



*Citation for published version:*

Voronov, M, Foster, WM, Patriotta, G & Weber, K 2022, 'Distilling Authenticity: Materiality and Narratives in Canadian Distilleries' Authenticity Work', *Academy of Management Journal*.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2020.0017>

*DOI:*

[10.5465/amj.2020.0017](https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2020.0017)

*Publication date:*

2022

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

**University of Bath**

**Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## **Distilling Authenticity: Materiality and Narratives in Canadian Distilleries' Authenticity Work**

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Journal:         | <i>Academy of Management Journal</i>   |
| Manuscript ID    | AMJ-2020-0017.R4   |
| Manuscript Type: | Revision   |
| Keywords:        | Case < Qualitative Orientation < Research Methods, Social construction < Managerial and Organizational Cognition < Topic Areas, Organization and management theory (General) < Organization and Management Theory < Topic Areas, Organizational culture < Organization and Management Theory < Topic Areas, Reputation < Organization and Management Theory < Topic Areas  |
| Abstract:        | <p>Authenticity is increasingly seen as a source of competitive advantage in many industries. Accordingly, authenticity work, the organizational efforts to develop and sustain believable authenticity claims, has emerged as an important organizational practice. We examined the interplay of materiality and narratives underpinning producers' authenticity work in the context of incumbent and micro-distilleries operating in the Canadian whisky industry. We found that producers' material endowments, especially central product features, anchored what authenticity claims they could credibly narrate. Other material endowments, such as key people and architectural design, were used to reinforce the integrity of authenticity claims. Our study extends our understanding of authenticity as a valued organizational resource. First, we identify two mechanisms, anchoring and reinforcement, through which materiality both constrains and facilitates organizations' authenticity narratives. Second, our research brings to the fore how audience members' experiential closeness to producers colors their perceptions of authenticity, and we show how material artifacts can enhance such closeness. Third, our findings enrich the understanding of competitive value of authenticity in the context of strategy by unpacking how producers' material endowments may constitute a resource or a liability.</p> |
|                  |  |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

## **Distilling Authenticity: Materiality and Narratives in Canadian Distilleries' Authenticity Work**

10  
11  
12  
13  
14

**Maxim Voronov**  
York University  
mvoronov@schulich.yorku.ca

15  
16  
17  
18

**William M. Foster**  
University of Alberta  
wfoster@ualberta.ca

19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**Gerardo Patriotta**  
University of Bath  
gp735@bath.ac.uk

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

**Klaus Weber**  
Northwestern University  
klausweber@kellogg.northwestern.edu

48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

We are grateful to Associate Editor Tammar Zilber and the anonymous reviewers for the insightful and developmental guidance throughout the review process. We thank Tom Lawrence, Trish Ruebottom, and Hovig Tchalian for the invaluable feedback on earlier drafts. The manuscript also benefited from feedback received during presentations at Brock University, Oxford University, Schulich School of Business, and Warwick Business School, as well as at 2018 AoM Conference, 2017 EGOS Colloquium, and 2018 Alberta Institutions Conference. We are especially grateful to all the research participants who shared their time and wisdom with us so generously. This research was funded, in part, by a grant from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada.

## DISTILLING AUTHENTICITY: MATERIALITY AND NARRATIVES IN CANADIAN DISTILLERIES' AUTHENTICITY WORK

### ABSTRACT

Authenticity is increasingly seen as a source of competitive advantage in many industries. Accordingly, authenticity work, the organizational efforts to develop and sustain believable authenticity claims, has emerged as an important organizational practice. We examined the interplay of materiality and narratives underpinning producers' authenticity work in the context of incumbent and micro-distilleries operating in the Canadian whisky industry. We found that producers' material endowments, especially central product features, anchored what authenticity claims they could credibly narrate. Other material endowments, such as key people and architectural design, were used to reinforce the integrity of authenticity claims. Our study extends our understanding of authenticity as a valued organizational resource. First, we identify two mechanisms, anchoring and reinforcement, through which materiality both constrains and facilitates organizations' authenticity narratives. Second, our research brings to the fore how audience members' experiential closeness to producers colors their perceptions of authenticity, and we show how material artifacts can enhance such closeness. Third, our findings enrich the understanding of competitive value of authenticity in the context of strategy by unpacking how producers' material endowments may constitute a resource or a liability.

*“What is a Canadian hug? It’s the warm embrace of rye whisky going down smooth and slow.” – Alex, Master Blender, Heritage Brands*

It is difficult to overstate the importance placed on authenticity in contemporary society. Consumers desire authentic brands (Beverland & Farrelly, 2010; Holt, 2002), businesses search for authentic leaders (Gardner, Avolio, Luthans, May, & Walumbwa, 2005; Patriotta, 2019), and voters crave authentic politicians (Alexander, 2010; Hahl, Kim, & Zuckerman Sivan, 2018). People also aspire for authenticity in their careers (Caza, Moss, & Vough, 2018; Ibarra, 1999) and, most importantly, in their lives (Potter, 2010; Taylor, 1991). In organizational contexts, authenticity refers to “audience members' subjective perceptions of an organization's external expressions as genuinely representing its identity” (Demetry, 2019: 937). Although being authentic can sometimes mean disavowing commercial motives (Grazian, 2010; Hahl, 2016), authenticity has also become integral to organizational success in many industries (Kovács,

1  
2  
3 2019; Kroezen, Ravasi, Sasaki, Żebrowska, & Suddaby, 2021; Ruebottom, Buchanan, Voronov,  
4 & Toubiana, in press; Verhaal, Hoskins, & Lundmark, 2017).

5  
6  
7  
8 If authenticity is important for organizational success, then authenticity work (Peterson,  
9 2005), organizations' effortful projection of being authentic to their audiences, becomes an  
10 important focus of investigation. From the vantage point of studying authenticity work,  
11 authenticity itself is a communicative accomplishment that is dependent on audience buy-in  
12 (Alexander, 2004; Demetry, 2019; Grayson & Martinec, 2004; Peterson, 2005). Extant research  
13 has emphasized the importance of narratives in persuading audiences that a product, organization  
14 or even an entire category is authentic (Delmestri & Greenwood, 2016; Verhaal et al., 2017). A  
15 narrative is a linguistic emplotment that links people, places and/or things in a coherent  
16 arrangement that is easily understood by others (Patriotta, 2003; Vaara, Sonenshein, & Boje,  
17 2016). Thus, narratives impinge on audiences' perceptions of authenticity by conveying  
18 simplified and accessible representations of what an organization stands for – its values, history,  
19 identity and so on.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 Researchers have more recently become interested in the role of materiality in  
37 augmenting the narrative aspects of authenticity claims. Materiality refers to the “physical mode  
38 of being” with distinctive “spatial attributes—a unique location, shape, volume, and mass”  
39 (Faulkner & Runde, 2012: 51). In the context of authenticity, researchers have recognized the  
40 importance of historical artifacts like founding mottos (Hatch & Schultz, 2017), symbolic  
41 artifacts like brands (Beverland, 2005b, 2005a; Holt, 2002), production equipment (Negro,  
42 Hannan, & Rao, 2011), corporate museums (Ravasi, Rindova, & Stigliani, 2019), and other  
43 iconic facilities (Howard-Grenville, Metzger, & Meyer, 2013). These studies suggest that, when  
44 connected to materiality, authenticity claims are more persuasive because the seemingly  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 objective nature of physical artifacts makes narratives of authenticity more believable  
4  
5 (Cavanaugh & Shankar, 2014).  
6

7  
8         Yet, material artifacts are not equally accessible to all organizations (Ravasi et al., 2019),  
9  
10 nor are they equally powerful in relation to specific narratives. This is because organizations are  
11  
12 endowed with different artifacts and infrastructures that have sedimented over time as a result of  
13  
14 their varying developmental trajectories (Kroezen & Heugens, 2019; Raffaelli, DeJordy, &  
15  
16 McDonald, 2021). Since organizations thus cannot access material artifacts to support  
17  
18 authenticity claims arbitrarily, the relation between material and narrative aspects of authenticity  
19  
20 claims requires further investigation. The purpose of this article is to extend research on  
21  
22 authenticity through an exploration of how organizations' material endowments enable and  
23  
24 constrain their authenticity claims. We define *authenticity claims* as the combination of  
25  
26 narratives and material artifacts through which an organization asserts its character, values and  
27  
28 spirit. *Authenticity work*, in this context, is the practice of constructing preferred authenticity  
29  
30 claims (Peterson, 2005) through believable narratives and material artifacts that resonate with  
31  
32 audiences.  
33  
34  
35  
36

37  
38         Empirically, we explore authenticity work in the Canadian whisky industry. Similar to  
39  
40 other experiential products (Biswas, Grewal, & Roggeveen, 2010), authenticity is valued highly  
41  
42 among whisky connoisseurs and other audiences (Bryson, 2020; Holt, 2006; McKendrick &  
43  
44 Hannan, 2014; Ocejjo, 2017; Thurnell-Read, 2019). As a result, the practice of crafting  
45  
46 authenticity claims is important to whisky producers. Surprisingly, we found that incumbent  
47  
48 organizations, despite distinguished pasts, iconic founders, and abundant artifacts that are  
49  
50 essential to the tradition of Canadian whisky making, did not enjoy an authenticity advantage in  
51  
52 the eyes of audience members over upstart micro-distilleries, which seemingly had fewer of  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 those material resources. The variations in authenticity work and perceptions within the industry  
4  
5 made this a compelling setting to develop a theory of the relationship between materiality and  
6  
7 narratives in organizations' authenticity work.  
8  
9

10 A focus on the interaction between materiality and narratives helps scholars understand  
11  
12 how organizations engage in authenticity work to maintain resonance with their audiences. Our  
13  
14 study contributes to three open questions related to materiality in authenticity work as an  
15  
16 organizational practice. First, we explicate the relationship between materiality and narratives in  
17  
18 organizations' ability to craft and sustain authenticity claims. Based on our grounded analysis,  
19  
20 we identify two processes, anchoring and reinforcement, through which materiality constrains  
21  
22 organizations' authenticity narratives while still affording opportunities for skillful action.  
23  
24 Second, our research brings to the fore how audience members' experiential closeness to  
25  
26 organizations colors their perceptions of authenticity, and we show how material artifacts can  
27  
28 enhance closeness. Third, our findings enrich the understanding of competitive value of  
29  
30 authenticity by unpacking how an organization's material endowment may constitute a resource  
31  
32 or liability.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

### 38 **LITERATURE REVIEW**

39 Authenticity is understood as the subjective perception that an entity (e.g., person, place  
40  
41 or thing) is real (Carroll & Wheaton, 2009), credible (Peterson, 2005) or both (Lehman,  
42  
43 O'Connor, Kovács, & Newman, 2019), and that the lineage of that entity can be verified  
44  
45 (Lindholm, 2008). Although multiple conceptualizations of authenticity exist in the literature  
46  
47 (Lehman et al., 2019), the aspect that is emphasized most commonly – and across different  
48  
49 research streams – is the perceived consistency between an entity's internal or private values and  
50  
51 qualities, and their external expressions (Demetry, 2019; Hahl et al., 2018; Peterson, 2005). This  
52  
53 conceptualization stresses the expression of a unique character and emphasizes the link between  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 the presentation of identity claims and how audiences evaluate these claims (Beverland, 2005a;  
4  
5 Hochschild, 1983; Holt, 2002; Peterson, 1997). Audiences may also draw on the perceived  
6  
7 conformity of an entity to the social category to which the entity has been assigned or that it has  
8  
9 claimed for itself (Lu & Fine, 1995). From this perspective, authenticity is assessed in relation to  
10  
11 the social norms underpinning categories and genres (Carroll & Wheaton, 2009; Glynn &  
12  
13 Lounsbury, 2005; Negro et al., 2011). Lastly, authenticity may also refer to the perceived  
14  
15 connection between an entity and a person, place, or time as claimed (Lockwood & Glynn,  
16  
17 2016). The connection can be physical, spatiotemporal (Beverland, 2005a; Spracklen, 2011),  
18  
19 based on transference (Grayson & Martinec, 2004), or symbolism (Hahl, 2016; Lockwood,  
20  
21 Glynn, & Giorgi, in press).

22  
23  
24  
25  
26 Most importantly, however, authenticity is a perception (Kovács, 2019) and does not  
27  
28 inhere effortlessly in people and things. Thus, people and objects are neither objectively  
29  
30 authentic nor inauthentic. Instead, authenticity arises from practices of *persuasion* and *evaluation*  
31  
32 that result in the affirmation or rejection of authenticity. A sense of authenticity can arise from  
33  
34 partaking in carefully crafted rituals (Alexander, 2004; Demetry, 2019; Ruebottom et al., in  
35  
36 press), or when audiences uphold authenticity claims put forward by organizations (Demetry,  
37  
38 2019; Grayson & Martinec, 2004). When audiences evaluate an authenticity claim affirmatively  
39  
40 an entity or object is then deemed “believable relative to a more or less explicit model, and at the  
41  
42 same time being original, that is not being an imitation of the model” (Peterson, 1997: 220).  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Multiple communicative practices can be utilized by organizations to project authenticity  
48  
49 claims. Narration of authenticity claims, in particular, has been emphasized as crucial (Delmestri  
50  
51 & Greenwood, 2016; Foster, Coraiola, Suddaby, Kroezen, & Chandler, 2017). Two aspects of  
52  
53 narratives are important in the context of authenticity work. First, narratives follow a structure  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 (plot) that connects particular experiences to broader claims, rather than simply asserting general  
4 truths. Narratives “reflect and express – and also shape and create – realities and experiences  
5 thereof” (Zilber, 2009: 208). Second, narratives have a comprehensive rhetorical purpose, that is,  
6 they are geared towards producing a cognitive as well emotional connection between the  
7 performer and the audience (Giorgi, 2017; Vaara & Tienari, 2011). Narrative communication is  
8 thus suited to persuade audiences that an organization is faithful to itself and its audiences. For  
9 example, organizations often construct narratives that bring to life their long history, identity and  
10 values to position themselves as “authentic,” and to separate themselves from purely financial  
11 motives (Beverland, 2005b; Foster et al., 2017; Grazian, 2010).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24         Recent research, however, has increasingly acknowledged that narratives alone, are not  
25 sufficient to persuade audiences that they are, in fact, authentic. This is because, for example,  
26 narratives are commonly associated with the world of fiction rather than the world of facts; they  
27 privilege “telling” over “showing”. Hence audiences may find it difficult to assess the  
28 believability of a purely narrative message. In this regard, materiality may increase audience  
29 perceptions of authenticity by facilitating the visual and bodily apprehension of physical  
30 aesthetics, places, and other culturally legitimated physical artifacts that are leveraged in  
31 authenticity work (Massa, Helms, Voronov, & Wang, 2017; Stigliani & Ravasi, 2018). This  
32 research suggests that authenticity claims are apprehended through both narrative and material  
33 components (Howard-Grenville et al., 2013; Siebert, Wilson, & Hamilton, 2017), each of which  
34 makes important and distinctive contributions to the believability of authenticity claims.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 **The Role of Materiality in Authenticity**

50  
51         There is a growing awareness among organizational researchers that materiality can have  
52 a significant impact on organizations and organizing (Boxenbaum, Jones, Meyer, & Svejnova,  
53 2018; Leonardi, 2011; Siebert et al., 2017; Wright, Meyer, Reay, & Staggs, 2020). Materiality  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 directs attention to the physical properties of artifacts. These properties are fixed, and this  
4  
5 fixedness affects what organizations can do (Leonardi, 2012). Yet, materiality is flexible enough  
6  
7 to allow organizations to combine material elements in different manners and for different  
8  
9 purposes. Most notably, the socio-materiality perspective shifts the focus of attention from the  
10  
11 properties of artifacts to their use in particular contexts (Orlikowski, 2007). From this standpoint,  
12  
13 every organizational activity is bound with materiality (Faulkner & Runde, 2012; Orlikowski,  
14  
15 2007). This “material turn” in organization studies has questioned classical oppositions between  
16  
17 mind and body, agency and structure, meaning and matter, social and material worlds (Coole &  
18  
19 Frost, 2010).  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 An emphasis on materiality draws attention to two important aspects of authenticity: the  
25  
26 agency of actors involved in the construction of authenticity claims and the role of materiality in  
27  
28 representing and communicating authenticity to intended audiences. First, the construction and  
29  
30 development of authenticity claims is intertwined with specific material conditions that afford as  
31  
32 well as constrain certain narratives whose meaning depend on people’s perceptions (Barad,  
33  
34 2007). From this standpoint, authenticity work entails blending an organization’s material  
35  
36 endowments with cultural conventions, norms, and other phenomena we define as social  
37  
38 (Schatzki, 2010). Second, objects and physical artifacts can be conceived as material signs,  
39  
40 serving as a medium to shape beliefs, understandings, and perceptions (Foucault, 1998; Zilber,  
41  
42 2011). In particular, recent research on authenticity has devoted increased attention to the roles  
43  
44 of places (Bell, Dacin, & Toraldo, 2021), buildings (Hahl, 2016; Howard-Grenville et al., 2013;  
45  
46 Spracklen, 2011), production equipment (Negro et al., 2011), people (Stigliani & Ravasi, 2018),  
47  
48 and other culturally significant material artifacts in amplifying organizations’ authenticity  
49  
50 claims. Overall, this body of work suggests that authenticity claims are constructed as  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 communicative acts between producers and audiences through the deployment of both narratives  
4  
5 and materiality.  
6

7  
8 While researchers have documented the respective importance of narratives and of  
9  
10 materiality in authenticity, how narratives and materiality contribute to enabling or constraining  
11  
12 organizational authenticity work has not been extensively explored. Neither particular narratives  
13  
14 nor forms of materiality are solely persuasive in themselves, but only in connection to crafting  
15  
16 authenticity claims that relevant audiences believe. In this regard, materiality has so far typically  
17  
18 been considered as a “downstream” tool that makes authenticity claims more persuasive to  
19  
20 audiences, rather than as a more fundamental “upstream” factor that influences – and possibly  
21  
22 constrains – the options available to organizations for making authenticity claims. Whether  
23  
24 considering historical artifacts (Ravasi et al., 2019) or an iconic stadium (Howard-Grenville et  
25  
26 al., 2013), materiality appears to be something that can be deployed – selectively and as needed –  
27  
28 to reinforce the authenticity claims an organization has already chosen to make. From this  
29  
30 perspective, access to materiality that affords compelling authenticity claims appear to be  
31  
32 unproblematic and suggests extensive agency in supporting claims with material dimensions. In  
33  
34 other words, while scholars have recognized the importance of materiality in supporting  
35  
36 organizations’ preferred authenticity claims, they have not explored the role of materiality in  
37  
38 influencing *how organizations choose* the authenticity claims they make, or how these claims  
39  
40 resonate with audiences.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Throughout the remainder of this paper, we examine the interaction of materiality and  
48  
49 narratives underpinning organizational effort to develop and sustain believable authenticity  
50  
51 claims.  
52

## 53 **METHODS**

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 We found the setting of the Canadian whisky industry to be generative for exploring our  
4 research interests, because it lays bare how authenticity claims of organizations are influenced by  
5 material endowments that are specific to the industry but are heterogeneously distributed across  
6 its members. For reasons described below, it is also well suited for examining the interrelation  
7 between the material and narrative facets of authenticity claims.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

### 14 **Research Setting**

15 Whisky (as it is spelled in Canada, Scotland, and Japan), or whiskey (as it is spelled in the US  
16 and Ireland) is a distilled alcoholic beverage. It is made from grain (such as corn, barley, rye, or  
17 wheat), which is mashed, fermented, distilled, and aged in oak barrels for a minimum period that  
18 varies from country-to-country (e.g., at least three years in Canada). There are five major whisky  
19 producing nations: Scotland (birthplace of Scotch), Canada, US (birthplace of Bourbon), Ireland,  
20 and Japan. Each of these countries has a distinctive whisky-making tradition (Broom, 2014a),  
21 and these traditions “are singular enough that you can often tell what you’re drinking just by the  
22 flavors and aromas” (Bryson, 2020: 9). Authenticity has emerged as an important aspect of  
23 competition in the whisky market for many reasons, not the least being the increased importance  
24 of connoisseur consumers who act as influencers within that market (Bryson, 2020; McKendrick  
25 & Hannan, 2014; Ocejó, 2017). Thus, in the wine and spirits sector, companies compete not only  
26 for market share and shelf space but also for the attention of these highly engaged consumers and  
27 other influential audiences (Humphreys & Carpenter, 2018).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Canadian whisky is recognized at home and abroad. In Canada, it has been the dominant  
47 product in the whisky market from the beginning of commercial whisky production in the late  
48 1700s. Abroad, the popularity of Canadian whisky is reflected in international sales. For  
49 example, in 2017 annual sales of Canadian whisky reached \$5.9 Billion and it is sold in more  
50 than 160 countries (De Kergommeaux, 2017). Further evidence of the product’s popularity is  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 that since 1865, Canadian whisky has been within the top 2 whisky segments (by volume) in the  
4  
5 US market (the biggest market for Canadian whisky).  
6

7  
8 The Canadian whisky tradition is distinct from those of other major distilling countries  
9  
10 (De Kergommeaux, 2012). In particular, Canadian distillers pioneered several whisky production  
11  
12 techniques that are now accepted as industry standards. For example, they were the first to  
13  
14 mandate whisky aging in wooden barrels. This tradition, in fact, has since become the most  
15  
16 defining characteristic of a whisky made anywhere in the world. Also, the national style of  
17  
18 whisky production that involves distilling grains separately and creating artful blends that may  
19  
20 involve upwards of 20 different whiskies made at the same distillery<sup>1</sup> can be traced back to the  
21  
22 founding of the Canadian Club brand in 1884 (Jackson, 1987). Thus, being a Canadian whisky  
23  
24 producer provides distilleries with material and narrative resources for constructing authenticity  
25  
26 claims. For example, incumbent distilleries have distinctive traditions (Jackson, 1987), a long  
27  
28 and colorful history (e.g., Faith, 2007; Rannie, 1976; Teatro, 1977), and salient material artifacts  
29  
30 such as equipment and facilities.  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 The industry is rich in cultural norms, about what makes a whisky product “good”, and  
37  
38 the particular ways in which it should be produced, narrated and enjoyed (Broom, 2014b;  
39  
40 Bryson, 2014, 2020). Materiality is implicated in whisky industry in at least three ways: 1) the  
41  
42 whisky liquid itself; 2) the process that turns the physical inputs into the final liquid, and 3) the  
43  
44 various physical spaces that are central to both the production and the audiences’ experiences of  
45  
46 the whisky products.  
47  
48

49  
50 Yet, we found that although Canadian distilleries appeared to possess the material  
51  
52 endowments identified in prior research as valuable for authenticity work (e.g., Hatch & Schultz,  
53

---

54  
55 <sup>1</sup> Unlike Blended Scotch, which consists of multi-distillery blends, Canadian whisky is typically single-distillery  
56  
57 blend (De Kergommeaux, 2012).  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 2017; Ravasi et al., 2019), organizations varied widely in both their practices of claiming  
4 authenticity and the extent to which their practices resonated with audiences, as reflected in  
5  
6 interviewees' responses. These differences made the authenticity work visible and the variable  
7  
8 success among the distilleries offered the analytic advantage of requisite variance for  
9  
10 comparison.  
11  
12  
13

### 14 **Data Collection**

15  
16 Our data collection took place between 2015 and 2019. We aimed to accomplish two  
17  
18 things. First, we sought to gain a comprehensive understanding of how authenticity is  
19  
20 constructed in this industry from the point of view of the distilleries and key audiences. Similar  
21  
22 to other experiential products (Biswas et al., 2010), where authenticity is often an important  
23  
24 aspect of their commercial appeal (Askin & Mol, 2018; Demetry, 2019; Glynn & Lounsbury,  
25  
26 2005; Han, Newman, Smith, & Dhar, 2021), certain elite audiences, or intermediaries between  
27  
28 distilleries and less engaged audiences (McCoy, 2005), codify what is deemed authentic in the  
29  
30 given industry (Glynn & Lounsbury, 2005; Massa et al., 2017). Thus, in our sampling, we  
31  
32 selectively focused on these audience members (e.g., retailers, bloggers, restaurateurs,  
33  
34 connoisseurs). Second, we sought to ensure that we sampled a broad range of distilleries to  
35  
36 include differences in such theoretically important characteristics as age, size, ownership  
37  
38 structure, geographical location, relative reputation, and the reliance on in-house distilling versus  
39  
40 contract distilling<sup>2</sup>. Tables 1 and 2 provide an overview of our sample and data sources.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 -----  
47 Insert Table 1 about here  
48 -----  
49

50  
51  
52 <sup>2</sup> Sourcing aged liquid from third-party distilleries, or contract distilling, is common all over the world. For example,  
53 the vast majority of Scotch whisky sold are made from blended Scotch whisky; approximately 130 distinct brands of  
54 US whiskey are made from the liquid produced at a single distillery Midwest Grains Products (MGP)  
55 (<https://thewhiskeywash.com/distillery-profiles/visit-mgp-distillery/>) and several Japanese whisky brands source  
56 whisky from remote locales such as Scotland, the US and Canada.  
57  
58  
59  
60

-----  
Insert Table 2 about here  
-----

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8       ***Semi-structured interviews.*** We conducted a total of 92 semi-structured interviews with  
9  
10 distillery representatives and audience members, employing open-ended questions. Distillery  
11  
12 interviewees were asked about the factors that influenced their decisions about how to portray  
13  
14 their organizations and their product offerings as authentic, and what they thought audiences  
15  
16 were looking for. Audience members were asked about the factors that made them accept or  
17  
18 reject distilleries' authenticity claims (See Appendix 1- Sample Interview Questions). Critically,  
19  
20 interviewees were asked to offer details and specific examples to illustrate their points, and we  
21  
22 probed to elicit as much detail as possible. The interviews ranged in length from 1 to 1.5 hours  
23  
24 and were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Over the course of the study, we modified and  
25  
26 refined the interview protocols to take advantage of emerging themes (Spradley, 1979), which  
27  
28 we will elaborate in the Data Analysis section below. We continued our interviews until they  
29  
30 started adding little new information.  
31  
32  
33  
34

35       ***In situ observations.*** The first author observed more than 70 hours of both routine  
36  
37 distillery operations (e.g., production processes, hosting distillery visitors) and social interactions  
38  
39 at public events (whisky festivals). These observations allowed us to get a sampling of different  
40  
41 common situations where distillery representatives convey key authenticity messages to audience  
42  
43 members. When attending whisky festivals, the first author observed and took field notes during  
44  
45 masterclasses as distillery representatives discussed the flavor profiles of whisky products and  
46  
47 explained the production methods, answered audience questions, and occasionally allowed  
48  
49 attendees to taste the component whiskies that were blended into a final product. These  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 observations enabled the author to access first-hand narratives that distillery representatives  
4 constructed as well as pertinent material artifacts.  
5  
6

7  
8 During these events the author also observed audience reactions and subsequently asked  
9 audience members to reflect on their experiences during these masterclasses. Due to the rapport  
10 that the author built with employees of the distilleries and some key whisky writers in Canada,  
11 he was able to get unique behind-the-scenes access to distilleries and observe some of the  
12 interactions with audiences that were not typically visible to “regular” consumers. For instance,  
13 he was invited to join a group of influential whisky bloggers from Canada and abroad on a tour  
14 of micro-distilleries, organized in conjunction with a whisky festival. On another occasion,  
15 during a large whisky festival, the author was able to observe a private, invitation-only event  
16 hosted by a distillery brand ambassador. At this event, a small group of whisky bloggers were  
17 given the exclusive opportunity to taste some rare and not-yet-released products. These kinds of  
18 observations were essential to our understanding of how and why whisky writers and bloggers  
19 endorse or reject distilleries’ authenticity claims.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 ***Documents.*** We collected books, articles and other documents that reported on the  
36 Canadian whisky and the Canadian whisky industry. We also examined texts documenting  
37 public perceptions of Canadian whisky. These included: (1) writings of professional whisky  
38 writers in newspapers, books, blogs, and other industry publications, and (2) blog entries and  
39 social media discussions by connoisseurs and distillery visitors. Lastly, we monitored the  
40 websites and social media postings of the distilleries in our sample during the study period.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 **Data Analysis**

50  
51 ***Phase one – Identifying authenticity claims and the role of narratives.*** At the outset of  
52 this research, we sought to understand broadly how organizations use tradition and history for  
53 strategic advantage, and we focused on several large distilleries that had been operating for  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 several decades. The focus on authenticity emerged from the data as a “mystery” (Alvesson &  
4 Kärreman, 2007), as we noticed authenticity being mentioned extensively in our interviews –  
5  
6 Kärreman, 2007), as we noticed authenticity being mentioned extensively in our interviews –  
7  
8 with both distillery representatives and audience members. It was also mentioned extensively in  
9  
10 the writings about whisky. We were especially surprised that our audience members claimed that  
11  
12 some of the older and most famous distilleries in Canada were producing whisky that they  
13  
14 viewed as inauthentic.<sup>3</sup> This puzzling evidence prompted us to refocus our research on  
15  
16 understanding how authenticity claims were constructed, accepted or rejected by audiences.  
17  
18

19  
20 We expanded our sampling to a wider selection of the distilleries (as explained in our  
21  
22 Data Collection section). We immersed ourselves in popular media and social media coverage of  
23  
24 Canadian whisky and read extensively about the history of Canadian whisky. These secondary  
25  
26 sources, along with audience member interviews helped us understand what audiences deemed  
27  
28 authentic and inauthentic in the context of whisky. We analyzed our interview, observational and  
29  
30 archival data, iterating between the raw data and the emerging theory using a constant  
31  
32 comparison technique (Locke, 2001).  
33  
34

35  
36 Initially, we coded for authenticity claims in an open-ended manner, taking note of the  
37  
38 specific narratives distillery representatives constructed to characterize what made their  
39  
40 respective distilleries and their offerings authentic. For each distillery, we compared and  
41  
42 contrasted the narratives that appeared in interviews, website descriptions, and the formal and  
43  
44 informal presentations by distillery representatives during distillery visits or during whisky  
45  
46 festivals.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

---

52  
53 <sup>3</sup> At the start of this research, the overall perception among the elite audience members we interviewed was that the  
54 whisky made by the incumbent older distilleries was mass-market “budget” product and of limited interest to  
55 connoisseurs. During the course of our research, the perception began to change, as several incumbent distilleries  
56 worked hard to change these perceptions via material and narrative work, as detailed in the findings.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 We looked broadly throughout the data sources for mentions of authenticity and related  
4 terms that were especially relevant to whisky. The narratives typically included terms such as  
5 “genuine”, “traditional”, “honest”, “faithful”, and “original”, and synonyms. We also compared  
6 our grounded analyses to prior studies of authenticity in management research (e.g., Hatch &  
7 Schultz, 2017; Kovács, 2019; Kovács, Carroll, & Lehman, 2014; Lehman, Kovács, & Carroll,  
8 2014) as well as in sociology and anthropology (e.g., Alexander, 2004; Lindholm, 2008; Trilling,  
9 1972) to help sensitize ourselves to other vocabularies related to authenticity, such as “pure”,  
10 “real”, “believable”, “sincere”, and “skilled”. We then returned to our data to determine if any  
11 additional expressions of authenticity might emerge.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Over multiple iterations, key theoretical categories emerged. Specifically, we noticed the  
25 emergence of two broad categories of authenticity claims: *authenticity as tradition* that  
26 emphasized doing things in a time-honored and traditional manner; and *authenticity as*  
27 *originality* that emphasized creativity and doing things in a distinctive, personally expressive and  
28 unusual manner.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 Comparing within and across cases, we first noticed two other intriguing patterns. First,  
36 within-case comparison revealed that each distillery tended to make relatively consistent claims,  
37 emphasizing either tradition or originality. Second, across-case comparison revealed the  
38 tendency of micro-distilleries to emphasize authenticity as originality, while the incumbent  
39 distilleries tended to emphasize authenticity as tradition.<sup>4</sup> This intriguing pattern motivated us to  
40 examine more systematically the underlying reasons for these tendencies.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>4</sup> Micro-distilleries are commonplace around the world and refer, typically, to up-start new and small operations  
52 (Bryson, 2020). In Canada, the first micro-distilleries emerged in the mid-2000s (De Kergommeaux & Phillips,  
53 2020), and we classified any older distilleries as incumbents. We do not use the term “craft distilleries” (Ocejo,  
54 2017) to refer to micro-distilleries, because many of our informants found it ideological, rather than descriptive, and  
55 confounding smallness with craft attitude, which, they argued, can be exhibited by distilleries of any size or age (De  
56 Kergommeaux, 2017).  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3           ***Phase two – Identifying the role of materiality.*** During our coding of the interviews, we  
4 noticed that our distillery informants mentioned different material endowments in explaining the  
5 authenticity claims they thought they could make plausibly. For example, informants  
6 representing micro-distilleries admitted that it was difficult to argue that their products were  
7 traditional because they were still “youthful” or “rough around the edges”. We also noticed that  
8 our audience informants tended to cite material artifacts, such as the flavor profile of the liquid  
9 or direct engagement with a whisky maker, as evidence for their acceptance or rejection of  
10 distilleries’ authenticity claims.  
11  
12

13           We then turned attention to the role of materiality in facilitating the different authenticity  
14 claims. Prior research found that the meanings of authenticity are tied closely to specific local  
15 cultural fields, such as high tech industry (Buhr, Funk, & Owen-Smith, 2021) or country music  
16 (Peterson, 1997). Artifacts associated with authenticity also differ from field-to-field. Thus,  
17 while boots and cowboy hats might be important in country music (Peterson, 1997), in artisanal  
18 meat (Cavanaugh & Shankar, 2014) and chocolate (Terrio, 1996) production, land and  
19 ingredients are more central. Thus, we returned to the authoritative writings about whisky to  
20 sensitize ourselves to the material resources deemed essential for whisky production around the  
21 world. We identified three broad categories of material resources that were most salient: the aged  
22 liquid itself, as manifest in whisky product features, key people involved in whisky making, and  
23 the architectural design of the distilleries.  
24  
25

26           Armed with this background knowledge, we re-examined the interview transcripts and  
27 the information gathered about the distilleries in our sample from secondary documents and  
28 observations to assess whether these material resources were relevant to our specific setting. Not  
29 surprisingly, these categories of material artifacts were strongly salient in our sample, as well.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 We then went back to information available to us about each distillery in the sample and made  
4  
5 across-distilleries comparisons to understand which of these material endowments were available  
6  
7 to each of them. We also examined the data for mentions of which material endowments might  
8  
9 have been absent or in short supply for any given distillery. For example, some distilleries  
10  
11 reportedly lacked well-aged liquid that would be valuable for making certain whisky products. In  
12  
13 several cases, when the information was not available, we followed up during subsequent  
14  
15 interviews or by email.  
16  
17

18  
19 ***Phase three – Understanding the interplay of narratives and materiality in authenticity***  
20  
21 ***work.*** We then sought to deepen our understanding of authenticity work by integrating the  
22  
23 emerging patterns that linked audiences, performers, materiality and narratives. We sought to  
24  
25 link the narratives constructed by distillery representatives, while making authenticity claims,  
26  
27 identified in phase 1, to their respective material endowments, identified in phase 2. We noted  
28  
29 the presence or absence of these artifacts that could be narrated by distilleries to make  
30  
31 authenticity claims.  
32  
33

34  
35 We identified distinctive roles of each of the three categories of material endowments.  
36  
37 First, *product features* connoted specific ingredients and process that had to be described with  
38  
39 accuracy. Second, key people involved in whisky making connoted relevant embodied  
40  
41 knowledge that facilitated direct human connection between a distillery and audience members,  
42  
43 and as such, these people were *human embodiments* of a distillery's authenticity claims. Third,  
44  
45 *architectural design* of each distillery connoted distinctive space where whisky production  
46  
47 happened and the possibility of a more transparent and immersive engagement with a distillery.  
48  
49

50  
51 Tentative interpretations of the findings were presented to our interviewees at different  
52  
53 point throughout the study. Their feedback was used to refine the coding scheme. Throughout the  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 period of the study, the first author wrote up reports for distilleries and audience members and  
4  
5 used these as a basis for discussions meant to assess the accuracy of the emerging interpretations.  
6  
7 This offered informants opportunities to express their agreement or disagreement with the  
8  
9 researchers and, more importantly, to further articulate their views about the industry and  
10  
11 individual distilleries.  
12  
13

14 We discussed differences in interpretations, until we reached a consensus. When we  
15  
16 could not reach a consensus, we consulted our interviewees to determine the most appropriate  
17  
18 course of action and to ascertain if additional data collection was necessary, thereby increasing  
19  
20 the “trustworthiness” of our analysis (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).  
21  
22  
23

## 24 FINDINGS

25 Our findings shed light on the intricate relationship between materiality and narratives in  
26  
27 authenticity work. On the one hand, authenticity claims around Canadian whisky required work:  
28  
29 they needed to be grounded in explanatory narratives to be believable to audiences. As a  
30  
31 marketing consultant explained: “with authenticity. If you-- you can’t just use the word. You  
32  
33 need to explain it. You need to build it into your story line. And if you do, then it makes it really  
34  
35 hard for others to continue to use that word eventually. Just like I’m saying, you know, people  
36  
37 will eventually start to dig and dig and then they’ll go, oh, now I know what authentic means.”  
38  
39 On the other hand, the credibility of producers’ stories was anchored by materiality, so that  
40  
41 materiality made some authenticity claims more natural and obvious.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Audiences used two widely shared criteria to assess authenticity in the context of whisky  
47  
48 making: tradition and originality. Producers sought to orient audiences toward one of these  
49  
50 qualities through narratives that signaled a preferred basis for claiming authenticity.  
51  
52

### 53 Bases of Authenticity Perceptions: Tradition and Originality

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Tradition and originality form bases of admissible authenticity claims in the whisky  
4 industry because they are widely seen by diverse industry participants (and were mentioned  
5 extensively in the literature on global whisky industry that we consulted) as essential or defining  
6 connotations of whisky itself. Notably, in this industry, tradition and originality are understood  
7 not as mutually exclusive opposites but as independent qualities.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 Whisky products and the process of whisky production are firmly rooted in the notion of  
15 tradition. Acclaimed American writer Lew Bryson (2014), for instance, argues that the “centuries  
16 of tradition stand on the shoulders of thousands more years of brewing tradition, which in turn  
17 stand on the foundation of civilization. Here’s how whiskey fits into the history of humankind.”  
18 Tradition in this context connects whisky and whisky making to a valued historical imagery that  
19 conveys a sense of cultural heritage and identity. In our data, narratives that claimed authenticity  
20 based on tradition correspondingly emphasized continuity, loyalty to the original roots, and  
21 adherence to received practice and methods of production.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Yet, whisky writers often pointed out that whisky is quintessentially about originality. As  
34 noted British whisky writer Dave Broom summarizes, “Whisky is about flavour, and whisky-  
35 distilling is about the way in which each distiller or blender, no matter where they are in the  
36 world, creates and crafts the specific flavours that make their whisky unique” (Broom, 2014b).  
37 Originality in this context is about the creativity that inheres in the craft of whisky making, so  
38 that the product expresses the individuality and independence of the whisky maker despite  
39 adherence to received methods and principles. In our data, narratives claiming originality as a  
40 basis of authenticity consequently emphasized uniqueness, novelty, and virtuosity in whisky  
41 production or the resulting products.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 As shown by our data (see Table 3), Canadian distilleries sought to claim authenticity  
4 based on tradition as well as originality. Even though these bases of authenticity were both  
5 valued and compatible, in principle, most incumbent distilleries tended to emphasize tradition  
6 while most micro-distilleries emphasized originality (see Table 4). We found that three types of  
7 material referents guided distilleries' authenticity work in mobilizing these bases of authenticity  
8 in their narratives: product features, human embodiments, and architectural designs. While  
9 product features strongly suggested possible bases of authenticity claims, human embodiments  
10 and architectural designs served primarily to reinforce their claims.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 -----  
23 Insert Table 3 about here  
24 -----

25 -----  
26 Insert Table 4 about here  
27 -----  
28

### 29 **The Anchoring Role of Materiality in Authenticity Work**

30  
31 We found that material product features, such as flavor profiles or age, anchored  
32 authenticity narratives by signaling integrity in the product's core elements of production  
33 (ingredients, recipes, labels, tools and technology, and production process). The broad consensus  
34 among audience and distillery interviewees was that knowledgeable whisky drinkers could  
35 readily spot a disconnect between the narrative of an authenticity claim (e.g., in product  
36 descriptions) and the material qualities manifest in the whisky flavor profile. As one blogger  
37 summed up: "Just don't lie to me about what's in your bottle! [laughs] That has to be transparent  
38 100 percent" (Blogger 5). Furthermore, many producers pointed out that even less  
39 knowledgeable whisky drinkers (who cannot taste the differences themselves) can access online  
40 information to verify distilleries' statements with reference to the same material product features.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 A distillery manager observed: "Being truthful is something that's very important, and talking  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 about your product in the right ways that communicates exactly what you've done with it" (Mel,  
4 Western Spirit). Thus, the link between a distillery's narrative and the respective bases of  
5 authenticity claims had to be believable to audience members, and material product features  
6 signaled truthfulness.  
7  
8  
9  
10

11  
12 We observed rare instances in which inconsistent claims were exposed. For example, one  
13 of the authors attended a whisky festival where a large distillery introduced its new rye grain  
14 offering underneath a large banner that read "Our Single Malt", which is a misnomer – a single  
15 malt in the Scottish tradition (and as widely understood among whisky connoisseurs) has to be  
16 made from malted barley (not rye). The distillery was ridiculed for this practice by connoisseurs,  
17 such as in the following: "[It] is awkwardly marketed as 'the Single Malt of Canadian Whisky'  
18 despite the fact that it isn't made from malted barley at all, and despite the fact that there are  
19 Canadian distilleries that *actually* produce single malt whisky. [...] In my humble opinion, this  
20 whisky isn't aimed at the experienced connoisseur."  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 The narratives constructed by the distilleries to promote or explain their whiskies' flavor  
34 profiles thus tended to closely align with the most readily verifiable material aspects of their  
35 products, such as the grains used in making the products and the specific distillation and aging  
36 techniques. Although this association between a claim and the materiality of the product could be  
37 advantageous to producers, it also made it difficult for them to narrate alternative claims, even  
38 when those claims might have been desirable to the audience. For incumbents, the material  
39 properties of their product were limiting because in the eyes of audience members they were  
40 associated with traditional Canadian flavor profiles that were currently less fashionable. Yet,  
41 these producers could not credibly deviate from the tradition claims anchored by the flavor  
42 profiles of their whisky to claim originality in their narratives. Conversely, micro-distillers, as  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 predominantly young organizations, often had not produced whiskies that were aged enough to  
4  
5 credibly evoke in their narratives the refinement and technical polish that signal tradition.  
6

7  
8 Our data suggests that the most important material manifestation that anchors authenticity  
9  
10 claims is whether the product is made with well-aged liquid. This product feature is an essential  
11  
12 distinguishing feature: not only is being an aged spirit a central connotation of whisky, but  
13  
14 sufficient aging even determines whether a distillery can release a product that can be legally  
15  
16 labeled “whisky”. We found that, on balance, abundant stocks of well-aged liquid made  
17  
18 distilleries more likely to construct narratives that emphasize tradition while limited access to  
19  
20 stocks of well-aged liquid made distilleries more likely to emphasize originality (see Table 5).  
21  
22

23  
24 -----  
25 Insert Table 5 about here  
26 -----  
27

28 ***Product features anchor incumbent distilleries’ narratives to tradition claims.*** Both the  
29  
30 writings about whisky and our interviewees emphasized that whisky products aged for many  
31  
32 years are highly desirable and what makes a whisky a whisky. Across our diverse data sources,  
33  
34 the ability to age whisky is seen as an asset of incumbent distilleries that have a long history of  
35  
36 distilling, and a limitation of more recently founded micro-distilleries. Indeed, incumbents have  
37  
38 stocks of spirit that may exceed 20 years-old, and in some cases 40 years-old. One incumbent’s  
39  
40 master blender explained this advantage of incumbents, “If I want something 10 years old, I’d  
41  
42 have to put it in barrel 10 years ago. So it’s all tied in together” (Kurt, House of Distinction). For  
43  
44 example, Heritage Brands and House of Distinction, each own more than 1.5 million barrels of  
45  
46 aged liquid produced following Canadian whisky tradition. From these stocks of aged liquid,  
47  
48 they produce offerings that would be readily recognizable by connoisseurs as Canadian whisky  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 due to the distinctive flavor profile that can only be accomplished through specific ingredients,  
4  
5 distillation and aging techniques.  
6

7  
8 Incumbent distilleries predominantly emphasized tradition in their narratives when  
9  
10 articulating authenticity claims, in line with the material referent of possessing vast stocks of  
11  
12 well-aged whisky made in the Canadian whisky tradition. Thus, the most common subject of  
13  
14 incumbents' narratives was the liquid itself. Distilleries aimed to cast their whisky products as  
15  
16 true reflections of the Canadian whisky making heritage because they were produced in a  
17  
18 traditional manner. Our interviewees at incumbent distilleries also reported that, on the other  
19  
20 hand, having abundant in-house aged liquid limited their ability to construct desirable, alternative  
21  
22 narratives because they deviate from authenticity as tradition claims.  
23  
24  
25

26 Incumbents were sometimes disparaged by whisky connoisseurs, who found the  
27  
28 traditional Canadian whisky style "boring" and devoid of flavor. Some even referred to  
29  
30 traditional Canadian whisky pejoratively as "brown vodka"<sup>5</sup>, implying that many incumbents  
31  
32 distilled whiskies were mostly devoid of flavor and originality. As many of our audience  
33  
34 interviewees explained, the traditional Canadian whisky's acclaimed smoothness was at odds  
35  
36 with the more desirable bolder flavored products valued by the connoisseurs. One retailer  
37  
38 bemoaned, for instance: "my head's going to explode, but I always hear from people, 'oh, it's so  
39  
40 smooth'" (Retailer 1). Incumbent interviewees expressed difficulty in overcoming this constraint.  
41  
42  
43 Because the incumbents were anchored to the aged liquid, they were unable to construct  
44  
45 narratives about the flavor profile that might counter the perception that their products were  
46  
47 boring and devoid of flavor. One production manager summed up the disadvantage of being  
48  
49 anchored to traditional Canadian whisky style, "And we've been accused in some respects of  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>5</sup> Vodka is a distilled alcoholic beverage that typically has very little flavor and is used mostly in cocktails.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 being called the brown vodka” (Kevin, Heritage Brands). Yet, he explained that the traditional  
4 whisky style was the most accurate description of the distillery’s products, and it was necessary  
5 to stay true to the product features when describing the products.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 *Product features anchor micro-distilleries’ narratives to originality claims.* The  
11 inability to age whisky for a long time is a distinctive weakness of more recently founded micro-  
12 distilleries. As such, these distilleries are limited in their ability to credibly claim authenticity  
13 based on tradition. Most micro-distilleries (as organizations) have been in existence for less than  
14 a decade and, because of their youth, do not have an option of releasing well-aged whiskies. In  
15 fact, most of our micro-distillery informants emphasized how challenging it is to even wait until  
16 their spirit is at least 3-years old – a minimum legal requirement to be called whisky. Some  
17 micro-distilleries even sought to limit the commercial pressures by releasing whisky before it  
18 was 3 years old. To do so they employed the term “spirit” instead of “whisky” in their narratives  
19 highlighting the ways they tried to mitigate the shortage of aging. The following is an example of  
20 this: “We released a distillery exclusive 12-month-old single malt spirit in late 2017 to much  
21 acclaim. The ‘Mac Na Braiche’, or son of malt, has only been maturing for 12 months but is  
22 regularly believed to be five to eight years old in blind tastings.” The product in this example is  
23 new, but the language implies something older: noting the age of the whisky as 12 month rather  
24 than one year, using a Gaelic name to signal a high status (Scottish) tradition, and seeking to  
25 deflect criticism of youthfulness by comparing favorably to “older” whiskies. Despite such  
26 attempts, due to the limited aging, micro-distilleries’ releases were typically seen by audiences as  
27 less refined and less sophisticated in a traditional sense, and their products were often  
28 characterized in our interviews as “harsh” due to shorter aging period. As one bartender  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 explained, “I’m not too crazy about their products. I think they’re rushing them out, but it’s  
4 really hard to put quality aged products on the market right away” (Bartender 1).  
5  
6

7  
8 informants The more common approach of micro-distilleries was, however, to claim  
9 authenticity from originality. In particular, they lent credibility to that claim by emphasizing the  
10 uniqueness and unusualness of their products within the industry and by pointing to material  
11 product features available to micro-distilleries. Instead of age and the refinement associated with  
12 tradition, the narratives of micro-distilleries commonly revolved around their products’ higher  
13 alcohol content (implying purity and flavor intensity), unusual flavor profiles, and grains that  
14 were difficult to work with (e.g., rye) or to obtain (e.g., malted barley). A consultant who had  
15 worked with several micro-distilleries explained, “They are young, and there are no rules. So in  
16 terms of manufacturer, they’re doing interesting and varied things” (Consultant 1). Micro-  
17 distilleries’ narratives usually emphasized the unique flavor profile – rather than the technical  
18 refinement (e.g., “smoothness”) of a whisky, giving a material referent to the notion of  
19 originality.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 A common way for the micro-distilleries in our sample to claim originality was to tap  
36 into a foreign whisky making tradition – typically Scotch. These narratives emphasized how  
37 drawing on foreign traditions in the whisky making process delivers originality in comparison to  
38 the predominant (and ostensibly more uniform and less exciting) Canadian whiskies. They  
39 argued that this approach resulted in more distinctive, bold and intense taste profiles that express  
40 stronger independence and individuality in interpreting the craft of whisky making, even with the  
41 limitations of access to aged liquid which would be typical in these foreign traditions. In fact,  
42 most micro-distilleries adhered to a foreign tradition for at least some of their product offerings,  
43 while three distilleries in our sample adhered to a foreign tradition exclusively. The notion of  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (foreign) tradition was leveraged by these distilleries – unexpectedly – to claim originality within  
4 the Canadian industry. The core message in the narratives was the delivery of something novel  
5 and rarely seen *among incumbent Canadian distilleries*. As John (of Transplanted Spirits)  
6 explained, “the Canadian whisky was a poorer cousin to the single malts and Scotches of the  
7 world. So we were almost trying to buck that perception that we were making Canadian whisky.”  
8 This distillery, then, appropriated a high-status tradition to justify its production choices and to  
9 explain the originality of the resulting products, by emphasizing the virtuosity of the  
10 appropriation while fending of the risk of looking derivative of the foreign tradition by still  
11 claiming uniqueness.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 According to most of the audience members we interviewed, it was that unusualness of  
25 the micro-distilleries’ offerings, made by an upstart, under-resourced distillery that was  
26 compelling to them. They recognized that the resulting whiskies could not be as traditionally  
27 polished as the offerings of the incumbent Canadian distilleries but appreciated the products as  
28 authentic because of the producers’ individuality, unique situation, and spirit of experimentation.  
29 One blogger explained the appeal: “The reason I buy them is because it’s unique. And so, you  
30 know, to get a cask-strength [high-alcohol un-diluted with water] rye whisky, really hard to even  
31 do that at a craft distillery. So, you know, and that to me is worth money even if it’s not-- the  
32 quality may not be quite as high.” (Blogger 4).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 ***Attempts to mitigate anchoring by product features.*** While product features anchor  
46 distilleries to either tradition or originality authenticity claims, respectively, this anchoring is not  
47 deterministic, but rather strongly suggestive. We found distilleries made efforts to overcome the  
48 anchoring effects of the product features to tap into alternative forms of authenticity, though this  
49 required more effort.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 This form of authenticity work was evident when incumbents attempted to claim  
4 originality – something desired by connoisseurs – despite the reliance on aged liquid, which  
5 anchored them to tradition. The most common way to change their narratives was by  
6 recombining the material elements of their products in unconventional ways to develop new  
7 whisky products that could be described as original and distinctive. Heritage Brands, for  
8 instance, developed a collection of unique whiskies that emphasized experimentation. These  
9 annually released products were promoted as utilizing extra-long barrel aging, blending of  
10 unusual combinations of distillates, special distillation techniques and higher alcohol content<sup>6</sup> –  
11 all intended to intensify the flavor and bring out unusual flavor profiles. These new and distinct  
12 offerings generated positive audience reactions from most of our interviewees and illustrated that  
13 it was possible for incumbents to appeal to connoisseurs successfully. As one whisky blogger  
14 commented: “It tastes awesome, and it’s local. And it embraces the sort of localness and pays  
15 homage to this very district which I can see sort of just down the road from me. So for me as a  
16 Canadian whisky consumer, this is a very exciting bottle to hit the shelves.” (Blogger 5).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 A complementary example features micro-distilleries attempting to mitigate perception  
36 that they rushed products to market and abandoning tradition. Several up-start micro-distilleries  
37 opted to source aged liquid from an incumbent distillery to compensate for the lack of their own  
38 aged liquid – at least until their own liquid was ready for the marketplace. Such contract  
39 distilling is meant to be a short-term measure, and eventually, most of these distilleries start to  
40 produce their own liquid. For example, Jason, owner of Spirit of Adventure, explained the  
41 challenges faced by a peer micro-distillery as it sought to scale up production by blending some  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

---

54 <sup>6</sup> Whisky is bottled at a minimum of 40% alcohol content, which is accomplished by diluting whisky that is distilled  
55 to 55%-75% alcohol with water. While lower alcohol content is commercially attractive to distilleries, higher  
56 alcohol content is preferred by connoisseurs.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of its in-house distilled whisky with the liquid purchased from an incumbent distillery: “They  
4 produce about 15 to 20 percent of their own whisky, and that’s a flavoring whisky. So it’s very  
5 rye-forward, distilled to a low proof. And then what they do is buy from large Canadian whisky  
6 producers base whisky. Base whisky is distilled to a very high proof.”  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

12 Because of the reliance on incumbents, micro-distilleries that engaged in contract  
13 distilling were, to a large extent, anchored to tradition claims in the same manner as the  
14 incumbents from which they sourced the aged liquid. Given the need to ensure the consistency  
15 between materiality and narratives, it was more difficult for them to sustain the authenticity as  
16 originality claim than for the micro-distilleries that made whisky in-house. To claim originality  
17 they therefore emphasized their own unique contributions to the final product, such as finishing  
18 the previously aged liquid in unusual barrels. For example, this is the way Great Plains Distillery  
19 describes its process:  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 We have secured a unique portfolio of well-aged western Canadian whisky (straight corn and rye)  
32 currently ranging from 3 to over 30 years in age. [...] Picking just the right barrels to compliment  
33 the taste of the whisky is the secret to perfecting the flavor during finishing. [...] *Since we always*  
34 *have a portfolio of products ageing and finishing, we are in a unique position to experiment and*  
35 *come up with blends that you simply won't find any other distiller trying.* (Emphasis ours)  
36

37 In other cases, micro-distilleries engaging in contract distilling did not emphasize the  
38 uniqueness of the specific offerings, but instead, they highlighted some of the experiments that  
39 were being done at the distilleries that would influence future releases. For example, one of the  
40 authors visited Legendary Spirits, whose current product offerings consisted of aged liquid  
41 sourced from an incumbent distillery that were matured for an additional period in barrels  
42 formerly used for winemaking. While touring the distillery, the master blender highlighted this  
43 aspect of the production process and expressed pride in some of his unaged spirit, which would  
44 not be released commercially for at least another three years. In this manner he emphasized the  
45 future originality, though the current product features anchored the distillery to tradition.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3           **Summary.** Taken together, these findings suggest that the tension between tradition and  
4 originality, as bases for making authenticity claims, was influenced in important ways by  
5 distilleries' material endowments that anchored their product to a specific base of authenticity  
6 and required constructing narrative accordingly. We found that micro-distilleries and incumbents  
7 alike presented their authenticity claims in narratives that had to be believable to their audience,  
8 and that material product features that are seen as most central to whisky – such as aged liquid  
9 and flavor profile – were used to evaluate the believability of narratives. The different material  
10 endowments of distilleries thus anchored them to particular authenticity claims. Anchoring  
11 operated in a suggestive way, in the form of dispositions and expectations that made some claims  
12 appear more natural and easier to convey than others. Yet, it also limited the opportunities to  
13 construct alternative claims. In response to the material constraints of product features,  
14 incumbents and micro-distilleries were able to exercise skillful agency that resulted in varying  
15 degree of success of authenticity work.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33           In the case of incumbents, while anchoring to tradition they struggled to respond to the  
34 audience members' desire for originality. Conversely, in the case of micro-distilleries, the  
35 anchoring to originality seemed to provide a better fit with audience expectations. Despite the  
36 shortage of aged liquid, the primary resource for whisky production, these distilleries were able  
37 to be more flexible in constructing narratives claiming authenticity based on originality by  
38 stressing the distinctive ingredients, higher alcohol content, unusual flavor profiles, and appeal to  
39 foreign whisky traditions (liberating them from the constraints of the less fashionable Canadian  
40 whisky tradition). Yet, the strong influence of material endowments, as encapsulated in the  
41 product features, on the construction of narratives to convey preferred authenticity claims was  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 not deterministic, and we found that distilleries engaged in authenticity work to mitigate the  
4 anchoring effects of the product features and make plausible alternative authenticity claims.  
5  
6

### 7 **The Reinforcing Role of Materiality in Authenticity Claims**

9 Our analysis also suggests that authenticity work did not stop with the alignment of  
10 narratives and material referents of authenticity claims. Instead, producers mobilized additional  
11 forms of materiality that were not as defining to the category of whisky to reinforce the  
12 credibility of their authenticity claims. We found that key distillery representatives were cast as  
13 *human embodiments* of authenticity that influenced audience perceptions of expertise in whisky  
14 making, while *distilleries' architectural design* evoked authenticity to the extent that it conveyed  
15 transparency and visibility of the production process. Both elements strengthened the  
16 engagement of audience members with the producers and thus offered visible and experiential  
17 proof of producers' authenticity claims (see Table 6).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 -----  
31 Insert Table 6 about here  
32 -----  
33

34  
35 ***Human embodiments reinforce authenticity claims.*** The majority of our audience  
36 informants emphasized that their tendency to believe a distillery's authenticity claims was  
37 reinforced, when they had access to a distillery's employees with deep knowledge and expertise  
38 in whisky making. These people appeared to be *human embodiments* of the distillery's  
39 authenticity claims. Human embodiments deepened the connection between a distillery and  
40 audience members by acting as credible spokespersons and offering visible and palpable proof of  
41 distilleries' authenticity claims. Our audience interviewees explained that what made such  
42 employees effective human embodiments of authenticity was not their mere existence but rather  
43 their visibility, accessibility, and willingness to interact with the audience members. Although  
44 these employees could be met during distillery tours, a more common opportunity for audience  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 members to encounter these human embodiments was at various whisky festivals that featured  
4 tastings and masterclasses. These events often involved not just guided samplings of whisky  
5 products but also hands-on opportunities to engage in the whisky making process by blending  
6 whiskies or tasting and analyzing component whiskies that make up a particular product.  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Whisky festivals epitomize the encounter between materiality and narratives, and  
13 between performers and audiences. The material layout consists of tables, glassware, whisky  
14 samples, grains, water, tasting sheets, banners, books and brochures, pieces of production  
15 equipment (e.g., barrels), and other whisky paraphernalia that, together, convey a rich  
16 representation of both whisky production and consumption. A representative of the whisky  
17 distillery - typically a master blender, master distiller or brand ambassador - leads the event and  
18 connects the audience to the product through narratives about whisky and whisky making. The  
19 narratives not only provide a context for the whisky experience, but also connect whisky, as a  
20 material product, to places, times, bodies of knowledge, and the work that signals authenticity.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 The vast majority of audience members reported valuing opportunities to ask questions  
34 and verify and validate claims of tradition or originality. They generally concurred that master  
35 blenders (who develop the final whisky blend) or distillers (who distill the spirit used for whisky  
36 making) were the most compelling human embodiments. As one connoisseur explained, it is  
37 important “to have people representing the distillery that are knowledgeable. Not just someone  
38 who is going to spew the gospel or the company line” (Connoisseur 2). According to audience  
39 interviewees, it was the unquestioned technical competence of such employees that made them  
40 more convincing in reinforcing tradition claims by highlighting their knowledgeability in whisky  
41 tradition. In addition, these employees were also essential for reinforcing originality claims by  
42 legitimizing experiments, unusual choices and deviations from traditional Canadian whisky  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 making approaches. Yet, distilleries differed in both the ways they used human embodiments of  
4 authenticity and their success in doing so.  
5  
6

7  
8 ***Human embodiments reinforcing tradition claims.*** The authenticity work of older  
9 incumbent distilleries often involved showcasing and revering the historical figureheads, such as  
10 founders, to reinforce authenticity claims. Because these historical figureheads are connected to a  
11 distillery's whisky making process, and by extension, to the founding of Canadian whisky  
12 making tradition, they were deemed as suitable candidates to support and promote tradition-  
13 based authenticity claims, as illustrated in the following:  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 John Philip (J.P.) Wiser was the son of a Dutch farmer from New York State. Like his father, J.P.  
22 was a man of integrity, strong values and an exceptional work ethic, which was evident in his  
23 whisky-making process. In the hands of J.P. Wiser, this process was one that could not be  
24 sacrificed by rushing. According to J.P. Wiser, "Quality is something you just can't rush. Horses  
25 should hurry, but whisky should take its time" (JP Wiser's website).  
26  
27

28 Through these narratives about historical figureheads, incumbent distilleries sought to  
29 reinforce their authenticity claims by emphasizing their past and foundational distinctive  
30 contributions to the whisky making tradition that still guide them in the present. And yet, most  
31 audience members reported that they found reference to historical figures in authenticity claims  
32 unconvincing. One whisky blogger, for instance, felt like the emphasis on the origins of a  
33 particular whisky style, as exemplified by a distillery's founding story dating back to mid-1800s,  
34 was meaningless because, "the way that styles of whisky change and come and go as  
35 whatchamacallit, not quite as often as I change my socks, but almost" (Blogger 3). Similarly, a  
36 bartender complained about the limited relevance of a distillery's founder in the present day:  
37 "They're not selling us on the legacy. They're calling it 'Legacy' but they're still not kind of,  
38 you know, like, yeah, you just don't see it as, like, historical" (Bartender 1).  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 Furthermore, incumbent distillers deployed brand ambassadors, whose background was  
54 typically in bartending or sales, rather than in whisky making, to represent them at whisky  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 festivals. These events were generally conceived as opportunities to promote the distillery by  
4 entertaining and educating whisky drinkers. In their presentations brand ambassadors would  
5  
6 entertaining and educating whisky drinkers. In their presentations brand ambassadors would  
7  
8 typically place the whisky event in the context of the distillery's history. For example, their  
9  
10 narratives constructed authenticity as emerging out of an unbroken tradition of Canadian whisky  
11  
12 making, a tradition based on the heroic endeavors of the founder, the purity of ingredients and  
13  
14 recipes and the orthodox methods of production. Jim, the brand ambassador for International  
15  
16 Brands, for instance, explained the key messages he seeks to convey to audiences as follows: "I  
17  
18 talk about them a little bit just so we know-- okay, this is how Canadian whisky's made and, oh,  
19  
20 and this is how it's different than our friends in Scotland. [...] I think it's important to talk about  
21  
22 all whiskies a little bit, but focus on the Canadian way and then that way they at least know a  
23  
24 little bit more than they did before they got there."

25  
26  
27  
28 But, because of the lack of background in actual whisky making, brand ambassadors,  
29  
30 whether accurately or not, were often seen as less knowledgeable and less effective in  
31  
32 reinforcing the distilleries' authenticity claims. In fact, most audience members and even two  
33  
34 distillery informants offered a variety of examples of brand ambassadors revealing a lack of  
35  
36 technical knowledge or being unable to offer satisfactory answers to audience technical questions  
37  
38 at trade shows or tasting events. One whisky writer even dismissed them as "salespeople". As  
39  
40 Restaurateur 3 noted, when discussing one brand ambassador, "he's a global ambassador, but  
41  
42 he's just... he's cocktails. He's a bartender!"

43  
44  
45  
46  
47 During conversations with distillery representatives, they explained that the dearth of  
48  
49 active human embodiments was due, largely, to the high organizational complexity of incumbent  
50  
51 distilleries, including large numbers of employees, distributed geographically, and the separation  
52  
53 of production facilities from the customer facing facilities. As a result, master-blenders (whose  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 job was in production) were typically isolated from customer-facing activities, the purview of  
4 marketing, and not performing duties that would make them accessible to audiences.  
5  
6

7  
8 There were rare exceptions among the incumbents, with one leveraging the technical  
9 expertise of a master blender as prominent human embodiments of authenticity, and two others  
10 doing so on rare occasions. For example, in one of his presentations, Alex, the master blender for  
11 Heritage Brands, constructed a tradition narrative that combined historical heritage with  
12 technical detail. Almost all of our audience informants, who interacted with Alex appreciated the  
13 helpfulness of his explanations but bemoaned that there were few such people among  
14 incumbents. They explained that understanding the origin and the distinctiveness of Canadian  
15 whisky tradition resonated with them positively – when presented by such credible human  
16 embodiments. One connoisseur described the significance of his interactions with Alex:  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 I had an opportunity to meet Alex from Heritage Brands a few times. And he's engaged, the  
29 master blender. I've asked him questions. He's told me the ratios of the different types of spirit,  
30 whether it's column distilled or pot distilled and whether it's-- what type of barrels they use and  
31 that. And actually, he gave me enough information a couple of years ago that I could blend and  
32 recreate from my own stocks on my shelf, his [Product Name], which I just adore. So, and then  
33 I've gone back to a few seminars that he's hosted and showed him what I've done, and he said,  
34 "Yeah, you've added the right complexion. That'll probably do it" (Connoisseur 2).  
35  
36

37 One of the authors was, on several occasions, able to observe such producer-audience  
38 interactions. For example, during a masterclass at a whisky festival, he observed how Alex's  
39 performance seamlessly balanced technical explanations of whisky making (including with  
40 charts detailing the chemical reactions involved in the production of a particular whisky) with  
41 folksy humor and entertaining stories. Thus,  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Upon introducing a whisky made primarily from rye grain, Alex waits for attendees to take a first  
49 sip. He looks around the room and takes a tiny sip himself. He then asks attendees if they felt a  
50 slight warming sensation in the chest. As attendees nod in agreement, he raises his voice: "We  
51 call this a 'Canadian Hug'." Attendees laugh. Alex then starts explaining that this warming  
52 sensation is a hallmark of authentic, rye-based whiskies, that are at the core of the new and  
53 distinctive products that he is showcasing. He then switches to the PowerPoint slides that explain  
54 the technical elements of the whisky production and the chemical reactions involved. Some  
55 audience members still chuckle with amusement. Others are taking careful notes (Field Notes).  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In such master classes, Alex both signaled his deep knowledge of tradition-bound whisky  
4 making, which was the core authenticity claim of the distillery, while at the same time stressing  
5 the innovative products that his expertise enabled him to develop. Importantly, he did all this in a  
6 highly relatable, personable and entertaining manner, establishing a bond with the audiences.  
7  
8 Yet, while the connoisseurs sought out such distillery employees for repeat interactions, they  
9 reported that it was very difficult to access such employees at most incumbent distilleries. Alex  
10 was the only incumbent master blender that was regularly available for such interactions.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 ***Human embodiment reinforcing originality claims.*** Micro distilleries relied on a  
20 different use of human embodiments, which produced different narratives and a different type of  
21 engagement with audiences. Formal events at whisky festivals are expensive, and micro  
22 distilleries can only afford a minimal presence (e.g., rent a table to offer informal tasting  
23 opportunity to attendees). Hence, micro-distilleries privileged informal, in-house visits and  
24 distillery tours. These events were typically led by master blenders or master distillers, and  
25 audiences mainly consisted of self-proclaimed “whisky geeks”, interested in technical details.  
26 Their communication with audiences was generally less scripted, less formal, and less polished,  
27 with frequent Q&As. In presenting the whisky offering, emphasis was typically placed on the  
28 originality and distinctiveness of the philosophy of the distillery, the use of local ingredients, the  
29 role of farmers and the sense of community. The production process was also characterized as  
30 “experimental”, based on innovative production techniques, and aimed at producing new flavors.  
31  
32

33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 Among almost all these young distilleries in our sample, the founders or the co-founders  
48 doubled as the whisky makers, which made them readily available as human embodiment of  
49 originality-based authenticity claims. The micro-distilleries seeking to claim originality by  
50 drawing on a foreign whisky making tradition emphasized a distiller’s work experience or  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 training in Scotland or another whisky making region used as the inspiration for the distillery's  
4 products. These credentials were highlighted both on their websites and in the verbal overviews  
5 during distillery visits. This information was well known among audience members. For  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 example, one blogger explained how a distillery founder's background, which included training  
11  
12 at one of the most revered Scotch distilleries, enhanced the distillery's originality claims: "Bruce  
13  
14 imported Scottish-made pot stills and mash tuns, and received training from the master distiller  
15  
16 of Bowmore. [...] whisky that was authentic to strict Scottish standards. All of the whisky would  
17  
18 contain just three ingredients: water, barley and yeast." The quote highlights the role of founder  
19  
20 as a human embodiment. It reinforces authenticity as originality via references to the simplicity  
21  
22 of ingredients that the interviewee deems as hallmarks of a valued foreign tradition. That enables  
23  
24 the distillery to offer something novel and distinctive vis-à-vis Canadian whisky tradition.  
25  
26  
27

28 Further, micro-distilleries relied on human embodiments to deflect the criticism that they  
29  
30 prematurely released a whisky into the marketplace. In fact, representatives of several micro-  
31  
32 distilleries that we interviewed – unprompted – contrasted their offerings, which they presented  
33  
34 as unique and innovative, to the more traditional Canadian whisky, which was typically cast as  
35  
36 "smooth" (also a code word for "boring"). These distillery representatives tended to embrace and  
37  
38 celebrate the putative lack of classical refinement of their products, casting the rawness as a  
39  
40 badge of honor and an indication that they were staying true to their distinctive vision for the  
41  
42 product. Even on the rare occasions when we found evidence of micro-distilleries making  
43  
44 tradition claims, they emphasized the hands-on and small-scale nature of their whisky making  
45  
46 process. As one distiller explained, "we want to tap into that Canadian tradition, but revive it a  
47  
48 little bit by being not big and corporate and-- small batch. Handmade, that kind of attention to  
49  
50 detail." (Greg, Quirky Spirits). When these claims resonated with audience members, they  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 praised the “big brash younger ryes, which I think we do incredibly well” (Retailer 4) and  
4  
5 downplayed the harsh flavors as “brashness of youth” (Blogger 2). A key reason these claims  
6  
7 tended to resonate with audience members was, as our informants noted, due to the visibility,  
8  
9 accessibility and relatability the whisky makers.  
10

11  
12 **Summary.** Taken together, these findings portray variations in the use of human  
13  
14 embodiments of authenticity claims, which result in different types of authenticity work across  
15  
16 the incumbent/micro distilleries divide. Incumbents primarily leveraged historical figures from a  
17  
18 distant past that embodied the Canadian whisky making tradition. Furthermore, in their  
19  
20 interactions with audiences at whisky events, they relied on brand ambassadors who promoted  
21  
22 the distillery by emphasizing the historical origins and the merits of Canadian whisky style.  
23  
24 Conversely, micro-distilleries relied on present-day figures and used representatives directly  
25  
26 involved in the production process to convey claims of authenticity as originality. They did so by  
27  
28 connecting whisky making to experimental recipes and production methods, the value of local  
29  
30 products and local communities, and the appeal to foreign traditions. The use of different human  
31  
32 embodiments affected the believability of authenticity claims. Incumbents’ lack of present-day  
33  
34 embodiments and the emphasis on brand promotion often distanced distilleries from their  
35  
36 audience. In contrast, micro-distilleries’ use of master blenders and the direct involvement of the  
37  
38 founders allowed them to establish a greater closeness with the audiences and convey an overall  
39  
40 sense of a more genuine whisky experience.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 47 **Architectural Features Reinforcing Authenticity Claims**

48  
49 The architectural design of distilleries connects the activity of whisky making to places  
50  
51 that evoke particular experiences that can be perceived as more or less authentic. They convey  
52  
53 visually and immersively a distillery’s identity, history, character and culture. Our findings  
54  
55 suggest that architectural design facilitated their development of authenticity claims by  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 conveying transparency. Many of the audience members we interviewed observed that the  
4 transparency of whisky making process was of paramount importance in providing a tangible  
5 evidence of distilleries' authenticity claims. As one connoisseur, when asked what he considers  
6 to be key elements in determining whether a distillery is authentic, responded: "Honesty,  
7 transparency and a little bit of face time" (Connoisseur 2). Architectural design signaled  
8 transparency via the physical openness of the distilleries' production facilities. This enabled  
9 distilleries to educate audiences about how they were making whisky products, reinforcing either  
10 tradition or originality claims.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 *Architectural design reinforcing tradition claims.* The architectural design of incumbent  
22 distilleries was consistent with tradition-based authenticity claims. Many buildings have been  
23 there since the founding of those distilleries in the middle of 19<sup>th</sup> Century, and some have been  
24 classified as historically significant architectural landmarks. They are typically large structures  
25 and located in industrial districts.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Although these buildings are traditional, most of them have neither aesthetically pleasing  
34 architectural design nor are they located in scenic areas or tourist hot spots. As historical  
35 publications explain, these facilities were built many decades ago with an eye on efficient  
36 manufacturing (De Kergommeaux, 2017), rather than on offering tours (which was not done  
37 during those eras). When arriving for a visit at Heritage Brands, for instance, one of the authors  
38 noted a complex of large, industrial buildings that look like a factory, built between late 1800s  
39 and early 1900. He also noted large trucks delivering grains to massive silos. The look turned out  
40 to be similar to the other large distilleries that the author visited subsequently.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 Furthermore, the large size and the factory-like appearance of their facilities did not fit  
52 the images of distilleries that the audience members we interviewed associated with authenticity.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 As one connoisseur observed about an incumbent distillery, “These are industrial plants. There’s  
4 no romance there.” (Connoisseur 1). A restaurant owner concurred, “They’re very much kind of,  
5 like [pauses]... factories” (Restaurateur 2). Incumbent distillery informants acknowledged that  
6 their production facilities looked more like manufacturing plants, than the picturesque buildings  
7 that audiences associate with authenticity. As one brand ambassador described his distillery:  
8 “100 years ago it was a manufacturing plant. There was no romance” (Mike, Patriot Pride).  
9

10  
11 While architectural design of incumbent distilleries was off-putting to some connoisseurs,  
12 more importantly, it was the failure to *use* the architectural design to offer visitors opportunities  
13 to visit and reinforce the distilleries’ authenticity claims by showcasing their whisky making that  
14 was mentioned by our audience members as the most significant missed opportunity. One  
15 retailer, for instance, poignantly contrasted the approaches taken by an incumbent and a micro-  
16 distillery located in the same city:  
17

18  
19 There’s no taster experience. There’s no brand education program. There’s no tasting room that  
20 they have open to the public. They don’t allow tours to the public. And so you find people that  
21 like their product, but they’re not as excited to promote the product themselves as something like  
22 [nearby micro-distillery] or something craft. Because it doesn’t seem as hands on-- it’s not seen  
23 as hands on, and they haven’t had that experience that has made them try and search it out in the  
24 same way.” (Retailer 4)  
25

26  
27 The recognition of the importance of using distillery architectural design to reinforce key  
28 authenticity claims was apparent in the increasing efforts among incumbents to build visitor  
29 centers. Over the course of our study, one distillery opened a large visitor center adjacent to its  
30 production facilities, complete with historical artifacts from the distillery’s long past, a visitor-  
31 friendly tasting boutique, and easy access to some of the production facilities. Another one was  
32 in the process of launching a visitor center as a part of an overall reconstruction of their  
33 distillery. Incumbent distilleries sought to use the architectural design to engage the audiences.  
34 Heritage Brands, for instance, has welcomed whisky bloggers and whisky clubs who might be  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 dedicated enough to make a trip to its off-the-beaten-path location. It has also partnered with a  
4 local food group to help attract visitors interested in local products. Audience members who  
5 visited reported being highly impressed with the experience. As one whisky society member  
6 recalled, “What’s most exciting to us is that a company as massive and long-standing as Heritage  
7 Brands isn’t stuck in its ways, or simply reverting to the mean or lowest common denominator,  
8 but rather is innovating and responding to mainstream consumers and aficionados alike, trying to  
9 satisfy all niches of the whisky-loving community.”

10  
11  
12 *Architectural features reinforcing originality claims.* Since micro-distilleries were  
13 newly built, their architectural design was typically aligned the originality-based authenticity  
14 claims they wished to convey to their audiences. To emphasize transparency and to showcase the  
15 backstage of the production, micro-distilleries were often situated near areas that are readily  
16 accessible to visitors and tourists, enabling large flows of visitors who can witness first-hand the  
17 whisky making process. All the micro-distilleries we visited were built to be visitor friendly and  
18 designed in a manner that made whisky making process more visible. The transparency of  
19 architecture allowed visitors to either enter or at least see (through a glass wall) the production  
20 facilities and the people working there. In this way, whisky makers were able to showcase the  
21 originality and experimental character of their whisky making making. According to most of our  
22 audience interviewees, the key to micro-distilleries’ success in this domain was “showing” the  
23 personal connection to the whisky making by making themselves accessible to visitors. One  
24 whisky writer, however, was skeptical about equating visitor friendliness with transparency:  
25 “Entertaining visitors is not transparency. In fact, some distilleries take the opportunity to tell  
26 stories that are not exactly true. And many of the micro-distillers don’t really know what they are  
27 doing so how can they be transparent about anything other than that?” (Writer 1).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3           It was not purely – or even necessarily – the “beauty” of the architectural design that  
4 made these micro-distilleries appealing to the audiences. Urban Spirit, for example, is in a  
5 suburban warehouse district in a nondescript building. There is no grand entrance or polished  
6 boutique. The visitor needs to ring the buzzer on the door and be let into a modest tasting room,  
7 with the distiller and other production workers doubling as hosts. When visiting the distillery, the  
8 first author observed how the co-owner of Urban Spirit had to interrupt the conversation about  
9 the distillery because he had to attend to a sudden distillation problem that occurred during this  
10 conversation, and that was readily visible to the distillery visitors. The rye grain being distilled at  
11 the time began to foam, threatening to ruin the production run. Once the issue was resolved, the  
12 distiller then shrugged off the incident as a normal challenge associated with trying to do  
13 something different and unusual. He mentioned that such problems occur often, because rye  
14 grain is very difficult to work with. Hence, few distilleries work with 100% rye grain. Being able  
15 to see these processes up-close – including such mishaps – was appealing to audiences and  
16 helped to reinforce the message that distilleries were doing something unique and unusual.  
17  
18

19           Several of the micro-distilleries were built in farming areas – in proximity to the fields  
20 where the grains are grown, and this made it easier for them to showcase their reliance on locally  
21 sourced ingredients and local suppliers. In this manner, they used architectural design to  
22 reinforce the grain-to-glass story, which these distilleries used to make originality claims. In  
23 addition, the size of a distillery, in itself, appeared to be an important signal of authenticity. As  
24 one retailer explained, the association between size and authenticity makes it easier for micro-  
25 distilleries to use architectural design to reinforce their authenticity claims, because the  
26 transparency is implicit in their smaller size: “To some consumers the so-called micro or craft  
27 distilleries are more authentic than the larger players” (Retailer 3).  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Furthermore, the open architectural design also promoted a sensorial connection to the  
4 product and the production process that reinforced the perception of authenticity in the eyes of  
5 audiences. This is illustrated by the quote below that was a typical sentiment among our audience  
6 interviewees who had visited micro distilleries: “There’s this piece about it that sort of keeps you  
7 just completely invested in it the whole time. And then you get to go see the thing, you know, the  
8 mash. And you get to go smell the mash. And you get to have this very visceral sort of  
9 connection to the product. You get to--I mean, the smells alone are a reason enough to go back  
10 and back again, as far as I’m concerned” (Blogger 5).  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Summary.** The architectural design of distilleries reinforced authenticity by linking the  
22 product and production process to a “place”. While the architectural design of incumbent and  
23 micro distilleries was consistent with their respective authenticity claims of tradition and  
24 originality, they triggered different reactions from the audiences. In particular, they evoked  
25 contrasting images (e.g., large factory in industrial district vs. small farm in the countryside), and  
26 sensorial experiences (opaqueness vs. transparency, distance vs. proximity) that impacted on the  
27 depth of connection to audience and ultimately affected the believability of authenticity claims.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

## 37 **DISCUSSION**

38  
39 The purpose of this study was to examine the interaction of materiality and narratives  
40 underpinning authenticity work, that is, the organizational efforts to develop and sustain  
41 believable authenticity claims. We found that aspects of materiality that are especially central to  
42 the identity of a product category – product features – anchor producers to particular bases of  
43 authenticity. Because producer organizations vary in their material endowments regarding those  
44 features, some claims appear more natural and plausible to audiences, while others would require  
45 more narrative effort. Further, we found that producers deployed additional forms of material  
46 endowments – in this case, human embodiments and architectural design – to reinforce the  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 integrity and persuasiveness of their authenticity claims. Our study contributes to the literature in  
4  
5 several ways.

### 6 7 **Expanded Role of Materiality in Authenticity**

8  
9 Our study suggests an expanded role of materiality in authenticity. Prior research has  
10  
11 indicated that materiality can amplify organizations' chosen claims by making them more  
12  
13 believable. From this perspective, organizations utilize material artifacts, as needed, in support of  
14  
15 the authenticity claims they have already decided to make (Howard-Grenville et al., 2013; Negro  
16  
17 et al., 2011; Ravasi et al., 2019). In contrast, our study suggests that materiality may also limit  
18  
19 the kind of claims that producers can make, based on their differential access to forms of  
20  
21 physical embodiments that are culturally legitimated as central to a market category. This does  
22  
23 not deny that organizations may use materiality more-or-less skillfully, as highlighted in prior  
24  
25 research (e.g., Hatch & Schultz, 2017). Rather we uncover ways in which materiality is  
26  
27 prefigurative, that is, a "qualification of possible paths of action on such registers as easy and  
28  
29 hard, obvious and obscure, tiresome and invigorating, short and long, and so on" (Schatzki,  
30  
31 2010: 140). Thus, the required skill in authenticity work should not be seen in isolation from the  
32  
33 more elementary role of materiality, which can make work easier or harder.

34  
35 The key implication of our findings is that constructing narratives by leveraging the  
36  
37 organization's distinctive material endowments is most effective in making authenticity claims  
38  
39 because it signals that an organization is being "true to itself". In other words, by promoting what  
40  
41 the company does best (whether it is traditionally made "smooth" whisky or "rough" un-aged  
42  
43 whisky), the company is less likely to be seen as merely trying to cater to consumers' wishes.

44  
45 Anchoring is the key manifestation of the prefiguring role of material arrangements for  
46  
47 producers' authenticity claims. Although organizations make authenticity claims that leverage  
48  
49 their own material endowments, these claims are not written on blank canvases. Rather,  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 materiality informs the selection of those narratives by the producers as well as their reception by  
4 audiences. In our setting, we found that product features anchored incumbents who possessed a  
5 track record of producing aged whisky to tradition claims even when those claims were less  
6 appealing to audiences. Conversely, the micro-distilleries' lack of access to aged liquid anchored  
7 them to originality claims that might be more appealing to audiences but required a focus on  
8 intangible features, such as the borrowing foreign tradition and emphasis bold flavors, to deflect  
9 from likely criticisms such as abandoning tradition.

19 At the same time, our findings highlight the agency of producers in constructing  
20 authenticity claims and doing authenticity work. For example, we found evidence of producers  
21 attempting (with mixed results) to overcome the anchoring by the product features when an  
22 alternative basis of authenticity appeared to be more appealing to the audiences. For example,  
23 some incumbents strove to creatively realign product features (e.g., by creating new product  
24 collections) to believably construct narratives based on originality. Conversely, micro-distilleries  
25 that had no such track record had more flexibility to experiment with new flavors or emulate a  
26 high-status foreign tradition to claim authenticity as originality. Interestingly, those micro-  
27 distilleries that opted to source aged liquid from incumbents, to mitigate inability to credibly  
28 construct authenticity as tradition claims, also lost part of their ability to claim originality and  
29 increasingly constructed narratives based in tradition.

44 Based on our findings, we suggest that resource endowments create material constraints  
45 that anchor product offerings to a prefigured base of authenticity. Authenticity claims are  
46 corroborated and reinforced through narratives that create a natural connection between  
47 characteristics of the product and content of the claim. At the same time, narratives can be  
48 leveraged to adjust for an unfavorable base of authenticity and to reorient audience perceptions

1  
2  
3 in a preferred direction. Hence, an organization's ability to (re)combine narratives and  
4  
5 materiality through authenticity work can confer plasticity to authenticity claims and generate  
6  
7 audience buy-in, thus resulting in new versions of authenticity-based advantage. In this regard,  
8  
9 while we concur that materiality can reinforce narratives in sustaining authenticity claims (e.g.,  
10  
11 Hatch & Schultz, 2017; Ravasi et al., 2019), our study also suggests that the persuasiveness of  
12  
13 such narratives should be seen *in connection* to the claims that are anchored in prefigurative  
14  
15 materiality. In sum, our findings lead us to argue that authenticity work should be conceptualized  
16  
17 as *the skillful combining of materiality and narratives with the aim of overcoming the constraints*  
18  
19 *of anchoring or reinforcing an existing base of authenticity.*  
20  
21  
22  
23

#### 24 **Authenticity from Closeness**

25 Our findings also help better explain *how* materiality enhances the persuasiveness of  
26  
27 authenticity claims by reinforcing the messages that the organization wishes to convey. Prior  
28  
29 studies have demonstrated that narratives supported by materiality tend to be more believable  
30  
31 than those that are purely narrative (Hatch & Schultz, 2017). Yet, we find that the immediacy of  
32  
33 the material enhances the audience members' experiential closeness, or affective bond, with the  
34  
35 organizations, which in turn, enhances perceptions of authenticity (Alexander, 2004; Massa et  
36  
37 al., 2017). We suggest that two forms of closeness, corporeal and physical, enhance authenticity.  
38  
39  
40

41 Closeness enhanced through corporeal means refers to the materiality of living human  
42  
43 embodiments. First-hand accounts of experts reinforce authenticity as they make the reasoning  
44  
45 why something is authentic more tangible and personal. The importance of live human  
46  
47 embodiment is apparent in our finding that present-day embodiments are more effective than  
48  
49 historical figureheads in reinforcing authenticity claims. Historical figureheads, even though they  
50  
51 are material (and verifiable via historical texts) referents, remain abstractions that are talked  
52  
53 about in the third person, while living representatives can communicate in the first person as  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 concrete and tangible contemporaries that inhabit the same social world. This more immediate  
4 presence makes it easier for people to relate to and identify with them, which makes their  
5 narratives more trustworthy and believable. For example, as the whisky is consumed, closeness  
6 was enhanced when distilleries guided their audiences through the sensory experience with the  
7 help of experts and whisky makers, drawing attention to different aspects and in effect curating  
8 the consumption experience. The “Canadian hug” moment, described in the findings, is an  
9 example of how the curation of an experience through corporeal embodiment aids the  
10 verification of the authenticity claims.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21           Closeness generated through physical means refers to the materiality of architectural and  
22 other designed surroundings that reinforce authenticity claims by enabling audience members to  
23 recognize and verify the claims not only cognitively but aesthetically (Creed, Taylor, & Hudson,  
24 2019; Eisenman, 2013). Physical closeness is about visually and holistically apprehending and,  
25 hence, verifying the claims that narratives put forward. The aesthetic judgement involved in  
26 appreciating physical surroundings invites the audiences’ affective as well as reflective  
27 engagement and can hence make narrative authenticity claims more intuitive and vivid. Prior  
28 research has alluded to the importance of physical surroundings in enhancing perceptions of  
29 authenticity (Demetry, 2019). Our findings highlight the role of architectural design, such as the  
30 open design of a distillery building that transparently displays people involved in the whisky  
31 production process, in effectively staging the material processes of production emphasized  
32 narratively in authenticity claims. Physical surroundings can also offer an iconic representation  
33 of the values expressed by in their narratives, and thus allow audiences to judge whether the  
34 authenticity claims of the organization conform with their revealed aesthetic tastes.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Additionally, physical closeness highlights the role of materiality in facilitating  
4 immersive multisensory experiences, for example, with a distillery. Our findings suggest that  
5 authenticity claims are more likely to be accepted by audiences if different sensory experiences  
6 are drawn upon at the same time in support the authenticity claim. Conversely, it is easier to  
7 question claims in the absence of such experiences, as was apparent in audience members'  
8 expression of skepticism of authenticity claims put forth by distilleries that could not be visited.  
9 This is likely because environments that combine sound, smell, visual and somatosensory stimuli  
10 make experiences more vivid (Siebert et al., 2017) and narratives more tangible. Our audience  
11 interviewees referred to the authenticity reinforced by immersive visits that combined access to  
12 the organization's production facility with its multi-sensory stimuli with the product and personal  
13 access to human spokespersons. Our findings then help explain how materiality enhances  
14 audience-performance authenticity, or the audiences being "immersed in the performance due to  
15 the visceral and minimally mediated nature of the experience" (Ruebottom et al., in press).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 In sum, while prior research has emphasized key dimensions of authenticity as being  
34 consistency between the internal and external, category conformity, and connection to referents  
35 (Lehman et al., 2019), we emphasize the importance of experiential closeness as an important  
36 process that colors judgments of consistency, conformity and connection. Authenticity claims are  
37 reinforced and become more believable when the audience feels a personal and affective sense of  
38 connection with the producer of claims.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

### 47 **Authenticity Work as Organizational Strategy**

48 Our empirical context is focused on the authenticity work that organizations conduct in  
49 the context of competitive dynamics. Overall, our findings suggest that micro-distilleries were  
50 more effective than incumbents at authenticity work. An interesting puzzle arising from our  
51 study, then, is how new entrants, lacking history and some of the key resources typically required  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 for success, can engage incumbents with history and outcompete them in terms of being seen as  
4 authentic by audiences. In other words, how do organizations with an apparent disadvantageous  
5 resource endowment make up for this apparent shortcoming or deficit? These questions highlight  
6 the strategic importance of authenticity work. We show that one way in which new entrants  
7 could gain an advantage, despite a lack of history, is to leverage alternative authenticity claims  
8 and embrace the basis of authenticity that (partly) transforms these weaknesses into strengths.  
9 This is achieved through the combination of materiality and narratives in constructing,  
10 anchoring, and reinforcing claims.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 Our empirical focus on the competitive dynamics between incumbents and micro-  
22 distilleries, extends the research on implications of authenticity for competitive strategy (e.g.,  
23 McKendrick & Hannan, 2014; Pozner, DeSoucey, Verhaal, & Sikavica, in press; Verhaal et al.,  
24 2017). Due to the anchoring of producers to a particular base of authenticity by their material  
25 endowments, we found that relatively stable authenticity profiles emerged. These profiles  
26 generated clarity about the producers' identities and conferred consistency to their action.  
27 Material endowments then help organizations make necessary strategic authenticity trade-offs by  
28 constraining possible authenticity claims. On the other hand, the effectiveness of a chosen basis  
29 of authenticity depends on how it is communicated through narratives and how these narratives  
30 resonate with audiences.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 Furthermore, while anchoring claims to a clear base of authenticity is important, our  
45 findings also suggest that producers can attempt to mitigate the anchoring effects of product  
46 features. Similarly to generic competitive strategies (Porter, 1980), authenticity-based  
47 competition requires that performers be able to demonstrate parity or proximity in alternative  
48 bases of authenticity relative to their competitors. This is especially important, when audience  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 members may find an organization's preferred base of authenticity irrelevant or unappealing. For  
4  
5 example, tradition-based incumbents must be able to demonstrate that they are able to innovate  
6  
7 by releasing more unusual products to appeal to audiences who care more about originality as the  
8  
9 basis of authenticity. Additionally, reliance on visible and approachable whisky makers, along  
10  
11 with architectural design features that enabled educating audiences about the more innovative  
12  
13 practices also helped incumbents to straddle the line between tradition and originality. In  
14  
15 contrast, micro-distilleries strove to demonstrate that they were firmly rooted in their own history  
16  
17 and that they were knowledgeable about the tradition of whisky making, deflecting that  
18  
19 perception that their product offerings were not "real" whisky. Material endowments helped  
20  
21 them in this endeavor. Accordingly, they emphasized the rigorous training and vast experience of  
22  
23 their whisky makers and used the architectural design to educate the audiences about their  
24  
25 respect for traditional whisky making – even as they focused on innovating.  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 These considerations connect our research to the increased focus on optimal  
32  
33 distinctiveness among strategy researchers (Zhao, Fisher, Lounsbury, & Miller, 2017), whereby  
34  
35 organizations seek to conform to a recognizable category while trying to carve out a distinctive  
36  
37 niche within that category. Our findings imply that materiality may play an important role in  
38  
39 influencing organizations' effort to attain optimal distinctiveness. Thus, while researchers have  
40  
41 noted the importance of crafting optimally distinctive narratives (Taeuscher, Zhao, & Lounsbury,  
42  
43 2022), it is important to acknowledge the role of material endowments in anchoring  
44  
45 organizations to particular narratives and the utility of material artifacts in reinforcing their  
46  
47 chosen narratives. The strategic value of authenticity, then, resides perhaps less in the content of  
48  
49 claims per se and more in the agentic work by which organizations combine narratives and  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 materiality to anchor, reinforce or mitigate their claims in relation to relevant bases of  
4  
5 authenticity.  
6

7  
8 Furthermore, our findings highlight a broader point related to the relationship between  
9  
10 material resources and authenticity, and more specifically, the rarely acknowledged *symbolic*  
11  
12 function of resources. While management researchers have long been aware of the strategic  
13  
14 importance of resources (Barney, 1991; Kraaijenbrink, Spender, & Groen, 2010), our findings  
15  
16 connect the research on authenticity to the discussions of resourcing (Feldman & Worline, 2011),  
17  
18 and the importance of framing and narratives (Fraser & Ansari, 2021; Rindova, Dalpiaz, &  
19  
20 Ravasi, 2010) in determining how resources acquire value. This, in turn, has implications beyond  
21  
22 the research on authenticity. Although the lack of resources is often framed as a disadvantage,  
23  
24 we found that it is the use of the resources in authenticity work that determined their  
25  
26 effectiveness and value. Because resources acquire or change their value in relation to the  
27  
28 narratives that organizations are able to construct around them, the advantage that they confer is  
29  
30 no longer a matter of ownership or lack thereof. It is, arguably, more a matter of how  
31  
32 organizations engage in authenticity work to develop narratives that best utilize their material  
33  
34 endowments.  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 40 **Future Directions**

41  
42 There are several promising research directions that emerge from our findings. While our  
43  
44 setting is most directly relevant to industries that produce experiential goods, such as artisanal  
45  
46 cheese (Boghossian & David, 2021), wine (Negro et al., 2011), perfume (Bacco & Dalpiaz,  
47  
48 2022), and fine dining (Slavich, Svejenova, Opazo, & Patriotta, 2020), authenticity has been  
49  
50 identified as important in very different industries, including high technology industries (Buhr et  
51  
52 al., 2021), and in the sharing economy (Bucher, Fieseler, Fleck, & Lutz, 2018). Although  
53  
54 material bases may vary from industry to industry, we would expect the challenge of managing  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 material and narrative aspects of authenticity work to be an important consideration in any  
4 industry where authenticity matters. Future research could examine the extent to which the  
5 challenge of balancing the different bases of authenticity might impact authenticity work may  
6 vary across industries, and how these differences may impact the relationship between  
7 materiality and narratives.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 An interesting line of inquiry would examine when materiality matters more in audience  
16 perceptions of authenticity than the narratives surrounding an object or experience, and when a  
17 different hierarchy between materials and narratives might be observed. Further, in our context  
18 the perceived authenticity of a particular product was intrinsically tied to that of a producer. In  
19 other settings, including in high technology industries (Buhr et al., 2021) and sharing economy  
20 (Bucher et al., 2018), such ties may not be as close. Future research could examine the  
21 relationship between materiality and narratives in authenticity work when material bases of  
22 authenticity are different, when materiality does not anchor a producer to particular authenticity  
23 base as strongly, or when the ties between the product and the organization are looser.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36 Another valuable research direction emerges from our focus on intermediaries that are  
37 particularly important for and sensitive to authenticity, such as whisky bloggers, restaurateurs,  
38 and other connoisseurs. Research in a variety of domains has acknowledged a diversity of  
39 audiences (Kim & Jensen, 2014) and suggested that some audiences may be more important for  
40 influencing key social dynamics in industry. For example, Tauscher et al. (2022) emphasize the  
41 importance of novelty-seeking consumers behaving differently than regular consumers in the  
42 short-term rental market. Such audience members – like in our study – help to draw boundaries  
43 around an elite category. Research could examine whether material endowments play different  
44 roles in influencing narratives that are constructed in elite categories, where audience members  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 are more engaged and more knowledgeable, as compared to lower status categories, where  
4  
5 audience members might be less engaged and less knowledgeable, as well as the extent to which  
6  
7 organizations may experience tension between satisfying different audiences.  
8  
9

10 In our research we relied on audience members' accounts of what they deem authentic,  
11  
12 and we deferred to their subjective understandings of how materiality matters in their  
13  
14 judgements. Thus, ours account of how materiality matters in authenticity work builds on an  
15  
16 audience-centric view of authenticity in management (e.g., Glynn & Lounsbury, 2005; Kovács,  
17  
18 2019; Kovács et al., 2014) marketing (Beverland & Farrelly, 2010; Debenedetti, Oppewal, &  
19  
20 Arsel, 2014), and elsewhere (Grazian, 2010; Spracklen, 2011), and it requires researchers to be  
21  
22 mindful that audiences play a fundamental role that constrains and disciplines organizations'  
23  
24 authenticity work. Yet, it is important to acknowledge that neither audience perceptions nor  
25  
26 producers' actions are always consistent, and there is likelihood of audience members' reactions  
27  
28 leading producers to refine and modify their narratives in situ. The negotiated nature of  
29  
30 authenticity in given situations is very rarely studied by management scholars (for exception see  
31  
32 Demetry, 2019), and it would be valuable to examine how the meaning of particular material  
33  
34 artifacts is negotiated in a situated nature in the context of authenticity work.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 In addition, although this was not the focus of our study, we found some tentative  
41  
42 evidence that the straddling between authenticity claims may bring about a gradual change of an  
43  
44 organization's primary authenticity claim. Future research could examine how authenticity work  
45  
46 changes over time, with attention to both internal and external factors. For example, such factors  
47  
48 as retirement of organizational founders, or changes in technology or audience preferences, may  
49  
50 prompt a gradual shift toward an alternative base of authenticity.  
51  
52

## 53 CONCLUSION

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The preoccupation with authenticity in contemporary society has been widely noted by  
4  
5 researchers across the social sciences. What makes authenticity organizationally challenging is  
6  
7 that it breeds tensions between organizational constructions of authenticity claims and audiences'  
8  
9 perceptions of such claims. A focus on the interaction between materiality and narratives helps  
10  
11 scholars understand how organizations engage in authenticity work to maintain resonance with  
12  
13 their audiences. We encourage more research that unpacks how authenticity is constructed and  
14  
15 contested in a variety of contexts and that considers the complex nexus of narratives and  
16  
17 materiality, performers and audiences, and agency and constraint that underpin organizational  
18  
19 authenticity work.  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 REFERENCES

- 25 Alexander, J. C. 2004. Cultural pragmatics: social performance between ritual and strategy.  
26 *Sociological Theory*, 22(4): 527-573.  
27 Alexander, J. C. 2010. *The performance of politics: Obama's victory and the democratic*  
28 *struggle for power*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
29 Alvesson, M. & Kärreman, D. 2007. Constructing mystery: Empirical matters in theory  
30 development. *The Academy of Management Review*, 32(4): 1265-1281.  
31 Askin, N. & Mol, J. 2018. Institutionalizing Authenticity in the Digitized World of Music.  
32 *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*.  
33 Bacco, F. & Dalpiaz, E. 2022. The Perfume of Traditions: Cultural Entrepreneurship and the  
34 Resurrection of Extinct Societal Traditions. In C. Lockwood & J.-F. Soublière (Eds.),  
35 *Advances in Cultural Entrepreneurship*, vol. 80: 113-136: Emerald Publishing Limited.  
36 Barad, K. 2007. *Meeting the universe halfway: Quantum physics and the entanglement of*  
37 *matter and meaning*. Durham: Duke university Press.  
38 Barney, J. B. 1991. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of*  
39 *Management*, 17: 99-120.  
40 Bell, E., Dacin, M. T., & Toraldo, M. L. 2021. Craft Imaginaries – Past, Present and Future.  
41 *Organization Theory*, 2(1): 1-18.  
42 Beverland, M. B. 2005a. Crafting brand authenticity: The case of luxury wines. *Journal of*  
43 *Management Studies*, 42(5): 1003-1029.  
44 Beverland, M. B. 2005b. Brand management and the challenge of authenticity. *Journal of*  
45 *Product & Brand Management*, 14(7): 460-461.  
46 Beverland, M. B. & Farrelly, F. J. 2010. The Quest for Authenticity in Consumption:  
47 Consumers' Purposive Choice of Authentic Cues to Shape Experienced Outcomes. *Journal*  
48 *of Consumer Research*, 36(5): 838-856.  
49 Biswas, D., Grewal, D., & Roggeveen, A. 2010. How the Order of Sampled Experiential  
50 Products Affects Choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 47(3): 508-519.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Boghossian, J. & David, R. J. 2021. Under the Umbrella: Goal-Derived Category Construction  
4 and Product Category Nesting. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(4): 1084-1129.
- 5 Boxenbaum, E., Jones, C., Meyer, R. E., & Svejenova, S. 2018. Towards an Articulation of the  
6 Material and Visual Turn in Organization Studies. *Organization Studies*, 39(5-6): 597-616.
- 7 Broom, D. 2014a. *The World Atlas of Whisky: More Than 200 Distilleries Explored and 750*  
8 *Expressions Tasted* (2nd ed.). London: Mitchell Beazley.
- 9 Broom, D. 2014b. *Whisky: The Manual*. London: Mitchell Beazley.
- 10 Bryson, L. 2014. *Tasting Whiskey: An Insider's Guide to the Unique Pleasures of the World's*  
11 *Finest Spirits*. North Adams: Storey Publishing.
- 12 Bryson, L. 2020. *Whiskey Master Class: The Ultimate Guide to Understanding Scotch,*  
13 *Bourbon, Rye, and More*. Cambridge: Harvard Common Press.
- 14 Bucher, E., Fieseler, C., Fleck, M., & Lutz, C. 2018. Authenticity and the Sharing Economy.  
15 *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 4(3): 294-313.
- 16 Buhr, H., Funk, R. J., & Owen-Smith, J. 2021. The authenticity premium: Balancing conformity  
17 and innovation in high technology industries. *Research Policy*, 50(1): 104085.
- 18 Carroll, G. R. & Wheaton, D. R. 2009. The organizational construction of authenticity: An  
19 examination of contemporary food and dining in the US. *Research in Organizational*  
20 *Behavior*, 29: 255-282.
- 21 Cavanaugh, J. R. & Shankar, S. 2014. Producing Authenticity in Global Capitalism: Language,  
22 Materiality, and Value. *American Anthropologist*, 116(1): 51-64.
- 23 Caza, B. B., Moss, S., & Vough, H. 2018. From Synchronizing to Harmonizing: The Process of  
24 Authenticating Multiple Work Identities. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 63(4): 703-745.
- 25 Coole, D. & Frost, S. 2010. *New materialisms: Ontology, agency, and politics*. Durham: Duke  
26 University Press.
- 27 Creed, W. E. D., Taylor, S. S., & Hudson, B. A. 2019. Institutional Aesthetics: Embodied Ways  
28 of Encountering, Evaluating, and Enacting Institutions. *Organization Studies*:  
29 0170840619835254.
- 30 De Kergommeaux, D. 2012. *Canadian Whisky: The Portable Expert*. Toronto: McClelland &  
31 Stewart.
- 32 De Kergommeaux, D. 2017. *Canadian Whisky: The New Portable Expert*. New York: Appetite  
33 by Random House.
- 34 De Kergommeaux, D. & Phillips, B. 2020. *The Definitive Guide to Canadian Distilleries: The*  
35 *Portable Expert to Over 200 Distilleries and the Spirits they Make (From Absinthe to*  
36 *Whisky, and Everything in Between)*. Toronto: Penguin Random House.
- 37 Debenedetti, A., Oppewal, H., & Arsel, Z. 2014. Place Attachment in Commercial Settings: A  
38 Gift Economy Perspective. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 40(5): 904-923.
- 39 Delmestri, G. & Greenwood, R. 2016. How Cinderella Became a Queen: Theorizing Radical  
40 Status Change\*. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 61(4): 507-550.
- 41 Demetry, D. 2019. How Organizations Claim Authenticity: The Coproduction of Illusions in  
42 Underground Restaurants. *Organization Science*, 30(5): 937-960.
- 43 Eisenman, M. 2013. Understanding Aesthetic Innovation in the Context of Technological  
44 Evolution. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(3): 332-351.
- 45 Faith, N. 2007. *The Bronfmans: The rise and fall of the house of Seagram*. New York: St.  
46 Martin's Griffin.
- 47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Faulkner, P. & Runde, J. 2012. On sociomateriality. In P. M. Leonardi, B. Nardi, & J. Kallinikos  
4 (Eds.), *Materiality and Organizing: social interaction in a technological world*: 49-66.  
5 Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
6  
7 Feldman, M. S. & Worline, M. 2011. Resources, resourcing, and ampliative cycles in  
8 organizations. In G. M. Spreitzer & K. S. Camerer (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of positive*  
9 *organizational scholarship*: 629-641. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
10  
11 Foster, W. M., Coraiola, D. M., Suddaby, R., Kroezen, J., & Chandler, D. 2017. The strategic  
12 use of historical narratives: a theoretical framework. *Business History*, 59(8): 1176-1200.  
13  
14 Foucault, M. 1998. *The Will to Knowledge: The History of Sexuality* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Paris:  
15 Gallimard.  
16  
17 Fraser, J. & Ansari, S. 2021. Pluralist perspectives and diverse responses: Exploring multiplexed  
18 framing in incumbent responses to digital disruption. *Long Range Planning*, 54(5): 102016.  
19  
20 Gardner, W. L., Avolio, B. J., Luthans, F., May, D. R., & Walumbwa, F. 2005. "Can you see the  
21 real me?" A self-based model of authentic leader and follower development. *The*  
22 *Leadership Quarterly*, 16(3): 343-372.  
23  
24 Giorgi, S. 2017. The Mind and Heart of Resonance: The Role of Cognition and Emotions in  
25 Frame Effectiveness. *Journal of Management Studies*, 54(5): 711-738.  
26  
27 Glynn, M. A. & Lounsbury, M. 2005. From the critics' corner: Logic blending, discursive change  
28 and authenticity in a cultural production system. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(5):  
29 1031-1055.  
30  
31 Grayson, K. & Martinec, R. 2004. Consumer perceptions of iconicity and indexicality and their  
32 influence on assessments of authentic market offerings. *Journal of Consumer Research*,  
33 31(2): 296-312.  
34  
35 Grazian, D. 2010. Demystifying authenticity in the sociology of culture. In J. R. Hall, L.  
36 Grindstaff, & M.-C. Lo (Eds.), *Handbook of cultural sociology*: 191-200. Abingdon:  
37 Routledge.  
38  
39 Hahl, O. 2016. Turning Back the Clock in Baseball: The Increased Prominence of Extrinsic  
40 Rewards and Demand for Authenticity. *Organization Science*, 27(4): 929-953.  
41  
42 Hahl, O., Kim, M., & Zuckerman Sivan, E. W. 2018. The Authentic Appeal of the Lying  
43 Demagogue: Proclaiming the Deeper Truth about Political Illegitimacy. *American*  
44 *Sociological Review*, 83(1): 1-33.  
45  
46 Han, M., Newman, G. E., Smith, R. K., & Dhar, R. 2021. The Curse of the Original: How and  
47 When Heritage Branding Reduces Consumer Evaluations of Enhanced Products. *Journal of*  
48 *Consumer Research*.  
49  
50 Hatch, M. J. & Schultz, M. 2017. Toward a Theory of Using History Authentically: Historicizing  
51 in the Carlsberg Group. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 62(4): 657-697.  
52  
53 Hochschild, A. R. 1983. *The managed heart*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.  
54  
55 Holt, D. B. 2002. Why Do Brands Cause Trouble? A Dialectical Theory of Consumer Culture  
56 and Branding. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(1): 70-90.  
57  
58 Holt, D. B. 2006. Jack Daniel's America: Iconic brands as ideological parasites and proselytizers.  
59 *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 6(3): 355-377.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- 1  
2  
3 Ibarra, H. 1999. Provisional selves: Experimenting with image and identity in professional  
4 adaptation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(4): 764-791.
- 5 Jackson, M. 1987. *The World Guide to Whisky: Scotch, Irish, Canadian Bourbon, Tennessee*  
6 *Sour Mash and the Whiskeys of Japan, Plus a Comprehensive Taste Guide to Single*  
7 *Malts and the World's Best-known Blends*. London: Dorling Kindersley.
- 8 Kim, H. & Jensen, M. 2014. Audience Heterogeneity and the Effectiveness of Market Signals:  
9 How to Overcome Liabilities of Foreignness in Film Exports? *Academy of Management*  
10 *Journal*, 57(5): 1360-1384.
- 11 Kovács, B., Carroll, G. R., & Lehman, D. W. 2014. Authenticity and Consumer Value Ratings:  
12 Empirical Tests from the Restaurant Domain. *Organization Science*, 25(2): 458-478.
- 13 Kovács, B. 2019. Authenticity Is in the Eye of the Beholder: The Exploration of Audiences' Lay  
14 Associations to Authenticity Across Five Domains. *Review of General Psychology*, 23(1):  
15 32-59.
- 16 Kraaijenbrink, J., Spender, J. C., & Groen, A. J. 2010. The Resource-Based View: A Review and  
17 Assessment of Its Critiques. *Journal of Management*, 36(1): 349-372.
- 18 Kroezen, J., Ravasi, D., Sasaki, I., Żebrowska, M., & Suddaby, R. 2021. Configurations of Craft:  
19 Alternative Models for Organizing Work. *Academy of Management Annals*, 15(2): 502-  
20 536.
- 21 Kroezen, J. J. & Heugens, P. P. M. A. R. 2019. What Is Dead May Never Die: Institutional  
22 Regeneration through Logic Reemergence in Dutch Beer Brewing. *Administrative Science*  
23 *Quarterly*, 64(4): 976-1019.
- 24 Lehman, D. W., Kovács, B., & Carroll, G. R. 2014. Conflicting Social Codes and Organizations:  
25 Hygiene and Authenticity in Consumer Evaluations of Restaurants. *Management Science*,  
26 60(10): 2602-2617.
- 27 Lehman, D. W., O'Connor, K., Kovács, B., & Newman, G. E. 2019. Authenticity. *Academy of*  
28 *Management Annals*, 13(1): 1-42.
- 29 Leonardi, P. 2011. Innovation blindness: Culture, frames, and cross-boundary problem  
30 construction in the development of new technology concepts. *Organization Science*, 22(2):  
31 347-369.
- 32 Leonardi, P. M. 2012. Materiality, Sociomateriality, and Socio-Technical Systems: What Do  
33 These Terms Mean? How are They Related? Do We Need Them? In P. M. Leonardi, B.  
34 Nardi, & J. Kallinikos (Eds.), *Materiality and Organizing: Social Interaction in a*  
35 *Technological World* 25-48. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 36 Lincoln, Y. S. & Guba, E. G. 1985. *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- 37 Lindholm, C. 2008. *Culture and authenticity*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 38 Locke, K. 2001. London: Sage.
- 39 Lockwood, C. & Glynn, M. A. 2016. The micro-foundations of mattering: Domestic traditions as  
40 institutionalized practices in everyday living. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*,  
41 48A: 201-232.
- 42 Lockwood, C., Glynn, M. A., & Giorgi, S. in press. Polishing the Gilt Edge: Elite Category  
43 Endurance and Symbolic Boundaries in U.S. Luxury Hotels, 1790-2015. *Academy of*  
44 *Management Journal*.
- 45 Lu, S. & Fine, G. A. 1995. The presentation of ethnic authenticity. *The Sociological Quarterly*,  
46 36(3): 535-553.
- 47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Massa, F. G., Helms, W. S., Voronov, M., & Wang, L. 2017. Emotions Uncorked: Inspiring  
4 Evangelism for the Emerging Practice of Cool-Climate Winemaking in Ontario. *Academy of*  
5 *Management Journal*, 60(2): 461-499.
- 6  
7 McCoy, E. 2005. *The emperor of wine: the rise of Robert M. Parker, Jr. and the reign of*  
8 *American taste*. New York: Harper Perennial.
- 9  
10 McKendrick, D. G. & Hannan, M. T. 2014. Oppositional Identities and Resource Partitioning:  
11 Distillery Ownership in Scotch Whisky, 1826–2009. *Organization Science*, 25(4): 1272-  
12 1286.
- 13  
14 Negro, G., Hannan, M. T., & Rao, H. 2011. Category Reinterpretation and Defection:  
15 Modernism and Tradition in Italian Winemaking. *Organization Science*, 22(6): 1449-1463.
- 16  
17 Ocejo, R. E. 2017. *Masters of Craft: Old Jobs in the New Urban Economy*. Princeton:  
18 Princeton University Press.
- 19  
20 Orlikowski, W. J. 2007. Sociomaterial Practices: Exploring Technology at Work. *Organization*  
21 *Studies*, 28(9): 1435-1448.
- 22  
23 Patriotta, G. 2003. Sensemaking on the Shop Floor: Narratives of Knowledge in Organizations\*.  
24 *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(2): 349-375.
- 25  
26 Patriotta, G. 2019. Imagination, Self-Knowledge, and Poise: Jim March's Lessons for  
27 Leadership. *Journal of Management Studies*, 56(8): 1753-1765.
- 28  
29 Peterson, R. A. 1997. *Creating country music: Fabricating authenticity*. Chicago, IL:  
30 University of Chicago Press.
- 31  
32 Peterson, R. A. 2005. In Search of Authenticity. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(5): 1083-  
33 1098.
- 34  
35 Porter, M. E. 1980. *Competitive strategy*. New York: Free Press.
- 36  
37 Potter, A. 2010. *The authenticity hoax: How we get lost finding ourselves*. Toronto:  
38 McClelland & Stewart.
- 39  
40 Pozner, J.-E., DeSoucey, M., Verhaal, J. C., & Sikavica, K. in press. Watered Down: Market  
41 Growth, Authenticity, and Evaluation in Craft Beer. *Organization Studies*.
- 42  
43 Raffaelli, R., DeJordy, R., & McDonald, R. M. 2021. How Leaders with Divergent Visions  
44 Generate Novel Strategy: Navigating the Paradox of Preservation and Modernization in  
45 Swiss Watchmaking. *Academy of Management Journal*.
- 46  
47 Rannie, W. F. 1976. *Canadian whisky: The product and the industry*. Lincoln: W.F. Rannie.
- 48  
49 Ravasi, D., Rindova, V., & Stigliani, I. 2019. The Stuff of Legend: History, Memory, and the  
50 Temporality of Organizational Identity Construction. *Academy of Management Journal*,  
51 62(5): 1523-1555.
- 52  
53 Rindova, V., Dalpiaz, E., & Ravasi, D. 2010. A Cultural Quest: A Study of Organizational Use  
54 of New Cultural Resources in Strategy Formation. *Organization Science*, 22(2): 413-431.
- 55  
56 Ruebottom, T., Buchanan, S., Voronov, M., & Toubiana, M. in press. Commercializing the  
57 Practice of Voyeurism: How Organizations Leverage Authenticity and Transgression to  
58 Create Value. *Academy of Management Review*.
- 59  
60 Schatzki, T. 2010. Materiality and Social Life. *Nature + Culture*, 5(2): 123-149.
- Siebert, S., Wilson, F., & Hamilton, J. R. A. 2017. "Devils May Sit Here:" The Role of  
Enchantment in Institutional Maintenance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(4): 1607-  
1632.
- Slavich, B., Svejenova, S., Opazo, M. P., & Patriotta, G. 2020. Politics of Meaning in  
Categorizing Innovation: How Chefs Advanced Molecular Gastronomy by Resisting the  
Label. *Organization Studies*, 41(2): 267-290.

- 1  
2  
3 Spracklen, K. 2011. Dreaming of drams: authenticity in Scottish whisky tourism as an expression  
4 of unresolved Habermasian rationalities. *Leisure Studies*, 30(1): 99-116.
- 5 Spradley, J. 1979. *The ethnographic interview*. Orlando, FL: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- 6 Stigliani, I. & Ravasi, D. 2018. The Shaping of Form: Exploring Designers' Use of Aesthetic  
7 Knowledge. *Organization Studies*, 39(5-6): 747-784.
- 8 Tauscher, K., Zhao, E. Y., & Lounsbury, M. 2022. Categories and Narratives as Sources of  
9 Distinctiveness: Cultural Entrepreneurship within and across Categories. *Strategic*  
10 *Management Journal*, n/a(n/a).
- 11 Taylor, C. 1991. *The ethics of authenticity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 12 Teatro, W. 1977. Historical research: Wiser's distillery limited.
- 13 Terrio, S. J. 1996. Crafting Grand Cru chocolates in contemporary France. *American*  
14 *Anthropologist*, 98(1): 67-&.
- 15 Thurnell-Read, T. 2019. A thirst for the authentic: craft drinks producers and the narration of  
16 authenticity. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 70(4): 1448-1468.
- 17 Trilling, L. 1972. *Sincerity and authenticity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- 18 Vaara, E. & Tienari, J. 2011. On the Narrative Construction of Multinational Corporations: An  
19 Antenarrative Analysis of Legitimation and Resistance in a Cross-Border Merger.  
20 *Organization Science*, 22(2): 370-390.
- 21 Vaara, E., Sonenshein, S., & Boje, D. 2016. Narratives as Sources of Stability and Change in  
22 Organizations: Approaches and Directions for Future Research. *Academy of Management*  
23 *Annals*, 10(1): 495-560.
- 24 Verhaal, J. C., Hoskins, J. D., & Lundmark, L. W. 2017. Little Fish in a Big Pond: Legitimacy  
25 Transfer, Authenticity, and Factors of Peripheral Firm Entry and Growth in the Market  
26 Center. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(12): 2532-2552.
- 27 Wright, A. L., Meyer, A. D., Reay, T., & Staggs, J. 2020. Maintaining Places of Social Inclusion:  
28 Ebola and the Emergency Department. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(1): 42-85.
- 29 Zhao, E. Y., Fisher, G., Lounsbury, M., & Miller, D. 2017. Optimal distinctiveness: Broadening  
30 the interface between institutional theory and strategic management. *Strategic Management*  
31 *Journal*, 38(1): 93-113.
- 32 Zilber, T. B. 2009. Institutional maintenance as narrative acts. In B. Leca, R. Suddaby, & T. B.  
33 Lawrence (Eds.), *Institutional Work: Actors and Agency in Institutional Studies of*  
34 *Organizations*: 205-235. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 35 Zilber, T. B. 2011. Institutional Multiplicity in Practice: A Tale of Two High-Tech Conferences  
36 in Israel. *Organization Science*, 22(6): 1539-1559.
- 37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1: Overview of Distilleries\* in the Sample and Interviews Conducted**

| <u>Distillery</u>   | <u>Age</u>                 | <u>Type</u>                 | <u>Interviews (no.)</u>  |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Boundless Brands</b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patriot Pride</li> <li>• Peak Distillers</li> </ul>                         | 100+<br>50+                | Incumbent                   | 2 Interviews: Marketing staff (2)  |
| <b>House of Distinction</b>   | 80+                        | Incumbent                   | 7 Interviews: Master blenders (3),<br>Director,<br>Marketing staff (4)   |
| <b>Heritage Brands</b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• James's</li> <li>• Michel's</li> <li>• Jack's</li> <li>• Collin's</li> </ul> | 100+<br>100+<br>20+<br>10+ | Incumbent                   | 14 Interviews: Master Blender x (4 times),<br>VP Operations,<br>Marketing staff (8) (Senior brand manager x 2 times) |
| <b>International Brands</b><br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Novelty Spirits</li> <li>• People's spirits</li> </ul>                  | ~10<br>50+                 | Incumbent                   | 3 Interviews: Senior brand manager,<br>Marketing staff (2 x 2)   |
| <b>Innovative Spirits</b>   | 30+                        | Incumbent                   | 1 interview: Marketing staff   |
| <b>Western Spirit</b>   | 40+                        | Incumbent                   | 1 interview: Director  |
| <b>Clean Air Spirits</b>  | <10                        | Micro (Contract Distilling) | 1 interview Senior brand manager   |
| <b>Urban Spirit</b>   | ~10                        | Micro                       | 3 interviews: Distiller/co-owner x 2 Manager   |
| <b>Legendary Spirits</b>  | <5                         | Micro (Contract Distilling) | 7 interviews: VP (x 2), master distiller, Senior brand managers, export market manager,<br>mixologist                |
| <b>Spirit of Revolution</b>   | <10                        | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner/distiller   |
| <b>Quirky Spirits</b>   | <5                         | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner/distiller   |
| <b>Boundless Spirit</b>   | <5                         | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner/distiller   |
| <b>Spirit of Adventure</b>  | <5                         | Micro (Contract Distilling) | 2 interviews: Owner x 2  |
| <b>Spirit of Scotland</b>   | <5                         | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner   |
| <b>Transplanted Spirits</b>   | <10                        | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner/sales and owner/distiller   |
| <b>City Spirits</b>   | <5                         | Micro                       | 1 interview: Owner/distiller   |

\* To preserve confidentiality of the distilleries participating in this study, we use the above pseudonyms to attribute interview quotes and observations, while the quotes obtained from public domain sources (e.g., websites, press coverage) utilize the distilleries' real names.

**Table 2: Other data source**

| <b><u>Other interviews</u></b>  | <b><u>N</u></b> |
|---|-----------------|
| • <b>Critics and bloggers</b>   | 10              |
| • <b>Restaurateurs and bartenders</b>   | 11              |
| • <b>Retailers</b>  | 9               |
| • <b>Government</b>   | 2               |
| • <b>Connoisseurs</b>   | 7               |
| • <b>Others</b>   | 4               |
| <b><u>Documents</u></b>   |                 |
| • <b>Distillery websites</b>  | 25 websites     |
| • <b>Newspaper articles</b>   | 180 articles    |
| • <b>Whisky Blog Entries and Reviews</b>  | 500+ entries    |
| • <b>Distilleries' and key bloggers' social media</b>   | 12 accounts     |
| <b><u>Books</u></b>   |                 |
| • <b>Books about Canadian whisky industry</b>   | 5               |
| • <b>Books about international whisky</b>   | 6               |
| <b><u>Observations</u></b>  |                 |
| • <b>Distillery tours</b>   | 8               |
| • <b>Distillery tastings/informal visits</b>  | 17              |
| • <b>Whisky festivals (each festival includes master classes, presentations, tasting events, socials)</b> | 4               |

Table 3: Authenticity Claims

| <u>Analytical categories</u>       | <u>Selected evidence</u>  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Authenticity as Tradition</b>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “To me authenticity has to be tied into history a lot. That’s how I equate the two. ‘Cause you can’t really be authentic if you don’t have any history, in my opinion. And these craft distilleries haven’t been around very long. [...] You need to be around for a while to prove what you’re doing. You just can’t open up a craft distillery and say, okay, now I’m authentic. Why? Just because it’s small and I have a big one you’re more authentic than me?” (Kurt, House of Distinction, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “So what we love is the fact that we can tell the story of we’re making our whisky in the traditional Canadian way which is the grains are individually mashed, individually distilled and then the art is in the blending and the finishing. And so we think that that’s a really fantastic story to tell and it’s one that will resonate well with consumers.” (Christine, Legendary Spirits, Micro/3<sup>rd</sup>-Party Sourcing)</li> <li>• “But a lot of us have been around a long time, and we learned from the person before us who learned from the person before them. So we can actually, like, so I learned from Andrew who learned from Art Dawe who learned from the person, you know, so we’re really passing it on. And we’re still doing it going forward, so we’re investing time and I have two apprentice blenders.” (Sandra, House of Distinction, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “The man...the legend. John Philip Wiser grew his whisky empire from humble beginnings into Canada’s best-selling family of whiskies. The choices he made, including using only the highest quality ingredients and aging his whisky longer, has led to a lasting legacy that you can still taste today.” (JP Wiser’s website, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “Ploughman’s Rye is a nod to Alberta farm pioneers as Eau Claire combines the first horse farmed rye since the turn of the century, with our special barley blend. From land in the Alberta foothills, we planted, harvested and distilled rye to produce this unique spirit. We named it Ploughman’s Rye in honour of our horse farming heritage.” (Eau Claire Distillery website, Micro)</li> </ul> |
| <b>Authenticity as Originality</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “I think it’s early days for a change because all the big guys, the Hiram Walker’s and Diageo’s and so on, not trying to name names, but all those big guys are-- continue to make what they make the way they make it. However, places like us and some other craft distillers are looking to do something different.” (Nick, Transplanted Spirits, Micro)</li> <li>• “The Canadian whisky was a poorer cousin to the single malts and Scotches of the world. So we were almost trying to buck that perception that we were making Canadian whisky.” (John, Transplanted Spirits, Micro)</li> <li>• “I don’t think we have any problem whatsoever for the next-- even if we were ten times the size we were, I think we could still-- we’re going to make a really unique-- something completely different people haven’t seen.” (Craig, Spirit of Revolution, Micro).</li> <li>• “Before, for the most part, most distillers used vintage bourbon barrels, seasoned bourbon barrels. Well, we started experimenting with once-used bourbon barrels and then different types of-- introducing new American oak and using-- marrying up light, medium and heavy charred American oak barrels with the different grains. So well, let’s see if a lighter char works with a rye. Let’s see if a heavier char works with barley. Let’s-- it was all just really a tremendous amount of experimentation.” (Jeff, Innovative Spirits, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “It’s not the most radical tasting whisky you’ll come across. But it’s much more distinctive, much bolder profile as far as Canadian whiskey goes, right.” (Kevin, Legendary Spirits, Micro/3<sup>rd</sup>-Party Sourcing)</li> </ul>   |



Table 4: Differences in distilleries' authenticity claims

| <u>Pseudonym</u>             | <u>Incumbent</u><br><u>/Micro</u> | <u>Authenticity</u><br><u>Claim</u> | <u>Interviews</u> | <u>Observations</u> | <u>Website/Social</u><br><u>Media</u> | <u>Blogger/Media</u> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Boundless Brands</b>      | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | High              | High                | High                                  | Medium               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Absent            | Absent              | Absent                                | Absent               |
| <b>House of Distinction</b>  | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | High              | High                | Medium                                | High                 |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Low               | Low                 | Medium                                | Low                  |
| <b>Heritage Brands</b>       | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | High              | High                | Medium                                | High                 |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Medium            | Medium              | Medium                                | Medium               |
| <b>International Brands</b>  | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | Medium            | High                | Low                                   | Medium               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Medium            | Absent              | Medium                                | Low                  |
| <b>Innovative Spirits</b>    | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | Medium            | Medium              | Medium                                | High                 |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              | Medium              | High →<br>Medium                      | High → Low           |
| <b>Western Spirit</b>        | Incumbent                         | Tradition                           | High              |                     | Low                                   | Medium               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Low               |                     | Absent                                | Absent               |
| <b>Clean Air Spirits**</b>   | Micro                             | Tradition                           | High              |                     | High                                  | High                 |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Absent            |                     | Low                                   | Low                  |
| <b>Urban Spirit</b>          | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Low               | Medium              | Absent                                | Low                  |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              | High                | High                                  | High                 |
| <b>Legendary Spirits**</b>   | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Medium            | Absent              | Absent                                | Medium               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              | High                | Low                                   | Low                  |
| <b>Spirit of Revolution</b>  | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Low               | Low                 | Low                                   | Low                  |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              | High                | High                                  | High                 |
| <b>Quirky Spirits</b>        | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Absent            |                     | Absent                                | Absent               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              |                     | Medium                                | High                 |
| <b>Boundless Spirit</b>      | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Low               |                     | Absent                                | Absent               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | High              |                     | High                                  | High                 |
| <b>Spirit of Adventure**</b> | Micro                             | Tradition                           | High              | High                | Medium                                | Medium               |
|                              |                                   | Originality                         | Low               | Low                 | Low                                   | Absent               |
| <b>Spirit of</b>             | Micro                             | Tradition                           | Absent            |                     | Absent                                | Absent               |

|                             |       |             |                   |                   |        |        |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| <b>Scotland</b>             |       | Originality | High              | <del>High</del>   | Low    | Medium |
| <b>Transplanted Spirits</b> | Micro | Tradition   | Absent            | Absent            | Absent | Absent |
|                             |       | Originality | High              | High              | High   | High   |
| <b>City Spirits</b>         | Micro | Tradition   | Absent            | <del>Absent</del> | Absent | Absent |
|                             |       | Originality | High              | <del>High</del>   | Low    | Medium |
| <b>Island Spirit</b>        | Micro | Tradition   | <del>Absent</del> | Low               | Low    | Low    |
|                             |       | Originality | <del>High</del>   | High              | High   | High   |

\*\* Sources aged liquid from an incumbent distillery

Note 1: For each distillery, tradition and originality claims are assessed, as high, medium, low or absent, for each data source. Crossed out columns indicates that the type of data for the specific distillery was not available.

Note 2: In Column 1: gray shade = primarily tradition claims; no shade = primarily originality claims.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

**Table 5: The Anchoring role of materiality**

| <u>Analytical categories</u>  | <u>Selected evidence</u>   |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Product features anchoring organizations to tradition claims</b></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“They can count and rely on it, yes. House of Distinction today is what it was 10 years ago, what it was 15, 20 years ago. And that is, I believe, why they can rely on House of Distinction and the products we make. And that’s how they’re getting emotional. ‘Cause they know it. They know it and they don’t want it to change.” (Kurt, House of Distinction, Incumbent)</li> <li>“The big part of the emotional connection I think is that familiarity and consistency. You’re going back to an old friend” (Connoisseur 5).</li> <li>“So authenticity means you have to be able to support the style that’s accepted by the consumer and then the brand itself has to sort of give a very special flavour within that framework. And so that’s what gives it authenticity, from my perspective.” (Retailer 3)</li> <li>“Because if I turn around and I try and call a lot of Canadian bourbon in it, and I call it a House of Distinction blended product now, I got to stipulate a difference here. House of Distinction blended product, I would feel it would have to be in the House of Distinction family of flavors. Versus what we’re starting to see now is a lot of these single whiskey items. For example, House of Distinction Rye. That’s all rye. This is obviously deliberate because this is what the marketing-- consumer’s looking for and I think it’s also a way to compete with the malts of Scotland. They’re individual malts; now we’re coming up with individual whiskies.” (Kurt, House of Distinction, Incumbent)</li> <li>“So we did some research and they were laid down in, I think it was, must have been the early 1990’s. And in the mid-- after about 10 years, so in the mid-2000’s, the last documentation we had on it was they just weren’t ready. The taste profile wasn’t the desired level yet. So-- and then when your master blender leaves, that’s sort of his or her experiment, so everyone forgets about it. So anyway, fast forward 10 more years and when they tasted the whisky they just could not believe how good it tasted.” (Frank, Novelty Spirits, Incumbent)</li> </ul>   |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Product features anchoring organizations to novelty claims</b></li> </ul>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>“There’s a lot of lines being blurred between these spirits. And I mean, it’s really sort of to sell more young whiskey in my mind, and there’s nothing wrong with that. But what’s coming next as the innovation” (Daniel, Spirit if Scotland, Micro)</li> <li>"Despite the whisky world’s obsession with age, some young all-rye whiskies are sensational. Stalk and Barrel is best known for its single malt whisky but the range now includes single barrel all-ryes as well. These 3-year-old ryes are bottled both at 92 proof and at cask strength for those wanting more punch. Look for brilliant high notes, grain dust, fruits and flowers and an audaciously youthful wallop of spicy rye.” Daily Mail</li> <li>“So even though those ex-bourbon barrels don’t exist smaller than 200 litres, I made it to 100 litres using used wood. So for me that’s one way I can accelerate the maturation process and capitalize on the smaller barrel.” (Cory, Boundless Spirits)</li> <li>“And in the case of Dillon’s, what they did for the first three years is they released a white rye, because what he didn’t want to do was to wave oak chips by it or put it in a barrel for a short period of time and say, this is a straight in the way that these guys have said it. Geoff didn’t want to make any claims that it’s ready before it’s ready in that perspective.” (Marketing Consultant 1)</li> <li>“They’re making some good single-malt Canadian, like, whiskey, right. And that’s interesting. That always kind of gives a legitimacy. They’re still young and it’s not-- the whiskey’s not where it needs to be, but I see them moving in the right direction.” (Bartender 1)</li> <li>“That hint of alcohol bite on the nose comes though more forcefully at the end of the delivery making the whisky just a little difficult to sip neat. I taste a bevy of wood and grain spice as well as a ribbon of malt grain sweetness. What came across as musty burlap in the breezes has settled into a nice flavour of nutty barley which gives the dram a robust quality which I enjoy. Herbal menthol and licorice provide some relief for the effects of the high alcohol content and its youthful bite.” Blogger 2</li> </ul> |

Table 6: The Reinforcing Role of Materiality

| <u>Analytical categories</u>   | <u>Selected evidence</u>  |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Human embodiments reinforcing tradition claims</b></li> </ul>      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “We’ve got Alex. Alex is, you know, being an expert at-- expertise lends a lot of authenticity too.” (Kevin, Heritage Brands, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “Hiram Walker, a successful grain merchant, founded what would become Canadian Club whisky in 1858. Our first distillery was established in Walkerville Ontario because of the exceptional quality of the local grains there.... Our whisky was different - smooth and easy to drink and we wanted people to know who made it. So we branded our barrels with our name on it, as a signature of confidence and assurance of quality.... At Canadian Club we have stayed true to the unique recipe and process we created over 162 years ago. This is what has allowed us to continue to produce an exceptionally rich and smooth whisky.” (Canadian Club’s website)</li> <li>• “The story of the guy that made that first still and he had a little plot of land that was named Lot 40. I mean, it was-- there was nothing extraordinary about that guy and his whiskey. But you tell that story 100 years later and it’s extraordinary.” (Mike, Patriot Pride)</li> <li>• “This is the mindset of a Master Blender. 140 different whiskies made of corn, rye, wheat, or barley. Aged 3 - 40 years. Many types of barrels (white oak, sherries, rum, wine, Bourbon, Scotch). Pot distilled or column distilled. The combinations are endless. Where does a Blender start?” (Alex, Heritage Brands, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “And Alex, as you say, has my respect. So not only do I know him, but I trust his judgement, so I’m kind of interested in tasting his liquids. And that will dictate where my hand falls on the Canadian whisky shelf. I’m going to buy Lot 40 soon.” (Consultant 1)</li> <li>• “I think it [history] could be used a lot more. Like, we should be proud of it; we’ve been doing this for years. Just like the Scots, right, and the Americans. Like George Washington, I mean, it’s-- but we don’t-- I think it needs to be out there more. I think that needs to be first and foremost, you know, and ‘hey, we’re really good at this ‘cause we’ve been doing it a long time’” (Restaurateur 1).</li> </ul> |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Human embodiments reinforcing originality claims</b></li> </ul>    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “So when people do come, we welcome then in and they actually get to meet the whisky makers and see what we’re doing.” (Blake, Urban Spirit, Micro)</li> <li>• “Well, you can’t really beat it when the distiller’s the brand ambassador.” (Bartender 5)</li> <li>• “That makes a huge difference in whiskey. Especially if you ever get a chance to talk to a master distiller or read a little blurb like that on their bottle. Like the guys at Dillon’s or the guys at Still Waters. They’re really proud of their product because they have to try really hard to get into that market.” (Connoisseur 3)</li> <li>• “We’re focusing on the people. So we’re telling the story of the grain grower and the malter and the cooper and the distiller. We’re telling these stories, and we’re telling the story of time as well, the sense of time. How long it should take. We talk romantically, not specifically, but romantically about the aging process and the flavours that are imparted. So we try and keep it pleasant. But at the same time what it’s doing is it’s revealing the way Spirit of Revolution does it.” (Consultant 2)</li> <li>• “I’ve gotten to know Michael personally. So when you get to meet and talk to people, it’s like ‘yes!’” (Connoisseur 1)</li> </ul>   |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Architectural features reinforcing tradition claims</b></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Specifically and technically designed for the complete control of whisky-making, leaving nothing to the chance be it human error or the whims and vagaries of nature.” (Patriot Pride employee training manual)</li> <li>• “They’re very much kind of, like [pauses]... factories.” (Restaurateur 2)</li> <li>• “These big factories that are much more automated in what they do and much more hands off” (Retailer 4)</li> <li>• “The Tour highlights the history of the founding family, the HQ building and its connection to prohibition. The building is beautiful and has a fascinating history.” (Online forum)</li> </ul>  |

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “The building itself was amazing, the grandeur and elegance considering its age. The grounds were well manicured and complimented the building, and the setting. Well worth the trouble to admire on its own. [...] It was a shame we couldn't enjoy the brand center.” (Online forum)</li> </ul>  |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Architectural features reinforcing originality claims</b></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “There’s no real trade secrets. Everything’s very open, and people really like that. So it’s really-- I mean, it’s a combination of a lot of things that are happening out there.” (Blake, Urban Spirit, Micro)”</li> <li>• “I mean, for us, we find that what’s really-- seems to hit a cord with people is transparency. So unlike big brands we’re very open about what we do, both on our website and social media as well as in person. So when people do come, we welcome them in and they actually get to meet the whiskey makers and see what we’re doing. There’s no real trade secrets. Everything’s very open, and people really like that. So it’s really-- I mean, it’s a combination of a lot of things that are happening out there.” (Blake, Urban Spirit, Micro)</li> <li>• “I think the hands-on approach is really needed. I think people need to look, touch, feel. So that’s bringing people down to our distillery.” (Harry, Heritage Brands, Incumbent)</li> <li>• “You get to see a still working. You get to [inaudible]. They’ve put all this money into making it sort of friendly for you to come in. The space is there. The history is on the walls. There’s just stuff everywhere for you to look at it.” (Blogger 5)</li> <li>• “The stills are beautiful, and walking through the production areas, it truly feels like you’ve been transported to Scotland.” (Toronto Whisky Society write-up of a distillery tour)</li> </ul> |

## APPENDIX 1 – SAMPLE INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

### Sample Questions for Distillery Interviews:

What is your vision for your distillery? Can you tell me about what makes your distillery distinctive from both domestic and international competitors?

What is it like to be a small producer? (for micro-distillery interviewees)

How do distilleries create a sense of specialness for the whisky brands?

How important is history and tradition in Canadian whisky?

How important is authenticity for a whisky? What does authenticity mean to you?

What do you think are the biggest challenges that the Canadian whisky industry faces?

What would you like to see Canadian distilleries be doing that they are not currently doing? Are some doing better job than others?

What developments in the Canadian whisky industry are you most excited about?

Do the emerging independent distilleries play any kind of significant role in how Canadian whisky is perceived?

### Sample Questions for Audience Interviews:

How do distilleries create a sense of specialness for the whisky brands?

How important is history and tradition in Canadian whisky?

How important is authenticity for a whisky? What does authenticity mean to you? How do you know if a whisky is authentic?

How do you select whiskies for your lists? What is your philosophy? How does Canadian whisky fit in? (for bartenders and restaurateurs)

What kind of feedback about Canadian whisky do you get from your customers? (for retailers, bartenders and restaurateurs)

What do you think are the biggest challenges that the Canadian whisky industry faces?

What would you like to see Canadian distilleries be doing that they are not currently doing? Are some doing better job than others?

What developments in the Canadian whisky industry are you most excited about?

Do the emerging independent distilleries play any kind of significant role in how Canadian whisky is perceived?

**Maxim Voronov** (mvoronov@schulich.yorku.ca) is Professor of Organization Studies and Sustainability at the Schulich School of Business, York University. He received his Ph.D. from Columbia University. His research focuses on social change, authenticity, and the intersection of institutions and emotions.

**William M. Foster** (wfoster@ualberta.ca) is Professor of Management at the University of Alberta. He received his Ph.D. from University of Alberta. His primary research interests include rhetorical history, social memory studies, service learning, and business ethics.

**Gerardo Patriotta** (gp735@bath.ac.uk) is a Professor of Organization Studies at the School of Management, University of Bath. He received his PhD in industrial and business studies from the University of Warwick. His research focuses on organizational sensemaking, particularly in the contexts of crises and breakdowns, transitions between routine and non-routine events, learning from errors, face-to-face interactions, and identity processes.

**Klaus Weber** (klausweber@kellogg.northwestern.edu) is a Professor of Management & Organizations at Kellogg School of Management, Northwestern University, and serves as the deputy director of the Northwestern Buffett Institute for Global Affairs. He received Ph.D. from University of Michigan. His research concerns the dynamics of organizational and institutional sustainability transitions; the interactions between social movements, corporations, and markets; and economic globalization.