


JACKSON, N., TURNER, M. and PATERSON, C. [2023]. What are the holistic care impacts among individuals living through the COVID-19 pandemic in residential or community care settings? An integrative systematic review. *International journal of older people nursing* [online], Early View. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12557>

What are the holistic care impacts among individuals living through the COVID-19 pandemic in residential or community care settings? An integrative systematic review.

JACKSON, N., TURNER, M. and PATERSON, C.

2023

What are the holistic care impacts among individuals living through the COVID-19 pandemic in residential or community care settings? An integrative systematic review

N. Jackson RN, MSc, Residential Aged Care Nurse Manager¹ | M. Turner B.AppSci, MBA, Health Information Specialist and Researcher¹ | C. Paterson RN, PhD, BA, MSc, PgCert LTA, FHEA, Professor^{1,2,3} 

¹Faculty of Health, University of Canberra, Bruce, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

²Prehabilitation, Activity, Cancer, Exercise and Survivorship (PACES) Research Group, University of Canberra, Bruce, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

³Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

Correspondence

C. Paterson, Faculty of Health, School of Nursing, Midwifery & Public Health, University of Canberra, Bruce, ACT 2601, Australia.

Email: catherine.paterson@canberra.edu.au

au

Abstract

Introduction: To critically synthesise evidence in relation to the holistic care impacts (physical, psychological, social, spiritual, and environmental well-being) among individuals living in residential aged care facilities (RACFs) with restrictions during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: An integrative systematic review followed a pre-registered protocol and has been reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) Guidelines. Electronic databases were searched from inception to June 2022. Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods studies were included. All articles were double screened according to a pre-determined eligibility criterion. The review process was managed using Covidence systematic review software. Data from the studies were extracted, methodological quality appraisal conducted, and a narrative synthesis conducted.

Results: 18 studies were included. The impact of restrictive practices and periods of lockdown impacted older people on all levels of individual quality-of-life. With or without COVID-19, residents experienced functional decline and many experienced malnutrition, increased incontinence, increased pain, and poorer general health and significant psychological distress. Depression increased with reduced social contact, as did anxiety and loneliness. Some residents spoke of suicidal ideation.

Conclusion: It is highly plausible that further outbreaks may prompt knee-jerk reactions from public health departments and governing bodies to continue to restrict and lockdown facilities. Public health COVID-19 outbreak policy for aged care across the globe will need to consider the benefits versus risk debate given the findings uncovered in this review. These findings showed that it is vital that policy considers quality-of-life domains not solely survival rates.

KEYWORDS

aged care, COVID-19, holistic health, older people, systematic review

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2023 The Authors. *International Journal of Older People Nursing* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Globally, the coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic has affected over 786,187,096 people and caused over 6 million deaths (Zhu et al., 2020). It is widely accepted that the ageing population are the most vulnerable and susceptible to the COVID-19 virus with risk of severe disease, hospitalisation, and death (Holt et al., 2020). The World Health Organisation (WHO, 2020) has estimated that up to half of COVID-19 related deaths in Europe were residents living in RACFs. Evidence has identified that hospitalisations due to COVID-19 increased by age from 1.04% in 20–29 years old, to 18.4% in those greater than 80 years (Verity et al., 2020).

Effective isolation is especially difficult for people living in RACFs and assisted living communities due to necessarily close interactions with personal care workers, allied health practitioners, and nurses (Crotty et al., 2020). Most RACFs have placed a ban on visitors, cancelled group activities, ceased communal dining, and enforced strict social distancing rules, resulting in a profound negative impact on holistic person-centred care (Simard & Volicer, 2020). As the pandemic plays out across the world research and data are continually emerging, State and Commonwealth Governments and healthcare policymakers require current evidence to inform future practice to protect residents in RACFs to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19 but optimise recovery. To the best of our knowledge, no one has yet critically synthesised the evidence to understand the holistic person-centred impacts of the pandemic on our ageing population in RACFs. Holistic care is defined as complete or total patient care that considers the social, physical, psychological, cognitive, spiritual, and environmental well-being of the person, his or her response to illness and the effect of the illness on the ability to meet self-care needs (Ventegodt et al., 2016). Holistic person-centred care considerations should be at the forefront of policy makers rather than focusing on the physical consequences of COVID-19 alone (Kelley-Gillespie, 2009). Currently, researchers, clinicians, gerontological nurses, and policymakers are poorly informed about the holistic care impacts of COVID-19 among the ageing population living in RACFs in the existing evidence (Hashan et al., 2021) this systematic review aimed to address this gap. This systematic review addressed the following research question:

What are the holistic person-centred impacts among individuals living in RACFs during the COVID-19 pandemic?

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Design

An integrative systematic review was conducted and reported according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Page, McKenzie, et al., 2021). This review followed a protocol registered in PROSPERO [CRD42022318879]. An integrative systematic review was considered appropriate to provide a comprehensive understanding of all previous qualitative,

What does this research add to existing knowledge in gerontology?

- This review identified the holistic care needs of people living in residential aged care facilities in a range of countries during the COVID-19 pandemic.

What are the implications of this new knowledge for nursing care with older people?

- It is highly plausible that further outbreaks and stronger variants will prompt knee-jerk reactions from public health departments and governing bodies to continue to restrict and lockdown facilities. Public health COVID-19 outbreak policy for aged care across the globe will need to consider the benefit versus risk debate given the findings of this review.

How could the findings be used to influence policy or practice or research or education?

- These findings show it is vital that policy considers quality-of-life domains not solely survival rates. Being able to remain active, physically, mentally, social, and spiritually in a safe environment is paramount.

quantitative, and mixed methods studies to understand the holistic health impacts among individuals living in RACFs.

2.2 | Definition of terms

Holistic (care): Complete or total patient care that considers the social, physical, psychological, cognitive, spiritual, and environmental well-being of the person, his or her response to illness, and the effect of the illness on the ability to meet self-care needs (Ventegodt et al., 2016).

Residential care: Residential aged care is for older adults who can no longer live in their own home. It includes accommodation and personal care 24 h a day care, as well as access to nursing and general health care services.

Community Care: Support older people with complex needs to help them stay at home. Approved aged care service providers work with care recipients to plan, organise, and deliver Home Care Packages.

Pandemic: COVID-19 pandemic. The World Health Organisation (WHO) on March 11, 2020, declared the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak a global pandemic (World Health Organisation, 2020).

2.3 | Search strategy

The APA PsycINFO, CINAHL, MEDLINE, Scopus, and Web of Science databases were searched from inception until June 2022 for

all relevant studies. See [Table S1](#) for full record of database searches. Relevant systematic reviews were scrutinised for potentially relevant studies for screening. Reference lists of included studies were searched to identify further relevant studies. All studies were imported to the Covidence software for de-duplication and the screening process.

2.4 | Screening process

Following de-duplication in Covidence, two review authors independently screened the titles, abstracts and full text articles according to a pre-determined eligibility criterion. Any conflicts were resolved by discussion. Reasons for excluding full-text articles was documented in the PRISMA flow diagram.

2.5 | Eligibility criteria

2.5.1 | Study types

- Studies investigating holistic care impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on residents of residential care homes or community care settings.
- Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method studies were included irrespective of research design.
- Studies published in English.

All commentaries, editorials, conference abstracts, and studies published in languages other than English were excluded.

2.5.2 | Participant types

- Older adults living in residential care or receiving community-based care services (including terms of nursing homes, long-term care facilities, retirement homes, and community care villages) were included.

2.6 | Quality assessment

Methodological quality evaluation was conducted on the primary studies using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) to enable a plethora of methodologies to be evaluated given the integrative systematic review design. The MMAT consists of seven questions which can be classified as 'yes', 'no', or 'unclear' (Hong et al., 2018). This assessment tool enables critical appraisal of all qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods studies. Each domain of assessment is rated against, "no", "yes", and "unclear". Methodological quality assessment was performed by one reviewer and quality checked by a second reviewer.

2.7 | Data extraction

Data was extracted from all full text studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Data was extracted by one reviewer, and independently quality checked by a second reviewer. Data extraction tables were developed and tested on a small sample of studies and further refined through reviewer discussion until agreement reached on final formatting. The first table of data extraction included study characteristics, namely, purpose, setting, country, sample size, participant characteristics, sampling used, response rate, attrition, design, time points, and data collection tools. Two separate data extraction tables were used given the integrative systematic review design which extracted separately all quantitative outcome data ([Table S2](#)), and a separate table for all qualitative findings ([Table S3](#)). The separate extraction tables were used for all qualitative and quantitative findings in keeping with the integrative review methods (Whittemore & Knafel, 2005). For the qualitative studies, the findings were extracted to capture the themes reported in each study and a qualitative illustration was extracted to justify the generation of each individual finding. All qualitative findings and supporting illustrations were assessed for congruence and were given a ConQual ranking of either 'unequivocal' (clear association between the finding and illustration), 'equivocal' (unclear association between the finding and illustration, leaving it open to challenge), or 'not supported' (findings not supported by data) (Munn et al., 2014). Unsupported findings were not included in the final synthesis. For all quantitative findings, outcome data was extracted according to the definition of holistic care.

2.8 | Evidence synthesis

Primary research studies were tabulated, and narrative synthesis used to generate findings. The data synthesis process followed the integrated review methodology and involved data reduction (subgroup classification by study design), data comparison, (identifying patterns and themes through counting, clustering with comparisons and contrasts), and conclusion-drawing and verification (checking with the primary source data for accuracy) (Whittemore & Knafel, 2005).

3 | RESULTS

Database searches yielded 4520 studies, 1573 duplicates were removed before screening (see [Figure 1](#)). The full text of all 33 remaining potentially eligible studies were retrieved, and 15 papers were excluded with reasons, leaving 18 studies included. The studies were published in a range of countries including: Spain (3) (Cortés Zamora et al., 2022; Pereiro et al., 2021; Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2021), Turkey (2) (Arpacioğlu et al., 2021; Savci et al., 2021), United Kingdom (1) (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021), New Zealand (1) (Cheung et al., 2021), Israel (1) (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021), France




FIGURE 1 PRISIMA diagram. From: Page, McKenzie, et al. (2021).

(1) (El Haj et al., 2020), China (1) (Ho et al., 2022), Switzerland (1) (Huber & Seifert, 2022), Canada (1) (Ickert et al., 2021), Belgium (1) (Kaelen et al., 2021), United States of America (1) (Lever et al., 2021), Sweden (1) (Lood et al., 2021), Ireland (1) (Murphy et al., 2022), Croatia (1) (Solić et al., 2021), and Thailand (1) (Srifuengfung et al., 2021). All studies were conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic from early 2020 to mid-2021.

Eleven studies used a quantitative design and included: three cross-sectional, two correlational, three comparative, and three longitudinal designs. Across the quantitative studies the sample sizes varied from 58 to 14,510. Quantitative data was collected from a total of 15,755 aged care residents, 62% female, 38% male, aged 60–102 years old. Seven studies used qualitative methodology exploring the lived experiences of residents living through the COVID-19 pandemic. Sample sizes ranged from a single case-study design to 24 residents. All used thematic analysis of structured or unstructured interviews to capture qualitative findings. There were 130 residential care residents, ranging from 70 to 100 years old (see Table 1). The results of the methodological quality assessment are presented in Table 2.

3.1 | Holistic care impacts

Across the included studies, impacts reported included: psychological (16/18), physical (10/18), social (10/18), environmental (8/16), cognitive (7/18), and spiritual (7/18) (see Table 3).

3.1.1 | Psychological impacts

Sixteen studies reported on psychological well-being impacts experienced by nursing home and community care residents due to restrictions enforced during the COVID-19 pandemic (see Table 3). Aged care residents reported an increase in moderate depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 lockdown period of 2020 (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021) and 57.7% of residents reported being at risk of clinically significant depressive symptoms (Cortés Zamora et al., 2022). Several studies found statistically significant increases in the prevalence of anxiety and depression compared to pre-pandemic scores (Cortés Zamora et al., 2022; El Haj et al., 2020; Lever et al., 2021; Pereiro et al., 2021; Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2021).

Qualitative findings from various countries revealed that the pandemic evoked feelings of depression, fear, anxiety, and loneliness. Residents reported feelings of sadness and frustration, many stated they were depressed (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021; Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Ickert et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021).

I'm lonely here and sad, and as time goes on, its becoming, like there's a lot more people that's depressed, and I cry at night.

(Ickert et al., 2021, page 1550)

The experience of lockdown, isolation, and restriction was particularly traumatising for some residents, who likened the feelings they

TABLE 1 Characteristics of included studies.

Author (year), Country	Purpose	Setting	Sample size	Participants	Sampling	Response rate	Attrition	Design	Time points	Data collection tools
Arpacoglu et al. (2021) Turkey	To investigate the levels of depression, anxiety, death anxiety and life satisfaction during the pandemic among nursing home (NH) residents and compare these variables with community-dwelling (CD) older adults	Nursing home & Community dwelling	NH residents (N=66) CD (N=67) (N=133)	66.9% aged 65-79 years old 33.1% aged 80 years and older 58.6% female 41.4% male	Convenience	83.7% NH residents 60.9% CD older adults	n/a	Descriptive and cross-sectional design	1	Questionnaires: Sociodemographic data questionnaire: Unspecified questionnaire, Death Anxiety: Turkish death anxiety scale (TDAS), Life Satisfaction: Satisfaction with life scale (SLS), Depression, anxiety and stress: Depression, anxiety and stress scale-21 (DASS-21)
Ayalon and Avidor (2021) Israel	To explore the personal experiences of older adults living in continuing care retirement communities (CCRC) whilst in a lockdown due to the COVID-19 pandemic	Continuing care retirement communities (CCRC)	N=24	Age range 75-95 years Mean age 83.75 years 87.5% female 12.5% male	Convenience	Not reported	n/a	Qualitative	1	Interviews: Via telephone Thematic Analysis of interview transcripts using constant comparisons and contrasts
Cheung et al. (2021) New Zealand	To investigate the impact of New Zealand's (NZ) first wave of COVID-19, which included a nationwide lockdown, on the health and psycho-social well-being of Maori, Pacific Peoples and NZ Europeans in aged residential care (ARC)	Aged Residential Care (ARC)	2019 (N=13,164) 2020 (N=12,136)	Age range 60-90+ years 2019 (N=13,164) 60.3% female 39.7% male 2020 (N=12,136) 58.7% female 41.3% male	Consecutive	n/a	92.1%	Comparative	2 (Pre & Intra COVID-19)	interRAI Long-term Care Facilities (interRAI LTCF) geriatric assessment
Cortes Zamora et al. (2021) Spain	To analyse the psychological and functional sequelae of the COVID-19 pandemic among older adults living in long term care facilities	Long-term care facilities (LTCF)	N=215	Age range >65 years Mean age 83 years 62.8% female 37.2% male COVID-19+ve 65.1% (N=140)	Convenience	Not reported	22.6%	Cohort longitudinal study	2	Basic activities of daily living (BADL); Barthel index score; Frailty status: the FRAIL instrument; Ambulation: Functional Ambulation Classification (FAC); Medical records; Comorbidity: the Charlson Comorbidity Index; Nutritional Status; Mini Nutritional Assessment Short Form (MNA-SF); Cognitive and affective status: Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire Pfeiffer (SPMSQ) & the 5-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-5), Psychological impact: Hospital Anxiety and Depression stress disorder (PTSD); 8-item Treatment-Outcome Post-Traumatic Stress (TOP-8) Scale; Chronic sleep disturbances and insomnia: Insomnia in the Elderly Scale (IES) tool

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author (year), Country	Purpose	Setting	Sample size	Participants	Sampling	Response rate	Attrition	Design	Time points	Data collection tools
Davies-Abbott et al. (2021) UK	To understand the lived experience of a person living with dementia in a care home during the COVID-19 pandemic	Residential care home for people with dementia	N=1	Female 71 years old	Purposive	Not reported	n/a	Single-case study	1	Thematic analysis of semi-structured interviews
El Haj et al. (2020) France	To investigate the effects of restrictive measures against COVID-19, have on the mental health of people with Alzheimer's Disease who live in retirement homes	Retirement home	N=58	Mean age 71.79 years 63.9% female (N=37) 36.2% male (N=21)	Convenience	72.5%	n/a	Comparative	1	Anxiety and Depression: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)
Ho et al. (2021) China	To explore the lived experiences of loneliness of older adults in residential care homes during a 5-month period of the COVID-19 pandemic	Residential Care Homes (RCH)	N=15	Age range 70–97 years Mean age 83.4 years 80% female 20% male	Convenience	83.3%	n/a	Qualitative	1	Thematic analysis of un-structured interviews
Huber and Seifert (2022) Switzerland	To investigate the subjective loneliness among older adults living in long-term care facilities (LTCF) during the COVID-19 pandemic and to determine the association between loneliness experienced and various independent variables to gain a better understanding of the indicators of loneliness during the pandemic	Long-term Care Facilities (LTCF)	N=828	Mean age 87.78 years 75% female 25% male	Convenience	54.3%	n/a	Descriptive and correlation study	1	Questionnaire: Unspecified. Additional six item Loneliness Short Scale (LSS)
Ickert et al. (2021) Canada	To examine the experiences and perspectives of residents (and family members) living in long-term care (LTC) and supportive care (SC) centres	Long-term care (LTC) and supportive living (SL) centres	N=14	Mean age 68.1 years 50% female 50% male	Purposive and convenience	73.7	n/a	Qualitative	1	Thematic analysis of un-structured interviews
Kaelen et al. (2021) Belgium	To better understand the psychosocial and mental health needs of nursing home (NH) residents during times of COVID-19.	Nursing homes (NH)	N=56	Age range 58–101 years Mean age 85 years 62.5% female 37.5% male	Purposive and convenience	87.5%	n/a	Qualitative	1	Thematic analysis of structured interviews

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author (year), Country	Purpose	Setting	Sample size	Participants	Sampling	Response rate	Attrition	Design	Time points	Data collection tools
Leverle et al. (2021) USA	To quantify the effects on the COVID-19 pandemic on nursing home (NH) resident well-being	Nursing homes (NH)	N = 14,510	Mean age 80.4 years 67.2% female 32.8% male	Consecutive	n/a	64% in 2020 data	Cohort longitudinal study	Each week from March – July 2020	Long-Term Care Minimum Data Set (MDS); Depressive symptoms: Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9); Cognition: The Cognitive Functioning Scale; Physical function: The Activities of Daily Living score; Comparable data: obtained March 2017, 2018, 2019
Lood et al. (2021) Sweden	To understand and report on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions on the everyday lives of frail older persons living in nursing homes.	A Nursing Home (NH)	N = 10	Age range 85–100 years Mean age 93.8 years	Convenience	Not reported	n/a	Qualitative	1	Thematic analysis of structured interviews
Murphy et al. (2022) Ireland	Study aims to describe the experiences of residents relocating between long-term care facilities (LTC) at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.	Long-term care facilities (LTC)	N = 10	Age range 72–97 years Mean age 84 years 50% female 50% male	Purposive	Not reported	n/a	Qualitative	1	Thematic analysis of semi-structured interviews Demographic data obtained through medical records
Pereiro et al. (2021) Spain	To measure the decline in cognitive, functional, and affective status in a care facility after the lockdown in the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic and to compare it with the previous measures to determine if this decline was accelerated.	Long-Term Care Facilities (LTCF)	N = 98	Aged range 60–102 years Mean age 83.41 years 62% female 38% male	Convenience	95.1%	100%	Cohort longitudinal study	4 (3 retrospective pre-COVID & 1 post first-wave)	Cognitive Status: Mental Mental State Exam (MMSE) & Spanish version of the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR); Depressive symptomatology: Spanish Version 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS); Functional Status: Spanish Version of the Barthel Index (BI); Sociodemographic data
Pérez-Rodríguez et al. (2021) Spain	To compare functional, cognitive, and nutritional status before and after COVID-19 pandemic among institutionalised older adults	Nursing homes (NH)	N = 435	Mean age 86.7 years 78.4% female 21.6% male 43.9% COVID +ve	Convenience	n/a	n/a	Comparative	2	Demographic data; Functional Status: Barthel Index & the Functional Ambulation Categories (FAC) scale; Cognitive status: Global Deterioration Scale (GDS), Lobo's Mini-Examen Cognoscitivo (MEC) and the 10-item mental status questionnaire (Pfeiffers SPMSQ); Nutritional status: Mini Nutritional Assessment-Short Form (MNA-SF)

(Continues)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Author (year), Country	Purpose	Setting	Sample size	Participants	Sampling	Response rate	Attrition	Design	Time points	Data collection tools
Savci et al. (2021) Turkey	To evaluate the fear of COVID-19, loneliness, resilience, and quality of life levels in older adults in a nursing home during the pandemic, and the effect of these variables and descriptive characteristics on their quality of life	A nursing home	N=103	Age range 65–94 years Mean age 73.3 years 20.4% female 79.6% male All residents live in shared rooms of 3–5 beds	Convenience	Not reported	n/a	Descriptive and correlation study	1	Participant information form Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) Fear: The brief Resilience Scale, the fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S), Loneliness: The Loneliness Scale for the Elderly (LSE); Quality of life: The World Health Organisation Quality of Life-BREF Turkish Version (WHOQOL-BREF-TR) Social Provision Scale (SPS), the short-form UCLA Loneliness Scale; the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSP)
Solić et al. (2021) Croatia	To examine the links between social isolation, loneliness, and perception of social support during social isolation due to COVID-19	Homes for the elderly and infirm	N=98	Age range 61–95 years Mean age 81.86 years 73.5% female 26.5% male	Convenience	61.25%	n/a	Cross-sectional study	1	Socio-demographic data; Post-traumatic Stress: The 17-item Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-17); Depression: The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9); Anxiety: 7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7); Semi-structured interviews
Srifuengfung et al. (2021) Thailand	To investigate how COVID-19 has affected the life and psychological status of older adults living at long-term care centres	Long-term care (LTC) centres	N=200	Mean age 76.4 years 66.5% female 33.5% male	Convenience	97.5%	n/a	Cross-sectional study	1	Socio-demographic data; Post-traumatic Stress: The 17-item Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL-17); Depression: The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9); Anxiety: 7-item Generalised Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7); Semi-structured interviews

felt to those of when they experienced the Holocaust or World War II, but they still had their freedom (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021). Others expressed feelings of being claustrophobic, abandoned and described the lockdown periods as the most horrible period of their lives (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021; Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021). The pandemic created a disconnection from their families, and many felt vulnerable, lonely, and expressed that they lacked significance to others (Ho et al., 2022; Murphy et al., 2022).

I am still lonely. My daughter and I are in two parallel worlds. I am not a significant one for others. I am not afraid of getting the disease [COVID-19]. I hope I get it, then everything is ended.

(Ho et al., 2022, page 284)

Older adults expressed greater fear of further lockdowns rather than the fear of contracting COVID-19, and some suggested suicide was an option if the lockdowns continued (Kaelen et al., 2021).

We don't have a life anymore since COVID-19. Yes, I think more and more about ... suicide because I think I'm at the end of a depression if it continues. Before I go to sleep, I wish I wouldn't wake up the next day

(Kaelen et al., 2021, page 10)

Many spoke of losing friends and family members and not being able to leave to attend funerals or visit graves of loved ones (Ayalon & Avidor, 2021; Ickert et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2022). Residents felt infantilised when they were not asked about their opinions and felt they were losing their autonomy and as the lockdown measures continued, many felt angry and stressed (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2022).

3.1.2 | Physical impacts

Ten studies reported on physical impacts experienced by nursing home and community care residents due to restrictions enforced during the pandemic (see Table 3). Residents experienced substantial weight loss (Cortés Zamora et al., 2022; Levere et al., 2021) and malnutrition (Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2021). Residents were found to be at higher risk of a decline in ambulation, higher frailty levels compared to before the pandemic (Cortés Zamora et al., 2022; Huber & Seifert, 2022; Pérez-Rodríguez et al., 2021). Furthermore, evidence identified an increase in episodes of incontinence during the pandemic period compared with similar periods in previous years pre-COVID-19 (Levere et al., 2021; Savci et al., 2021; Srifuengfung et al., 2021). Contrastingly, two studies reported that the general pattern of age-related functional decline was not significantly altered by the strict COVID-19 lockdown periods (Cheung et al., 2021; Pereiro et al., 2021).

Residents articulated concerns about their physical health due to public health restrictions. Residents were no longer able to

TABLE 2 Mixed methods assessment tool.

Qualitative study	Item number of check list						
	S1.	S2.	1.1.	1.2.	1.3.	1.4.	1.5.
Ayalon and Avidor (2021)	Y	Y	Y	U	U	N	N
Davies-Abbott et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ho et al. (2021)	N	U	U	U	U	Y	Y
Ickert et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kaalen et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Lood et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	U	U
Murphy et al. (2022)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Item number check list key^a: S1. Are there clear research questions, S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions, 1.1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question, 1.2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question, 1.3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data, 1.4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data, 1.5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation

Quantitative descriptive studies	Item number of check list						
	S1.	S2.	4.1.	4.2.	4.3.	4.4.	4.5.
Arpacioğlu et al. (2021)	Y	Y	N	N	Y	U	Y
Cheung et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Cortes Zamora et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
El Haj et al. (2020)	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y
Huber and Seifert (2021)	U	U	Y	Y	U	N	U
Levere et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pereiro et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Pérez-Rodríguez et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Savci et al. (2021)	Y	Y	N	N	Y	U	Y
Srifuengfung et al. (2021)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y
Solić et al. (2021)	Y	Y	U	U	Y	U	U

S1. Are there clear research questions, S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions, 4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question, 4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population, 4.3. Are the measurements appropriate, 4.4. Is the risk of non-response bias low, 4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question

^aThree levels of assessment quality scores: Yes (Y) Unclear (U) No (N)

access physiotherapy sessions, and some took to exercising alone in their rooms, to try and halt any further physical decline (Ickert et al., 2021). Some residents stated they had missed physiotherapy sessions for over three months and had significant pain levels due to being inactive (Ho et al., 2022; Kaalen et al., 2021).

I'm working on improving my own (physical well-being) by exercising alone in my room
(Ickert et al., 2021, page 1550)

3.1.3 | Social impacts

It was common for residents to experience loneliness during the pandemic (Huber & Seifert, 2022) with moderate to severe negative impact on familial relationships (Arpacioğlu et al., 2021; Savci et al., 2021; Srifuengfung et al., 2021). Many individuals started using modern forms of communication (such as FaceTime, Zoom, etc) to stay in social contact with others (Solić et al., 2021). Qualitative studies







revealed that during periods of lockdown, the lack of close physical contact was viewed as the most damaging aspect of their quality of life (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021). Strict lockdowns meant residents were unable to physically interact with other residents, staff, support services and family members (Kaalen et al., 2021; Lood et al., 2021).

More than my health, I really feel that it is my freedom that I have been robbed of and I find it difficult to bear. It's horrible to be locked up, it's like being in a prison.

(Kaalen et al., 2021, page 6)

Patio visits were implemented at times, but residents missed the physical closeness of hugging their loved ones. Some residents expressed how being hugged embodied the feeling of being cared about and were grateful to the nurses who hugged them and made them feel loved (Ho et al., 2022; Huber & Seifert, 2022). Residents explained how the positive relationships with staff members made them feel safer and protected from the virus (Murphy et al., 2022).

TABLE 3 Frequency of quality-of-life domains.

Study	 Social wellbeing	 Physical wellbeing	 Psychological wellbeing	 Cognitive wellbeing	 Spiritual wellbeing	 Environmental wellbeing	Number of domains explored within each review
Arpacioğlu et al. (2021)	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	2
Ayalon and Avidor (2021)	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	2
Cheung et al. (2021)	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	2
Cortes Zamora et al. (2021)	-	✓	✓	-	-	-	2
Davies-Abbott et al. (2021)	✓	-	✓	✓	✓	✓	5
El Haj et al. (2020)	-	-	✓	-	-	-	1
Ho et al. (2021)	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	-	4
Huber and Seifert (2021)	✓	-	-	-	-	-	1
Ickert et al. (2021)	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	5
Kaelen et al. (2021)	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	5
Levere et al. (2021)	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	3
Lood et al. (2021)	-	-	-	-	-	✓	1
Murphy et al. (2022)	✓	-	✓	-	✓	✓	4
Pereiro et al. (2021)	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	3
Pérez-Rodríguez et al. (2021)	-	✓	✓	✓	-	-	3
Savci et al. (2021)	✓	✓	✓	✓	-	✓	5
Solić et al. (2021)	✓	-	✓	-	-	-	2
Srifuengfung et al. (2021)	✓	✓	✓	-	-	✓	4
Number of domains explored across all reviews	10	10	16	7	3	8	-

My home is here. The nurses are very good. They are concerned about my feeling of being trapped every day. They hug me. They talk with me. They encourage me to reach out within this home, of course, wearing a mask. I don't feel anxious here. I feel loved

(Ho et al., 2022, page 285)

It was common for individuals to experience a loss of social connection during the pandemic, which greatly impacted their well-being (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Ho et al., 2022; Ickert et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2022). Residents reported spending up to four months isolated alone in their rooms, friendship groups were dismantled as residents were unable to dine with others and many reported simply having nothing to do (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Ickert et al., 2021).

3.1.4 | Environmental impacts

Residents that were offered opportunities for connection within their living environment felt safe and had statistically significant higher mental wellbeing scores (Savci et al., 2021). Residents who shared a room also had higher mental and environmental scores than those residing in single rooms (Srifuengfung et al., 2021). Many expressed that the change in environment meant that their homes were likened to prisons, some were lucky enough to have a window with a view, but others were not. Some residents viewed the restrictive pandemic

measures as 'tough, but for your own good', having to respect that this was just the way that things were now due to the virus (Ickert et al., 2021; Kaelen et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2022). A single-case study in the UK highlighted how residents were forbidden to go outside and that they felt as if they had been deprived of living and the only way out of the facility was to die (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021). During the pandemic everyday choices were taken away, and residents articulated that they were no longer able to choose what to do, when to do it, and with whom (Ickert et al., 2021; Lood et al., 2021).

We are social beings. One is not made to stay alone in one's room, it is enough to become crazy. We can read, we can watch television, but its not the same thing as living with others. The lack of contact, I didn't hold anybody since ... I think the lack of physical contact is very hard. It's almost unhuman. It's not natural.

(Kaelen et al., 2021, page 8)

3.1.5 | Cognitive impacts

Several studies identified significant changes in cognitive decline during strict periods of lockdowns, see Table 3 except for one study which found no changes in cognitive function over time (Pereiro et al., 2021). Residents affected by dementia had increased interfering, touching and fiddling behaviours which was especially challenging from an infection

control perspective (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Ickert et al., 2021). Residents expressed sadness for other residents with dementia because they were waiting, and waiting, for their families to visit, but they did not understand the bans on visitation (Ickert et al., 2021).

For the rest of the residents (with dementia), they sat in their chairs and just looked lost, you can just see it, the mood of the people

(Ickert et al., 2021, page 1550)

3.1.6 | Spiritual impacts

Only three qualitative studies (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021; Ho et al., 2022; Murphy et al., 2022) reported on spiritual well-being impacts. No quantitative studies reported on this domain. Findings revealed that due to restrictions church services were prohibited, and many residents were no longer able to attend their usual Sunday Religious Services (Davies-Abbott et al., 2021).

Without church activities, I feel lonely because we cannot group together and cannot update each other. It's an environment that cannot be replaced by telephone calls. Luckily, I like reading the bible. When I read the bible, I pray. God listens to me, and I hear His voice. I am reassured.

(Ho et al., 2022, page 285)

Residents expressed that this part of their life could not be replaced by a telephone call, and they needed to feel connected spiritually to God (Murphy et al., 2022). Some were still able to read the bible, and many prayed alone because they felt disconnected to their faith community (Ho et al., 2022).

4 | DISCUSSION

This systematic review set out to identify the holistic care needs of individuals living in RACFs. Quantitative studies focused mainly on the physical and psychological well-being domains whereas qualitative studies revealed that restrictive practices and periods of lockdowns negatively impacted all aspects of quality-of-life.

For many public healthcare orders globally, it is widely accepted that only the physical domain had been considered to date, and this review has added an important insight into the impacts on holistic care. The driving force of restrictions and lockdowns across the world was to keep our vulnerable safe and to minimise the risk of them contracting COVID-19. However, this review has added an important new lens to the experiences of older people living in RACF globally. This review has revealed the detrimental effects of restricting interactions with family and fellow residents across all domains of quality of life. With or without COVID-19, residents experienced a functional decline and many experienced malnutrition, increased incontinence, increased pain, and poorer general health and significant

psychological distress. Depression increased with reduced social contact, as did anxiety and loneliness, with many ageing residents considered suicide with no reports of support to cope.

Cognition worsened in some participants, however there was a general under representation of those living with dementia across many of the studies, because this was a study exclusion criterion featured in many of the studies. Some studies have captured vicarious data through staff, family, or caregivers, but the voice of the individual is missing for this important group and there is scope for further research which is needed for those living with cognitive impairments (Barguilla et al., 2020; Page, Davies-Abbott, 2021). This review has underscored that the spiritual needs of many aged care residents were unmet during periods of isolation and lockdown, possibly leading to further existential unmet needs. There is a general lack of consideration for this domain of quality-of-life, and researchers may underestimate its importance in this context. Wider literature does acknowledge that spiritual care in health service provision is largely unmet irrespective of clinical setting (Swift, 2020). There is scope for further research in this area to explore the role of Chaplaincy support during the COVID-19 crisis (Drummond & Carey, 2020; Jones et al., 2020).

Residents often agreed with the concept of restrictions to protect them, however the facilities often felt like prisons, and this evoked unpleasant war time feelings. Due to public health restrictions, there were delays in delivering essential multi-disciplinary care services including medical visits, sensory services, and physiotherapy. Future health policy regarding the aged care sector must include measures to ensure that this population is no longer deprived of essential services. All these important insights have important implications for nursing practice and rehabilitation following the consequences of COVID-19.

5 | LIMITATIONS

Firstly, the results and findings are from the perspectives of older people in RACF across the globe, however many countries may not have been represented due to the English language inclusion criteria. Secondly, the findings may not be transferable to those residents with dementia as this sub-group was grossly underrepresented in this review. Thirdly, due to the nature of the COVID-19 pandemic studies were conducted at various times in different countries, some believed they were experiencing the 'peak' of the wave; however, we now know that things became a lot worse for many over the time course of the pandemic.

6 | CONCLUSION

It is highly plausible that further outbreaks and stronger variants or future pandemics may prompt knee-jerk reactions from public health departments and governing bodies to continue to restrict and lockdown facilities. Public health COVID-19 outbreak policy makers, nursing and other healthcare professionals working in RACF globally should reflect upon these findings and will need to consider the benefit verses risk debate given the findings of this review. These

findings have clearly shown that it is vital that policymakers consider all quality-of-life domains not solely survival rates. It is a basic human right for all individuals to be able to remain active, physically, mentally, socially, and spiritually, in a safe environment.

7 | IMPLICATIONS FOR GERONTOLOGICAL NURSING

Perspectives from all aged care staff including multidisciplinary roles such as Chaplains, social workers, carers, and nurses would be an invaluable insight into the segregated world of the aged care facilities during a global pandemic. Investigating how this workforce cared for older people in RACF during time of lockdown, restrictions and strict infection control measures will provide insight into barriers and facilitators to optimise holistic care in the future, especially for residents with dementia or receiving palliative care. Identifying gaps, errors and successes, key stressors, and learning how to make things better for the 'next wave' or future pandemics will be prudent for any public health department and policy makers. Perspectives from family members on how the restrictions impacted them and their loved ones in RACF during the COVID-19 pandemic will provide important insights for caring for the family during periods of turmoil. Research should investigate the role of technology in maintaining communication and social relationships.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Catherine Paterson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Screening, Data Extraction, Formal analysis, Interpretation, Writing Original draft, Writing—Reviewing & Editing, Supervision. Nikki Jackson: Conceptualization, Methodology, Screening, Data extraction, Writing—Reviewing and Editing. Murray Turner: Methodology, Validation, Screening, Data extraction, Formal analysis, Interpretation, Reviewing and Editing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Open access publishing facilitated by University of Canberra, as part of the Wiley - University of Canberra agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None to declare.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data has not been previously presented orally or by poster at scientific meetings.

ORCID

C. Paterson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1249-6782>

REFERENCES

Arpacioğlu, S., Yalçın, M., Türkmenoğlu, F., Ünübol, B., & Çelebi Çakıroğlu, O. (2021). Mental health and factors related to life satisfaction in nursing home and community-dwelling older adults

- during COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey. *Psychogeriatrics*, 21(6), 881–891. <https://doi.org/10.1111/psyg.12762>
- Ayalon, L., & Avidor, S. (2021). 'We have become prisoners of our own age': From a continuing care retirement community to a total institution in the midst of the COVID-19 outbreak. *Age and Ageing*, 50(3), 664–667. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afab013>
- Barguilla, A., Fernández-Lebrero, A., Estragués-Gázquez, I., García-Escobar, G., Navalpotro-Gómez, I., Manero, R. M., Puente-Periz, V., Roquer, J., & Puig-Pijoan, A. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 pandemic confinement in patients with cognitive impairment. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 11, 589901. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.589901>
- Cheung, G., Bala, S., Lyndon, M., Ma'u, E., Rivera Rodriguez, C., Waters, D. L., Jamieson, H., Nada-Raja, S., Chan, A. H. Y., Beyene, K., Meehan, B., & Walker, X. (2021). Impact of the first wave of COVID-19 on the health and psychosocial well-being of Māori, Pacific peoples and New Zealand Europeans living in aged residential care. *Australasian Journal on Ageing*, 41, 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajag.13025>
- Cortés Zamora, E. B., Mas Romero, M., Taberero Sahuquillo, M. T., Avendaño Céspedes, A., Andrés-Petrel, F., Gómez Ballesteros, C., Sánchez-Flor Alfaro, V., López-Bru, R., López-Utiel, M., Celaya Cifuentes, S., Plaza Carmona, L., Gil García, B., Pérez Fernández-Rius, A., Alcántud Córcoles, R., Roldán García, B., Romero Rizos, L., Sánchez-Jurado, P. M., Luengo Márquez, C., Esbrí Víctor, M., ... Abizanda, P. (2022). Psychological and functional impact of COVID-19 in long-term care facilities: The COVID-A study. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 30(4), 431–443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2022.01.007>
- Crotty, F., Watson, R., & Lim, W. K. (2020). Nursing homes: The titanic of cruise ships – Will residential aged care facilities survive the COVID-19 pandemic? *Internal Medicine Journal*, 50(9), 1033–1036. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.14966>
- Davies-Abbott, I., Hedd Jones, C., & Windle, G. (2021). Living in a care home during COVID-19: A case study of one person living with dementia. *Quality in Ageing and Older Adults*, 22(3–4), 147–158. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QAOA-02-2021-0024>
- Drummond, D. A., & Carey, L. B. (2020). Chaplaincy and spiritual care response to COVID-19: An Australian case study – The McKellar Centre. *Health and Social Care Chaplaincy*, 8(2), 165–179. <https://doi.org/10.1558/HSCC.41243>
- El Haj, M., Altintas, E., Chapelet, G., Kapogiannis, D., & Gallouj, K. (2020). High depression and anxiety in people with Alzheimer's disease living in retirement homes during the covid-19 crisis. *Psychiatry Research*, 291, 113294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113294>
- Hashan, M. R., Smoll, N., King, C., Ockenden-Muldoon, H., Walker, J., Wattiaux, A., Graham, J., Booy, R., & Khandaker, G. (2021). Epidemiology and clinical features of COVID-19 outbreaks in aged care facilities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EclinicalMedicine*, 33, 100771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100771>
- Ho, K. H. M., Mak, A. K. P., Chung, R. W. M., Leung, D. Y. L., Chiang, V. C. L., & Cheung, D. S. K. (2022). Implications of COVID-19 on the loneliness of older adults in residential care homes. *Qualitative Health Research*, 32(2), 279–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211050910>
- Holt, N. R., Neumann, J. T., McNeil, J. J., & Cheng, A. C. (2020). Implications of COVID-19 for an ageing population. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 213(8), 342–344.e341. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.50785>
- Hong, Q. N., Fàbregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., Dagenais, P., Gagnon, M. P., Griffiths, F., Nicolau, B., O' Cathain, A., Rousseau, M. C., Vedel, I., & Pluye, P. (2018). The mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Education for Information*, 34(4), 285–291. <https://doi.org/10.3233/EFI-180221>

- Huber, A., & Seifert, A. (2022). Retrospective feelings of loneliness during the COVID-19 pandemic among residents of long-term care facilities. *Aging and Health Research*, 2(1), 100053. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahr.2022.100053>
- Ickert, C., Stefaniuk, R., & Leask, J. (2021). Experiences of long-term care and supportive living residents and families during the COVID-19 pandemic: "It's a lot different for us than it is for the average joe". *Geriatric Nursing*, 42(6), 1547–1555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.10.012>
- Jones, K. F., Washington, J., Kearney, M., & Best, M. C. (2020). Responding to the "unknown assailant": A qualitative exploration with Australian health and aged care chaplains on the impact of COVID-19. *Journal of Health Care Chaplaincy*, 28, 295–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08854726.2020.1861536>
- Kaelen, S., van den Boogaard, W., Pellecchia, U., Spiers, S., de Cramer, C., Demaegd, G., Fouqueray, E., van den Bergh, R., Goublomme, S., Decroo, T., Quinet, M., van Hoof, E., & Draguez, B. (2021). How to bring residents' psychosocial wellbeing to the heart of the fight against Covid-19 in Belgian nursing homes—A qualitative study. *PLoS One*, 16(3 March), e0249098. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249098>
- Kelley-Gillespie, N. (2009). An integrated conceptual model of quality of life for older adults based on a synthesis of the literature. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 4(3), 259–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-009-9075-9>
- Levere, M., Rowan, P., & Wysocki, A. (2021). The adverse effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on nursing home resident well-being. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 22(5), 948–954.e942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.03.010>
- Lood, Q., Haak, M., & Dahlin-Ivanoff, S. (2021). Everyday life in a Swedish nursing home during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative interview study with persons 85 to 100 years. *BMJ Open*, 11(6), e048503. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048503>
- Munn, Z., Porritt, K., Lockwood, C., Aromataris, E., & Pearson, A. (2014). Establishing confidence in the output of qualitative research synthesis: The ConQual approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 14(1), 1–7.
- Murphy, E., Doyle, M., McHugh, S., & Mello, S. (2022). The lived experience of older adults transferring between long-term care facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 48(1), 29–33. <https://doi.org/10.3928/00989134-20211206-04>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Page, S., Davies-Abbott, I., & Jones, A. (2021). Dementia care from behind the mask? Maintaining well-being during COVID-19 pandemic restrictions: Observations from dementia care mapping on NHS mental health hospital wards in Wales. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 28(6), 961–969. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12763>
- Pereiro, A. X., Dosal-Díaz, C., Mouriz-Corbelle, R., Pereira-Rodríguez, S., Nieto-Vietes, A., Pinazo-Hernandis, S., Pinazo-Clapés, C., & Facal, D. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on a long-term care facility: The role of social contact. *Brain Sciences*, 11(8), 986. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11080986>
- Pérez-Rodríguez, P., Díaz de Bustamante, M., Aparicio Mollá, S., Arenas, M. C., Jiménez-Armero, S., Lacosta Esclapez, P., González-Espinoza, L., & Bermejo Boixareu, C. (2021). Functional, cognitive, and nutritional decline in 435 elderly nursing home residents after the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *European Geriatric Medicine*, 12(6), 1137–1145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-021-00524-1>
- Savci, C., Cil Akinci, A., Yildirim Usenmez, S., & Keles, F. (2021). The effects of fear of COVID-19, loneliness, and resilience on the quality of life in older adults living in a nursing home. *Geriatric Nursing*, 42(6), 1422–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2021.09.012>
- Simard, J., & Volicer, L. (2020). Loneliness and isolation in long-term care and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 21(7), 966–967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2020.05.006>
- Solić, M., Bulka, I., Mikšić, Š., Mudri, Ž., Lovrić, R., Jakab, J., Včev, A., Kralj, M., & Vujančić, J. (2021). Identification of risk psychosocial factors as predictors of loneliness of elderly in nursing homes during social isolation due to COVID-19 pandemic. *Collegium Antropologicum*, 45(2), 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.5671/ca.45.2.11>
- Srifuengfung, M., Thana-udom, K., Ratta-apha, W., Chulakadabba, S., Sanguanpanich, N., & Viravan, N. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on older adults living in long-term care centers in Thailand, and risk factors for post-traumatic stress, depression, and anxiety. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 295, 353–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.08.044>
- Swift, C. (2020). Being there, virtually being there, being absent: Chaplaincy in social care during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Health and Social Care Chaplaincy*, 8(2), 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.1558/HSCC.41870>
- Ventegodt, S., Kandel, I., Ervin, D. A., & Merrick, J. (2016). Concepts of holistic care. In *Health Care for People with intellectual and developmental disabilities across the lifespan* (pp. 1935–1941). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18096-0_148
- Verity, R., Okell, L. C., Dorigatti, I., Winskill, P., Whittaker, C., Imai, N., Cuomo-Dannenburg, G., Thompson, H., Walker, P. G. T., Fu, H., Dighe, A., Griffin, J. T., Baguelin, M., Bhatia, S., Boonyasiri, A., Cori, A., Cucunubá, Z., FitzJohn, R., Gaythorpe, K., ... Ferguson, N. M. (2020). Estimates of the severity of coronavirus disease 2019: A model-based analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(6), 669–677. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30243-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30243-7)
- Whittemore, R., & Knaf, K. (2005). The integrative review: Updated methodology. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 52(5), 546–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x>
- World Health Organisation. (2020). *Preventing and managing COVID-19 across long-term care services: Policy brief*. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Policy_Brief-Long-term_Care-2020.1
- Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., Niu, P., Zhan, F., Ma, X., Wang, D., Xu, W., Wu, G., Gao, G. F., & Tan, W. (2020). A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(8), 727–733. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoA2001017>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Jackson, N., Turner, M., & Paterson, C. (2023). What are the holistic care impacts among individuals living through the COVID-19 pandemic in residential or community care settings? An integrative systematic review. *International Journal of Older People Nursing*, 00, e12557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/opn.12557>