruct and identify each individual particle in an event, with an optimized combination of information from the various elements of the CMS detector. The energy of photons is obtained from the ECAL measurement. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the electron momentum at the primary interaction vertex as determined by the tracker, the energy of the corresponding ECAL cluster, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons spatially compatible with originating from the electron track. The energy of muons is obtained from the curvature of the corresponding track. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of their momentum measured in the tracker and the matching ECAL and HCAL energy deposits, corrected for the response function of the calorimeters to hadronic showers. Finally, the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding corrected ECAL and HCAL energies.

Collision centrality is determined from the total transverse energy deposited in both of the HF calorimeters. The centrality is expressed as a percentage of the total inelastic hadronic cross section, with 0% representing the most head-on (central) collisions and 100% the most peripheral collisions. Hadronic events are selected by requiring at least three towers in each half of the HF calorimeter with an energy larger than 4 GeV. In this analysis, we restrict to the 90% most central events, where the hadronic event selection is fully efficient.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [28].

3. Data analysis

3.1. Simulation

Monte Carlo simulations are used as a baseline for the J/ψ efficiency and acceptance, as well as the detector response to jets. Correction factors to account for data-to-simulation discrepancies are discussed in the following section. Events are generated with PYTHIA 8 (version 8.230) [10], using the CP5 underlying event tune [29]. Prompt J/ψ are produced using all color-singlet and color-octet modes with the default matrix elements [30]. Simulation of PbPb collisions is done by embedding PYTHIA 8 events into PbPb collision events produced with the HYDJET generator (version 1.9) [31]. The event activity at mid-rapidity is matched to that of minimum bias collision data via an analysis of the energy deposited in randomly distributed cones. The response of the CMS detector is simulated using the GEANT4 package [32].

3.2. Prompt J/ψ meson signal extraction

J/ψ mesons are measured via their decays into oppositely charged muon pairs. Events are selected with a trigger that requires that at least two muon candidates are reconstructed in the muon subsystem, first at L1, and then using refined information at HLT. In PbPb collisions, the rate is reduced at HLT by requiring




Fig. 1. Projections of a two-dimensional fit used to extract the prompt J/ψ yield in PbPb collisions. Upper: The dimuon invariant mass distribution. Lower: The pseudo-proper decay length ($l_{J/\psi}$) distribution. The fit functions are described in the text. The lower panels display the pull distributions, defined as the difference between the fit and the data, divided by the combined statistical uncertainty in the fit and in the data.

one of the two muons to match a track from the silicon tracking subsystem. The invariant mass of the pair ($m_{\mu\mu}$) is required to be within the range of 1 to 5 GeV.

A set of offline muon selection criteria that is optimized for low p_T muons is applied [13]. The J/ψ yield is obtained from a fit to the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution in bins of jet p_T and z . An example of a $m_{\mu\mu}$ fit is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1. The signal is modeled as the sum of two Crystal Ball functions [33] with different widths, but common mean and tail parameters. The tail parameters are obtained from simulation. The dimuon background is modeled by a Chebyshev polynomial.

Nonprompt J/ψ mesons, i.e., those that are produced in b hadron decays, are separated from the prompt component by exploiting the long lifetime of these decays. A fit is performed to the distribution of the pseudo-proper decay length, $l_{J/\psi} = Lm/|p|$, where L is the distance along the beam axis between the J/ψ vertex and the nearest primary collision vertex, and m and p are the

world-average J/ψ mass (3.097 GeV) [34] and the J/ψ candidate momentum, respectively. An example of a $l_{J/\psi}$ fit is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 1. In order to parameterize the $l_{J/\psi}$ distribution, the sPlot technique [35] is used to decompose it into the J/ψ signal and non- J/ψ background components, using $m_{\mu\mu}$ as the discriminating variable. Prompt J/ψ mesons can have nonzero values of $l_{J/\psi}$, both positive and negative, because of the finite detector resolution. The resolution is modeled as a sum of two Gaussian functions, which are constrained by fitting the negative part of the $l_{J/\psi}$ distribution. The nonprompt component is modeled as an exponential function, with the lifetime treated as a free parameter, convolved with the same double-Gaussian resolution function. The combinatorial background is itself well described by prompt- and nonprompt-like components, again using the same resolution function. The nonprompt component of the background is described by an empirical sum of exponential functions. To extract the yield of prompt J/ψ mesons a two-dimensional fit to the joint $m_{\mu\mu}$ and $l_{J/\psi}$ distribution is performed. All parameters are fixed by the one-dimensional fits, aside from the prompt and nonprompt J/ψ signal normalizations, and the background normalizations, as well as the nonprompt signal lifetime parameter. A more detailed description of the fitting procedure can be found in Ref. [13].

The J/ψ meson acceptance, and the efficiency of reconstruction and identification, are determined in simulation in finely binned histograms of the p_T and η of the J/ψ . For PbPb collisions, the efficiency is also determined in bins of collision centrality. The correction for acceptance and efficiency is applied as a weight factor to each J/ψ candidate prior to the signal extraction. Differences between data and simulation are evaluated *in-situ*, from events collected with a single-muon trigger, using the tag-and-probe technique [36]. These additional corrections are applied to the simulation in relatively coarse bins. Tag-and-probe corrections are derived separately for trigger, identification, and reconstruction efficiency.

3.3. Jet p_T determination

Jets are clustered using the anti- k_T algorithm [37,38] with a distance parameter of $R = 0.3$. The constituents of the jets are the output of the particle-flow algorithm, described in Section 2, with the exception of the J/ψ candidate. Muon pairs with an invariant mass consistent with a J/ψ decay are replaced by the reconstructed J/ψ candidate. Jet energy corrections are derived from simulation as a function of p_T and η using the framework described in Ref. [39], in which data-to-simulation scale factors are obtained from Z+jet, γ +jet and dijet p_T balancing studies. In the case of PbPb collisions, these corrections are derived from peripheral events to avoid additional p_T imbalance from jet quenching. The jet energy correction procedure is only applied to the non- J/ψ component of the jet, as the momentum of the J/ψ is determined with high precision from its dimuon decay. In PbPb collisions, the constituent subtraction method [40] is employed to subtract the contribution to the jet momentum from the underlying event. As the signal particle of interest, the J/ψ meson is excluded from the subtraction, as it would be incorrect to attribute any fraction of its energy to the underlying event.

In addition to the energy scale, the energy resolution of jets is somewhat degraded in data compared to simulation. The corresponding scale factors are derived from dijet balancing studies performed in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV in 2017 and 2018 [39]. The resolution is found to be between 10 and 20% worse than the simulation, depending on η . In order to incorporate this effect, a Gaussian smearing is applied to the measured jet p_T values in simulation to match the resolution in data. This smeared mapping is then applied in the unfolding procedure, as described below.




Fig. 2. Detector response matrices for jets containing a prompt J/ψ meson, showing the bin migration probability as a function of jet p_T and z . The response for pp collisions (upper) is evaluated using `PYTHIA 8`. The response for PbPb collisions (lower) is evaluated using `PYTHIA 8` embedded into `HYDJET`.

The finite p_T resolution of jets causes migration of jets across z bins. It also causes migration of jets into and out of the nominal p_T selection of 30–40 GeV. In order to capture the full jet response, we also perform the measurement in underflow and overflow bins of 10–30 GeV and 40–50 GeV, respectively. With the current data, a reliable yield cannot be extracted for p_T intervals larger than the selected overflow bin, which motivates the choice of the nominal p_T interval. To correct for these bin migration effects, we unfold the data simultaneously in these two dimensions (jet p_T and z) using the iterative D’Agostini method [41], as implemented in the `ROOUNFOLD` package [42]. The detector response matrix, which defines the relationship between the true and measured values, is taken from the `PYTHIA 8` simulation, embedded into `HYDJET` for the case of PbPb collisions, aside from the aforementioned data-to-simulation scale factors for the jet energy scale and resolution. The response matrices for pp and PbPb collisions are shown in Fig. 2. The coarse bins show the jet p_T dependence, whereas the finer inset bins show the dependence on z . Each column of measured bins is normalized to unity, such that each bin represents the fractional contribution of the given true p_T and z values to the measured values for that column. The PbPb data exhibit substantially larger off-diagonal contributions than for pp, as expected from the larger underlying event, which drives the bin migration in PbPb.

In this method, the unfolding is initialized with a “prior” distribution in the two variables, which is taken from simulation. To avoid bias from the presumed shape of the z distribution in simulation, which is known to be inaccurate [8], the prior distribution is flattened in z . After a tunable number of iterations, which corresponds to the degree of regularization, the procedure is truncated. To improve the performance of the unfolding, we run the full set of iterations multiple times. The prior of each such “super-iteration” is obtained using the z distribution that is output from the previous super-iteration. The numbers of iterations and super-iterations are optimized using simulated prompt J/ψ events, by applying a random Gaussian smearing to the measured z distributions according to the relative statistical uncertainties in data, in order to emulate the effect of statistical fluctuations. The optimization criterion is the minimum χ^2 between the unfolded and true z distributions. The use of three iterations is found to give the best performance in both pp and PbPb simulated samples. However, while only one super-iteration is sufficient for pp, 25 super-iterations are required for PbPb collisions. Since the optimal settings depend not only on the statistical uncertainties of the measured z distribution, but also on its shape, we additionally evaluated them in nonprompt J/ψ meson simulation, where the measured distribution is more similar to the data than in the prompt J/ψ meson simulation, by unfolding the nonprompt z distributions with the nonprompt response matrices and using the settings with the best performance as a systematic variation in the regularization procedure.

4. Systematic uncertainties

The systematic uncertainties may be divided into three categories: J/ψ signal extraction, jet energy scale and resolution, and normalization uncertainties.

J/ψ signal extraction: Uncertainties in the extraction of the J/ψ yields arise from the signal and background shape modeling, as well as from the acceptance and efficiency of muon reconstruction and identification. The signal shape of the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution is varied from the two Crystal Ball parameterization to a single Crystal Ball function convoluted with a Gaussian function. The radiative tail of the signal model is also varied by treating the tail parameters of the Crystal Ball function as free parameters, rather than taking their values from simulation. The relative uncertainty in the J/ψ yield coming from the signal modeling is less than 1% in pp and less than 2% in PbPb collisions. The dependence of the signal shape on $l_{J/\psi}$ is evaluated by switching from a parameterization of the lifetime distribution of nonprompt J/ψ signal to a template built from simulation. The relative uncertainty from this variation is less than 1.5% in both pp and PbPb collisions, except at low J/ψ p_T (< 10 GeV) in pp collisions, where it reaches 4.5%, and where it dominates the uncertainties in the J/ψ signal extraction. The Gaussian function describing the lifetime resolution is varied to use the value obtained from simulation, rather than obtaining it from the data. This variation affects both the signal and background modeling, and results in a relative uncertainty of less than 2% in pp and PbPb collisions.

The uncertainty in the description of the background is obtained as follows. Instead of a Chebyshev polynomial, an exponential of a Chebyshev polynomial is used to describe the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution. The range of $m_{\mu\mu}$ used in the fit is varied as well, to reduce the contamination from the $\psi(2S)$ state. This results in an uncertainty of less than 1% in pp and PbPb collisions, except at low J/ψ p_T (< 10 GeV) in PbPb collisions, where it reaches 6% and dominates the uncertainties in the J/ψ signal extraction. As was done for the signal, a template is used to describe the $l_{J/\psi}$ distribution, rather than a parameterization. In this case, the template is obtained from a background-like event sample using `sPlot`. The

source results in a relative uncertainty of $< 2\%$ in the J/ψ yields in both pp and PbPb collisions.

The acceptance and efficiency corrections lead to an associated relative uncertainty in the J/ψ yields in pp collisions of 1.5–2.5%, and 3–4% in PbPb collisions, depending on the value of J/ψ p_T , and comprise the dominant source of uncertainty in the J/ψ signal extraction for J/ψ $p_T > 10$ GeV. The largest component of the uncertainty is statistical in nature, coming from the finite size of the single-muon trigger samples used in the tag-and-probe method. The statistical uncertainty of the simulation samples is also taken into account, but is generally subdominant. A systematic component is evaluated by variations of the signal and background modeling in the extraction of the J/ψ yield from fits to the $m_{\mu\mu}$ distribution, similar to the procedure for the J/ψ yield extraction in the main analysis, described above. The relative uncertainty from this source is around 1% in both pp and PbPb collisions, with no strong dependence on p_T . Further details on the procedure used to evaluate systematic uncertainties for muons from the tag-and-probe method are reported in Refs. [25,36].

Jet energy scale and resolution: The uncertainty in the jet energy scale is evaluated from dijet and γ +jet balancing methods, as described in Ref. [39]. The uncertainty in the jet energy scale in pp collisions is around 3–4%, increasing as a function of $|\eta|$. In PbPb collisions, the uncertainty is around 4%, except for the barrel-endcap transition region ($1.3 < |\eta| < 1.6$), where it can become as large as 10%. An additional source of uncertainty in the jet energy scale is considered in PbPb collisions to take into account the differences in the particle mixture in simulation and data due to jet quenching, as described in Ref. [43]. This uncertainty is around 5% for the 30% most central events and 2.5% for all other centralities. The uncertainty in the jet energy resolution is evaluated from a dijet balancing method, as also described in Ref. [39]. In pp collisions, the uncertainty in the resolution is in the range of 2–4% in the barrel region, but is larger in the endcap and transition regions, where it varies in the range of 10–20%, depending on η . For PbPb collisions, the uncertainty is evaluated from peripheral data, as well as from pp data recorded in the same yearly running period, and varies from 3% in the barrel to 7% in the endcap and transition regions. In PbPb, there is an additional contribution to the uncertainty because of the modeling of the underlying event in simulation with HYDJET. This uncertainty is evaluated by comparing the energy in randomly distributed cones in data and simulation. The difference between the random cone distributions in data and simulation is used to estimate the effect on the jet resolution.

Unfolding: The uncertainty in the regularization applied is estimated by varying the number of iterations used in the unfolding, from the settings found to be optimal for prompt J/ψ to those found to be optimal for the nonprompt J/ψ signal, which has a very different signal shape as a function of z . For nonprompt simulations in pp and PbPb collisions, the use of ten iterations is found to give the best performance, in contrast to three iterations for the prompt simulation. In both cases, the statistical precision of the data is emulated in simulation. The assumption of a prior that is flat in z is also relaxed, by instead initializing the prior to match the shape of the truth z distributions in simulated nonprompt J/ψ signal samples, which are more similar in shape to the measured z distributions. Finally, the statistical uncertainty of the simulated samples used in the unfolding is taken into account, and is generally subdominant. Systematic uncertainties related to the jet energy scale and resolution are propagated by producing variations of the detector response matrix and repeating the unfolding procedure for each variation.

Normalization uncertainties: Cross section measurements in pp collisions have an overall uncertainty from the integrated luminosity of 1.9% [44] that is obtained from an analysis of data from a van der Meer scan [45]. The PbPb data are normalized by the




Fig. 3. The main sources of systematic uncertainty, as a function of z , for the cross section in pp (upper) and the T_{AA} -scaled yield in PbPb (lower) collisions. The normalization uncertainties of 1.9% for pp and 2.6% for PbPb are not shown.

equivalent number of hadronic nucleon-nucleon interactions in the data sample, which has an uncertainty of 1.3% coming from the selection of such events, taking into account possible contamination from electromagnetic interactions and beam backgrounds. To compare to data from pp collisions, the PbPb data are normalized by T_{AA} , which is determined from the Monte Carlo implementation of the Glauber model described in Ref. [46]. For the centrality intervals used in this analysis, the corresponding values of T_{AA} are 6.27 ± 0.14 , 18.79 ± 0.36 , and $2.717 \pm 0.098 \text{ mb}^{-1}$, for the 0–90, 0–20 and 20–90% centrality intervals, respectively.

The various sources of systematic uncertainty are shown in Fig. 3. Sources related to the J/ψ yield extraction are combined into a single component, for visibility. The total uncertainty is the quadrature sum of all the sources. Some sources of systematic uncertainty are largely correlated bin-to-bin, notably the uncertainty in the jet energy scale, jet energy resolution, and prior. No cancellation of systematic uncertainties is assumed, however, when comparing pp to PbPb collisions.




Fig. 4. Normalized z distribution in pp collisions, compared to prompt and non-prompt J/ψ in PYTHIA 8, at the generator level. Bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while systematic uncertainties are depicted as boxes.

5. Results

Fig. 4 shows the distribution in pp data of the fragmentation variable z for prompt J/ψ mesons. Its shape is compared to generator-level predictions from PYTHIA 8 for prompt and non-prompt J/ψ signals. In contrast to the PYTHIA 8 simulation, where prompt J/ψ are produced directly in the matrix element partonic scattering, the data show a relatively large degree of surrounding jet activity, indicative of J/ψ production inside of parton showers. The z distribution in data more closely resembles that of the non-prompt J/ψ PYTHIA 8 simulation, which contains a larger jet-like component from fragmentation, as well as other products of the b -hadron decay. The data confirm the trends observed in Ref. [8], but in a different rapidity range and nucleon-nucleon center-of-mass energy.

Fig. 5 (upper) shows the same z distribution in pp collisions, this time normalized as a differential cross section. The per-event yield of prompt J/ψ mesons in PbPb collisions is also shown. In order to compare the two collision systems, the PbPb yields are scaled by the nuclear overlap factor T_{AA} . The PbPb data are also peaked at an intermediate value of z , indicating a sizable amount of jet activity.

The PbPb data show a suppression level that is generally comparable to that observed for “inclusive” prompt J/ψ production, i.e., without an explicit jet requirement [13]. This is quantified by the ratio of these two distributions, R_{AA} , shown in Fig. 5 (lower). The data show a slight rising trend as a function of z , with a significance of around two standard deviations. Fig. 6 shows the R_{AA} for two centrality selections, 0–20 and 20–90%. A larger degree of suppression is suggested for the more central selection, as expected for final-state effects related to the QGP. The rising trend with increasing z is somewhat more pronounced in central events.

In the largest z bin, where the J/ψ is produced with fewer associated particles, the suppression is significantly reduced as compared to lower values of z , most importantly in the centrality-integrated results. Such a reduction of suppression at large z has a natural interpretation in terms of the jet quenching phenomenon. Lower values of z should be populated with jets with a J/ψ produced late in the parton shower. Such a parton cascade is expected to have a large degree of interaction with the QGP in the form of subsequent medium-induced emissions, as compared to a jet with a small partonic multiplicity [47]. In this picture, the rising trend





Fig. 5. Upper: The J/ψ differential cross section in pp and the T_{AA} -scaled yield in PbPb collisions, as a function of z . The normalization uncertainties of 1.9% for pp and 2.6% for PbPb are not included in the point-by-point uncertainty boxes and not shown in the plot. Lower: The nuclear modification factor R_{AA} , as a function of z . Bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while systematic uncertainties are depicted as boxes. The box around unity shows the normalization uncertainty.

observed for inclusive prompt J/ψ production would be explained by the same mechanism, as z tends to increase with increasing p_T .

6. Summary

Jets containing a prompt J/ψ meson were studied in proton-proton (pp) and lead-lead (PbPb) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, for jets with transverse momentum $30 < p_T < 40$ GeV and pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 2$. The distribution of the fragmentation variable z , the ratio of the J/ψ p_T to that of the jet, was measured in both systems. In pp collisions, prompt J/ψ mesons were found to have more surrounding jet activity, i.e., to populate lower values of z than predicted by PYTHIA 8 simulations, suggesting that J/ψ production late in the parton shower is underestimated. The pp and PbPb distributions were compared by calculating the nuclear modification factor, R_{AA} , the ratio of PbPb data to the expectation based on pp data. The value of R_{AA} as a function of z shows a rising trend. The suppression at low z is found to be larger in the




Fig. 6. The nuclear modification factor R_{AA} for two centrality selections of PbPb collisions, as a function of z . Due to limited statistical precision, the lowest z bin is excluded. Bars indicate statistical uncertainties, while systematic uncertainties are depicted as boxes. The boxes around unity show the normalization uncertainties.

20% most central events (i.e. “head-on” collisions), as compared to the less central selection. The results show explicitly that the J/ψ produced with a large degree of surrounding jet activity are more highly suppressed than those produced in association with fewer particles. This finding emphasizes the importance of incorporating the jet quenching mechanism in models of J/ψ suppression.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid and other centers for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC, the CMS detector, and the supporting computing infrastructure provided by the following funding agencies: BMBWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, FAPERGS, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MOST, and NSFC (China); Minciencias (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RIF (Cyprus); SENESCYT (Ecuador); MoER, ERC PUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); NKFI (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); MES (Latvia); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); BUAP, CINVESTAV, CONACYT, LNS, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MOS (Montenegro); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, ROSATOM, RAS, RFBR, and NRC KI (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI, CPAN, PCTI, and FEDER (Spain); MoSTR (Sri Lanka); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST,

STAR, and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie program and the European Research Council and Horizon 2020 Grant, contract Nos. 675440, 724704, 752730, 765710 and 824093 (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the F.R.S.-FNRS and FWO (Belgium) under the “Excellence of Science – EOS” – be.h project n. 30820817; the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission, No. Z191100007219010; The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), under Germany’s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe” – 390833306, and under project number 400140256 - GRK2497; the Lendület (“Momentum”) Program and the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the New National Excellence Program ÚNKP, the NKFI research grants 123842, 123959, 124845, 124850, 125105, 128713, 128786, and 129058 (Hungary); the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Latvian Council of Science; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education and the National Science Center, contracts Opus 2014/15/B/ST2/03998 and 2015/19/B/ST2/02861 (Poland); the National Priorities Research Program by Qatar National Research Fund; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, project no. 0723-2020-0041 (Russia); the Programa Estatal de Fomento de la Investigación Científica y Técnica de Excelencia María de Maeztu, grant MDM-2015-0509 and the Programa Severo Ochoa del Principado de Asturias; the Thalís and Aristeia programs cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF; the Rachadapisek Sompot Fund for Postdoctoral Fellowship, Chulalongkorn University and the Chulalongkorn Academic into Its 2nd Century Project Advancement Project (Thailand); the Kavli Foundation; the Nvidia Corporation; the SuperMicro Corporation; the Welch Foundation, contract C-1845; and the Weston Havens Foundation (USA).

References

- [1] T. Matsui, H. Satz, J/ψ suppression by quark-gluon plasma formation, Phys. Lett. B 178 (1986) 416, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(86\)91404-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(86)91404-8).
- [2] P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, (Non)thermal aspects of charmonium production and a new look at J/ψ suppression, Phys. Lett. B 490 (2000) 196, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(00\)00991-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00991-6), arXiv:nucl-th/0007059.
- [3] ALICE Collaboration, J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 072301, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.072301>, arXiv:1202.1383.
- [4] M.C. Abreu, et al., NA50, Evidence for deconfinement of quarks and gluons from the J/ψ suppression pattern measured in Pb+Pb collisions at the CERN SPS, Phys. Lett. B 477 (2000) 28, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693\(00\)00237-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00237-9).
- [5] A. Adare, et al., PHENIX, J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity in AuAu collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. C 84 (2011) 054912, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.84.054912>, arXiv:1103.6269.
- [6] LHCb Collaboration, Measurement of J/ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013) 2631, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2631-3>, arXiv:1307.6379.
- [7] LHCb Collaboration, Measurement of J/ψ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011) 1645, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1645-y>, arXiv:1103.0423.
- [8] LHCb Collaboration, Study of J/ψ production in jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 192001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.192001>, arXiv:1701.05116.
- [9] R. Bain, L. Dai, A. Leibovich, Y. Makris, T. Mehen, NRQCD confronts LHCb data on quarkonium production within jets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 032002, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.032002>, arXiv:1702.05525.
- [10] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J.R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C.O. Rasmussen, P.Z. Skands, An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2, Comput. Phys. Commun. 191 (2015) 159, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2015.01.024>, arXiv:1410.3012.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, Study of J/ψ meson production inside jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B 804 (2020) 135409, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135409>, arXiv:1910.01686.

- [12] F. Arleo, Quenching of hadron spectra in heavy ion collisions at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 119 (2017) 062302, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.062302>, arXiv:1703.10852.
- [13] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of prompt and nonprompt charmonium suppression in PbPb collisions at 5.02 TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 509, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5950-6>, arXiv:1712.08959.
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration, Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ suppression at high transverse momentum in 5.02 TeV Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS experiment, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 762, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6219-9>, arXiv:1805.04077.
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Charged-particle nuclear modification factors in PbPb and pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2017) 039, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04\(2017\)039](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2017)039), arXiv:1611.01664.
- [16] Y.-T. Chien, A. Emerman, Z.-B. Kang, G. Ovanesyan, I. Vitev, Jet quenching from QCD evolution, *Phys. Rev. D* 93 (2016) 074030, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.074030>, arXiv:1509.02936.
- [17] J. Casalderrey-Solana, D.C. Gulhan, J.G. Milhano, D. Pablos, K. Rajagopal, A hybrid strong/weak coupling approach to jet quenching, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2014) 019, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2015\)175](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)175), arXiv:1405.3864, Erratum: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2015\)175](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2015)175).
- [18] E. Bianchi, J. Elledge, A. Kumar, A. Majumder, G.-Y. Qin, C. Shen, The x and Q^2 dependence of \hat{q} , quasi-particles and the JET puzzle, arXiv:1702.00481, 2017.
- [19] J. Xu, J. Liao, M. Gyulassy, Bridging soft-hard transport properties of quark-gluon plasmas with CUJET3.0, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2016) 169, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02\(2016\)169](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2016)169), arXiv:1508.00552.
- [20] C. Andrés, N. Arnesato, M. Luzum, C.A. Salgado, P. Zurita, Energy versus centrality dependence of the jet quenching parameter \hat{q} at RHIC and LHC: a new puzzle?, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 475, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4320-5>, arXiv:1606.04837.
- [21] J. Noronha-Hostler, B. Betz, J. Noronha, M. Gyulassy, Event-by-event hydrodynamics + jet energy loss: a solution to the $R_{AA} \otimes v_2$ puzzle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016) 252301, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.252301>, arXiv:1602.03788.
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Suppression and azimuthal anisotropy of prompt and non-prompt J/ψ production in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 252, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4781-1>, arXiv:1610.00613.
- [23] ATLAS Collaboration, Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ elliptic flow in Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 784, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6243-9>, arXiv:1807.05198.
- [24] M.L. Miller, K. Reygers, S.J. Sanders, P. Steinberg, Glauber modeling in high-energy nuclear collisions, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 57 (2007) 205, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123020>, arXiv:nucl-ex/0701025.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, Performance of the CMS muon detector and muon reconstruction with proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 13 (2018) P06015, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/06/P06015>, arXiv:1804.04528.
- [26] CMS Collaboration, The CMS trigger system, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P01020, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/P01020>, arXiv:1609.02366.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Particle-flow reconstruction and global event description with the cms detector, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P10003, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/10/P10003>, arXiv:1706.04965.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, *J. Instrum.* 3 (2008) S08004, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Extraction and validation of a new set of CMS PYTHIA8 tunes from underlying-event measurements, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 80 (2020) 4, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7499-4>, arXiv:1903.12179.
- [30] P. Nason, et al., Bottom production, in: *Workshop on Standard Model Physics (and more) at the LHC (First Plenary Meeting)*, 1999, p. 231, arXiv:hep-ph/0003142.
- [31] I.P. Lokhtin, A.M. Snigirev, A model of jet quenching in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions and high- p_T hadron spectra at RHIC, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 45 (2006) 211, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s2005-02426-3>, arXiv:hep-ph/0506189.
- [32] S. Agostinelli, et al., GEANT, GEANT4 – A simulation toolkit, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 506 (2003) 250, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [33] M. Oreglia, A Study of the Reactions $\psi' \rightarrow \gamma\gamma\psi$, Ph.D. Thesis, Report SLAC-R-236, Stanford University, 1980.
- [34] M. Tanabashi, et al., Particle Data Group, Review of particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D* 98 (2018) 030001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001>.
- [35] M. Pivk, F.R. Le Diberder, sPlot: a statistical tool to unfold data distributions, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 555 (2005) 356, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2005.08.106>, arXiv:physics/0402083.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *J. Instrum.* 7 (2012) P10002, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002>, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [37] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, The anti- k_T jet clustering algorithm, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2008) 063, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063>, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [38] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, Fastjet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-1896-2>, arXiv:1111.6097.
- [39] CMS Collaboration, Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV, *J. Instrum.* 12 (2017) P02014, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/12/02/P02014>, arXiv:1607.03663.
- [40] P. Berta, M. Spousta, D.W. Miller, R. Leitner, Particle-level pileup subtraction for jets and jet shapes, *J. High Energy Phys.* 06 (2014) 092, [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2014\)092](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2014)092), arXiv:1403.3108.
- [41] G. D'Agostini, A multidimensional unfolding method based on Bayes' theorem, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods A* 362 (1995) 487, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(95\)00274-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)00274-X).
- [42] T. Auye, Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold, in: *PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop on Statistical Issues Related to Discovery Claims in Search Experiments and Unfolding*, CERN Yellow Reports: Conference Proceedings, 2011, p. 313, arXiv:1105.1160.
- [43] CMS Collaboration, Study of jet quenching with isolated-photon+jet correlations in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 785 (2018) 14, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.07.061>, arXiv:1711.09738.
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Luminosity measurement in proton-proton collisions at 5.02 TeV in 2017 at CMS, in: *CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-19-001*, 2021, <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2765655>.
- [45] S. van der Meer, Calibration of the effective beam height in the ISR, Technical Report CERN-ISR-PO/68-31, 1968, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/296752/files/196800064.pdf>.
- [46] C. Loizides, J. Kamin, D. d'Enterria, Improved Monte Carlo Glauber predictions at present and future nuclear colliders, *Phys. Rev. C* 97 (2018) 054910, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054910>, arXiv:1710.07098, Erratum: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.99.019901>.
- [47] P. Caucal, E. Iancu, A.H. Mueller, G. Soyez, Vacuum-like jet fragmentation in a dense QCD medium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 120 (2018) 232001, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.232001>, arXiv:1801.09703.

The CMS Collaboration

A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, A. Escalante Del Valle, R. Frühwirth¹, M. Jeitler¹, N. Krammer, L. Lechner, D. Liko, T. Madlener, I. Mikulec, F.M. Pitters, N. Rad, J. Schieck¹, R. Schöfbeck, M. Spanring, S. Templ, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz¹, M. Zarucki

Institut für Hochenergiephysik, Wien, Austria

V. Chekhovsky, A. Litomin, V. Makarenko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus

M.R. Darwish², E.A. De Wolf, D. Di Croce, X. Janssen, T. Kello³, A. Lelek, M. Pieters, H. Rejeb Sfar, H. Van Haevermaet, P. Van Mechelen, S. Van Putte, N. Van Remortel

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, E.S. Bols, S.S. Chhibra, J. D'Hondt, J. De Clercq, D. Lontkovskyi, S. Lowette, I. Marchesini, S. Moortgat, A. Morton, Q. Python, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

D. Beghin, B. Bilin, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, B. Dorney, L. Favart, A. Grebenyuk, A.K. Kalsi, I. Makarenko, L. Moureaux, L. Pêtré, A. Popov, N. Postiau, E. Starling, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, D. Vannerom, L. Wezenbeek

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

T. Cornelis, D. Dobur, M. Gruchala, I. Khvastunov⁴, M. Niedziela, C. Roskas, K. Skovpen, M. Tytgat, W. Verbeke, B. Vermassen, M. Vit

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

G. Bruno, F. Bury, C. Caputo, P. David, C. Delaere, M. Delcourt, I.S. Donertas, A. Giammanco, V. Lemaitre, K. Mondal, J. Prisciandaro, A. Taliercio, M. Teklishyn, P. Vischia, S. Wertz, S. Wuyckens, J. Zobec

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

G.A. Alves, C. Hensel, A. Moraes

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas, H. Brandao Malbouisson, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato⁵, E. Coelho, E.M. Da Costa, G.G. Da Silveira⁶, D. De Jesus Damiao, S. Fonseca De Souza, J. Martins⁷, D. Matos Figueiredo, M. Medina Jaime⁸, C. Mora Herrera, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, P. Rebello Teles, L.J. Sanchez Rosas, A. Santoro, S.M. Silva Do Amaral, A. Sznajder, M. Thiel, F. Torres Da Silva De Araujo, A. Vilela Pereira

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes^{a,b}, L. Calligaris^a, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei^a, E.M. Gregores^{a,b}, D.S. Lemos^a, P.G. Mercadante^{a,b}, S.F. Novaes^a, Sandra S. Padula^a

^a *Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil*

^b *Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil*

A. Aleksandrov, G. Antchev, I. Atanasov, R. Hadjiiska, P. Iaydjiev, M. Misheva, M. Rodozov, M. Shopova, G. Sultanov

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria

M. Bonchev, A. Dimitrov, T. Ivanov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov, A. Petrov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

W. Fang³, Q. Guo, H. Wang, L. Yuan

Beihang University, Beijing, China

M. Ahmad, Z. Hu, Y. Wang

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

E. Chapon, G.M. Chen⁹, H.S. Chen⁹, M. Chen, T. Javaid⁹, A. Kapoor, D. Leggat, H. Liao, Z. Liu, R. Sharma, A. Spiezia, J. Tao, J. Thomas-wilsker, J. Wang, H. Zhang, S. Zhang⁹, J. Zhao

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

A. Agapitos, Y. Ban, C. Chen, Q. Huang, A. Levin, Q. Li, M. Lu, X. Lyu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, Q. Wang, J. Xiao

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

Z. You

Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

X. Gao³

Institute of Modern Physics and Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) - Fudan University, Shanghai, China

M. Xiao

Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

C. Avila, A. Cabrera, C. Florez, J. Fraga, A. Sarkar, M.A. Segura Delgado

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

J. Jaramillo, J. Mejia Guisao, F. Ramirez, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, C.A. Salazar González, N. Vanegas Arbelaez

Universidad de Antioquia, Medellin, Colombia

D. Giljanovic, N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, I. Puljak, T. Sculac

University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, D. Ferencek, D. Majumder, M. Roguljic, A. Starodumov¹⁰, T. Susa

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

M.W. Ather, A. Attikis, E. Erodotou, A. Ioannou, G. Kole, M. Kolosova, S. Konstantinou, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis, H. Rykaczewski, H. Saka, D. Tsiakkouri

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger¹¹, M. Finger Jr.¹¹, A. Kveton, J. Tomsa

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

E. Ayala

Escuela Politecnica Nacional, Quito, Ecuador

E. Carrera Jarrin

Universidad San Francisco de Quito, Quito, Ecuador

H. Abdalla¹², Y. Assran^{13,14}, A. Mohamed¹⁵

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

A. Lotfy, M.A. Mahmoud

Center for High Energy Physics (CHEP-FU), Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt

S. Bhowmik, A. Carvalho Antunes De Oliveira, R.K. Dewanjee, K. Ehataht, M. Kadastik, M. Raidal, C. Veelken

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

P. Eerola, L. Forthomme, H. Kirschenmann, K. Osterberg, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

E. Brücken, F. Garcia, J. Havukainen, V. Karimäki, M.S. Kim, R. Kinnunen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Laurila, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, H. Siikonen, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

P. Luukka, T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

C. Amendola, M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. Dejardin, D. Denegri, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, B. Lenzi, E. Locci, J. Malcles, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M.Ö. Sahin, A. Savoy-Navarro¹⁶, M. Titov, G.B. Yu

IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S. Ahuja, F. Beaudette, M. Bonanomi, A. Buchot Perraguin, P. Busson, C. Charlot, O. Davignon, B. Diab, G. Falmagne, R. Granier de Cassagnac, A. Hakimi, I. Kucher, A. Lobanov, C. Martin Perez, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, J. Rembser, R. Salerno, J.B. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, A. Zabi, A. Zghiche

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, CNRS/IN2P3, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁷, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, G. Bourgatte, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁷, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Grimault, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, Strasbourg, France

E. Asilar, S. Beauceron, C. Bernet, G. Boudoul, C. Camen, A. Carle, N. Chanon, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, Sa. Jain, I.B. Laktineh, H. Lattaud, A. Lesauvage, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, L. Torterotot, G. Touquet, M. Vander Donckt, S. Viret

Institut de Physique des 2 Infinis de Lyon (IP2I), Villeurbanne, France

T. Toriashvili¹⁸, Z. Tsamalaidze¹¹

Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia

L. Feld, K. Klein, M. Lipinski, D. Meuser, A. Pauls, M. Preuten, M.P. Rauch, J. Schulz, M. Teroerde

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

D. Eliseev, M. Erdmann, P. Fackeldey, B. Fischer, S. Ghosh, T. Hebbeker, K. Hoepfner, H. Keller, L. Mastrolorenzo, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, G. Mocellin, S. Mondal, S. Mukherjee, D. Noll, A. Novak, T. Pook, A. Pozdnyakov, T. Quast, Y. Rath, H. Reithler, J. Roemer, A. Schmidt, S.C. Schuler, A. Sharma, S. Wiedenbeck, S. Zaleski

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

C. Dziwok, G. Flügge, W. Haj Ahmad¹⁹, O. Hlushchenko, T. Kress, A. Nowack, C. Pistone, O. Pooth, D. Roy, H. Sert, A. Stahl²⁰, T. Ziemons

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

H. Aarup Petersen, M. Aldaya Martin, P. Asmuss, I. Babounikau, S. Baxter, O. Behnke, A. Bermúdez Martínez, A.A. Bin Anuar, K. Borras²¹, V. Botta, D. Brunner, A. Campbell, A. Cardini, P. Connor, S. Consuegra Rodríguez, V. Danilov, A. De Wit, M.M. Defranchis, L. Didukh, D. Domínguez Damiani, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, L.I. Estevez Banos, E. Gallo²², A. Geiser, A. Giraldi, A. Grohsjean, M. Guthoff, A. Harb, A. Jafari²³, N.Z. Jomhari, H. Jung, A. Kasem²¹, M. Kasemann, H. Kaveh, C. Kleinwort, J. Knolle, D. Krücker, W. Lange, T. Lenz, J. Lidrych, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann²⁴, R. Mankel, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, J. Metwally, A.B. Meyer, M. Meyer, M. Missiroli, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, V. Myronenko, Y. Otari, D. Pérez Adán, S.K. Pflitsch, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, A. Saggio, A. Saibel, M. Savitskyi, V. Scheurer, C. Schwanenberger, A. Singh, R.E. Sosa Ricardo, N. Tonon, O. Turkot, A. Vagnerini, M. Van De Klundert, R. Walsh, D. Walter, Y. Wen, K. Wichmann, C. Wissing, S. Wuchterl, O. Zenaiev, R. Zlebcik

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

R. Aggleton, S. Bein, L. Benato, A. Benecke, K. De Leo, T. Dreyer, A. Ebrahimi, M. Eich, F. Feindt, A. Fröhlich, C. Garbers, E. Garutti, P. Gunnellini, J. Haller, A. Hinzmann, A. Karavdina, G. Kasieczka, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, V. Kutzner, J. Lange, T. Lange, A. Malara, C.E.N. Niemeyer, A. Nigamova, K.J. Pena Rodriguez, O. Rieger, P. Schleper, S. Schumann, J. Schwandt, D. Schwarz, J. Sonneveld, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, B. Vormwald, I. Zoi

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

S. Baur, J. Bechtel, T. Berger, E. Butz, R. Caspart, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Dierlamm, A. Droll, K. El Morabit, N. Faltermann, K. Flöh, M. Giffels, A. Gottmann, F. Hartmann²⁰, C. Heidecker, U. Husemann, M.A. Iqbal, I. Katkov²⁵, P. Keicher, R. Koppenhöfer, S. Maier, M. Metzler, S. Mitra, D. Müller, Th. Müller, M. Musich, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, J. Rauser, D. Savoie, D. Schäfer, M. Schnepf, M. Schröder, D. Seith, I. Shvetsov, H.J. Simonis, R. Ulrich, M. Wassmer, M. Weber, R. Wolf, S. Wozniowski

Karlsruher Institut fuer Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Anagnostou, P. Asenov, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, G. Paspalaki, A. Stakia

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

M. Diamantopoulou, D. Karasavvas, G. Karathanasis, P. Kontaxakis, C.K. Koraka, A. Manousakis-katsikakis, A. Panagiotou, I. Papavergou, N. Saoulidou, K. Theofilatos, K. Vellidis, E. Vourliotis

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Bakas, K. Kousouris, I. Papakrivopoulos, G. Tsipolitis, A. Zacharopoulou

National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas, P. Giannelos, P. Katsoulis, P. Kokkas, S. Mallios, K. Manitaras, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, J. Strologas

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

M. Bartók²⁶, R. Chudasama, M. Csanad, M.M.A. Gadallah²⁷, S. Lökös²⁸, P. Major, K. Mandal, A. Mehta, G. Pasztor, O. Surányi, G.I. Veres

MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu, D. Horvath²⁹, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi[†]

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary

S. Czellar, J. Karancsi²⁶, J. Molnar, Z. Szillasi, D. Teyssier

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

T. Csorgo, F. Nemes, T. Novak

Karoly Robert Campus, MATE Institute of Technology, Hungary

S. Choudhury, J.R. Komaragiri, D. Kumar, L. Panwar, P.C. Tiwari

Indian Institute of Science (IISc), Bangalore, India

S. Bahinipati³⁰, D. Dash, C. Kar, P. Mal, T. Mishra, V.K. Muraleedharan Nair Bindhu, A. Nayak³¹, D.K. Sahoo³⁰, N. Sur, S.K. Swain

National Institute of Science Education and Research, HBNI, Bhubaneswar, India

S. Bansal, S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, S. Chauhan, N. Dhingra³², R. Gupta, A. Kaur, S. Kaur, P. Kumari, M. Meena, K. Sandeep, S. Sharma, J.B. Singh, A.K. Viridi

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

A. Ahmed, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, R.B. Garg, M. Gola, S. Keshri, A. Kumar, M. Naimuddin, P. Priyanka, K. Ranjan, A. Shah

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

M. Bharti³³, R. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharya, D. Bhowmik, S. Dutta, S. Ghosh, B. Gomber³⁴, M. Maity³⁵, S. Nandan, P. Palit, P.K. Rout, G. Saha, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, B. Singh³³, S. Thakur³³

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, HBNI, Kolkata, India

P.K. Behera, S.C. Behera, P. Kalbhor, A. Muhammad, R. Pradhan, P.R. Pujahari, A. Sharma, A.K. Sikdar

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Madras, India

D. Dutta, V. Kumar, K. Naskar³⁶, P.K. Netrakanti, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, M.A. Bhat, S. Dugad, R. Kumar Verma, G.B. Mohanty, U. Sarkar

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-A, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Chatterjee, M. Guchait, S. Karmakar, S. Kumar, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research-B, Mumbai, India

S. Dube, B. Kansal, S. Pandey, A. Rane, A. Rastogi, S. Sharma

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India

H. Bakhshiansohi³⁷, M. Zeinali³⁸

Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran

S. Chenarani³⁹, S.M. Etesami, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Felcini, M. Grunewald

University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, R. Aly^{a,b,40}, C. Aruta^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, A. Di Florio^{a,b}, A. Di Pilato^{a,b}, W. Elmetenawee^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, A. Gelmi^{a,b}, M. Gul^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, M. Ince^{a,b}, S. Lezki^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, I. Margjeka^{a,b}, V. Mastrapasqua^{a,b}, J.A. Merlin^a, S. My^{a,b}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, A. Ranieri^a, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, F.M. Simone^{a,b}, R. Venditti^a, P. Verwilligen^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy

^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy

^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy

G. Abbiendi^a, C. Battilana^{a,b}, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, L. Borgonovi^a, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, R. Campanini^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, T. Diotallevi^{a,b}, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, E. Fontanesi^{a,b}, P. Giacomelli^a, L. Giommi^{a,b}, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, F. Lemmi^{a,b}, S. Lo Meo^{a,41}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G.P. Siroli^{a,b}, N. Tosi^a

^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

S. Albergo ^{a,b,42}, S. Costa ^{a,b,42}, A. Di Mattia ^a, R. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Tricomi ^{a,b,42}, C. Tuve ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy

^b Università di Catania, Catania, Italy

G. Barbagli ^a, A. Cassese ^a, R. Ceccarelli ^{a,b}, V. Ciulli ^{a,b}, C. Civinini ^a, R. D'Alessandro ^{a,b}, F. Fiori ^a,
E. Focardi ^{a,b}, G. Latino ^{a,b}, P. Lenzi ^{a,b}, M. Lizzo ^{a,b}, M. Meschini ^a, S. Paoletti ^a, R. Seidita ^{a,b},
G. Sguazzoni ^a, L. Viliani ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, D. Piccolo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

M. Bozzo ^{a,b}, F. Ferro ^a, R. Mulargia ^{a,b}, E. Robutti ^a, S. Tosi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

^b Università di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia ^a, A. Beschi ^{a,b}, F. Brivio ^{a,b}, F. Cetorelli ^{a,b}, V. Ciriolo ^{a,b,20}, F. De Guio ^{a,b}, M.E. Dinardo ^{a,b},
P. Dini ^a, S. Gennai ^a, A. Ghezzi ^{a,b}, P. Govoni ^{a,b}, L. Guzzi ^{a,b}, M. Malberti ^a, S. Malvezzi ^a, D. Menasce ^a,
F. Monti ^{a,b}, L. Moroni ^a, M. Paganoni ^{a,b}, D. Pedrini ^a, S. Ragazzi ^{a,b}, T. Tabarelli de Fatis ^{a,b},
D. Valsecchi ^{a,b,20}, D. Zuolo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

S. Buontempo ^a, N. Cavallo ^{a,c}, A. De Iorio ^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi ^{a,c}, F. Fienga ^a, A.O.M. Iorio ^{a,b}, L. Lista ^{a,b},
S. Meola ^{a,d,20}, P. Paolucci ^{a,20}, B. Rossi ^a, C. Sciacca ^{a,b}, E. Voevodina ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

^b Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy

^c Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy

^d Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy

P. Azzi ^a, N. Bacchetta ^a, D. Bisello ^{a,b}, A. Boletti ^{a,b}, P. Bortignon ^a, A. Bragagnolo ^{a,b}, R. Carlin ^{a,b},
P. Checchia ^a, P. De Castro Manzano ^a, T. Dorigo ^a, F. Gasparini ^{a,b}, U. Gasparini ^{a,b}, S.Y. Hoh ^{a,b}, L. Layer ^{a,43},
M. Margoni ^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo ^{a,b}, M. Presilla ^{a,b}, P. Ronchese ^{a,b}, R. Rossin ^{a,b}, F. Simonetto ^{a,b},
G. Strong ^a, A. Tiko ^a, M. Tosi ^{a,b}, H. Yarar ^{a,b}, M. Zanetti ^{a,b}, P. Zotto ^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta ^{a,b}, G. Zumerle ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy

^c Università di Trento, Trento, Italy

C. Aime ^{a,b}, A. Braghieri ^a, S. Calzaferri ^{a,b}, D. Fiorina ^{a,b}, P. Montagna ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, V. Re ^a,
M. Ressegotti ^{a,b}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Salvini ^a, I. Vai ^a, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

M. Biasini ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, D. Ciangottini ^{a,b}, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, V. Mariani ^{a,b},
M. Menichelli ^a, F. Moscatelli ^a, A. Piccinelli ^{a,b}, A. Rossi ^{a,b}, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, D. Spiga ^a, T. Tedeschi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

K. Androsov ^a, P. Azzurri ^a, G. Bagliesi ^a, V. Bertacchi ^{a,c}, L. Bianchini ^a, T. Boccali ^a, R. Castaldi ^a,
M.A. Ciocci ^{a,b}, R. Dell'Orso ^a, M.R. Di Domenico ^{a,d}, S. Donato ^a, L. Giannini ^{a,c}, A. Giassi ^a, M.T. Grippo ^a,
F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, E. Manca ^{a,c}, G. Mandorli ^{a,c}, A. Messineo ^{a,b}, F. Palla ^a, G. Ramirez-Sanchez ^{a,c}, A. Rizzi ^{a,b},
G. Rolandi ^{a,c}, S. Roy Chowdhury ^{a,c}, A. Scribano ^a, N. Shafiei ^{a,b}, P. Spagnolo ^a, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b},
N. Turini ^{a,d}, A. Venturi ^a, P.G. Verdini ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa Italy

^b Università di Pisa, Pisa Italy

^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa Italy^d Università di Siena, Siena, Italy

F. Cavallari ^{a,b}, M. Cipriani ^{a,b}, D. Del Re ^{a,b}, E. Di Marco ^a, M. Diemoz ^a, E. Longo ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^a,
 G. Organtini ^{a,b}, F. Pandolfi ^a, R. Paramatti ^{a,b}, C. Quaranta ^{a,b}, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, C. Rovelli ^a,
 F. Santanastasio ^{a,b}, L. Soffi ^{a,b}, R. Tramontano ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy^b Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, N. Bartosik ^a, R. Bellan ^{a,b}, A. Bellora ^{a,b},
 C. Biino ^a, A. Cappati ^{a,b}, N. Cartiglia ^a, S. Cometti ^a, M. Costa ^{a,b}, R. Covarelli ^{a,b}, N. Demaria ^a, B. Kiani ^{a,b},
 F. Legger ^a, C. Mariotti ^a, S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, E. Monteil ^{a,b}, M. Monteno ^a,
 M.M. Obertino ^{a,b}, G. Ortona ^a, L. Pacher ^{a,b}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^a, G.L. Pinna Angioni ^{a,b},
 M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Salvatico ^{a,b}, F. Siviero ^{a,b}, V. Sola ^a, A. Solano ^{a,b}, D. Soldi ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a, D. Trocino ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy^c Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, M. Casarsa ^a, F. Cossutti ^a, A. Da Rold ^{a,b}, G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}, F. Vazzoler ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S. Dogra, C. Huh, B. Kim, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J. Lee, S.W. Lee, C.S. Moon, Y.D. Oh, S.I. Pak,
 B.C. Radburn-Smith, S. Sekmen, Y.C. Yang

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

H. Kim, D.H. Moon

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

B. Francois, T.J. Kim, J. Park

Hanyang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

S. Cho, S. Choi, Y. Go, S. Ha, B. Hong, K. Lee, K.S. Lee, J. Lim, J. Park, S.K. Park, J. Yoo

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

J. Goh, A. Gurtu

Kyung Hee University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea

H.S. Kim, Y. Kim

Sejong University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

J. Almond, J.H. Bhyun, J. Choi, S. Jeon, J. Kim, J.S. Kim, S. Ko, H. Kwon, H. Lee, K. Lee, S. Lee, K. Nam,
 B.H. Oh, M. Oh, S.B. Oh, H. Seo, U.K. Yang, I. Yoon

Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

D. Jeon, J.H. Kim, B. Ko, J.S.H. Lee, I.C. Park, Y. Roh, D. Song, I.J. Watson

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

H.D. Yoo

Yonsei University, Department of Physics, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Choi, C. Hwang, Y. Jeong, H. Lee, Y. Lee, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

Y. Maghrbi

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East (AUM), Egaila, Kuwait

V. Veckalns⁴⁴

Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia

A. Juodagalvis, A. Rinkevicius, G. Tamulaitis

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

W.A.T. Wan Abdullah, M.N. Yusli, Z. Zolkapli

National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

J.F. Benitez, A. Castaneda Hernandez, J.A. Murillo Quijada, L. Valencia Palomo

Universidad de Sonora (UNISON), Hermosillo, Mexico

G. Ayala, H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-De La Cruz⁴⁵, **R. Lopez-Fernandez, C.A. Mondragon Herrera, D.A. Perez Navarro, A. Sanchez-Hernandez**

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, C. Oropeza Barrera, M. Ramirez-Garcia, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

J. Eysermans, I. Pedraza, H.A. Salazar Ibarquen, C. Uribe Estrada

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

A. Morelos Pineda

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

J. Mijuskovic⁴, **N. Raicevic**

University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

S. Bheesette, P.H. Butler

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

A. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, M.I.M. Awan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib, M. Waqas

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

V. Avati, L. Grzanka, M. Malawski

AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Computer Science, Electronics and Telecommunications, Krakow, Poland

H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, M. Szeleper, P. Traczyk, P. Zalewski

National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland

K. Bunkowski, A. Byszuk⁴⁶, **K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Olszewski, M. Walczak**

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

M. Araujo, P. Bargassa, D. Bastos, P. Faccioli, M. Gallinaro, J. Hollar, N. Leonardo, T. Niknejad, J. Seixas, K. Shchelina, O. Toldaiev, J. Varela

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

S. Afanasiev, P. Bunin, M. Gavrilenko, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavine, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev^{47,48}, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, V. Shalaev, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, V. Smirnov, O. Teryaev, N. Voytishin, B.S. Yuldashev⁴⁹, A. Zarubin, I. Zhizhin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

G. Gavrilo, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim⁵⁰, E. Kuznetsova⁵¹, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, D. Sosnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Volkov, A. Vorobyev

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, A. Karneyeu, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, G. Pivovarov, D. Tlisov[†], A. Toropin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilo, N. Lychkovskaya, A. Nikitenko⁵², V. Popov, G. Safronov, A. Spiridonov, A. Steppenov, M. Toms, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia

T. Aushev

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Moscow, Russia

O. Bychkova, M. Chadeeva⁵³, D. Philippov, E. Popova, V. Rusinov

National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Terkulov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, A. Demiyarov, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, O. Kodolova, V. Korotkikh, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev, I. Vardanyan

Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

V. Blinov⁵⁴, T. Dimova⁵⁴, L. Kardapoltsev⁵⁴, I. Ovtin⁵⁴, Y. Skovpen⁵⁴

Novosibirsk State University (NSU), Novosibirsk, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

Institute for High Energy Physics of National Research Centre 'Kurchatov Institute', Protvino, Russia

A. Babaev, A. Iuzhakov, V. Okhotnikov, L. Sukhikh

National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Tomsk, Russia

V. Borchsh, V. Ivanchenko, E. Tcherniaev

Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia

P. Adzic⁵⁵, P. Cirkovic, M. Dordevic, P. Milenovic, J. Milosevic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, A. Álvarez Fernández, I. Bachiller, M. Barrio Luna, Cristina F. Bedoya, J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, M. Cepeda, M. Cerrada, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, J.P. Fernández Ramos, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, A. García Alonso, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, J. León Holgado, D. Moran, Á. Navarro Tobar, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, I. Redondo, L. Romero, S. Sánchez Navas, M.S. Soares, A. Triossi, L. Urda Gómez, C. Willmott

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, R. Reyes-Almanza

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

B. Alvarez Gonzalez, J. Cuevas, C. Erice, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, E. Palencia Cortezon, C. Ramón Álvarez, J. Ripoll Sau, V. Rodríguez Bouza, S. Sanchez Cruz, A. Trapote

Universidad de Oviedo, Instituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA), Oviedo, Spain

I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, B. Chazin Quero, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, P.J. Fernández Manteca, G. Gomez, C. Martinez Rivero, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, F. Matorras, J. Piedra Gomez, C. Prieels, F. Ricci-Tam, T. Rodrigo, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

M.K. Jayananda, B. Kailasapathy⁵⁶, D.U.J. Sonnadara, D.D.C. Wickramarathna

University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

W.G.D. Dharmaratna, K. Liyanage, N. Perera, N. Wickramage

University of Ruhuna, Department of Physics, Matara, Sri Lanka

T.K. Aarrestad, D. Abbaneo, B. Akgun, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, J. Baechler, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, J. Bendavid, N. Beni, M. Bianco, A. Bocci, E. Bossini, E. Brondolin, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, L. Cristella, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, N. Daci, V. Daponte, A. David, A. De Roeck, M. Deile, R. Di Maria, M. Dobson, M. Dünser, N. Dupont, A. Elliott-Peisert, N. Emriskova, F. Fallavollita⁵⁷, D. Fasanella, S. Fiorendi, A. Florent, G. Franzoni, J. Fulcher, W. Funk, S. Giani, D. Gigi, K. Gill, F. Glege, L. Gouskos, M. Guilbaud, D. Gulhan, M. Haranko, J. Hegeman, Y. Iiyama, V. Innocente, T. James, P. Janot, J. Kaspar, J. Kieseler, M. Komm, N. Kratochwil, C. Lange, P. Lecoq, K. Long, C. Lourenço, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, A. Massironi, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, J. Ngadiuba, J. Niedziela, S. Orfanelli, L. Orsini, F. Pantaleo²⁰, L. Pape, E. Perez, M. Peruzzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, D. Rabadý, A. Racz, M. Rieger, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, S. Scarfi, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, M. Selvaggi, A. Sharma, P. Silva, W. Snoeys, P. Sphicas⁵⁸, J. Steggemann, S. Summers, V.R. Tavolaro, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, G.P. Van Onsem, A. Vartak, M. Verzetti, K.A. Wozniak, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

L. Caminada⁵⁹, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, T. Rohe

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

M. Backhaus, P. Berger, A. Calandri, N. Chernyavskaya, A. De Cosa, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, C. Dorfer, T. Gadek, T.A. Gómez Espinosa, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, A.-M. Lyon, R.A. Manzoni, M.T. Meinhard, F. Micheli, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pauss, V. Perovic, G. Perrin, L. Perrozzi, S. Pigazzini, M.G. Ratti, M. Reichmann, C. Reissel, T. Reitenspiess, B. Ristic, D. Ruini, D.A. Sanz Becerra, M. Schönemberger, V. Stampf, M.L. Vesterbacka Olsson, R. Wallny, D.H. Zhu

ETH Zurich - Institute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics (IPA), Zurich, Switzerland

C. Amsler⁶⁰, C. Botta, D. Brzhechko, M.F. Canelli, R. Del Burgo, J.K. Heikkilä, M. Huwiler, A. Jofrehei, B. Kilminster, S. Leontsinis, A. Macchiolo, P. Meiring, V.M. Mikuni, U. Molinatti, I. Neutelings, G. Rauco, A. Reimers, P. Robmann, K. Schweiger, Y. Takahashi

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

C. Adloff⁶¹, C.M. Kuo, W. Lin, A. Roy, T. Sarkar³⁵, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

L. Ceard, P. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, W.-S. Hou, Y.y. Li, R.-S. Lu, E. Paganis, A. Psallidas, A. Steen, E. Yazgan

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

B. Asavapibhop, C. Asawatangtrakuldee, N. Srimanobhas

Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand

F. Boran, S. Damarseckin⁶², Z.S. Demiroglu, F. Dolek, C. Dozen⁶³, I. Dumanoglu⁶⁴, E. Eskut, G. Gokbulut, Y. Guler, E. Gurpinar Guler⁶⁵, I. Hos⁶⁶, C. Isik, E.E. Kangal⁶⁷, O. Kara, A. Kayis Topaksu, U. Kiminsu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir⁶⁸, A. Polatoz, A.E. Simsek, B. Tali⁶⁹, U.G. Tok, S. Turkcapar, I.S. Zorbakir, C. Zorbilmez

Çukurova University, Physics Department, Science and Art Faculty, Adana, Turkey

B. Isildak⁷⁰, G. Karapinar⁷¹, K. Ocalan⁷², M. Yalvac⁷³

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

I.O. Atakisi, E. Gülmez, M. Kaya⁷⁴, O. Kaya⁷⁵, Ö. Özçelik, S. Tekten⁷⁶, E.A. Yetkin⁷⁷

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

A. Cakir, K. Cankocak⁶⁴, Y. Komurcu, S. Sen⁷⁸

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

F. Aydogmus Sen, S. Cerci⁶⁹, B. Kaynak, S. Ozkorucuklu, D. Sunar Cerci⁶⁹

Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

B. Grynyov

Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine

L. Levchuk

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

E. Bhal, S. Bologna, J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, J. Goldstein, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, B. Krikler, S. Paramesvaran, T. Sakuma, S. Seif El Nasr-Storey, V.J. Smith, J. Taylor, A. Titterton

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁷⁹, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, K.V. Ellis, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Linacre, K. Manolopoulos, D.M. Newbold, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, T. Reis, T. Schuh, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, T. Williams

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, P. Bloch, S. Bonomally, J. Borg, S. Breeze, O. Buchmuller, A. Bundock, V. Cepaitis, G.S. Chahal⁸⁰, D. Colling, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, G. Fedi, G. Hall, G. Iles, J. Langford, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, S. Malik, A. Martelli, V. Milosevic, J. Nash⁸¹, V. Palladino, M. Pesaresi, D.M. Raymond, A. Richards, A. Rose, E. Scott, C. Seez, A. Shtipliyski, M. Stoye, A. Tapper, K. Uchida, T. Virdee²⁰, N. Wardle, S.N. Webb, D. Winterbottom, A.G. Zecchinelli

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, C.K. Mackay, I.D. Reid, L. Teodorescu, S. Zahid

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

A. Brinkerhoff, K. Call, B. Caraway, J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A.R. Kanuganti, C. Madrid, B. McMaster, N. Pastika, S. Sawant, C. Smith, J. Wilson

Baylor University, Waco, USA

R. Bartek, A. Dominguez, R. Uniyal, A.M. Vargas Hernandez

Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA

A. Buccilli, O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, S.V. Gleyzer, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio, C. West

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Akpinar, A. Albert, D. Arcaro, C. Cosby, Z. Demiragli, D. Gastler, J. Rohlf, K. Salyer, D. Sperka, D. Spitzbart, I. Suarez, S. Yuan, D. Zou

Boston University, Boston, USA

G. Benelli, B. Burkley, X. Coubez²¹, D. Cutts, Y.t. Duh, M. Hadley, U. Heintz, J.M. Hogan⁸², K.H.M. Kwok, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, K.T. Lau, J. Lee, M. Narain, S. Sagir⁸³, R. Syarif, E. Usai, W.Y. Wong, D. Yu, W. Zhang

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Band, C. Brainerd, R. Breedon, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, C. Flores, G. Funk, F. Jensen, W. Ko[†], O. Kukral, R. Lander, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, M. Shi, D. Taylor, K. Tos, M. Tripathi, Y. Yao, F. Zhang

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

M. Bachtis, R. Cousins, A. Dasgupta, D. Hamilton, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, T. Lam, N. Mccoll, W.A. Nash, S. Regnard, D. Saltzberg, C. Schnaible, B. Stone, V. Valuev

University of California, Los Angeles, USA

K. Burt, Y. Chen, R. Clare, J.W. Gary, S.M.A. Ghiasi Shirazi, G. Hanson, G. Karapostoli, O.R. Long, N. Manganeli, M. Olmedo Negrete, M.I. Paneva, W. Si, S. Wimpenny, Y. Zhang

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

J.G. Branson, P. Chang, S. Cittolin, S. Cooperstein, N. Deelen, J. Duarte, R. Gerosa, D. Gilbert, V. Krutelyov, J. Letts, M. Masciovecchio, S. May, S. Padhi, M. Pieri, V. Sharma, M. Tadel, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

N. Amin, C. Campagnari, M. Citron, A. Dorsett, V. Dutta, J. Incandela, B. Marsh, H. Mei, A. Ovcharova, H. Qu, M. Quinnan, J. Richman, U. Sarica, D. Stuart, S. Wang

University of California, Santa Barbara - Department of Physics, Santa Barbara, USA

D. Anderson, A. Bornheim, O. Cerri, I. Dutta, J.M. Lawhorn, N. Lu, J. Mao, H.B. Newman, T.Q. Nguyen, J. Pata, M. Spiropulu, J.R. Vlimant, S. Xie, Z. Zhang, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

J. Alison, M.B. Andrews, T. Ferguson, T. Mudholkar, M. Paulini, M. Sun, I. Vorobiev

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, W.T. Ford, E. MacDonald, T. Mulholland, R. Patel, A. Perloff, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, Y. Cheng, J. Chu, D.J. Cranshaw, A. Datta, A. Frankenthal, K. Mcdermott, J. Monroy, J.R. Patterson, D. Quach, A. Ryd, W. Sun, S.M. Tan, Z. Tao, J. Thom, P. Wittich, M. Zientek

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, M. Alyari, G. Apollinari, A. Apresyan, A. Apyan, S. Banerjee, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, D. Berry, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, A. Canepa, G.B. Cerati, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, M. Cremonesi, V.D. Elvira, J. Freeman, Z. Gecse, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, R.M. Harris, S. Hasegawa, R. Heller, T.C. Herwig, J. Hirschauer, B. Jayatilaka, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, P. Klabbers, T. Klijsma, B. Klima, M.J. Kortelainen, S. Lammel,

D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, M. Liu, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, D. Mason, P. McBride, P. Merkel, S. Mrenna, S. Nahn, V. O'Dell, V. Papadimitriou, K. Pedro, C. Pena⁸⁴, O. Prokofyev, F. Ravera, A. Reinsvold Hall, L. Ristori, B. Schneider, E. Sexton-Kennedy, N. Smith, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, S. Stoynev, J. Strait, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, H.A. Weber, A. Woodard

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, L. Cadamuro, V. Cherepanov, F. Errico, R.D. Field, D. Guerrero, B.M. Joshi, M. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, K.H. Lo, K. Matchev, N. Menendez, G. Mitselmakher, D. Rosenzweig, K. Shi, J. Sturdy, J. Wang, S. Wang, X. Zuo

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

T. Adams, A. Askew, D. Diaz, R. Habibullah, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, R. Khurana, T. Kolberg, G. Martinez, H. Prosper, C. Schiber, R. Yohay, J. Zhang

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, S. Butalla, T. Elkafrawy⁸⁵, M. Hohlmann, D. Noonan, M. Rahmani, M. Saunders, F. Yumiceva

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, H. Becerril Gonzalez, R. Cavanaugh, X. Chen, S. Dittmer, O. Evdokimov, C.E. Gerber, D.A. Hangal, D.J. Hofman, C. Mills, G. Oh, T. Roy, M.B. Tonjes, N. Varelas, J. Viinikainen, X. Wang, Z. Wu

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

M. Alhousseini, K. Dilsiz⁸⁶, S. Durgut, R.P. Gandrajula, M. Haytmyradov, V. Khristenko, O.K. Köseyan, J.-P. Merlo, A. Mestvirishvili⁸⁷, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul⁸⁸, Y. Onel, F. Ozok⁸⁹, A. Penzo, C. Snyder, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, K. Yi⁹⁰

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

O. Amram, B. Blumenfeld, L. Corcodilos, M. Eminizer, A.V. Gritsan, S. Kyriacou, P. Maksimovic, C. Mantilla, J. Roskes, M. Swartz, T.Á. Vámi

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

C. Baldenegro Barrera, P. Baringer, A. Bean, A. Bylinkin, T. Isidori, S. Khalil, J. King, G. Krintiras, A. Kropivnitskaya, C. Lindsey, N. Minafra, M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, S. Sanders, E. Schmitz, J.D. Tapia Takaki, Q. Wang, J. Williams, G. Wilson

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

S. Duric, A. Ivanov, K. Kaadze, D. Kim, Y. Maravin, T. Mitchell, A. Modak, A. Mohammadi

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

E. Adams, A. Baden, O. Baron, A. Belloni, S.C. Eno, Y. Feng, N.J. Hadley, S. Jabeen, G.Y. Jeng, R.G. Kellogg, T. Koeth, A.C. Mignerey, S. Nabili, M. Seidel, A. Skuja, S.C. Tonwar, L. Wang, K. Wong

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

D. Abercrombie, B. Allen, R. Bi, S. Brandt, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, Y. Chen, M. D'Alfonso, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, P. Harris, D. Hsu, M. Hu, M. Klute, D. Kovalskyi, J. Krupa, Y.-J. Lee, P.D. Luckey, B. Maier, A.C. Marini, C. McGinn, C. Mironov, S. Narayanan, X. Niu, C. Paus, D. Rankin, C. Roland, G. Roland, Z. Shi, G.S.F. Stephens, K. Sumorok, K. Tatar, D. Velicanu, J. Wang, T.W. Wang, Z. Wang, B. Wyslouch

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

R.M. Chatterjee, A. Evans, S. Guts[†], P. Hansen, J. Hiltbrand, Sh. Jain, M. Krohn, Y. Kubota, Z. Lesko, J. Mans, M. Reverting, R. Rusack, R. Saradhy, N. Schroeder, N. Strobbe, M.A. Wadud

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

J.G. Acosta, S. Oliveros

University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA

K. Bloom, S. Chauhan, D.R. Claes, C. Fangmeier, L. Finco, F. Golf, J.R. González Fernández, I. Kravchenko, J.E. Siado, G.R. Snow[†], B. Stieger, W. Tabb, F. Yan

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

G. Agarwal, H. Bandyopadhyay, C. Harrington, L. Hay, I. Iashvili, A. Kharchilava, C. McLean, D. Nguyen, J. Pekkanen, S. Rappoccio, B. Roozbahani

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, C. Freer, Y. Haddad, A. Hortiangtham, J. Li, G. Madigan, B. Marzocchi, D.M. Morse, V. Nguyen, T. Orimoto, A. Parker, L. Skinnari, A. Tishelman-Charny, T. Wamorkar, B. Wang, A. Wisecarver, D. Wood

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

S. Bhattacharya, J. Bueghly, Z. Chen, A. Gilbert, T. Gunter, K.A. Hahn, N. Odell, M.H. Schmitt, K. Sung, M. Velasco

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

R. Bucci, N. Dev, R. Goldouzian, M. Hildreth, K. Hurtado Anampa, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, K. Lannon, N. Loukas, N. Marinelli, I. Mcalister, F. Meng, K. Mohrman, Y. Musienko⁴⁷, R. Ruchti, P. Siddireddy, S. Taroni, M. Wayne, A. Wightman, M. Wolf, L. Zygala

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

J. Alimena, B. Bylsma, B. Cardwell, L.S. Durkin, B. Francis, C. Hill, A. Lefeld, B.L. Winer, B.R. Yates

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

P. Das, G. Dezoort, P. Elmer, B. Greenberg, N. Haubrich, S. Higginbotham, A. Kalogeropoulos, G. Kopp, S. Kwan, D. Lange, M.T. Lucchini, J. Luo, D. Marlow, K. Mei, I. Ojalvo, J. Olsen, C. Palmer, P. Piroué, D. Stickland, C. Tully

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

S. Malik, S. Norberg

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

V.E. Barnes, R. Chawla, S. Das, L. Gutay, M. Jones, A.W. Jung, B. Mahakud, G. Negro, N. Neumeister, C.C. Peng, S. Piperov, A. Purohit, H. Qiu, J.F. Schulte, M. Stojanovic¹⁶, N. Trevisani, F. Wang, R. Xiao, W. Xie

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

T. Cheng, J. Dolen, N. Parashar

Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, USA

A. Baty, S. Dildick, K.M. Ecklund, S. Freed, F.J.M. Geurts, M. Kilpatrick, A. Kumar, W. Li, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts[†], J. Rorie, W. Shi, A.G. Stahl Leitton

Rice University, Houston, USA

A. Bodek, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, J.L. Dulemba, C. Fallon, T. Ferbel, M. Galanti, A. Garcia-Bellido, O. Hindrichs, A. Khukhunaishvili, E. Ranken, R. Taus

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

B. Chiarito, J.P. Chou, A. Gandrakota, Y. Gershtein, E. Halkiadakis, A. Hart, M. Heindl, E. Hughes, S. Kaplan, O. Karacheban²⁴, I. Laflotte, A. Lath, R. Montalvo, K. Nash, M. Osherson, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S.A. Thayil, S. Thomas, H. Wang

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

H. Acharya, A.G. Delannoy, S. Spanier

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

O. Bouhali⁹¹, M. Dalchenko, A. Delgado, R. Eusebi, J. Gilmore, T. Huang, T. Kamon⁹², H. Kim, S. Luo, S. Malhotra, R. Mueller, D. Overton, L. Perniè, D. Rathjens, A. Safonov

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, V. Hegde, S. Kunori, K. Lamichhane, S.W. Lee, T. Mengke, S. Muthumuni, T. Peltola, S. Undleeb, I. Volobouev, Z. Wang, A. Whitbeck

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

E. Appelt, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, R. Janjam, W. Johns, C. Maguire, A. Melo, H. Ni, K. Padeken, F. Romeo, P. Sheldon, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska, M. Verweij

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, B. Cox, G. Cummings, J. Hakala, R. Hirosky, M. Joyce, A. Ledovskoy, A. Li, C. Neu, B. Tannenwald, Y. Wang, E. Wolfe, F. Xia

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

P.E. Karchin, N. Poudyal, P. Thapa

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

K. Black, T. Bose, J. Buchanan, C. Caillol, S. Dasu, I. De Bruyn, P. Everaerts, C. Galloni, H. He, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, U. Hussain, A. Lanaro, A. Loeliger, R. Loveless, J. Madhusudanan Sreekala, A. Mallampalli, D. Pinna, T. Ruggles, A. Savin, V. Shang, V. Sharma, W.H. Smith, D. Teague, S. Trembath-reichert, W. Vetens

University of Wisconsin - Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[†] Deceased.

¹ Also at TU Wien, Wien, Austria.

² Also at Institute of Basic and Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering, Arab Academy for Science, Technology and Maritime Transport, Alexandria, Egypt, Alexandria, Egypt.

³ Also at Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium.

⁴ Also at IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

⁵ Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.

⁶ Also at Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil.

⁷ Also at UFMS, Nova Andradina, Brazil.

⁸ Also at Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil.

⁹ Also at University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

¹⁰ Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics named by A.I. Alikhanov of NRC 'Kurchatov Institute', Moscow, Russia.

¹¹ Also at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

¹² Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹³ Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt.

¹⁴ Now at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁵ Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.

¹⁶ Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.

¹⁷ Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁸ Also at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

- ¹⁹ Also at Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ²⁰ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ²¹ Also at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ²² Also at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ²³ Also at Department of Physics, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran, Isfahan, Iran.
- ²⁴ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ²⁵ Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ²⁶ Also at Institute of Physics, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ²⁷ Also at Physics Department, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt.
- ²⁸ Also at MTA-ELTE Lendület CMS Particle and Nuclear Physics Group, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary, Budapest, Hungary.
- ²⁹ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ³⁰ Also at IIT Bhubaneswar, Bhubaneswar, India, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ³¹ Also at Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India.
- ³² Also at G.H.G. Khalsa College, Punjab, India.
- ³³ Also at Shoolini University, Solan, India.
- ³⁴ Also at University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad, India.
- ³⁵ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ³⁶ Also at Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Mumbai, India.
- ³⁷ Also at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany.
- ³⁸ Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- ³⁹ Also at Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of Mazandaran, Behshahr, Iran.
- ⁴⁰ Now at INFN Sezione di Bari ^a, Università di Bari ^b, Politecnico di Bari ^c, Bari, Italy.
- ⁴¹ Also at Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development, Bologna, Italy.
- ⁴² Also at Centro Siciliano di Fisica Nucleare e di Struttura Della Materia, Catania, Italy.
- ⁴³ Also at Università di Napoli 'Federico II', NAPOLI, Italy.
- ⁴⁴ Also at Riga Technical University, Riga, Latvia, Riga, Latvia.
- ⁴⁵ Also at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City, Mexico.
- ⁴⁶ Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ⁴⁷ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁴⁸ Now at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ⁴⁹ Also at Institute of Nuclear Physics of the Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- ⁵⁰ Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ⁵¹ Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.
- ⁵² Also at Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.
- ⁵³ Also at P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia.
- ⁵⁴ Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia.
- ⁵⁵ Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁵⁶ Also at Trincomalee Campus, Eastern University, Sri Lanka, Nilaveli, Sri Lanka.
- ⁵⁷ Also at INFN Sezione di Pavia ^a, Università di Pavia ^b, Pavia, Italy, Pavia, Italy.
- ⁵⁸ Also at National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁵⁹ Also at Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland.
- ⁶⁰ Also at Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Vienna, Austria, Vienna, Austria.
- ⁶¹ Also at Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules, IN2P3-CNRS, Annecy-le-Vieux, France.
- ⁶² Also at Şırnak University, Şırnak, Turkey.
- ⁶³ Also at Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, Beijing, China.
- ⁶⁴ Also at Near East University, Research Center of Experimental Health Science, Nicosia, Turkey.
- ⁶⁵ Also at Beykent University, Istanbul, Turkey, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁶ Also at Istanbul Aydin University, Application and Research Center for Advanced Studies (App. & Res. Cent. for Advanced Studies), Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁷ Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ⁶⁸ Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁶⁹ Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ⁷⁰ Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷¹ Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ⁷² Also at Necmettin Erbakan University, Konya, Turkey.
- ⁷³ Also at Bozok Universitetesi Rektörlüğü, Yozgat, Turkey, Yozgat, Turkey.
- ⁷⁴ Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁵ Also at Milli Savunma University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁶ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ⁷⁷ Also at Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ⁷⁸ Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.
- ⁷⁹ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁸⁰ Also at IPPP Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.
- ⁸¹ Also at Monash University, Faculty of Science, Clayton, Australia.
- ⁸² Also at Bethel University, St. Paul, Minneapolis, USA, St. Paul, USA.
- ⁸³ Also at Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Karaman, Turkey.
- ⁸⁴ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ⁸⁵ Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ⁸⁶ Also at Bingol University, Bingol, Turkey.
- ⁸⁷ Also at Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ⁸⁸ Also at Sinop University, Sinop, Turkey.
- ⁸⁹ Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.

⁹⁰ Also at Nanjing Normal University Department of Physics, Nanjing, China.

⁹¹ Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.

⁹² Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea, Daegu, Korea.