YALE PEABODY MUSEUM

P.O. BOX 208118 | NEW HAVEN CT 06520-8118 USA | PEABODY.YALE. EDU

JOURNAL OF MARINE RESEARCH

The *Journal of Marine Research*, one of the oldest journals in American marine science, published important peer-reviewed original research on a broad array of topics in physical, biological, and chemical oceanography vital to the academic oceanographic community in the long and rich tradition of the Sears Foundation for Marine Research at Yale University.

An archive of all issues from 1937 to 2021 (Volume 1–79) are available through EliScholar, a digital platform for scholarly publishing provided by Yale University Library at https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/.

Requests for permission to clear rights for use of this content should be directed to the authors, their estates, or other representatives. The *Journal of Marine Research* has no contact information beyond the affiliations listed in the published articles. We ask that you provide attribution to the *Journal of Marine Research*.

Yale University provides access to these materials for educational and research purposes only. Copyright or other proprietary rights to content contained in this document may be held by individuals or entities other than, or in addition to, Yale University. You are solely responsible for determining the ownership of the copyright, and for obtaining permission for your intended use. Yale University makes no warranty that your distribution, reproduction, or other use of these materials will not infringe the rights of third parties.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/



Analysis of dimethyl sulfonium compounds in marine algae

by Robert H. White¹

ABSTRACT

A method is described for the quantitation of the dimethyl sulfide which is released from marine algae by treatment with alkali. Results show that this dimethyl sulfide originates from at least two separate pools of dimethyl sulfonium compounds present in the algae. One of the pools is rapidly decomposed (< 5 min) at 23 °C with 1M NaOH and is believed to consist of dimethyl- β -propiothetin. A second pool which completely loses its dimethyl sulfide only after heating at 100 °C for 2 hr may be S-methylmethionine. The quantitation of these sulfonium compounds in 16 species of algae is presented along with a discussion of the importance of these sulfonium compounds to the natural sulfur cycle and the biological production of the methyl halides.

1. Introduction

Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) has been found to occur ubiquitously throughout the et al., 1978; Schwarzenbach et al., 1978) and in marine organisms (Challenger et al., 1957; Andreae et al., 1981). It is very likely the compound most responsible for 1957; Andreae et al., 1981). It is very likely the compound most responsible for the characteristic "odor of the sea." Although its origin in these systems is not definitely known, it most likely results from the cleavage of dimethyl sulfonium compounds which are present in marine algae and phytoplankton. The best characterized of these compounds is dimethylpropiothetin (DMPT) (I) which was first shown to be present in algae by Challenger et al. (1957). Cantoni and Anderson (1956) subsequently found that an enzyme present in algae caused the cleavage of this thetin to DMS as shown in Eq. (1).

$$O \qquad O \qquad O \qquad || \\ (CH_3)_2SCH_2CH_2COH \rightarrow (CH_3)_2S + CH_2 = CHCOH \qquad (1)$$

The decomposition of sulfonium salts as described in (1), however, is only one of

^{1.} Department of Biochemistry and Nutrition, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, 24061, U.S.A.

Journal of Marine Research

530

several possible reaction routes. A second route, the one with which this work is concerned, is the well known reversible reaction of methyl sulfonium ions with halides to produce the methyl halides, as outlined by (2)-(4) (Oae, 1977).

$$(CH_3)_2 S-R + Cl^- \rightleftharpoons CH_3 Cl + CH_3 SR$$
(2)

$$(CH_3)_2 S R + Br^- \rightleftharpoons CH_3 Br + CH_3 SR$$
(3)

$$(CH_3)_2 S-R + I^- \rightleftharpoons CH_3 I + CH_3 SR$$
(4)

It is proposed that these reactions which occur between the dimethyl sulfonium compounds present in the algae and the halides concentrated by the algae may be responsible for the methyl halides which have been found to occur in seawater and in the atmosphere (Lovelock *et al.*, 1973; Lovelock, 1975; Penkett *et al.*, 1980; Rasmussen *et al.*, 1980) and in marine organisms (Dickson and Riley, 1976). They are believed to be a result of algal metabolism.

A

As a first step in establishing the importance of these reactions to the natural origin of the methyl halides, I have developed a method for the analysis of dimethyl sulfonium compounds in algae. This method is described herein along with some of the analytical results on several macroscopic algae.

2. Materials and methods

Dimethyl- β -propiothetin bromide was prepared as described by Challenger and Simpson (1948) from 3-bromopropionic acid and dimethyl sulfide. The final compound, after recrystallization from warm ethanol, had a m.p. of 112-114°C. DL-Methionine-S-methyl sulfonium chloride was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. It will be referred to herein as S-methylmethionine.

Algae were collected from both Atlantic and Pacific waters. The Atlantic algae were collected and subsequently identified by Dr. H. G. Marshall, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia in the waters around Norfolk on July 29, 1981. The Pacific algae were collected by the author on August 21, 1981 in La Jolla, California and identified by Dr. William Fenical and Vallrie J. Paul, Scripps Institute of Oceanography, La Jolla, California. All algae were subjected to alkaline treatment within 2 hr of collection.

The standard method for the analysis of dimethyl sulfonium compounds consisted of assaying the dimethyl sulfide which was released from the algae after treatment with base. Fresh algae samples were freed of excess salt water by blotting with a paper towel and one-gram samples were placed in each of two 3-dram vials. One vial was dried at 110°C for 3 hr to obtain the dry weight of the algae. The other sample was sealed in the vial using a Pierce Tuf-BondTM Teflon®-silicone cap liner held in place by the vial's original cap. This cap had been modified by removal of



Figure 1. Release of DMS from dimethyl sulfonium compounds and algae by base. Samples were assayed as follows: For the dimethylpropiothetin (\triangle) and S-methylmethionine (\Box , \blacksquare) samples, 10 µl of a 0.2 M solution of each sulfonium salt was injected into a vial containing 2 ml of 1 M NaOH at 23°C. For the algae sample (o), 2 ml of 1 M NaOH was added to one gram of *Ulva lautuca* in a vial kept at 23°C. The S-methylmethionine sample (\blacksquare) was kept at 100°C. Samples of gas were removed and assayed in each vial for DMS at the indicated times.

its liner and the drilling of a small hole ($\sim 2 \text{ mm}$) through its top in order that gas samples could be removed. Three ml of air were then removed from the vial followed by the addition of 2 ml of 1M NaOH. (The removal of the 3 ml volume of air prior to the injection of base leaves a slight negative pressure in the vial which prevents escape of any DMS produced.)

Samples were stored at room temperature for at least 24 hr after which a portion of the head-space gas was assayed for DMS by gas chromatography. The DMS was separated on a $1/8'' \ge 6'$ glass column packed with 60/80 mesh Chromosorb 101 and was detected by flame ionization. With a helium flow rate of 30 ml/min and a column temperature of 150° C the DMS had a retention time of ~ 90 sec. DMS was the only significant peak detected in any of the samples. Its identity was confirmed by mass spectrometry on several samples. Quantitation was obtained by comparing peak areas to a series of known samples generated by adding 2 ml of 1M NaOH to 1 ml solutions containing known amounts of dimethylpropiothetin in vials of the same volume as the unknowns.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows that 1M NaOH at 23°C causes a very rapid release of DMS from a 2 μ mole sample of DMPT under the standard assay conditions. The reaction terminates and the DMS concentration in the gas phase comes to equilibrium in about 5 min. Similar kinetics were found to be true with amounts of DMPT ranging from 0.02 to 3.2 μ moles in the reaction vials. After an equilibration time of 20 min these standards would give a linear standard curve when the peak areas of the DMS, generated by gas chromatographic analysis of the gas phase, were plotted against the total amount of DMPT added to the vial. The assayed amount of DMS present in the vial was stable at both 23°C and 100°C for at least a week when kept in the dark. The standard error in the analysis of the same sample (6×) was less than 10%. The addition of freshwater algae, which had previously been shown not to produce DMS on base treatment, produced no measurable change in the amount of DMS released by these knowns.

Figure 1 also shows that the release of DMS from the algae was somewhat slower than that observed from a solution of DMPT. This is attributed to the time required for the hydroxide ion to diffuse into the algae and the time required for the DMS produced from the DMPT to diffuse out of the tissue. However, even with these factors operating, the release of DMS from the algae was found to be complete in 20 min and to remain constant for periods of up to one week, the longest tested.

This rapid release of DMS from the algae and the DMPT solutions is in contrast to that observed for S-methylmethionine when treated with base at 23°C. The release of DMS from this material was complete only after heating at 100°C for 2 hr. Furthermore, quantitation of the total amount of DMS produced showed it to be only $13.4 \pm 1.8\%$ as much DMS as was produced by an equivalent amount of DMPT. This difference in the reactivity of these two different dimethyl sulfonium compounds is consistent with their chemical structures. The DMPT has an acidic proton β to the sulfonium group which facilitates the elimination of DMS, the S-methylmethionine does not. DMPT is decomposed completely by the elimination of DMS whereas the S-methylmethionine is decomposed more readily by the nucleophilic attack of the hydroxide ion on the anionic sulfonium methyl group (as opposed to DMS elimination). Since the nucleophilic reaction destroys the dimethyl sulfide portion of the molecule by converting one of its methyl groups to methanol, this explains the reduced amount of DMS produced.

The fact that these two sulfonium compounds have such different rates of decomposition allows for the quantitation of their occurrence by measuring the amount of DMS released from the algae at 23°C and 100°C. The amount of DMS released at 23°C will reflect the amount of DMPT in the algae and 1/.13 or $7.7 \times$ the difference between that produced at 23°C and 100°C for 2 hr will give the amount of dimethyl sulfonium compound not having an acidic β proton.

1982]

Table 1. Liberation of dimethylsulfide from marine algae by alkaline.

	Treatment	
	23°C, 24 hr	100°C, 2 hr
anier Makarie et de 19 Hanne	µmoles DMS	µmoles DMS
Chlorophyta	g dwt	g dwt
Enteromorpha minima	108	108
Enteromorpha linza	72	72
*Enteromorpha intestinatis(?)	59.6	79.5
Ulva lactuca	71	71
Cladophora laetevirens	11	11
Phaeophyta		
*Egregia menziesii (young)	3.0	4.4
*Egregia menziesii (old)	2.8	3.2
*Egregia menziesii	1.0	1.6
*Halidrys dioica	0.2	0.34
*Macrocystis pyrifera (top growth)	0.021	0.15
*Macrocystis pyrifera (bottom growth)	0.011	0.21
*Endarachne binghamiae	0.06	0.32
*Dictyopteris undulata	0.14	0.26
Rhodophyta		
Gracilaria verrucosa	1.9	1.9
Solieria tenera	0.67	0.67
*Gelidium sp.	0.23	0.27
*Corallina pinnatifolia	0.20	0.20
*Plocamium cartilagineum	0.17	0.17
blue-green bacteria		
Microcoleus lyngbyaceus	0.36	0.36
grasses		
*Zostera sp.	12.0	12.0

* = collected at La Jolla, CA. Remainder collected at Norfolk, VA.

The results of these analyses are shown in Table 1. It is evident that the Chlorophyta contain the highest level of dimethyl sulfonium compounds, the Phaeophyta and Rhodophyta having values generally $10 \times$ lower. Although one member each of the green and red algae released more DMS upon heating, the brown algae clearly dominate with all species showing an increase in DMS. Considering that base treatment at 23°C released the major portion of the DMS from all of the algae, and that DMPT has been identified in several algae (Challenger *et al.*, 1957), it is logical to assume that DMPT is the major source of this portion of the DMS. The reason for the additional release of DMS at 100° C is, at present, unknown, but could conceivably be due to either free S-methylmethionine or protein-bound S-methylmethionine present in the algae. With the exception of DMPT, S-methylmethionine is the only dimethyl sulfonium compound known to occur naturally. It, however, has only been found to occur in cabbage and parsley (McRorie *et al.*, 1954).

If we assume that the compound releasing DMS at 100°C produces DMS with the same efficiency as S-methylmethionine, then we can calculate, using the data in Table 1, that the average concentration of DMS bound as dimethyl sulfonium compounds in these algae is $28 \frac{\mu \text{moles}}{\text{g dwt}}$. Assuming this to be representative for all marine macrophytes containing a total plant biomass of 2×10^9 tons of carbon (Smith, 1981), we can calculate that, at any one time on a global scale, there is a standing stock of ~ 10^{13} g of DMS bound in macrophytes. If we consider an active metabolism whereby the algae could produce at least twice this amount of DMS in one year, then we have a biological source for at least 20% of the sulfur of biological origin which is required to balance the sulfur cycle (Kellogg *et al.*, 1972; Lovelock *et al.*, 1972).

The remainder of this sulfur could possibly be supplied by the DMS produced by marine phytoplankton. This is supported by the positive correlation found between the vertical distribution of phytoplankton and the concentration of DMS in the marine water column and by the demonstration that axenic algal cultures release DMS into the medium (Andreae *et al.*, 1981). The idea that marine phytoplankton are a significant source of DMS is strengthened both by the observation that many of these organisms contain high concentrations of DMPT (Ackman *et al.*, 1966) and the fact that in their natural environment they have such very high turnover rates (Smith, 1981).

Competing with decomposition of these dimethyl sulfonium compounds to produce DMS would be the transfer of one of the sulfonium methyl groups to various nucleophiles (Coward and Sweet, 1971). Considering the ability of some algae to concentrate large amounts of iodide (Shaw, 1962) and the relative nucleophilic reactivity of iodide ion (Swain and Scott, 1953), it is very likely that iodide ion could be the most reactive nucleophile in some algae. The resulting methyl iodide could then react either intercellularly or extracellularly with chloride and bromide to produce the other methyl halides (Zafiriou, 1975). Whether or not these reactions are responsible for the methyl halides found in the sea and air around marine algae (Lovelock, 1975; Chameides and Davis, 1980) is, at present, unknown. It is known, however, that methyl chloride and methyl bromide are produced by the Basidiomycete *Phellinus pomaceus* from the methyl group of methionine and the respective halide without the involvement of iodide (White, 1982). This would indicate that reactions (2) and (3) may lead to the direct production of methyl chloride and methyl bromide. This is supported by the recent observation that reaction (3) is 1982]

catalyzed by homogenates of the green alga Ulva lactuca (White, 1981, unpublished results).

Acknowledgments. Acknowledgment is made to the Donors of The Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

REFERENCES

- Ackman, R. G., C. S. Tocher and J. McLachlan. 1966. Occurrence of dimethyl- β -propiothetin in marine phytoplankton. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada, 23, 357-364.
- Andreae, M. O., W. R. Barnard and J. M. Ammons. 1981. The biological production of dimethylsulfide in the ocean and its role in the global atmospheric sulfur budget. 5th International Symposium on Environmental Biogeochemistry, Stockholm, Sweden June 1-5.
- Cantoni, G. L. and D. G. Anderson. 1956. Enzymatic cleavage of dimethylpropiothetin by *Polysiphonia lanosa*. J. Biol. Chem., 222, 171–177.
- Challenger, F., R. Bywood, P. Thomas and B. J. Haywood. 1957. Studies on biological methylation. XVII. The natural occurrence and chemical reactions of some thetins. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 69, 514-523.
- Challenger, F. and M. I. Simpson. 1948. Studies on biological methylation. Part XII. A precursor of the dimethyl sulphide evolved by *Polysiphonia fastigiata*. Dimethyl-2-carboxyethylsulphonium hydroxide and its salts. J. Chem. Soc., 1948, 1591–1597.
- Chameides, W. L. and D. D. Davis. 1980. Iodine: its possible role in tropospheric photochemistry. J. Geophys. Res., 85, 7383-7398.
- Coward, J. K. and W. D. Sweet. 1971. Kinetics and mechanism of methyl transfer from sulfonium compounds to various nucleophiles. J. Org. Chem., 36, 2337-2346.
- Dickson, A. G. and J. P. Riley. 1976. The distribution of short-chain halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons in some marine organisms. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 7, 167–169.
- Kellogg, W. W., R. D. Cadle, E. R. Allen, A. L. Lazrus and E. A. Martell. 1972. The sulfur cycle. Science, 175, 587-596.
- Lovelock, J. E. 1975. Natural halocarbons in the air and in the sea. Nature, 256, 193-194.
- Lovelock, J. E., R. J. Maggs and R. A. Rasmussen. 1972. Atmospheric dimethyl sulfide and the natural sulphur cycle. Nature, 237, 452-453.
- Lovelock, J. E., R. J. Maggs and R. J. Wade. 1973. Halogenated hydrocarbons in and over the Atlantic. Nature, 241, 194–196.
- McRorie, R. A., G. L. Sutherland, M. S. Lewis, A. D. Barton, M. R. Glazener and W. Shive. 1954. Isolation and identification of a naturally occurring analog of methionine. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 115-118.
- Nguyen, B. C., A. Gaudry, B. Bonsang and G. Lambert. 1978. Reevaluation of the role of dimethyl sulfide in the sulfur budget. Nature, 275, 637-639.
- Oae, S. 1977. Organic Chemistry of Sulfur. Plenum Press, New York, 713 pp.
- Penkett, S. A., R. G. Derwent, P. Fabian, R. Borchers and U. Schmidt. 1980. Methyl chloride in the stratosphere, Nature, 283, 58-60.
- Rasmussen, R. A., L. E. Rasmussen, M. A. K. Khalil and R. W. Dalluge. 1980. Concentration distribution of methyl chloride in the atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res., 85, 7350-7356.
- Schwarzenbach, R. P., R. H. Bromund, P. M. Gschwend and O. C. Zafiriou. 1978. Volatile organic compounds in coastal seawater. Org. Geochem., 1, 93-107.
- Shaw, T. I. 1962. Halogens in algae, in Physiology and Biochemistry of Algae, R. A. Lewin, ed., Academic Press, London, 922 pp.

Smith, S. V. 1981. Marine macrophytes as a global carbon sink. Science, 211, 838-840.

Swain, C. G. and C. B. Scott. 1953. Quantitative correlation of relative rates. Comparison of hydroxide ion with other nucleophilic reagents toward alkyl halides, esters, epoxides and acyl halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 141-147.

- White, R. H. 1982. Biosynthesis of methyl chloride in the fungus *Phellinus pomaceus*. Arch. Microbiol., (in press).
- Zafiriou, O. C. 1975. Reactions of methyl halides with seawater and marine aerosols. J. Mar. Res., 33, 75-81.