

University of Dundee

## A global research priority agenda to advance public health responses to fatty liver disease

Lazarus, Jeffrey V.; Mark, Henry E.; Allen, Alina M.; Arab, Juan Pablo ; Carrieri, Patrizia; Nouredin, Mazen

*Published in:*  
Journal of Hepatology

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.jhep.2023.04.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2023.04.035)

*Publication date:*  
2023

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC-ND

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Lazarus, J. V., Mark, H. E., Allen, A. M., Arab, J. P., Carrieri, P., Nouredin, M., Alazawi, W., Alkhouri, N., Alqahtani, S. A., Arrese, M., Bataller, R., Berg, T., Brennan, P. N., Burra, P., Castro-Narro, G., Cortez-Pinto, H., Cusi, K., Dedes, N., Duseja, A. (2023). A global research priority agenda to advance public health responses to fatty liver disease. *Journal of Hepatology*, 79(3), 618-634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2023.04.035>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### A global action agenda for turning the tide on fatty liver disease

Jeffrey V. Lazarus, PhD<sup>\*1,2,3</sup>, Henry E. Mark, MBA<sup>\*4,5</sup>, Alina M. Allen, MD<sup>\*6</sup>, Juan Pablo Arab, MD<sup>\*7,8,9</sup>, Patrizia Carrieri, PhD<sup>\*10</sup>, Mazen Nouredin, MD, MHSc<sup>\*11</sup>, William Alazawi, FRCP, PhD<sup>12</sup>, Naim Alkhouri, MD<sup>13</sup>, Saleh A. Alqahtani, MD<sup>14</sup>, Quentin M. Anstee, PhD<sup>15</sup>, Marco Arrese, MD<sup>9</sup>, Ramon Bataller, PhD, MD<sup>16</sup>, Thomas Berg, MD<sup>17</sup>, Paul N. Brennan, MD<sup>18</sup>, Patrizia Burra PhD, MD<sup>19</sup>, Graciela E. Castro-Narro, MD, MSc<sup>20,21,22</sup>, Helena Cortez-Pinto, MD, PhD<sup>23</sup>, Kenneth Cusi, PhD, MD<sup>24</sup>, Nikos Dedes<sup>25</sup>, Ajay Duseja, MD<sup>26</sup>, Sven M. Francque, MD, PhD<sup>27,28</sup>, Amalia Gastaldelli, PhD<sup>29</sup>, Hannes Hagström, PhD, MD<sup>30</sup>, Terry T-K. Huang, PhD<sup>3,31</sup>, Dana Ivancovsky Wajcman, RD, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Achim Kautz, MC<sup>32</sup>, Christopher J. Kopka, JD<sup>33</sup>, Aleksander Krag, PhD<sup>34</sup>, Philip N. Newsome, PhD, MD<sup>35</sup>, Mary E. Rinella, PhD, MD<sup>36</sup>, Diana Romero, PhD<sup>37</sup>, Shiv Kumar Sarin, MD<sup>38</sup>, Marcelo Silva, MD<sup>39</sup>, C. Wendy Spearman, PhD<sup>40</sup>, Norah A. Terrault, MD<sup>41</sup>, Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis, PhD<sup>42</sup>, Luca Valenti, MD<sup>43,44</sup>, Marcela Villota-Rivas, RD, MGH<sup>1</sup>, Shira Zelber-Sagi, RD, PhD<sup>45,46</sup>, Jörn M. Schattenberg, PhD, MD<sup>\*47</sup>, Vincent Wai-Sun Wong, MD<sup>\*48</sup>, Zobair M. Younossi, MD, MPH<sup>\*49</sup> on behalf of the Healthy Livers, Healthy Lives Collaborators\*\*

\*Contributed equally

\*\*The full list of Healthy Livers, Healthy Lives Collaborators (i.e., the full authorship list) starts on page 8

1. Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
2. Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
3. CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy (CUNY SPH), New York, NY, USA
4. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), Geneva, Switzerland
5. Independent consultant, Nottingham, UK
6. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

7. Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine, Western University & London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada
8. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada
9. Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
10. Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm, IRD, SESSTIM, Sciences Economiques & Sociales de la Santé & Traitement de l'Information Médicale, ISSPAM, Marseille, France
11. Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston Research Institute, Houston, TX, USA
12. Barts Liver Centre, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
13. Fatty Liver Program, Arizona Liver Health, Phoenix, AZ, USA
14. King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
15. Translational & Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
16. Liver Unit, Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain
17. Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine II, Leipzig University Medical Center, Leipzig, Germany
18. Division of Hepatology, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, UK
19. Multivisceral Transplant Unit-Gastroenterology, Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology at the Padua University Hospital, Padua, Italy
20. Department of Hepatology and Transplant, Hospital Médica Sur, Mexico City, Mexico
21. Department of Gastroenterology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico
22. Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado (ALEH), Santiago, Chile
23. Clínica Universitária de Gastreenterologia, Laboratório de Nutrição, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal
24. Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
25. Greek Patients Association, Athens, Greece
26. Department of Hepatology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India
27. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium

28. Inflammation Centre of Excellence, Laboratory for Experimental Medicine and Paediatrics, Translational Sciences in Inflammation and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium
29. Institute of Clinical Physiology, National Research Council, Pisa, Italy
30. Department of Medicine, Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
31. CUNY Center for Systems and Community Design and NYU-CUNY Prevention Research Center, New York, NY, USA
32. Kautz 5 gUG, Köln, Germany
33. Independent researcher, Ponte de Lima, Portugal
34. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark
35. National Institute for Health Research Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
36. Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
37. Department of Community Health and Social Sciences, CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy, New York, NY, USA
38. Department of Hepatology, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, New Delhi, India
39. Hepatology and Clinical Research Units, Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires, Argentina
40. Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
41. Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease Division, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
42. UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
43. Precision Medicine, Biological Resource Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
44. Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
45. School of Public Health, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
46. Department of Gastroenterology, Tel Aviv Medical Centre, Tel Aviv, Israel
47. Metabolic Liver Research Program, I. Department of Medicine, University Medical Centre Mainz, Mainz, Germany
48. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

49. Center for Liver Disease, Inova, Falls Church, VA, USA

### **Corresponding author**

Jeffrey V. Lazarus

CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy (CUNY SPH)

New York, NY, USA

Jeffrey.Lazarus@sph.cuny.edu

**Keywords:** Delphi method, Global health, Non-communicable disease, NAFLD/NASH, Steatotic liver disease

### **Abbreviations**

CVD, cardiovascular disease; NCD, non-communicable disease; NIT, non-invasive test; R, round.

### **Financial support and sponsorship**

The data collection and analysis were funded by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), with support from Takeda, MSD, Bristol-Myers-Squibb Company, and Apollo Endo.

### **Author contributions**

This study was led by a core group of 42 co-authors. Jeffrey V. Lazarus led the core group and provided regular updates by email. Twenty-six core group members and 11 co-authors participated in a three-day in-person meeting hosted by Wilton Park, UK, in October 2022, which informed the development of the research priorities included in the Delphi study. Seven of the co-chairs (Alina M. Allen, Juan Pablo Arab, Patrizia Carrieri, Mazen Nouredin, Jörn M. Schattenberg, Vincent Wai-Sun Wong, and Zobair M. Younossi) led the drafting of seven evidence notes, including key priorities and challenges, ahead of the Wilton Park meeting and were supported by core group members (Ramon Bataller, Thomas Berg, Helena Cortez-Pinto, Kenneth Cusi, Nikos Dedes, Ajay Duseja, Terry T-K. Huang, Aleksander Krag, Philip N. Newsome, Mary E. Rinella, Marcelo Silva, Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis, and Shira Zelber-Sagi). The evidence notes were reviewed by Jeffrey V. Lazarus and Henry E. Mark and informed the drafting of the research priorities statements and actions. Diana Romero and Jeffrey V. Lazarus led the methodology. Jeffrey V. Lazarus, Henry E. Mark, Paul N. Brennan, Christopher J. Kopka, Diana Romero, Dana Ivancovsky Wajcman, and Marcela Villota-Rivas reviewed comments submitted as part of the two survey rounds. Jeffrey V. Lazarus, Henry E. Mark, and Marcela Villota-Rivas reviewed all comments sent directly by email. All panel members provided two rounds of comments

through Qualtrics XM. Henry E. Mark, Marcela Villota-Rivas, and Jeffrey V. Lazarus wrote the first draft of the manuscript, which was reviewed by the core group. Those fulfilling authorship criteria are named.

### **Conflicts of interest**

Jeffrey V. Lazarus is on the speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, Intercept, Janssen, Novo Nordisk, and ViiV. He consults for Novavax. He received grants from AbbVie, Gilead, MSD, and Roche. He has other interests with EASL Public Health and Policy Committee, HIV Outcomes, ISGlobal, the "Generalitat de Catalunya" through the CERCA Program, and SHARE Global Health Foundation. Henry E. Mark consults for the European Association for the Study of The Liver (EASL). Alina M. Allen consults, advises, and received grants from Novo Nordisk. She received grants from Pfizer and Target Pharma. Patrizia Carrieri received grants from Intercept and MSD. Mazen Nouredin consults, advises, and received grants from Echosens, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Madrigal, Novo Nordisk, OWL, Pfizer, Roche, Siemens, Terns, and Takeda. He consults, advises, and owns stock in Cytodyn. He consults and advises 89BIO, Altimmune, Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck, and Prespectum. He received grants from Allergan, Akero, Bristol Myers Squibb, Conatus, Corcept, Enanta, Gilead, Galectin, GENFIT, Novartis, Shire, and Zydus. He received grants and owns stock in Viking. He is employed by Echosens and Incytes. Owns stock in Rivus Pharma, CIMA, and ChronWell. William Alazawi consults, advises, is on the speakers' bureau, and received grants from Gilead. He consults, advises, and received grants from, AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline. He advises Novo Nordisk. He is on the speakers' bureau for UCB. He owns stock in Metadeq. Naim Alkhouri consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and received grants from AbbVie/Allergan, Gilead, Intercept, and Perspectum. He consults and is on the speakers' bureau for Echosens. He consults and received grants from Madrigal, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Zydus. He consults for Fibronostics. He is on the speakers' bureau for Alexion, Eisai, Exelixis, Salix, and Theratechnologies. He received grants from 89Bio, Akero, Arbutus, Better Therapeutics, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Corcept, DSM, Galectin, Genentech, Genfit, Hepagene, Healio, Inventiva, Ionis, Merck, NGM, Noom, NorthSea, Poxel, and Viking. Quentin M. Anstee, on behalf of Newcastle University, consults and advises for Alimentiv, Akero, AstraZeneca, Axcella, 89Bio, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Galmed, GENFIT, Genentech, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Hanmi, HistoIndex, Intercept, Inventiva, Ionis, IQVIA, Janssen, Madrigal, Medpace, Merck, NGM Bio, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, PathAI, Pfizer, Prosciento, Poxel, Resolution Therapeutics, Ridgeline Therapeutics, Roche, RTI, Shionogi, and Terns. He is on

the speakers' bureau for Fishawack, Integritas Communications, Kenes, Novo Nordisk, Madrigal, Medscape, and Springer Healthcare. He received grants from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Intercept. He holds intellectual property rights with Elsevier, Ltd. He serves as coordinator of the IMI2 LITMUS consortium. Ramon Bataller is on the speakers' bureau for AbbVie. Thomas Berg consults, advises, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants, and employed by AbbVie, Gilead, and Intercept. He consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and is employed by Janssen. He consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and received grants from MSD/Merck, Novartis, Orphan, and Sequana Medical. He consults and is on the speakers' bureau for Alexion, Bayer, Eisai, Ipsen, SIRTEX, and SOBI. He consults and received grants from Humedics. He consults for Enyo Pharma, GlaxoSmithKline, HepaRegeniX GmbH, Roche, and Shionogi. He is on the speakers' bureau for Advance Pharma, Falk Foundation, and MedUpdate GmbH. He received grants from Bristol Myers Squibb, Merz, and Norgine. Paul N. Brennan consults for Resolution Therapeutics. He is on the speakers' bureau for Takeda. Patrizia Burra advises and is on the speakers' bureau for Chiesi Farmaceutici and Gilead. She advises Astellas, Biotest, Kedrion, Novartis, and Sandoz. She is employed by Alpha Wasserman. Helena Cortez-Pinto consults, advises, and is on the speakers' bureau for EISAI and Roche Portugal. She consults for Novo Nordisk and Orphan. Kenneth Cusi consults and received grants from Novo Nordisk. He consults for Aligos, Allergan, Altimune, Arrowhead, AstraZeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb, Boehringer Ingelheim, Coherus, Covance, Eli Lilly, Fractyl, Genentech, Gilead, Hanmi, Intercept, Janssen, Madrigal, Pfizer, Prosciento, Sagimet, and Siemens. He received grants from Cirius, Echosens, Inventiva, LabCorp, National Institute of Health, Nordic Bioscience, Novartis, Poxel, and Zydus. Manuel Romero-Gómez advises and received grants from Gilead, Intercept, and Siemens. He consults for Galmed. He advises AbbVie, Alfa-Sigma, Madrigal, Novo Nordisk, and Pfizer. He received grants from Thera Therapeutics. Nikos Dedes advises Gilead and GlaxoSmithKline. He has other interests with the Greek Patients Association and the Positive Voice (PLHIV Association). Ajay Duseja has other interests with the Indian National Association for the Study of the Liver (INASL). Sven M. Francque consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and received grants from GENFIT, Gilead, Inventiva, and Merck Sharp & Dome. He consults and is on the speakers' bureau for AbbVie, Allergan, Bayer, Eisai, Intercept, Novo Nordisk, and Promethera. He consults and received grants from Astellas, Janssen, and Roche. Consults for Actelion, Aelin Therapeutics, Aligos Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Meyers Squibb, Coherus, CSL Behring, Echosens, Enyo, Galapagos, Galmed, Genentech, Julius Clinical, Madrigal,

Medimmune, NGM Bio, and Novartis. He is on the speakers' bureau for Janssens Cilag. He received grants from Falk Pharma, GlympsBio, Pfizer, and Research Foundation Flanders. Amalia Gastaldelli consults, advises, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants, and employed by Pfizer. She consults, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants, and employed by Eli Lilly. She consults, advises, and is on the speakers' bureau for Boehringer Ingelheim and Novo Nordisk. She consults for Fractyl and Merck Sharp & Dohme. She has other interests with the European NAFLD study group and European Group for Insulin Resistance (EGIR). Hannes Hagström consults and received grants from AstraZeneca. He received grants from EchoSens, Gilead, MSD, and Pfizer. He is employed by MediPlast. Aleksander Krag advises, received grants, and employed by Siemens. He received grants and holds patent rights from the Region of Southern Denmark and the University of Southern Denmark. He advises and is employed by Norgine and Nordic Bioscience. He advises Novo Nordisk. He received grants from AstraZeneca, the Danish National Research Foundation, Echosense, EU Horizon 20, Innovation Fund Denmark, and the Novo Nordisk Foundation. He is employed by Echosens. He holds intellectual property rights with Gyldendal. He has other interests with the European Association for the Study of The Liver (EASL). Philip N. Newsome consults, advises, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants, and is employed by Novo Nordisk. He consults and advises Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Intercept, Madrigal, Pfizer, Poxel, and Sun Pharma. He is on the speakers' bureau for AiCME. Mary E. Rinella consults for Amgen, CytoDyn, GlaxoSmithKline, HistoIndex, Intercept, Madrigal, NGM Bios, Novo Nordisk, Rivus, and Sonic Incytes. Diana Romero is on the speakers' bureau for the European Association for the Study of The Liver (EASL). Marcelo Silva consults, advises, and received grants from Zydus. He received grants from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Inventiva, Merck, and MSK. He has other interests with ALEH and the Global NASH Council. C. Wendy Spearman is on the speakers' bureau for Abbott. She is on the speakers' bureau and is employed by Gilead. She has other interests with Society on Liver Disease of Africa and the International Advisory Board of *The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology* journal. Norah A. Terrault consults for Eiger BioPharmaceuticals, ENYO Pharma, Exigo, and Saol Therapeutics. She is on the speakers' bureau for the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL), Canadian Association for the Study of the Liver (CASL), Duke University, European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), Gastroenterology Updates | IBD | Liver Disease (GUILD), Houston Methodist, International Liver Transplantation Society (ILTS), Pakistan Society for the Study of Liver Disease (PSSLD), and University of Alabama, Birmingham (UAB). She



received grants from Durect Corp, Genentech-Roche, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Helio Health, and the National Institutes of Health. She has other interests with the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), Clinical Care Options (CCO), the Hepatitis B Foundation, HBV Forum, Indian National Association for the Study of the Liver (INASL), and Simply Speaking. Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis consults, advises, and is on the speakers' bureau for Novo Nordisk. He consults and advises Boehringer Ingelheim and Pfizer. He is on the speakers' bureau for Dr Falk. He has other interests with EASL Governing Board. Luca Valenti consults, advises, is on the speakers' bureau, and received grants from Gilead. He consults and advises Boehringer Ingelheim, Intercept, Pfizer, Novo Nordisk. He consults for Astra Zeneca and Diatech Pharmacogenetics. He is on the speakers' board for AbbVie, AlfaSigma, MSD, and Viatrix. He holds intellectual property rights with Takeda. Marcela Villota-Rivas consults for the European Association for the Study of The Liver (EASL). Shira Zelber-Sagi is on the speakers' board for AbbVie. Jörn M. Schattenberg consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and is employed by Gilead. He consults, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants from Boehringer Ingelheim. He consults and is on the speakers' bureau for Madrigal and Novo Nordisk. He consults for Albireo Pharma, Apollo Endosurgery, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Intercept, Ipsen, Inventiva, MSD, Northsea Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, and Siemens Healthineer. He is on the speakers' bureau for Echosens, HistoIndex, and MedPublico GmbH. He received grants from, and Siemens Healthcare GmbH. He owns stock in AGED diagnostics and Hepta Bio. Vincent Wai-Sun Wong consults, is on the speakers' bureau, received grants, and is employed by Gilead. He consults, is on the speakers' bureau, and employed by AbbVie. He consults and is on the speakers' bureau for Novo Nordisk. He consults for Boehringer Ingelheim, Echosens, Intercept, Inventiva, Pfizer, Sagimet, and TARGET PharmaSolutions. He is on the speakers' bureau for Abbott and Unilab. He owns stock in Illuminatio Medical Technology Limited. Zobair M. Younossi consults for Abbott, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Cymabay, Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline, Intercept, Madrigal, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Quest, and Siemens. The remaining authors have no conflicts to report.

### **Data availability statement**

De-identified source data for all analyses will be made available for fair use by contacting the corresponding author (Jeffrey.Lazarus@sph.cuny.edu), with appropriate ethical approval.

### **Authorship list - Healthy Livers, Healthy Lives Collaborators**

Jeffrey V. Lazarus\*1,2,3, Henry E. Mark\*4,5, Alina M. Allen\*6, Juan Pablo Arab\*7,8,9, Patrizia Carrieri\*10, Mazen Noureddin\*11, William Alazawi12, Naim Alkhouri13, Saleh A. Alqahtani14, Quentin M. Anstee15, Marco Arrese9, Ramon Bataller16, Thomas Berg17, Paul N. Brennan18, Patrizia Burra19, Graciela E. Castro-Narro20,21,22, Helena Cortez-Pinto23, Kenneth Cusi24, Nikos Dedes25, Ajay Duseja26, Sven M. Francque27,28, Amalia Gastaldelli29, Hannes Hagström30, Terry T-K. Huang3,31, Dana Ivancovsky Wajcman1, Achim Kautz32, Christopher J. Kopka33, Aleksander Krag34, Philip N. Newsome35, Mary E. Rinella36, Diana Romero37, Shiv Kumar Sarin38, Marcelo Silva39, C. Wendy Spearman40, Norah A. Terrault41, Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis42, Luca Valenti43,44, Marcela Villota-Rivas1, Shira Zelber-Sagi45,46, Jörn M. Schattenberg\*47, Vincent Wai-Sun Wong\*48, Zobair M. Younossi\*49, Fredrik Aberg50, Leon A. Adams51, Khalid Al-Naamani52, Reda M. Albadawy53, Zinaida Alexa54, Michael Allison55, Faisal Abdullatif Alnaser56, Khalid Alswat57, Mario R. Alvares-da-Silva58, Domenico Alvaro59, Michele Alves-Bezerra60, Raul J. Andrade61, Yaw Asante Awuku62, Oidov Baatarkhuu63, Gyorgy Baffy64, Shokhista R. Bakieva65, Meena B. Bansal66, Robert Barouki67, Rachel L. Batterham68, Cynthia Behling69, Renata Belfort-DeAguiar70, Annalisa Berzigotti71, Michael Betel72, Cristiana Bianco73, Emanuele Bosi74, Jerome Boursier75, Elizabeth M. Brunt76, Elisabetta Bugianesi77, Christopher J. Byrne78, Maria Cecilia Cabrera Cabrejos79, Stephen Caldwell80, Rotonya Carr81, Marlen Ivón Castellanos Fernández82, Laurent Castera83, Maria Gabriela Castillo-López84, Cyrielle Caussy85, Eira Cerda-Reyes86, Antonio Ceriello87, Wah- Kheong Chan88, Yoosoo Chang89, Phunchai Charatcharoenwitthaya90, Norberto Chavez-Tapia91, Raymond T. Chung92, Massimo Colombo93, Kirsten J. Coppel94, Helma P. Cotrim95, Antonio Craxi96, Javier Crespo97, Anuradha Dassanayake98, Nicholas O. Davidson99, Robert J. de Knegt100, Victor de Ledinghen101, Münevver Demir102, Hailemichael Desalegn103, Moises Diago104, John F. Dillon78, Bruce Dimmig105, M. Ashworth Dirac106, Melisa Dirchwolf107, Jean-François Dufour108, Karel Dvorak109, Mattias Ekstedt110, Mohamed El-Kassas111, Osama M. Elsanousi112, Ahmed M. Elsharkawy35, Reda M. Elwakil113, Wayne Eskridge114, Mohammed Eslam115, Gamal Esmat116, Jian- Gao Fan117, Maria Lucia Ferraz118, Robert Flisiak119, Davide Fortin10, Yasser Fouad120, Scott L. Friedman66, Michael Fuchs121, Adrian Gadano122, Anja Geerts123, Andreas Geier124, Jacob George115, Lynn H. Gerber125, Hasmik L. Ghazinyan126, Liana Gheorghe127, Denise Giangola Kile128, Marcos Giralal29, George Goh Boon Bee130, Nicolas Goossens131, Isabel Graupera132, Henning Grønæk133, Saeed Hamid134, Vanessa Hebditch135, Zachary Henry80, Ingrid J.

Hickman136, L. Ansley Hobbs3, Samantha L. Hocking137, Wolf Peter Hofmann138, Ramazan Idilman139, Paula Iruzubieta97, Scott Isaacs140, Vasily A. Isakov141, Mona H. Ismail142, Mohammad H. Jamal143, Helen Jarvis144, Peter Jepsen133, François R. Jornayvaz145, Sudhamshu K.C.146, Satoru Kakizaki147, Saul Karpen148, Takumi Kawaguchi149, Shelley E. Keating150, Yousef Khader151, Seung Up Kim152, Won Kim153, David E. Kleiner154, Ger Koek155, Narcisse Patrice Joseph Komas156, Loreta A. Kondili157, Bart G. Koot158, Marko Korenjak159, Eleni Kotsiliti160, Yiannoula Koulla161, Carina Kugelmas162, Marcelo Kugelmas163, Asma Labidi164, Naomi F. Lange165, Mariana Lazo166, Nathalie Leite167, Han-Chieh Lin168, Undram Lkhagvaa63, Michelle T. Long169, Patricio Lopez-Jaramillo170, Adelina Lozano171, Maria Paula Macedo172, Reza Malekzadeh173, Giulio Marchesini174, Sebastian Marciano122, Kim Martinez175, Sophia E. Martínez Vázquez21, Lyudmila Mateva176, José M. Mato177, Charles N. Mbendi178, Alexis Gorden McCary179, Jeff McIntyre180, Martin McKee181, Juan M. Mendive182, Ivana Mikolasevic183, Pamela S. Miller184, Tamara Milovanovic185, Terri Milton186, Rosalba Moreno-Alcantar187, Timothy R. Morgan188, Ayesha A. Motala189, Jean Muris190, Carla Musso191, Edna J. Nava-González192, Francesco Negro193, Alexander V. Nersesov194, Brent A. Neuschwander-Tetri195, Dafina Nikolova196, Suzanne Norris197, Katja Novak198, Ponsiano Ocama199, Janus P. Ong200, Arlinking Ong-Go201, Charles Onyekwere202, P. Martin Padilla-Machaca203, Raluca Pais204, Calvin Q. Pan205, Arturo Panduro206, Manas K. Panigrahi207, Georgios Papatheodoridis208, Imran Paruk189, Keyur Patel209, Carlos Penha-Goncalves210, Norma M. Pérez211, Juanita Pérez-Escobar212, Juan M. Pericàs213, Gianluca Perseghin214, Mário Guimarães Pessoa215, Salvatore Petta216, Claudia Pinto Marques Souza de Oliveira217, Dorairaj Prabhakaran218, Rachel Pryke219, Nikolaos Pырsopoulos220, Atoosa Rabiee221, Alnoor Ramji222, Vlad Ratziu223, Natarajan Ravendhran224, Katrina Ray225, Michael Roden226, Stefano Romeo227, Manuel Romero-Gómez228, Yaron Rotman229, Samir Rouabhia230, Ian A. Rowe231, Shakhlo Sadirova232, Maryam Salem Alkhatry233, Riina Salupere234, Sanjaya K. Satapathy235, Jeffrey B. Schwimmer236, Giada Sebastiani237, Lynn Seim238, Yosuke Seki239, Abdel Karim Serme240, David Shapiro241, Lali Sharvadze242, Jonathan E. Shaw243, Isaac Thom Shawa244, Thrivikrama Shenoy245, Oren Shibolet246, Yusuke Shimakawa247, Jay H. Shubrook248, Shivaram Prasad Singh249, Edford Sinkala250, Lubomir Skladany251, Igor Skrypnyk252, Myeong Jun Song253, Silvia Sookoian254, Joan B Soriano255, Kannan Sridharan256, Norbert Stefan257, Jonathan G. Stine258, Nikos Stratakis1, Dhastagir Sultan Sheriff259, Shikha S. Sundaram260, Gianluca Svegliati-Baroni261, Mark G. Swain262,

Frank Tacke<sup>102</sup>, Shahrad Taheri<sup>263</sup>, Soek-Siam Tan<sup>264</sup>, Elliot B. Tapper<sup>265</sup>, Giovanni Targher<sup>266</sup>, Eugen Tcaciuc<sup>267</sup>, Maja Thiele<sup>34</sup>, Dina Tiniakos<sup>268</sup>, Ieva Tolmane<sup>269</sup>, Aldo Torre<sup>270</sup>, Esther A. Torres<sup>271</sup>, Sombat Treeprasertsuk<sup>272</sup>, Michael Trenell<sup>273</sup>, Svetlana Turcan<sup>267</sup>, Adela Turcanu<sup>267</sup>, Jonas Valantinas<sup>274</sup>, Laurens A. van Kleef<sup>100</sup>, Jose Antonio Velarde Ruiz Velasco<sup>275</sup>, Mette Vesterhus<sup>276</sup>, Eduardo Vilar-Gomez<sup>277</sup>, Imam Waked<sup>278</sup>, Julia Wattacheril<sup>279</sup>, Heiner Wedemeyer<sup>280</sup>, Fonda Wilkins<sup>281</sup>, José Willemse<sup>282</sup>, Robert J. Wong<sup>283</sup>, Yusuf Yilmaz<sup>284</sup>, Hannele Yki-Järvinen<sup>285</sup>, Ming- Lung Yu<sup>286</sup>, Volkan Yumuk<sup>287</sup>, Müjdat Zeybel<sup>288</sup>, Kenneth I. Zheng<sup>289</sup>, Ming-Hua Zheng<sup>289</sup>

1. Barcelona Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal), Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
2. Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
3. CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy (CUNY SPH), New York, NY, USA
4. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), Geneva, Switzerland
5. Independent consultant, Nottingham, UK
6. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
7. Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine, Western University & London Health Sciences Centre, London, Ontario, Canada
8. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada
9. Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile
10. Aix Marseille Univ, Inserm, IRD, SESSTIM, Sciences Economiques & Sociales de la Santé & Traitement de l'Information Médicale, ISSPAM, Marseille, France
11. Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston Research Institute, Houston, TX, USA
12. Barts Liver Centre, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
13. Fatty Liver Program, Arizona Liver Health, Phoenix, AZ, USA
14. King Faisal Specialist Hospital & Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
15. Translational & Clinical Research Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

16. Liver Unit, Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain
17. Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine II, Leipzig University Medical Center, Leipzig, Germany
18. Division of Hepatology, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, UK
19. Multivisceral Transplant Unit-Gastroenterology, Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology at the Padua University Hospital, Padua, Italy
20. Department of Hepatology and Transplant, Hospital Médica Sur, Mexico City, Mexico
21. Department of Gastroenterology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico
22. Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado (ALEH), Santiago, Chile
23. Clinica Universitária de Gastreenterologia, Laboratório de Nutrição, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal
24. Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolism, Department of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
25. Greek Patients Association, Athens, Greece
26. Department of Hepatology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India
27. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium
28. InflaMed Centre of Excellence, Laboratory for Experimental Medicine and Paediatrics, Translational Sciences in Inflammation and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Wilrijk, Belgium
29. Institute of Clinical Physiology, National Research Council, Pisa, Italy
30. Department of Medicine, Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden
31. CUNY Center for Systems and Community Design and NYU-CUNY Prevention Research Center, New York, NY, USA
32. Kautz 5 gUG, Köln, Germany
33. Independent researcher, Ponte de Lima, Portugal
34. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark
35. National Institute for Health Research Birmingham Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

36. Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
37. Department of Community Health and Social Sciences, CUNY Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy, New York, NY, USA
38. Department of Hepatology, Institute of Liver and Biliary Sciences, New Delhi, India
39. Hepatology and Clinical Research Units, Hospital Universitario Austral, Buenos Aires, Argentina
40. Division of Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
41. Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease Division, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
42. UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK
43. Precision Medicine, Biological Resource Center, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
44. Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy
45. School of Public Health, Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
46. Department of Gastroenterology, Tel Aviv Medical Centre, Tel Aviv, Israel
47. Metabolic Liver Research Program, I. Department of Medicine, University Medical Centre Mainz, Mainz, Germany
48. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China
49. Center for Liver Disease, Inova, Falls Church, VA, USA
50. Transplantation and Liver Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
51. Medical School, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia
52. Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Armed Forces Hospital, Muscat, Oman
53. Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Infectious Diseases Department, Benha University, Benha, Egypt
54. Republican Clinical Hospital "Timofei Mosneaga", Chişinău, Republic of Moldova
55. Liver Unit, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
56. Department of Primary Care & Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London, UK
57. Liver Disease Research Center, Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
58. School of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

59. Department of Translational and Precision Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy
60. Department of Biomedicine, Biotechnology and Public Health, University of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain
61. Servicio de Aparato Digestivo, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Victoria, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Málaga y Plataforma en Nanomedicina-IBIMA Plataforma BIONAND, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain
62. University of Health and Allied Science, Ho, Ghana
63. Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
64. VA Boston Healthcare System and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
65. Scientific Department, The Research Institute of Virology of the MoH of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
66. Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA
67. Université Paris Cité, Inserm T3S, Paris, France
68. Centre for Obesity Research, Department of Medicine, University College London, London, UK
69. Pacific Rim Pathology Group, San Diego, CA, USA
70. Internal Medicine Department, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
71. Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
72. Fatty Liver Alliance, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
73. Precision Medicine Lab, Biological Resource Center, and Transfusion Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy
74. IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy
75. Angers University & Angers University Hospital, Angers, France
76. Dept. of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
77. Dept. of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy
78. School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, UK
79. Liver Unit, Hospital G. Almenara, Universidad Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru
80. Department of Medicine, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA
81. Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
82. Institute of Gastroenterology, La Havana, Cuba

83. Université Paris Cité, Department of Hepatology, Hospital Beaujon, AP-HP, Clichy, Paris, France
84. Departamento Unidad Metabólica, Hospital Universitario Fundación Favaloro, Buenos Aires, Argentina
85. Endocrinology Diabetes Nutrition Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
86. Central Military Hospital, Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado, Mexico City, Mexico
87. IRCCS MultiMedica, Milan, Italy
88. Gastroenterology and Hepatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
89. Center for Cohort Studies, Total Healthcare Center, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
90. Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand
91. Médica Sur Clinic & Foundation, Mexico City, Mexico
92. Liver Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
93. European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) International Liver Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland
94. Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
95. School of Medicine, Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Brazil
96. School of Medicine, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy
97. Gastroenterology and Hepatology Department, Clinical and Translational Research in Digestive Diseases, Valdecilla Research Institute (IDIVAL), Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital, Santander, Spain
98. Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya, Kelaniya, Sri Lanka
99. Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA
100. Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands
101. CHU Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France
102. Department of Hepatology & Gastroenterology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany
103. St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
104. Digestive Diseases Department, Hospital General Universitario de Valencia, Valencia, Spain
105. Banner Liver Support Group, Phoenix, AZ, USA



106. Department of Family Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
107. Liver Unit, Hospital Privado de Rosario, Rosario, Argentina
108. Centre des Maladies Digestives Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
109. Fourth Department of Internal Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
110. Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden
111. Endemic Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
112. Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, National Ribat University, Khartoum, Sudan
113. Tropical Medicine Department, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
114. Fatty Liver Foundation, Boise, ID, USA
115. Storr Liver Centre, Westmead Hospital, Westmead Institute for Medical Research, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
116. Endemic Medicine Department, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
117. Department of Gastroenterology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai Key Lab of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, Shanghai, China
118. Federal University of Sao Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
119. Department of Infectious Diseases and Hepatology, Medical University of Bialystok, Bialystok, Poland
120. Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Minya, Egypt
121. Central Virginia VA Health Care System and Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
122. Liver Unit, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina
123. Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, University Hospital Ghent, Ghent, Belgium
124. Division of Hepatology, University Hospital Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany
125. Beatty Liver and Obesity Center, Inova Health System, Falls Church, VA, USA
126. Nikomed Medical Center, Yerevan, Armenia
127. Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania
128. Independent participant, Myrtle Beach, SC, USA
129. Departamento de Gastroenterología, Hospital de Clinicas, Universidad Nacional de Asunción, San Lorenzo, Paraguay

130. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore
131. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
132. Liver Unit, Hospital Clínic, FCRB-IDIBAPS, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
133. Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark
134. Department of Medicine, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan
135. British Liver Trust, Winchester, UK
136. Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
137. Central Clinical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
138. Medical Care Center for Gastroenterology Bayerischer Platz, Berlin, Germany
139. Department of Gastroenterology, Ankara University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey
140. Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA
141. Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Federal Research Centre of Nutrition, Biotechnology & Food Safety, Moscow, Russia
142. King Fahd Hospital of the University, Al-Khobar, and College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
143. Department of Transplantation and Surgery, Kuwait University, Kuwait City, Kuwait
144. Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK
145. Geneva University Hospital, Geneva, Switzerland
146. National Academy of Medical Sciences, Kathmandu, Nepal
147. Department of Clinical Research, National Hospital Organization Takasaki General Medical Center, Takasaki, Japan
148. Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA
149. Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan
150. School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
151. Department of Public Health, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

152. Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
153. Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul Metropolitan Government Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, Republic of Korea
154. Laboratory of Pathology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA
155. Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands
156. Institut Pasteur de Bangui, Bangui, Central African Republic
157. Center for Global Health, Istituto Superiore Di Sanità (ISS), UniCamillus-Saint Camillus International University of Health Sciences, Rome, Italy
158. Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Emma's Children Hospital, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands
159. European Liver Patients' Association, Brussels, Belgium
160. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Berlin, Germany
161. Cyprus Liver Patients Association, Nicosia, Cyprus
162. Department of Pediatrics, Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO, USA
163. South Denver Gastroenterology, Englewood, CO, USA
164. Gastroenterology "A" Department, Rabta University Hospital, Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia
165. Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
166. Urban Health Collaborative, Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA
167. Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Clementino Fraga Filho, School of Medicine, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
168. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan
169. Novo Nordisk A/S, Vandtårnsvej 108-110, 2860 Søborg, Denmark
170. Masira Research Institute, Medical School, Universidad de Santander (UDES), Bucaramanga, Colombia
171. Cayetano Heredia Peruvian University, Lima, Peru
172. iNOVA4Health, NOVA Medical School, Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, NMS, FCM, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

173. Digestive Disease Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
174. Alma Mater-University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy
175. GLI, Lakewood, NJ, USA
176. University Hospital "St Ivan Rilski", Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
177. CIC bioGUNE, Technology Park of Bizkaia, Derio, Spain
178. Service of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, University Clinics of Kinshasa, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo
179. Mid-Atlantic Permanente Medical Group, Rockville, MD, USA
180. Liver Health Programs, Global Liver Institute, Washington, DC, USA
181. London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK
182. La Mina Primary Health Care Academic Centre, Catalan Health Institute, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
183. Department of Gastroenterology, UHC Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia
184. Independent participant, Powell, OH, USA
185. School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, University Clinical Center of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia
186. Independent consultant, Houston, TX, USA
187. Gastroenterology Department, HE CMN SXXI, IMSS, Mexico City, Mexico
188. Medical Service, VA Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, CA, USA
189. University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa
190. Dept. General Practice, Care and Public Health Research Institute (CAPHRI), Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands
191. Diabetes Metabolic Department, Hospital Universitario Fundación Favaloro, Buenos Aires, Argentina
192. Facultad de Salud Pública y Nutrición, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Monterrey, Mexico
193. University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
194. Department of Gastroenterology, SD Asfendiyarov Kazakh National Medical University, Almaty, Kazakhstan
195. Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO, USA
196. University Clinic for Gastroenterohepatology, University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Skopje, Macedonia
197. Department of Hepatology, St James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

198. Dept. of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
199. Makerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala, Uganda
200. University of the Philippines Manila, Manila, Philippines
201. Section of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Santo Tomas Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, Manila, Philippines
202. Department of Internal Medicine, Lagos State University College of Medicine Ikeja, Lagos, Nigeria
203. Liver Unit, Guillermo Almenara National Hospital, National University of San Marcos, Lima, Peru
204. Sorbonne Université, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Institute of Cardiometabolism and Nutrition, Centre de Recherche Saint Antoine, INSERM UMRS\_938 Paris, France
205. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, NYU Langone Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA
206. Genomic Medicine in Hepatology, Hospital Civil de Guadalajara/CUCS, UdeG, Guadalajara, Mexico
207. All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, India
208. Medical School of National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
209. University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
210. Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal
211. Gastroenterología-Hepatología-Trasplante Hepático, Hospital General de la Plaza de la Salud, Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic
212. Gastroenterology Department, Hospital Juárez de México, Mexico City, Mexico
213. Liver Unit, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Vall d'Hebron Institute for Research, Centros de Investigación Biomédica en Red Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd), Barcelona, Spain
214. Department of Medicine and Surgery, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy
215. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, São Paulo, Brazil
216. Section of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, PROMISE, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy

217. Department of Gastroenterology, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, São Paulo, Brazil
218. Centre for Chronic Disease Control, New Delhi, India
219. Bewdley Medical Centre, Bewdley, UK
220. Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Newark, NJ, USA
221. Washington DC VA Medical Center, Washington DC, USA
222. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada
223. Sorbonne Université, Paris, France
224. Department of Hepatology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA
225. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology, London, UK
226. Department of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University, University Hospital, Düsseldorf, Germany
227. Department of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Gothenburg University, Cardiology Department, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden
228. Digestive Diseases Department and CIBERehd, Virgen del Rocío University Hospital, Institute of Biomedicine of Seville (HUVR/CSIC/US), University of Seville, Seville, Spain
229. Liver & Energy Metabolism Section, Liver Diseases Branch, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesda, MD, USA
230. Internal Medicine Department, Touhami Benflis University Hospital Centre, Batna, Algeria
231. University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
232. The Research Institute of Virology of the MoH of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
233. Ibrahim bin Hamad Obaidullah Hospital, Emirates Health Services, RAK, UAE
234. Tartu University Hospital, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
235. North Shore University Hospital, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell Health, Hempstead, NY, USA
236. Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, CA, USA
237. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
238. American Liver Foundation, West Orange, NJ, USA
239. Weight Loss and Metabolic Surgery Center, Yotsuya Medical Cube, Tokyo, Japan

240. University Joseph KI-ZERBO, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
241. Integrated Quality Resources, San Diego, CA, USA
242. Infectious Diseases, AIDS and Clinical Immunology Research Center, Tbilisi, Georgia
243. Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia
244. University of Derby, Derby, UK
245. Sree Gokulam Medical College and Research Foundation, Venjaramoodu, India
246. Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Tel Aviv Medical Center & Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
247. Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Unité d'Épidémiologie des Maladies Émergentes, Paris, France
248. Touro University California, Vallejo, CA, USA
249. Kalinga Gastroenterology Foundation, Cuttack, India
250. The University of Zambia, School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Lusaka, Zambia
251. HEGITO Liver & Transplant Unit, Dept. Internal Medicine 2 of the Slovak Medical University, F.D. Roosevelt Teaching Hospital, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia
252. Internal Medicine №1 Department, Poltava State Medical University, Poltava, Ukraine
253. Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Republic of Korea
254. Clinical and Molecular Hepatology, Centro de Altos Estudios en Ciencias Humanas y de la Salud (CAECIHS), Universidad Abierta Interamericana, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina
255. Respiratory Department, Hospital Universitario de la Princesa, Madrid, Spain
256. Arabian Gulf University, Manama, Bahrain
257. University Hospital of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
258. Penn State Health Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, USA
259. Anna Medical College, Montagne Blanche, Mauritius
260. Digestive Health Institute, Children's Hospital Colorado, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA
261. Liver Injury and Transplant Unit, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy
262. Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
263. Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar
264. Department of Hepatology, Selayang Hospital, Batu Caves, Malaysia
265. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

266. Section of Diabetes and Endocrinology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy
267. Discipline of Gastroenterology, Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Chişinău, Republic of Moldova
268. Dept. of Pathology, Aretaeion Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece
269. Riga East University Hospital, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia
270. Metabolic Unit, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición "Salvador Zubirán", Mexico City, Mexico
271. Department of Medicine, University of Puerto Rico School of Medicine, San Juan, Puerto Rico
272. Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
273. Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
274. Vilnius University Hospital Santaros Clinics, Vilnius, Lithuania
275. Hospital Civil de Guadalajara Fray Antonio Alcalde, Guadalajara, Mexico
276. Dept. of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Dept. of Medicine, Haraldsplass Deaconess Hospital, Bergen, Norway
277. Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN, USA
278. National Liver Institute, Shebeen El-Kom, Egypt
279. Department of Medicine, Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, Center for Liver Disease and Transplantation, New York, NY, USA
280. Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Infectious Diseases and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
281. Lexington Medical Center, West Columbia, SC, USA
282. Dutch Liver Patients Association, Hoogland, the Netherlands
283. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Healthcare System, Palo Alto, CA, USA
284. Department of Gastroenterology, School of Medicine, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Rize, Turkey
285. University of Helsinki and Minerva Foundation Institute for Medical Research, Helsinki, Finland
286. Hepatobiliary Division, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan



287. Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Cerrahpaşa Medical Faculty, Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes, Istanbul, Turkey

288. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Koç University, Istanbul, Turkey

289. MAFLD Research Center, Department of Hepatology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

ACCEPTED

## Graphical abstract

### GA1

#### Abstract

**Background & Aims:** Fatty liver disease is a major public health threat due to its very high prevalence and related morbidity and mortality. Focused and dedicated interventions are urgently needed to target disease prevention, treatment, and care.

**Approach & Results:** We developed an aligned, prioritized action agenda for the global fatty liver disease community of practice. Following a Delphi methodology over two rounds a large panel (R1 n=344, R2 n=288) reviewed the action priorities, via Qualtrics XM, indicating agreement using a four-point Likert-scale and providing written feedback. Priorities were revised between rounds and in R2 panelists also ranked the priorities within six domains: epidemiology, treatment and care, models of care, education and awareness, patient and community perspectives, and leadership and public health policy. The consensus fatty liver disease action agenda encompasses 29 priorities. In R2 the mean percentage of 'agree' responses was 82.4%, with all individual priorities having at least a super-majority of agreement (>66.7% 'agree'). The highest ranked action priorities included collaboration between liver specialists and primary care doctors on early diagnosis, action to address the needs of people living with multiple morbidities, and the incorporation of fatty liver disease into relevant non-communicable disease strategies and guidance.

**Conclusions:** This consensus driven multidisciplinary fatty liver disease action agenda developed by care providers, clinical researchers, and public health and policy experts provides a path to reducing fatty liver disease prevalence and improve health outcomes. To implement this agenda, concerted efforts will be needed at the global, regional, and national levels.

## Introduction

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, hereafter referred to simply as fatty liver disease, is the most widespread liver disease, with an estimated prevalence of 38% of the global adult population<sup>1</sup> and around 13% of children and adolescents.<sup>2</sup> The disease is an increasingly important contributor to global morbidity and mortality, emphasized by the substantial increase in fatty liver disease related cirrhosis over the past decade.<sup>3</sup> The disease, which shares common metabolic risk factors with obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (CVD),<sup>4,5</sup> causes far-ranging health, social, and economic consequences which impact at the individual, community, and population levels.<sup>6-8</sup>

Despite excess fat in the liver (hepatic steatosis) in early stages of the disease, affected individuals generally experience few, non-specific, symptoms (e.g., fatigue, abdominal pain), commonly leading to a delayed diagnosis and worse health outcomes.<sup>9</sup> More broadly, the asymptomatic nature of the disease manifests through a generalized lack of urgency and policies to tackle the issue.<sup>10</sup>

The burden of fatty liver disease is expected to grow in the coming decades,<sup>11</sup> with wide ranging implications for public health and health systems, yet countries are ill prepared to face this challenge. A 2020 survey of 102 countries found that no country had a written strategy to address fatty liver disease, and around one-third of countries scored zero on a policy preparedness index.<sup>12</sup> In the same year, a consortium of 218 experts from 91 countries published a set of recommendations to advance the public health and policy agenda, including a call for a global coalition to lead the development of a public health roadmap for fatty liver disease.<sup>13</sup>

Fatty liver disease represents a contemporary public health challenge that requires multidisciplinary and multisectoral responses and novel collaboration, from re-orienting health systems to addressing food systems, the built environment, and social deprivation.<sup>14</sup> For policymakers, practitioners, industry, and patient advocacy this represents unique challenges as they seek to embrace the complexity and scale of the problem with the need for effective and efficient responses.<sup>15</sup> Building on earlier work, this study engaged a global multidisciplinary group of experts to develop a set of consensus actions which can collectively turn the tide on this silent but challenging public health threat.

## Methods

This study employed a Delphi methodology to develop consensus action priorities for fatty liver disease. The same global consortium previously published 28 research priorities, following the same methodology.<sup>16</sup>

The nine co-chairs identified 33 experts, covering clinical care and research, public health and policy, and advocacy, who collectively formed the core author group (n=42) (Supplementary Table 1, <http://links.lww.com/HEP/H907>). The core group identified experts who formed the survey panel (n=473) (Figure 1; Table 1). All participants have expertise the field of fatty liver disease, non-communicable diseases (NCDs), and/or consensus methodologies. The core group drew on participants from earlier work, including the global NAFLD nomenclature process (n=240),<sup>17</sup> through which the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the Latin American Association for the Study of the Liver (Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado [ALEH]), the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver (APASL), and the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) nominated participants. Panelist were also identified from past NAFLD consensus efforts<sup>13</sup> and the Wilton Park and Economist Intelligence Unit projects via the EASL International Liver Foundation (EILF).

### *Drafting of action priorities*

Part of the core group (n=20) reviewed the literature and evidence base, then developed a set of evidence briefs around seven topics, summarizing the current knowledge base, envisioning what ‘success’ would look like in the next decade, identifying key questions, and suggesting research priorities for: 1) the human and economic burden; 2) defining and implementing models of care; 3) treatment and care; 4) education and awareness; 5) patient and community perspectives; 6) policy strategies and a societal approach; and 7) leadership for the fatty liver disease public health agenda. The briefs were debated during a three-day roundtable at Wilton Park, UK, in October 2022 –co-chaired by H.E.M and opened by T.B and J.V.L– in which 26 core-group members and 11 co-authors participated. The research priorities were subsequently revised by J.V.L and H.E.M to reflect the Wilton Park discussions, and topics 6 and 7 were combined. The priorities were revised by core group members to reflect the discussions ahead of the first Delphi survey round (21 December 2022 to 15 January 2023).

### *Delphi method data collection and analysis*

The study design consisted of the Wilton Park meeting (Supplementary Table 2, <http://links.lww.com/HEP/H907>) and two survey rounds (R1 and R2). In both rounds, respondents indicated their agreement with each priority using a four-point Likert-type scale (i.e., 'agree', 'somewhat agree', 'somewhat disagree', and 'disagree'). Given the multidisciplinary nature of the panel, the survey included a fifth 'not qualified to respond' option. Panelists could provide comments and suggest edits to individual priorities and provide overall comments at the end of each survey. Demographic data were collected in R1. The survey was distributed using the Qualtrics XM platform (round duration ranged from 2 to 3.5 weeks).

An analytic team of core group members (J.V.L, H.E.M, P.N.B, C.J.K, D.R, D.I.W, and M.V-R) reviewed the R1 data, including 545 open-ended comments, and initiated revisions; the core group subsequently reviewed the revised priorities ahead of R2. In R2 (8-21 February 2023), panelists voted on the revised priorities and ranked at least half of the priorities within each of six domains: epidemiology, models of care, treatment and care, education and awareness, patient and community perspectives, and leadership and public health policy.

Each action priority was graded to indicate the level of combined agreement ('agree' + 'somewhat agree'), using a system that has been used in other Delphi studies<sup>13</sup> in which 'U' denotes unanimous (100%) agreement, 'A' denotes 90-99% combined agreement, 'B' denotes 78-89% combined agreement, and 'C' denotes 67-77% combined agreement. For the ranking, scores were calculated and normalized in Microsoft Excel (v.16.70) to compare rankings within each domain.

### ***Ethical considerations***

This study received ethical review exemption from the Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, Spain, ethics committee on 19 December 2022. All research was conducted in accordance with both the Declarations of Helsinki and Istanbul. Panelists consented to participating in the study and data were anonymized for all analyses.

### **Results**

A total of 473 individuals were invited to participate in R1 and 344 (72.7%) completed the survey. These 344 respondents were invited to participate in R2, of whom 288 (83.7%)

completed the survey. Table 1 details the demographics of all expert panelists involved in the study. The mean age of respondents was 53.8 (standard deviation: 10.1). Most respondents were male (64.8%), worked in high-income countries (69.9%) and in the Europe and Central Asia region (42.2%), were primarily employed in the academic sector (66.6%), and worked in the clinical research field (79.4%). A total of 94 countries were represented in terms of respondent country of origin and 91 in terms of respondent country of work.

In R1, 27 initial action priorities were presented to the panel. During revisions ahead of R2, two additional action priorities were included, with the panel reviewing 29 priorities in R2. Across the two Delphi rounds, combined agreement ('agree' + 'somewhat agree') increased for all domains. The mean percentage of 'agree' responses across domains increased from 80.0% in R1 to 82.4% in R2, following the consideration of substantive comments received in R1.

Table 2 presents the final priorities, agreement grades, and rankings for each of the six domains. Within the final priorities in R2 (Figure 2), the panel reached a unanimous combined agreement for 2 priorities and >90% combined agreement for the remaining 27; the mean level of combined agreement across all priorities was 98.1% (rising from 96.8% in R1). For 11 priorities, 'agree' answers were <80%, with higher reliance on 'somewhat agree' to achieve the high rate of overall combined agreement (Supplementary Table 3, <http://links.lww.com/HEP/H907>). *Defining and implementing models of care and treatment and care* were the two domains where more than half of the research priority statements had <80% of the panel 'agree'; all of these statements received >90% combined agreement but relied more heavily on the 'somewhat agree' category to achieve this. All of the action priorities received at least a super-majority (66.7%) of 'agree' in R2.

## Discussion

Fatty liver disease has far-reaching health, social, and economic consequences,<sup>3,6,7,18</sup> which without urgent efforts, will continue to grow.<sup>11</sup> Heeding earlier calls to grow collaboration,<sup>10,13</sup> this study employed an inclusive and responsive methodology to develop a multidisciplinary action agenda for stakeholders around the world. As noted previously, this work follows different yet complementary work on setting a global research agenda for fatty liver disease.<sup>16</sup> Below, we discuss the 29 agreed-upon actions within six overarching domains.

### ***Domain 1: The human and economic burden***

Both the clinical and economic burden of fatty liver disease continue to increase. The prevalence of the disease has grown dramatically in recent decades, becoming an increasingly important contributor to morbidity and mortality.<sup>3</sup> The economic burden is vast; data from several high-income countries show the scale of direct healthcare costs in both out-patient<sup>19</sup> and in-patient settings<sup>20</sup> and the wider societal costs.<sup>6,7,21</sup> While data from a broader range of contexts, including resource-limited settings, will strengthen our understanding, what we know today about the human and economic consequences of this disease present a compelling case for action.

A prior consensus statement from the liver health community noted the increased costs associated with fatty liver disease, whilst also accepting that “incomplete data hinder concerted action at the national and global levels”.<sup>13</sup> In this study, panelists proposed two priorities intending to deepen understanding and action with respect to the human and economic burden. The highest ranked priority within this domain reflects the need to promote standardization and harmonization of data collection and reporting on the human and economic burden (priority 1.2), to allow for meaningful comparisons. The panelists also agreed with prioritizing the development of investment cases for fatty liver disease (priority 1.1). Such investment cases will provide an empirical investigation of the human and economic burden associated with fatty liver disease, alongside estimations of expenses associated with reducing the human and economic burden. These can be key tools for engaging policymakers around not only the importance of action, but the health and economic benefits of this.

### ***Domain 2: Defining and implementing models of care***

An important aspect of fatty liver disease is that the vast majority of patients can be cared for in primary care settings, whereas those with advanced fibrosis, or cirrhosis, need specialized care delivered by a multidisciplinary team.<sup>22</sup> The availability of high performing NITs has now markedly reduced the need to rely on liver biopsy for diagnostic and prognostic context of use,<sup>23,24</sup> providing an effective and efficient way to identify patients at risk of poor hepatic-related outcomes.<sup>25</sup>

Yet, it is acknowledged that most primary care settings are ill-equipped to effectively identify and refer patients at-risk for advanced disease to secondary care as needed. Unsurprisingly,

the highest-ranking priority within this domain focused on the need for liver specialists to collaborate with primary care experts to determine which NITs are most appropriate for use in primary care settings (priority 2.6), which is likely to differ between settings, based on resource availability and health system structure. Subsequently, providing clear guidance on care pathways and timely referrals was ranked second in this domain (priority 2.5). Along with the evolving refinement of NITs and referral pathways, the panel agreed on the importance of standardization around key effectiveness measures to be used in the evaluation of multidisciplinary models of care (priority 2.7). These priorities sit alongside previous calls to generate data to validate NITs for early diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of liver disease progression.<sup>16</sup>

Recognizing the shift within public policy and health systems toward person-centered care,<sup>26,27</sup> engaging affected populations in the development of patient-centered care pathways (priority 2.1) and implementing community-tailored models of care for diagnosis, prevention, and treatment (priority 2.2, ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> in its domain) were determined to be priorities.

Emerging evidence suggests that a multidisciplinary approach to the management of fatty liver disease is imperative, although multidisciplinary care models are poorly adopted in most healthcare settings.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, the panelists agreed that the development of a range of context- and resource-specific fatty liver disease multidisciplinary model of care examples (priority 2.4) was the fourth highest priority within the domain. As models of care for the disease emerge and evolve, panelists unanimously agreed on engaging with health system decision makers about their operational and financial implications (priority 2.3). "Preventive hepatology" –first proposed in 2008– emphasizes the use of timely interventions to minimize adverse health outcomes of chronic liver disease.<sup>28</sup> This is an important framing within fatty liver disease, given the imperative of actively implementing a spectrum of strategies to prevent both disease onset and progression.

Taken together, the actions outlined in this domain will help to drive much-needed knowledge and innovation in the management of this disease, which will inevitably place an increasing amount of pressure on health systems in the coming years.

### ***Domain 3: Treatment and care***



Notwithstanding current developments, including late-stage clinical trials for pharmacological treatments, and bariatric procedures,<sup>29,30</sup> the management for fatty liver disease remains highly dependent upon weight reduction (targeting a sustained loss of at least 7-10% of the initial body weight). However, barriers –such as insufficient knowledge and access to resources promoting a healthy lifestyle, physical discomfort, time constraints, and financial consideration– hinder the achievement of long-term weight loss goals. Thus, it is important to modify lifestyle risk factors (e.g., nutrition, physical activity).<sup>31-33</sup> These approaches target improvements in insulin resistance, optimizing glycemic control, and attenuating the pro-inflammatory milieu of obesity which is a driver of disease progression.<sup>34</sup>

To implement successful behavioral change, person-centred care and social interventions are needed. Motivational and self-monitoring approaches (e.g., cognitive behavioral therapy, mindfulness-based stress reduction therapy) have shown positive outcomes in treating fatty liver disease.<sup>35</sup> However, the social environment –which encompasses factors such as culture, gender, and socioeconomic status– also plays a significant role in obesity.<sup>36</sup> The concept of social nutrition aims to promote a social environment that fosters improved metabolic health; this will be a critical concept to embed within actions for fatty liver disease care.

In anticipation of future pharmacological approvals, the panelists agreed on, and ranked the development of tools to support pharmacological treatment uptake as the highest priority in this domain (priority 3.2). This work can draw inspiration from previous efforts in viral hepatitis.<sup>37</sup> As the clinical trial space of NASH-specific drugs evolves, the appropriateness and utility of different trial endpoints, from resolution of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis or fibrosis regression to slowing disease progression, continues to be debated.<sup>38</sup> The panel agreed that engaging relevant stakeholders, including patients, in focused discussions with regulators, will help to advance the discourse around end-points, ranking this as the second highest priority in this domain (priority 3.3). As similarly noted in other domains –and again consistent with patient-centric approaches– the panelists agreed with expanding the use of patient-reported outcomes and including these alongside clinical outcomes within trials (priority 3.4). This is an emerging, but rapidly expanding area within fatty liver disease.<sup>39</sup>

The field of public health is also increasingly recognizing the role of commercial determinants of health,<sup>40</sup> alongside biological and social determinants.<sup>41</sup> In light of this recognition, the panelists agreed that not only social, but also commercial determinants of

health, should be prioritized when developing treatment and care strategies (priority 3.1). This work will require the liver health community to engage with those working across the NCD spectrum, including by lending their voice to existing calls for action to address negative commercial influences on public health.

#### ***Domain 4: Education and awareness***

Available data on fatty liver disease awareness, while limited, illustrate low levels of public and patient awareness.<sup>38,42</sup> Prior consensus statements from the liver health community have called for increased strategic emphasis on education and awareness.<sup>13,16</sup> In recognizing this evidence base and building upon the prior consensus statements, the panelists agreed with eight action priorities with respect to education and awareness for four broad audiences: (i) current health professionals, (ii) future health professionals, (iii) people living with fatty liver disease, and (iv) the general public.

The fatty liver disease continuum is bidirectional and inherently modifiable, while sharing cardiometabolic features with several other NCDs (e.g., obesity, diabetes, hypertension, CVD).<sup>4,5</sup> Yet, as noted, awareness amongst healthcare providers, at-risk patients, and policymakers is generally low. The highest ranked action priority for this domain was cross-cutting, with panelists agreeing with calling for promoting awareness among healthcare providers and patients of the possibility of multiple diagnoses (priority 4.5). The panel brought forward a second cross-cutting priority, calling for the development of informational products to communicate how liver function, and metabolic health, influence overall population health (priority 4.4).

Healthcare professionals and patients alike have reported a dearth of information about fatty liver disease and its management following diagnosis.<sup>43</sup> Lack of awareness of fibrosis stage is also emerging as being associated with lower adherence to lifestyle changes.<sup>44</sup> With respect to affected populations, the panel agreed to informing all people with fatty liver disease of their disease stage and educating them on the reversibility of liver fibrosis (priority 4.8). With regards to the broader public, the panel supports awareness-raising through public campaigns, leveraging traditional media, social media, and collaborative approaches, ranking this as the third highest priority in the domain (priority 4.7). This is particularly important considering the forthcoming change in NAFLD nomenclature.<sup>17</sup>

As previously alluded to, NITs hold great promise for expanding the diagnosis of fatty liver disease. The panelists agreed to disseminate educational resources on the implementation of NITs in different settings (e.g., primary care, diabetes, and obesity clinics) (priority 4.3, ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> in its domain). Recognizing that knowledge and awareness of fatty liver disease may be increased among some health professionals outside liver-specific environs, the panelists also unanimously agreed and ranked as fourth highest priority to “expand the availability of educational courses and toolkits on fatty liver disease”; this could be achieved through “formal medical curricula and continuing education, in collaboration with other disciplines” (priority 4.2).

As the prevalence of fatty liver disease continues to expand not only among adults, but also among children and adolescents,<sup>1,11</sup> the panelists brought attention to and called for action on strategies for raising awareness in collaboration with pediatric professionals (priority 4.6).

Consistent with the strategic emphasis on expanding the fatty liver disease community of practice, further prioritized in a separate domain below, the panelists highlighted the need for education-oriented actions directed toward future health professionals through evaluating current medical curricula to identify how the disease is taught in both medical school and postgraduate training curricula (priority 4.1).

### ***Domain 5: Patient and community perspectives***

People living with fatty liver disease have unique support needs. A cohort study from 2023 demonstrated that low social support and loneliness (functional measures of social relationships) increased mortality risk in cirrhotic patients compared to non-cirrhotic individuals.<sup>45</sup> Addressing these barriers will be a major challenge –not least given the prevalence of the disease– however, with this comes the opportunity to innovate and transform fatty liver disease models of care. There is a wealth of experiences that can be drawn on both within<sup>37</sup> and outside of the liver health community to inform this work,<sup>46</sup> including the World Health Organization frameworks on meaningful engagement of people living with NCDs(45) and people centered healthcare.<sup>47</sup>

In step with this, the panelists agree to the importance of incorporating community perspectives, with two areas of early action emphasized. Firstly, the panel highlighted the importance of growing support networks for people with fatty liver disease (e.g., patient

groups) (priority 5.1) and, secondly, the need to co-create, with affected communities, non-stigmatizing communication guidance for health professionals to use when engaging people living with fatty liver disease (priority 5.2).

### ***Domain 6: Leadership and policies for the fatty liver disease public health agenda***

The consensus-built priorities for advancing the fatty liver disease public health agenda point to the importance of taking action that addresses the unique challenges posed by fatty liver disease and, crucially, that reflects the interlinked risks and solutions for fatty liver disease and other NCDs. Building on earlier calls for comprehensive public-health and political efforts to counteract the growing fatty liver disease burden<sup>13,16,48</sup> this paper sets out a roadmap for action.

Public health and health systems increasingly face the complex challenges presented by growing multimorbidity across NCDs<sup>49</sup> and fatty liver disease is no exception.

Unsurprisingly, then, two of the four highest scoring action priorities based upon ‘agree’ alone (priorities 6.1, ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> in domain and 6.2, ranked 1<sup>st</sup> in domain) pertain to inclusion of fatty liver disease in the strategies of other NCDs and advancing collaborations with stakeholders engaged with other NCDs (e.g., diabetes, obesity).

There is growing clarity that more talent is needed to address the overall increasing burden of fatty liver disease.<sup>50</sup> Unsurprisingly, the panelists called for a strategic approach to expand the fatty liver disease community of practice (priority 6.6), which will both broaden and deepen the expertise and talent within the community. As the community of practice expands, the panelists also advocated for nurturing the next generation of both clinical and public health leaders (priority 6.3) and convening multidisciplinary experts to enact these priorities at all levels (priority 6.4).

The panelists concurred that alongside national and regional efforts there is need for a coalition that can spearhead these efforts at the global level (priority 6.5, ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> in its domain). Given the lack of awareness and attention provided to fatty liver disease within the broader global health discourse, the global coalition can foster discussion, partnerships, and action and provide a common platform for advancing this agenda. Early efforts to establish such a coalition have been instigated by regional liver association (AASLD, ALEH, APASL, and EASL) under the umbrella *Healthy Livers, Healthy Lives*.

## Study strengths and limitations

As previously described within the research agenda developed by the same panel,<sup>16</sup> the major strength of this study lies in its novelty as the first global, large-scale effort to propose a comprehensive action agenda for fatty liver disease. Again, the group utilized the rigorous Delphi consensus process. This methodology allows degrees of agreement to be illustrated by breaking-out 'agree'/'somewhat agree' and 'somewhat disagree'/'disagree' responses, which the co-authors believe may assist decision makers in government, industry, health systems, and across communities in their own prioritization efforts. We suggest that the scoping nature of the domains, combined with more refined actions, makes the outcome both globally relevant and operationally actionable.

While this study used the Delphi methodology given its efficacy in consensus building, we note that multidisciplinary, action-oriented consensuses are nonetheless challenging. This study used purposive sampling of experts with prior experience in fatty liver disease, NCDs, and/or consensus methodologies in the development of the core group. To mitigate the biases of purposive sampling, the core group then used snowballing and targeted sampling to yield a geographically diverse, multidisciplinary panel of 344 people. However, we recognize that the panels characteristics (e.g., predominantly based in high-income countries and employed in the academic sector) will have influenced the study results. Notably patient-centric and policy-oriented priorities had overall lower agreement levels, which likely reflects the smaller proportion of the panel whose primary field of work is patient and policy advocacy (n=16, 4.7%). The chosen language for the study, English, may have also influenced those who accepted the invitation to contribute or the panelist's ability to fully comprehend every statement.

## Conclusions

This study presents the first global consensus-built action agenda on fatty liver disease. Through a rigorous Delphi process, a large panel identified 29 unique action priorities across 6 domains. Taken together, these actions set out the collective efforts needed to arrest this growing but under-addressed public threat in the coming years. Critically, implementing these actions will require a fundamental shift in the liver field from a narrow focus on hepatology to a more comprehensive approach that includes various stakeholders from different medical specializations, such as endocrinology, primary care and cardiology,

alongside public health experts, social scientists, policymakers and governments, pharmaceutical and device industries, patient advocates, and, most importantly, patients themselves.

## **Acknowledgments**

**Assistance with the study:** The formation of the Healthy Livers, Healthy Lives global coalition builds on three years of work led initially by the EILF and since 2021 by EASL. Collaboration has been at the center of this work. Over 500 individuals and organizations spanning over 100 countries have engaged in these efforts, with multiple disciplines and sectors represented, including affected populations. As part of this process, in October 2022, delegates, including representatives from EASL, AASLD, ALEH (representatives from APASL were unable to attend), the Society on Liver Disease in Africa (SOLDA), the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), the European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO), the European Society of Primary Care Gastroenterology (ESPCG), the Global NASH Council (GNC), United European Gastroenterology (UEG), the World Obesity Federation (WOF), and the World Organization of Family Doctors (WONCA), gathered for three days of discussion at Wilton Park, a UK-based forum for strategic dialogue.

We are grateful to the following experts for their contributions to the Delphi process: Manal F. Abdelmalek, Abdelmounem E. Abdo, Matthew J. Armstrong, Carol L. Brosgart, José Luis Calleja, Michael R. Charlton, Yogesh Chawla, Abhijit Chowdhury, David E. Cohen, Alessandro Demaio, Javier Diaz-Ferrer, Anna Mae Diehl, Alexander French, Cheryl Grainger, Nadege T. Gunn, Bela Hunyady, Robert James, Jia-Horng Kao, Rohit Kohli, Hye Won Lee, Cosmas Rinaldi A. Lesmana, Panu K. Luukkonen, Dina Mansour, Patrick Marcellin, Rui T. Marinho, Luca Miele, Veronica Miller, Tahiri Mohammed, Munkhjargal Ayurzana, Jude Oben, Missiani Ochwoto, Juan Paredes Méndez, Puneet Puri, Marcus Ranney, Stuart K. Roberts, John F. Ryan, Arun J. Sanyal, Raymond Sayegh, Piotr Socha, Juan José Suárez, Ki-Chul Sung, Tawesak Tanwandee, Juan Turnes, Saskia van Mil, Kym Watt, Sarah H. Wild, Stavra A. Xanthakos, and Amany Zekry.

**Presentation:** none.

## References

1. Younossi ZM, Golabi P, Paik JM, Henry A, Van Dongen C, Henry L. The global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH): a systematic review. *Hepatology*. 2023;77(4):1135-1347.
2. Sweeny KF, Lee CK. Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Children. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y)*. 2021;17(12):579-587.
3. Huang DQ, Terrault NA, Tacke F, Glud LL, Arrese M, Bugianesi E, Loomba R. Global epidemiology of cirrhosis - aetiology, trends and predictions. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2023;20(6):388-398.
4. Anstee QM, Targher G, Day CP. Progression of NAFLD to diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease or cirrhosis. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2013;10(6):330-344.
5. Targher G, Tilg H, Byrne CD. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a multisystem disease requiring a multidisciplinary and holistic approach. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021;6(7):578-588.
6. O'Hara J, Finnegan A, Dhillon H, et al. Cost of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in Europe and the USA: The GAIN study. *JHEP Rep*. 2020;2(5):100142.
7. Schattenberg JM, Lazarus JV, Newsome PN, et al. Disease burden and economic impact of diagnosed non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in five European countries in 2018: A cost-of-illness analysis. *Liver Int*. 2021;41(6):1227-1242.
8. Younossi Z, Aggarwal P, Shrestha I, et al. The burden of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis: A systematic review of health-related quality of life and patient-reported outcomes. *JHEP Rep*. 2022;4(9):100525.
9. Hussain A, Patel PJ, Rhodes F, Srivastava A, Patch D, Rosenberg W. Decompensated cirrhosis is the commonest presentation for NAFLD patients undergoing liver transplant assessment. *Clin Med (Lond)*. 2020;20(3):313-318.
10. Karlsen TH, Sheron N, Zelber-Sagi S, et al. The EASL-Lancet Liver Commission: protecting the next generation of Europeans against liver disease complications and premature mortality. *Lancet*. 2022;399(10319):61-116.
11. Le MH, Yeo YH, Zou B, et al. Forecasted 2040 global prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease using hierarchical bayesian approach. *Clin Mol Hepatol*. 2022;28(4):841-850.
12. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Villota-Rivas M, et al. The global NAFLD policy review and preparedness index: Are countries ready to address this silent public health challenge? *J Hepatol*. 2022;76(4):771-780.
13. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Anstee QM, et al. Advancing the global public health agenda for NAFLD: a consensus statement. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2022;19(1):60-78.

14. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Colombo M, et al. A sustainable development goal framework to guide multisectoral action on NAFLD through a societal approach. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2022;55(2):234-243.
15. Lazarus JV, Han H, Mark HE, et al. The global Fatty Liver Disease-Sustainable Development Goal country score for 195 countries and territories. *Hepatology.* 2023;10.1097/HEP.0000000000000361.
16. Lazarus JV, Mark HE, Allen AM, et al. A global research priority agenda to advance public health responses to fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* 2023;In Press.
17. Rinella ME, Lazarus JV, Ratziu V, et al. A multi-society Delphi consensus statement on new fatty liver disease nomenclature. *Hepatology.* 10.1097/HEP.0000000000000520.
18. Allen AM, Lazarus JV, Younossi ZM. Healthcare and socioeconomic costs of NAFLD: A global framework to navigate the uncertainties. *J Hepatol.* 2023;S0168-8278(23)00079-X.
19. Younossi ZM, Zheng L, Stepanova M, Henry L, Venkatesan C, Mishra A. Trends in outpatient resource utilizations and outcomes for Medicare beneficiaries with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2015;49(3):222-227.
20. Nguyen AL, Park H, Nguyen P, Sheen E, Kim YA, Nguyen MH. Rising Inpatient Encounters and Economic Burden for Patients with Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in the USA. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2019;64(3):698-707.
21. Younossi ZM, Henry L, Bush H, Mishra A. Clinical and Economic Burden of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2018;22(1):1-10.
22. Lazarus JV, Anstee QM, Hagström H, et al. Defining comprehensive models of care for NAFLD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2021;18(10):717-729.
23. Anstee QM, Castera L, Loomba R. Impact of non-invasive biomarkers on hepatology practice: Past, present and future. *J Hepatol.* 2022;76(6):1362-1378.
24. Rasmussen DGK, Anstee QM, Torstenson R, et al. NAFLD and NASH biomarker qualification in the LITMUS consortium - Lessons learned. *J Hepatol.* 2023;78(4):852-865.
25. **Lazarus JV, Castera L, Mark HE, et al.** Real-world evidence on non-invasive tests and associated cut-offs used to assess fibrosis in routine clinical practice. *JHEP Rep.* 2022;5(1):100596.
26. Francque SM, Marchesini G, Kautz A, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A patient guideline. *JHEP Rep.* 2021;3(5):100322.
27. World Health Organization. Nothing for us, without us: opportunities for meaningful engagement of people living with NCDs. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
28. Hirschfield GM, Kumagi T, Heathcote EJ. Preventative hepatology: minimising symptoms and optimising care. *Liver Int.* 2008;28(7):922-934.



29. Dufour JF, Anstee QM, Bugianesi E, et al. Current therapies and new developments in NASH. *Gut*. 2022;71(10):2123-2134.
30. Harrison SA, Allen AM, Dubourg J, Noureddin M, Alkhouri N. Challenges and opportunities in NASH drug development. *Nat Med*. 2023;29(3):562-573.
31. Dyson JK, Anstee QM, McPherson S. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a practical approach to treatment. *Frontline Gastroenterol*. 2014;5(4):277-286.
32. Rinella ME, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, et al. AASLD Practice Guidance on the clinical assessment and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology*. 2023;77(5):1797-1835.
33. Romero-Gómez M, Zelber-Sagi S, Trenell M. Treatment of NAFLD with diet, physical activity and exercise. *J Hepatol*. 2017;67(4):829-846.
34. Jarvis H, Craig D, Barker R, et al. Metabolic risk factors and incident advanced liver disease in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): A systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based observational studies. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(4):e1003100.
35. Arora C, Malhotra A, Ranjan P, et al. Effect of intensive weight-loss intervention on metabolic, ultrasound and anthropometric parameters among patients with obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an RCT. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2022;76(9):1332-1338.
36. Schubert L, Gallegos D, Foley W, Harrison C. Re-imagining the 'social' in the nutrition sciences. *Public Health Nutr*. 2012;15(2):352-359.
37. Cooke GS, Andrieux-Meyer I, Applegate TL, et al. Accelerating the elimination of viral hepatitis: a Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology Commission. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019;4(2):135-184.
38. Alemany-Pagès M, Moura-Ramos M, Araújo S, et al. Insights from qualitative research on NAFLD awareness with a cohort of T2DM patients: time to go public with insulin resistance? *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1142.
39. Younossi ZM. Patient-Reported Outcomes and the Economic Effects of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: The Value Proposition. *Hepatology*. 2018;68(6):2405-2412.
40. Gilmore AB, Fabbri A, Baum F, et al. Defining and conceptualising the commercial determinants of health. *Lancet*. 2023;401(10383):1194-1213.
41. Hill-Briggs F, Adler NE, Berkowitz SA, et al. Social Determinants of Health and Diabetes: A Scientific Review. *Diabetes Care*. 2021;44(1):258-279.
42. Wieland AC, Mettler P, McDermott MT, Crane LA, Cicutto LC, Bambha KM. Low awareness of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among patients at high metabolic risk. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2015;49(1):e6-e10.
43. Hallsworth K, Dombrowski SU, McPherson S, Anstee QM, Avery L. Using the theoretical domains framework to identify barriers and enabling factors to implementation of

guidance for the diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a qualitative study. *Transl Behav Med.* 2020;10(4):1016-1030.

44. Carrieri P, Mourad A, Marcellin F, et al. Knowledge of liver fibrosis stage among adults with NAFLD/NASH improves adherence to lifestyle changes. *Liver Int.* 2022;42(5):984-994.

45. Askgard G, Madsen LG, von Wowern N, et al. Social support and risk of mortality in cirrhosis: A cohort study. *JHEP Rep.* 2023;5(1):100600.

46. Nekhlyudov L, Ganz PA, Arora NK, Rowland JH. Going Beyond Being Lost in Transition: A Decade of Progress in Cancer Survivorship. *J Clin Oncol.* 2017;35(18):1978-1981.

47. World Health Organization. *People-centered health care: a policy framework.* Geneva: WHO; 2007.

48. Diehl AM, Farpour-Lambert NJ, Zhao L, Tilg H. Why we need to curb the emerging worldwide epidemic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Nat Metab.* 2019;1(11):1027-1029.

49. Rutter H, Savona N, Glonti K, et al. The need for a complex systems model of evidence for public health. *Lancet.* 2017;390(10112):2602-2604.

50. Lazarus JV, Kopka CJ, Younossi ZM, Allen AM. It's time to expand the fatty liver disease community of practice. *Hepatology.* 2023;In Press.

ACCEPTED

**Table 1. Delphi panel characteristics (n=344).**

Characteristic	n (%)
<b>Gender</b>	
Woman	115 (33.7)
Man	221 (64.8)
Non-binary or gender diverse	3 (0.9)
Prefer not to say	2 (0.6)
No response	3 (0.9)
<b>Age, mean [SD]</b>	
All	53.8 [10.1]
No response	12 (3.5)
<b>Country of origin, by income level (n=94)</b>	
Low or middle	124 (36.9)
High	212 (63.1)
No response	8 (2.3)
<b>Global region<sup>a</sup> of origin</b>	
East Asia and Pacific	37 (11.0)
Europe and Central Asia <sup>b</sup>	142 (42.3)
Latin America and Caribbean	41 (12.2)
Middle East and North Africa	28 (8.3)
North America	52 (15.5)
South Asia	19 (5.7)
Sub-Saharan Africa	17 (5.1)

No response	8 (2.3)
<b>Country of work, by income level (n=91)</b>	
Low or middle	102 (30.1)
High	237 (69.9)
No response	5 (1.5)
<b>Global region<sup>a</sup> of work</b>	
East Asia and Pacific	36 (10.6)
Europe and Central Asia <sup>c</sup>	143 (42.2)
Latin America and Caribbean	34 (10.0)
Middle East and North Africa	24 (7.1)
North America	76 (22.4)
South Asia	12 (3.5)
Sub-Saharan Africa	14 (4.1)
No response	5 (1.5)
<b>Primary sector of employment<sup>d</sup></b>	
Academic	229 (66.6)
Public	62 (18.0)
Private	38 (11.0)
Civil society	9 (2.6)
Other	3 (0.9)
No response	3 (0.9)
<b>Field(s) of employment<sup>d,e</sup></b>	
Clinical research	273 (79.4)

Non-clinical research	81 (23.5)
Healthcare provider	180 (52.3)
Patient/policy advocacy	36 (10.5)
Education	10 (2.9)
Other	7 (2.0)
No response	3 (0.9)
<b>Years working in fatty liver disease field</b>	
1 to 11	148 (43.7)
12 to 22	132 (38.9)
23 to 33	49 (14.5)
34 to 44	8 (2.4)
45 to 55	2 (0.6)
No response	5 (1.5)
<b>Publications authored focused on fatty liver disease</b>	
< 6	103 (30.9)
6 to 25	95 (28.5)
26 to 50	54 (16.2)
51 to 100	42 (12.6)
> 101	39 (11.7)
No response	11 (3.2)
<b>International or regional liver association membership(s)<sup>e</sup></b>	
AASLD	165 (48.0)
APASL	34 (9.9)

ALEH	30 (8.7)
EASL	191 (55.5)
Other	18 (5.2)
No membership	152 (44.2)
<b>Area of national professional association/society membership(s) in country of work<sup>e</sup></b>	
Liver disease	254 (73.8)
Gastroenterology	184 (53.5)
Obesity	42 (12.2)
Diabetes/Endocrinology	45 (13.1)
Heart disease	11 (3.2)
Cancer	15 (4.4)
Primary care	5 (1.5)
Other	26 (7.6)
No membership	25 (7.3)

Percentages for 'no response' are based on the total number of participants; all other percentages are calculated after excluding n of no response, unless otherwise indicated. AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; APASL, Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver; ALEH, Asociación Latinoamericana para el Estudio del Hígado (Latin American Association for the Study of the Liver); EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver. Percentages may sum to more than 100 due to rounding. <sup>a</sup>Based on World Bank regions. <sup>b</sup>n=3 participants are originally from Central Asia. <sup>c</sup>n=3 participants work in Central Asia. <sup>d</sup>Denominator includes n of no response. <sup>e</sup>Sum may exceed sample size as participants could choose >1 response

**Table 2. Consensus statements for a fatty liver disease action priorities agenda**

Statement	Grade	Rank	A (%)	SA (%)	A+SA (%)	SD (%)	D (%)	NQ (%)	N	
<b>Domain 1: The human and economic burde</b>										
1.1	Develop national and international investment cases to inform evidence-based action and advocacy on fatty liver disease.	A	2	69.3	27.9	97.2	2.4	0.3	0.3	287
1.2	Promote standardisation of data collection and reporting on the human and economic burden of fatty liver disease, to enable comparisons across different groups, populations, and settings.	A	1	87.8	11.2	99.0	0.3	0.7	0.7	286
<b>Domain 2: Defining and implementing models of care</b>										
2.1	Engage affected populations and people with lived experience in the design of patient-centred fatty liver disease models of care.	A		71.1	24.7	95.8	3.5	0.7	0.3	287
2.2	Implement community-tailored models of care for fatty liver disease diagnosis, prevention, and treatment.	A	3	78.0	20.9	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.3	287
2.3	Inform health system decisionmakers of the operational and financial implications of emerging and evolving fatty liver disease	U		78.4	21.3	99.7	0.0	0.3	0.3	287

	models of care.									
2.4	Develop a range of context- and resource-specific fatty liver disease multidisciplinary model of care examples, to promote evidence-based knowledge sharing of good practices.	A	4	76.6	21.0	97.6	1.7	0.7	0.7	286
2.5	Clinical societies/health authorities should develop clear guidance on care pathways that promote the timely referral of fatty liver disease patients within healthcare settings.	A	2	87.5	11.5	99.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	287
2.6	Liver specialists should collaborate with primary care experts to determine which non-invasive tests are most appropriate for use in primary care settings.	A	1	94.1	4.9	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	287
2.7	Standardise key metrics for assessing and evaluating fatty liver disease models of care.	A		83.3	16.0	99.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	288
<b>Domain 3: Treatment and care</b>										
3.1	Account for the social and commercial determinants of health when developing treatment and care strategies for people with fatty liver	A		68.1	29.5	97.6	1.7	0.7	0.0	288



	disease.									
3.2	Develop tools to support the uptake of non-pharmacological interventions to improve outcomes in people with fatty liver disease.	A	1	87.5	11.5	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	288
3.3	Engage all relevant stakeholders (e.g., providers, patients) for focused discussions with regulatory bodies on suggested endpoints for drug approval.	A	2	78.7	19.2	97.9	2.1	0.0	0.3	287
3.4	Increase the use of patient-reported outcomes in clinical and research settings, and include these as primary study outcomes alongside clinical outcomes.	A		67.7	25.3	93.0	6.3	0.7	0.3	285
<b>Domain 4: Education and awareness</b>										
4.1	Evaluate medical curricula to identify how fatty liver disease is taught in medical schools and postgraduate training programmes.	A		76.7	20.5	97.2	1.7	1.0	0.0	288
4.2	Expand the availability of educational courses and toolkits on fatty liver disease, including through formal medical curricula and	U	4	85.4	14.2	99.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	288

	continuing education, in collaboration with other disciplines.									
4.3	Disseminate educational resources on the implementation of non-invasive tests in different settings, including primary care, diabetes, and obesity clinics, tailoring the content to the audience.	A	2	89.2	9.7	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	288
4.4	Develop information products to communicate how liver function and metabolic health influence overall population health.	A		81.6	17.7	99.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	288
4.5	Promote awareness among healthcare providers and patients of the possibility of multiple diagnoses (e.g., fatty liver disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus and/or alcohol-related liver disease) and accompanying challenges and opportunities in treatment and care.	A	1	92.0	6.6	98.6	0.7	0.7	0.0	288
4.6	Develop strategies with paediatric professionals to raise awareness of the challenges of fatty liver disease in children and	A		88.5	10.1	98.6	1.1	0.4	3.1	278

	adolescents.									
4.7	Raise awareness of fatty liver disease through public campaigns, leveraging traditional media, social media, and collaborative approaches.	A	3	83.0	13.5	96.5	2.8	0.7	0.0	288
4.8	Inform all patients with fatty liver disease of their disease stage and educate them on the reversibility of liver fibrosis.	A		89.2	8.7	97.9	2.1	0.0	0.0	288
<b>Domain 5: Patient and community perspectives</b>										
5.1	Grow the networks of support for people with fatty liver disease, including through collaboration with existing patient groups (e.g., liver, obesity, diabetes, heart disease, cancer).	A	1	83.3	14.6	97.9	1.7	0.3	0.3	287
5.2	Co-create, with affected communities and patient advocates, non-stigmatising communication guides for healthcare professionals to use when engaging with fatty liver disease patients.	A	2	74.7	22.2	96.9	2.4	0.7	0.0	288
<b>Domain 6: Leadership and policies for the fatty liver disease public health agenda</b>										
6.1	Further develop collaborations with key stakeholders (e.g., diabetes,	A	2	92.0	7.3	99.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	288

	obesity) to deliver an aligned non-communicable diseases agenda, inclusive of fatty liver disease.									
6.2	Advocate for fatty liver disease to be incorporated into relevant non-communicable disease strategies and guidelines, including those published by the World Health Organization.	A	1	92.0	6.9	99.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	288
6.3	Support professional societies' initiatives to identify emerging clinical and public health leaders in the field of fatty liver disease.	A		83.3	13.9	97.2	2.1	0.7	0.0	287
6.4	Convene groups of multidisciplinary experts to consider these research and action priorities for adoption at local, national, and regional levels.	A		86.8	12.2	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	288
6.5	Establish a global coalition, led by professional societies, to foster ongoing discussion, partnerships, and action, to address the fatty liver disease global public health threat.	A	3	85.4	12.5	97.9	1.0	1.0	0.0	288
6.6	The global coalition should build and execute a strategy	A		79.8	18.5	98.3	0.3	1.4	0.3	287

to grow and expand the global community of practice (professional and voluntary) focused on reducing the burden of fatty liver disease.									
<b>Mean % agreement</b>			82.4	15.7	98.1				

Percentages may add up to more than 100 due to rounding. Grades are based on the percentage of combined agreement (agree + somewhat agree). U, unanimous (100%) agreement; A, 90-99% agreement. Responses to each statement are presented as percentages of the total responses. A, agree; SA, somewhat agree; SD, somewhat disagree; D, disagree; NQ, the percentage of participants that indicated that they were not qualified to respond; N, total number of responses

Figure 1. Delphi panel generation and data collection.




Figure 2. Action priorities to turn the tide on fatty liver disease.



ACCEPTED