

MESTRADO INTEGRADO EM MEDICINA

2022/2023

Ricardo André Marques Mocho

The role of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of adult gliomas

Março, 2023

FMUP

U. PORTO

**FM
UP**

FACULDADE DE MEDICINA
UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO

Ricardo André Marques Mocho

The role of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of adult gliomas

Mestrado Integrado em Medicina

Área: Medicina Clínica (Neurorradiologia)

Tipologia: Dissertação

Trabalho efetuado sob a Orientação de:

Dra. Luísa Fernanda Leite Ferreira de Vasconcelos Sampaio

sob a Coorientação de:

Prof. Doutor Paulo José Campos Linhares Vieira

Trabalho organizado de acordo com as normas da revista:

NeuroImage: Clinical

Março, 2023

FMUP

Eu, Ricardo André Marques Nocho, abaixo assinado, nº mecanográfico 201204424, estudante do 6º ano do Ciclo de Estudos Integrado em Medicina, na Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, declaro ter atuado com absoluta integridade na elaboração deste projeto de opção.

Neste sentido, confirmo que **NÃO** incorri em plágio (ato pelo qual um indivíduo, mesmo por omissão, assume a autoria de um determinado trabalho intelectual, ou partes dele). Mais declaro que todas as frases que retirei de trabalhos anteriores pertencentes a outros autores, foram referenciadas, ou redigidas com novas palavras, tendo colocado, neste caso, a citação da fonte bibliográfica.

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 22/03/2023

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Ricardo Nocho

NOME

Ricardo André Marques Hocho

NÚMERO DE ESTUDANTE

20170424

E-MAIL

ricardoammohocho@gmail.com

DESIGNAÇÃO DA ÁREA DO PROJECTO

Neurorradiologia.

TÍTULO DISSERTAÇÃO/~~MONOGRAFIA~~ (riscar o que não interessa)

The role of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of acute glioma.

ORIENTADOR

Lúcia Fernanda Leite Fidalgo de Vasconcelos Samfaro

COORIENTADOR (se aplicável)

Paulo José Campos Lencastre Vasconcelos.

ASSINALE APENAS UMA DAS OPÇÕES:

É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO INTEGRAL DESTA TRABALHO APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input type="checkbox"/>
É AUTORIZADA A REPRODUÇÃO PARCIAL DESTA TRABALHO (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) APENAS PARA EFEITOS DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MEDIANTE DECLARAÇÃO ESCRITA DO INTERESSADO, QUE A TAL SE COMPROMETE.	<input type="checkbox"/>
DE ACORDO COM A LEGISLAÇÃO EM VIGOR, (INDICAR, CASO TAL SEJA NECESSÁRIO, Nº MÁXIMO DE PÁGINAS, ILUSTRAÇÕES, GRÁFICOS, ETC.) NÃO É PERMITIDA A REPRODUÇÃO DE QUALQUER PARTE DESTA TRABALHO.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, 22/03/2023

Assinatura conforme cartão de identificação:

Ricardo Hocho

*Aos meus avós, ao meu pai, à minha mãe, a toda a família que mais pilar
fez que as duas pernas que me movem. Aos amigos, que mais não são que
família sem o sangue. Aos professores, aos colegas, a toda uma instituição
que permitiu matar um sonho e renascer uma realidade. A ti que me lê,
peis se o fazes do meu círculo partilhas. Do fundo, obrigado.*

*Um grande agradecimento à Dra. Luisa, ao Dr. Zé Maria e ao Prof
Doutor. Paulo, que no meio de tanto trabalho arranjam tempo para dar
um pouco de si a um sonho futuro. Obrigado. Um agradecimento à
FMUP e a todos os seus docentes, onde vivi 6 anos e posso agora
chamar de casa.*

The role of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of adult gliomas

Ricardo André Marques Mocho¹, José Maria Matos Sousa², Paulo Linhares^{1,3}, Luísa Sampaio^{1,2}

¹ Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto

² Department of Neuroradiology, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João

³ Department of Neurosurgery, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João

Corresponding author: Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João, Alameda Prof. Hernâni Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal

E-mail address: ricardoammocho@gmail.com

Keywords: T2-FLAIR mismatch, Gliomas, IDH, brain MRI

Highlights

- T2-FLAIR mismatch sign showed 100% specificity and 100% positive predictive value for IDH-mutated diffuse gliomas;
- T2-FLAIR mismatch has prognostic relevance;
- T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was significantly associated with the involvement of the subventricular zone.

Abstract

T2-FLAIR mismatch sign is a highly specific radiological sign for IDH mutation, specifically IDH-mutated 1p19q non-codeleted gliomas. The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of this sign and possible association with other tumor imaging features in gliomas. We retrospectively selected 49 adult patients with histopathologic diagnosis of diffuse glioma and available pre-operative MRI. T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was observed in 6 cases (12,2%): two grade 2 astrocytomas, two grade 4 astrocytomas and two grade 2 oligodendrogliomas. T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was a marker for IDH mutation with 54.5% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% positive predictive value and 88%

30 negative predictive value; subventricular zone involvement was significantly
31 associated with T2-FLAIR mismatch sign ($p=0.010$). This study reinforces the
32 diagnostic potential and clinical applicability of the T2-FLAIR mismatch sign,
33 especially in combination with other radiological tools, and reveals a possible
34 connection between T2-FLAIR mismatch-positive gliomas, subventricular zone
35 involvement and a different origin stem cell.

36

37 **Introduction**

38 The classification of gliomas has evolved over the years, trying to incorporate a
39 grading system that allows predicting the biological behavior of the tumor.
40 Genetic characteristics were added to histological and immunohistochemical
41 aspects, increasing diagnostic robustness and introducing prognostic guidance.
42 ^{1,2,3,4}

43 Nowadays, after successive cIMPACT-NOW updates and 2021 WHO revision,
44 further clarification has been achieved, mainly through new genetic
45 typing/subtyping, and despite histology's longstanding dominance, molecular
46 markers are now abreast in glioma grading.^{5,6}

47 One molecular biomarker that stands out is IDH (isocitrate dehydrogenase). It
48 has a recognized role in early gliomagenesis⁷⁻⁹, and IDH mutation is associated
49 with lower tumor aggressiveness, significantly prolonged survival and better
50 overall prognosis in comparison to IDH-wild type glioma patients. ¹⁰⁻¹³

51 Recently, a radiological sign known as T2-FLAIR mismatch was described as
52 100% specific for detection of IDH 1/2 mutation and highly specific for IDH-
53 mutated, 1p19q non-codeleted gliomas, although rather insensitive.¹⁴

54 T2-FLAIR mismatch sign may allow IDH mutation identification in diffuse gliomas
55 when histologic confirmation is unavailable.

56 This mismatch may occur due to microcystic changes and enlarged intercellular
57 spaces within the IDH-mutant tumoral parenchyma ^{15,16}, which result in extremely
58 high T1 and T2 relaxation times, in contrast to IDH-wildtype gliomas (very low
59 relaxation times).¹⁷

60 The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of the T2-FLAIR mismatch
61 sign in the diagnosis of adult-type IDH-mutant diffuse gliomas.

62

63 **Methods**

64 We conducted a retrospective study, by consecutively selecting patients from the
65 histopathologic and imaging databases, from July 2012 to January 2022.
66 Inclusion criteria: adult patients (≥ 18 years old) with diffuse glioma with known
67 IDH and 1p19q codeletion status (respectively by immunohistochemistry and
68 fluorescent in-situ hybridization), tumor grade and available pre-operative MRI
69 (including at least post-contrast T1 weighted image (WI), T2WI and FLAIR (fluid
70 attenuated inversion recovery sequences). The Ethical Board approved this
71 study.

72 Tumors were re-classified according to the 2021 WHO Diffuse Glioma
73 Classification. Pre-operative MRIs were reviewed by 2 neuroradiologists (2 years
74 and 10 years of experience, respectively J.M.M.S. and L.S.), blinded to molecular
75 tumor status.

76 Tumor imaging features were recorded according to a Modified VASARI set
77 ([https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/display/Public/VASARI+Research+Projec](https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/display/Public/VASARI+Research+Project)
78 [t](https://wiki.cancerimagingarchive.net/display/Public/VASARI+Research+Project)). Modified VASARI set features evaluated were as follows: (1) tumor location
79 (“lesion geographic epicenter” – frontal temporal, insular, parietal, brainstem and
80 callosal); (2) side of tumor epicenter (right, left, central, bilateral) ; (3) size of signal
81 change (largest perpendicular (x-y) cross-sectional area of T2 signal abnormality
82 measured on a single axial image); (4) proportion of enhancement (portion of
83 tumor that demonstrated significantly higher signal on the postcontrast T1-WI
84 compared to precontrast T1-WI - <5%, 6-33%, 34-67%, 68-95%); (5) proportion
85 of necrosis (proportion of tumor region that does not enhance or shows markedly
86 diminished enhancement, is hyperintense on T2-WI, is hypointense on T1-WI,
87 and has an irregular border – none, <5%, 6-33%, >34%) and deep white matter
88 invasion (internal capsule, brainstem or corpus callosum).

89 T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was defined as areas with T2-WI hyperintensity and a
90 relatively hypointense signal on FLAIR except for a hyperintense peripheral rim¹⁸;
91 predominantly cystic areas of tumor were not considered.

92 Subventricular zone (SVZ) involvement and presence of the T2-FLAIR mismatch
93 sign were also evaluated. SVZ involvement was defined as signal change that
94 involves the anatomically defined region within 3-5mm of the lateral wall of the
95 lateral ventricle.

96 Descriptive and analytic statistics were performed using SPSS (Statistical
97 Program for the Social Sciences, v29.0.0.0 (241)).

98 The interobserver agreement was calculated using Cohen's κ . In case of
99 disagreement, cases were reviewed to achieve consensus.

100 Diagnostic performance of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign for IDH-mutated gliomas
101 was characterized by determining the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive
102 value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV).

103 Fisher's exact test was used for discrete variables. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and
104 Shapiro-Wilk tests revealed a non-normal distribution ($p=0.014/p=0.047$), so we
105 executed the Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test.

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115 **Results**

116 A total of 49 patients with gliomas were selected; 57.1% were male (n=28) with a
 117 mean age of 60.5 years (SD = 13.2 years). Eleven tumors had IDH mutation
 118 (22.4%), and six had 1p19q codeletion (12.2%). Regarding tumor types, the most
 119 common was grade 4 glioblastoma (n=29; 59.2%), followed by grade 4 diffuse
 120 midline glioma (n=5; 10.2%), glioblastoma NOS (n=4; 8.2%), grade 4
 121 astrocytoma (n=3; 6.1%), and grade 2 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 3
 122 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 2 astrocytoma (n=2; 4.1%).

123

Table 1. Diffuse gliomas, IDH status, SVZ Involvement and T2-FLAIR mismatch sign

Diffuse Gliomas	n (%)	IDH-mutation		SVZ involvement		T2-FLAIR mismatch	
		mutated	wildtype	+	-	+	-
Glioblastoma (G4)	29 (59.2)	0	29	14	15	0	29
Diffuse midline glioma (G4)	5 (10.2)	0	5	1	4	0	5
Astrocytoma (G4)	3 (6.1)	3	0	3	0	2	1
Glioblastoma NOS	4 (8.2)	0	4	1	3	0	4
Oligodendroglioma (G3)	3 (6.1)	3	0	1	2	0	3
Astrocytoma (G2)	2 (4.1)	2	0	2	0	2	0
Oligodendroglioma (G2)	3 (6.1)	3	0	2	1	2	1

124 (G - grade)

125

126 T2-FLAIR mismatch was observed in six cases (12.2%): two grade 2
 127 astrocytomas, two grade 4 astrocytomas and two grade 2 oligodendrogliomas.

128 Tumor imaging features – VASARI, T2-FLAIR mismatch sign and SVZ
 129 involvement are described in Table 3.

130 κ values were interpreted as follows: ≤ 0 : no agreement, 0.01–0.20: none to slight
 131 agreement, 0.21–0.40: fair agreement, 0.41–0.60: moderate agreement, 0.61–
 132 0.80: substantial agreement, and 0.81–1.00: almost perfect agreement.

133

Table 2 – Tumor imaging features (VASARI, T2-FLAIR mismatch and Subventricular Zone Involvement)

Variable	n (%)	Kappa (p)
<u>Tumor Location</u>		
Frontal	12 (24.5%)	0.908 (p < 0.001)
Parietal	3 (6.1%)	
Temporal	8 (16.3%)	
Brainstem	3 (6.1%)	
Callosal	2 (4.1%)	
2 locations	12 (24.5%)	
≥ 3 locations	5 (10.2%)	
Thalamic	1 (2%)	
Infratentorial	3 (6.1%)	
<u>Side of Tumor Epicenter</u>		
Right	24 (49%)	1 (p < 0.001)
Left	20 (40.8%)	
Central	4 (8.2%)	
Bilateral	1 (2%)	
<u>Size of Signal Change</u>		
Maximum	117x77.5mm	
Minimum	26x9.25mm	
<u>Proportion of Enhancement</u>		
<5%	18 (36.7%)	0.596 (p < 0.001)
5-33%	13 (26.5%)	
34-66%	14 (28.6%)	
67-100%	4 (8.2%)	
<u>Proportion of Necrosis</u>		
<5%	22 (44.9%)	0.905 (p < 0.001)
6-33%	13 (26.5%)	
>34%	14 (28.6%)	
<u>Deep White Matter Invasion</u>		
No invasion	14 (28.6%)	0.792 (p < 0.001)
Internal Capsule	11 (22.4%)	
Brainstem	2 (4.1%)	
Corpus Callosum	15 (30.6%)	
<u>SVZ</u>		
Involvement	24 (49%)	1 (p < 0.001)
<u>T2-FLAIR Mismatch</u>		
Mismatch	6 (12.2%)	1 (p < 0.001)

157 T2-FLAIR mismatch sign and VASARI analysis

158 T2-FLAIR mismatch sign showed 54.5% sensitivity and 100% specificity for IDH-
159 mutation (PPV = 100% and NPV = 88%); it showed 80% sensitivity and 95.5%
160 specificity for IDH-mutated astrocytomas (high and low grade).

161 No correlation was found between T2-FLAIR mismatch and VASARI variables.
162 Only SVZ involvement was found to have a statistically significant association
163 with T2-FLAIR mismatch; 100% (n=6) of the T2-FLAIR mismatch-positive cases
164 showed SVZ involvement.

165

166 Discussion

167 Knowledge of IDH-status is paramount in creating an accurate prognostic picture
168 and developing a solid treatment plan. IDH-mutation in both low and high-grade
169 gliomas represents an independent factor for increased progression-free survival
170 (PFS).¹⁸⁻²⁰ This may be related to a NADPH consumption in IDH1 mutated
171 gliomas; subsequent impairment in the reduction of glutathione and increased
172 oxidative stress due to oxygen radicals induces tumor cell apoptosis^{21,22}. The
173 prognosis for diffuse lower grade gliomas varies widely, from a few years to
174 decades – quality-of-life and neurological deficits become increasingly relevant
175 as overall survival increases with better surgical techniques, radiotherapy and
176 chemotherapy schemes.²³

177 Multiple studies have attempted to identify glioma's molecular status through
178 radiological features, such as tumor location (TERTp and IDH mutations are more
179 frequent in frontal lobe tumors)²⁴, tumor margins, ADC values (1p19q codeletion
180 is related to less defined tumor margins and lower ADC values, IDH mutation is
181 associated with higher diffusion than IDH-wildtype)^{25,26}, tumor volume and
182 contrast enhancement (1p19q codeletion with specific chromosome 9p loss in
183 anaplastic oligodendrogliomas results in increased contrast enhancement)²⁷.
184 Nonetheless, these features have not yet proved specific enough to accurately
185 predict a specific molecular subtype in individual patients.²⁸

186 T2-FLAIR mismatch sign, on the other hand, exhibited in our results 100%
187 specificity for the identification of IDH-mutated gliomas and 95% specificity in the

188 diagnosis of IDH-mutated astrocytomas, despite its lower sensitivity; this signal
189 can therefore provide significant diagnostic information when present, even in the
190 absence of histopathological specimens.

191 As previously reported, we found that T2-FLAIR mismatch sign had high
192 interobserver agreement. Its identification only requires conventional MRI
193 sequences (particularly, T2WI and T2-FLAIR), which makes it extremely useful
194 in clinical practice.²⁸⁻³²

195 For maximum performance, its criteria must be carefully met, otherwise false
196 positives quickly add up and reduce specificity.^{31,32} Furthermore, although no
197 false-positives were identified in our study regarding IDH mutation, distinction
198 between IDH-mutated astrocytomas and IDH-mutated oligodendrogliomas still
199 benefits from use of other imaging characteristics, such as intralesional
200 calcifications, ADC values, cerebral blood volume (perfusion-MR) and MR
201 spectroscopy.¹⁶ This evidence is corroborated by previous studies, in which T2-
202 FLAIR mismatch sign was found in oligodendrogliomas.³³⁻³⁵ Presence of T2-
203 FLAIR mismatch sign in both types of gliomas may be explained by the unspecific
204 nature of microcystic changes, which can be present in both astrocytomas and
205 oligodendrogliomas and have been strongly linked to T2-FLAIR mismatch.^{15,16,36}

206 Besides the aforementioned glial neoplasms, glioneuronal tumors such as
207 dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (DNETs), more common in the pediatric
208 population, are seldom included in previous studies and are a potential source of
209 false positives.³⁷ Reports of H3 K27M-mutant midline glioma exhibiting T2-FLAIR
210 mismatch should raise further caution when using this sign in younger
211 patients.^{38,39} Our study included five diffuse midline gliomas, all H3 K27M
212 mutated, but none showed positive T2-FLAIR mismatch.

213 According to the European Association for Neuro-Oncology (EANO), the main
214 pillar of low-grade diffuse glioma treatment is complete surgical resection. Watch-
215 and-wait approaches without histological confirmation should only be considered
216 in exceptional situations.⁴⁰ Chemotherapy and radiotherapy are used as adjuvant
217 treatments, rescue treatments or palliation in irresectable tumors and are
218 dependent on age, tumor grade, tumor residue and presence of neurological
219 deficits; the value of T2-FLAIR mismatch becomes elusive when deciding about

220 these treatments. Novel therapies are being tested on clinical trials such as
221 immunotherapy, antiangiogenic agents like bevacizumab and tumor treating
222 fields, some yielding promising results.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Mutant IDH inhibitor (mIDH),
223 vorasidenib, was recently granted a fast-track designation by the FDA after it met
224 both primary and secondary endpoints on phase III of the INDIGO trial.⁴⁴ A
225 positive T2-FLAIR mismatch sign may have an important role concerning patients
226 with irresectable gliomas – in these patients, besides prognostic value, it may put
227 to consideration the choice of secondary novel treatments without the need for
228 biopsy.

229 Analysis of the VASARI glioma characteristics did not reveal any significant
230 relation with the presence of T2-FLAIR mismatch, findings which are consistent
231 with previous reports ^{16,45}, except for SZI (p=0.010).

232 The fact that all six (100%) T2-FLAIR mismatch-positive cases showed SVZ
233 involvement, whereas only 42% of mismatch-negative gliomas involved the same
234 area remains unclear. The stem cell theory entails that two neurogenic foci exist
235 in the brain: the SVZ and the subgranular zone (SGZ), in the hippocampus.⁴⁶ One
236 previous study indicated that lower-grade gliomas are usually closer to the SVZ
237 than higher grade gliomas, which are usually closer to the SGZ.⁴⁶

238 SVZ involvement might represent initial origin or latter invasion. It usually entails
239 a poorer prognosis in high grade gliomas; however, in lower grade gliomas it is
240 not associated with worse outcomes and has demonstrated positive association
241 with T2 signal homogeneity.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ As IDH mutation status has been directly
242 correlated to low-grade glioma location, low grade gliomas that involve the SVZ
243 may therefore not only have distinctive molecular and genetic characteristics from
244 its counterparts, but a different origin stem cell altogether. ⁴⁶

245 Our study has several limitations. Its retrospective nature introduces a patient
246 selection bias, as we only included patients who had available information about
247 IDH and 1p19q codeletion status and brain MRI imaging with T1WI, T2WI and
248 FLAIR sequences. Furthermore, the reduced number of cases lowers the study's
249 statistical power. The retrospective nature of this study led to inclusion of diffuse
250 gliomas classified according to different criteria. Classification according to the
251 5th WHO classification was dubious in four IDH-wildtype gliomas histologically

252 classified as astrocytomas, due to lack of information about complete IDH
253 sequencing and TERT/EGFR mutations – they were ultimately classified as
254 glioblastomas NOS.

255

256 **Conclusion**

257 T2-FLAIR mismatch is a radiological sign with 100% specificity for IDH-mutation
258 in diffuse gliomas, with strict criteria that should be thoroughly fulfilled to minimize
259 false positives. It is recommended to use this sign in combination with other
260 radiological signs for presumptive diagnosis of IDH-mutated astrocytomas and
261 low-grade gliomas in general, maximizing diagnostic performance. Associated
262 low cost and high interrater agreement ensure its clinical applicability. Besides
263 being a marker of good prognosis, future treatment algorithms involving new
264 therapies such as mIDH inhibitors might benefit from an initial non-invasive
265 diagnostic approach, where the mismatch sign may play a central role.
266 Relationship with SVZ may theoretically be related to a different origin stem cell,
267 however further investigation is needed.

268

269 **Funding**

270 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the
271 public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

272

273

274

275

276

277

References

- 279 1. Bai, J., Varghese, J. & Jain, R. Adult Glioma WHO Classification Update,
280 Genomics, and Imaging: What the Radiologists Need to Know. in *Top*
281 *Magn Reson Imaging*, Vol. 29 71-82 (2020).
- 282 2. Petersen, J.K., *et al.* [Integrated diagnostics of brain tumours based on
283 histological and molecular features]. *Ugeskr Laeger* **181**(2019).
- 284 3. Perry, A. & Wesseling, P. Histologic classification of gliomas. *Handb Clin*
285 *Neurol* **134**, 71-95 (2016).
- 286 4. Louis, D.N., *et al.* The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central
287 Nervous System: a summary. *Neuro Oncol* **23**, 1231-1251 (2021).
- 288 5. Brat, D.J., *et al.* cIMPACT-NOW update 5: recommended grading criteria
289 and terminologies for IDH-mutant astrocytomas. *Acta Neuropathol* **139**,
290 603-608 (2020).
- 291 6. Komori, T. Grading of adult diffuse gliomas according to the 2021 WHO
292 Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System. *Lab Invest* **102**,
293 126-133 (2022).
- 294 7. Mizoguchi, M., *et al.* Clinical implications of molecular analysis in diffuse
295 glioma stratification. *Brain Tumor Pathol* **38**, 210-217 (2021).
- 296 8. Visani, M., *et al.* Non-canonical IDH1 and IDH2 mutations: a clonal and
297 relevant event in an Italian cohort of gliomas classified according to the
298 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) criteria. *J Neurooncol* **135**, 245-
299 254 (2017).
- 300 9. Gupta, R., Webb-Myers, R., Flanagan, S. & Buckland, M.E. Isocitrate
301 dehydrogenase mutations in diffuse gliomas: clinical and aetiological
302 implications. *J Clin Pathol* **64**, 835-844 (2011).
- 303 10. Richardson, T.E., *et al.* Rapid progression to glioblastoma in a subset of
304 IDH-mutated astrocytomas: a genome-wide analysis. *J Neurooncol* **133**,
305 183-192 (2017).
- 306 11. Galanis, E., Wen, P.Y., de Groot, J.F. & Weller, M. Isocitrate
307 Dehydrogenase Wild-type Glial Tumors, Including Glioblastoma. *Hematol*
308 *Oncol Clin North Am* **36**, 113-132 (2022).
- 309 12. Metellus, P., *et al.* Absence of IDH mutation identifies a novel radiologic
310 and molecular subtype of WHO grade II gliomas with dismal prognosis.
311 *Acta Neuropathol* **120**, 719-729 (2010).
- 312 13. Xiao, Y., *et al.* A Novel Four-Gene Signature Associated With Immune
313 Checkpoint for Predicting Prognosis in Lower-Grade Glioma. *Front Oncol*
314 **10**, 605737 (2020).
- 315 14. Park, S.I., Suh, C.H., Guenette, J.P., Huang, R.Y. & Kim, H.S. The T2-
316 FLAIR mismatch sign as a predictor of IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-noncodeleted
317 lower-grade gliomas: a systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis.
318 *Eur Radiol* **31**, 5289-5299 (2021).
- 319 15. Yamashita, S., *et al.* T2-fluid-attenuated inversion recovery mismatch
320 sign in lower grade gliomas: correlation with pathological and molecular
321 findings. *Brain Tumor Pathol* **39**, 88-98 (2022).
- 322 16. Deguchi, S., *et al.* Clinicopathological analysis of T2-FLAIR mismatch
323 sign in lower-grade gliomas. *Sci Rep* **10**, 10113 (2020).
- 324 17. Kinoshita, M., *et al.* T(2)-FLAIR Mismatch Sign Is Caused by Long T(1)
325 and T(2) of IDH-mutant, 1p19q Non-codeleted Astrocytoma. *Magn*
326 *Reson Med Sci* **20**, 119-123 (2021).

- 327 18. Gorovets, D., *et al.* IDH mutation and neuroglial developmental features
328 define clinically distinct subclasses of lower grade diffuse astrocytic
329 glioma. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**, 2490-2501 (2012).
- 330 19. Sanson, M., *et al.* Isocitrate Dehydrogenase 1 Codon 132 Mutation Is an
331 Important Prognostic Biomarker in Gliomas. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*
332 **27**, 4150-4154 (2009).
- 333 20. Kim, W. & Liau, L.M. IDH mutations in human glioma. *Neurosurg Clin N*
334 *Am* **23**, 471-480 (2012).
- 335 21. Bleeker, F.E., *et al.* The prognostic IDH1(R132) mutation is associated
336 with reduced NADP+-dependent IDH activity in glioblastoma. *Acta*
337 *Neuropathol* **119**, 487-494 (2010).
- 338 22. Kim, S.Y., *et al.* Regulation of singlet oxygen-induced apoptosis by
339 cytosolic NADP+-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase. *Mol Cell Biochem*
340 **302**, 27-34 (2007).
- 341 23. Oberheim Bush, N.A. & Chang, S. Treatment Strategies for Low-Grade
342 Glioma in Adults. *J Oncol Pract* **12**, 1235-1241 (2016).
- 343 24. Sun, Z.L., *et al.* TERT promoter mutated WHO grades II and III gliomas
344 are located preferentially in the frontal lobe and avoid the midline. *Int J*
345 *Clin Exp Pathol* **8**, 11485-11494 (2015).
- 346 25. Johnson, D.R., *et al.* Genetically Defined Oligodendroglioma Is
347 Characterized by Indistinct Tumor Borders at MRI. *AJNR Am J*
348 *Neuroradiol* **38**, 678-684 (2017).
- 349 26. Feraco, P., *et al.* Magnetic Resonance Imaging Derived Biomarkers of
350 IDH Mutation Status and Overall Survival in Grade III Astrocytomas.
351 *Diagnostics (Basel)* **10**(2020).
- 352 27. Reyes-Botero, G., *et al.* Contrast enhancement in 1p/19q-codeleted
353 anaplastic oligodendrogliomas is associated with 9p loss, genomic
354 instability, and angiogenic gene expression. *Neuro Oncol* **16**, 662-670
355 (2014).
- 356 28. Patel, S.H., *et al.* T2-FLAIR Mismatch, an Imaging Biomarker for IDH and
357 1p/19q Status in Lower-grade Gliomas: A TCGA/TCIA Project. *Clin*
358 *Cancer Res* **23**, 6078-6085 (2017).
- 359 29. Viera, A.J. & Garrett, J.M. Understanding interobserver agreement: the
360 kappa statistic. *Fam Med* **37**, 360-363 (2005).
- 361 30. Kapsalaki, E.Z., *et al.* The Role of the T2-FLAIR Mismatch Sign as an
362 Imaging Marker of IDH Status in a Mixed Population of Low- and High-
363 Grade Gliomas. *Brain Sci* **10**(2020).
- 364 31. Jain, R., *et al.* "Real world" use of a highly reliable imaging sign: "T2-
365 FLAIR mismatch" for identification of IDH mutant astrocytomas. *Neuro*
366 *Oncol* **22**, 936-943 (2020).
- 367 32. Pinto, C., Noronha, C., Taipa, R. & Ramos, C. T2-FLAIR mismatch sign:
368 a roadmap of pearls and pitfalls. *Br J Radiol* **95**, 20210825 (2022).
- 369 33. Goyal, A., *et al.* The T2-FLAIR-mismatch sign as an imaging biomarker
370 for IDH and 1p/19q status in diffuse low-grade gliomas: a systematic
371 review with a Bayesian approach to evaluation of diagnostic test
372 performance. *Neurosurg Focus* **47**, E13 (2019).
- 373 34. Deguchi, S., *et al.* Clinicopathological analysis of T2-FLAIR mismatch
374 sign in lower-grade gliomas. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 10113 (2020).

- 375 35. Corell, A., *et al.* The clinical significance of the T2-FLAIR mismatch sign
376 in grade II and III gliomas: a population-based study. *BMC Cancer* **20**,
377 450 (2020).
- 378 36. Volovetz, J., Yamamoto, E. & Prayson, R.A. Chapter 8 - Histopathology
379 and molecular biology of oligodendrogliomas. in *Oligodendroglioma* (eds.
380 Paleologos, N.A. & Newton, H.B.) 89-107 (Academic Press, 2019).
- 381 37. Parmar, H.A., *et al.* Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery ring sign as a
382 marker of dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors. *J Comput Assist*
383 *Tomogr* **31**, 348-353 (2007).
- 384 38. Johnson, D.R., *et al.* There is an exception to every rule-T2-FLAIR
385 mismatch sign in gliomas. *Neuroradiology* **61**, 225-227 (2019).
- 386 39. Valentino, W.L., Okada, D. & Bhanu, S. A curious case of T2-FLAIR
387 mismatch in H3K27M mutant glioma. *Radiology Case Reports* **17**, 2930-
388 2935 (2022).
- 389 40. Weller, M., *et al.* EANO guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of
390 diffuse gliomas of adulthood. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* **18**, 170-186 (2021).
- 391 41. Gorski, H.S., *et al.* Single-agent bevacizumab in the treatment of recurrent
392 or refractory pediatric low-grade glioma: A single institutional experience.
393 *Pediatr Blood Cancer* **65**, e27234 (2018).
- 394 42. Agnihotri, S., Yang, K., Mitchell, D.A. & Rich, J.N. A vaccine for glioma.
395 *Nature Cancer* **2**, 584-586 (2021).
- 396 43. Lin, Y. & Chen, B. Case report: tumor-treating fields prolongs IDH-mutant
397 anaplastic astrocytoma progression-free survival and pathological
398 evolution to glioblastoma. *Ann Transl Med* **9**, 1804 (2021).
- 399 44. Mellingshoff, I.K., *et al.* Vorasidenib and ivosidenib in IDH1-mutant low-
400 grade glioma: a randomized, perioperative phase 1 trial. *Nat Med* (2023).
- 401 45. Broen, M.P.G., *et al.* The T2-FLAIR mismatch sign as an imaging marker
402 for non-enhancing IDH-mutant, 1p/19q-intact lower-grade glioma: a
403 validation study. *Neuro Oncol* **20**, 1393-1399 (2018).
- 404 46. Skjulsvik, A.J., *et al.* Is the anatomical distribution of low-grade gliomas
405 linked to regions of gliogenesis? *J Neurooncol* **147**, 147-157 (2020).
- 406 47. Chiang, G.C., *et al.* The Prognostic Value of MRI Subventricular Zone
407 Involvement and Tumor Genetics in Lower Grade Gliomas. *J*
408 *Neuroimaging* **30**, 901-909 (2020).
- 409 48. Zhao, K., *et al.* The imaging features and prognosis of gliomas involving
410 the subventricular zone: An MRI study. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* **222**,
411 107465 (2022).
- 412 49. Tejada Neyra, M.A., *et al.* Voxel-wise radiogenomic mapping of tumor
413 location with key molecular alterations in patients with glioma. *Neuro-*
414 *Oncology* **20**, 1517-1524 (2018).

415

Section & Topic	No	Item	Reported on page #
TITLE OR ABSTRACT			
	1	Identification as a study of diagnostic accuracy using at least one measure of accuracy (such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, or AUC)	1,2
ABSTRACT			
	2	Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance, see STARD for Abstracts)	1,2
INTRODUCTION			
	3	Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	2
	4	Study objectives and hypotheses	3
METHODS			
<i>Study design</i>	5	Whether data collection was planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study) or after (retrospective study)	3
<i>Participants</i>	6	Eligibility criteria	3
	7	On what basis potentially eligible participants were identified (such as symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion in registry)	3
	8	Where and when potentially eligible participants were identified (setting, location and dates)	3
	9	Whether participants formed a consecutive, random or convenience series	3
<i>Test methods</i>	10a	Index test, in sufficient detail to allow replication	4
	10b	Reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication	3
	11	Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist)	NA
	12a	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the index test, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	4
	12b	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the reference standard, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	3
	13a	Whether clinical information and reference standard results were available to the performers/readers of the index test	3
	13b	Whether clinical information and index test results were available to the assessors of the reference standard	NA
<i>Analysis</i>	14	Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy	4
	15	How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled	10
	16	How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled	NA
	17	Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	NA
	18	Intended sample size and how it was determined	NA
RESULTS			
<i>Participants</i>	19	Flow of participants, using a diagram	NA
	20	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants	5
	21a	Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition	5
	21b	Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition	NA
	22	Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard	NA
<i>Test results</i>	23	Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard	5
	24	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)	7
	25	Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard	NA
DISCUSSION			
	26	Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability	9,10
	27	Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test	9
OTHER INFORMATION			
	28	Registration number and name of registry	NA
	29	Where the full study protocol can be accessed	NA
	30	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders	10

STARD 2015

AIM

STARD stands for “Standards for Reporting Diagnostic accuracy studies”. This list of items was developed to contribute to the completeness and transparency of reporting of diagnostic accuracy studies. Authors can use the list to write informative study reports. Editors and peer-reviewers can use it to evaluate whether the information has been included in manuscripts submitted for publication.

EXPLANATION

A **diagnostic accuracy study** evaluates the ability of one or more medical tests to correctly classify study participants as having a **target condition**. This can be a disease, a disease stage, response or benefit from therapy, or an event or condition in the future. A medical test can be an imaging procedure, a laboratory test, elements from history and physical examination, a combination of these, or any other method for collecting information about the current health status of a patient.

The test whose accuracy is evaluated is called **index test**. A study can evaluate the accuracy of one or more index tests. Evaluating the ability of a medical test to correctly classify patients is typically done by comparing the distribution of the index test results with those of the **reference standard**. The reference standard is the best available method for establishing the presence or absence of the target condition. An accuracy study can rely on one or more reference standards.

If test results are categorized as either positive or negative, the cross tabulation of the index test results against those of the reference standard can be used to estimate the **sensitivity** of the index test (the proportion of participants *with* the target condition who have a positive index test), and its **specificity** (the proportion *without* the target condition who have a negative index test). From this cross tabulation (sometimes referred to as the contingency or “2x2” table), several other accuracy statistics can be estimated, such as the positive and negative **predictive values** of the test. Confidence intervals around estimates of accuracy can then be calculated to quantify the statistical **precision** of the measurements.

If the index test results can take more than two values, categorization of test results as positive or negative requires a **test positivity cut-off**. When multiple such cut-offs can be defined, authors can report a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve which graphically represents the combination of sensitivity and specificity for each possible test positivity cut-off. The **area under the ROC curve** informs in a single numerical value about the overall diagnostic accuracy of the index test.

The **intended use** of a medical test can be diagnosis, screening, staging, monitoring, surveillance, prediction or prognosis. The **clinical role** of a test explains its position relative to existing tests in the clinical pathway. A replacement test, for example, replaces an existing test. A triage test is used before an existing test; an add-on test is used after an existing test.

Besides diagnostic accuracy, several other outcomes and statistics may be relevant in the evaluation of medical tests. Medical tests can also be used to classify patients for purposes other than diagnosis, such as staging or prognosis. The STARD list was not explicitly developed for these other outcomes, statistics, and study types, although most STARD items would still apply.

DEVELOPMENT

This STARD list was released in 2015. The 30 items were identified by an international expert group of methodologists, researchers, and editors. The guiding principle in the development of STARD was to select items that, when reported, would help readers to judge the potential for bias in the study, to appraise the applicability of the study findings and the validity of conclusions and recommendations. The list represents an update of the first version, which was published in 2003.

More information can be found on <http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard>.



(Foi utilizada numeração de linha continua)

Item 1: Página 1; Linha 22 e 23 (“The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of this sign”)

Página 2; Linha 28, 29 e 30 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was a marker for IDH mutation with 54.5% sensitivity, 100% specificity, 100% positive predictive value and 88% negative predictive value”)

Item 2: Página 1 e 2; Linhas 21-35 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign is a highly specific radiological sign for IDH mutation, specifically IDH-mutated 1p19q non-codeleted gliomas...”)

Item 3: Página 2; Linhas 54 e 55 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign may allow IDH mutation identification in diffuse gliomas when histologic confirmation is unavailable”)

Item 4: Página 3; Linhas 60 e 61 (“The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of the T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of adult-type IDH-mutant diffuse gliomas.”)

Item 5: Página 3; Linhas 64 e 65 (“We conducted a retrospective study, by consecutively selecting patients from the histopathologic and imaging databases, from July 2012 to January 2022”)

Item 6: Página 3; Linhas 66-70 (“Inclusion criteria: adult patients (\geq 18 years old) with diffuse glioma, known IDH and 1p19q codeletion status (respectively by immunohistochemistry and fluorescent in-situ hybridization), tumor grade and pre-operative MRI (including at least post-contrast T1 weighted image (WI), T2WI and FLAIR (fluid attenuated inversion recovery sequences)”)

Item 7: Página 3; Linhas 66-69 (“adult patients (\geq 18 years old) with diffuse glioma, known IDH and 1p19q codeletion status (respectively by immunohistochemistry and fluorescent in-situ hybridization), tumor grade and pre-operative MRI (including at least post-contrast T1 weighted image (WI), T2WI and FLAIR”)

Item 8: Página 3; Linhas 64 e 65 (“by consecutively selecting patients from the histopathologic and imaging databases, from July 2012 to January 2022”)

Item 9: Página 3; Linha 64 e 65 (“...by consecutively selecting patients from the histopathologic and imaging databases, from July 2012 to January 2022.”)

Item 10a: Página 4; Linhas 89-91 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was defined as the “presence of areas with T2-weighted image hyperintensity and a relatively hypointense signal on FLAIR except for a hyperintense peripheral rim”.18; predominantly cystic areas of tumor were not considered”)

Item 10b: Página 3; Linhas 72 e 73 (“Tumors were re-classified according to the 2021 WHO Diffuse Glioma Classification”)

Item 11: Foi utilizado como teste de referência o gold-standard na prática clínica.

Item 12a: Página 4; Linhas 89-91 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign was defined as the “presence of areas with T2-weighted image hyperintensity and a relatively hypointense signal on FLAIR except for a hyperintense peripheral rim”.18; predominantly cystic areas of tumor were not considered”)

Item 12b: Página 4; Linhas 72-73 (“Tumors were re-classified according to the 2021 WHO Diffuse Glioma Classification”)

Item 13a: Página 4; Linhas 73-75 (“Pre-operative MRIs were reviewed by 2 neuroradiologists (2 years and 10 years of experience, respectively J.M.M.S. and L.S.), blinded to molecular tumor status.”)

Item 13b: NA – A análise do teste de referência não foi feita de forma controlada pelo estudo; quaisquer vieses da classificação histopatológica são desconhecidos e potenciais limitações deste estudo.



Item 14: Página 4; Linhas 100-102 (“Diagnostic performance of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign for IDH-mutated gliomas was characterized by determining the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV).”)

Item 15: Páginas 10 e 11; Linhas 253-257 (“Classification according to the 5th WHO classification was dubious in four IDH-wildtype gliomas histologically classified as astrocytomas, due to lack of information about complete IDH sequencing and TERT/EGFR mutations – they were ultimately classified as glioblastomas NOS.”)

Item 16: NA – Tendo em conta a amostragem consecutiva, não existiram dados omissos.

Item 17: NA – Apenas foi realizado cálculo dos parâmetros de acuidade diagnóstica para IDH status vs IDH-mut astrocytomas, que era um dos objetivos do estudo.

Item 18: NA – O tamanho amostral encontra-se limitado pelo número de casos existentes nas bases de dados com as patologias em estudo.

Item 19: NA – Não houve exclusão de casos, pelo que se dispensou a elaboração de um flow chart.

Item 20: Página 5; Linhas 116-122 (“57.1% were male (n=28) with a mean age of 60.5 years (SD = 13.2 years). Eleven tumors had IDH mutation (22.4%), and six had 1p19q codeletion (12.2%). Regarding tumor types, the most common was grade 4 glioblastoma (n=29; 59.2%), followed by grade 4 diffuse midline glioma (n=5; 10.2%), glioblastoma NOS (n=4; 8.2%), grade 4 astrocytoma (n=3; 6.1%), and grade 2 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 3 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 2 astrocytoma (n=2; 4.1%).”)

Item 21a: Página 5; Linhas 116-122 (“57.1% were male (n=28) with a mean age of 60.5 years (SD = 13.2 years). Eleven tumors had IDH mutation (22.4%), and six had 1p19q codeletion (12.2%). Regarding tumor types, the most common was grade 4 glioblastoma (n=29; 59.2%), followed by grade 4 diffuse midline glioma (n=5; 10.2%), glioblastoma NOS (n=4; 8.2%), grade 4 astrocytoma (n=3; 6.1%), and grade 2 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 3 oligodendroglioma (n=3; 6.1%), grade 2 astrocytoma (n=2; 4.1%).”)

Item 21b: NA – Não existiu amostragem de diagnósticos alternativos; ter glioma era critério de inclusão no estudo, e a amostragem foi feita de modo seriado.

Item 22: NA – O intervalo de tempo era irrelevante e não existiram intervenções clínicas entre os dois testes, tendo em conta o algoritmo de diagnóstico.

Item 23: Página 5; Tabela 1

Item 24: Página 7; Linhas 158-160 (“T2-FLAIR mismatch sign showed 54.5% sensitivity and 100% specificity for IDH-mutation (PPV = 100% and NPV = 88%); it showed 80% sensitivity and 95.5% specificity for IDH-mutated astrocytomas (high and low grade)”)

Item 25: NA – Quaisquer efeitos adversos que pudessem ocorrer encontravam-se fora do espectro deste estudo e não eram esperados

Item 26: Páginas 9 e 10; Linhas 245-254 (“Our study has several limitations. Its retrospective nature introduces a patient selection bias, as we only included patients who had available information about IDH and 1p19q codeletion status and brain MRI imaging with T1WI, T2WI and FLAIR sequences. Furthermore, the reduced number of cases lowers the study’s statistical power. The retrospective nature of this study led to inclusion of diffuse gliomas classified according to different criteria. Classification according to the 5th WHO classification was dubious in four IDH-wildtype gliomas histologically classified as astrocytomas, due to lack of information about complete IDH sequencing and TERT/EGFR mutations – they were ultimately classified as glioblastomas NOS.”)



Item 27: Página 9; Linhas 224-228 (“A positive T2-FLAIR mismatch sign may have an important role concerning patients with irresectable gliomas – in these patients, besides prognostic value, it may put to consideration the choice of secondary novel treatments without the need for biopsy.”)

Item 28: À data do preenchimento destas guidelines, o artigo ainda não foi publicado.

Item 29: NA – Nenhum artigo paralelo foi elaborado em relação ao protocolo de estudo completo, visto que o estudo é retrospectivo e os métodos são simples. O artigo ainda não foi publicado à data de preenchimento destas guidelines.

Item 30: Página 10; Linhas 270 e 271 (“This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.”)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

●	Description	p.1
●	Audience	p.1
●	Impact Factor	p.1
●	Abstracting and Indexing	p.2
●	Editorial Board	p.2
●	Guide for Authors	p.4



ISSN: 2213-1582

DESCRIPTION

NeuroImage: Clinical, a companion title to the highly-respected [NeuroImage](#), is a journal of diseases, disorders and syndromes involving the Nervous System, provides a vehicle for communicating important advances in the study of abnormal structure-function relationships of the human nervous system based on imaging.

The focus of *NeuroImage: Clinical* is on defining changes to the brain associated with primary neurologic and psychiatric diseases and disorders of the nervous system as well as behavioral syndromes and developmental conditions. The main criterion for judging papers is the extent of scientific advancement in the understanding of the pathophysiologic mechanisms of diseases and disorders, in identification of functional models that link clinical signs and symptoms with brain function and in the creation of image based tools applicable to a broad range of clinical needs including diagnosis, monitoring and tracking of illness, predicting therapeutic response and development of new treatments. Papers dealing with structure and function in animal models will also be considered if they reveal mechanisms that can be readily translated to human conditions.

The journal welcomes original research articles as well as papers on innovative methods, models, databases, theory or conceptual positions provided that they involve imaging approaches and demonstrate significant new opportunities for understanding clinical problems.

AUDIENCE

Academic and clinical Neurologists and Psychiatrists working with brain imaging techniques, Imaging Neuroscientists, Cognitive Neuroscientists, Experimental Psychologists, Computational Neuroscientists, System Neuroscientists, Social Neuroscientists, Biostatisticians.

IMPACT FACTOR

2021: 4.891 © Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports 2022

ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING

PubMed Central
Scopus
Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief

A. Zalesky, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Associate Editors

F. Agosta, Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milano, Italy

N. Bernasconi, Montreal Neurological Institute-Hospital, Montréal, Quebec, Canada

A. Bonkhoff, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

L. Cocchi, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Herston, Queensland, Australia

Y. He, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

R. Helmich, Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands

C. McMillan, University of Pennsylvania, Penn Frontotemporal Degeneration Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

H.R. Siebner, Hvidovre Hospital MRI Research Section, Hvidovre, Denmark

P. Uhlhaas, University of Glasgow Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, Glasgow, United Kingdom

Z. Ye, Chinese Academy of Sciences Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Shanghai, China

Open Data Replication Reports Editor

Diane Bijsterbosch, Washington University in St Louis, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States of America

Editorial Board

D. M. Barch, Washington University in St Louis, Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States of America

J-C. Baron, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom

D. Bassett, University of Pennsylvania, Department of Bioengineering, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

P. Brown, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

E. Bullmore, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

V. Calhoun, Atlanta, Georgia

M. E. Caligiuri, Magna Graecia University of Catanzaro, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Catanzaro, Italy

C.S. Carter, University of California Davis Imaging Research Center, Sacramento, California, United States of America

L. Clark, The University of British Columbia, Department of Psychology, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

J.S. Duncan, National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, United Kingdom

E. Duzel, University College London, London, United Kingdom

R. Elliott, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

M. Ernst, National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America

M. Filippi, San Raffaele Hospital, Milano, Italy

G.R. Fink, University Hospital Cologne Clinic and Polyclinic for Neurology, Köln, Germany

M. Ford, University of California at San Francisco, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, California, United States of America

A. Giraud, University of Geneva, Genève, Switzerland

D. Glahn, Hartford Hospital, Hartford, Connecticut, United States of America

A.J. Golby, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

K Graham, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

C. J. Harmer, University of Oxford, Department of Psychiatry, Oxford, United Kingdom

S. Heckers, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee, United States of America

O. Howes, Hammersmith Hospital, London, United Kingdom

A. Kleinschmidt, UNICOG Cognitive Neuroimaging Lab, Gif sur Yvette, France

S. Klöppel, University of Freiburg, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

H-Y. Lin, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

K. S. Madsen, Copenhagen University Hospital, København, Denmark

D.S. Manoach, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

H.S. Mayberg, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, United States of America

P. McGuire, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

S. Meyer-Lindenberg, Central Institute of Mental Health, Mannheim, Germany

R. Montague, Fralin Biomedical Research Institute at VTC, Roanoke, Virginia, United States of America
C. Pantelis, Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre - St Albans Campus, Saint Albans, Australia
G. Pellegrino, San Camillo Hospital, Venezia, Italy
M. Pessiglione, Institute of Brain and Spinal Cord, Paris, France
A.E. Pickering, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
P.M. Pilowsky, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
L Poston, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America
O. Puonti, Hvidovre Hospital MRI Research Section, Hvidovre, Denmark
W. Seeley, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, United States of America
R. Sitaram, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, United States of America
D. M. Small, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America
K. Stephan, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
P. Thompson, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States of America
Y. Tian, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia
I. Tracey, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
C. Vriend, Amsterdam UMC Location VUMC, Department of Anatomy & Neuroscience, Amsterdam, Netherlands
N. Ward, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, United Kingdom
V. Wiggermann, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Hvidovre, Denmark
X.-N. Zuo, Beijing Normal University McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China

Past Editor-in-Chief

B. Dickerson, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
P. C. Fletcher, University of Cambridge, Department of Psychiatry, Cambridge, United Kingdom
S. Grafton, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California, United States of America
D. Linden, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

GUIDE FOR AUTHORS

Your Paper Your Way

We now differentiate between the requirements for new and revised submissions. You may choose to submit your manuscript as a single Word or PDF file to be used in the refereeing process. Only when your paper is at the revision stage, will you be requested to put your paper in to a 'correct format' for acceptance and provide the items required for the publication of your article.

To find out more, please visit the Preparation section below.

INTRODUCTION

NeuroImage: Clinical, a journal of diseases, disorders and syndromes involving the Nervous System, provides a vehicle for communicating important advances in the study of abnormal structure-function relationships of the human nervous system based on imaging.

The focus of *NeuroImage: Clinical* is on defining changes to the brain associated with primary neurologic and psychiatric diseases and disorders of the nervous system as well as behavioral syndromes and developmental conditions. The main criterion for judging papers is the extent of scientific advancement in the understanding of the pathophysiologic mechanisms of diseases and disorders, in identification of functional models that link clinical signs and symptoms with brain function and in the creation of image based tools applicable to a broad range of clinical needs including diagnosis, monitoring and tracking of illness, predicting therapeutic response and development of new treatments. Papers dealing with structure and function in animal models will also be considered if they reveal mechanisms that can be readily translated to human conditions.

The journal welcomes original research articles as well as papers on innovative methods, models, databases, theory or conceptual positions provided that they involve imaging approaches and demonstrate significant new opportunities for understanding clinical problems.

Types of article

Original Research Articles and Review Articles.

Contact details for submission

Papers should be submitted using the NeuroImage: Clinical online submission system, <https://www.editorialmanager.com/YNICL>. For questions on the submission or reviewing process, please visit our [Support Center](#):

Submission checklist

You can use this list to carry out a final check of your submission before you send it to the journal for review. Please check the relevant section in this Guide for Authors for more details.

Ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address

All necessary files have been uploaded:

Manuscript:

- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes)
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- Indicate clearly if color should be used for any figures in print

Graphical Abstracts / Highlights files (where applicable)

Supplemental files (where applicable)

Further considerations

- Manuscript has been 'spell checked' and 'grammar checked'
- All references mentioned in the Reference List are cited in the text, and vice versa
- Permission has been obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including the Internet)

- A competing interests statement is provided, even if the authors have no competing interests to declare
- Journal policies detailed in this guide have been reviewed
- Referee suggestions and contact details provided, based on journal requirements

For further information, visit our [Support Center](#).

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

Ethics in publishing

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see <https://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics> and <https://www.elsevier.com/ethicalguidelines>.

The work described in your article must have been carried out in accordance with *The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans* <http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html>; *EC Directive 86/609/EEC for animal experiments* http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/legislation_en.htm.; *Uniform Requirements for manuscripts submitted to Biomedical journals* <http://www.icmje.org>. This must be stated at an appropriate point in the article.

For other policy issues, authors are referred to the policy guidelines of the Society for Neuroscience (see their website <http://www.jneurosci.org/misc/itoa.shtml>).

Patients and Study Participants

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent which should be documented in your paper.

Patients have a right to privacy. Therefore identifying information, including patient's photographs, pedigree, images, names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be included in the submissions unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and written informed consent has been obtained for publication in print and electronic form from the patient (or parent, guardian or next of kin). If such consent is made subject to any conditions, Elsevier must be made aware of all such conditions. Written consents must be provided to the journal on request.

Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

Studies in humans and animals

If the work involves the use of human subjects, the author should ensure that the work described has been carried out in accordance with [The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association \(Declaration of Helsinki\)](#) for experiments involving humans. The manuscript should be in line with the [Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals](#) and aim for the inclusion of representative human populations (sex, age and ethnicity) as per those recommendations. The terms [sex](#) and [gender](#) should be used correctly.

Authors should include a statement in the manuscript that informed consent was obtained for experimentation with human subjects. The privacy rights of human subjects must always be observed.

All animal experiments should comply with the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) and should be carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, [EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments](#), or the National Research Council's [Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals](#) and the authors should clearly indicate in the manuscript that such guidelines have been followed. The sex of animals must be indicated, and where appropriate, the influence (or association) of sex on the results of the study.

Declaration of interest

All authors must disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) their work. Examples of potential competing interests include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Authors must disclose any interests in two places: 1. A summary declaration of interest statement in the title page file (if double anonymized) or the manuscript file (if single anonymized). If there are no interests to declare then please state this: 'Declarations of interest: none'. 2. Detailed disclosures as part of a separate Declaration of Interest form, which forms part of the journal's official records. It is important for potential interests to be declared in both places and that the information matches. [More information](#).

Declaration of generative AI in scientific writing

The below guidance only refers to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process.

Where authors use generative artificial intelligence (AI) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process, authors should only use these technologies to improve readability and language. Applying the technology should be done with human oversight and control, and authors should carefully review and edit the result, as AI can generate authoritative-sounding output that can be incorrect, incomplete or biased. AI and AI-assisted technologies should not be listed as an author or co-author, or be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans, as outlined in Elsevier's [AI policy for authors](#).

Authors should disclose in their manuscript the use of AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by following the instructions below. A statement will appear in the published work. Please note that authors are ultimately responsible and accountable for the contents of the work.

Disclosure instructions

Authors must disclose the use of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process by adding a statement at the end of their manuscript in the core manuscript file, before the References list. The statement should be placed in a new section entitled 'Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process'.

Statement: During the preparation of this work the author(s) used [NAME TOOL / SERVICE] in order to [REASON]. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

This declaration does not apply to the use of basic tools for checking grammar, spelling, references etc. If there is nothing to disclose, there is no need to add a statement.

Submission declaration and verification

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract, a published lecture or academic thesis, see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. To verify compliance, your article may be checked by [Crossref Similarity Check](#) and other originality or duplicate checking software.

Preprints

Please note that [preprints](#) can be shared anywhere at any time, in line with Elsevier's [sharing policy](#). Sharing your preprints e.g. on a preprint server will not count as prior publication (see '[Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication](#)' for more information).

Preprint posting on SSRN

In support of [Open Science](#), this journal offers its authors a free preprint posting service. Preprints provide early registration and dissemination of your research, which facilitates early citations and collaboration.

During submission to Editorial Manager, you can choose to release your manuscript publicly as a preprint on the preprint server [SSRN](#) once it enters peer-review with the journal. Your choice will have no effect on the editorial process or outcome with the journal. Please note that the corresponding author is expected to seek approval from all co-authors before agreeing to release the manuscript publicly on SSRN.

You will be notified via email when your preprint is posted online and a Digital Object Identifier (DOI) is assigned. Your preprint will remain globally available free to read whether the journal accepts or rejects your manuscript.

For more information about posting to [SSRN](#), please consult the [SSRN Terms of Use](#) and [FAQs](#).

First Look

Please note: posted preprints for this journal will appear in a dedicated journal-branded [First Look](#) space on SSRN.

Use of inclusive language

Inclusive language acknowledges diversity, conveys respect to all people, is sensitive to differences, and promotes equal opportunities. Content should make no assumptions about the beliefs or commitments of any reader; contain nothing which might imply that one individual is superior to another on the grounds of age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition; and use inclusive language throughout. Authors should ensure that writing is free from bias, stereotypes, slang, reference to dominant culture and/or cultural assumptions. We advise to seek gender neutrality by using plural nouns ("clinicians, patients/clients") as default/wherever possible to avoid using "he, she," or "he/she." We recommend avoiding the use of descriptors that refer to personal attributes such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, culture, sexual orientation, disability or health condition unless they are relevant and valid. When coding terminology is used, we recommend to avoid offensive or exclusionary terms such as "master", "slave", "blacklist" and "whitelist". We suggest using alternatives that are more appropriate and (self-) explanatory such as "primary", "secondary", "blocklist" and "allowlist". These guidelines are meant as a point of reference to help identify appropriate language but are by no means exhaustive or definitive.

Reporting sex- and gender-based analyses

Reporting guidance

For research involving or pertaining to humans, animals or eukaryotic cells, investigators should integrate sex and gender-based analyses (SGBA) into their research design according to funder/sponsor requirements and best practices within a field. Authors should address the sex and/or gender dimensions of their research in their article. In cases where they cannot, they should discuss this as a limitation to their research's generalizability. Importantly, authors should explicitly state what definitions of sex and/or gender they are applying to enhance the precision, rigor and reproducibility of their research and to avoid ambiguity or conflation of terms and the constructs to which they refer (see Definitions section below). Authors can refer to the [Sex and Gender Equity in Research \(SAGER\) guidelines](#) and the [SAGER guidelines checklist](#). These offer systematic approaches to the use and editorial review of sex and gender information in study design, data analysis, outcome reporting and research interpretation - however, please note there is no single, universally agreed-upon set of guidelines for defining sex and gender.

Definitions

Sex generally refers to a set of biological attributes that are associated with physical and physiological features (e.g., chromosomal genotype, hormonal levels, internal and external anatomy). A binary sex categorization (male/female) is usually designated at birth ("sex assigned at birth"), most often based solely on the visible external anatomy of a newborn. Gender generally refers to socially constructed roles, behaviors, and identities of women, men and gender-diverse people that occur in a historical and cultural context and may vary across societies and over time. Gender influences how people view themselves and each other, how they behave and interact and how power is distributed in society. Sex and gender are often incorrectly portrayed as binary (female/male or woman/man) and unchanging whereas these constructs actually exist along a spectrum and include additional sex categorizations and gender identities such as people who are intersex/have differences of sex development (DSD) or identify as non-binary. Moreover, the terms "sex" and "gender" can be ambiguous—thus it is important for authors to define the manner in which they are used. In addition to this definition guidance and the SAGER guidelines, the [resources on this page](#) offer further insight around sex and gender in research studies.

Author contributions

For transparency, we encourage authors to submit an author statement file outlining their individual contributions to the paper using the relevant CRediT roles: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Software; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. Authorship statements should be formatted with the names of authors first and CRediT role(s) following. [More details and an example.](#)

Changes to authorship

Authors are expected to consider carefully the list and order of authors **before** submitting their manuscript and provide the definitive list of authors at the time of the original submission. Any addition, deletion or rearrangement of author names in the authorship list should be made only **before** the manuscript has been accepted and only if approved by the journal Editor. To request such a change, the Editor must receive the following from the **corresponding author**: (a) the reason for the change in author list and (b) written confirmation (e-mail, letter) from all authors that they agree with the addition, removal or rearrangement. In the case of addition or removal of authors, this includes confirmation from the author being added or removed.

Only in exceptional circumstances will the Editor consider the addition, deletion or rearrangement of authors **after** the manuscript has been accepted. While the Editor considers the request, publication of the manuscript will be suspended. If the manuscript has already been published in an online issue, any requests approved by the Editor will result in a corrigendum.

Article transfer service

This journal uses the Elsevier Article Transfer Service to find the best home for your manuscript. This means that if an editor feels your manuscript is more suitable for an alternative journal, you might be asked to consider transferring the manuscript to such a journal. The recommendation might be provided by a Journal Editor, a dedicated [Scientific Managing Editor](#), a tool assisted recommendation, or a combination. If you agree, your manuscript will be transferred, though you will have the opportunity to make changes to the manuscript before the submission is complete. Please note that your manuscript will be independently reviewed by the new journal. [More information.](#)

Copyright

Upon acceptance of an article, authors will be asked to complete a 'License Agreement' (see [more information](#) on this). Permitted third party reuse of open access articles is determined by the author's choice of [user license](#).

Author rights

As an author you (or your employer or institution) have certain rights to reuse your work. [More information.](#)

Elsevier supports responsible sharing

Find out how you can [share your research](#) published in Elsevier journals.

Role of the funding source

You are requested to identify who provided financial support for the conduct of the research and/or preparation of the article and to briefly describe the role of the sponsor(s), if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication. If the funding source(s) had no such involvement, it is recommended to state this.

Open access

Please visit our [Open Access page](#) for more information.

Elsevier Researcher Academy

[Researcher Academy](#) is a free e-learning platform designed to support early and mid-career researchers throughout their research journey. The "Learn" environment at Researcher Academy offers several interactive modules, webinars, downloadable guides and resources to guide you through the process of writing for research and going through peer review. Feel free to use these free resources to improve your submission and navigate the publication process with ease.

Language (usage and editing services)

Please write your text in good English (American or British usage is accepted, but not a mixture of these). Authors who feel their English language manuscript may require editing to eliminate possible grammatical or spelling errors and to conform to correct scientific English may wish to use the [English Language Editing service](#) available from Elsevier's Author Services.

Submission

Our online submission system guides you stepwise through the process of entering your article details and uploading your files. The system converts your article files to a single PDF file used in the peer-review process. Editable files (e.g., Word, LaTeX) are required to typeset your article for final publication. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, is sent by e-mail.

Suggesting reviewers

Please submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of several potential reviewers.

You should not suggest reviewers who are colleagues, or who have co-authored or collaborated with you during the last three years. Editors do not invite reviewers who have potential competing interests with the authors. Further, in order to provide a broad and balanced assessment of the work, and ensure scientific rigor, please suggest diverse candidate reviewers who are located in different countries/regions from the author group. Also consider other diversity attributes e.g. gender, race and ethnicity, career stage, etc. Finally, you should not include existing members of the journal's editorial team, of whom the journal are already aware.

Note: the editor decides whether or not to invite your suggested reviewers.

PREPARATION

Queries

For questions about the editorial process (including the status of manuscripts under review) or for technical support on submissions, please visit our [Support Center](#).

NEW SUBMISSIONS

Submission to this journal proceeds totally online and you will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of your files. The system automatically converts your files to a single PDF file, which is used in the peer-review process.

As part of the Your Paper Your Way service, you may choose to submit your manuscript as a single file to be used in the refereeing process. This can be a PDF file or a Word document, in any format or layout that can be used by referees to evaluate your manuscript. It should contain high enough quality figures for refereeing. If you prefer to do so, you may still provide all or some of the source files at the initial submission. Please note that individual figure files larger than 10 MB must be uploaded separately.

References

There are no strict requirements on reference formatting at submission. References can be in any style or format as long as the style is consistent. Where applicable, author(s) name(s), journal title/book title, chapter title/article title, year of publication, volume number/book chapter and the article number or pagination must be present. Use of DOI is highly encouraged. The reference style used by the journal will be applied to the accepted article by Elsevier at the proof stage. Note that missing data will be highlighted at proof stage for the author to correct.

Formatting requirements

There are no strict formatting requirements but all manuscripts must contain the essential elements needed to convey your manuscript, for example Abstract, Keywords, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Conclusions, Artwork and Tables with Captions.

If your article includes any Videos and/or other Supplementary material, this should be included in your initial submission for peer review purposes.

Divide the article into clearly defined sections.

Figures and tables embedded in text

Please ensure the figures and the tables included in the single file are placed next to the relevant text in the manuscript, rather than at the bottom or the top of the file. The corresponding caption should be placed directly below the figure or table.

Peer review

This journal operates a single anonymized review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Papers deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of articles. The Editor's decision is final. Editors are not involved in decisions about papers which they have written themselves or have been written by family members or colleagues or which relate to products or services in which the editor has an interest. Any such submission is subject to all of the journal's usual procedures, with peer review handled independently of the relevant editor and their research groups. [More information on types of peer review.](#)

REVISED SUBMISSIONS

Use of word processing software

Regardless of the file format of the original submission, at revision you must provide us with an editable file of the entire article. Keep the layout of the text as simple as possible. Most formatting codes will be removed and replaced on processing the article. The electronic text should be prepared in a way very similar to that of conventional manuscripts (see also the [Guide to Publishing with Elsevier](#)). See also the section on Electronic artwork.

To avoid unnecessary errors you are strongly advised to use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your word processor.

Article structure

Subdivision - numbered sections

Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections. Subsections should be numbered 1.1 (then 1.1.1, 1.1.2, ...), 1.2, etc. (the abstract is not included in section numbering). Use this numbering also for internal cross-referencing: do not just refer to 'the text'. Any subsection may be given a brief heading. Each heading should appear on its own separate line.

Introduction

State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background, avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

Material and methods

Provide sufficient details to allow the work to be reproduced by an independent researcher. Methods that are already published should be summarized, and indicated by a reference. If quoting directly from a previously published method, use quotation marks and also cite the source. Any modifications to existing methods should also be described.

Results

Results should be clear and concise.

Discussion

This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section is often appropriate. Avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study may be presented in a short Conclusions section, which may stand alone or form a subsection of a Discussion or Results and Discussion section.

Appendices

If there is more than one appendix, they should be identified as A, B, etc. Formulae and equations in appendices should be given separate numbering: Eq. (A.1), Eq. (A.2), etc.; in a subsequent appendix, Eq. (B.1) and so on. Similarly for tables and figures: Table A.1; Fig. A.1, etc.

Essential title page information

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Author names and affiliations.** Please clearly indicate the given name(s) and family name(s) of each author and check that all names are accurately spelled. You can add your name between parentheses in your own script behind the English transliteration. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below the names. Indicate all affiliations with a lower-case superscript letter immediately after the author's name and in front of the appropriate address. Provide the full postal address of each affiliation, including the country name and, if available, the e-mail address of each author.

• **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. This responsibility includes answering any future queries about Methodology and Materials. **Ensure that the e-mail address is given and that contact details are kept up to date by the corresponding author.**

• **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated as a footnote to that author's name. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address. Superscript Arabic numerals are used for such footnotes.

Highlights

Highlights are optional yet highly encouraged for this journal, as they increase the discoverability of your article via search engines. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that capture the novel results of your research as well as new methods that were used during the study (if any). Please have a look at the examples here: [example Highlights](#).

Highlights should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. Please use 'Highlights' in the file name and include 3 to 5 bullet points (maximum 85 characters, including spaces, per bullet point).

Abstract

A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself.

Graphical abstract

Although a graphical abstract is optional, its use is encouraged as it draws more attention to the online article. The graphical abstract should summarize the contents of the article in a concise, pictorial form designed to capture the attention of a wide readership. Graphical abstracts should be submitted as a separate file in the online submission system. Image size: Please provide an image with a minimum of 531 × 1328 pixels (h × w) or proportionally more. The image should be readable at a size of 5 × 13 cm using a regular screen resolution of 96 dpi. Preferred file types: TIFF, EPS, PDF or MS Office files. You can view [Example Graphical Abstracts](#) on our information site.

Keywords

Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 6 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes.

Abbreviations

Define abbreviations that are not standard in this field in a footnote to be placed on the first page of the article. Such abbreviations that are unavoidable in the abstract must be defined at their first mention there, as well as in the footnote. Ensure consistency of abbreviations throughout the article.

Acknowledgements

Collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references and do not, therefore, include them on the title page, as a footnote to the title or otherwise. List here those individuals who provided help during the research (e.g., providing language help, writing assistance or proof reading the article, etc.).

Formatting of funding sources

List funding sources in this standard way to facilitate compliance to funder's requirements:

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [grant numbers xxxx, yyyy]; the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Seattle, WA [grant number zzzz]; and the United States Institutes of Peace [grant number aaaa].

It is not necessary to include detailed descriptions on the program or type of grants and awards. When funding is from a block grant or other resources available to a university, college, or other research institution, submit the name of the institute or organization that provided the funding.

If no funding has been provided for the research, it is recommended to include the following sentence:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Math formulae

Please submit math equations as editable text and not as images. Present simple formulae in line with normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small fractional terms, e.g., X/Y. In principle, variables are to be presented in italics. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Number consecutively any equations that have to be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text).

Footnotes

Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article. Many word processors build footnotes into the text, and this feature may be used. Should this not be the case, indicate the position of footnotes in the text and present the footnotes themselves separately at the end of the article.

Artwork

Electronic artwork

General points

- Make sure you use uniform lettering and sizing of your original artwork.
- Preferred fonts: Arial (or Helvetica), Times New Roman (or Times), Symbol, Courier.
- Number the illustrations according to their sequence in the text.
- Use a logical naming convention for your artwork files.
- Indicate per figure if it is a single, 1.5 or 2-column fitting image.
- For Word submissions only, you may still provide figures and their captions, and tables within a single file at the revision stage.
- Please note that individual figure files larger than 10 MB must be provided in separate source files.

A detailed [guide on electronic artwork](#) is available.

You are urged to visit this site; some excerpts from the detailed information are given here.

Formats

Regardless of the application used, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below):

EPS (or PDF): Vector drawings. Embed the font or save the text as 'graphics'.

TIFF (or JPG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones): always use a minimum of 300 dpi.

TIFF (or JPG): Bitmapped line drawings: use a minimum of 1000 dpi.

TIFF (or JPG): Combinations bitmapped line/half-tone (color or grayscale): a minimum of 500 dpi is required.

Please do not:

- Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); the resolution is too low.
- Supply files that are too low in resolution.
- Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content.

Color artwork

Please make sure that artwork files are in an acceptable format (TIFF, EPS or MS Office files) and with the correct resolution. If, together with your accepted article, you submit usable color figures then Elsevier will ensure, at no additional charge, that these figures will appear in color on the Web (e.g., ScienceDirect and other sites) regardless of whether or not these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. For further information on the preparation of electronic artwork, please see <https://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Figure captions

Ensure that each illustration has a caption. A caption should comprise a brief title (**not** on the figure itself) and a description of the illustration. Keep text in the illustrations themselves to a minimum but explain all symbols and abbreviations used.

Tables

Please submit tables as editable text and not as images. Tables can be placed either next to the relevant text in the article, or on separate page(s) at the end. Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text and place any table notes below the table body. Be sparing in the use of tables and ensure that the data presented in them do not duplicate results described elsewhere in the article. Please avoid using vertical rules and shading in table cells.

References

Citation in text

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Any references cited in the abstract must be given in full. Unpublished results and personal communications are not recommended in the reference list, but may be mentioned in the text. If these references are included in the reference list they should follow the standard reference style of the journal and should include a substitution of the publication date with either 'Unpublished results' or 'Personal communication'. Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication.

Reference links

Increased discoverability of research and high quality peer review are ensured by online links to the sources cited. In order to allow us to create links to abstracting and indexing services, such as Scopus, Crossref and PubMed, please ensure that data provided in the references are correct. Please note that incorrect surnames, journal/book titles, publication year and pagination may prevent link creation. When copying references, please be careful as they may already contain errors. Use of the DOI is highly encouraged.

A DOI is guaranteed never to change, so you can use it as a permanent link to any electronic article. An example of a citation using DOI for an article not yet in an issue is: VanDecar J.C., Russo R.M., James D.E., Ambeh W.B., Franke M. (2003). Aseismic continuation of the Lesser Antilles slab beneath northeastern Venezuela. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB000884>. Please note the format of such citations should be in the same style as all other references in the paper.

Web references

As a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates, reference to a source publication, etc.), should also be given. Web references can be listed separately (e.g., after the reference list) under a different heading if desired, or can be included in the reference list.

Data references

This journal encourages you to cite underlying or relevant datasets in your manuscript by citing them in your text and including a data reference in your Reference List. Data references should include the following elements: author name(s), dataset title, data repository, version (where available), year, and global persistent identifier. Add [dataset] immediately before the reference so we can properly identify it as a data reference. The [dataset] identifier will not appear in your published article.

Preprint references

Where a preprint has subsequently become available as a peer-reviewed publication, the formal publication should be used as the reference. If there are preprints that are central to your work or that cover crucial developments in the topic, but are not yet formally published, these may be referenced. Preprints should be clearly marked as such, for example by including the word preprint, or the name of the preprint server, as part of the reference. The preprint DOI should also be provided.

References in a special issue

Please ensure that the words 'this issue' are added to any references in the list (and any citations in the text) to other articles in the same Special Issue.

Reference management software

Most Elsevier journals have their reference template available in many of the most popular reference management software products. These include all products that support [Citation Style Language styles](#), such as [Mendeley](#). Using citation plug-ins from these products, authors only need to select the appropriate journal template when preparing their article, after which citations and bibliographies will be automatically formatted in the journal's style. If no template is yet available for this journal, please follow the format of the sample references and citations as shown in this Guide. If you use

reference management software, please ensure that you remove all field codes before submitting the electronic manuscript. [More information on how to remove field codes from different reference management software.](#)

Reference formatting

There are no strict requirements on reference formatting at submission. References can be in any style or format as long as the style is consistent. Where applicable, author(s) name(s), journal title/book title, chapter title/article title, year of publication, volume number/book chapter and the article number or pagination must be present. Use of DOI is highly encouraged. The reference style used by the journal will be applied to the accepted article by Elsevier at the proof stage. Note that missing data will be highlighted at proof stage for the author to correct. If you do wish to format the references yourself they should be arranged according to the following examples:

Reference style

Text: All citations in the text should refer to:

1. *Single author:* the author's name (without initials, unless there is ambiguity) and the year of publication;
2. *Two authors:* both authors' names and the year of publication;
3. *Three or more authors:* first author's name followed by 'et al.' and the year of publication.

Citations may be made directly (or parenthetically). Groups of references can be listed either first alphabetically, then chronologically, or vice versa.

Examples: 'as demonstrated (Allan, 2000a, 2000b, 1999; Allan and Jones, 1999).... Or, as demonstrated (Jones, 1999; Allan, 2000)... Kramer et al. (2010) have recently shown ...'

List: References should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', 'c', etc., placed after the year of publication.

Examples:

Reference to a journal publication:

Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J.A.J., Lupton, R.A., 2010. The art of writing a scientific article. *J. Sci. Commun.* 163, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Sc.2010.00372>.

Reference to a journal publication with an article number:

Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J.A.J., Lupton, R.A., 2018. The art of writing a scientific article. *Heliyon.* 19, e00205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00205>.

Reference to a book:

Strunk Jr, W., White, E.B., 2000. *The Elements of Style*, fourth ed. Longman, New York.

Reference to a chapter in an edited book:

Mettam, G.R., Adams, L.B., 2009. How to prepare an electronic version of your article, in: Jones, B.S., Smith, R.Z. (Eds.), *Introduction to the Electronic Age*. E-Publishing Inc., New York, pp. 281–304.

Reference to a website:

Cancer Research UK, 1975. Cancer statistics reports for the UK. <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/aboutcancer/statistics/cancerstatsreport/> (accessed 13 March 2003).

Reference to a dataset:

[dataset] Oguro, M., Imahiro, S., Saito, S., Nakashizuka, T., 2015. Mortality data for Japanese oak wilt disease and surrounding forest compositions. *Mendeley Data*, v1. <https://doi.org/10.17632/xwj98nb39r.1>.

Reference to software:

Coon, E., Berndt, M., Jan, A., Svyatsky, D., Atchley, A., Kikinzon, E., Harp, D., Manzini, G., Shelef, E., Lipnikov, K., Garimella, R., Xu, C., Moulton, D., Karra, S., Painter, S., Jafarov, E., & Molins, S., 2020. *Advanced Terrestrial Simulator (ATS) v0.88 (Version 0.88)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3727209>.

Journal abbreviations source

Journal names should be abbreviated according to the [List of Title Word Abbreviations](#).

Video data

Elsevier accepts video material and animation sequences to support and enhance your scientific research. Authors who have video or animation files that they wish to submit with their article are strongly encouraged to include these within the body of the article. This can be done in the same way as a figure or table by referring to the video or animation content and noting in the body text where it should be placed. All submitted files should be properly labeled so that they directly relate to the video file's content. In order to ensure that your video or animation material is directly usable, please provide the files in one of our recommended file formats with a preferred maximum size of 50 MB. Video and

animation files supplied will be published online in the electronic version of your article in Elsevier Web products, including ScienceDirect: <http://www.sciencedirect.com>. Please supply 'stills' with your files: you can choose any frame from the video or animation or make a separate image. These will be used instead of standard icons and will personalize the link to your video data. For more detailed instructions please visit our video instruction pages at <https://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Data visualization

Include interactive data visualizations in your publication and let your readers interact and engage more closely with your research. Follow the instructions [here](#) to find out about available data visualization options and how to include them with your article.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material such as applications, images and sound clips, can be published with your article to enhance it. Submitted supplementary items are published exactly as they are received (Excel or PowerPoint files will appear as such online). Please submit your material together with the article and supply a concise, descriptive caption for each supplementary file. If you wish to make changes to supplementary material during any stage of the process, please make sure to provide an updated file. Do not annotate any corrections on a previous version. Please switch off the 'Track Changes' option in Microsoft Office files as these will appear in the published version.

Research data

This journal requires and enables you to share data that supports your research publication where appropriate, and enables you to interlink the data with your published articles. Research data refers to the results of observations or experimentation that validate research findings. To facilitate reproducibility and data reuse, this journal also encourages you to share your software, code, models, algorithms, protocols, methods and other useful materials related to the project.

Below are a number of ways in which you can associate data with your article or make a statement about the availability of your data when submitting your manuscript. When sharing data in one of these ways, you are expected to cite the data in your manuscript and reference list. Please refer to the "References" section for more information about data citation. For more information on depositing, sharing and using research data and other relevant research materials, visit the [research data page](#).

Data linking

If you have made your research data available in a data repository, you can link your article directly to the dataset. Elsevier collaborates with a number of repositories to link articles on ScienceDirect with relevant repositories, giving readers access to underlying data that gives them a better understanding of the research described.

There are different ways to link your datasets to your article. When available, you can directly link your dataset to your article by providing the relevant information in the submission system. For more information, visit the [database linking page](#).

For [supported data repositories](#) a repository banner will automatically appear next to your published article on ScienceDirect.

In addition, you can link to relevant data or entities through identifiers within the text of your manuscript, using the following format: Database: xxxx (e.g., TAIR: AT1G01020; CCDC: 734053; PDB: 1XFN).

Research Elements

This journal enables you to publish research objects related to your original research – such as data, methods, protocols, software and hardware – as an additional paper in a [Research Elements journal](#).

Research Elements is a suite of peer-reviewed, open access journals which make your research objects findable, accessible and reusable. Articles place research objects into context by providing detailed descriptions of objects and their application, and linking to the associated original research articles. Research Elements articles can be prepared by you, or by one of your collaborators.

During submission, you will be alerted to the opportunity to prepare and submit a manuscript to one of the Research Elements journals.

More information can be found on the [Research Elements](#) page.

Inline supplementary material

Articles in *NeuroImage: Clinical* have offered the possibility to include supplementary material for some time. This has now been improved, as supplementary material can now be placed inline with the article. This means that the supplementary figures or tables will appear within the text of the online (HTML) article in an expandable viewing box - delivering the supplementary information at the appropriate place to increase its visibility and place it into context.

Submission of inline supplementary material (ISM) is very similar to submitting regular supplementary material. The main difference is you will now need to indicate where the Inline Supplementary Material should appear within your article, by including an instruction such as: "Insert Supplementary Table 1 here" or by referencing the Inline Supplementary Material in the body of the text e.g. "see Inline Supplementary Table 1". For more information and to see an example visit <https://www.elsevier.com/ism>.

AFTER ACCEPTANCE

Online proof correction

To ensure a fast publication process of the article, we kindly ask authors to provide us with their proof corrections within two days. Corresponding authors will receive an e-mail with a link to our online proofing system, allowing annotation and correction of proofs online. The environment is similar to MS Word: in addition to editing text, you can also comment on figures/tables and answer questions from the Copy Editor. Web-based proofing provides a faster and less error-prone process by allowing you to directly type your corrections, eliminating the potential introduction of errors.

If preferred, you can still choose to annotate and upload your edits on the PDF version. All instructions for proofing will be given in the e-mail we send to authors, including alternative methods to the online version and PDF.

We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication. Please check carefully before replying, as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

Offprints

The corresponding author will be notified and receive a link to the published version of the open access article on [ScienceDirect](#). This link is in the form of an article DOI link which can be shared via email and social networks. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via the offprint order form which is sent once the article is accepted for publication.

AUTHOR INQUIRIES

Visit the [Elsevier Support Center](#) to find the answers you need. Here you will find everything from Frequently Asked Questions to ways to get in touch.

You can also [check the status of your submitted article](#) or find out [when your accepted article will be published](#).

© Copyright 2018 Elsevier | <https://www.elsevier.com>



Declaração

Para os devidos efeitos declaro que o estudo 'The role of T2-FLAIR mismatch sign in the diagnosis of adult gliomas', apresentado a esta Comissão de Ética pelo Dr. Ricardo André Marques Mocho, no âmbito do MIM da FMUP, foi avaliado e aprovado em 27 de janeiro de 2023, autorizado pelo RAI, e enviado para parecer do EPD em 7 de março de 2023.

Porto e Centro Hospitalar Universitário de São João, 17 de março de 2023

Secretário da CE do CHUSJ/FMUP

Pedro Brito
