REVIEW ARTICLE



Flavonoid as possible therapeutic targets against COVID-19: a scoping review of *in silico* studies

Larissa Toigo¹ · Emilly Isabelli dos Santos Teodoro² · Ana Carolina Guidi² · Naiara Cássia Gancedo² · Marcus Vinícius Petruco³ · Eduardo Borges Melo¹ · Fernanda Stumpf Tonin^{4,5} · Fernando Fernandez-Llimos⁶ · Danielly Chierrito^{1,7} · João Carlos Palazzo de Mello² · Daniela Cristina de Medeiros Araújo⁷ · Andréia Cristina Conegero Sanches¹

Received: 8 April 2022 / Accepted: 25 April 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Tehran University of Medical Sciences 2023

Abstract

Objectives This scoping review aims to present flavonoid compounds' promising effects and possible mechanisms of action on potential therapeutic targets in the SARS-CoV-2 infection process.

Methods A search of electronic databases such as PubMed and Scopus was carried out to evaluate the performance of substances from the flavonoid class at different stages of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Results The search strategy yielded 382 articles after the exclusion of duplicates. During the screening process, 265 records were deemed as irrelevant. At the end of the full-text appraisal, 37 studies were considered eligible for data extraction and qualitative synthesis. All the studies used virtual molecular docking models to verify the affinity of compounds from the flavonoid class with crucial proteins in the replication cycle of the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Spike protein, PLpro, 3CLpro/ MPro, RdRP, and inhibition of the host's ACE II receptor). The flavonoids with more targets and lowest binding energies were: orientin, quercetin, epigallocatechin, narcissoside, silymarin, neohesperidin, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, and delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside.

Conclusion These studies allow us to provide a basis for in vitro and in vivo assays to assist in developing drugs for the treatment and prevention of COVID-19.

Keywords Coronavirus · Flavonoids · Respiratory Syndrome · In silico

Andréia Cristina Conegero Sanches andreiaconegero@gmail.com

- ¹ Centro de Ciências Médicas e Farmacêuticas, Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Cascavel, Brazil
- ² Laboratório de Biologia Farmacêutica, Departamento de Farmácia, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Maringá, Brazil
- ³ Clínica de Reumatologia-Pneumologia Laboratório do Sono de Maringá e Hospital Bom Samaritano de Maringá, Maringá, Brazil
- ⁴ Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, Brazil
- ⁵ H&TRC- Health & Technology Research Center, ESTeSL-Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁶ Departamento de Farmácia Social, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade do Porto, Lisboa, Portugal
- ⁷ Centro Universitário Ingá UNINGÁ, Maringá, Brazil

Introduction

The coronavirus 2019 disease (COVID-19), caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, has burdened global healthcare systems in an unprecedented way. Several scientific studies have been produced to reduce the impacts of this disease and side effects by developing new pharmacological treatments, vaccines, and faster and more sustainable diagnostic techniques. Among them, drug-repurposing studies have investigated the use of monoclonal antibodies (tocilizumab), antineoplastics (imatinib), immunosuppressants (mycophenolate mofetil), antiparasitics (niclosamide), and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs steroids (glucocorticoids) [1].

Natural products are common models for synthesizing new antiviral drugs due to their availability in the nature and variability of compounds with therapeutic potential. Around 50% of all approved drugs between 1981–2019 were derivatives from natural products, including active ingredients, such as flavonoids that can be found in several plant species, such as chamomile, mint, orange, lemon, apple, grape, among others [2].

Some flavonoids have important biological activities, such as antivirals (amentoflavone, baicalein, kaempferol, myricitrin, orientin, rutin), anti-inflammatory (hesperidin, phacelianin), antibacterial (naringenin), and immunomodulatory (fisetin, luteolin), and they can be used the prevention and treatment of different kind of diseases [3, 4]. The chemical structures of these natural metabolites are commonly composed of hydrophobic aromatic rings, hydroxyl groups, and sugar moieties (glucosides) that promote molecular interactions observed in silico studies [5].

Computer-Aided Drug Design (CADD) methodologies are used to study and develop new drugs or to reposition old drugs [6–8]. Molecular modeling is defined as the investigation of the structures and molecular properties of the substances of interest employing computational chemistry and graphic visualization techniques. Therefore, the researchers may obtain chemical or biological models, which are then submitted to specific computational programs to visualize, simulate, and interpret interrelated systems, such as those involved in drug-receptor interaction. These combined methods have the advantage of guiding a more assertive development of drugs and the possibility of reducing the wastage of time and investments in molecules with low therapeutic potential [9, 10].

Some recent studies highlight the potential antiviral effects of flavonoids against COVID-19. Santana et al. [11] showed that quercetin, apigenin, vitexin, baicalein, hesperidin, naringin, rutin, luteolin, and myricitrin were effective in reducing some of the main respiratory symptoms caused by COVID-19. An *in silico* approach using molecular docking to assess the inhibition of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein revealed that naringin has minimal binding energy [12]. Similarly, Rameshkumar et al. [13] showed that agathisflavone and albireodelphin had high binding energies against RdRP and spike proteins, respectively. Five molecules were identified as potent inhibitors of the COVID-19 virus: albireodelphin, apigenin- 7-(6"-malonylglucoside), cyanidin-3-(p-coumaroyl)-rutinoside-5-glucoside, delphinidin-3,3-O-diglucoside-5-(6-p-coumarylglucoside) and (-)-maackiain-3-O-glucosyl-6"-O-malonate).

In the review study by Khazdair et al. [14], the flavonoid quercetin, when together with apigenin and isorhamnetin, inhibits the life cycle of the hepatitis C virus. In treating SARS-CoV-2, quercetin has been shown to act on the caspase 3, MAPK1, and NF- κ B signaling pathways effectively to suppress high levels of cytokines and block binding sites on the surface peaks of SARS-CoV2 and prevent the spread of the virus.

Continuing the study of Khazdair et al. [14], an *in silico* research, it acts as a potentially highly effective disruptor

of the initial infection process by binding to the interface between the SARS-CoV-2 viral spike protein and the ACE2 protein of epithelial cells.

Few other studies on this topic are available, like the study of Kaul et al. [15]. Our study aims to systematically synthesize the available data on the therapeutic potential of this class of natural substances for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection, as well as their mechanisms of action, through a broad scoping review.

Methods

This scoping review was designed according to the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist [16], Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2, and Joanna Briggs Institute methodology for scoping reviews [17, 18]. This study was registered in the OSF (Open Science Framework), which can be found via the https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/7QXV8.

The search was performed in PubMed and Scopus electronic databases, on August 27th, 2020, without language or publication date limits. The main descriptors were related to COVID-19 and flavonoids (see the complete search strategy in appendix A provided in the supplemental material). Manual searches on the reference list of included studies were also conducted.

We included in silico studies that evaluated the use of flavonoids (any type and in any dose/regimen), alone or combined with other substances, for the management of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infections at any stage. During the screening phase (title and abstract) and full-text eligibility phase, articles were excluded if they were: (i) written in non-Roman characters; (ii) designed as case report; (iii) simple reviews; (iv) non-systematic; (v) systematic reviews with meta-analysis; (vi) systematic reviews without meta-analysis; (vii) about analyses of synthetic or; (viii) semi-synthetic flavonoids (see list of inclusion and exclusion criteria for studies in appendix B provided in the supplemental material).

A standardized form was used to extract the following data: study baseline characteristics (author, publication date), interventions/targets, PDB code, computer programs, and main effects/outcomes. These data were extracted from each article and synthetically transformed into the table presented in this study.

Two authors performed all steps in the study selection and data extraction phases independently, with a third author resolving discrepancies during the consensus meetings.

Results

The search strategy yielded 382 articles after the exclusion of duplicates. During the screening process, 265 records were deemed as irrelevant. From the 117 studies read in total, 80 were excluded, and 37 studies were included for qualitative synthesis (see Studies excluded after full reading in appendix C provided in the supplemental material). No additional article was added from the manual search (Fig. 1).

Table 1 below shows the main characteristics of these 37 studies included. All of these studies were designed as in silico essays, in which virtual molecular docking models were used to identify SARS-CoV-2 binding potential compounds, such as flavonoids.

The studies were published in 2020 and 2021, in the following countries: Australia (n = 1), Bangladesh (n = 1), China (n = 4), Egypt (n = 2), Germany (n = 1), India (n = 14), Iran (n = 1), Nigeria (n = 1), Pakistan (n = 1), Republic of Korea (n = 2), Saudi Arabia (n = 2), Spain (n = 1), South Africa (n = 1), Switzerland (n = 1), Taiwan (n = 1), United Kingdom (n = 1), and United States of America (n = 2).

The Schrodinger package, Amber18 software package, I-Tasser, Gromacs, PyRx, AutoDock, PharmaGist web server, Maestro package, MOE pharmacophore editor, Molegro Virtual Docker software, Ligplot software, Gold software, server SwissDock, Pymol, Discovery Studio software, Procheck web server, PerkinElmer, BiocManager Package, PatchDock web server, Austin Model-1, Open Babel software, UCSF Chimera, and Raccoon software were used as the main computer programs.

The main viral proteins analyzed were Glycoprotein S (Spike Protein) (n = 7 studies; 18.91%), Papain-type viral protease (PLpro) (n = 5 studies; 13.51%), Chymotrypsin-type viral protease (3CLpro) (n = 12; studies; 32.43%), Main protein (MPro) (n = 11; 29.73%), RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase (RdRP) (n = 6; 16.22%), and inhibition of the host's ACE II receptor (n = 11; 29.73%). (Complete information on the main flavonoid chemical structure is available in Appendix D of the supplementary material).

Studies of the Spike protein evaluated a total of 26 different flavonoids: apigenin, biochanin A, calophyllolide, kaempferol, cyanidin, daidzein, delphinidin, diosmetin, eriodictyol, fisetin, genistein, hesperidin, liquiritin, luteolin, tangeritin, malvidin, myricetin, morin, naringenin, orientin, pelargonidin, peonidin, phloridzin, quercetin, silymarin/ silibinin (silybin A), vitexin. With the most promising anti-viral compounds being: biochanin A (-78.41 kcal/mol); calophyllolide and eriodictyol (-7.90 kcal/mol); fisetin (-8.50 kcal/mol); hesperidin (-7.4 kcal/mol); quercetin (-86.22 kcal/mol); luteolin (-7.00 kcal/mol); orientin (-72.30 kcal/mol) [19–25].



Fig. 1 Flowchart of the study selection process

Author and Year	Country	Flavonoids evaluated	Programs	Target protein and PDB code	Main results
Chikhale et al. 2020 [91]	United Kingdom	Neohesperidin, myricitrin, quer- citrin, naringin, icariin	UniProtKB, Swiss-Model, Schrodinger Package	TMPRSS2 (serine transmembrane protease 2) (1Z8G)	Neohesperidin obtained the best bonding energy (-66.53 kcal/ mol for Prime MM-GBSA), (-12,77 kcal/mol for Glide Score and Dock Score)
Bhowmik et al. 2020 [92]	India	Rutin	I-TASSER, PyRx, AutoDock, Genbank, Gromacs	Envelope (2MM4), membrane (4f91B) and nucleocapsid (6M3M)	Rutin showed better binding energy for envelope protein (-9.30 kcal/mol)
Hamza et al. 2021 [93]	Pakistan	Kaempferol	Mascot Server, Pymol, and BIO- VIA Discovery Studio	Viral peptides	Kaempferol showed the best binding energy for viral peptides (-6.20 kcal/mol)
Fakhar et al. 2021 [94]	South Africa	Delphinidin-3-sambubioside- 5-glucoside, delphinidin 3,30-di-glucoside-5-(6- <i>p</i> -cou- marylglucoside), pelargonidin	Package Maestro, Pubchem, AMBER, QikProp Module	Mpro (6LU7)	Delphinidin-3-sambubioside- 5-glucoside had the best binding energy (-12.37 kcal/mol)
Chitranshi et al. 2020 [29]	Australia	Apigenin, luteolin, quercetin, amentoflavone, bilobetin, ginkgetin	Clustal Omega Server, Interac- tive Tree of Life (iTOL) online tool, Austin Model-1, Open Babel software, Chimera soft- ware, AutoDock, Pubchem	3CLpro (6Y2G)	Amentoflavone showed the best binding energy (-8.49 kcal/mol)
Joshi et al. 2021 [35]	India	Cyanidin, kaempferol, rutin, gal- locatechin, epigallocatechin, quercetin, eriodictyol	MEGA software, FigTree software, Cytoscape, Auto- Dock, AutoGrid, Pubchem, UniProtKB database, Swis- sADME Server	Mpro (6Y2F), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) (7BTF), ACE II (2AJF)	Quercetin and eriodictyol pre- sented the best binding energy for Mpro (-9.90 kcal/mol) Epigallocatechin for RdRP (-12.90 kcal/mol) Cyanidin for ACE II (-8.20 kcal/ mol)
Mahdian et al. 2020 [19]	Iran	Hesperidin	SWISS-MODEL, Drug Bank database, AutoDock, Gromacs package, PyRx tool	3CLpro, Plpro, TMPRSS2 (ser- ine transmembrane protease 2), spike protein	Hesperidin presented the best binding energy for 3CLpro (-8.00 kcal/mol); for PLpro (-9.40 kcal/mol); for TMPRSS2 (-6.10 kcal/mol) and for protein spike (-7,40 kcal/mol)
Meyer-Almes 2020 [33]	Germany	Naringin, epicatechin, Homoori- entin, proanthocyanidin, rutin, and quercetin	EOM pharmacophore editor, AutoDock, PyRx, Virtual screening using MOE ZINC15 database, AMBER	3CLpro (6LU7)	Naringin presented the best bond- ing energy (-9.70 kcal/mol)
Maiti and Banerjee 2020 [38]	India	Catechin, Catechin gallate, Epicatechin 3–O-gallate, epi- gallocatechin, epigallocatechin 3-gallate, gallocatechin, gal- locatechin gallate, theaflavin monogallate, and theaflavin digallate	PatchDock web server, Auto- Dock, RCSB—PDB	ACE II (4APH)	Theaflavin monogallate presented the best binding energy for ACE II (- 6.72 kcal/mol)

Table 1Main characteristics and results of the 37 in silico studies

Author and Year	Country	Flavonoids evaluated	Programs	Target protein and PDB code	Main results
Wang et al. 2020 [42]	China	Quercetin, formononetin, luteolin	BiocManager, Sybyl package, Software Cytoscape	Not applicable (Interleukins)	Quercetin showed the C-score above 3 for all tested targets
Vijayakumar et al. 2020 [22]	India	Luteolin, apigenin, tangeri- tin, kaempferol, quercetin, myricetin, fisetin, hesperi- din, naringenin, eriodictyol, liquiritin, genistein, daidzein, calophyllolide, cyanidin, del- phinidin, malvidin, pelargoni- din, peonidin, phloridzin	SWISS-MODEL, PROCHECK, AutoDock, PerkinElmer Chem3D, PyRx, the Molinspi- ration, pkCSM and RCSB	RNA-dependent RNA poly- merase (RdRP) (6M71), main protease (M pro) (6YB7) and Spike protein (S) (6LZG)	Calophyllolide showed the best binding energy for RdRP (-8.70 kcal/mol) and for Mpro (-9,30 kcal/mol Eriodictyol and calophyllolide showed the best binding energy for protein spike (-7.90 kcal/ mol)
Jo et al. 2020 [5]	Republic of Korea	Baicalin, herbacetin, pectolinarin	Protein Preparation Wizard, Schrodinger Package (Maes- tro)	3CLpro (6LU7)	Pectolinarin presented the best bonding energy (-10.97 kcal/ mol)
Khalifa et al. 2020 [32]	Egypt	Phacelianin, gentiodelphin, cyanidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-rutinoside, pelargonidin 3-glucoside, delphinidin 3-sambudiglucoside	MOE (Molecular Operat- ing Environment Software), PubChem, RCSB, GROMOS Software	3CLpro (6y84)	Cyanidin 3-rutinoside presented the best bonding energy (-17.02 kcal/mol)
Abian et al. 2020 [95]	Spain	Quercetin	AutoDock	3CLpro (6Y2E)	Quercetin showed the best bind- ing energy (-7.50 kcal/mol)
Singh et al. 2020 [102]	India	EGCG (epigallocatechin- 3-gallate), theaffavin (TF1), theaffavin-3'-0-gallate (TF2a), theaffavin-3'-gallate (TF2b), theaffavin-3,3'-digallate (TF3), hesperidin, quercetagetin, and myricitrin	AutoDock, Swiss Target Prediction, PubChem, RCSB, AMBER, PkCSM Tool	RNA-dependent RNA polymer- ase (RdRP) (6M71)	Theaflavin-3,3'-digallate showed the best binding energy (-9.90 kcal/mol)
Pandey et al. 2020 [21]	United States	Apigenin, luteolin, quercetin, kaempferol, fisetin, genistein	AutoDock, MGL Tools, PyMol, PubChem, RCSB	ACE II (6VYB), spike protein	Fisetin and quercetin showed identical and better bind- ing energy for spike protein (-8.50 kcal/mol) Quercetin showed the best bind- ing energy ACE II (-22.17 kcal/ mol)
Sharma and Shanavas 2020 [39]	India	Delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, avicularin	Package Schrödinger, RCSB – PDB, Swiss ADME software	Mpro (6lu7), ACE II (1R4L)	Delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside showed the best binding energy for Mpro (-12.20 kcal/mol); ACE II (-13.60 kcal/mol)
Fatoki et al. 2021 [26]	Nigeria	Quercetin, kaempferol	PyMol, AutoDock, Intact, Uniprot, DynaMine Server, Expression2Kinases Software, SwissADME	3CLpro (2XYR), PLpro (3VB6), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (5Y3E)	Quercetin showed the best binding energy for 3Clpro (-8.20 kcal/ mol); for PLpro (-10.20 kcal/ mol); for RdRP (-9.20 kcal/mol)

Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)					
Author and Year	Country	Flavonoids evaluated	Programs	Target protein and PDB code	Main results
Maurya et al. 2020 [20]	India	Quercetin, luteolin, naringenin	Molegro Virtual Docker, Pubchem, swissADME, admetSAR	ACE II (6VXX), Protein S (spike) (1R42)	Quercetin showed the best bind- ing energy for spike protein (-86.22 kcal/mol) and for ACE II (-92.05 kcal/mol)
Tao et al. 2020 [34]	China	Quercetin, kaempferol, isorham- netin, baicalein, naringenin, and formonoetin	Cytoscape, AutoDock, Pymol, Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Data- base and Analysis Platform	ACE II (6lu7), 3CLpro (1r42)	Baicalein presented the best binding energy for 3CLpro (- 7.80 kcal/mol) Quercetin showed the best binding energy for ACE II (-8.40 kcal/ mol)
Kandeel et al. 2021 [27]	Saudi Arabia	Quercetin	Maestro Package, AMBER	PLpro (6w9c)	Quercetin showed the best bind- ing energy (-7.75 kcal/mol)
Alagu et al. 2021 [24]	India	Orientin, vitexin	Autodock, Gromacs simulation package	Protein spike (S) (5R82), ACE II (6VYB), Mpro (1R42)	Orientin presented the best binding energy for Mpro (-90.20 kcal/mol); for protein spike (-72.30 kcal/mol); ACE II (-70.60 kcal/mol)
Chikhale et al. 2020 [36]	India	Quercetin	Schrodinger Package, glide XP, PubChem, RCSB, AMBER	ACE II (6M0J)	Quercetin showed the best binding energy for ACE II (-4.41 kcal/ mol)
Narkhede et al. 2020 [96]	India	Hesperetin	AutoDock, Pymol, Discovery Studio Visualizer, PubChem, RCSB, SwissADME	Mpro (6LU7)	Hesperetin showed the best bind- ing energy (-7.90 kcal/mol)
Ruan et al. 2020 [41]	China	Kaempferol, quercetin, 2-methyl-7-methoxy-4'-nitro- isoflavone, naringenin, formononetin	AutoDock, Discovery software, PyMOL software, Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology Database and Analysis Platform, Cytoscape Software	Not applicable (interleukins)	All substances showed energy above -5.00 kcal/mol
Fischer et al. 2020 [97]	Switzerland	Rhamnetin	AutoDock, Maestro Package, Protein Data Bank, Virtual- ToxLab	Mpro (6LU7)	Rhamnetin presented the best binding energy for Mpro (-8.20 kcal/mol)
Yu et al. 2020 [23]	China	Luteolin	AutoDock, NCBI, RCSB	3CLpro (6LU7), PLpro (4OVZ), RdRP (6NUS) and Spike protein (6VSB)	Luteolin showed the best binding energy for 3 CLpro (-5.37 kcal/ mol); for RdRP (-7.80 kcal/ mol); for protein spike (-7.00 kcal/mol); for PLpro (-6.80 kcal/mol)
Das et al. 2020 [98]	India	Rutin, hesperidin, epigallocat- echin gallate, epigallocatechin, myricitrin, quercitrin, glabri- din, rhoifolin, vitexin	SwissDock server, Pymol	Mpro (6Y84)	Rutin presented the best binding energy (-9.55 kcal/mol)

Author and Year	Country	Flavonoids evaluated	Programs	Target protein and PDB code	Main results
Islam et al. 2020 [99]	Bangladesh	Baicalin, glabridin, cyanidin 3-glucoside, apigenin, querce- tin. luteolin	Autodock, Gold software, admetSAR	Mpro (6LU7)	Cyanidin 3-glucoside presented the best binding energy (-8.40 kcal/mol)
Jo et al. 2020 [31]	Republic of Korea	Herbacetin, rhoifolin, pectolina- rin, kaempferol, morin	Schrodinger Package (Maestro), PubChem, RCSB	3CLpro (4WY3)	Rhoifolin showed the best binding energy (-9.56 kcal/mol)
Alamri et al. 2020 [28]	Saudi Arabia	Luteolin and kaempferol	Autodock, PyRx, GROMACS Software, SwissParam	RNA polymerase dependent on viral RNA (RdRP) (6M71), 3CLpro (6W63) and papain as protease (PLpro) (6W9C)	Luteolin showed the best binding energy for RdRP (-9.80 kcal/ mol)
Dubey and Dubey 2020 [30]	India	Narcissoside	Software Molegro Virtual Docker (MVD), Pubchem	3CLpro (6W63)	Narcissoside showed the best binding energy (-180.74 kcal/ mol)
Joshi et al. 2020 [37]	India	Quercetin, Chrysoeriol, delphini- din-3-0-glucoside	PharmaGist web servers, Ligplot Software, PubChem, RCSB, DruLiTo software, admetSar	Mpro (6LU7), ACE II (1R4L)	Quercetin showed the best bind- ing energy for Mpro (-8.30 kcal/ mol; for ACE II (-11.30 kcal/ mol)
Lung et al. 2020 [100]	Taiwan	Theaflavin	Modeller; Chimera, SWISS- MODEL, ZINC15 database, Blind Docking server	RNA-dependent RNA polymer- ase (RdRP)	Theaflavin showed the best bind- ing energy (-9.11 kcal/mol)
Owis et al. 2020 [101]	Egypt	Kaempferol	DMP, RCSB	Mpro (6LU7)	Kaempferol showed the best bind- ing energy (-8.12 kcal/mol)
Glinsky 2020 [40]	United States	Quercetin	Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)	ACE II	There was no apply binding energy
Gorla et al. 2021 [25]	India	Biochanin A, silibinin (silybin A), silymarin, malvidin, morin, quercetin and diosmetin	Molegro Virtual Docker, NCBI, RCSB, Swiss ADME software	ACE II (6VW1) and protein spike	Biochanin A showed the best binding energy for protein spike (-78,41 kcal/mol) Silymarin/ silibinin (silybin A) showed the best binding energy for ACE II (- 121.28 kcal/mol)
DMP: Data Management Plan; PKCSM tool: Prediction of phar access to data from the macromo	MOE: Molecular O macokinetic and toxic olecular structure of th	perating Environment Software; N sity properties of small molecules u he PDB file	CBI: National Center for Biotech sing chart-based signatures; RCSB	inology Information; PDB Code: : Architectural advances in search	Protein data bank database code; for integrated research and efficient

Table 1 (continued)

Quercetin also presented effects on the inhibition of PLpro, according to Fatoki et al. [26] and Kandeel et al. [27] (binding energies of -10.20 kcal/mol and -7.75 kcal/mol, respectively). These results were similar for hesperidin (binding energy of -9.40 kcal/mol) [19] and luteolin (binding energy of -6.80 kcal/mol) [23].

Among the flavonoids with the potential to inhibit 3CLpro, those that showed the best results were amentoflavone, baicalein, cyanidin 3-rutinoside, hesperidin, kaempferol, luteolin, narcissoside, naringin, pectolinarin, quercetin, and rhoifolin [19, 23, 26, 28–34]. In this scenario Narcissoside has the highest binding energy (-180.74 kcal/mol) [30]. On the other hand, epigallocatechin presented binding energy above -12.90 kcal/mol against RdRP [35]. Finally, the most promising flavonoids inhibiting the host's ACE II receptor were cyaniding, delphinidin, orientin, quercetin, silymarin/silibinin (silybin A), and theaflavin monogallate with binding energies varying from -4.76 kcal/mol until -121.28 kcal/mol [20, 21, 24, 25, 35–39].

Three of the studies evaluated for data extraction (Glinsky [40], Ruan et al. [41] Wang et al. [42]) used some flavonoids to build molecular maps guided by genomic regulatory elements by analyzing gene silencing and overexpression experiments. Quercetin was a flavonoid identified as a supposed mitigation agent for COVID-19. There is a change in the expression of human genes encoding SARS-CoV-2 target proteins when, by structural similarity, the flavonoid develops as an inhibitor interfering with the functions of SARS-CoV-2 viral proteins in human cells.

The different binding energy values occurred due to the various programs used in the articles since each program has a different algorithm and formula for calculating energy [43]. Despite the heterogeneity between the methodologies used, ranking the most promising flavonoids was suggested, classified according to the software used, lower binding energy, and the number of targets (Table 2).

The main chemical structures of flavonoids numbered in sequence (1 to 29), are shown bellow in Fig. 2. Being that the flavonoids that were considered as the most promising according to binding energy, were the sequence 1 to 8, as described below. The sequence 9 to 29 are the other flavonoids highlighted, according to the studies included in this review.

Within the different versions of the AutoDock program, the most promising flavonoids are orientin (1), whose energy ranged from -90.20 kcal/mol to -70.60 kcal/mol; quercetin (2), ranging from -22.17 kcal/mol to -7.50 kcal/mol; and epigallocatechin (3), with energy of -12.90 kcal/mol. Regarding the number of targets, among the articles included in this review, quercetin (2) presented binding energy in six different targets, orientin in three different targets, and epigallocatechin only one target.

Moreover, with the versions of Molegro Virtual Docker software, narcissoside (4) showed the lowest energy (-180.74 kcal/mol). In this program, the most promising flavonoids are narcissoside (4), with an energy of -180.74 kcal/ mol and silymarin (5), with an energy of -121.28 kcal/mol, both of which were bound to only one type of target. While with the Schrodinger Package, neohesperidin (6) had the lowest energy, with -15.83 kcal/mol. In this program, the most promising flavonoids are neohesperidin (6), with -15.83 kcal/mol; delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside (7), with energy ranging from -13.60 kcal/mol to -12.20 kcal/mol; and delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside (8), with the lowest energy of -12.37 kcal/mol for only one type of target. In addition to that, appendix D of supplementary material, includes the other chemical structures of the flavonoids (30 to 76) investigated in the studies included in this review.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive scoping review to systematically synthesize the available evidence on the potential therapeutic effects of different flavonoids against SARS-CoV-2.

We included 37 articles that refer to in silico models. A recent study design that guides the development of other in vivo and in vitro studies, favoring resource savings and providing insights into the most effective components, speeding up the development process and research direction.

Within molecular mechanics studies, molecules are sets of atoms linked together by harmonic or elastic forces. These have been described as potential energy functions of structural contributions and unbound interactions. When these forces are added together, they form the force field, which can have adjustable parameters to improve the set of properties of the molecule [44, 45].

In this study, we present molecular docking, a molecular modeling method that seeks to predict the structures of receptor-ligand complexes target of interest. The main tools used are the search algorithm and an energy-scoring function. The scoring evaluates the binding energy of interaction between the ligand and the target receptor, classifying the best binding modes interactions between anchored ligands and proteins and predicting possible modes of action or lack thereof. Compounds with lower binding energy may have a higher affinity for target proteins [46, 47].

At the beginning of the pandemic several studies sought to compare the already studied SARS-CoV and the new SARS-CoV-2. Through homology modeling, it was revealed that the Mpro, RdRP, and Spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 are remarkably similar to SARS-CoV. Thus, anti-coronavirus drug design strategies could be classified through the inhibition of proteins such as Mpro or

Table 2	Classification	of	energies,	programs,	and	targets
---------	----------------	----	-----------	-----------	-----	---------

Target	Flavonoid	Binding energy	Program	Author and Year
3 CLpro	Luteolin	-5.37 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Yu et al. 2020
3CLpro	Naringin	-9.70 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Meyer-Almes 2020
3CLpro	Hesperidin	-8.00 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Mahdian et al. 2020
3CLpro	Quercetin	-7.50 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Abian et al. 2020
3Clpro	Quercetin	-8.20 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Fatoki et al. 2021
3CLpro	Baicalein	-7.80 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Tao et al. 2020
3CLpro	Amentoflavone	-8.49 kcal/mol	AutoDock v4.2	Chitranshi et al. 2020
3CLpro	Narcissoside	-180.74 kcal/mol	Molegro Virtual Docker*	Dubey and Dubey 2020
3CLpro	Rhoifolin	-9.56 kcal/mol	Package Schrodinger software suite (Maestro, version 11.8.012)	Jo et al. 2020
3CLpro	Pectolinarin	-10.97 kcal/mol	Package Schrodinger software suite (Maestro, version 11.8.012)	Jo et al. 2020
3CLpro	Cyanidin 3-rutinoside	-17.02 kcal/mol	MOE (Molecular Operating Environment Software)*	Khalifa et al. 2020
ACE II	Quercetin	-11.30 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Joshi et al. 2020
ACE II	Cyanidin	-8.20 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Joshi et al. 2021
ACE II	Quercetin	-8.40 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Tao et al. 2020
ACE II	Orientin	-70.60 kcal/mol	AutoDock v4.2	Alagu et al. 2021
ACE II	Quercetin	-4.41 kcal/mol	AutoDock v4.2	Chikhale et al. 2020
ACE II	Quercetin	-22.17 kcal/mol	AutoDock Raccoon	Pandey et al. 2020
ACE II	Quercetin	-92.05 kcal/mol	Molegro Virtual Docker v3.0.0	Maurya et al. 2020
ACE II	Silymarin	-121.28 kcal/mol	Molegro Virtual Docker v6.0	Gorla et al. 2021
ACE II	Delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside	-13.60 kcal/mol	Glide package of Schrodinger chemical simula- tion software*	Sharma and Shanavas 2020
ACE II	Theaflavin monogallate	-6.72 kcal/mol	PatchDock web server*	Maiti and Banerjee 2020
Envelope protein	Rutin	-9.30 kcal/mol	AutoDock 4 and vina	Bhowmik et al. 2020
Mpro	Eriodictyol	-9.90 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Joshi et al. 2021
Mpro	Quercetin	-9.90 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Joshi et al. 2021
Mpro	Cyanidin 3-glucoside	-8.40 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Islam et al. 2020
Mpro	Quercetin	-8.30 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Joshi et al. 2020
Mpro	Calophyllolide	-9,30 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Vijayakumar et al. 2020
Mpro	Rhamnetin	-8.20 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Fischer et al. 2020
Mpro	Hesperetin	-7.90 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.0	Narkhede et al. 2020
Mpro	Orientin	-90.20 kcal/mol	AutoDock v4.2	Alagu et al. 2021
Mpro	Delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside	-12.20 kcal/mol	Glide package of Schrodinger chemical simula- tion software*	Sharma and Shanavas 2020
Mpro	Delphinidin-3-sambubio- side-5-glucoside	-12.37 kcal/mol	Package Schrodinger software suite (Maestro, version 11.6)	Fakhar et al. 2021
Mpro	Rutin	-9.55 kcal/mol	Swissdock*	Das et al. 2020
Mpro	Kaempferol	-8.12 kcal/mol	London dG score*	Owis et al. 2020
PLpro	Hesperidin	-9.40 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Mahdian et al. 2020
PLpro	Luteolin	-6.80 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Yu et al. 2020
PLpro	Quercetin	-10.20 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Fatoki et al. 2021
PLpro	Quercetin	-7.75 kcal/mol	Package Schrodinger (Maestro)*	Kandeel et al. 2021
Protein Spike	Quercetin	-8.50 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Pandey et al. 2020
Protein Spike	Hesperidin	-7,40 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Mahdian et al. 2020
Protein Spike	Luteolin	-7.00 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina*	Yu et al. 2020
Protein Spike	Eriodictyol	-7.90 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Vijayakumar et al. 2020
Protein Spike	Calophyllolide	-7.90 kcal/mol	AutoDock vina v1.1.2	Vijayakumar et al. 2020
Protein Spike	Orientin	-72.30 kcal/mol	AutoDock v4.2	Alagu et al. 2021
Protein Spike	Quercetin	-86.22 kcal/mol	Molegro Virtual Docker v3.0.0	Maurya et al. 2020

Target Flavonoid Binding energy Program Author and Year Biochanin A Protein Spike -78.41 kcal/mol Molegro Virtual Docker v6.0 Gorla et al. 2021 RdRP Epigallocatechin -12.90 kcal/mol AutoDock vina* Joshi et al. 2021 RdRP Luteolin -7.80 kcal/mol AutoDock vina* Yu et al. 2020 RdRP Theaflavin-3,3'- digallate -9.90 kcal/mol AutoDock vina v1.1.2 Singh et al. 2020 RdRP Luteolin -9.80 kcal/mol AutoDock vina v1.1.2 Alamri et al. 2020 RdRP Ouercetin -9.20 kcal/mol AutoDock vina v1.1.2 Fatoki et al. 2021 RdRP Calophyllolide -8.70 kcal/mol AutoDock vina v1.1.2 Vijayakumar et al. 2020 RdRP Theaflavin -9.11 kcal/mol Blind Docking server* Lung et al. 2020 Fisetin -8.50 kcal/mol AutoDock vina* Spike Protein Pandey et al. 2020 TMPRSS2 Hesperidin -6.10 kcal/mol Mahdian et al. 2020 AutoDock vina* TMPRSS2 Neohesperidin Chikhale et al. 2020 -66.53 kcal/mol Glide package of Schrodinger molecular modelling suite (Glide Score)* TMPRSS2 Neohesperidin Glide package of Schrodinger molecular mod-Chikhale et al. 2020 -12.77 kcal/mol elling suite (Dock Score)* TMPRSS2 Neohesperidin -12.77 kcal/mol Glide package of Schrodinger molecular mod-Chikhale et al. 2020 elling suite (Prime MM-GBSA)* Viral peptides Kaempferol -6.20 kcal/mol AutoDock vina* Hamza et al. 2021

*Version not specified by the authors

Table 2 (continued)

enzymes that are necessary for replication and synthesis of viral RNA (RdRP) or inhibition of structural proteins such as the spike protein to adhere to host cells by inhibiting domain 2 of the angiotensin-converting enzyme [48–50].

The replicative cycle of SARS-CoV-2 begins with the interaction of the spike glycoprotein (S) located in the viral envelope, responsible for the crown conformation allocated to the Coronaviridae family, with the cell receptor of the angiotensin-converter enzyme 2 (ACE II), located on the surface of the target cell. The link between glycoprotein ACE II is responsible for the tropism of the virus by the host cell [51, 52].

After the adoption and penetration stages, denudation occurs, in which there is the release of the genetic material (RNA) of the virus in the cytoplasm of the host cell. The virus carries the viral proteins that are necessary for its initial survival in the target cell, involved in the process of transcription and viral replication (e. g. nucleocapsid contains papain-type viral proteases (PLpro), chymotrypsin proteases (3CLPro, also called MPro), in addition to RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, helicase, and RNA replicase) [53, 54].

The replication strategies of a β -coronavirus are based on the initial translation of genomic RNA into a precursor polyprotein, which is processed into non-structural proteins. Thus, genomic RNA is used as a mold by an RNA-dependent viral replication (RdRP) for the complete transcription of a simple negative RNA tape, serving as a template for the transcription of subgenomic messenger Rs RNA used to encode viral structural proteins and transcribing new genomic RNA, originating new viruses [55]. Flavonoids are phenolic compounds subdivided into different groups, including flavonols, flavones, flavanones, catechins, anthocyanins, isoflavones, dihydrophenols, and chalcones. These natural products are known to have antiviral activity, acting mainly on the viral DNA polymerase enzyme and having hydroxyl groups that favor interactions with crucial residues. Additionally, the position and number of hydrogen bonds are essential in the analysis of the inhibitory potential against the SARS-CoV-2 virus due to their binding affinity and amino acid interactions (glycine, alanine, serine, histidine, asparagine, glutamine, cysteine, proline, tyrosine, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, phenylalanine, valine, tryptophan, threonine, lysine, leucine, isoleucine, and methionine) [56].

Within the viral cycle, the SARS-CoV-2 replication mechanism was primarily led by RdRP, a complex of nonstructural proteins [57, 58]. Viral RNA is translated into various polyproteins by the main protease (Mpro) action on SARS-CoV-2. In molecular modeling, the removal/mutation of the amino acids presented in each target, showed the loss of Mpro activation and reversion to the protomer form as well as the spike (S) protein, which attacks human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptors [59–61]. The human equivalent for this particular protease is absent, making this a safe target for anti-SARS-CoV-2 agents.

Figure 3 shows the most promising targets and flavonoids obtained from the 37 studies analyzed, according to our research on the reproductive cycle of SARS-CoV-2.

The search for new substances to treat a disease, and even a new condition, is based on the search for articles that present some confirmation of substances that promoted positive Fig. 2 Chemical structures described in the most promising results of the 37 in silico studies drawn by ChemDraw version 14.0.0.118. The chemical structures of flavonoids mentioned, correspond to: (1) orientin; (2) quercetin; (3) epigallocatechin; (4) narcissoside; (5) silymarin; (6) neohesperidin; (7) delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside; (8) delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside; (9) amentoflavone; (10) baicalein; (11) biochanin A; (12) calophyllolide; (13) cyanidin; (14) cyanidin-3-glucoside; (15) cyaniding-3-rutinoside; (16) eriodictyol; (17) fisetin; (18) hesperidin; (19) hesperitin; (20) kaempferol; (21) luteolin; (22) naringin; (23) pectolinarin; (24) rhamnetin; (25) rhoifolin; (26) rutin; (27) theaflavin; (28) theaflavin-3,3'- digallate; (29) theaflavin monogallate



effects in similar diseases. Thus, this work shows research and studies with flavonoids that had a positive influence on diseases similar to SARS-CoV-2, influencing the development of in silico studies, and through the promising results, encourage the research of these flavonoids for in vitro and in vivo studies. Among all the flavonoids, compounds from the flavones class were the first to be associated with antiviral properties. In the late 1940s, quercetin was described as having a "prophylactic effect" against the rabies virus in infected rats [62]. We found this compound with promising activity against SARS-CoV-2 (energies ranging from -4.41 to -92.05 kcal/

ō⊦

(21)

(24)

HO

(27)

'nн

OF





mol). Previous studies show quercetin can bind with glycoproteins from the viral envelope and cellular receptors by modifying their chemical structure and blocking the virusbinding site. Brum et al. [63] demonstrated a reduction in the virucide activities of some viruses with this substance, while Carvalho et al. [64] confirmed its effects against canine parvovirus in vitro studies.

Other flavonoids, such as rutin, amentoflavone, baicalein, myricitrin, and kaempferol are also related to antiviral activity, respectively, against HIV, herpes simplex, human cytomegalovirus, African swine fever, and influenza A, H1N1 and H9N2 [62, 65]. In the review study by Khazdair et al. [14], it was shown in research results that kaempferol suppresses the activity of influenza viruses, such as H1N1 and H9N2, and shown greats results for in vitro studies for hepatitis B virus, in addition, to presenting other in vitro study, where MH-S cells infected by the H9N2 influenza viruses were treated with kaempferol (50 mM) and this significantly reduced the accumulation of ROS, malondialdehyde, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6. In another dosage, 100 µmol/L,ç completely inhibited the replication of bovine herpesvirus 1 in Madin-Darby bovine renal cells.

Still in the review study by Khazdair et al. [14], in an in vivo study, kaempferol at a dosage of 15 mg/kg reduced pulmonary edema, wet/dry lung weight, myeloperoxidase activity, pulmonary capillary permeability, and the number of inflammatory cells in mice infected with kaempferol. H9N2 influenza virus. For SARS-CoV, he demonstrated that there is potency to block a cation-selective channel that is expressed in the infected cell.

When quercetin and kaempferol are joined, there is a binding to the proteins of SARS-CoV2, which is involved in inflammatory responses and the modulation of the immune system through modification in the expression of cyclooxy-genase 2, interleukins, MAPKs, alteration of the signaling cascade [14].



Fig. 3 SARS-CoV-2 life cycle in the main flavonoids discuss in the scoping review. 1. Shows the main structural and non-structural target proteins of SARS-CoV-2 discussed in the review. The most promising flavonoids analyzed in silico study for (A) protein S were quercetin, eriodictyol, hesperidin, calophyllolide, fisetin, orientin, luteolin, and biochanin A; (B) ORF 1a (PLpro) were quercetin, eriodictyol, hesperidin; (B) ORF 1a (Mpro) were rutin, kaempferol, delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside, quercetin, eriodictyol, calophyllolide, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, orientin, rhamnetin, and cyanidin-3-glucoside; (B) ORF 1a (3CLpro) were amentoflavone,

Rutin promotes the normalization of resistance and permeability of the wall of lymphatic and venous vessels. In studies conducted on guinea pig ileum, this compound acted as a non-competitive inhibitor of ACE II and prostaglandin E2 [66], which may justify its antiviral effect, including against SARS-CoV-2. Myricitrin has recently been associated with activities against HIV, influenza, and leukemia [67]. The flavone baicalein from Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi (Lamiaceae) has been described as an anti-HIV compound, as it leads to a dose-dependent inhibition of both the HIV-1 protein and reverse transcriptase. It also interferes with the interaction between viral envelope proteins and CD4 + cells, thus reducing the virus binding capacity to the host cell [68, 69].

Orientin, a flavonoid from the Trollius chinensis Bunge (Ranunculaceae) flowers, is currently used in treating respiratory tract infections in Asian countries [70]. This compound could be a promising alternative against COVID-19 as it inhibits the spike protein. We also found the compound luteolin with high binding energy against several SARS-CoV-2 targets (e.g., PLpro, 3CLpro, RdRP, ACE II), which could be further investigated in future trials. This substance inhibits the activation of T cells and the release of inflammatory cytokines by microglia [71].

Some anthocyanins previously demonstrated antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (e.g., inhibition of LDL

quercetin, hesperidin, naringin, pectolinarin, cyanidin-3-rutinoside, baicalein, luteolin, rhoifolin, and narcissoside; (C) ORF 1b (RdRP) were quercetin, epigallocatechin, calophyllolide, theaflavin-3,3'digallate, luteolin, and theaflavin. In 2, the main cellular targets discussed in this study are shown, being (D) ACE 2 and (E) TMPRSS2, and the flavonoids observed for these targets were for (D) quercetin, cyanidin, theaflavin monogallate, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, orientin, and silymarin/Silibinin (silybin A); and for (E) neohesperidin, hesperidin

oxidation) and the potential to decrease the risk of cardiovascular diseases and cancer [72–76]. Compounds such as phacelianin and cyanidin additionally have anti-mutagenic and antiviral activities [77–79].

The delphinidins – i.e., delphinidin-3,30-di-glucoside-5-(6-p-coumarylglucoside) and delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside – act on platelet activity by decreasing the expression of activated $\alpha II\beta 3$ integrin on platelets, inhibiting the platelet aggregation in trials with agonists ADP (Adenosine Diphosphate) and TRAP (Thrombin Receptor Activator Peptide) thrombin agonist, which contributes to the prevention of thrombosis. Additionally, they may improve some endothelial functions by increasing nitric oxide synthesis and reducing platelet aggregation. Other effects of these substances include hepatic protection, antitumor, and anti-inflammatory vascular effects [80, 81].

Compounds such as gallocatechin and neohesperidin (dihydrochalcones class) have proved antioxidant activity similar to vitamin C and vitamin E. Thus, they can strengthen the immune system and help inhibit the action of free radicals, especially in the cardiovascular system [82, 83].

Among the flavanones, hesperidin may play a significant role in the human system as an anti-inflammatory, since it inhibits both the cyclooxygenase (COX) and lipoxygenase pathways [84, 85]. Its anti-inflammatory effects are additionally associated with the inhibition of the synthesis of prostaglandins (PGE2 and PGE2a) [86]. Other substances of this class, such as naringin, also present protective effects on several systems (i.e., renal, cardiovascular, hepatic, intestinal microbiota, and immunological) due to their biological properties as antioxidant, antitumor, antiviral, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, antiadipogenic, and cardio-protective [87].

Among the isoflavones, formononetin presents an important activity against ACE II and 3CLpro. This substance has anti-inflammatory and antioxidant action through the decrease in the formation of free radicals, preventing lipid peroxidation [88], including in the central nervous system [89].

The study by Kaul et al. [15] shows in their review that several in vitro studies explored the anti-SARS-CoV-2 action of flavonoids through the guidance of in silico studies associated with additional in vitro or in vivo investigations of anti-SARS-CoV and anti-MERS-CoV activities of various flavonoids studied. It is also cited from 2021 studies with clinical trials in patients with COVID-19 showing the promising effect of quercetin.

Computational molecular modeling precedes in vitro and in vivo studies, demonstrating great possibilities of interaction through molecular docking between compounds and the molecular target [90].

In summary, all the studies used virtual molecular docking models to verify the affinity of compounds from the flavonoid class with crucial proteins in the replication cycle of the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Spike protein, PLpro, 3CLpro/ MPro, RdRP, and inhibition of the host's ACE II receptor). The flavonoids that showed the lowest binding energies and more targets were orientin, quercetin, epigallocatechin, narcissoside, silymarin, neohesperidin, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, and delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside.

This study has some limitations. No statistical synthesis of the evidence (i.e., employing meta-analysis) was possible since the heterogeneity between studies (e.g., study design and methods used/programs, type of flavonoid, outcome measure) is very high. Thus, other natural compounds (alone or combined) may have some impact in this context and should be better evaluated in other studies. The focus of this review was on the antiviral effects of flavonoids.

Conclusion

In silico models demonstrated that some flavonoids showed the lowest binding energies and most numbers of targets (ranging from three to six), such as orientin, quercetin, epigallocatechin, narcissoside, silymarin, neohesperidin, delphinidin-3,5-diglucoside, and delphinidin-3-sambubioside-5-glucoside, and they showed promising antiviral activities against SARS-CoV-2 through different mechanisms of action. Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s40199-023-00461-3.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). We would like to thank the Programa de Graduação em Ciências Farmacêuticas at Universidade Estadual do Oeste do Paraná, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Centro Universitário Ingá, Universidade do Porto and Universidade Federal do Paraná.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in relation to the data presented in this publication.

References

- Grando RL, Oliveira ACD, Fierro IM. The repositioning of drugs as a potential strategy for the treatment of COVID-19. Observatory on Science, Technology and Innovation in Health at the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation. 2020, p.1–9. Available from: http://observatorio.fiocruz.br/estudos/o-reposicionamentode-farmacos-como-uma-potencial-estrategia-para-o-trata mento-da-covid-19.
- Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the nearly four decades from 01/1981 to 09/2019. J Nat Prod. 2020;83:770–803. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatp rod.9b01285.
- Aviran M, Dornfelt L, Rosenblat M. Pomegranate juice consumption reduces oxidative stress, atherogenic modifications to LDL, and plaquet aggregation: studies in human and in atherosclerotic apolipoprotein E- deficient mice. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;71(5):1062–76. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/71.5.1062.
- Mani JS, Johnson JB, Steel JC, Broszczak DA, Neilsen PM, Walsh KB, Naiker M. Natural product-derived phytochemicals as potential agents against coronaviruses: A review. Virus Res. 2020;284:197989. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020. 197989.
- Jo S, Kim S, Kim DY, Kim MS, Shin DH. Flavonoids with inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2020;35(1):1539–44. https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366. 2020.1801672.
- Singh S, Malik BK, Sharma DK. Molecular drug targets and structure-based drug design: a holistic approach. Bioinformation. 2006;1:314–20. https://doi.org/10.6026/97320630001314.
- Ekins S, Mestres J, Testa BB. *In silico* pharmacology for drug discovery: applications to targets and beyond. J Pharmacol. 2007;152:21–37. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707306.
- Czodrowski P, KriegL JM, Scheuerer S, Fox T. Computational approaches to predict drug metabolism. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2009;5(1):15–27. https://doi.org/10.1517/1742525080 2568009.
- Henckel JG, Billings EM. Molecular modeling. In: Foye WO, Lemke TL, Williamns DA (Eds.). Principles of medicinal chemistry (4^a ed.). Media: Williams e Wilkins; 1995. p.57–58.
- Sant'Anna CMR. Glossary of terms used in drug planning (IUPAC Recommendations for 1997). New Chem. 2002;25:505– 12. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40422002000300027.
- 11. Santana FPR, Thevenard F, Gomes KS, Taguchi L, Câmara NOS, Stilhano RS, Ureshino RP, Prado CM, Lago JHG. New

perspectives on natural flavonoids on COVID-19-induced lung injuries. Phytother Res. 2021;35(9):1–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.7131.

- 12 Jain AS, Sushma P, Dharmashekar C, Beelagi MS, Prasad SK, Shivamallu C, Prasad KS. *In silico* evaluation of flavonoids as effective antiviral agents on the spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2021;28(1):1040–51. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.11.049.
- Rameshkumar MR, Indu P, Arunagirinathan N, Venkatadri B, El-serehy HA, Ahmad A. Computational selection of flavonoid compounds as inhibitors against SARS-CoV-2 main protease, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and spike proteins: A molecular docking study. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2021;28(1):448–58. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.10.028.
- Khazdair MR, Anaeigoudari A, Agbor GA. Anti-viral and antiinflammatory effects of kaempferol and quercetin and COVID-2019: A scoping review. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2021;11:327–34. Available from: https://www.apjtb. org/text.asp?2021/11/8/327/319567
- 15 Kaul R, Paul P, Kumar S, Büsselberg D, Dwivedi VD, Chaari A. Promising antiviral activities of natural flavonoids against SARS-CoV-2 targets: systematic review. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(20):11069. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222011069.
- Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, Moher D, Peters MD, Horsley T, Weeks L, Hempel S. PRISMA extension for scope reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169(7):467–73.
- 17. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.2. Cochrane. 2021. Disponível em. www. training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI). Methodology for JBI scoping reviews Joanna Briggs Institute. Manual. 2015. Retrieved 17 June 2021, from. https://joannabriggs.org/assets/docs/sumri/Reviewers-Manual_Methodology-for-JBI-Scoping-Reviews_2015_v2.pdf.
- Mahdian S, Ebrahim-Habibi A, Zarrabi M. Drug repurposing using computational methods to identify therapeutic options for COVID-19. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2020;19(2):691–9. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s40200-020-00546-9.
- Maurya VK, Kumar S, Prasad AK, Bhatt MLB, Saxena SK. Structure-based drug designing for potential antiviral activity of selected natural products from Ayurveda against SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein and its cellular receptor. Virus Dis. 2020;31(2):179–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13337-020-00598-8.
- Pandey P, Rane JS, Chatterjee A, Kumar A, Khan R, Prakash A, Ray S. Targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike protein of COVID-19 with naturally occurring phytochemicals: an *in silico* study for drug development. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;39(16):6306–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1796811.
- 22. Vijayakumar BG, Ramesh D, Joji A, Jayachandra PJ, Kannan T. In silico pharmacokinetic and molecular docking studies of natural flavonoids and synthetic indole chalcones against essential proteins of SARS-CoV-2. Eur J Pharmacol. 2020;886:173448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173448.
- Yu R, Chen L, Lan R, Shen R, Li P. Computational screening of antagonists against the SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) coronavirus by molecular docking. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;56(2):106012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020. 106012.
- 24 Alagu Lakshmi S, Shafreen RMB, Priya A, Shunmugiah KP. Ethnomedicines of Indian origin for combating COVID-19 infection by hampering the viral replication: using structure-based drug discovery approach. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(13):459404609. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102. 2020.1778537.

- 25. Gorla US, Rao GK, Kulandaivelu US, Alavala RR, Panda SP. Lead finding from selected flavonoids with antiviral (SARS-CoV-2) potentials against COVID-19: an in-silico Evaluation. Comb Chem High Throughput Screen. 2021;24(6):879–90. https://doi.org/10.2174/1386207323999200818162706.
- 26. Fatoki TH, Ibraheem O, Ogunyemi IO, Akinmoladun AC, Ugboko HU, Adeseko CJ, Awofisayo OA, Olusegun SJ, Enibukun JM. Network analysis, sequence and structure dynamics of key proteins of coronavirus and human host, and molecular docking of selected phytochemicals of nine medicinal plants. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(16):6195–217. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1794971.
- Kandeel M, Abdelrahman AHM, Oh-Hashi K, Ibrahim A, Venugopala KN, Morsy MA, Ibrahim MAA. Repurposing of FDA-approved antivirals, antibiotics, anthelmintics, antioxidants, and cell protectives against SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(14):5129–36. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1784291.
- Alamri MA, Altharawi A, Alabbas AB, Alossaimi MA, Alqahtani SM. Structure-based virtual screening and molecular dynamics of phytochemicals derived from Saudi medicinal plants to identify potential COVID-19 therapeutics. Arab J Chem. 2020;13(9):7224–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc. 2020.08.004.
- Chitranshi N, Gupta VK, Rajput R, Godinez A, Pushpitha K, Shen T, Mirzaei M, You Y, Basavarajappa D, Gupta V, Graham SL. Evolving geographic diversity in SARS-CoV2 and *in silico* analysis of replicating enzyme 3CL(pro) targeting repurposed drug candidates. J Transl Med. 2020;18:278. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12967-020-02448-z.
- Dubey K, Dubey R. Computation screening of narcissoside a glycosyloxyflavone for potential novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) inhibitor. Biomedical Journal. 2020;43:363–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2020.05.002.
- 31 Jo S, Kim S, Shin DH, Kim MS. Inhibition of SARS-CoV 3CL protease by flavonoids. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2020;35(1):145–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.2019. 1690480.
- 32. Khalifa I, Nawaz A, Sobhy R, Althwab SA, Barakat H. Polyacylated anthocyanins constructively network with catalytic dyad residues of 3CL(pro) of 2019-nCoV than monomeric anthocyanins: A structural-relationship activity study with 10 anthocyanins using in-silico approaches. J Mol Graph Model. 2020;100:107690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmgm.2020. 107690.
- Meyer-Almes FJ. Repurposing approved drugs as potential inhibitors of 3CL-protease of SARS-CoV-2: Virtual screening and structure based drug design. Comput Biol Chem. 2020;88:107351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2020. 107351.
- 34. Tao Q, Du J, Li X, Zeng J, Tan B, Xu J, Lin W, Chen XL. Network pharmacology and molecular docking analysis on molecular targets and mechanisms of Huashi Baidu formula in the treatment of COVID-19. Drug Dev Ind Pharm. 2020;46(8):1345–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/03639045.2020.1788070.
- Joshi RS, Jagdale SS, Bansode SB, Shankar SS, Tellis MB, Pandya VK, Chugh A, Giri AP, Kulkarni MJ. Discovery of potential multi-target-directed ligands by targeting host-specific SARS-CoV-2 structurally conserved main protease. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(9):3099–114. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102. 2020.1760137.
- Chikhale RV, Gurav SS, Patil RB, Sinha SK, Prasad SK, Shakya A, Shrivastava SK, Gurav NS, Prasad RS. Sars-cov-2 host entry and replication inhibitors from Indian ginseng: an in-silico approach. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;24:1–15. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07391102.2020.1784289.

- 37. Joshi T, Sharma P, Mathpal S, Pundir H, Bhatt V, Chandra S. In silico screening of natural compounds against COVID-19 by targeting Mpro and ACE2 using molecular docking. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2020;24(8):4529–36. https://doi.org/10. 26355/eurrev_202004_21036.
- Maiti S, Banerjee A. Epigallocatechin gallate and theaflavin gallate interaction in SARS-CoV-2 spike-protein central channel with reference to the hydroxychloroquine interaction: Bioinformatics and molecular docking study. Drug Dev Res. 2020;7. https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.21730. https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.21730.
- Sharma P, Shanavas A. Natural derivatives with dual binding potential against SARS-CoV-2 main protease and human ACE2 possess low oral bioavailability: a brief computational analysis. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;1–12:5819–5830. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1794970.
- 40. Glinsky GV. Tripartite combination of candidate pandemic mitigation agents: vitamin D, quercetin, and estradiol manifest properties of medicinal agents for targeted mitigation of the COVID-19 pandemic defined by genomics-guided tracing of SARS-CoV-2 targets in human cells. Biomedicines. 2020;8(5):129. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8050129.
- 41. Ruan X, Du P, Zhao K, Huang J, Xia H, Dai D, Huang S, Cui X, Liu L, Zhang J. Mechanism of Dayuanyin in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 based on network pharmacology and molecular docking. Chin Med J. 2020;15:62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-020-00346-6.
- 42. Wang M, Fu D, Yao L, Li J. Theoretical study of the molecular mechanism of maxingyigan decoction against COVID-19: network pharmacology-based Strategy. Comb Chem High Throughput Screen. 2020. https://doi.org/10.2174/1386207323 666200806164635.
- 43 Ferreira LG, Dos Santos RN, Oliva G, Andricopulo AD. Molecular docking and structure-based drug design strategies. Molecules. 2015;20(7):13384–421. https://doi.org/10.3390/molec ules200713384.
- Coelho LW, Junqueira GMA, Herrera JOM, Machado SP. Aplicação de mecânica molecular em química inorgânica. Quim Nova. 1999;22(3):396–404. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-40421999000300018.
- Barreiro EJ, Fraga CAM. Química Medicinal, As Bases Moleculares da Ação dos Fármacos. 3rd ed. Porto Alegre: Artmed Editora; 2015. p. 608.
- Alonso H, Bliznyuk AA, Gready JE. Combining docking and molecular dynamic simulations in drug design. Med Res Rev. 2006;26(5):531–68. https://doi.org/10.1002/med.20067.
- 47. Cheng T, Li Q, Zhou Z. Structure-based virtual screening for drug discovery: a problem-centric review. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2012;14(1):137. https://doi.org/10.1208/ s12248-012-9322-0.
- Elfiky AA. Ribavirina, remdesivir, sofosbuvir, galidesivir e tenofovir contra RNA polimerase dependente de RNA SARS-CoV-2 (RdRp): um estudo de encaixe molecular. Ciênc Vida. 2020;253:117592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117592.
- 49. Gao Y, Yan L, Huang Y, Liu F, Zhao Y, Cao L, Wang T, Sun Q, Ming Z, Zhang L, Ge J, Zheng L, Zhang Y, Wang H, Zhu Y, Zhu C, Hu T, Hua T, Zhang B, Yang X, Li J, Yang H, Liu Z, Xu W, Guddat LW, Wang Q, Lou Z, Rao Z. Estrutura da RNA polimerase dependente de RNA do vírus COVID-19. Ciência. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb7498.
- 50. Yin W, Mao C, Luan X, Shen DD, Shen Q, Su H, Wang X, Zhou F, Zhao W, Gao M, Chang S, Xie YC, Tian G, Jiang HW, Tao SC, Shen J, Jiang Y, Jiang H, Xu Y, Zhang S, Zhang Y, Xu HE. Base estrutural para a inibição da RNA polimerase dependente de RNA de SARS-CoV-2 por remdesivir. Ciência. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc1560.

- Donoghue M, Hsieh F, Baronas E, Godbout K, Gosselin M, Stagliano N, Donovan M, Woolf B, Robison K, Jeyaseelan R, Breitbart RE, Acton S. A novel angiotensin-converting enzymerelated carboxypeptidase (ACE2) converts angiotensin i to angiotensin 1–9. Circ Res. 2000;87(5):E1-9. https://doi.org/10.1161/ 01.res.87.5.e1.
- Shereen MA, Khan S, Kazmi A, Bashir N, Siddique R. COVID-19 infection: Origin, transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. J Adv Res. 2020;24:91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jare.2020.03.005.
- 53 Parks JM, Smith JC. How to discover antiviral drugs quickly. N Engl J Medi. 2020;382(23):2261–4. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMcibr2007042.
- 54 Shang J, Wan Y, Luo C, Ye G, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(21):11727–34. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.20031 38117.
- Romanos MTV, de Santos NS, O; Wigg MD, Virologia Humana. 3a ed. Guanabara Koogan: Rio de Janeiro; 2015.
- Middleton EJR, Kandaswam C, Theoharides TC. The effects of plant flavonoids on mammalian cells: implications for inflammation, heart disease and cancer. Pharmacol Rev. 2000;52(4):673–751.
- 57. Jacome R, Becerra A, Ponce de Leon S, Lazcano A. Análise estrutural de polimerases dependentes de RNA monoméricas: implicações evolutivas e terapêuticas. Apr Plos One. 2015;10. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139001.
- 58. Tan YW, Fung TS, Shen H, Huang M, Liu DX. Coronavirus proteínas não estruturais do vírus da bronquite infecciosa 8 e 12 formam um complexo estável independente das regiões não traduzidas do RNA viral e outras proteínas virais. Virologia. 2018;513:75–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.10.004.
- South AM, Tomlinson L, Edmonston D, Hiremath S, Sparks MA. Controvérsias da inibição do sistema renina-angiotensina durante a pandemia de COVID-19. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2020. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41581-020-0279-4.
- Wang Q, Wong G, Lu G, Yan J. Proteína de pico Gao GF MERS-CoV: alvos para vacinas e terapêuticas. Antiviral Res. 2016;133:165–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.07. 015.
- Zhang H, Penninger JM, Li Y, Zhong N, Slutsky AS. Enzima conversora de angiotensina 2 (ACE2) como um receptor SARS-CoV-2: mecanismos moleculares e potencial alvo terapêutico. Ter Intensiva Med. 2020;46:586–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-020-05985-9.
- 62. Wang HK, Xia Y, Yang ZY, Jiang S. Recent advances in the Discovery and development of flavonoides and their analogues as antitumor and anti-HIV agentes. Flavonoids in the Living System. Manthey and Buslig Plenum Press, New York. 1998;439:191-225https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-5335-9_ 15.
- 63. Brum LP. Antiviral activity of phenolic compounds (ferulic and transcinnamic acids) and flavonoids (quercetin and kaempferol) on bovine herpesvirus 1, bovine herpesvirus 5 and canine distemper virus. 2006. Doctoral Thesis (Doctorate in Agricultural Biochemistry). Federal University of Viçosa, Viçosa, Minas Gerais; 2006.
- 64. Carvalho OV, Oliveira FS, Saraiva GL, Botelho CV, Ferreira HCC, Santos MR, Silva Júnior A, Almeida MR. Antiviral potential of quercetin on canine parvovirus. Arq Bras Med Vet Zootec. 2013;65(2):353-358, Belo Horizonte. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0102-09352013000200008
- Jo S, Kim S, Shin DH, Kim MS. Inhibition of African swine fever virus protease by myricetin and myricitrin. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem. 2020;35(1):1045–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366. 2020.1754813.

- Pathak D, Pathak K, Singla AK. Flavonoids as medicinal agents: recent advances. Phytotherapy. 1991;57(5):371–89.
- Zakaryan H, Arabyan E, Oo A, Zandi K. Flavonóides: Promising natural compounds against viral infections. Adv Virol. 2017;162:2539–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00705-017-3417-y.
- Li BQ, Tao FU, Dongyan Y, Kang EA. Flavonoid baicalein inhibits HIV-1 Infecction at the level of viral entry. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2000;276:534–8. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2000.3485.
- Asres K, Seyoum A, Veeresham C, Bucar F, Gibbons S. Naturally derived anti-HIV agents. Phytother Res. 2005;19(7):557–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1629.
- Li Y, Ma S, Yang Y, Ye S, But PP. Antiviral activities of flavonoids and organic acid from Trollius chinensis Bunge. J Ethnopharmacol. 2002;79:365–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-8741(01)00410-x.
- 71 Theoharides TC, Stewart JM, Hatziagelaki E, Kolaitis G. Brain "fog," inflammation and obesity: key aspects of neuropsychiatric disorders improved by luteolin. Front Neurosci. 2015;9:225. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00225.
- Chang YC, Huang KX, Huang AC, Ho YC, Wang CJ. Hibiscus anthocyanins-rich extract inhibited LDL oxidation and oxLDL-mediated macrophages apoptosis. Food Chem Toxicol. 2006;44(7):1015–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2005.12.006.
- Chen PN, Kuo WH, Chiang CL, Chiou HL, Shou YS, Chuc SC. Black rice anthocyanins inhibit cancer cells invasion via repressions of MMPs and u-PA expression. Chem Biol Interact. 2006;163(3):218–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2006.08.003.
- 74. Toufektsian MC, De Lorgeril M, Nagy N, Salen P, Donati MB, Giordano L, Mock HP, Peterek S, Matros A, Petroni K, Pilu R, Rotillo D, Tonelli C, De Leiris J, Boucher F, Martin C. Chronic dietary intake of plant-derived anthocyanins protects the rat heart against ischemia reperfusion injury. J Nutr. 2008;138(4):747–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/138.4.747.
- Garcia-Alonso M, Minihane AM, Rimbach G, Rivas-Gonzalo JC, Tereza SP. Red wine anthocyanins are rapidly absorbed in humans and affect monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 levels and antioxidant capacity of plasma. J Nutr Biochem. 2009;20(7):521– 9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2008.05.011.
- Xia M, Ling W, Zhu H, Ma J, Wang Q, Hou M, Tang Z, Guo H, Liu C, Ye Q. Anthocyanin attenuates CD40-mediated endothelial cell activation and apoptosis by inhibiting CD40-induced MAPK activation. Atherosclerosis. 2009;202(1):41–7. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.atherosclerosis.2008.04.005.
- 77 Galvano F, La Fauci L, Lazzarino G, Fogliano V, Ritieni A, Ciappellano S, Battistini NC, Tavazzi B, Galvano G. Cyanidins: metabolism and biological properties. J Nutr Biochem. 2004;15(1):2–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2003.07.004.
- 78 Gerardi C, Frassinetti S, Caltavuturo L, Leone A, Lecci R, Calabriso N. Anti-proliferative, anti-inflammatory and antimutagenic activities of a Prunus mahaleb L. anthocyanin-rich fruit extract. J Funct Foods. 2016;27:537-e548. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jff.2016.09.024.
- Mohammadi PP, Fakhri SS, Asgary MH, Farzaei J, Echeverría,. Signaling Thevias and Therapeutic Targets of Antiviral Agents: Focusing on Antiviral Approaches and Clinical Perspectives of Anthocyanins in Viral Disease Management, Frente. Pharmacology. 2019;10:1207. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.01207.
- Patel K, Patel DK. Medicinal importance, pharmacological activities, and analytical aspects of hispidulin: A concise report. J Tradit Complement Med. 2016;7(3):360–6. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jtcme.2016.11.003.
- Watson RR, Schönlau F. Nutraceutical and antioxidant effects of a delphinidin-rich maqui berry extract Delphinol®: a review. Minerva Cardioangiol. 2015;63(2 Suppl 1):1–12.

- Tomonori N, Tadashi H, Tokimitsu I. A green tea extract high in catechins reduces body fat and cardiovascular risks in humans. Obesity. 2007;15:1473–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2007.176.
- 83 Stompor M, Broda D, Bajek-Bil A. Dihydrochalcones: Acquisition methods and pharmacological properties. A first systematic review. Molecules. 2019;24(24):4468. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules24244468.
- Ferrandiz ML, Alcaraz MJ. Antiinflammatory activity and inhibition of arachidonic acid metabolism by flavonoids. Agents Actions. 1991;32(3–4):283–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF019 80887.
- 85 Simões CM, Schenkel EP, Bauer L, Langeloh A. Pharmacological investigations on Achyrocline satureioides (LAM.) DC., Compositae. J Ethnopharmacol. 1988;22(3):281–93. https://doi. org/10.1016/0378-8741(88)90239-5.
- Garg A, et al. Chemistry and pharmacology of the Citrus bioflavonoid hesperidin. Phytother Res. 2001;15(8):655–69. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1074.
- Salehi B, cols. The therapeutic potential of naringenin: A review of clinical trials. Pharmaceuticals. 2019;12(1):11.https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ph12010011.
- Mu H, Bai YH, Wang ST, Zhu ZM, Zhang YW. Research on antioxidant effects and estrogenic effect of formononetin from Trifolium pratense (red clover). Phytomedicine. 2009;16(4):314–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2008.07.005.
- Sun M, Zhou T, Zhou L, Chen Q, Yu Y, Yang H, Zhong K, Zhang X, Xu F, Cai S, Yu A, Zhang H, Xiao R, Xiao D, Chui D. Formononetin protects neurons against hypoxia-induced cytotoxicity through upregulation of ADAM10 and sAβPPα. J Alzheimers Dis. 2012;28(4):795–808.
- 90. Yang H, Yang M, Ding Y, Liu Y, Lou Z, Zhou Z, Sun L, Mo L, Ye S, Pang H, Gao GF, Anand K, Bartlam M, Hilgenfeld R, Rao Z. The crystal structures of severe acute respiratory syndrome virus main protease and its complex with an inhibitor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003;100:13190. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1835675100.
- Chikhale RV, Gupta VK, Eldesoky GE, Wabaidur SM, Patil SA, Islam MA. Identification of potential anti-TMPRSS2 natural products through homology modelling, virtual screening and molecular dynamics simulation studies. Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics. 2020;1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 07391102.2020.1798813.
- 92. Bhowmik D, Nandi R, Jagadeesan R, Kumar N, Prakash A, Kumar D. Identification of potential inhibitors against SARS-CoV-2 by targeting proteins responsible for envelope formation and virion assembly using docking based virtual screening, and pharmacokinetics approaches. InfectGenet Evol. 2020;84:104451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104451.
- 93. Hamza M, Ali A, Khan S, Ahmed S, Attique Z, Ur Rehman S, Khan A, Ali H, Rizwan M, Munir A, Khan AM, Siddique F, Mehmood A, Nouroz F. nCOV-19 peptides mass fingerprinting identification, binding, and blocking of inhibitors flavonoids and anthraquinone of Moringa oleifera and hydroxychloroquine. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(11):4089–99. https://doi.org/10. 1080/07391102.2020.1778534.
- 94 Fakhar Z, Faramarzi B, Pacifico S, Faramarzi S. Anthocyanin derivatives as potent inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease: An in-silico perspective of therapeutic targets against COVID-19 pandemic. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2021;39(16):6171–83. https:// doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1801510.
- Abian O, Ortega-Alarcon D, Jimenez-Alesanco A, Ceballos-Laita L, Vega S, Reyburn HT, Rizzuti B, Velazquez-Campoy A. Structural stability of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and identification of quercetin as an inhibitor by experimental screening. Int J Biol Macromol. 2020;164:1693–703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbio mac.2020.07.235.

- 96 Narkhede RR, Pise AV, Cheke RS, Shinde SD. Recognition of natural products as potential inhibitors of COVID-19 Main Protease (Mpro): In-Silico Evidences. Nat Prod Bioprospect. 2020;10(5):297–306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13659-020-00253-1.
- Fischer A, Sellner M, Neranjan S, Smieško M, Lill MA. Potential inhibitors for novel coronavirus protease identified by virtual screening of 606 million compounds. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(10):3626. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21103626.
- Das S, Sarmah S, Lyndem S, Singha Roy A. An investigation into the identification of potential inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2 main protease using molecular docking study. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;39(9):3347–3357. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020. 1763201.
- Islam R, Parves MR, Paul AS, Uddin N, Rahman MS, Mamun AA, Hossain MN, Ali MA, Halim MA. A molecular modeling approach to identify effective antiviral phytochemicals against the main protease of SARS-CoV-2. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1761883.
- 100. Lung J, Lin YS, Yang YH, Chou YL, Shu LH, Cheng YC, Liu HT, Wu CY. The potential chemical structure of anti-SARS-CoV-2 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. J Med Virol. 2020;92(6):693–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25761.

- Owis AI, El-Hawary MS, El Amir D, Aly OM, Abdelmohsen UR, Kamel MS. Molecular docking reveals the potential of Salvadora persica flavonoids to inhibit COVID-19 virus main protease. RSC Adv. 2020;10:19570–5. https://doi.org/10.1039/ D0RA03582C.
- 102. Singh S, Sk MF, Sonawane A, Kar P, Sadhukhan S. Plant-derived natural polyphenols as potential antiviral drugs against SARS-CoV-2 via RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) inhibition: an in-silico analysis. J Biomol Struct Dyn. 2020;39(16):6249-6264.https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2020.1796810.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.