



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### The reconstruction of critical bone loss

**Citation for published version:**

Tsang, J, Ferreira, N & Simpson, AHRW 2022, 'The reconstruction of critical bone loss: the holy grail of orthopaedics', *Bone & Joint Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1302/2046-3758.116.BJR-2022-0186>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1302/2046-3758.116.BJR-2022-0186](https://doi.org/10.1302/2046-3758.116.BJR-2022-0186)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

Bone & Joint Research

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (CC BY-NC-ND4.0) licence, which permits the copying and redistribution of the work only, and provided the original author and source are credited. See <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





# The reconstruction of critical bone loss

## THE HOLY GRAIL OF ORTHOPAEDICS

**S-T. J. Tsang,  
N. Ferreira,  
A. H. R. W. Simpson**

From University of  
Edinburgh, Edinburgh,  
UK

**Cite this article:** *Bone Joint Res* 2022;11(6):409–412.

**Keywords:** Bone regeneration, Biologics, Bone loss

The reconstruction of segmental long bone defects demands a substantial investment of time and resources for both patients and healthcare providers.<sup>1</sup> The post hoc analysis of the SPRINT trial has been particularly influential in advancing our understanding of this matter.<sup>2</sup> At present, defects larger than 2 cm in length and with more than 50% circumferential bone loss are considered critical bone defects and unlikely to heal without further intervention.<sup>3</sup> Ferreira and Tanwar<sup>1</sup> recently proposed a classification system and treatment algorithm that considers the size of the bone defect (< 2 cm, 2 to 6 cm, 6 to 12 cm, or > 12 cm), soft-tissue quality (no deficit, defect requiring reconstruction, or unreconstructable defect), and host type (no compromise, local or systemic compromise, or treatment would be worse than the disease for the patient). It is proposed that the subsequent management is tailored to address all the elements identified in the classification system.

Despite progress in our understanding and approach to this clinical problem, there remains equipoise within the orthopaedic community regarding the reconstruction of critical bone defects. In recent years, the induced membrane technique has attracted much attention, both clinically and academically. The approach was first reported by Masquelet et al,<sup>4</sup> who described a two-stage procedure to reconstruct critical-sized long bone defects. Following debridement, a polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) cement spacer is implanted into the bone defect. During an interval period (typically four to six weeks), the spacer becomes encapsulated by a pseudosynovial membrane. The PMMA cement spacer is removed in the second stage and the defect is filled with non-vascularized autogenous bone graft. The perceived advantages of this technique over primary bone grafting

include: 1) preservation of bone length, 2) prevention of soft-tissue interposition within the defect, 3) formation of an encapsulated defect preventing bone graft migration and resorption, and 4) creation of a biological chamber with angiogenic and osteogenic properties at the site of the defect.<sup>5,6</sup> Previous studies have sought to characterize the biological potential of the membrane: Christou et al<sup>7</sup> reported the expression of bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2), transforming growth factor-beta, vascular endothelial growth factor, von Willebrand factor, interleukin (IL) 6, and IL 8 within the induced membrane of a critical defect in an ovine model.

In a systematic review and meta-analysis of 48 observational studies that included 1,386 cases treated with the induced membrane technique, Fung et al<sup>8</sup> reported that 82% of cases achieved union after the first grafting procedure, with 87% achieving union after repeated grafting procedures. The mean time to union was 6.6 months (1.4 to 58.7) after bone grafting. There was a requirement for unplanned procedures in 18% and subsequent infection in 10% of cases. In a sub-analysis of 450 individual patients, multivariate analysis identified the presence of preoperative infection as the primary risk factor for nonunion of the defect. Patients with tibial defects, and those with larger defects, were at statistically significant higher risk of developing postoperative infection.<sup>8</sup>

Despite the risk of nonunion in the presence of infection, as reported by Fung et al,<sup>8</sup> the reported outcomes of the induced membrane technique used to manage bone loss in the treatment of post-traumatic osteomyelitis have been favourable. Wang et al<sup>9</sup> reported the outcomes of 32 cases (mean defect volume 42 cm<sup>3</sup> (9 to 136)) treated over

Correspondence should be sent to  
Shao-Ting Jerry Tsang; email:  
jerry.tsang@ed.ac.uk

doi: 10.1302/2046-3758.116.BJR-  
2022-0186

*Bone Joint Res* 2022;11(6):409–  
412.

15 months in Chongqing, China. At a median follow-up period of 28 months (interquartile range 24 to 32), clinical union was achieved in 29/32 cases and radiological union in 26/32. The mean radiological bone healing time was 4.9 months (3 to 9), with the mean time to clinical union being 7.5 months (4 to 14). In a further study from the same institute, the authors described a modification of the induced membrane technique to manage bone loss in the presence of infection. An antibiotic cement-plate composite device was used to provide internal fixation following debridement for osteomyelitis (“Chongqing technique”). In 548 patients treated for osteomyelitis, 83% were infection-free at six months following a single debridement and stabilization. An impressive 95% were infection-free at six months if those undergoing a secondary debridement and fixation with the antibiotic cement-plate construct were included. Those with osteomyelitis involving the tibia were found to have a significantly higher risk of treatment failure ( $p = 0.047$ ). However, when compared to a historical cohort of tibial osteomyelitis stabilized with external fixation treated at the same institution, those undergoing the “Chongqing technique” were found to have a similar risk of treatment failure (21% vs 23%,  $p = 0.354$ ).<sup>10</sup> In a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies investigating the management of critical-sized bone defects in the treatment of fracture-related infection, eight studies that reported the outcomes of the induced membrane technique were identified.<sup>11</sup> The included studies described the treatment of 177 patients, with a mean age of 42 years (16 to 72), a mean bone defect size of 4.5 cm (1.0 to 26.0), and a mean follow-up period of 26 months (13 to 72). All eight studies used a two-stage reconstruction protocol with an antibiotic-loaded cement spacer, which was removed after a mean time of 68 days. A comparative analysis between surgical strategies could not be performed due to the heterogeneity within the pooled patient sample and the lack of a standardized definition of fracture-related infection across the studies. Nonetheless, the meta-analysis was able to report that the induced membrane technique was associated with primary healing in > 80%, bone union > 90% following secondary procedures, time to union approximately eight months, recurrence of infection approximately 15%, amputation in 5%, and complications occurred at a rate of 0.6/patient.<sup>11</sup>

Alternative strategies to the induced membrane technique in managing critical bone defects include bone transport, vascularized fibula grafts, and amputation. Bone transport, as described by Ilizarov,<sup>12</sup> is an established technique in the management of bone defects. The adaptability of circular external fixation facilitates simultaneous management of concurrent soft-tissue defects and deformities. A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies, which reported the outcomes of bone transport with a circular frame in the treatment of infected nonunions of the tibia and femur (590 patients in 24 studies), found 97% union with a mean external fixation time of 10.7 months, and external fixation index

of 1.7 months/cm.<sup>13</sup> The mean length of the bone defect was 6.5 cm in patients with infected tibial nonunions and 8.0 cm in patients with infected femoral nonunions.<sup>13</sup> In a review of bone transport for treating critical-sized bone defects in the tibia, Aktuglu et al<sup>14</sup> reported outcomes in 619 patients in 27 studies. Infection was present in 88.8% of reported cases. Union was achieved in a mean 90.2% (77% to 100%). The mean bone defect length was 6.5 cm (1.6 to 20) and the mean external fixation time was 10.8 months (2.5 to 23.2).<sup>14</sup>

With certain intramedullary limb lengthening systems,<sup>15,16</sup> there have been concerns regarding peri-implant osteolysis, however the reported outcomes following intramedullary bone transport remain favourable, particularly in the femur where circular frames are less well tolerated.<sup>17-19</sup>

Vascularized fibular grafts have become less popular due to the risk of graft fracture,<sup>14</sup> and the prolonged period of protected weightbearing while awaiting graft hypertrophy. A further barrier is the requirement for expertise in microvascular surgery to perform the procedure. Donor site morbidity is another concern with many reports of muscle weakness, foot pain, and valgus ankle deformity.<sup>20</sup> One technique to mitigate the risk of graft fracture is to harvest the fibula with its peroneal vascular pedicle barring the proximal and distal 5 to 7 cm. The fibula graft can then create a double-barrel construct to allow for more volumetric reconstruction of bone stock. This technique has been reported to decrease the risk of graft fracture and reduce the time of protected weightbearing.<sup>21</sup> A further advantage of the vascularized fibular graft is the ability to include skin, fascia, and muscle to reconstruct concomitant soft-tissue defects.<sup>1</sup>

Amputation should be considered a treatment option rather than a salvage procedure when treatment has failed, especially in Type C hosts. Modern prosthetics have greatly improved the potential functional abilities of amputees, and amputation may lead to better function than a poorly salvaged limb. The outcomes of early primary amputation are comparable to limb reconstruction in the presence of limb-threatening injuries in the lower limb.<sup>22</sup> A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies concluded that functional outcomes among patients were not statistically significantly different between limb reconstruction and early primary amputation at a minimum follow-up period of seven years, highlighting the need to optimize triage decisions to avoid unnecessary limb reconstruction procedures and a lengthy journey to amputation.<sup>22</sup>

Currently, there are a host of pre-clinical therapies undergoing development and awaiting translation into clinical practice. Novel osteogenic therapies include coagulated autologous bone marrow aspirate as a source of endogenous reparative cells and growth factors to promote fracture healing.<sup>23</sup> It has been shown to be comparable to autologous bone graft in the regeneration of bone in large segmental defects in a lapine model.<sup>23</sup> Mesenchymal stromal (stem) cells have long been viewed

as a panacea in orthopaedic regenerative medicine.<sup>24</sup> Recent developments have focused on manipulating stromal cells to drive osteogenesis using combinations of growth factors,<sup>25</sup> parathyroid hormone,<sup>26,27</sup> platelet-derived vesicles,<sup>28</sup> bacterial enterotoxin,<sup>29</sup> microRNA,<sup>30,31</sup> and immunomodulation.<sup>32</sup> Pre-clinical osteoinductive therapies include the use of modified messenger RNA (mRNA), the same technology that underpins the Pfizer-BioNtech COVID-19 vaccine, to induce autogenous production of growth factors such as BMP-2.<sup>33</sup> An optimized mRNA sequence for BMP-2 has been shown to recapitulate endochondral ossification in a murine critical bone defect model.<sup>33</sup> Novel mechanistic pathways in the mechanotransduction of bone have been identified and elucidated:<sup>34</sup> knowledge and understanding of these pathways will help to optimize the application of physical therapies such as nanovibration,<sup>35</sup> low-intensity pulsed ultrasound,<sup>36</sup> extracorporeal shockwave therapy,<sup>37</sup> and pulsed electromagnetic fields<sup>38</sup> in the bid to regenerate bone. Finally, osteoconductive strategies have been facilitated by the application of additive manufacturing technologies,<sup>39-41</sup> which have also been used to improve delivery systems for osteogenic and osteoinductive therapies.<sup>42</sup>

Tissue regeneration in the management of bone defects remains elusive. While many potential therapeutics have been proposed, few have made the transition into clinical practice. Even relatively 'new' surgical techniques, such as the induced membrane/"Masquelet", have been shown to be limited in the hands of the wider orthopaedic community. The ability to regenerate musculoskeletal tissue in a consistent, cost-effective, and clinically acceptable way for patients remains the holy grail of orthopaedic surgery.

## Twitter

Follow S-T. J. Tsang @drjerrytsang

Follow A. H. R. W. Simpson @EdinUniOrtho

## References

1. Ferreira N, Tanwar YS. Systematic approach to the management of post-traumatic segmental diaphyseal long bone defects: treatment algorithm and comprehensive classification system. *Strateg Trauma Limb Reconstr.* 2020;15(2):106–116.
2. Sanders DW, Bhandari M, Guyatt G, et al. Critical-sized defect in the tibia: is it critical? Results from the SPRINT trial. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2014;28(11):632–635.
3. Keating JF, Simpson A, Robinson CM. The management of fractures with bone loss. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2005;87-B(2):142–150.
4. Masquelet AC, Fitoussi F, Begue T, Muller GP. [Reconstruction of the long bones by the induced membrane and spongy autograft]. *Ann Chir Plast Esthet.* 2000;45(3):346–353. [Article in French].
5. Aurégan J-C, Bégué T, Rigoulot G, Glorion C, Pannier S. Success rate and risk factors of failure of the induced membrane technique in children: a systematic review. *Injury.* 2016;47 Suppl 6:S62–S67.
6. Klein C, Monet M, Barbier V, et al. The Masquelet technique: Current concepts, animal models, and perspectives. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med.* 2020;14(9):1349–1359.
7. Christou C, Oliver RA, Yu Y, Walsh WR. The Masquelet technique for membrane induction and the healing of ovine critical sized segmental defects. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(12):e114122.
8. Fung B, Hoit G, Schemitsch E, Godbout C, Nauth A. The induced membrane technique for the management of long bone defects. *Bone Joint J.* 2020;102-B(12):1723–1734.
9. Wang X, Luo F, Huang K, Xie Z. Induced membrane technique for the treatment of bone defects due to post-traumatic osteomyelitis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2016;5(3):101–105.
10. Wang X, Wang S, Xu J, Sun D, Shen J, Xie Z. Antibiotic cement plate composite structure internal fixation after debridement of bone infection. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):1–6.
11. Bezstarosti H, Metsemakers WJ, van Lieshout EMM, et al. Management of critical-sized bone defects in the treatment of fracture-related infection: a systematic review and pooled analysis. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2021;141(7):1215–1230.
12. Ilizarov GA. The tension-stress effect on the genesis and growth of tissues. Part I. The influence of stability of fixation and soft-tissue preservation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1989;238:249–281.
13. Yin P, Ji Q, Li T, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of Ilizarov methods in the treatment of infected nonunion of tibia and femur. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(11):e0141973.
14. Aktuglu K, Erol K, Vahabi A. Ilizarov bone transport and treatment of critical-sized tibial bone defects: a narrative review. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 2019;20(1):22.
15. Frommer A, Roedel R, Gosheger G, et al. Focal osteolysis and corrosion at the junction of Precice Stryde intramedullary lengthening device: preliminary clinical, radiological, and metallurgical analysis of 57 lengthened segments. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(7):425–436.
16. Panagiotopoulou VC, Davda K, Hothi HS, et al. A retrieval analysis of the precice intramedullary limb lengthening system. *Bone Joint Res.* 2018;7(7):476–484.
17. Calder PR, McKay JE, Timms AJ, et al. Femoral lengthening using the Precice intramedullary limb-lengthening system: outcome comparison following antegrade and retrograde nails. *Bone Joint J.* 2019;101-B(9):1168–1176.
18. Laubscher M, Mitchell C, Timms A, Goodier D, Calder P. Outcomes following femoral lengthening: An initial comparison of the Precice intramedullary lengthening nail and the LRS external fixator monorail system. *Bone Joint J.* 2016;98-B(10):1382–1388.
19. Calder PR, Laubscher M, Goodier WD. The role of the intramedullary implant in limb lengthening. *Injury.* 2017;48 Suppl 1:S52–S58.
20. Ling XF, Peng X. What is the price to pay for a free fibula flap? A systematic review of donor-site morbidity following free fibula flap surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2012;129(3):657–674.
21. Bi Z, Han X, Fu C, Cao Y, Yang C. Reconstruction of large limb bone defects with a double-barrel free vascularized fibular graft. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2008;121(23):2424–2428.
22. Busse JW, Jacobs CL, Swiontkowski MF, Bosse MJ, Bhandari M, Evidence-Based Orthopaedic Trauma Working Group. Complex limb salvage or early amputation for severe lower-limb injury: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2007;21(1):70–76.
23. Lim ZXH, Rai B, Tan TC, et al. Autologous bone marrow clot as an alternative to autograft for bone defect healing. *Bone Joint Res.* 2019;8(3):107–117.
24. Yang Y, Lin S, Wang B, Gu W, Li G. Stem cell therapy for enhancement of bone consolidation in distraction osteogenesis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2017;6(6):385–390.
25. Hefka Blahnova V, Dankova J, Rampichova M, Filova E. Combinations of growth factors for human mesenchymal stem cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation. *Bone Joint Res.* 2020;9(7):412–420.
26. Osagie-Clouard L, Sanghani-Kerai A, Coathup M, Meeson R, Briggs T, Blunn G. The influence of parathyroid hormone 1-34 on the osteogenic characteristics of adipose- and bone-marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells from juvenile and ovariectomized rats. *Bone Joint Res.* 2019;8(8):397–404.
27. Osagie-Clouard L, Meeson R, Sanghani-Kerai A, Bostrom M, Briggs T, Blunn G. The role of intermittent PTH administration in conjunction with allogeneic stem cell treatment to stimulate fracture healing. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(10):659–667.
28. Antich-Rosselló M, Forteza-Genestra MA, Calvo J, Gayà A, Monjo M, Ramis JM. Platelet-derived extracellular vesicles promote osteoinduction of mesenchymal stromal cells. *Bone Joint Res.* 2020;9(10):667–674.
29. Wu T, Zhang J, Wang B, Sun Y, Liu Y, Li G. Staphylococcal enterotoxin C2 promotes osteogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells and accelerates fracture healing. *Bone Joint Res.* 2018;7(2):179–186.
30. Yu H, Zhang J, Liu X, Li Y. microRNA-136-5p from bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes facilitates fracture healing by targeting LRP4 to activate the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(12):744–758.
31. Brzeczczynska J, Brzeczczynski F, Hamilton DF, McGregor R, Simpson AHRW. Role of microRNA in muscle regeneration and diseases related to muscle dysfunction in atrophy, cachexia, osteoporosis, and osteoarthritis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2020;9(11):798–807.

32. **Nathan K, Lu LY, Lin T, et al.** Precise immunomodulation of the M1 to M2 macrophage transition enhances mesenchymal stem cell osteogenesis and differs by sex. *Bone Joint Res.* 2019;8(10):481–488.
33. **Elangovan S, Khorsand B, Do A-V, et al.** Chemically modified RNA activated matrices enhance bone regeneration. *J Control Release.* 2015;218:22–28.
34. **Stewart S, Darwood A, Masouros S, Higgins C, Ramasamy A.** Mechanotransduction in osteogenesis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2020;9(1):1–14.
35. **Wong RMY, Choy VMH, Li J, et al.** Fibrinolysis as a target to enhance osteoporotic fracture healing by vibration therapy in a metaphyseal fracture model. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(1):41–50.
36. **Nicholson JA, Tsang STJ, MacGillivray TJ, Perks F, Simpson A.** What is the role of ultrasound in fracture management? *Bone Joint Res.* 2019;8(7):304–312.
37. **Chughtai M, Piuze NS, Khlopas A, Jones LC, Goodman SB, Mont MA.** An evidence-based guide to the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Bone Joint J.* 2017;99-B(10):1267–1279.
38. **Li Y, Yang Y, Wang M, et al.** High slew rate pulsed electromagnetic field enhances bone consolidation and shortens daily treatment duration in distraction osteogenesis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(12):767–779.
39. **Wong RMY, Wong PY, Liu C, et al.** 3D printing in orthopaedic surgery: A scoping review of randomized controlled trials. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(12):807–819.
40. **Tanzer M, Chuang PJ, Ngo CG, Song L, TenHuisen KS.** Characterization of bone ingrowth and interface mechanics of a new porous 3D printed biomaterial: an animal study. *Bone Joint J.* 2019;101-B(6\_Suppl\_B):62–67.
41. **Mumith A, Thomas M, Shah Z, Coathup M, Blunn G.** Additive manufacturing: current concepts, future trends. *Bone Joint J.* 2018;100-B(4):455–460.
42. **Zhao D-W, Ren B, Wang H-W, et al.** 3D-printed titanium implant combined with interleukin 4 regulates ordered macrophage polarization to promote bone regeneration and angiogenesis. *Bone Joint Res.* 2021;10(7):411–424.

#### Author information:

- S-T. J. Tsang, BSc (Hons), MBChB, MSc, PhD, FRCSEd, MFSTEd, Orthopaedic Registrar, Honorary Clinical Lecturer, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; Department of Trauma and Orthopaedics, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- N. Ferreira, BSc, MBChB, HDip(Orth), FC Orth, MMED (Orth), PhD, Associate Professor, Division Orthopaedic Surgery Department of Surgical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa.
- A. H. R. W. Simpson, MA(Cantab), BM, BCh (Oxon), DM(Oxon), FRCS(England & Edinburgh), FIORS, George Harrison Law Professor of Orthopaedics and Trauma, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

#### Author contributions:

- S-T. J. Tsang: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.
- N. Ferreira: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.
- A. H. R. W. Simpson: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

#### Funding statement:

- The authors received no financial or material support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Ethical review statement:

- Research Ethics Committee approval was not required for this editorial.

© 2022 Author(s) et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits the copying and redistribution of the work only, and provided the original author and source are credited. See <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>