

University of San Diego

Digital USD

Chemistry and Biochemistry: Faculty
Scholarship

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

4-27-2023

Brown Carbon from Photo-Oxidation of Glyoxal and SO₂ in Aqueous Aerosol

David O. De Haan

Lelia N. Hawkins

Praveen D. Wickremasinghe

Alyssa D. Andretta

Juliette R. Dignum

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digital.sandiego.edu/chemistry_facpub

 Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Brown Carbon from Photo-Oxidation of Glyoxal and SO₂ in Aqueous Aerosol

Abstract

Aqueous-phase dark reactions during the co-oxidation of glyoxal and S(IV) were recently identified as a potential source of brown carbon (BrC). Here, we explore the effects of sunlight and oxidants on aqueous solutions of glyoxal and S(IV), and on aqueous aerosol exposed to glyoxal and SO₂. We find that BrC is able to form in sunlit, bulk-phase, sulfite-containing solutions, albeit more slowly than in the dark. In more atmospherically relevant chamber experiments where suspended aqueous aerosol particles are exposed to gas-phase glyoxal and SO₂, the formation of detectable amounts of BrC requires an OH radical source and occurs most rapidly after a cloud event. From these observations we infer that this photobrowning is caused by radical-initiated reactions as evaporation concentrates aqueous-phase reactants and aerosol viscosity increases. Positive-mode electrospray ionization mass spectrometric analysis of aerosol-phase products reveals a large number of C_xH_yO_z oligomers that are reduced rather than oxidized (relative to glyoxal), with the degree of reduction increasing in the presence of OH radicals. This again suggests a radical-initiated redox mechanism where photolytically produced aqueous radical species trigger S(IV)-O₂ auto-oxidation chain reactions, and glyoxal-S(IV) redox reactions especially if aerosol-phase O₂ is depleted. This process may contribute to daytime BrC production and aqueous-phase sulfur oxidation in the atmosphere. The BrC produced, however, is about an order of magnitude less light-absorbing than wood smoke BrC at 365 nm.

Keywords

brown carbon, aqueous aerosol

Disciplines

Chemistry

Notes

Original publication information: 'Brown Carbon from Photo-Oxidation of Glyoxal and SO₂ in Aqueous Aerosol', D. O. De Haan, L. N. Hawkins, P. D. Wickremasinghe, A. D. Andretta, J. R. Dignum, A. C. De Haan, et al. *ACS Earth and Space Chemistry*, 2023. DOI: 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.3c00035

Published open access with [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \(CC BY 4.0\)](#)

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](#).

Author(s)

David O. De Haan, Lelia N. Hawkins, Praveen D. Wickremasinghe, Alyssa D. Andretta, Juliette R. Dignum, Audrey C. De Haan, Hannah G. Welsh, Elyse A. Pennington, Tianqu Cui, Jason D. Surratt, Mathieu Cazaunau, Edouard Pangui, and Jean-François Doussin

Brown Carbon from Photo-Oxidation of Glyoxal and SO₂ in Aqueous Aerosol

David O. De Haan,* Lelia N. Hawkins, Praveen D. Wickremasinghe, Alyssa D. Andretta, Juliette R. Dignum, Audrey C. De Haan, Hannah G. Welsh, Elyse A. Pennington, Tianqu Cui, Jason D. Surratt, Mathieu Cazaunau, Edouard Pangu, and Jean-François Doussin



Cite This: <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.3c00035>



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Aqueous-phase dark reactions during the co-oxidation of glyoxal and S(IV) were recently identified as a potential source of brown carbon (BrC). Here, we explore the effects of sunlight and oxidants on aqueous solutions of glyoxal and S(IV), and on aqueous aerosol exposed to glyoxal and SO₂. We find that BrC is able to form in sunlit, bulk-phase, sulfite-containing solutions, albeit more slowly than in the dark. In more atmospherically relevant chamber experiments where suspended aqueous aerosol particles are exposed to gas-phase glyoxal and SO₂, the formation of detectable amounts of BrC requires an OH radical source and occurs most rapidly after a cloud event. From these observations we infer that this photobrowning is caused by radical-initiated reactions as evaporation concentrates aqueous-phase reactants and aerosol viscosity increases. Positive-mode electrospray ionization mass spectrometric analysis of aerosol-phase products reveals a large number of C_xH_yO_z oligomers that are reduced rather than oxidized (relative to glyoxal), with the degree of reduction increasing in the presence of OH radicals. This again suggests a radical-initiated redox mechanism where photolytically produced aqueous radical species trigger S(IV)–O₂ auto-oxidation chain reactions, and glyoxal-S(IV) redox reactions especially if aerosol-phase O₂ is depleted. This process may contribute to daytime BrC production and aqueous-phase sulfur oxidation in the atmosphere. The BrC produced, however, is about an order of magnitude less light-absorbing than wood smoke BrC at 365 nm.

KEYWORDS: photoreduction, oligomer, redox, sulfate formation, photosensitizer, photobrowning, photobleaching, viscosity



INTRODUCTION

Glyoxal and S(IV) react through aqueous-phase reactions to reversibly form the sulfonate adduct molecules glyoxal monobisulfite and glyoxal di-bisulfite (GDBS).¹ Sulfonate adducts are resistant to oxidation by ozone and H₂O₂² and are thus more stable than their precursor species. As a result, they are important reservoir species in the atmosphere, increasing the partitioning of both glyoxal and SO₂ to the aqueous phase.³ Recently, it was shown that reactions in 1:1 mixtures of glyoxal and bisulfite ions (dissolved SO₂) in the presence of trace oxidants from the air can rapidly form oligomerized brown carbon (BrC) species, along with C₁ and C₃ sulfonate products, under slightly acidic conditions.⁴ The C₁ sulfonate product, hydroxymethylsulfonate, has been detected at high concentrations in aerosol,^{5–7} but was previously assumed to be formed only by the formaldehyde + S(IV) reaction.^{7–9}

Secondary BrC formation in clouds and aqueous aerosol can potentially worsen climate change by absorbing solar radiation, a process known as the direct aerosol effect. The direct radiative forcing caused by the BrC component of aerosol has been estimated at 0.13 ± 0.01 W m⁻².^{10–13} In areas often

impacted by biomass burning (e.g., the tropical mid and upper troposphere), BrC radiative forcing is greater than that of black carbon,¹⁴ although generally it is less.^{15,16} All in all, BrC impacts are difficult to assess because its aging processes are not well understood.¹⁷

Many BrC mixtures have been shown via bulk aqueous experiments to be very susceptible to photobleaching^{18–23} and oxidation by ozone,^{24,25} which has led to estimates of atmospheric BrC lifetimes that vary between ~30 min for BrC products derived from aldehyde + ammonium sulfate (AS) reactions^{20,26,27} to several hours^{18,21,28–31} to more than a day,^{22,25} depending on the BrC source and aging process. In contrast, recent studies where mixtures of amines, AS, and

Received: February 5, 2023

Revised: April 12, 2023

Accepted: April 14, 2023

carbonyl species were irradiated in the aqueous aerosol phase reported photobrowning lasting a few hours.^{32,33} Other lab and field studies have observed initial browning of BrC mixtures followed by bleaching after several hours of reaction time,^{26,28,29,31,34} or even more complicated time-dependent changes.³⁵ This diversity of results across BrC aging studies indicates that there is no reason to expect that BrC produced by different source reactions will behave similarly. Furthermore, the photobleaching and photobrowning behavior of glyoxal + S(IV) reactions has not been measured.

Here, we report measurements of the negative effects of sunlight on BrC formation in aqueous bulk mixtures of glyoxal and S(IV) at pH 5.5. In suspended aqueous aerosol particles exposed to glyoxal and SO₂ and undergoing cloud processing in a large chamber, we find that BrC formation is observed only in the presence of OH radical-initiated oxidation (using the photolysis of H₂O₂ as the OH radical source). Oligomerized aqueous-phase reaction products with unexpectedly low carbon oxidation states are explored via (+)-mode electrospray ionization mass spectrometry.

METHODS

All solutions were made in 18 MΩ deionized water from solid reagents supplied by Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise specified. No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

Bulk Aqueous Experiments. To test the effects of sunlight on glyoxal + S(IV) mixtures, duplicate samples were created containing glyoxal (hydrolyzed from a solid trimer by stirring in deionized water overnight, Fluka, >99%), pH 5.5 acetate buffer, and sodium sulfite (Na₂SO₃, Spectrum) solution. This slightly acidic pH was selected because it is near the middle of the pH range observed for cloud droplets and sea spray aerosol.³⁶ Sample pairs in glass vials (with transmittance 50% cutoffs at ~350 nm) were placed in direct afternoon sunlight (late September, clear sky, 32°46'N, noon to 4 pm) in 4 h intervals, with sunlight blocked to one of each pair by aluminum foil, such that temperatures for sunlit and shaded samples remained within 2 °C of each other, with shaded samples typically at the higher temperature. During each 4 h reaction interval, solar insolation declined from ~1.0 to 0.8 kW/m². The UV/vis absorbance spectrum of each sample was measured in 1 cm pathlength quartz cuvettes after each 4 h reaction interval, and the sample was stored overnight at 4 °C until the next sunny afternoon's solar irradiation interval. Precipitates formed upon cooling certain reaction samples were identified by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker Apex II DUO) by comparison to authentic standards.

CESAM Chamber Experiments. To quantify the effects of simulated sunlight, OH radicals, and multiphase chemistry on glyoxal + S(IV) chemistry, aerosol seed particles were generated from 9 mM sodium sulfate (>99%) solution using an atomizer (TSI 3076) in experiments performed in the presence of SO₂ gas (Merck, >99.95%). In experiments without SO₂ gas, particulate S(IV) was introduced by atomizing 9 mM sodium sulfite (Fluka, >99%) solution. Since 9 mM Na₂SO₃ solutions are slightly basic (pH ~7.5), these solutions were buffered in most experiments to pH 5.5 using sulfuric acid. Gas-phase glyoxal was generated from a heated mixture of solid glyoxal trimer dihydrate and solid P₂O₅ (>99%),³⁷ and introduced into the chamber in either a pulse (filling a glass bulb on a vacuum line to a well-determined pressure and flushing the contents into the chamber) or

continuously (flowing dry N₂ through the solid mixture at ~140 °C into the chamber). Resulting glyoxal concentrations ranged from 150 to 640 ppb and were quantified by proton transfer reaction–mass spectrometry (PTR–MS) (KORE II) after calibration of signals by long-path in situ Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy using standard spectra.³⁸ During segments of certain experiments, hydrogen peroxide was added as an OH precursor by bubbling a 2 L/min flow of O₂ through a bubbler containing 30% HOOH (ACS-grade, nonstabilized) into the chamber.

CESAM is a pressure and temperature controlled stainless steel smog/cloud chamber with a fixed volume of 4.2 m³ and three 6500 W Xe solar simulator lamps. Sampling flows are automatically compensated by additions of N₂ (evaporated from liquid) and high-purity O₂ at an 80:20 ratio. Gas-phase contents were monitored by an SO₂ sensor, long-path FTIR (Bruker Tensor), PTR–MS, and sensors for temperature, RH, ozone, and NO_x. Dried aerosol properties, including total optical scattering/extinction and size distributions, were measured by cavity-attenuated phase shift/single-scattering albedo spectroscopy (CAPS-ssa, Aerodyne, 450 nm) and a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI 3080/3772), respectively. Aerosol and cloud droplet size distributions were also characterized by light scattering (PALAS welas Digital 2000, 0.4–15 μm range). At the end of each experiment, chamber-processed aerosol samples were collected on Teflon filters (1.0 μm pore size, 47 mm diam., Tisch Sci.) and frozen at –20 °C until extraction and off-line ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography coupled with (+)-mode electrospray ionization high-resolution quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UHPLC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS) analysis. Aerosol optical properties were also monitored without drying by particle into liquid sampler (PILS) sampling into a waveguide UV/vis spectrometer with a 1 m pathlength and an inline total organic carbon (TOC) monitor. Gas-phase signals were corrected for dilution from N₂ additions, and SMPS signals were corrected for dilution and wall losses. CAPS-ssa signals were corrected using second-order polynomial fits to daily calibrations with dried AS aerosol. Waveguide UV/vis data were normalized to TOC levels to generate mass absorption coefficients (MACs) in cm²/gOC using the equation $MAC = 2.303A/bC$, where A is the measured log₁₀ absorbance at a given wavelength, b is the pathlength in cm, and C is the TOC level in g organic carbon cm⁻³. The clean chamber was used for the waveguide reference spectrum. Due to temperature-dependent variations in detector response and lamp output, baselines often drifted to slightly negative absorbance values. During periods with measurable chromophores, the absorbance spectra appeared as expected. However, due to this drift, true MAC values may be slightly larger than our reported values.

All Teflon filter samples collected from chamber experiments were extracted with methanol (Optima LC/MS Grade, Fisher Scientific) by sonication for 45 min. The methanol extracts were dried under a gentle stream of high-purity nitrogen gas (Airgas) and reconstituted in 150 μL of 50:50 methanol and Milli-Q water. Filter extracts were analyzed by UHPLC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS operated in positive (+) ion mode, as previously described in detail.³⁹ Aliquots of 5–10 μL were injected onto a Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 μm particle size) and eluted at 0.3 mL min⁻¹ with methanol and water solvent mixtures containing 0.1% ammonium acetate (LC/MS Chromasolv-




Figure 1. Absorption spectrum of filtered pH 5.5 reaction mixture containing 0.25 M glyoxal and 0.25 M HSO_3^- before (black line) and after one and two 4 h periods of solar irradiation (gold) or dark processing at similar temperatures (blue). 4 h reaction time (dashed lines); 8 h reaction time (solid colored lines). Ratio of dark versus sun absorption enhancement (dashed red line) is shown for wavelengths beyond 320 nm; the average ratio between 330 and 480 is 1.6 ± 0.2 .

Table 1. CESAM Chamber Cloud Processing Experiments with S(IV) and Gas-Phase Glyoxal^a

expt.	figure	$[\text{GX}]_g$ (ppb) ^b	seed aerosol types ^c	$[\text{SO}_2]_g$ (ppb)	$[\text{HOOH}]_g$ added	secondary aerosol produced ^d ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	MAC max (cm^2/gOC) 365 nm	min. albedo reached, 450 nm	Δ albedo (final cloud)
1	Figure 2	170 ± 10	Na_2SO_4	140 ± 5	yes, cloud 3	57 ± 4	100 ± 40 (no data after cloud 3)	0.75 ± 0.02	-0.15 ± 0.03
2	Figure S3	170 then 310	Na_2SO_4	550	yes, precloud only	40	380	0.83	-0.03
3	Figure S4	640	Na_2SO_4 ^e	520	no	26	120	0.86	-0.012
4	Figure 3	150	Na_2SO_4 ^e	600	yes, cloud 2	65	1800	0.89	-0.06
5	Figure S2	170	Na_2SO_3	8 ± 1 ^f	yes, cloud 3	20	2000	0.92	noisy
6	Figure S1	150	pH 5.5 Na_2SO_3	10 ± 1 ^f	no	6	2600	0.88	noisy
7	Figure S7	490	pH 5.5 Na_2SO_3 in N_2	20 ± 1 ^f	no	6	1200	0.85	-0.02 w/RH

^aNotes: all runs had 2–3 cloud events, with solar simulator lights turned on for the last cloud event (except in Experiment 2, where lights were on under dry conditions only followed by two dark cloud events). Uncertainties listed for Experiment 1 are typical for all experiments unless otherwise stated. ^bBased on PTR–MS signals at m/z 31 and on pressure in glass transfer bulb in Experiment 1, calibrated by long-path FTIR. ^cDiffusion dried and suspended in high-purity air unless otherwise stated. ^dMeasured by SMPS, assuming an aerosol density of 1.0. ^eFlash-dried (liquid particles sent into dry chamber). ^fEquilibrated from sulfite seed particles, concentration given is that measured after seed particle addition was complete. Higher levels were briefly observed upon humidification, see the corresponding figures.

grade, Sigma-Aldrich). Data were analyzed using Agilent MassHunter Version B.06.00 Build 6.0.633.0 qualitative software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Bulk Aqueous Experiments. During solar irradiation, glyoxal/sulfite (HSO_3^- at pH 5.5) samples had average temperatures of 35.5 and 37.5 °C with and without exposure to direct sunlight, respectively. After four hours of exposure and overnight refrigeration, a white precipitate formed in glyoxal/ HSO_3^- samples, with higher quantities in samples that were shielded from solar radiation. This precipitate was identified as GDBS by comparing its powder XRD spectra with an authentic GDBS standard. GDBS is known to be formed reversibly in mixtures of glyoxal and sulfite, and is considered a reservoir species¹ in oxidant-free solution.⁴

Absorbance spectra of filtered 1:1 glyoxal: HSO_3^- mixtures are shown in Figure 1. Modest absorbance in the actinic range was observed initially upon sample mixing, along with an absorbance maximum at 292 nm observed in an earlier study.⁴ After 4 h of sunlight exposure, absorbance was saturated below 330 nm and enhanced by factors of 2.0–3.4 out to 480 nm. (Absorbance was below detection limits beyond 480 nm.) In duplicate temperature-matched samples shielded from sunlight, the absorbance was enhanced by factors between 3.3 and 5.5 over the same wavelength range, a change which is much larger than run-to-run variation ($\sim 15\%$). The enhancement in absorbance in the dark was larger than in sunlight at all wavelengths between 330 and 480 nm, with an average dark/sunlight enhancement ratio of 1.6 ± 0.2 . These results demonstrate that photobleaching of BrC products formed by glyoxal + sulfite reactions occurs, but this photobleaching cannot keep pace with BrC production in these sunlit (250 mM) solutions where no OH precursor was added.

CESAM Chamber Experiments with Gas-Phase Glyoxal and SO₂. Glyoxal + S(IV) experiments conducted in the CESAM chamber are summarized in Table 1. Glyoxal concentrations (150–640 ppb) were chosen to match an earlier study of glyoxal + S(IV) dark chemistry. In experiments 1–4, 140–600 ppb SO₂ gas was added to the chamber, and dried seed aerosol particles were generated from Na₂SO₄ solutions. The SO₂ concentration range was chosen to simulate an extremely polluted atmosphere (bracketing China's 1 h "grade II" air quality standard for SO₂ of 500 ppb), and to approach the predicted SO₂ gas-phase concentration if gas-aqueous equilibrium were reached in experiments 5–7 (670 ppb), where dried seed aerosol particles were produced from Na₂SO₃ solution as the S(IV) source. The sulfite solution was buffered to pH 5.5 with sulfuric acid in Experiments 6 and 7, resulting in aerosol initially consisting of mixed, effloresced NaHSO₃ and NaHSO₄. The pH of the unbuffered Na₂SO₃ solution used to generate seed aerosol particles in Experiment 5 was ~7.5.

In Experiment 1 (Figure 2), gas-phase glyoxal and SO₂ were added to the chamber containing deliquesced sodium sulfate aerosol at RH > 90%. Glyoxal was added continuously after 14:03 (local time), while SO₂ was added in a single pulse at 14:44. Neither of these additions caused a significant increase in dried particle mass or browning, although TOC rose gradually by 10% starting after the SO₂ addition, likely due to some aqueous-phase glyoxal-SO₂ adduct formation.

Normally, chamber cloud events cause a decrease in dried particle mass that is measurable by SMPS, due to wet deposition of some cloud droplets containing the aerosol particles that served as cloud condensation nuclei. (The lifetime of a 200 nm diameter particle in the chamber is on the order of a day, but when activated into a 5 μm cloud droplet, its lifetime shortens to several minutes.) An example of this wet deposition can be seen in the 18% dried particle mass loss after cloud 1 at 13:33, or 8–15% mass losses after the clouds in Figures S1 and S2. In contrast, once glyoxal and SO₂ gases were present in the chamber, a water vapor addition at 15:15 and cloud 2 caused respective increases in particle mass of 22 and 12%. The fact that TOC signals do not also increase in a correlated manner with SMPS mass indicates that this increase in particle mass is mainly due to sulfite or sulfate formation. Since the Henry's law coefficient of SO₂ is only 1.47 M/atm, dissolved SO₂ (or H₂SO₃) concentrations are expected to remain below 1 μM, unless a base is present to react with H₂SO₃ to produce HSO₃⁻ or SO₃²⁻ ions. Indeed, negligible aerosol-phase sulfur was observed in an earlier study where wet NaCl aerosol was exposed to SO₂(g).⁴ A proton transfer reaction involving sulfate ions is thus likely responsible for the dark growth in aerosol dry mass observed in Experiment 1:



After the chamber solar simulator lights were turned on, HOOH(g) was added to the chamber as an OH radical source, about a minute before cloud event 3. As soon as HOOH was added, particle counts increased more than 10-fold and particle mass increased by 27% due to a nucleation event, likely caused by oxidation of SO₂ by HOOH. (The identity of the oxidant as HOOH and not OH radicals is supported by Experiment 2 (Figure S3), where new particle nucleation is seen in the dark when SO₂(g) and HOOH(g) are present and RH reaches




Figure 2. Gas-phase glyoxal and SO₂ uptake during Experiment 1 with deliquesced Na₂SO₄ aerosol in CESAM chamber, 9:50 start time. Three cloud events, start of continuous glyoxal addition, SO₂ addition, start and end of chamber illumination, and start of HOOH addition are labeled. Panel a: TOC readings and MACs at 365 nm from PILS/waveguide UV–vis, (re-zeroed at 16:03), color-coded to axes. (b) Chamber RH and droplet spectrometer counts, color-coded to axes. (c) Water- and dilution-corrected PTR–MS data (*m/z* 31 glyoxal fragment, blue dotted line); and SO₂ concentrations in ppb from a dedicated sensor (red line). (d) SMPS total mass (assuming density = 1 g/cm³) and counts shown next, color-coded to axes. (e) CAPS-ssa data at 450 nm (mass-corrected extinction, blue line; mass-corrected scattering, black line; single-scattering albedo, red dots; ssa measured immediately after gas-phase baseline, red triangles; red box indicates points measured beyond calibration range of instrument). (f and g) Copies of panels d and e, zoomed in on end of experiment to better show onset times of nucleation event and browning.

25%.) During cloud event 3, glyoxal (g) concentrations temporarily fell from 120 to 90 ppb while $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ concentrations fell from 71 to 43 ppb. While the temporary loss of glyoxal was similar to that observed during the previous (HOOH-free and dark) cloud event 2, the drop in $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ concentrations during cloud 3 was several times larger than during cloud 2, indicating the importance of $\text{SO}_2 + \text{HOOH}$ reactions in driving SO_2 uptake to the aqueous phase.

No detectable BrC formed during the dark portion of Experiment 1: no rise in MAC at 365 nm or convincing drop in albedo at 450 nm was observed. As cloud 3 dissipated, however, the single-scattering albedo measured at 450 nm began to decline from 0.90 to 0.75, stopping only when the lights were turned off 40 min later. Therefore, this photobrowning was driven by either direct photolysis or by OH radical reactions, and occurred most rapidly after the evaporation of cloud droplets. Furthermore, it appears that neither cloud events nor photolysis/OH radical-initiated photo-oxidation are sufficient to cause uptake and rapid photobrowning by glyoxal and SO_2 in aqueous aerosol particles; rather, both are required.

Similar experiments on BrC formation from $\text{SO}_2(\text{g})$ and $\text{GX}(\text{g})$ uptake onto Na_2SO_4 seeds, but with (Experiment 4) and without HOOH addition (Experiment 3) during the final cloud event, are compared in Figure 3. In both experiments, cloud 1 occurred in the dark without HOOH, and did not produce secondary organic aerosol (SOA) mass or BrC, as before. Albedo at 450 nm declined by less than 0.012, and the MAC at 365 nm increased by less than $80 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, both within the noise of these data sets. In Experiment 3, cloud 2 occurred with the lights on but in the absence of HOOH. Under these conditions, no BrC, and less than $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of aerosol, was produced (Figure S4). In contrast, in Experiment 4 with lights and HOOH, cloud 2 produced $65 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of secondary aerosol and substantial BrC, visible from the large increase in MAC and large decrease in albedo in Figure 3. As before, a drop in albedo at 450 nm and an increase in MAC at 365 nm occur once light, HOOH, and a cloud event are all present, suggesting that aqueous photobrowning reactions involving OH radicals are responsible for BrC production. (The very small MAC increase at 365 nm before cloud 2 is likely due to limited photobrowning involving lower levels of radical generation by direct photolysis or photosensitization.)

CESAM Chamber Experiments with Sulfite Aerosol. In

a previous study, BrC production was observed when gas-phase glyoxal interacted with aqueous sulfite-containing aerosol particles in a flowing system with a 1 min residence time, without the need for OH radical sources or sunlight.⁴ Experiments 5–7 (Figures S1, S2, S5, and S6) explore this system to try to better understand differences between experiments where $\text{S}(\text{IV})$ is initially supplied in the gas or aerosol phase. In all three experiments, dried sulfite-containing aerosol were added to the dry chamber, accompanied by relatively small amounts (8–20 ppb) of gas-phase SO_2 , likely released from the aqueous phase during initial aerosol generation (before drying). The addition of glyoxal gas under dry conditions ($\text{RH} < 5\%$) did not increase SMPS mass or MAC at 365 nm. This is consistent with previous flow chamber experiments with sulfite-containing aerosol, where glyoxal did not cause aerosol browning or substantial growth under dry conditions.⁴

In Experiments 5–7, once the chamber was humidified, most glyoxal gas was immediately lost from the gas phase, and




Figure 3. Gas-phase glyoxal and SO_2 uptake Experiment 4 with Na_2SO_4 aerosol in the CESAM chamber, 8:31 start time. Glyoxal gas added continuously after 11:30. SO_2 addition, water vapor addition, two cloud events, start of chamber illumination by solar simulator lights, and the onset of aerosol drying on the way to SMPS and CAPSSa instruments are labeled. Panel a: MACs measured by PILS—waveguide—TOC at 365 nm in Expt. 4 with HOOH during cloud 2 (red line) compared with Expt. 3 (no HOOH added, gray dash-dot line, complete data in Figure S4). (b) chamber RH and droplet spectrometer counts, color-coded to axes. (c) Water- and dilution-corrected PTR-MS data from chamber (m/z 31 glyoxal fragment, blue dotted line) and SO_2 concentrations in ppb from a dedicated sensor (red line). (d) SMPS total mass (assuming density = $1 \text{ g}/\text{cm}^3$) and counts, color-coded to axes. (e) CAPSSa data at 450 nm (mass-corrected extinction, blue line; mass-corrected scattering, black line; 2 min averaged single-scattering albedo, red dots, and albedo measured immediately after instrument baselines, red triangles).

TOC levels increased, showing that glyoxal was taken up by the deliquesced, sulfite-containing aerosol particles. At the same time, SO_2 gas was released from the aerosol to the gas phase as equilibrium with aqueous-phase sulfite was established. However, most of the SO_2 gas released upon aerosol deliquescence was recaptured within 15 min, presumably due to aqueous reactions with glyoxal occurring in aerosol particles and on chamber walls. In Experiment 5, SO_2 recapture resulted in net growth of the aerosol, while in experiments where the aerosol was acidified to pH 5.5 (Experiments 6 and 7), no net growth was observed. In all three experiments, a large increase in MAC was observed upon SO_2 recapture under dark conditions, consistent with the dark production of BrC previously observed for this system.⁴ These observations are strong evidence that glyoxal can form light-absorbing BrC in dark reactions with high concentrations of bisulfite ions in deliquesced, mildly acidic aerosol, but not under dry conditions.

Since aerosol deliquescence is enough to cause glyoxal uptake and browning with Na_2SO_3 or NaHSO_3 aerosol, even in the dark and without HOOH , it appears that the mechanism of BrC formation is different when starting with gas-phase SO_2 as compared to aerosol-phase sulfite. In bulk solution, or when deliquesced, sulfite-containing aerosol is exposed to gas-phase glyoxal, and glyoxal-sulfite adduct molecules evidently reach such high concentrations in the aqueous phase that BrC can form in the dark, as observed in both types of experiments. However, when gas-phase SO_2 is the S(IV) source, glyoxal-sulfite adduct molecules produced after the uptake of both SO_2 and glyoxal to the aqueous phase are likely present at much lower concentrations. Under these conditions, which are more relevant to the atmosphere, the formation of detectable amounts of BrC oligomers requires an OH radical source and occurs most rapidly after a cloud event.

Mass Spectral Analysis of Chamber-Processed Aerosol. The molecular formulas of compounds detected by UHPLC/(+)ESI-HR-QTOFMS in filter extracts from Experiments 1 and 3–7 are shown in Figures 4 and S7 as well as in




Figure 4. Summary of molecular formula detected by UHPLC/(+)ESI-HR-QTOFMS analysis of filter extracts of chamber aerosol in Experiments 1 and 3–7, graphed in terms of numbers of O and C atoms. Symbols indicate oxidant present: no oxidant (+), and O_2 (triangles), or OH radicals (circles). Colors indicate degrees of unsaturation, symbol areas are proportional to average peak areas across experiments with the given oxidant, and red lines indicate expected range of particulate glyoxal oligomers. Multiple symbols of the same shape and color centered on one location represent isomers with identical mass but distinguished by retention times.

Table S1. The molecules detected in the aerosol phase depended most strongly on the oxidant present in the chamber, rather than on the source of S(IV). In Experiment 7, performed without oxidants (the aerosol was suspended in N_2 containing no more than a few ppm O_2 , and no HOOH was added at any point), a much higher fraction of detected organic aerosol molecules fell within the O/C ratio range expected for glyoxal oligomers, shown in Figure 4 as the region between the two red lines. These oligomers include C_7 – C_{14} species, which we note are larger than the C_4 dimers and C_6 trimers commonly detected in aqueous GX mixtures. These

larger particulate-phase oligomers likely formed during the evaporative stage of cloud processing.

The ion-count-weighted average parameters of molecules detected by UHPLC/(+)ESI-HR-QTOFMS in each experiment are summarized as a function of oxidant used in Table 2.

Table 2. Average Parameters of Molecules Detected by UHPLC/(+)ESI-HR-QTOFMS in Aerosol Particles as a Function of Oxidant Used in Glyoxal + S(IV) + Sunlight-Experiments^a

average parameter	no oxidant	O_2		OH radical		
experiment number	7	3	6	1	4	5
degrees of unsaturation	5.0	5.4	4.8	6.0	3.2	5.8
		$\bar{x} = 5.1 \pm 0.4$		$\bar{x} = 4.0 \pm 1.6$		
O/C ratio	0.52	0.42	0.40	0.39	0.24	0.34
		$\bar{x} = 0.41 \pm 0.01$		$\bar{x} = 0.28 \pm 0.08$		
C atoms/molecule	16.5	17.0	16.6	17.9	13.7	18.2
		$\bar{x} = 16.8 \pm 0.3$		$\bar{x} = 15.1 \pm 2.5$		
C oxidation state	-0.45	-0.68	-0.79	-0.72	-1.24	-0.79
		$\bar{x} = -0.73 \pm 0.07$		$\bar{x} = -1.09 \pm 0.3$		

^aNotes: average values for each experiment are weighted by MS ion counts for each detected species. Averages (with uncertainties) calculated from multiple experiments are shown as \bar{x} values.

In the absence of O_2 and HOOH but with sunlight, the average oxidation state of carbon in detected product molecules was -0.45, significantly lower than carbon's +1.0 oxidation state in glyoxal and its oligomers. This suggests that significant aerosol-phase redox reactions have taken place, with S(IV) species presumably serving as reducing agents. Since glyoxal and S(IV) are known to form stable adduct molecules through aqueous phase reactions when oxidants are excluded,¹ the aerosol redox reactivity we observed may be triggered by radical species formed by direct photolysis of BrC species or by photosensitization. These radicals could initiate direct redox chemistry between S(IV) and glyoxal, allowing the formation of reduced organic species along with sulfate ions.

In Experiments 3 and 6, performed in N_2/O_2 mixtures instead of only N_2 gas, average carbon oxidation state and average O/C ratio in detected products both declined further. In the presence of O_2 , S(IV) auto-oxidation is known to be initiated by radical species or transition metals, and this auto-oxidation involves a catalytic chain reaction where sulfoxyl radicals are intermediates.⁴⁰ In our experiments, any contribution to S(IV) auto-oxidation from trace metal contaminants is likely suppressed by glyoxal,⁴¹ so we can assume that photolytically produced radical species or BrC photosensitization starts the S(IV) auto-oxidation chain reaction. Sulfoxyl radicals, like OH radicals, can react with organic species by abstracting hydrogen atoms⁴² or adding to $\text{C}=\text{C}$ double bonds.⁴³ Addition of sulfoxyl radicals to $\text{C}=\text{C}$ double bonds would generate organosulfate species, which do not ionize well in (+)-mode ESI due to their negative charge.⁴⁴ Indeed, only 3 out of the 126 aqueous aerosol species detected by (+)-mode ESI-MS contained sulfur in our experiments, indicating that only the H-abstraction reaction pathway is being probed here. Since organic species with more double bonds have fewer hydrogens and higher carbon oxidation states and are more susceptible to radical addition than species with fewer double bonds, our use of (+)-mode electrospray

ionization likely exhibits a detection bias toward more reduced products. However, similar values for average degree of unsaturation across all types of experiments, with their different radical levels, suggests that this bias is rather small. Indeed, Walser et al.⁴⁵ compared positive and negative mode ESI-MS analysis of SOA produced by limonene ozonolysis and found that the (+)-mode ESI bias in the measured average O/C was only -0.07 (O/C = 0.43 in (+)-mode versus 0.50 in (−)-mode, both significantly higher than O/C = 0 in the reactant limonene). In the current work, the O/C ratio difference between the reactants (glyoxal and its oligomers with O/C ≥ 1) and the products detected by (+)-mode ESI are 7–10 \times larger than this detection bias.

In the presence of dissolved O₂ neither hydrogen abstraction nor radical addition would be expected to form products with lower O/C ratios than the reactants, since O₂ normally adds to organic radical species produced by either pathway. Thus, the additional decline in both carbon oxidation state and O/C ratios in experiments where O₂ was present suggests that aerosol-phase O₂ is depleted by the time oligomers form. In other words, after launching the aqueous-phase auto-oxidation of S(IV) and the production of sulfoxy radicals, resulting in greater production of organic radicals, O₂ may become depleted in evaporating aqueous aerosol due to molecular crowding (increased sinks) and increased viscosity, which slows O₂ diffusion into the particles. If aerosol-phase O₂ is depleted, organic radicals can react more readily with other nearby organic species, producing oligomers with lower O/C ratios, as observed. O₂ depletion in aerosol particles, or the related change in photochemical products to photoreduction and oligomerization, has been observed in recent studies of viscous aerosol phases.^{23,46} Additionally, aqueous aerosol containing glyoxal has been documented to become highly viscous.⁴⁷ We hypothesize that the evaporation of cloud droplets in the atmosphere can create similarly viscous aerosol particles, which then have the potential to become depleted in O₂.

With the addition of HOOH as an OH radical precursor, the measured average O/C ratios and carbon oxidation states of aerosol phase organic species drop even further than that caused by the addition of O₂. This result, while again counter-intuitive, is the same trend as before. Positive-mode ESI-MS also has a detection bias against organic acids, which are the products of OH radical addition in the presence of dissolved O₂, but this detection bias is again unlikely to explain simultaneous declines in O/C ratios and carbon oxidation states in the presence of OH radicals. Instead, the declines are likely due to higher initial radical concentrations triggering more S(IV) auto-oxidation chain reactions, resulting in more sulfoxy radicals producing greater numbers of organic radical species, which more quickly deplete aqueous-phase O₂ and then form less-oxygenated oligomers. We note, however, that the average number of carbon atoms per detected molecule does not change significantly under the different oxidant conditions. This suggests that different oxidant conditions are changing the type of oligomers formed in the glyoxal + S(IV) system (more oxidized or more reduced), rather than causing large changes in the quantity or total extent of oligomerization.

Finally, we note that in experiments where OH radicals were present, the average degree of unsaturation in detected organic aerosol species does not change significantly from other experiments. Since the formation of BrC species requires the production of molecules with delocalized π bonds in order to

absorb visible light,^{17,48} one might expect the average degree of unsaturation to increase in this system, where BrC was formed. Instead, these results indicate that the majority of the detected organic aerosol species are not light-absorbing BrC molecules. Instead, a small minority of highly absorbing species are apparently responsible for the optical properties of BrC aerosol formed by reactions between S(IV) and glyoxal, as has been observed in other reaction systems that form BrC.⁴⁹

Atmospheric Significance. These laboratory experiments show that gas-phase glyoxal and SO₂ can be taken up by cloud droplets and, in the presence of an OH radical source, will form sulfate and reduced (rather than oxidized) oligomerized species including BrC. From these observations, we infer that radical-initiated redox reactions between SO₂ and glyoxal have taken place in O₂-depleted, postcloud aqueous aerosol particles. The role of glyoxal in these reactions is likely twofold. First, like any small aldehyde, glyoxal reacts with dissolved SO₂ to form sulfonate adducts,^{1,3,8,50,51} which keep the two reactant species in physical proximity and, we hypothesize, make subsequent redox reactions between them more likely. Second, glyoxal oligomerization increases the viscosity of aqueous aerosol,^{47,52} making O₂ depletion more likely. These roles suggest that other combinations of (1) small, water-soluble, sulfonate-adduct-forming aldehydes, plus (2) oligomer-forming organic molecules might also be able to generate the conditions necessary for radical-initiated SO₂—aldehyde redox reactions and BrC oligomer production in the aqueous aerosol phase.

While the concentrations of glyoxal (>150 ppb) and SO₂ (>140 ppb) used in these studies were very high, the total aldehyde concentration in Beijing can approach ~ 100 ppb,⁵³ and SO₂ concentrations as high as 50 ppb were measured in 2010 in the lower planetary boundary layer over eastern China.⁵⁴ Furthermore, estimates of global aerosol phase have shown that most aerosol particles are semisolids, especially in the middle and upper troposphere.⁵⁵ Thus, it may be possible that SO₂ can engage in redox reactions with small aldehyde molecules in semisolid aerosol particles in many regions of the troposphere.

The BrC MAC₃₆₅ levels of 0.12–0.26 m² gOC^{−1} (or 1200–2600 cm² gOC^{−1}) measured in experiments 4–7 compare with BrC MAC₃₆₅ mean levels of 0.8–2.4 m² gOC^{−1} recently measured in Asian cities^{56,57} and with 0.5–5 m² gOC^{−1} BrC MAC₃₆₅ measured in wood smoke.^{58,59} The secondary BrC produced by glyoxal + SO₂ reactions in this work therefore appears to be approximately an order of magnitude less absorbing than BrC from wood smoke.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

Concentration–time profiles for the large chamber experiments are freely accessible in .edf format through the chamber database at data.eurochamp.org maintained by AERIS for the benefit of ACTRIS ERIC. (Expt. 1: <https://doi.org/10.25326/4HS3-M215>. Expt. 2: <https://doi.org/10.25326/XE7Z-EC10>. Expt. 3: <https://doi.org/10.25326/SX59-Q090>. Expt. 4: <https://doi.org/10.25326/D3DD-Y688>. Expt. 5: <https://doi.org/10.25326/J1ZN-X623>. Expt. 6: <https://doi.org/10.25326/R7T9-8X80>. Expt. 7: <https://doi.org/10.25326/4841-QM92>.)

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.3c00035>.

Aerosol-phase compounds detected in each experiment by UHPLC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS, summary plots for chamber experiments 2, 3, and 5–7, a sample of time-dependent UV–vis spectra in experiment 7 from water-soluble aerosol particles collected by PILS, and graph summarizing the O/C ratio, H/C ratio, and degree of unsaturation of detected aerosol-phase compounds (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION**Corresponding Author**

David O. De Haan – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, San Diego, California 92117, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-4559-2284; Email: ddehaan@sandiego.edu; Fax: (619) 260-6882

Authors

Lelia N. Hawkins – Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, California 91711, United States;

orcid.org/0000-0002-7930-4044

Praveen D. Wickremasinghe – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, San Diego, California 92117, United States

Alyssa D. Andretta – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, San Diego, California 92117, United States

Juliette R. Dignum – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, San Diego, California 92117, United States

Audrey C. De Haan – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of San Diego, San Diego, California 92117, United States

Hannah G. Welsh – Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, California 91711, United States

Elyse A. Pennington – Department of Chemistry, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont, California 91711, United States

Tianqu Cui – Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; Present Address: Current Address: Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), 5232 Villigen-PSI, Switzerland

Jason D. Surratt – Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health and Department of Chemistry, College of Arts and Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-6833-1450

Mathieu Cazaunau – Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), UMR7583, CNRS, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Université Paris-Est-Créteil (UPEC) et Université Paris Diderot (UPD), Créteil 94010, France

Edouard Pangui – Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), UMR7583, CNRS, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Université Paris-Est-Créteil (UPEC) et Université Paris Diderot (UPD), Créteil 94010, France

Jean-François Doussin – Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA), UMR7583, CNRS, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Université Paris-Est-Créteil (UPEC) et Université Paris Diderot (UPD), Créteil 94010, France

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.3c00035>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by NSF grants AGS-1523178, AGS-1826593, and AGS-2218491. L.N.H. was funded by the Barbara Stokes Dewey Foundation and Research Corporation (CCSA 22473). CNRS-INSU is gratefully acknowledged for supporting CESAM as an open facility through the National Instrument label. This project/work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program through the EUROCHAMP-2020 Infrastructure Activity under grant agreement no. 730997. UNC acknowledges the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) grant No. P30ES010126 for the UHPLC/ESI-HR-QTOFMS instrument used during this study. We thank Bénédicte Picquet-Varrault for posting the chamber dataset.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Olson, T. M.; Hoffmann, M. R. Kinetics, mechanism, and thermodynamics of glyoxal-S(IV) adduct formation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1988**, *92*, 533–540.
- (2) Zuo, Y. Light-induced oxidation of bisulfite-aldehyde adducts in real fog water. *Naturwissenschaften* **1994**, *81*, 505–507.
- (3) Olson, T. M.; Hoffmann, M. R. Hydroxyalkylsulfonate formation: its role as a S(IV) reservoir in atmospheric water droplets. *Atmos. Environ.* **1989**, *23*, 985–997.
- (4) De Haan, D. O.; Jansen, K.; Rynaski, A. D.; Sueme, W. R. P.; Torkelson, A. K.; Czer, E. T.; Kim, A. K.; Rafla, M. A.; De Haan, A. C.; Tolbert, M. A. Brown Carbon Production by Aqueous-Phase Interactions of Glyoxal and SO₂. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 4781–4789.
- (5) Song, S.; Gao, M.; Xu, W.; Sun, Y.; Worsnop, D. R.; Jayne, J. T.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, L.; Li, M.; Zhou, Z.; Cheng, C.; Lv, Y.; Wang, Y.; Peng, W.; Xu, X.; Lin, N.; Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Munger, J. W.; Jacob, D. J.; McElroy, M. B. Possible heterogeneous chemistry of hydroxymethanesulfonate (HMS) in northern China winter haze. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *19*, 1357–1371.
- (6) Chen, C.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, L.; Qiu, Y.; Xu, W.; Song, S.; Sun, J.; Li, Z.; Chen, Y.; Ma, N.; Xu, W.; Pan, X.; Fu, P.; Sun, Y. The importance of hydroxymethanesulfonate (HMS) in winter haze episodes in North China Plain. *Environ. Res.* **2022**, *211*, No. 113093.
- (7) Liu, J.; Gunsch, M. J.; Moffett, C. E.; Xu, L.; El Asmar, R.; Zhang, Q.; Watson, T. B.; Allen, H. M.; Crouse, J. D.; St. Clair, J.; Kim, M.; Wennberg, P. O.; Weber, R. J.; Sheesley, R. J.; Pratt, K. A. Hydroxymethanesulfonate (HMS) Formation during Summertime Fog in an Arctic Oil Field. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2021**, *8*, 511–518.
- (8) Olson, T. M.; Hoffmann, M. R. On the kinetics of formaldehyde-S(IV) adduct formation in slightly acidic solution. *Atmos. Environ.* **1986**, *20*, 2277–2278.
- (9) Rao, X.; Collett, J. L. J. Behavior of S(IV) and formaldehyde in a chemically heterogeneous cloud. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *29*, 1023–1031.
- (10) Brown, H.; Liu, X.; Feng, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Wu, M.; Lu, Z.; Wu, C.; Murphy, S.; Pokhrel, R. Radiative effect and climate impacts of brown carbon with the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 17745–17768.

- (11) Wang, X.; Heald, C. L.; Liu, J.; Weber, R. J.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Jimenez, J. L.; Schwarz, J. P.; Perring, A. E. Exploring the observational constraints on the simulation of brown carbon. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 635–653.
- (12) Saleh, R.; Marks, M.; Heo, J.; Adams, P. J.; Donahue, N. M.; Robinson, A. L. Contribution of brown carbon and lensing to the direct radiative effect of carbonaceous aerosols from biomass and biofuel burning emissions. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2015**, *120*, 10285–10296.
- (13) Feng, Y.; Ramanathan, V.; Kotamarthi, V. R. Brown carbon: a significant atmospheric absorber of solar radiation? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 8607–8621.
- (14) Zhang, A.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Weber, R. J.; Song, Y.; Ke, Z.; Zou, Y. Modeling the global radiative effect of brown carbon: a potentially larger heating source in the tropical free troposphere than black carbon. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *20*, 1901–1920.
- (15) Andreae, M. O.; Gelencser, A. Black carbon or brown carbon? The nature of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 3131–3148.
- (16) Tuccella, P.; Curci, G.; Pitari, G.; Lee, S.; Jo, D. S. Direct radiative effect of absorbing aerosols: sensitivity to mixing state, brown carbon and soil dust refractive index and shape. *J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos.* **2020**, *125*, No. e2019JD030967.
- (17) Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Chemistry of Atmospheric Brown Carbon. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 4335–4382.
- (18) Lee, H. J.; Aiona, P. K.; Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Effect of solar radiation on the optical properties and molecular composition of laboratory proxies of atmospheric brown carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 10217–10226.
- (19) Hinks, M. L.; Brady, M. V.; Lignell, H.; Song, M.; Grayson, J. W.; Bertram, A. K.; Lin, P.; Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Effect of viscosity on photodegradation rates in complex secondary organic aerosol materials. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 8785–8793.
- (20) Aiona, P. K.; Lee, H. J.; Leslie, R.; Lin, P.; Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Photochemistry of Products of the Aqueous Reaction of Methylglyoxal with Ammonium Sulfate. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2017**, *1*, 522–532.
- (21) Aiona, P. K.; Luek, J. L.; Timko, S. A.; Powers, L. C.; Gonsior, M.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Effect of Photolysis on Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra of Light-Absorbing Secondary Organic Aerosols. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2018**, *2*, 235–245.
- (22) Fleming, L. T.; Lin, P.; Roberts, J. M.; Selimovic, V.; Yokelson, R.; Laskin, J.; Laskin, A.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Molecular composition and photochemical lifetimes of brown carbon chromophores in biomass burning organic aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *20*, 1105–1129.
- (23) Dalton, A. B.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Photochemical Degradation of 4-Nitrocatechol and 2,4-Dinitrophenol in a Sugar-Glass Secondary Organic Aerosol Surrogate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55*, 14586–14594.
- (24) Fan, X.; Cao, T.; Yu, X.; Wang, Y.; Xiao, X.; Li, F.; Xie, Y.; Ji, W.; Song, J.; Peng, P. The evolutionary behavior of chromophoric brown carbon during ozone aging of fine particles from biomass burning. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *20*, 4593–4605.
- (25) Schnitzler, E. G.; Gerrebos, N. G. A.; Carter, T. S.; Huang, Y.; Heald, C. L.; Bertram, A. K.; Abbatt, J. P. D. Rate of atmospheric brown carbon whitening governed by environmental conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2022**, *119*, No. e2205610119.
- (26) Wong, J. P. S.; Nenes, A.; Weber, R. J. Changes in Light Absorptivity of Molecular Weight Separated Brown Carbon Due to Photolytic Aging. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 8414–8421.
- (27) Zhao, R.; Lee, A. K. Y.; Huang, L.; Li, X.; Yang, F.; Abbatt, J. P. D. Photochemical processing of aqueous atmospheric brown carbon. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *15*, 6087–6100.
- (28) Zeng, L.; Dibb, J.; Scheuer, E.; Katich, J. M.; Schwarz, J. P.; Bourgeois, I.; Peischl, J.; Ryerson, T.; Warneke, C.; Perring, A. E.; Diskin, G. S.; DiGangi, J. P.; Nowak, J. B.; Moore, R. H.; Wiggins, E. B.; Pagonis, D.; Guo, H.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Jimenez, J. L.; Xu, L.; Weber, R. J. Characteristics and evolution of brown carbon in western United States wildfires. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *22*, 8009–8036.
- (29) Zhong, M.; Jang, M. Dynamic light absorption of biomass-burning organic carbon photochemically aged under natural sunlight. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *14*, 1517–1525.
- (30) Forrister, H.; Liu, J.; Scheuer, E.; Dibb, J.; Ziemba, L.; Thornhill, K. L.; Anderson, B.; Diskin, G.; Perring, A. E.; Schwarz, J. P.; Campuzano-Jost, P.; Day, D. A.; Palm, B. B.; Jimenez, J. L.; Nenes, A.; Weber, R. J. Evolution of brown carbon in wildfire plumes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2015**, *42*, 4623–4630.
- (31) Hems, R. F.; Abbatt, J. P. D. Aqueous Phase Photo-oxidation of Brown Carbon Nitrophenols: Reaction Kinetics, Mechanism, and Evolution of Light Absorption. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2018**, *2*, 225–234.
- (32) De Haan, D. O.; Hawkins, L. N.; Welsh, H. G.; Pednekar, R.; Casar, J. R.; Pennington, E. A.; de Loera, A.; Jimenez, N. G.; Symons, M. A.; Zauscher, M.; Pajunoja, A.; Caponi, L.; Cazaunau, M.; Formenti, P.; Gratien, A.; Pangu, E.; Doussin, J. F. Brown carbon production in ammonium- or amine-containing aerosol particles by reactive uptake of methylglyoxal and photolytic cloud cycling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 7458–7466.
- (33) Jimenez, N. G.; Sharp, K. D.; Gramy, T.; Uglund, D. Z.; Tran, M.-K.; Rojas, A.; Rafla, M. A.; Stewart, D.; Galloway, M. M.; Lin, P.; Laskin, A.; Cazaunau, M.; Pangu, E.; Doussin, J.-F.; De Haan, D. O. Radical-Initiated Brown Carbon Formation in Sunlit Carbonyl–Amine–Ammonium Sulfate Mixtures and Aqueous Aerosol Particles. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2022**, *6*, 228.
- (34) Schnitzler, E. G.; Liu, T.; Hems, R.; Abbatt, J. Emerging investigator series: Heterogeneous OH oxidation of primary brown carbon aerosol: effects of relative humidity and volatility. *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **2020**, *22*, 2162–2171.
- (35) Sharp, J. R.; Grace, D. N.; Ma, S.; Woo, J. L.; Galloway, M. M. Competing Photochemical Effects in Aqueous Carbonyl/Ammonium Brown Carbon Systems. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2021**, *5*, 1902–1915.
- (36) Pye, H. O. T.; Nenes, A.; Alexander, B.; Ault, A. P.; Barth, M. C.; Clegg, S. L.; Collett, J. L., Jr.; Fahey, K. M.; Hennigan, C. J.; Herrmann, H.; Kanakidou, M.; Kelly, J. T.; Ku, I. T.; McNeill, V. F.; Riemer, N.; Schaefer, T.; Shi, G.; Tilgner, A.; Walker, J. T.; Wang, T.; Weber, R.; Xing, J.; Zaveri, R. A.; Zuend, A. The acidity of atmospheric particles and clouds. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *20*, 4809–4888.
- (37) Volkamer, R.; Ziemann, P. J.; Molina, M. J. Secondary organic aerosol formation from acetylene (C₂H₂): seed effect on SOA yields due to organic photochemistry in the aerosol aqueous phase. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9*, 1907–1928.
- (38) Eurochamp. Eurochamp-2: Integration of European Simulation Chambers for Investigating Atmospheric Processes. In *CEAM: Valencia, Spain*, 2010. <https://data.eurochamp.org/data-access/ir-spectra/>.
- (39) De Haan, D. O.; Tapavicza, E.; Riva, M.; Cui, T.; Surratt, J.; Smith, A. C.; Jordan, M.-C.; Nilakantan, S.; Almodovar, M.; Stewart, T. N.; de Loera, A.; De Haan, A. C.; Cazaunau, M.; Gratien, A.; Pangu, E.; Doussin, J. F. Nitrogen-containing, light-absorbing oligomers produced in aerosol particles exposed to methylglyoxal, photolysis, and cloud cycling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *52*, 4061–4071.
- (40) Brandt, C.; van Eldik, R. Transition Metal-Catalyzed Oxidation of Sulfur(IV) Oxides Atmospheric-Relevant Processes and Mechanisms. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 119–190.
- (41) Coddens, E. M.; Huang, L.; Wong, C.; Grassian, V. H. Influence of Glyoxal on the Catalytic Oxidation of S(IV) in Acidic Aqueous Media. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2019**, *3*, 142–149.
- (42) Kwong, K. C.; Chim, M. M.; Davies, J. F.; Wilson, K. R.; Chan, M. N. Importance of sulfate radical anion formation and chemistry in heterogeneous OH oxidation of sodium methyl sulfate, the smallest organosulfate. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 2809–2820.
- (43) Huang, L.; Liu, T.; Grassian, V. H. Radical-Initiated Formation of Aromatic Organosulfates and Sulfonates in the Aqueous Phase. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 11857–11864.

(44) Surratt, J. D.; Kroll, J. H.; Kleindienst, T. E.; Edney, E. O.; Claeys, M.; Sorooshian, A.; Ng, N. L.; Offenberg, J. H.; Lewandowski, M.; Jaoui, M.; Flagan, R. C.; Seinfeld, J. H. Evidence for organosulfates in secondary organic aerosol. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 517–527.

(45) Walser, M. L.; Desyaterik, Y.; Laskin, J.; Laskin, A.; Nizkorodov, S. A. High-resolution mass spectrometric analysis of secondary organic aerosol produced by ozonation of limonene. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 1009–1022.

(46) Alpert, P. A.; Dou, J.; Corral Arroyo, P.; Schneider, F.; Xto, J.; Luo, B.; Peter, T.; Huthwelker, T.; Borca, C. N.; Henzler, K. D.; Schaefer, T.; Herrmann, H.; Raabe, J.; Watts, B.; Krieger, U. K.; Ammann, M. Photolytic radical persistence due to anoxia in viscous aerosol particles. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 1769.

(47) Peters, J.-H.; Dette, H. P.; Koop, T. Glyoxal as a Potential Source of Highly Viscous Aerosol Particles. *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **2021**, *5*, 3324–3337.

(48) Graber, E. R.; Rudich, Y. Atmospheric HULIS: How humic-like are they? A comprehensive and critical review. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 729–753.

(49) Bones, D. L.; Henricksen, D. K.; Mang, S. A.; Gonsior, M.; Bateman, A. P.; Nguyen, T. B.; Cooper, W. J.; Nizkorodov, S. A. Appearance of strong absorbers and fluorophores in limonene-O₃ secondary organic aerosol due to NH₄⁺-mediated chemical aging over long time scales. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2010**, *115*, D05203.

(50) Olson, T. M.; Hoffmann, M. R. Formation kinetics, mechanism, and thermodynamics of glyoxylic acid-sulfur(IV) adducts. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1988**, *92*, 4246–4253.

(51) Betterton, E. A.; Hoffmann, M. R. Kinetics, mechanism, and thermodynamics of the reversible reaction of methylglyoxal (CH₃COCHO) with S(IV). *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1987**, *91*, 3011–3020.

(52) Hawkins, L. N.; Baril, M. J.; Sedehi, N.; Galloway, M. M.; De Haan, D. O.; Schill, G. P.; Tolbert, M. A. Formation of semi-solid, oligomerized aqueous SOA: Lab simulations of cloud processing. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48*, 2273–2280.

(53) Altemose, B.; Gong, J.; Zhu, T.; Hu, M.; Zhang, L.; Cheng, H.; Zhang, L.; Tong, J.; Kipen, H. M.; Strickland, P. O.; Meng, Q.; Robson, M. G.; Zhang, J. Aldehydes in Relation to Air Pollution Sources: A Case Study around the Beijing Olympics. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *109*, 61–69.

(54) Xue, L.; Ding, A.; Gao, J.; Wang, T.; Wang, W.; Wang, X.; Lei, H.; Jin, D.; Qi, Y. Aircraft measurements of the vertical distribution of sulfur dioxide and aerosol scattering coefficient in China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2010**, *44*, 278–282.

(55) Shiraiwa, M.; Li, Y.; Tsimpidi, A. P.; Karydis, V. A.; Berkemeier, T.; Pandis, S. N.; Lelieveld, J.; Koop, T.; Pöschl, U. Global distribution of particle phase state in atmospheric secondary organic aerosols. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15002.

(56) Pani, S. K.; Lin, N.-H.; Griffith, S. M.; Chantara, S.; Lee, C.-T.; Thepnuan, D.; Tsai, Y. I. Brown carbon light absorption over an urban environment in northern peninsular Southeast Asia. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *276*, No. 116735.

(57) Deng, J.; Ma, H.; Wang, X.; Zhong, S.; Zhang, Z.; Zhu, J.; Fan, Y.; Hu, W.; Wu, L.; Li, X.; Ren, L.; Pavuluri, C. M.; Pan, X.; Sun, Y.; Wang, Z.; Kawamura, K.; Fu, P. Measurement report: Optical properties and sources of water-soluble brown carbon in Tianjin, North China – insights from organic molecular compositions. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, *22*, 6449–6470.

(58) Rathod, T.; Sahu, S. K.; Tiwari, M.; Yousaf, A.; Bhangare, R. C.; Pandit, G. G. Light Absorbing Properties of Brown Carbon Generated from Pyrolytic Combustion of Household Biofuels. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2017**, *17*, 108–116.

(59) Zhang, Y.; Albinet, A.; Petit, J.-E.; Jacob, V.; Chevrier, F.; Gille, G.; Pontet, S.; Chrétien, E.; Dominik-Sègue, M.; Levigoureux, G.; Močnik, G.; Gros, V.; Jaffrezo, J.-L.; Favez, O. Substantial brown carbon emissions from wintertime residential wood burning over France. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *743*, No. 140752.

Recommended by ACS

Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) through Uptake of Isoprene Hydroxy Hydroperoxides (ISOPOOH) and its Oxidation Products

Peter Mettke, Hartmut Herrmann, *et al.*

APRIL 25, 2023

ACS EARTH AND SPACE CHEMISTRY

READ 

Modeling the Impact of the Organic Aerosol Phase State on Multiphase OH Reactive Uptake Kinetics and the Resultant Heterogeneous Oxidation Timescale of Organic Aerosol in...

Quazi Z. Rasool, Bin Zhao, *et al.*

APRIL 24, 2023

ACS EARTH AND SPACE CHEMISTRY

READ 

Chemical Signatures of Seasonally Unique Anthropogenic Influences on Organic Aerosol Composition in the Central Amazon

Emily B. Franklin, Allen H. Goldstein, *et al.*

APRIL 03, 2023

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

READ 

Metal Oxide Particles as Atmospheric Nuclei: Exploring the Role of Metal Speciation in Heterogeneous Efflorescence and Ice Nucleation

Zachary R. Schiffman, Margaret A. Tolbert, *et al.*

MARCH 30, 2023

ACS EARTH AND SPACE CHEMISTRY

READ 

Get More Suggestions >