Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fabio Lauria, National Research Council (CNR), Italy

REVIEWED BY Bhawani Chamlagain, University of Helsinki, Finland Uri Lesmes, Technion Israel Institute of Technology, Israel

*CORRESPONDENCE

Carlos Sabater ⊠ carlos.sabater@csic.es

RECEIVED 07 February 2023 ACCEPTED 05 June 2023 PUBLISHED 30 June 2023

CITATION

Barber C, Sabater C, Guarner F, Margolles A and Azpiroz F (2023) Metabolic response of intestinal microbiota to guar gum consumption. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1160694. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1160694

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Barber, Sabater, Guarner, Margolles and Azpiroz. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Metabolic response of intestinal microbiota to guar gum consumption

Claudia Barber^{1,2,3}, Carlos Sabater^{4,5}*, Francisco Guarner¹, Abelardo Margolles^{4,5} and Fernando Azpiroz^{1,2,3}

¹Digestive System Research Unit, University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain, ²Departament de Medicina, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain, ³Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (Ciberehd), Madrid, Spain, ⁴Department of Microbiology and Biochemistry, IPLA-CSIC, Asturias, Spain, ⁵Health Research Institute of Asturias, ISPA, Asturias, Spain

Background: Guar gum is used extensively as a thickening agent in food, but it remains uncertain whether and to what extent it is fermented by colonic microbiota and whether it has microbiota modulatory properties.

Aim: To determine the metabolic response of intestinal microbiota to guar gum consumption, specifically, the extent of initial fermentation and subsequent adaptation.

Methods: Single-center, single arm, open label, proof-of-concept study testing the effect of guar gum on microbiota metabolism and adaptation. Healthy male subjects (n=12) were administered gum guar (8g/day) for 18days. Outcomes were measured before, at initial and late administration: (a) anal gas evacuations (number/day); (b) digestive sensations (daily scales); and (c) fecal gut microbiota taxonomy and metabolic functions by shotgun sequencing.

Results: At initial consumption, guar gum induced a transient increase in anal gas evacuations and digestive sensations; gas evacuation completely reverted upon continuous administration, whereas sensations reverted only in part. Guar gum induced moderate changes in human microbiota composition at both taxonomic and functional levels. Positive associations between effects on microbiota (proliferation of *Agathobaculum butyriciproducens* and *Lachnospira pectinoschiza*) and hedonic sensations were detected.

Conclusion: Guar gum is metabolized by intestinal microbiota, and, upon continuous consumption, induces a selective adaptation of microbial taxonomy and function. These data highlight the potential interest of guar gum for novel prebiotic ingredient formulation.

KEYWORDS

guar gum, food additive, prebiotic, microbiota, metagenomics

1. Introduction

Guar gum is a natural indigestible, soluble polysaccharide of the galactomannan family, extracted from the seeds of the *Cyamopsis tetragonalobus* plant. Because of its potential to provide a highly viscous solution at relatively low concentrations (less than 1% w/v), it is used extensively as a thickening agent or stabilizer in food, particularly in dairy and bakery; guar gum is commonly found in ice cream, yogurt, salad dressing, gluten-free baked goods, sauces, kefir,

and breakfast cereals. Related with its rheological properties, guar gum modulates small bowel absorption of nutrients, with beneficial effects on cholesterol metabolism and glycemic control (1-3). However, despite its extensive use, it remains uncertain whether and to what extent natural guar gum is fermented by colonic microbiota. Hence, our aim was to determine the metabolic reaction of intestinal microbiota in response to natural guar gum consumption, specifically, the extent of initial fermentation and subsequent adaptation. To address our aim, we applied state-of-the-art methodology, combing on-line measurements of intestinal gas production, as an index of microbiota metabolic activity, with fecal microbiota composition and functionality.

The issue addressed by our study may have important implications, because some indigestible food components, defined as prebiotics, are selectively utilized by host microorganisms and confer a health benefit (4). The relevance of the potential prebiotic effects of food additives has been highlighted by the European Food Safety Agency (EFSA) (5, 6). Indeed, some prebiotics, including a partially hydrolyzed guar gum derivative with low viscosity, exert beneficial effects in patients with functional digestive disorders, such as the irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) (7-12). These patients complain of digestive symptoms in the absence of detectable cause by conventional diagnostic tests; functional digestive disorders constitute about half of the consultations in the gastroenterology clinic and impose a heavy socioeconomic burden (13).

Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

A single-center, single-arm, open-label, proof-of-concept study, testing the effect of guar gum on microbiota metabolism and adaptation, was performed in healthy men. The study consisted of pre-administration and 18-day administration phases (Figure 1). The following outcomes were measured during evaluation periods pre-administration, at initial administration and late administration: (a) anal gas evacuations (number/day); (b) subjective (digestive sensations) and objective consumer measures (bowel function) by daily scales; and (c) fecal gut microbiota taxonomy and metabolic functions by shotgun sequencing (Figure 1). The research was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The protocol for the study was previously approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, [Comitè d'Ètica d'Investigació Clinica, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca; protocol number PR(AG)33/2021B approved February 1, 2021] and all participants provided written informed consent. The protocol was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT05195255).

2.2. Aims and sample size calculation

The primary aim of the study was to determine the change in gas production (evaluated by daily number of gas evacuations per anus using an event marker) from early versus late administration of guar gum. In a previous study, the number of daily anal gas evacuations during administration of a prebiotic (resistant dextrin) decreased from 21.1 evacuations at initial intake to 13.3 evacuation by 18 days administration (mean difference 7.80 evacuations per day, SD of difference 8.44) (14). Based on these data, it was estimated that a sample size of 12 subjects (paired) would be required to detect a change in the daily number of anal gas evacuations with 80% power and a 5% significance threshold. Participants were recruited following the inclusion and non-inclusion criteria described below (see the section 2.3). The secondary aims were to determine the effect of guar gum administration on the perception of digestive sensations (flatulence, bloating, abdominal distension, borborygmi, and abdominal discomfort/pain), digestive well-being and mood, bowel habit (stool weight, frequency, and consistency), and gut microbiota composition and functionality.

2.3. Participants

Healthy men without gastrointestinal symptoms or a history of gastrointestinal disorders participated in the study. Given the gender



measured

10.3389/fnut.2023.1160694

differences in the responses to meal ingestion (15, 16); in this proofof-concept study only men were included for the sake of homogeneity. All participants were instructed to complete a clinical questionnaire based on Rome IV criteria, and only subjects not fulfilling criteria of functional gastrointestinal disorders (no symptom ≥ 2 on a 0–10 scale and normal bowel habits) were included. This questionnaire has been previously shown to discriminate patients from healthy subjects (17, 18). Non-inclusion criteria were: (i) antecedents of digestive surgery, excluding appendicectomy; (ii) antibiotic, prebiotic, or probiotic consumption during the previous 2 months; (iii) current use of any medications with potential central nervous system effects (e.g., antidepressants, anxiolytics, and opiate pain medications) or drugs that might modify gastrointestinal function; and (iv) change of dietary habits within the preceding 4 weeks.

2.4. Intervention

Guar gum powder (8g/day; Now Foods; Bloomingdale, IL) was administered in the morning and evening (4g per dose dissolved in water, juice or milk) during the 18-day administration phase.

2.5. Diet

During 4-day evaluation periods (Monday to Thursday) in the pre-administration phase (days-7 to -4), initial administration (days 1-4), and late administration phase (days 15-18), participants were put on a standard diet (Figure 1) restricted to the following foodstuffs: (i) meat, fish, fowl, and eggs; (ii) salad; (iii) rice, pasta, and bread; (iv) dairy products; and (v) strained orange juice, tangerine, pears, and apples. This low-residue diet (7g fiber per day) was complemented with one portion per day of the following: whole crackers, lentils, chickpeas, beans, peas, artichoke, Brussels' sprouts, banana, peach, or prunes; the portion size of each specific foodstuff was adjusted to contain 12g fiber (18). The rest of the study participants consumed their habitual diet (Figure 1). During the study, participants were asked to avoid fermented dairy products (yogurts with living strains or probiotics-containing products), and any tablets, pills, or foods supplements containing fiber, pre or probiotics other than those provided. Dietary instructions were reinforced at each visit to ensure adherence to the study.

2.6. Outcomes

The following outcomes were measured during the last 2 days of the evaluation periods pre-administration (day-5 and -4), initial administration (days 3, 4), and late administration (days 17, 18; Figure 1).

2.6.1. Number of anal gas evacuations

The number of anal gas evacuations during daytime was measured using an event marker (Hand Tally Counter No 101, Digi Sport Instruments, Shangqiu, China). Participants were instructed to carry the event marker during the day and register each passage of anal gas. This method has been previously used with reproducible and consistent results (17, 18).

2.6.2. Clinical questionnaire

The participants were instructed to complete questionnaires at the end of each day (Figure 1), including the subjective and objective consumer measures, as follows. Subjective measures: (i) digestive sensations (subjective sensations of flatulence defined as anal gas evacuation, abdominal bloating defined as pressure/fullness, visible abdominal distension defined as girth increment, borborygmi defined as rumbling, and abdominal discomfort/pain) using analog scales graded from 0 (no sensation) to 10 (very severe sensation); (ii) hedonic sensations (digestive well-being and mood) using 10-point scale graded from +5 (extremely positive) to -5 (extremely negative). Objective measures: (iii) the number of bowel movements; (iv) stool form using the Bristol scale; and (v) stool weight using a balance provided by the investigator (digital weighing scale BT-32013, El Corte Ingles, Madrid, Spain). Participants received instructions to measure stool weight and to fill out scales by the end of the day. This questionnaire has been previously used and was shown to be sensitive to detecting the effects of dietary interventions in different populations (17, 18).

2.6.3. Microbiota analysis

On the fourth day of the pre-adminstration period (day-4) and late administration period (day 18), fecal samples were collected, homogenized, and immediately frozen by the participants in their home freezers at -20°C (Figure 1). Total DNA extracted was submitted to an external sequencing service.¹ Paired-end sequence reads (2×150 bp) showing an average minimum of 25 million reads per sample were generated using the Illumina NovaSeq system under accreditation according to the scope of BaseClear B.V. Reads were demultiplexed to generate FASTQ read sequence files using bcl2fastq2 (v2.18). The initial quality assessment was based on data passing the Illumina Chastity filtering. Subsequently, reads containing PhiX control signal were removed using an in-house filtering protocol. In addition, reads containing (partial) adapters were clipped (up to a minimum read length of 50 bp). The second quality assessment was based on the remaining reads using the FASTQC quality control tool version (v0.11.9).

Contaminant reads and low-quality sequences were separated *in silico* from microbial reads using Kneaddata (v0.7.4) and Trimmomatic (v0.39) software. With this aim, the minimum length of output reads was computed as 50% of the length of the input reads, considering a sliding window of 4:20. Bowtie2 (v2.4.2) was used to map metagenomic reads against the reference databases "*Homo sapiens* hg37 and human contamination Bowtie2" (v0.1), to remove host contamination.

Microbial taxonomic and functional profiling was performed using MetaPhlAn 3.0 (v3.0.4) and HUMAnN 3.0 (v3.0.0) pipelines (19, 20). ChocoPhlAn (version "mpa_v30_ChocoPhlAn_201901") database containing clade-specific marker genes and UniRef90 (version "uniref90_201901") protein database were used to perform taxonomic and functional analyses. The abundances of gene families and metabolic pathways were re-normalized and expressed in units of copies per million.

¹ www.BaseClear.com

To characterize microbial diversity within a sample and between individuals, alpha and beta diversity estimators were computed. In this sense, beta-diversity analysis of microbial communities was performed following the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity method (21) implemented in Phyloseq R package (21). To filter rare taxa, only those clades showing at least 0.1% abundance in 50% of samples were considered. In addition, genes showing at least 1 million reads in at least 50% of samples were selected for the functional analysis. To illustrate major differences in microbiota composition, Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) plots were generated using Microbiome R package (22).

MaAsLin2 method (23) was selected for the statistical analysis of taxonomic clades, microbial gene families, and metabolic pathways abundances. In this sense, statistical differences at pre-administration and late administration times were determined. Only those clades and genes showing p_{adj} values (corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg method) lower than 0.25 were considered to select only relevant differences. All statistical tests and models were performed on R (v4.1.1).

The raw sequences data were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of the NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra, accessed on 3 February 2023) under BioProject code PRJNA906167.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were performed for the parameters measured. The means (\pm SE) of the variables measured were calculated. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to check the normality of the data distribution. Parametric normally-distributed data were compared by Student's *t*-test for paired or unpaired data. Otherwise, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for paired data, and the Mann–Whitney *U* test for unpaired data. Correlations between microbial composition and clinical metadata were expressed as Pearson correlation coefficients. In this sense, correlations between microbial clades modulated by guar gum administration and clinical parameters were determined. Tests were performed using a significance level of 5% (two-sided). For clarity, only significant differences are denoted in the figures.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics and study flow

Twelve healthy men (20–40 years age range; 20–29 kg/m² body mass index range) were included in the study. Body weight ranged from 60 to 91 Kg, and hence, the absolute 8 mg daily dose of guar gum administered, corresponded to an actual dose ranging between 133 and 88 mg per Kg body weight per day. All the participants completed the studies, delivered the clinical questionnaires and fecal samples, and were included for analysis.

3.2. Number of anal gas evacuations

Before administration (day-5 and -4 of the pre-administration phase), participants registered 7.7 ± 1.0 anal gas evacuations per day using the event marker (Figure 2). At initial exposure (days 3 and 4 of the administration phase), consumption of guar gum was associated



were measured by event marker. Figure shows values averaged over 2 consecutive days during pre-administration (days-5, -4), initial administration (days 3, 4), and late administration (days 17, 18). Note initial increase indicating guar gum fermentation, and later decline indicating microbiota adaptation.

with a small but significant increase in the number of anal gas evacuations (10.5 ± 1.9 evacuations/day; p=0.014 vs. pre-administration; Figure 2). After continous administration (days 17 and 18), anal gas evacuation decreased and reverted to the level before administration (7.1 ± 1.5 evacuations/d; p=0.013 vs. early administration; Figure 2). No differences were observed between the two consecutive evaluation days during each evaluation period.

3.3. Digestive sensations

Before administration (day-4 and -5), participants marked on the daily scales low scores of digestive sensations (Figure 3). At initial exposure (days 3 and 4), consumption of guar gum was associated with a mild increase in digestive sensation, which was statistically significant for abdominal bloating (p=0.034 vs. pre-administration; Figure 3). After continuous administration (days 17 and 18), digestive sensations tended to decrease, but the differences between initial and late administration were not statistically significant (Figure 3). Interestingly, flatulence sensation paralleled intestinal gas evacuation and reverted to the level before administration, but the other sensations remained above pre-ingestion level; the differences between late ingestion and pre- ingestion levels were small and did not reach statistical significance, except for abdominal discomfort (p=0.041). No significant differences were detected between the two consecutive evaluation days during each evaluation period.

3.4. Hedonic sensations

Hedonic sensations moved opposite to digestive sensations. Before administration (day-4 and -5), participants reported on the



p=0.038 p=0.011 p=0.003 +5perception score PRE INITIAL LATE ADMINITRATION -5 well-being mood FIGURE 4 Hedonic sensations. Sensations were measured by +5 (very positive) to -5 (very negative) scales. Figure shows values averaged over two consecutive days during pre-administration (days -5, -4), initial administration (days 3, 4), and late administration (days 17, 18). Note

daily scales sensation of digestive well-being and positive mood (Figure 4). At initial exposure (days 3 and 4), consumption of guar gum was associated with a small but significant drop in digestive well-being p = 0.038 vs. pre-administration) and light, non-significant impairment of mood (Figure 4). By the late administration phase (days 17 and 18), both digestive well-being and mood significantly increased (p = 0.003 and p = 0.011 vs. initial ingestion phase, respectively) and returned back to the pre-ingestion levels (Figure 4). No significant differences were detected between the 2 consecutive evaluation days during each evaluation period.

3.5. Bowel habit

No effects of guar gum on normal bowel habit were observed: the number of stools per day, stool weight, and stool consistency, evaluated by the Bristol stool form scale, were similar before (days -4 and -5), at the beginning (days 3 and 4), and by the end (days 17 and 18) of the administration period (Figure 5).

3.6. Metagenomic analysis

To study the modulatory effect of guar gum on human microbiota, a shotgun metagenomics analysis of fecal samples of participants collected at pre-and late administration periods was carried out. A taxonomic profiling of the microbiota was first performed. To measure the variability of species within a sample, Chao1 indexes, indicating the number of species represented by only one individual in the sample, were calculated. The global Chao1 index was 103 ± 14 while specific Chao1 indexes for pre- (103 ± 15) and late (104 ± 14) periods showed no major differences. Other alpha diversity estimators were compared, including Shannon, Simpson, and Inverse Simpson indexes (Figure 6A). These coefficients showed similar patterns in the core microbiota, confirming the results from the different analyses (Figure 6B). In general, no statistically significant (p > 0.05) changes in microbial diversity between pre-and late intervention periods were observed due to the high intra-sample variability. To further characterize microbial diversity between samples, beta diversity estimators based on Bray-Curtis distances were computed (Figure 7). Similar to the alpha diversity study, no statistically significant (p > 0.05) differences in the beta-diversity coefficients of samples collected at pre-and late intervention periods were observed (Figure 7A). Microbiota samples were then clustered according to the diversity distances calculated by the Bray-Curtis

initial drop and later recovery.



Bowel habit. Figure shows values averaged over 2 consecutive days during pre-administration (days -5, -4), initial administration (days 3, 4), and late administration (days 17, 18). There were no significant differences among pre, initial and late administration phases.



FIGURE 6

(A) Comparison of different alpha-diversity indicators (Chao 1, Shannon, Simpson, and Inverse Simpson) of the relative abundance of taxa determined at different intervention periods: pre-administration (basal) and late administration (final). (B) Relationship between different alpha-diversity estimators: Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, and Inverse Simpson indices. Most of these coefficients reflected similar patterns in the core microbiota. ^aNo statistically significant (p>0.05) differences were found.

Taxonomy 0.72 Α - diversity 0.25 я я Beta 0.00 Basa Final Time lies 1.00 В fam 0.75 a Gene . я 0.50 diversity 0.25 Beta 0000 Basal Final Time - Pathways С - diversity Beta o 0.00 Basa Fina Time

FIGURE 7

Beta-diversity analysis of taxonomic profiles (A), gene families (B) and metabolic pathways (C) found in the microbiota of participants at different intervention periods: pre-administration (basal) and late administration (final). Bray-Curtis method was selected for the calculation. ^aNo statistically significant (p>0.05) differences were found

different periods corresponding to the same participant. These results reflect the high inter-individual variability in the gut microbiota composition.

The most abundant taxa found in fecal metagenomes included several Bacteroides species (B uniformis, B. dorei, and B. vulgatus) as well as Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, Alistipes putredinis, Ruminococcus bromii, and Parabacteroides distasonis (Figure 8). PCoA plots could not elucidate characteristic patterns in the complete microbiota profiles of samples according to the intervention time (pre-and late administration periods, Supplementary Figure S2A).

Functional analysis of metagenomes revealed no major differences in the gene count of samples collected at pre- (79,562 \pm 21,175) and late (78,659 ± 22,213) administration periods. Similarly, no statistically significant (p > 0.05) differences in beta-diversity estimators of samples (Figures and cluster were observed 7B.C) analysis (Supplementary Figures S1B,C) and PCoA ordination plots (Supplementary Figures S2B,C) of functional data confirmed the high inter-individual variability observed in the taxonomic profiling.

Statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional data revealed significant differences in the abundances of some microbial clades (Tables 1-4) and genes (Table 5) after guar gum administration. Up to 35 microbial clades promoted by guar gum administration (Tables 1-4). Among these clades, Parabacteroides, Ruminococcus, Barnesiella, Lachnospira, Akkermansia, Bacteroides, Collinsella and Agathobaculum as well as Oscillibacter sp. 57 20 strain showed significantly (p <0.05 and p_{adj} <0.25) higher abundances at final period than basal period. Therefore, these taxa were increased after the intervention. These clades showed abundance increments higher than 10% although most of these increments were moderate. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) scores revealed differences in the abundances of these taxa among samples corresponding to pre-and late administration periods (Supplementary Figure S3). In contrast, other taxa including Faecalibacterium, Alistipes, Fusicatenibacter, and *Eubacterium* species showed no significant (p > 0.05) differences in their abundances.

With regard to the functional analysis, the abundances of a total 11,232 microbial gene families and 473 microbial metabolic pathways



dissimilarity method (Supplementary Figure S1A). Some samples corresponding to the same intervention period were grouped, although several metagenomes were grouped with samples from

species constitute the core microbiota of participants. Data are expressed as abundance percentages (%). *Microbial species showing significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adj} < 0.25$) higher abundances at late (final) administration period than pre-administration (basal) period.

TABLE 1 Microbial phyla modulated by guar gum administration.

Microbial phyla showing higher abundances after late (final) intervention period				
	Basal Final			
Phylum	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Firmicutes				
unclassified	0.57	0.71	0.68	0.89
Verrucomicrobia	1.52	3.02	1.74	3.42
Proteobacteria	0.91	0.87	1.05	0.88

Mean abundances and standard deviations (SD) of microbial phyla are compared at preadministration (basal) and late (final) administration periods. These taxa showed significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adt} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period.

TABLE 2 Microbial families modulated by guar gum administration.

Microbial families showing higher abundances after late (final) intervention period					
	Basa	al	Final		
Family	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Prevotellaceae	4.68	9.40	8.37	16.92	
Tannerellaceae	3.17	2.12	4.88	2.91	
Acidaminococcaceae	2.03	2.07	2.93	3.24	
Barnesiellaceae	1.69	2.32	2.24	2.67	
Oscillospiraceae	1.77	2.25	2.03	2.96	
Verrucomicrobiae	1.52	3.02	1.74	3.42	
Akkermansiaceae	1.52	3.02	1.74	3.42	
Coriobacteriaceae	1.20	0.65	1.49	2.17	

Mean abundances and standard deviations (SD) of microbial families are compared at preadministration (basal) and late (final) administration periods. These taxa showed significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adg} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period.

significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adj} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period (Table 5). These genes and pathways corresponded to *Bacteroides*, *Parabacteroides*, *Phascolarctobacterium*, *Collinsella*, *Oscillibacter*, and *Ruminococcus* species in agreement with the statistical differences determined in the taxonomic analysis (Tables 1–4). These metabolic pathways involved a wide range of functions (Table 5), including amino acid and ribonucleotide biosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism.

Once statistical differences in microbial taxonomic and functional profiles at pre-and late administration periods were elucidated, statistically significant (p < 0.05) associations between microbial species modulated by guar guam and clinical metadata of participants were determined (Figure 9). In this regard, some microbial species including *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Bacteroides caccae*, and *Barnesiella intestinihominis* showed negative association with BMI and fecal weight, and positive associations with abdominal discomfort/pain and distension. Similarly, *Bacteroides finegoldii* positive associations with borborygmi, abdominal discomfort/pain, distension, and flatulence. On the other hand, positive associations between *Agathobaculum butyriciproducens* and *Lachnospira pectinoschiza* also showed positive associations with the number of anal gas evacuations.

TABLE 3 Microbial genera modulated by guar gum administration.

Microbial genera showing higher abundances after late (final) intervention period					
	Basal Final			ıl	
Genus	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Ruminococcus	4.76	5.64	5.93	6.56	
Parabacteroides	3.17	2.12	4.88	2.91	
Phascolarctobacterium	1.47	1.70	2.18	2.49	
Oscillibacter	1.77	2.25	2.03	2.96	
Barnesiella	1.55	2.26	1.97	2.63	
Lachnospira	1.33	2.26	1.95	2.82	
Akkermansia	1.52	3.02	1.74	3.42	
Collinsella	1.19	0.65	1.48	2.17	
Agathobaculum	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.53	
Coprobacter	0.13	0.12	0.27	0.36	
Butyricimonas	0.14	0.10	0.15	0.16	

Mean abundances and standard deviations (SD) of microbial genera are compared at preadministration (basal) and late (final) administration periods. These taxa showed significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adj} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period.

TABLE 4 Microbial species and strains modulated by guar gum administration.

Microbial	species showing	higher	abundances	after late
	(final) interv	vention	period	

	Basal		Final	
Species/Strain	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Parabacteroides				
distasonis	1.99	1.45	3.48	3.05
Ruminococcus				
bicirculans	1.03	1.41	2.24	5.63
Barnesiella				
intestinihominis	1.55	2.26	1.97	2.63
Lachnospira				
pectinoschiza	1.33	2.26	1.95	2.82
Akkermansia				
muciniphila	1.52	3.02	1.74	3.42
Bacteroides ovatus	0.86	0.96	1.69	2.23
Collinsella aerofaciens	1.19	0.65	1.47	2.16
Bacteroides caccae	1.03	1.25	1.15	1.37
Parabacteroides				
merdae	0.82	0.95	0.92	0.88
Bacteroides finegoldii	0.63	0.81	0.78	1.59
Ruminococcus torques	0.61	0.41	0.71	0.87
Agathobaculum				
butyriciproducens	0.42	0.42	0.50	0.53
Oscillibacter sp. 57 20				
strain	0.92	1.26	1.21	1.17

Mean abundances and standard deviations (SD) of microbial species and strains are compared at pre-administration (basal) and late (final) administration periods. These taxa showed significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{aij} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period.

TABLE 5 Number of microbial gene families and metabolic pathways showing the highest abundances at late intervention periods.

Microbial gene families modulated by guar gum administration		Microbial metabolic pathways modulated by guar gum administration		
Таха	Frequency	Таха	Frequency	
Parabacteroides distasonis	3,602	Bacteroides ovatus	71	
Phascolarctobacterium faecium	1773	Parabacteroides distasonis	71	
Bacteroides ovatus	1,282	Bacteroides caccae	57	
Parabacteroides merdae	1,113	Collinsella aerofaciens	44	
Oscillibacter sp. 57 20	877	Parabacteroides merdae	44	
Ruminococcus torques	507	Phascolarctobacterium faecium	38	
Bacteroides caccae	505	Ruminococcus bicirculans	38	
Collinsella aerofaciens	494	Barnesiella intestinihominis	35	
Ruminococcus bromii	348	Agathobaculum butyriciproducens	20	
Butyricimonas virosa	192	Lachnospira pectinoschiza	16	
Ruminococcus bicirculans	185	Oscillibacter sp. 57 20	15	
Agathobaculum butyriciproducens	103	Bacteroides finegoldii	8	
Barnesiella intestinihominis	102	Akkermansia muciniphila	6	
Ruminococcus lactaris	37	Ruminococcus torques	5	
Bacteroides finegoldii	35	Ruminococcus bromii	2	
Lachnospira pectinoschiza	35	Butyricimonas synergistica	1	
Oscillibacter sp. CAG 241	24	Butyricimonas virosa	1	
Akkermansia muciniphila	16	Coprobacter fastidiosus	1	
Coprobacter fastidiosus	2	Total	473	
Total	11,232			

Microbial metabolic pathways modulated by guar gum administration				
Function	Frequency	Function	Frequency	
Unknown	16	L-arginine biosynthesis II	3	
5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide				
biosynthesis I	11	L-histidine degradation I	3	
5-aminoimidazole ribonucleotide				
biosynthesis II	11	L-ornithine biosynthesis II	3	
Superpathway of 5-aminoimidazole				
ribonucleotide biosynthesis	11	L-rhamnose degradation I	3	
UMP biosynthesis I	11	Methylerythritol phosphate pathway II	3	
L-valine biosynthesis	10	Pyridoxal 5-phosphate biosynthesis I	3	
UMP biosynthesis II	10	Sucrose biosynthesis II	3	
		Superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo		
UMP biosynthesis III	10	biosynthesis I	3	
		Superpathway of adenosine nucleotides de novo		
Adenine and adenosine salvage III	9	biosynthesis II	3	
		Superpathway of guanosine nucleotides de novo		
Coenzyme A biosynthesis I	9	biosynthesis II	3	
Guanosine ribonucleotides de novo		Superpathway of pyridoxal 5-phosphate		
biosynthesis	9	biosynthesis and salvage	3	
		Thiamine phosphate formation from		
Queuosine biosynthesis I	9	pyrithiamine and oxythiamine	3	

(Continued)

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Microbial metabolic pathways modulated by guar gum administration				
Function	Frequency	Function	Frequency	
UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide		6-hydroxymethyl-dihydropterin diphosphate		
biosynthesis I	9	biosynthesis I	2	
Coenzyme A biosynthesis II	8	Glycogen degradation II	2	
Methylerythritol phosphate pathway I	8	Glycolysis III	2	
Peptidoglycan biosynthesis I	8	Guanosine nucleotides degradation III	2	
Superpathway of coenzyme A biosynthesis III	8	L-methionine biosynthesis III	2	
Chorismate biosynthesis from 3-dehydroquinate	7	L-ornithine biosynthesis I	2	
Chorismate biosynthesis I	7	NAD de novo biosynthesis I	2	
dTDP-beta;-L-rhamnose biosynthesis	7	Pentose phosphate pathway I	2	
Fatty acid biosynthesis initiation	7	Pentose phosphate pathway II	2	
		Pyrimidine deoxyribonucleotide		
Inosine-5-phosphate biosynthesis II	7	phosphorylation	2	
L-histidine biosynthesis	7	Pyruvate fermentation to acetate and (S)-lactate I	2	
L-isoleucine biosynthesis I	7	Pyruvate fermentation to acetate and lactate II	2	
L-isoleucine biosynthesis III	7	Pyruvate fermentation to isobutanol	2	
Peptidoglycan biosynthesis III	7	Seleno-amino acid biosynthesis	2	
Phosphopantothenate biosynthesis I	7	Sucrose degradation III	2	
Superpathway of branched chain amino		Superpathway of aromatic amino acid		
acid biosynthesis	7	biosynthesis	2	
UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide				
biosynthesis II	7	Superpathway of pyrimidine nucleobases salvage	2	
		4-amino-2-methyl-5-		
inosi\$ne-5-phosphate biosynthesis I	6	diphosphomethylpyrimidine biosynthesis II	1	
		ADP-L-glycero-beta;-D-manno-heptose		
L-lysine biosynthesis III	6	biosynthesis	1	
L-methionine biosynthesis IV	6	biotin biosynthesis II	1	
preQ0 biosynthesis	6	Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle	1	
		CMP-3-deoxy-D-manno-octulosonate		
Pyrimidine deoxyribonucleosides salvage	6	biosynthesis	1	
Superpathway of coenzyme A	6	Entner-Doudoroff nathway I	1	
Comparison of monopolic and out does	0	Entiter-Doudoron pathway I	1	
<i>de novo</i> biosynthesis I	6	GDP-mannose biosynthesis	1	
UDP-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide				
biosynthesis III	6	L-arginine biosynthesis III	1	
CDP-diacylglycerol biosynthesis I	5	L-arginine degradation XIII	1	
CDP-diacylglycerol biosynthesis II	5	L-histidine degradation III	1	
Folate transformations III	5	L-lysine biosynthesis II	1	
Inosine 5-phosphate degradation	5	Lactose and galactose degradation I	1	
L-lysine biosynthesis VI	5	Methanogenesis from acetate	1	
Superpathway of L-serine and glycine		-		
biosynthesis I	5	Molybdopterin biosynthesis	1	

TABLE 5 (Continued)

Microbial metabolic pathways modulated by guar gum administration				
Function	Frequency	Function	Frequency	
tRNA charging	5	Myo-, chiro-and scyllo-inositol degradation	1	
Adenosine deoxyribonucleotides de novo				
biosynthesis II	4	O-antigen building blocks biosynthesis	1	
Cis-vaccenate biosynthesis	4	Pentose phosphate pathway	1	
Folate transformations II	4	Purine ribonucleosides degradation	1	
Glycogen biosynthesis I	4	S-adenosyl-L-methionine salvage I	1	
Guanosine deoxyribonucleotides de novo				
biosynthesis II	4	Stachyose degradation	1	
Peptidoglycan maturation	4	Sucrose degradation IV	1	
UDP-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine		Superpathway of L-aspartate and L-asparagine		
biosynthesis I	4	biosynthesis	1	
Flavin biosynthesis I	3	Superpathway of L-threonine biosynthesis	1	
		Superpathway of pyrimidine ribonucleotides de		
Glycolysis IV	3	novo biosynthesis	1	
Gondoate biosynthesis	3	Tetrapyrrole biosynthesis I	1	
Isoprene biosynthesis I	3	Thiamine diphosphate salvage II	1	
L-arginine biosynthesis I	3	Total	473	

These gene families and metabolic pathways modulated by guar gum administration are summarized by bacterial species and metabolic function. These gene families and metabolic pathways showed significantly (p < 0.05 and $p_{adj} < 0.25$) higher abundances at final period than basal period.

4. Discussion

Our study indicates that natural guar gum serves as a substrate for intestinal microbiota, and continuous consumption induces a selective adaptation of metabolic functions, that may confer health benefits for the host.

Initial administration of guar gum was associated with an increase in intestinal gas production, reflecting its fermentation by intestinal microbiota. To note, the increase in gas production was full-blown by the third administration day, suggesting that guar gum is readily available for microbiota metabolism without pre-processing. Interestingly, the initial increase in gas production completely reverted upon continuous administration, reflecting a change in the fermentative pathways due to microbiota adaptation. The same phenomenon, initial fermentation and subsequent adaptation toward more efficient metabolic pathways, has been observed with other fibers with prebiotic properties (14, 24, 25). By contrast, poorly fermentable fibers, such as psyllium, do not induce detectable changes in intestinal gas evacuation, specifically the initial gas increase at initial administration (26).

Consumption of guar gum was initially associated with digestive sensations. In parallel to the decrease in gas evacuation upon continuous consumption, the sensation of flatulence reverted back to pre-administration level; however other sensations, particularly abdominal discomfort and bloating, still remained higher than before administration. These differences suggest that the origin of the sensations involves mechanisms related to gas, and other mechanisms possibly related to the bulk-forming effect of guar gum. Despite that guar gum did not influence fecal output, a potential effect on colonic biomass is plausible; indeed, other prebiotics produce a persistent increase in the volume of colonic biomass without changes in fecal output (14).

Guar gum administration induced changes at taxonomic and functional levels, and interestingly, these changes were observed only in specific microbial clades. Previous studies suggest that partially hydrolyzed guar gum derivatives have prebiotic properties (27). *In vitro* fermentation studies reported that partially hydrolyzed guar gum stimulates beneficial genera like *Bacteroides* and *Parabacteroides* (28), identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. In addition, 16S rRNA gene sequencing of microbiota samples from healthy volunteers revealed that partially hydrolyzed guar gum has been associated with an increase in the abundances of *Bacteroides*, *Faecalibacterium*, and *Ruminococcus* (8). These data are concordant with the effects of natural guar gum found in our study, which further show that these changes were also induced at functional level, including microbial gene families and metabolic pathways, and that correlate with clinical outcomes.

Some microbial clades promoted by guar gum administration, were also found to be promoted by fiber-based nutritional interventions in other studies. Specifically, *Parabacteroides* and *Ruminococcus* genera, and *L. pectinoschiza* species were promoted by a commercial preparation of resistant dextrin (14) while *Agathobaculum butyriciproducens* was promoted after fiber-enriched Mediterranean-type diet in healthy subjects, showing positive correlations with fecal weight and stool movements (29). Other dietary fibers such as psyllium husk show a different fermentative pattern compared to guar gum, leading to an increase in *Lactobacillus* and *Faecalibacterium* in chronically constipated women of reproductive age (29). However, psyllium administration resulted in



an enrichment in *Ruminococcaceae*, similar to the one observed for *Ruminococcus* in the present work (30).

Overall, in our group of healthy subjects, the sensations were very mild, and guar gum was well tolerated. Nevertheless, given the adaptation induced on microbiota, it is plausible that natural guar gum may improve symptoms in patients with functional digestive disorders, as shown for other fibers with prebiotic properties, such as the partially hydrolized guar gum (7-12) and beta-galactooligosaccharide (31).

5. Limitations

This proof-of-concept study involved a small sample size, but still allowed to demonstrate the effect of guar gum on intestinal microbiota. Furthermore, the conclusions apply to healthy men, and it remains to be demonstrated whether they can be extended to women and to disease states, such as patients with functional digestive disorders. The intervention was relatively short, and we cannot ascertain whether longer administration may produce a more complete adaptation, improving bulk-related sensations and tolerance. Potential post-administration effects were not investigated, but given the adaptation induced on microbiota, it could be expected that some beneficial effects may persist for some time after administration.

6. Conclusion and inference

The present study shows that guar gum is well-tolerated, at least by healthy men, and exerts a selective modulatory effect on human microbiota at both taxonomic and functional levels. These data are highly relevant, considering the wide use of guar gum in food production, its metabolic benefits related to its modulatory effect on intestinal absorption, and its potential application for the treatment of functional digestive symptoms.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the NCBI - SRA repository (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), accession number PRJNA906167.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Comitè d'Ètica d'Investigació Clinica, Vall d'Hebron Institut de Recerca; protocol number PR(AG)33/2021B approved February 1, 2021. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FA, FG, and AM: conceptualization. CB and CS: formal analysis, investigation, and methodology. FA and AM: project administration, resources, and validation. FA: supervision. FA and CS: writing original draft. AM and FG: writing—review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported in part by the projects PID2021-122295OB-I00 (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spain). Ciberehd is funded by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Mudgil D, Barak S, Khatkar BS. Guar gum: processing, properties and food applications-a review. *J Food Sci Technol.* (2014) 51:409–18. doi: 10.1007/s13197-011-0522-x

2. EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS)Mortensen A, Aguilar F, Crebelli R, Di Domenico A, Frutos MJ, et al. Reevaluation of guar gum (E 412) as a food additive. *EFSA J*. (2017) 15:e04669. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4669

3. Atzler JJ, Sahin AW, Gallagher E, Zannini E, Arendt EK. Characteristics and properties of fibres suitable for a low FODMAP diet-an overview. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2021) 112:823–36. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.04.023

4. Gibson GR, Hutkins R, Sanders ME, Prescott SL, Reimer RA, Salminen SJ, et al. Expert consensus document: the international scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of prebiotics. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2017) 14:491–502. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.75

5. Cerk K, Aguilera-Gomez M. Microbiota analysis for risk assessment: evaluation of hazardous dietary substances and its potential role on the gut microbiome variability and dysbiosis. *EFSA J.* (2022) 20:e200404. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2022.e200404

6. Merten C, Schoonjans R, di Gioia D, Peláez C, Sanz Y, Maurici D, et al. Editorial: exploring the need to include microbiomes into EFSA's scientific assessments. *EFSA J*. (2020) 18:e18061. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2020.e18061

7. Kapoor MP, Sugita M, Fukuzawa Y, Okubo T. Impact of partially hydrolyzed guar gum (PHGG) on constipation prevention: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Funct Foods*. (2017) 33:52–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2017.03.028

 Reider SJ, Moosmang S, Tragust J, Trgovec-Greif L, Tragust S, Perschy L, et al. Prebiotic effects of partially hydrolyzed guar gum on the composition and function of the human microbiota-results from the PAGODA trial. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:1257. doi: 10.3390/nu12051257

9. Ohashi Y, Sumitani K, Tokunaga M, Ishihara N, Okubo T, Fujisawa T. Consumption of partially hydrolysed guar gum stimulates Bifidobacteria and butyrate-producing bacteria in the human large intestine. *Benefic Microbes.* (2015) 6:451–5. doi: 10.3920/BM2014.0118

10. Yasukawa Z, Inoue R, Ozeki M, Okubo T, Takagi T, Honda A, et al. Effect of repeated consumption of partially hydrolyzed guar gum on fecal characteristics and gut microbiota: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, and parallel-group clinical trial. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:2170. doi: 10.3390/nu11092170

11. Niv E, Halak A, Tiommny E, Yanai H, Strul H, Naftali T, et al. Randomized clinical study: partially hydrolyzed guar gum (PHGG) versus placebo in the treatment of patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. (2016) 13:10. doi: 10.1186/ s12986-016-0070-5

12. Kapoor MP, Koido K, Kawaguchi M, Timm D, Ozeki M, Yamada M, et al. Lifestyle related changes with partially hydrolyzed guar gum dietary fiber in healthy athlete individuals—a randomized, double-blind, crossover, placebo-controlled gut microbiome clinical study. J Funct Foods. (2020) 72:104067. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2020.104067

13. Fullerton S. Functional digestive disorders (FDD) in the year 2000-economic impact. *Eur J Surg Suppl.* (1998) 164:62–4. doi: 10.1080/11024159850191463

14. Barber C, Sabater C, Ávila-Gálvez M, Fernando V, Alvaro Bendezu R, Guérin-Deremaux L, et al. Effect of resistant dextrin on intestinal gas homeostasis and microbiota. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:4611. doi: 10.3390/nu14214611

15. Masihy M, Monrroy H, Borghi G, Pribic T, Galan C, Nieto A, et al. Influence of eating schedule on the postprandial response: gender differences. *Nutrients*. (2019) 11:401. doi: 10.3390/nu11020401

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023.1160694/ full#supplementary-material

16. Livovsky DM, Azpiroz F. Gastrointestinal contributions to the postprandial experience. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:893. doi: 10.3390/nu13030893

17. Manichanh C, Eck A, Varela E, Roca J, Clemente JC, González A, et al. Anal gas evacuation and colonic microbiota in patients with flatulence: effect of diet. *Gut.* (2014) 63:401–8. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303013

18. Azpiroz F, Hernandez C, Guyonnet D, Accarino A, Santos J, Malagelada JR, et al. Effect of a low-flatulogenic diet in patients with flatulence and functional digestive symptoms. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2014) 26:779–85. doi: 10.1111/nmo.12324

19. Franzosa EA, McIver LJ, Rahnavard G, Thompson LR, Schirmer M, Weingart G, et al. Species-level functional profiling of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes. *Nat Methods*. (2018) 15:962–8. doi: 10.1038/s41592-018-0176-y

20. Truong DT, Tett A, Pasolli E, Huttenhower C, Segata N. Microbial strain-level population structure and genetic diversity from metagenomes. *Genome Res.* (2017) 27:626–38. doi: 10.1101/gr.216242.116

21. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

22. Lahti L, Shetty S. Tools for microbiome analysis in R. version 2.1.24. (2017) Available at: https://microbiome.github.io/tutorials/

23. Mallick H, Rahnavard A, McIver LJ, Ma S, Zhang Y, Nguyen LH, et al. Multivariable association discovery in population-scale meta-omics studies. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2021) 17:e1009442. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009442

24. Mego M, Manichanh C, Accarino A, Campos D, Pozuelo M, Varela E, et al. Metabolic adaptation of colonic microbiota to galactooligosaccharides: a proof-ofconcept-study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2017) 45:670–80. doi: 10.1111/apt.13931

25. Mego M, Accarino A, Tzortzis G, Vulevic J, Gibson G, Guarner F, et al. Colonic gas homeostasis: mechanisms of adaptation following HOST-G904 galactooligosaccharide use in humans. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2017) 29:e13080. doi: 10.1111/nmo.13080

26. Gunn D, Abbas Z, Harris HC, Major G, Hoad C, Gowland P, et al. Psyllium reduces inulin-induced colonic gas production in IBS: MRI and in vitro fermentation studies. *Gut.* (2022) 71:919–27. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2021-324784

27. Mudgil D, Barak S, Patel A, Shah N. Partially hydrolyzed guar gum as a potential prebiotic source. *Int J Biol Macromol.* (2018) 112:207–10. doi: 10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2018.01.164

28. Carlson J, Gould T, Slavin J. In vitro analysis of partially hydrolyzed guar gum fermentation on identified gut microbiota. *Anaerobe.* (2016) 42:60–6. doi: 10.1016/j. anaerobe.2016.08.006

29. Barber C, Mego M, Sabater C, Vallejo F, Bendezu RA, Masihy M, et al. Differential effects of Western and Mediterranean-type diets on gut microbiota: a Metagenomics and metabolomics approach. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:2638. doi: 10.3390/nu13082638

30. Yang C, Liu S, Li H, Bai X, Shan S, Gao P, et al. The effects of psyllium husk on gut microbiota composition and function in chronically constipated women of reproductive age using 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2021) 13:15366–83. doi: 10.18632/aging.203095

31. Huaman JW, Mego M, Manichanh C, Cañellas N, Cañueto D, Segurola H, et al. Effects of prebiotics Vs a diet low in Fodmaps in patients with functional gut disorder. *Gastroenterology*. (2018) 155:1004–7. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.06.045