

This is a repository copy of Moving from risk to resilience in psychosis research.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/201065/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Thakkar, Katharine, McCleery, Amanda, Minor, Kyle et al. (7 more authors) (2023) Moving from risk to resilience in psychosis research. Nature Reviews Psychology. ISSN 2731-0574

https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-023-00205-9

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Moving from risk to resilience in psychosis research

Katharine N. Thakkar^{1,2†}, Amanda McCleery^{3,4,5}, Kyle S. Minor⁶, Junghee Lee^{7,8}, Clara S. Humpston^{9,10}, William J. Chopik¹, S. Alexandra Burt¹, Amber L. Pearson^{11,12}, Michael Ungar¹³ and Sohee Park14

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

17 18

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2 3

4

5

6

- ¹Department of Psychology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
- ²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Medicine, Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, MI. USA
- ³Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA USA
- ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA USA
- ⁵Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California Los Angeles, 15 Los Angeles, CA, USA 16
 - ⁶Department of Psychology, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis,
- ⁷Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurobiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 19 Birmingham, AL, USA 20
- ⁸Department of Neurobiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA 21
 - ⁹Department of Psychology, University of York, York, United Kingdom
 - ¹⁰Institute for Mental Health, School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
 - ¹¹Department of Geography, Environment and Spatial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA
 - ¹²Department of Public Health, University of Otago, Wellington, New Zealand
 - ¹³Resilience Research Centre, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada
 - ¹⁴Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

29 30 31

32 33 34

†email: kthakkar@msu.edu

Abstract

Psychosis research has traditionally focused on vulnerability and the detrimental outcomes of risk exposure. However, there is substantial variability in psychological and functional outcomes for those at risk for psychosis, even among individuals at high risk. Comparatively little work has highlighted the factors associated with resilience and the processes that might avert serious mental illness and promote positive outcomes. In this Review, we first discuss the prevailing risk-based approach to psychosis. We then outline a resilience-based approach by defining multisystemic mental health resilience and considering what constitutes a positive outcome. Based on this background, we examine evidence of biological, psychological, social and environmental protective and promotive factors that might confer resilience in the context of psychosis risk. A greater understanding of the factors and processes implicated in resilience has the potential to inform psychosis intervention and prevention efforts at multiple levels, including individuals, institutions, and policy.

[H1] Introduction

Psychotic disorders, including schizophrenia, are characterized by signs of departure from consensus reality, for example, hallucinations and delusions. This departure is often accompanied by disorganization of thought and behavior and diminished expressivity and motivation. The impact of psychotic disorders, and the discrimination and marginalization that occur in their wake, are tremendous. People diagnosed with schizophrenia have a lower life expectancy in Western countries¹, higher rates of homelessness worldwide²⁻⁸, and drastically reduced quality of life⁹ compared to those without a diagnosis of schizophrenia.

Psychotic disorders were historically viewed as irreversible and progressively deteriorating conditions that were inevitably associated with poor outcomes and disability¹⁰. However, in the past 30 years a more optimistic paradigm focused on psychosis prevention has emerged¹¹⁻¹³. To this end, there has been a massive effort to identify factors that increase an individual's risk for developing a psychotic disorder, with the hope that targeted interventions might prevent or delay onset. Individuals seeking mental health treatment who are identified as at high-risk for psychosis show markedly increased rates of developing a psychotic disorder, relative to lifetime incidence rates in the general population¹⁴. However, around two-thirds of help-seeking at-risk individuals are never diagnosed with a psychotic disorder¹⁵, and around 40% remit from high-risk status after 3 years¹⁶. These findings dovetail with the variability in clinical trajectories of individuals diagnosed with psychotic disorders—although many have poor long-term outcomes, over 50% show extended periods of recovery¹⁷⁻²¹. These data suggest the presence of internal and/or external assets and resources that can be leveraged to avert serious mental health symptoms in people with or at high-risk for psychotic disorders.

There has been comparatively little work examining those factors that might promote multifaceted positive outcomes in the face of psychosis risk. Understanding the factors that buffer against risk will help elucidate the etiological heterogeneity observed in individuals at-risk for psychosis and offer mechanistic insights into why many of them do not experience negative outcomes. Furthermore, identifying modifiable protective and promotive factors can provide important malleable targets for clinical treatments, and intervention strategies based on resilience can complement those designed to eliminate preventable risks²². Thus, a greater focus on resilience in the context of psychosis risk is critical for advancing the field and promoting therapeutic discovery²³.

In this Review, we first briefly summarize the literature on risk factors for psychosis and then describe the strengths and drawbacks of a purely risk-based approach. Next, we present modern conceptualizations of mental health resilience and consider what constitutes a positive outcome. Finally, we describe the factors that might confer resilience in the context of psychosis risk and conclude with recommendations for future directions. Although we focus on psychosis, many of the factors we identify throughout the Review are transdiagnostic and might convey risk and resilience for a host of psychopathological disorders.

[H1] The risk-based approach to psychosis

Converging evidence supports a diathesis-stress etiological model of psychotic disorders, whereby genetic risk interacts with social and environmental stressors to influence the development of symptoms²⁴⁻²⁶. There is strong evidence for a genetic contribution to the onset and maintenance of these disorders. Having a first-degree relative with a serious mental illness is one of the most well-established risk factors for psychosis. In a study of over 30,000 twin pairs spanning 50 years, concordance rates of schizophrenia were approximately 33% in monozygotic twins with an estimated heritability of 73% for schizophrenia-spectrum disorders²⁷.

Having a parent with a serious mental illness also increases risk for psychosis: a meta-analysis of 33 studies showed that the children of parents with serious mental illness were 6.5 times more likely to develop schizophrenia than the children of parents without serious mental illness²⁸. Genome-wide association studies have also identified specific genes that confer greater risk for psychosis, with one report detecting 10 gene variants with odds ratios \geq 3.0 for the development of schizophrenia²⁹. Variations in *GRIN2A*, a glutamate receptor, and *SP4*, involved in transcription regulation, have been implicated in multiple reports as carrying greater risk for psychosis and for developmental disorders such as autism^{29,30}.

In terms of non-genetic risk factors, the earliest stressors might occur during prenatal and perinatal periods^{31,32} and include maternal infection, medical conditions, experiencing stress during pregnancy, and complications during pregnancy or delivery. In early childhood, a variety of factors (such as early hearing impairments³³, communication deviations in parents³⁴, and delays in sitting, standing, or walking independently³⁵) have also been associated with increased risk. These early behavioural risk factors might be secondary to prenatal and perinatal environmental risk exposure.

Social and environmental risk factors during late childhood and more proximally to illness onset (typically in late adolescence and early adulthood) have been summarized and evaluated in several meta-analyses³⁶ and reviews^{32,36,37}. One prominent risk factor during this period is childhood trauma, which has been consistently found at high rates among individuals who later develop psychosis^{38,39}. There is also extensive evidence that stressful life events in adulthood are associated with an increased risk for subclinical psychotic symptoms and a psychotic disorder diagnosis⁴⁰. In the past decade, there has been increased focus on the role of discrimination as a risk factor for psychosis. Higher rates of subclinical psychotic symptoms, psychotic experiences, and psychotic symptoms have been found in individuals from communities that have been marginalized on the basis of race and ethnicity^{41,42} as well as in sexual and gender minority communities⁴³, and structural racism in the United States has been explicitly linked with psychosis risk⁴⁴. Finally, the environment where one lives and who inhabits those spaces plays an important role in the development of psychosis. A meta-analysis of eight studies and nearly 46,000 people found that the risk for schizophrenia was 2.37 times higher in urban areas than in rural environments⁴⁵. Exposure to such environmental stressors might account for the widely replicated finding of increased stress-sensitivity in individuals with psychosis^{46,47,48}. Here stress sensitization, whereby the response to some environmental stressor increases in intensity with repeated exposures, transpires and results in enduring alterations in stress-sensitivity.

This vast body of evidence describing factors that are associated with an increased risk of psychotic disorder onset has contributed to the development of mental health policies and practices that emphasize the importance of reducing the burden of these disorders in the population ^{49,50}. Over the past 30 years the clinical high-risk paradigm¹³, which aims to identify individuals in the prodromal phase of a psychotic disorder as part of a preventative approach, has been the major focus within psychosis research. Current criteria define individuals at clinical high-risk as those who have either attenuated psychotic symptoms, full psychotic symptoms for a brief period, or substantial genetic risk paired with functional decline. Formal risk calculators have been created to enhance prediction of which individuals identified at clinical high risk will transition to psychosis^{51,52}. These enhanced predictive models represent an important strength of risk-based approaches. Moreover, studies of risk can also help quantify how much risk is conveyed by specific factors. For example, according to meta-analyses the odds of experiencing childhood trauma is almost 3 times higher³⁷ and the odds of perceived discrimination is almost twice as high⁴⁰ among individuals who later develop psychosis

compared to controls. A risk-based approach also has important clinical implications for help-seeking youth. Early identification permits both preventative care and intervention earlier in the course of illness. This is important because shorter durations of untreated psychosis are associated with better prognosis post-diagnosis^{53,54} (but see⁵⁵), and reducing the duration of untreated psychosis is a major emphasis of treatment programs. Finally, identifying individual risk factors can enable increased personalization of treatment on the basis of specific risk exposure. Thus, a focus on risk factors sets the groundwork for treatment development and treatment targets, usually aimed at eliminating preventable risks.

Despite these strengths, relying solely on a risk-based approach for psychosis, where risk is an event or context that is directly associated with poor outcomes, has several shortcomings⁵⁶. For example, relying solely on risk might lead to over-prediction of risk⁵⁷ and, accordingly, suboptimal treatment planning such as excessive or unnecessary interventions. Indeed, up to 70% of people identified as high-risk do not develop a psychotic disorder within three years^{14,58-60}. This percentage is even higher in studies that use broader recruitment strategies, resulting in samples that are less biased towards help-seeking individuals with more severe subclinical symptoms⁶¹⁻⁶⁷. Furthermore, opportunities to develop novel treatments might be limited given that the risk factors that have received the most robust support (for example, subclinical psychotic experiences and genetic risk) do not easily lend themselves to therapeutic innovation. Indeed, meta-analytic findings indicate that no specific preventative interventions have yet been identified^{13,68}. In addition, an exclusive focus on risk and deficits might exacerbate the stigma associated with psychosis^{69-71,72,73}, which is itself linked to poor mental health outcomes^{74,75}.

Finally, a risk-based perspective spotlights vulnerability and fails to consider the possibility that individuals who are highly sensitive to negative contexts might also be most responsive to the enhancing effects of positive contexts—a pattern described by the differential susceptibility model⁷⁶. That is, individuals at high-risk for psychosis might also be particularly sensitive to the beneficial effects conferred by internal and external resources and assets. A large population-based study showed that individuals with high levels of childhood adversity had more dramatic changes in mental health during adulthood as a function of both increases and decreases in life stress across the lifespan compared with individuals with low levels of adversity⁷⁷. These findings suggest that childhood adversity might function as a differential susceptibility factor that increases responsiveness to both negative and positive contexts later in life.

In sum, the transition rates of high-risk individuals are higher than incidence rates of psychotic disorders in the general population and therefore a risk-based approach is useful for identifying individuals who will develop a disorder. But an approach purely focused on negative outcomes neglects valuable information about what is protecting those at high-risk from developing psychotic disorders or other severe mental health outcomes and—perhaps more importantly—what helps people function and thrive despite risk factors^{78,79}. Risk-based approaches can be complemented by resilience-based approaches that focus on the access to resources and cultivation of assets and strengths that help people weather atypical risk in ways that yield positive outcomes.

[H1] The resilience-based approach

In this section, we define resilience and discuss the challenges in defining positive outcomes in the context of psychosis. Modern research on human resilience originated largely from the child development literature that aimed to identify factors that lead to positive adaptation despite early adversity. We provide relevant background bridging the gap between this developmental literature and the interpretation and contextualization of resilience factors in psychosis.

[H2] Defining resilient processes.

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230231

232

233

234

235

236

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

Resilience is the process by which a system (an individual, a community, or a biological entity) fares better than expected given exposure to some risk or adversity that threatens functioning^{22,80,81}. Central to this definition is that resilience is a process—not a stable trait—in which protective and promotive factors support recovery, persistence, resistance, or adaptation (**Figure 1**). Furthermore, because human development across the lifespan transpires within a set of interacting systems⁸², individual resilience is inherently multisystemic⁸³. Specifically, human resilience can be conceptualized as a network of protective and promotive factors that confer positive outcomes and span multiple interacting subsystems or levels ranging from individual biology (such as genes) to the natural environment (such as green space) ⁸³.

Resilience can only be studied in the context of risk or adversity. In the context of psychosis, risk might refer to factors that increase the chance of a psychotic disorder diagnosis, the experience of those symptoms (for example, experiencing persistent hallucinations might be a source of psychological distress⁸⁴), or secondary factors that might emerge after a diagnosis of psychotic disorder (for example, poor physical health or discrimination^{85,86}). Vulnerabilities and protective factors moderate the impact of risk and lead to outcomes that are worse or better than expected, respectively (Box 1). That is, a vulnerability factor intensifies the maladaptive outcomes in response to risk exposure and a protective factor reduces them⁸⁷. Note that the terms 'vulnerability factors' and 'protective factors' refer to the mechanisms by which these factors exert their effects on a specific set of outcomes given a specific risk⁸⁸. That is, vulnerability factors are not inherently bad and protective factors are not inherently good. Protective factors are distinguished from promotive factors. Promotive factors are associated with positive outcomes regardless of risk exposure; promotive effects are indicated by a main effect of a particular factor on a positive outcome measure. By contrast, protective factors are associated with positive outcomes in a risk-dependent manner and are indicated by an interaction effect, where the magnitude of association between the factor and the positive outcome is moderated by risk status. For example, social support would be considered a protective factor in the context of psychosis risk if it showed a stronger association with positive outcomes in young adults at clinical high-risk for psychosis than in a population sample of young adults; however, social support would be considered promotive if it was associated with positive outcomes regardless of clinical high-risk status.

[H2] Defining positive outcomes.

In the context of mental health, positive outcomes include functioning that aligns with or exceeds developmental or contextual norms. Defining a positive outcome that is indicative of a resilient process is challenging for several reasons. First, positive outcomes are multifaceted and include both developmental competence (for example, academic and occupational achievement, interpersonal competence, completing developmental milestones) and mental health⁸⁹. Importantly, although a person might exhibit resilience in some aspects of functioning or mental health, few people are resilient in all domains⁹⁰. Longitudinal studies of recovery in people with schizophrenia have revealed that positive functional outcomes (such as increased community integration) are independent of mental health outcomes such as reduced depression⁹¹. There is further nuance within psychological health, which entails both subjective well-being and the absence of distress or diagnosis⁹²⁻⁹⁶. Indeed, well-being and psychopathology are not two sides of the same coin. For example, some teens exhibit high wellbeing despite significant psychopathology; others conversely exhibit low well-being without significant psychopathology⁹². Positive mental health outcomes in the context of psychosis risk go beyond the absence of distress or formal diagnosis and measurements should include all dimensions of psychological health.

Second, who defines a positive outcome is shaped by power dymanics⁹⁷ and which individuals or systems benefit from a particular outcome must be carefully considered. The priorities of the health care systems, clinical care providers, and families might not always overlap with the priorities of the individual with psychosis. Research in psychosis prevention and recovery has traditionally focused on the absence of clinical psychotic symptoms and identifying the factors that prevent, delay, or reduce psychosis. This narrow definition diverges from the richer qualitative and psychosocial descriptors of well-being in individuals with psychotic disorders. whereby personal recovery is not necessarily contingent on clinical recovery98-101. To individuals seeking treatment, symptom remission alone might be insufficient to achieving a positive outcome. Rebuilding or regaining a meaningful life is central to recovery from psychosis, together with symptom management. Qualitative studies suggest that some positive changes at individual, interpersonal, and spiritual levels can occur for many individuals and their caregivers after the first episode of psychosis, despite broadly negative experiences¹⁰². Furthermore, a rich literature in phenomenological psychiatry has highlighted that some aspects of psychosis, which are considered to be a clinically negative outcome, might in fact provide an individual with meaning and relief and thereby confer resilience. An illuminating example is the case of delusions (Box 2).

Although objective and subjective indicators of well-being and quality of life are increasingly being used as outcome measures in psychosis research¹⁰³, frequently used scales might not align with the qualitative descriptions provided by mental health service users¹⁰⁴. Taken together, current metrics of positive outcomes might not fully capture the heterogeneity of individual experience. Whilst efforts to quantify outcomes into categories and metrics are pragmatic and valid solutions to capturing subjective illness experiences, much is lost in the process. The result is that the vast scope and richness of meaning embedded in the internal landscape of individuals with psychosis-spectrum conditions are reduced to impoverished ratings that obscure the phenomenology of lived experience.

[H1] Resilience factors for psychosis

Meta-analyses have highlighted a striking dearth of studies investigating the factors that lead to positive mental health and functional outcomes despite psychosis risk^{105,106}. In this section, we review potential promotive and protective factors in the context of psychosis risk with the aforementioned limitations and challenges in defining positive outcomes in mind. Protective and promotive factors are identified as those for which increased levels lead to increases in positive outcomes. We include potential protective and promotive factors that: decrease the chances of being diagnosed with a psychotic disorder in individuals at clinical high-risk and in general population samples; promote well-being and daily functioning and reduce relapse in individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder; and distinguish individuals experiencing psychotic symptoms that do and do not require care (such as those for whom auditory hallucinations cause impairment or disability versus those for whom auditory hallucinations are not distressing and often perceived to have a positive impact^{84,107}).

The reviewed promotive and protective factors (**Table 1**) are organized by interacting levels of a biopsychosocial-ecological system that supports resilience of an individual (**Figure 2**). We include distal factors that might precede the onset of psychosis (for example, those occurring in childhood) as well as factors that would be expected to play a proximal role in promoting positive outcomes and buffering against more immediate risks (for example, current health behaviors). We recognize that these factors do not necessarily fit neatly into one level but rather behave as a cross-level system and are expected to exert their effects via their interactions⁸³ (**Box 3**). Finally, this review of resilience factors is not exhaustive but is intended to provide an

overview to identify trends and offer a basis for future work. Across categories the factors reviewed were chosen based on the breadth of the evidence base (factors that were identified in only a single study are not included). We furthermore focused our review on modifiable factors, which likely have more proximal clinical implications. For a broader discussion of biological resilience factors see ref³¹ for a review of prenatal and perinatal factors and refs^{108,109} for reviews of neuroimaging findings.

[H2] Biological factors

In this section, we focus on three potentially modifiable protective and promotive factors at the biological level: sleep, physical activity, and homeostatic regulation of the autonomic nervous system.

Better sleep quality is associated with better mental health and well-being in the general population¹¹⁰, particularly among young adults¹¹¹, and interventions to improve sleep quality decreased paranoia and hallucinations in college students with psychotic-like symptoms¹¹². However, sleep quantity has a non-linear relationship with mental health. Although sleep deprivation can precede the onset of psychosis¹¹³ and is associated with impaired cognitive function and reduced physical and mental well-being¹¹⁴, excessive sleep quantity is associated with increased depression and negative affect¹¹⁵. It is therefore possible that there is an optimal amount of sleep that confers mental health benefits in the context of psychosis risk; however, these optimal sleep parameters still need to be determined.

Physical activity also promotes mental health benefits in the general population¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ even at lower levels of intensity ¹¹⁹⁻¹²¹ than the current World Health Organization recommendations¹²² (but see ref ¹²³). Physical activity during childhood protects against later psychotic symptoms in children with multiple adverse childhood experiences¹²⁴ and in the general population^{125,126}. Moreover, increased physical activity is associated with increased well-being and functioning, improved cognitive performance, and reduced psychiatric symptoms in those with psychotic disorders¹²⁷⁻¹³¹. Akin to sleep quantity, physical activity has protective and promotive effects at low to moderate, but not high, levels¹³².

Finally, homeostatic regulation of the autonomic nervous system in response to moment-to-moment demands might be a biological correlate of adaptive capacity^{133,134}. In individuals with normal cardiac function, higher resting state heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia are associated with better emotion regulation^{133,135} and cognitive performance^{136,137}, whereas low heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia suggest a rigidity of autonomic response and are associated with poor physical^{136,137} and mental health^{135,138}. People with psychotic illness have lower resting state heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia compared to controls¹³⁹⁻¹⁴³, and individual differences in heart rate variability and/or respiratory sinus arrhythmia have been associated with emotion regulation¹⁴⁴, psychiatric symptom burden¹⁴², cognitive performance^{143,145,146}, and functioning in this clinical population¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁶. Notably, these autonomic responses are malleable through biofeedback training ¹⁴⁷⁻¹⁵⁰, breathing retraining^{147,150}, mindfulness practice¹⁵¹, and physical exercise^{149,152}. Two studies of heart rate variability biofeedback training in individuals at-risk for psychosis suggest potential benefits to both autonomic activity and clinical symptoms^{153,154}.

[H2] Psychological factors

The psychological factors that have garnered significant support as potential protective and promotive factors in the context of psychosis risk can be roughly organized into three main

categories: traits and personal characteristics; attitudes, cognitions, and orientations; and psychological abilities.

[H3] Traits and personal characteristics

Adaptive coping —a cognitive or behavioral process that has long-term benefits for minimizing stress^{155,156} — is associated with less severe psychotic-like symptoms in both the general population^{157,158} and in at-risk youth¹⁵⁹ and is correlated with reduced symptom severity and increased quality of life in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶⁴. One longitudinal study found that adaptive coping at baseline was associated with attenuated clinical symptom severity and better social functioning one year later in youth at high-risk for psychosis, suggesting a causal effect of adaptive coping on outcomes¹⁶⁵. Relatedly, some emotion regulation strategies might also confer resilience in the context of psychosis risk. Trait use of reappraisal strategies, which aim to modify the meaning and impact of emotion-eliciting events, is associated with less severe psychotic-like experiences¹⁶⁶ and protects against the distress of these experiences¹⁶⁷.

Self-esteem, locus of control, and personality dimensions might also confer beneficial effects. Higher self-esteem is associated cross-sectionally with reduced psychotic and psychotic-like experiences in at-risk youth 168,169, improved quality of life 170 and reduced suicidality in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia¹⁷¹, and protects against distress associated with persistent psychotic experiences¹⁷². Longitudinal studies have shown that baseline self-esteem is associated with a lower likelihood of psychosis onset 3 years later in the general population 173. Internal locus of control refers to the degree to which an individual feels that they are responsible for their own outcomes and is associated with a number of positive outcomes in the context of psychosis risk. Qualitative studies indicate that individuals experiencing their first episode of psychosis identify loss of control as their primary psychosocial problem¹⁷⁴, and regaining self-efficacy is a major component of recovery¹⁷⁵. In addition, an internal locus of control might buffer the effect of harsh parenting on later psychotic symptoms¹⁷⁶. Among individuals with auditory-verbal hallucinations, the ability to exert volitional control over voices is one of the main characteristics that distinguishes individuals who seek treatment from those who do not 177,178. Finally, broad personality domains such as openness, extraversion, and emotional stability (the inverse of neuroticism) protect against the distress surrounding delusional ideas¹⁷⁹. In people with schizophrenia, emotional stability, extraversion, and agreeableness are also related to better subjective quality of life and might buffer against some of the negative impacts of traumatic experiences¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸².

[H3] Attitudes and orientations

In the context of psychosis risk, there are three candidate protective and promotive factors and processes that represent attitudes, cognitions, or orientations that might contribute to positive outcomes: stigma resistance, spirituality and/or religiosity, and meaning-making around unusual experiences. These three factors are a part of a broader category of attitudes and orientations that help people contextualize psychological experiences.

Public stigma about mental illness, which manifests in negative beliefs and attitudes about people with mental illness and overt discrimination¹⁸³, can result in internalization of those negative attitudes¹⁸⁴. Self-stigma is associated with negative clinical outcomes^{185,186}, whereas the capacity to counteract or be unaffected by stigma (stigma resistance) is related to well-being and quality of life in individuals with psychotic disorders¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁸⁹. Importantly, cognitions about stigma (for example, rejecting stigma as unfair), rather than perceived stigma (for example, the observed level of stigma against people with mental illness) predicted help-seeking in those with psychosis¹⁹⁰. Although more work is needed to evaluate interventions that boost stigma resistance in individuals with schizophrenia, there is evidence that self-stigma reduction

strategies, such as providing psychoeducation about the illness experience and the consequences of stigma and teaching methods for reducing self-stigmatizing attitudes, can improve psychological outcomes 191,192.

Spirituality generally confers benefits to mental health 193,194. Spirituality (commonly defined as "the search for the sacred" 195) is related to self-reported adaptation in the face of adversity among individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder 196. Furthermore, sensing the presence of the divine is associated with better social functioning in individuals at clinical high-risk for psychosis 197. Individuals with non-distressing psychotic experiences report being more spiritual (but not religious) than individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder or community controls without a history of psychotic experiences 172 and are more likely to ascribe voices to a spiritual being rather than real people 198. Although one interpretation of these findings is that spiritual practices increase the likelihood of hearing voices, qualitative and mixed method phenomenological studies instead suggest that spiritual practices and beliefs generally do not precipitate the onset of voices 199,200. Instead, these practices and beliefs play an important role in controlling voices and interpreting the nature of these experiences, thereby buffering against their potential negative impacts.

The protective and promotive effects of religion are more complex. Although religion often includes spiritual components, they are enacted in the context of a structured system and sanctioned set of beliefs, practices, and rituals 193. Religion might act as both a vulnerability factor as well as a protective or promotive factor. On the one hand, religious delusions are common in individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder²⁰¹, thereby calling into question the role of religious beliefs and practices in symptom etiology. Indeed, some studies have reported relationships between greater religiosity and more severe symptoms and worse functional outcomes in individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder 193,202. Furthermore, in individuals at clinical high risk, increased participation in religious activities was associated with more severe depressive symptoms¹⁹⁷. On the other hand, religious involvement within a community of believers wherein beliefs and values have been adopted over generations has also been found to confer benefits to mental health 193. Qualitative studies 203,204 and data suggesting that religious beliefs protect against suicidal behaviors²⁰⁵ and promote quality of life¹⁹⁶ attest to a possible protective effect of religious beliefs and practices in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. The effects of religion on well-being and mental health might depend on cultural influences. Higher rates of religious beliefs and activity are reported among ethnic minority communities in Europe, the United States, and Australia compared to ethnic majority communities, and there is greater use of religious coping in marginalized and/or socially disadvantaged groups²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁹.

Expanding beyond the global meaning structures provided by religion and spirituality, personal appraisals of anomalous experiences influence outcomes in individuals with psychosis or psychotic-like experiences. For example, compared to voice-hearers with a need for care, non-treatment seeking voice-hearers often integrate psychotic experiences with their personal context via intra-personal processes or acceptance from others^{210,211}, leading them to ascribe meaning and purpose to the experience. Activities whereby individuals with schizophrenia make sense of symptoms and other illness-related experiences and integrate them into their own personal narratives promote well-being²¹² and are a central aspect of mental health services associated with positive outcomes²¹³. The potential benefits conferred by meaning-making processes are further highlighted by findings from a longitudinal study in India, which found that having insight into one's mental health condition while also holding non-medical explanations for the illness experience was associated with remission within five years following a schizophrenia diagnosis²¹⁴.

[H3] Abilities

Psychological abilities that might serve as protective or promotive in the context of psychosis include social competence and neurocognitive abilities. Social competence entails having the skills needed for successful social functioning, which include the ability to verbally and nonverbally communicate with others, to interpret communication from others, and to regulate oneself during social interactions²¹⁵. Social skills training has been found to be protective against relapse in patients with psychosis²¹⁶ and to reduce the risk for and severity of psychotic-like experiences in individuals with a history of adversity^{217,218}

A rich literature suggests that general cognitive functioning (measured using tests of general intelligence) and specific neurocognitive abilities have protective or promotive effects. First, better neurocognitive abilities²¹⁹, particularly verbal fluency, verbal and visual memory, and working memory, are associated with a decreased risk for transitioning to psychosis in high-risk youth^{220,221}. Second, individuals with higher general cognitive functioning and better executive functioning early in the course of illness have a greater chance of a resilient illness trajectory²²². In addition, better general cognitive functioning attenuates the relationship between a history of multiple adverse childhood adverse experiences and later psychotic symptoms²²³. Finally, on average, individuals with persistent psychotic experiences who do not seek help have higher general cognitive functioning than those that do seek help¹⁷².

[H2] Social factors

Social factors are strongly linked with mental health²²⁴. Greater social support is related to reduced psychotic experiences in young adults with significant psychosis risk factors^{124,223,225-227}, and to reduced symptom severity^{203,228,229} and improved functioning²²⁹ in people diagnosed with a psychotic disorder. A meta-analysis further indicates that family interventions aimed at improving family support are associated with reduced relapse rates²¹⁶. These benefits are not derived exclusively from receiving support, but also from giving support. Relationship reciprocity (the mutually beneficial exchange of support) is higher in individuals with persistent psychotic experiences that do not have a need for care, versus those who do²³⁰. Furthermore, help-seeking individuals with psychosis reported the highest distress from their symptoms when relationship reciprocity was low, regardless of symptom severity²³⁰. Relatedly, in individuals with schizophrenia, better relationship quality is related to reduced symptom severity²³¹ and predicts better functional outcomes three years later²³². In individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis, better quality of relationships and number of relationships are related to reduced severity of psychotic experiences and better functioning²³³.

Social network size and social interactions are additional factors associated with positive outcomes ²³⁴. For example, interactions with friends predicted two-year clinical recovery in people diagnosed with a psychotic disorder²³⁵, living with someone else predicted remission in a prospective 20-year follow-up study of individuals experiencing their first episode of psychosis²³⁶, and the immediate presence of family or friends decreased the moment-to-moment risk of mental states associated with delusions in individuals with chronic schizophrenia²³⁷. Number of relationships is associated with a reduced risk of developing schizophrenia 15 years post-baseline²³⁸ and is further associated with reduced symptom severity in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia²³¹. At broader social levels, involvement in activities that align with interests and values also provides mental health benefits. Withdrawal from extracurricular activities has been found to precede a delusional moment²³⁷, and holding valued social roles (for example, club membership) prevents relapse in people with psychosis²³⁹.

Finally, broader aspects of the social environment play a crucial role in mental health. Epidemiological studies have shown that living among people of the same ethnicity reduces the chance of developing psychosis²⁴⁰⁻²⁴². However, findings that neighborhood ethnic diversity has negative impacts on well-being and health are contested^{243,244}, and negative impacts might even reverse over longer periods of intergroup contact²⁴⁵. The mechanism underlying the association between ethnic diversity and psychosis is unclear but is almost certainly culturally-dependent²⁴⁴. One possibility is that higher ethnic density reduces exposure to discrimination and racism or exerts a buffering effect against their negative impacts^{242,246}. Alternatively (or in addition), higher ethnic density might increase positive social neighborhood characteristics, at least in the shortterm²⁴⁴. These social characteristics of the neighborhood confer beneficial effects in the context of psychosis risk, although work here is more limited²⁴⁴. Residing in a more socially cohesive neighborhood (that is, a neighborhood that fosters a sense of belonging²⁴⁷) is associated with a reduced risk for psychotic symptoms in children of mothers diagnosed with schizophrenia²²⁷ and attenuates the association between adverse childhood events and later psychotic symptoms^{124,223}. Finally, higher social capital (a community's bank of trust and expectations regarding reciprocity that fosters and facilitates collective action, generally measured by civic engagement²⁴⁸), has been associated with a reduced risk of developing a psychotic disorder²⁴⁹-²⁵¹, but findings are mixed²⁵². Taken together, these findings align with the 'social defeat' hypothesis, whereby repeated experiences of social exclusion increase risk for schizophrenia^{253,254}. Resilience factors at the social environmental level might buffer against these risks.

[H2] Built and natural environments

Mental health benefits can be conferred by broader aspects of the natural and built environment. There is robust evidence that access to green and blue space²⁵⁵ and exposure to natural sounds²⁵⁶ increase positive affect and social engagement, reduce stress levels and negative affect, improve sleep quality and cognition, and enrich meaning in life. Notably, epidemiological studies have shown that exposure to natural green and blue space during childhood is associated with psychosis risk²⁵⁷⁻²⁶⁰, independent of urbanicity, and increased levels of green space density are associated with decreased schizophrenia risk in a dose-dependent manner in man-made areas²⁵⁸. Furthermore, exposure to green spaces is related to better clinical symptoms in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia²⁶¹.

The mechanisms by which green space exert protective or promotive effects are not yet determined. Current theories suggest that natural settings foster restoration from mental fatigue²⁶², promote relaxation, and/or enhance well-being owing to an innate preference for life forms and lifelike processes²⁶³. Qualitative evidence suggests that spending time in open green space might buffer against the stress of living in an urban environment in individuals with schizophrenia²⁶⁴. Importantly, forest therapy^{265,266} (a guided outdoor healing practice) is broadly promotive for a range of mental health conditions. Even simulated or virtual forest walks might confer psychological benefits^{267,268}. A recreational program involving a walk through a suburban forest reduced negative affect and anxiety in individuals hospitalized for psychosis²⁶⁹. Given the known beneficial effects of the natural environment on mental health, expansion of green and blue space in urban areas, and even within buildings, seem warranted²⁷⁰.

Characteristics of the built environment such as walkability, transit access, or housing quality have also been shown to contribute to positive mental health outcomes²⁷¹⁻²⁷³. There has been little direct investigation into how aspects of the built environment confer resilience in the context of psychosis risk. However, several studies have shown that neighborhood walkability increases physical activity in individuals with schizophrenia²⁷⁵⁻²⁷⁷, which might in turn lead to mental health

benefits. Furthermore, the built environment influences access to care²⁷⁸, and therefore high-quality built environments might be associated with better outcome trajectories via access and adherence to treatment. Indeed, a study in China showed that individuals with schizophrenia living in neighborhoods with high walkability had lower re-hospitalization rates than those living in less walkable neighborhoods²⁷⁴. These findings underscore the crucial role of judicious urban planning, smart policies, and architectural design in public health outcomes.

[H1] Limitations of the resilience literature

There are several limitations to the literature reviewed above. First, it does not distinguish protective from promotive factors. Most of the factors associated with positive outcomes in the context of psychosis risk reviewed above are widely regarded as good for health, well-being, and functioning and are potentially promotive factors. Whether these factors also have a differentially positive effect in contexts of heightened risk, particularly in the context of psychosis risk (protective factors), remains unclear²⁷⁹. Answering this question would require evaluating whether a given factor was associated with positive outcomes in a risk-dependent manner. For example, spirituality could be considered a protective factor in this context if it showed a positive relationship with subjective well-being in youth identified as clinical high-risk for psychosis, but no relationship in a population sample of young adults. Distinguishing protective and promotive factors is important for developing implementation strategies. Should a factor be broadly promotive, then intervention or prevention efforts aimed at enhancing that factor stand to be effective when delivered to a wide audience through broad public health initiatives. By contrast, strategies aimed at shoring up protective factors in the context of psychosis risk might be most effective when delivered to population subgroups, such as at psychosis specialty clinics.

Second, although modern conceptualizations of resilience highlight its multisystemic nature⁸³, the majority of reviewed studies have focused on biological and psychological factors at the level of the individual and immediate family unit. Assets and activities within broader social and ecological levels that confer substantial mental health benefits have yet to be explored in the context of psychosis risk^{272,273,280,281}. Research into the impact of the built environment is particularly scant. Furthermore, most studies have investigated the effects of single factors rather than a constellation of intersecting and multisystemic risk and protective and promotive factors. This makes it impossible to unpack the mechanisms by which these factors come to be associated with resilient outcomes—that is, whether they directly impact outcome measures, or indirectly influence outcomes via other protective, promotive, or vulnerability factors. Moreover, the reviewed factors should be considered on a continuum, whereby optimal levels are protective or promotive and sub-optimal levels confer vulnerability. For example, social support can buffer against risk whereas social isolation might create vulnerability. It is unclear whether there are shared underlying mechanisms, or whether factors operate via distinct pathways at each end of the continuum.

Third, there is little examination of contextual effects in the current psychosis resilience literature. This is a critical gap because when it comes to resilience, one size does not fit all. For example, risk context might influence the degree to which a resource or positive behavior confers benefits. Risk context refers to whether risk occurs in the preliminary circumstances that might lead to a psychotic disorder diagnosis, in distress that emerges from the symptoms themselves, or in secondary risks after diagnosis. The degree of overlap in the factors that promote resilience in the context of these different types of risk and the mechanisms by which they might do so is unclear. Many of the resilience-promoting factors reviewed here, such as positive health behaviors, adaptive coping strategies, or access to green space, reduce the likelihood of being diagnosed with a psychotic disorder. They also engender beneficial effects in

those already diagnosed, which is consistent with the fact that these factors promote mental health and well-being in the general population. Other factors, such as stigma resistance and meaning making, might only produce positive outcomes in the context of a mental health diagnosis and clinically significant psychotic experiences.

The benefits conferred by a putative protective or promotive factor might also depend on other contextual factors. Specific factors might have a more profound impact during sensitive periods of brain development characterized by higher plasticity. Notably, the timing of these critical periods are themselves malleable and changed by environmental factors²⁸²⁻²⁸⁵. In addition, culture is a critical contextual factor. The definition of a positive outcome and the ways in which resilience at the level of the individual is prioritized relative to other levels of the social ecology are inherently culturally-dependent^{286,287}. Furthermore, there are robust cultural and geopolitical differences in the clinical course of psychotic disorders that cannot be explained exclusively by diagnostic differences. For example, individuals in low-income and middle-income regions fare better following a diagnosis of schizophrenia than individuals in high-income regions²⁸⁸⁻²⁹⁵. Finally, the positive effect of engaging in positive coping strategies might be stymied when structural inequalities pose barriers to obtaining basic needs⁸⁹. Indeed, the RAISE-ETP study showed that treatment based on a coordinated specialty care intervention for early psychosis that adopts a strengths and resilience based approach only improved symptoms and quality of life in individuals at the top 25% of the socioeconomic distribution^{296, 297}.

Finally, the bulk of research to date on resilience factors for psychosis has focused somewhat narrowly on clinical outcomes such as diagnosis and relapse, with resilience in non-clinical domains remaining largely unaddressed. Relatedly, conceptual models of resilient outcomes that guide current research might not necessarily align with those of individuals with lived experience of psychosis.

[H1] Summary and future directions

Resilience models stand to enhance, refine, and complement what has been learned from traditional risk-based approaches to psychosis. Moreover, understanding modifiable factors that lead to resilience in the face of psychosis risk will be central to therapeutic innovation. Existing research highlights several promising modifiable protective and promotive factors in the context of psychosis risk, including health behaviors, psychological strengths, attitudes, and abilities, social interactions, support, and cohesion, and access to green space. Future research must now bridge the critical gaps we identified in the current literature.

First, future research should test a comprehensive set of (ideally modifiable) potential protective and promotive factors to identify factors with the strongest associations with positive outcomes both individually and when considered in concert with other factors. Relatedly, future work should test whether putative associations are moderated by psychosis risk, which would distinguish protective from promotive factors. Such research can then be used to identify promising targets for novel and cost-effective interventions. Identifying promotive factors could support the implementation of broad, public health-informed strategies to shore up factors that increase positive outcomes for emerging adults in general²⁹⁸. Identifying protective factors could inform clinical staging interventions that acknowledge the 'pluripotential' nature of psychosis risk²⁹⁹⁻³⁰², whereby the identified individuals are at heightened risk for a variety of psychiatric outcomes. Indeed, an increasing number of clinical high-risk research groups are moving toward transdiagnostic clinical staging approaches that focus on youth mental health more generally²⁹⁹⁻³⁰². In addition, future work should examine the co-occurring influences that might moderate the impact of protective and promotive factors. Knowing what factors are associated with positive outcomes, when, and for whom, is central to understanding at what level of a

biopsychosocial-ecological system resilience-promoting assets and activities yield better individual-level outcomes, to developing tailored interventions, and to understanding heterogeneity in outcomes.

Second, future research should re-imagine positive outcomes to be broader than the mere absence of psychological distress and diagnosis. Resilient outcomes are multifaceted, and future work in this field would benefit from considering a wider range of measures that include academic performance, work outcomes, physical health, social functioning, and purpose in addition to mental health. Furthermore, researchers should consider positive outcomes at broader levels of the social ecology and the ensuing impact on individual outcomes—for example, how individual activism might promote transformation of social institutions that in turn engenders more rights and opportunities for those living with mental illness.

Third, given the inherent multisystemic nature of resilience, diverse teams that include multidisciplinary scholars as well as individuals who have traditionally been excluded from academic discourse will be critical for gaining a broader perspective on potential protective and promotive factors and on defining positive outcomes. This includes individuals with lived experience of psychosis, families, teachers, and community and religious leaders who often encounter people experiencing or at-risk for mental health emergencies along their pathway to care. Furthermore, more cross-cultural work is needed, as positive outcomes and resilience promoting processes are inherently shaped by culture. Looking beyond the biomedical models of mental health that have dominated scientific discourse might allow us to reshape or refine our conceptualization of positive outcomes, which could potentially uncover additional resilience-promoting factors.

Finally, several methodological considerations will likely enhance the study of resilience to psychosis risk. First, mixed methods approaches that link qualitative and quantitative research can provide a springboard for generating testable hypotheses regarding factors that might confer protection against psychotic symptoms and related distress. Second, resilience is best represented as a positive trajectory and therefore not fully captured by a single moment in time³⁰³. Thus, longitudinal studies are critical for characterizing this trajectory and determining causal relationships between factors and outcomes, particularly as compromised access to and engagement in the promotive and protective factors might be direct consequences of illness. Indeed, prospective longitudinal studies have provided critical data regarding factors that contribute to the development of a psychotic disorder and poor clinical outcomes among highrisk individuals³⁰⁴⁻³⁰⁷. Third, more is not always better, and researchers should consider nonlinear relationships between outcome metrics and both risk and protective and promotive factors. For example, stress is typically considered a risk factor, but might have inoculating effects in small doses 308. Fourth, natural and passive monitoring approaches, such as ecological momentary assessment and mobility tracking can greatly enhance ecological validity and provide richer assessments that capture the complexity of participants' daily lives³⁰⁹. For example, geospatial location and geographical information systems can objectively measure how often and for how long people are exposed to natural or built features of the environment, and how these durations relate to mental health^{261,310,311}. Finally, it is paramount to expand beyond help-seeking samples. Individuals identified at clinical high-risk are already experiencing significant clinical distress related to attenuated psychotic symptoms, social and functioning difficulties, depression, and other sources³¹². Identifying individuals in the general population who are at-risk for psychosis owing to attenuated psychotic symptoms or genetic risk but who do not present with a need for care might provide insights into factors that help avert the functional decline that leads young people at-risk for psychosis to seek help in the first place.

In conclusion, our Review suggests that the 'ordinary magic'³¹³ that constitutes human resilience promotes positive adaptations in what is generally considered to be the most severe of mental health conditions³¹⁴. Such findings are particularly important given antiquated, but still influential, notions of schizophrenia as a progressively deteriorating illness³¹⁵ with its basis in irreversible etiological factors that manifest later in life³¹⁶. The factors reviewed here are modifiable, thereby reinforcing the notion that illness course can be changed. The identified modifiable resilience factors provide valuable data that can inform therapeutic development, including individual prevention and intervention efforts, institutional programs, and broader policy.

712 References

711

713

720

721

725

726

729

730

731

732

736

737

738

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

754

755

756

758

Laursen, T. M., Nordentoft, M. & Mortensen, P. B. Excess early mortality in schizophrenia. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* **10**, 425-448 (2014).

- Selten, J. P. *et al.* Early course of schizophrenia in a representative Dutch incidence cohort. *Schizophr. Res.* **97**, 79-87 (2007).
- Levesque, I. S. & Abdel-Baki, A. Homeless youth with first-episode psychosis: A 2-year outcome study. *Schizophr. Res.* **216**, 460-469 (2020).
 - Melle, I., Friis, S., Hauff, E. & Vaglum, P. Social functioning of patients with schizophrenia in high-income welfare societies. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **51**, 223-228 (2000).
- Honkonen, T., Saarinen, S. & Salokangas, R. K. Deinstitutionalization and schizophrenia in Finland II: discharged patients and their psychosocial functioning. *Schizophr. Bull.* **25**, 543-551 (1999).
 - Ran, M. S. *et al.* Homelessness among patients with schizophrenia in rural China: a 10-year cohort study. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **114**, 118-123 (2006).
- 727 7 Gureje, O. & Bamidele, R. Thirteen-year social outcome among Nigerian outpatients with schizophrenia. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **34**, 147-151 (1999).
 - Folsom, D. P. *et al.* Prevalence and risk factors for homelessness and utilization of mental health services among 10,340 patients with serious mental illness in a large public mental health system. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **162**, 370-376 (2005).
 - 9 Pinikahana, J., Happell, B., Hope, J. & Keks, N. A. Quality of life in schizophrenia: a review of the literature from 1995 to 2000. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* **11**, 103-111 (2002).
- Lieberman, J. A. *et al.* Science and recovery in schizophrenia. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **59**, 487-496 (2008).
 - 11 Correll, C. U. *et al.* Comparison of Early Intervention Services vs Treatment as Usual for Early-Phase Psychosis: A Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Meta-regression. *JAMA Psychiatry* **75**, 555-565 (2018).
- Yung, A. R. *et al.* Mapping the onset of psychosis: the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry* **39**, 964-971 (2005).
 - Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Prevention of Psychosis: Advances in Detection, Prognosis, and Intervention. *JAMA Psychiatry* **77**, 755-765 (2020).
 - Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Predicting psychosis: meta-analysis of transition outcomes in individuals at high clinical risk. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **69**, 220-229 (2012).
 - Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Real-world long-term outcomes in individuals at clinical risk for psychosis: The case for extending duration of care. *EClinicalMedicine* **28**, 100578 (2020).
 - Salazar de Pablo, G. *et al.* Longitudinal outcome of attenuated positive symptoms, negative symptoms, functioning and remission in people at clinical high risk for psychosis: a meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* **36**, 100909 (2021).
- Haro, J. M. *et al.* Cross-national clinical and functional remission rates: Worldwide Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes (W-SOHO) study. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **199**, 194-201 (2011).
 - This paper reports rates of clinical and functional remission in over 10,000 outpatients diagnosed with schizophrenia across the world.
 - Lally, J. *et al.* Remission and recovery from first-episode psychosis in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of long-term outcome studies. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **211**, 350-358 (2017).
- Rangaswamy, T. Twenty-five years of schizophrenia: The Madras longitudinal study. *Indian J Psychiatry* **54**, 134-137 (2012).

- Jobe , T. H. & Harrow , M. Schizophrenia Course, Long-Term Outcome, Recovery, and Prognosis. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **19**, 220-225 (2010).
- Volavka, J. & Vevera, J. Very long-term outcome of schizophrenia. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* **72**, e13094 (2018).
 - Masten, A. S., Lucke, C. M., Nelson, K. M. & Stallworthy, I. C. Resilience in Development and Psychopathology: Multisystem Perspectives. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* **17**, 521-549 (2021).
 - This article describes the history of resilience science in psychology and provides current definitions and an overview of updated resilience models.
 - Carpenter, W. T., Jr. Schizophrenia: A view of immediate future. *Schizophr. Res.* (2021).

766

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

- Andreasen, N. C. Understanding the causes of schizophrenia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **340**, 645-647 (1999).
- Bleuler, M. Conception of Schizophrenia Within the Last Fifty Years and Today [Abridged]. *Proc. R. Soc. Med.* **56**, 945-952 (1963).
- Rosenthal, D. *The Genain Quadruplets: A Case Study and Theoretical Analysis of Heredity and Environment in Schizophrenia.* (Basic Books, 1963).
- Hilker, R. *et al.* Heritability of Schizophrenia and Schizophrenia Spectrum Based on the Nationwide Danish Twin Register. *Biol. Psychiatry* **83**, 492-498 (2018).
 - Rasic, D., Hajek, T., Alda, M. & Uher, R. Risk of mental illness in offspring of parents with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of family high-risk studies. *Schizophr. Bull.* **40**, 28-38 (2014).
 - Singh, T. *et al.* Rare coding variants in ten genes confer substantial risk for schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 509-516 (2022).
 - Trubetskoy, V. *et al.* Mapping genomic loci implicates genes and synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature* **604**, 502-508 (2022).
 - Davies, C. *et al.* Prenatal and perinatal risk and protective factors for psychosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* **7**, 399-410 (2020).
 - This is a meta-analysis of 152 studies showing several prenatal and perinatal factors that are associated with the later onset of psychosis.
 - Radua, J. *et al.* What causes psychosis? An umbrella review of risk and protective factors. *World Psychiatry* **17**, 49-66 (2018).
 - This paper summarizes results of systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and classifies risk and protective factors according to the strength of evidence.
 - Linszen, M. M., Brouwer, R. M., Heringa, S. M. & Sommer, I. E. Increased risk of psychosis in patients with hearing impairment: Review and meta-analyses. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **62**, 1-20 (2016).
 - de Sousa, P., Varese, F., Sellwood, W. & Bentall, R. P. Parental communication and psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* **40**, 756-768 (2014).
 - Filatova, S. *et al.* Early motor developmental milestones and schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* **188**, 13-20 (2017).
- Matheson, S. L., Shepherd, A. M., Laurens, K. R. & Carr, V. J. A systematic meta-review grading the evidence for non-genetic risk factors and putative antecedents of schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* **133**, 133-142 (2011).
- Dean, K. & Murray, R. M. Environmental risk factors for psychosis. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* **7**, 69-80 (2005).
- Loewy, R. L. *et al.* Childhood trauma and clinical high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **205**, 10-14 (2019).
- Varese, F. *et al.* Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophr. Bull.* **38**, 661-671 (2012).

- Beards, S. *et al.* Life events and psychosis: a review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* **39**, 740-747 (2013).
- Lopez, D., Altamirano, O. & Weisman de Mamani, A. The association between perceived racial discrimination and subclinical symptoms of psychosis. *J Ment Health* **31**, 14-21 (2022).
- Bardol, O. *et al.* Perceived ethnic discrimination as a risk factor for psychotic symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol. Med.* **50**, 1077-1089 (2020).
- Post, D., Veling, W. & investigators, G. Sexual minority status, social adversity and risk for psychotic disorders-results from the GROUP study. *Psychol. Med.* **51**, 770-776 (2021).

823

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

- Anglin, D. M. *et al.* From Womb to Neighborhood: A Racial Analysis of Social Determinants of Psychosis in the United States. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **178**, 599-610 (2021).
- Vassos, E., Pedersen, C. B., Murray, R. M., Collier, D. A. & Lewis, C. M. Meta-analysis of the association of urbanicity with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* **38**, 1118-1123 (2012).
 - Myin-Germeys, I. & van Os, J. Stress-reactivity in psychosis: evidence for an affective pathway to psychosis. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **27**, 409-424 (2007).
 - 47 Collip, D., Myin-Germeys, I. & Van Os, J. Does the concept of "sensitization" provide a plausible mechanism for the putative link between the environment and schizophrenia? *Schizophr. Bull.* **34**, 220-225 (2008).
 - Holtzman, C. W. *et al.* Stress and neurodevelopmental processes in the emergence of psychosis. *Neuroscience* **249**, 172-191 (2013).
- 49 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, N. I. o. H., National Institute of Mental Health. NIMH Strategic Plan for Research (NIH Publication No. 20-MH-8096).
 4836 https://www.nimh.nih.gov/sites/default/files/documents/about/strategic-planning-reports/NIMH-Strategic-Plan-for-Research-2021-Update.pdf (Updated 2021).
- Riechler-Rossler, A. & McGorry, P. D. *Early detection and intervention in psychosis:* state of the art and future perspectives. (Karger, 2016).
- Cannon, T. D. *et al.* An Individualized Risk Calculator for Research in Prodromal Psychosis. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **173**, 980-988 (2016).

 This paper reports the accuracy of a calculator developed to compute risk for the compute risk for the
 - This paper reports the accuracy of a calculator developed to compute risk for developing a psychotic disorder in individuals formally categorized as clinical high-risk cases.
 - Studerus, E., Beck, K., Fusar-Poli, P. & Riecher-Rössler, A. Development and Validation of a Dynamic Risk Prediction Model to Forecast Psychosis Onset in Patients at Clinical High Risk. *Schizophr. Bull.* **46**, 252-260 (2020).
 - Perkins, D. O., Gu, H., Boteva, K. & Lieberman, J. A. Relationship between duration of untreated psychosis and outcome in first-episode schizophrenia: a critical review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **162**, 1785-1804 (2005).
 - Howes, O. D. *et al.* The clinical significance of duration of untreated psychosis: an umbrella review and random-effects meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* **20**, 75-95 (2021).
- Jonas, K. G. *et al.* Lead-Time Bias Confounds Association Between Duration of Untreated Psychosis and Illness Course in Schizophrenia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **177**, 327-334 (2020).
- van Os, J. & Guloksuz, S. A critique of the "ultra-high risk" and "transition" paradigm. *World Psychiatry* **16**, 200-206 (2017).
- Rogers, R. The uncritical acceptance of risk assessment in forensic practice. *Law Hum. Behav.* **24**, 595-605 (2000).
- Salazar de Pablo, G. *et al.* Probability of Transition to Psychosis in Individuals at Clinical High Risk: An Updated Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 970-978 (2021).

Addington, J. *et al.* North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study (NAPLS 2): The Prodromal Symptoms. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* **203**, 328-335 (2015).

864

865

866

867

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

899

900

901

902

905

906

- 60 Simon, A. E. *et al.* Moving beyond transition outcomes: meta-analysis of remission rates in individuals at high clinical risk for psychosis. *Psychiatry Res.* **209**, 266-272 (2013).
 - 61 Sullivan, S. A. *et al.* A Population-Based Cohort Study Examining the Incidence and Impact of Psychotic Experiences From Childhood to Adulthood, and Prediction of Psychotic Disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **177**, 308-317 (2020).
- Kaymaz, N. *et al.* Do subthreshold psychotic experiences predict clinical outcomes in unselected non-help-seeking population-based samples? A systematic review and meta-analysis, enriched with new results. *Psychol. Med.* **42**, 2239-2253 (2012).

 This systematic review and meta-analysis reports the base rate of transition from
 - This systematic review and meta-analysis reports the base rate of transition from subthreshold psychotic experiences to psychotic disorder diagnosis in representative and non-help-seeking population-based samples.
 - Yung, A. R. *et al.* Validation of "prodromal" criteria to detect individuals at ultra high risk of psychosis: 2 year follow-up. *Schizophr. Res.* **105**, 10-17 (2008).
 - 64 Haroun, N., Dunn, L., Haroun, A. & Cadenhead, K. S. Risk and protection in prodromal schizophrenia: ethical implications for clinical practice and future research. *Schizophr. Bull.* **32**, 166-178 (2006).
 - Simon, A. E. *et al.* Ultra high-risk state for psychosis and non-transition: a systematic review. *Schizophr. Res.* **132**, 8-17 (2011).
 - Nelson, B. *et al.* Long-term follow-up of a group at ultra high risk ("prodromal") for psychosis: the PACE 400 study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 793-802 (2013).
 - Hartmann, J. A. *et al.* Declining transition rates to psychotic disorder in "ultra-high risk" clients: Investigation of a dilution effect. *Schizophr. Res.* **170**, 130-136 (2016).
 - Davies, C. *et al.* Lack of evidence to favor specific preventive interventions in psychosis: a network meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* **17**, 196-209 (2018).
 - 69 Pescosolido, B. A., Halpern-Manners, A., Luo, L. & Perry, B. Trends in Public Stigma of Mental Illness in the US, 1996-2018. *JAMA Netw Open* **4**, e2140202 (2021).
 - Pescosolido, B. A., Medina, T. R., Martin, J. K. & Long, J. S. The "backbone" of stigma: identifying the global core of public prejudice associated with mental illness. *Am. J. Public Health* **103**, 853-860 (2013).
- Dubreucq, J., Plasse, J. & Franck, N. Self-stigma in Serious Mental Illness: A Systematic Review of Frequency, Correlates, and Consequences. *Schizophr. Bull.* **47**, 1261-1287 (2021).
- Anglin, D. M., Greenspoon, M. I., Lighty, Q., Corcoran, C. M. & Yang, L. H. Spontaneous labelling and stigma associated with clinical characteristics of peers 'at-risk' for psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **8**, 247-252 (2014).
 - Yang, L. H. *et al.* Public stigma associated with psychosis risk syndrome in a college population: implications for peer intervention. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **64**, 284-288 (2013).
 - Yang, L. H. *et al.* Stigma related to labels and symptoms in individuals at clinical highrisk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **168**, 9-15 (2015).
- Xu, Z. *et al.* Pathways between stigma and suicidal ideation among people at risk of psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **172**, 184-188 (2016).
 - 76 Belsky, J., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. & van IJzendoorn, M. H. For Better and For Worse: Differential Susceptibility to Environmental Influences. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **16**, 300-304 (2007).
- Albott, C. S., Forbes, M. K. & Anker, J. J. Association of Childhood Adversity With Differential Susceptibility of Transdiagnostic Psychopathology to Environmental Stress in Adulthood. *JAMA Netw Open* **1**, e185354 (2018).
- Ferrarelli, F. & Mathalon, D. The prodromal phase: Time to broaden the scope beyond transition to psychosis? *Schizophr. Res.* **216**, 5-6 (2020).

- Polari, A. *et al.* Clinical trajectories in the ultra-high risk for psychosis population. *Schizophr. Res.* **197**, 550-556 (2018).
- 915 80 Ungar, M. *Modeling Multisystemic Resilience*. (Oxford University Press, 2021).
- Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D. & Becker, B. The construct of resilience: a critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Dev.* **71**, 543-562 (2000).
- Bronfenbrenner, U. *Making human beings human: Bioecological perspectives on human development.* (Sage Publications Ltd, 2005).
- 920 83 Ungar, M. & Theron, L. Resilience and mental health: how multisystemic processes contribute to positive outcomes. *Lancet Psychiatry* **7**, 441-448 (2020).

 This paper provides an overview of the concept of resilience as the process of the concept of the

924

925

926

927

928

929

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

948

949

950

951

955

956

- This paper provides an overview of the concept of resilience as the process of multiple systems interacting in ways that promote wellbeing in the context of risk factors.
- Baumeister, D., Sedgwick, O., Howes, O. & Peters, E. Auditory verbal hallucinations and continuum models of psychosis: A systematic review of the healthy voice-hearer literature. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **51**, 125-141 (2017).
- Rose, D. *et al.* Reported stigma and discrimination by people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* **20**, 193-204 (2011).
- Marder, S. R. *et al.* Physical health monitoring of patients with schizophrenia. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **161**, 1334-1349 (2004).
 - 87 Rutter, M. Psychosocial Resilience and Protective Mechanisms. *Am. J. Orthopsychiatry* **57**, 316-331(1987).
 - This is a seminal theory paper on human resilience that provides clear conceptual distinctions between vulnerability and protective factor.
 - Rutter, M. Transitions and Turning Points in Developmental Psychopathology: As applied to the Age Span between Childhood and Mid-adulthood. *International Journal of Behavioral Development* **19**, 603-626 (1996).
 - 89 Miller-Graff, L. E. The Multidimensional Taxonomy of Individual Resilience. *Trauma Violence Abuse*, 1524838020967329 (2020).
 - This is a narrative overview of resilience science, including challenges faced by theoretical models of human resilience, which also proposes a framework for organizing and advancing research in resilience.
 - Burt, S. A., Klump, K. L., Vazquez, A. Y., Shewark, E. A. & Hyde, L. W. Identifying Patterns of Youth Resilience to Neighborhood Disadvantage. *Res Hum Dev* **18**, 181-196 (2021).
 - This paper reveals three partly independent domains of resilient outcomes in youth exposed to neighborhood disadvantage, highlighting the heterogenous nature of positive outcomes.
 - 91 Cohen, C. I. *et al.* Focus on geriatric psychiatry: schizophrenia in later life: clinical symptoms and social well-being. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **59**, 232-234 (2008).
- 952 92 Antaramian, S. P., Scott Huebner, E., Hills, K. J. & Valois, R. F. A dual-factor model of 953 mental health: toward a more comprehensive understanding of youth functioning. *Am. J.* 954 *Orthopsychiatry* **80**, 462-472 (2010).
 - Infurna, F. J. & Luthar, S. S. Parents' adjustment following the death of their child: Resilience is multidimensional and differs across outcomes examined. *J Res Pers* **68**, 38-53 (2017).
- 958 94 Martinez-Torteya, C., Miller-Graff, L. E., Howell, K. H. & Figge, C. Profiles of Adaptation 959 Among Child Victims of Suspected Maltreatment. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol* **46**, 840-960 847 (2017).
- Bonanno, G. A. Uses and abuses of the resilience construct: loss, trauma, and health-related adversities. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **74**, 753-756 (2012).

- 963 96 Grych, J., Hamby, S. & Banyard, V. The resilience portfolio model: Understanding healthy adaptation in victims of violence. *Psychology of Violence* **5**, 343–354 (2015).
- 965 97 Mahdiani, H. & Ungar, M. The Dark Side of Reslience. *Adversity and Resilience Science* 2, 147-155 (2021).
- 98 Andresen, R., Oades, L. & Caputi, P. The experience of recovery from schizophrenia: towards an empirically validated stage model. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry* **37**, 586-594 (2003).
- 970 99 Shinn, A. K. *et al.* Return to College After a First Episode of Psychosis. *Schizophr Bull* 971 *Open* **1**, sgaa041 (2020).
 - Law, H. & Morrison, A. P. Recovery in psychosis: a Delphi study with experts by experience. Schizophr. Bull. 40, 1347-1355 (2014).
 This article identifies consensus among definitions of recovery in a large sample

- of service users with a history of psychosis.

 Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* The lived experience of psychosis: a bottom-up review co-written by experts by experience and academics. *World Psychiatry* **21**, 168-188 (2022).
- Jordan, G. *et al.* Positive Changes Experienced After a First Episode of Psychosis: A Systematic Review. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **69**, 84-99 (2018).
- Valiente, C., Espinosa, R., Trucharte, A., Nieto, J. & Martínez-Prado, L. The challenge of well-being and quality of life: A meta-analysis of psychological interventions in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* **208**, 16-24 (2019).
- 104 Connell, J., O'Cathain, A. & Brazier, J. Measuring quality of life in mental health: are we asking the right questions? *Soc. Sci. Med.* **120**, 12-20 (2014).
- Oliver, D. *et al.* What Causes the Onset of Psychosis in Individuals at Clinical High Risk? A Meta-analysis of Risk and Protective Factors. *Schizophr. Bull.* **46**, 110-120 (2020).
- Solmi, M. *et al.* Risk and protective factors for mental disorders with onset in childhood/adolescence: An umbrella review of published meta-analyses of observational longitudinal studies. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **120**, 565-573 (2021).
 - Sommer, I. E. *et al.* Healthy individuals with auditory verbal hallucinations; who are they? Psychiatric assessments of a selected sample of 103 subjects. *Schizophr. Bull.* **36**, 633-641 (2010).
- Vargas, T. *et al.* Neuroimaging Markers of Resiliency in Youth at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: A Qualitative Review. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* **6**, 166-177 (2021).
- Holz, N. E., Tost, H. & Meyer-Lindenberg, A. Resilience and the brain: a key role for regulatory circuits linked to social stress and support. *Mol. Psychiatry* **25**, 379-396 (2020).
- Alvaro, P. K., Roberts, R. M. & Harris, J. K. A Systematic Review Assessing Bidirectionality between Sleep Disturbances, Anxiety, and Depression. *Sleep* **36**, 1059-1068 (2013).
 - Buysse, D. J. *et al.* Prevalence, course, and comorbidity of insomnia and depression in young adults. *Sleep* **31**, 473-480 (2008).
- 1004 112 Freeman, D. *et al.* The effects of improving sleep on mental health (OASIS): a
 1005 randomised controlled trial with mediation analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* **4**, 749-758
 1006 (2017).
 - This papers provides evidence that cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia improves sleep as well as paranoia and hallucinatory experiences in a large sample of university students.
- Waters, F., Chiu, V., Atkinson, A. & Blom, J. D. Severe Sleep Deprivation Causes
 Hallucinations and a Gradual Progression Toward Psychosis With Increasing Time
 Awake. *Front Psychiatry* **9**, 303 (2018).

- Haack, M. & Mullington, J. M. Sustained sleep restriction reduces emotional and physical well-being. *Pain* **119**, 56-64 (2005).
- ¹⁰¹⁵ Zhai, L., Zhang, H. & Zhang, D. Sleep duration and depression among adults: a meta-¹⁰¹⁶ analysis of prospective studies. *Depress. Anxiety* **32**, 664-670 (2015).
- Rebar, A. L. *et al.* A meta-meta-analysis of the effect of physical activity on depression and anxiety in non-clinical adult populations. *Health Psychol Rev* **9**, 366-378 (2015).
- 117 Rodriguez-Ayllon, M. *et al.* Role of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in the
 1020 Mental Health of Preschoolers, Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and
 1021 Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med.* **49**, 1383-1410 (2019).
- Spence, J. C., McGannon, K. R. & Poon, P. The effect of exercise on global self-esteem: A quantitative review. *Journal of sport and exercise psychology* **27**, 311-334 (2005).
 - Mammen, G. & Faulkner, G. Physical activity and the prevention of depression: a systematic review of prospective studies. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **45**, 649-657 (2013).

1028

1030

1031

- Teychenne, M., Ball, K. & Salmon, J. Physical activity and likelihood of depression in adults: a review. *Prev. Med.* **46**, 397-411 (2008).
 - Teychenne, M. *et al.* Do we need physical activity guidelines for mental health: What does the evidence tell us? . *Mental Health and Physical Activity* **18**, 100315 (2020).
 - World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior. (2020).
- Neill, R. D., Lloyd, K., Best, P. & Tully, M. A. The effects of interventions with physical activity components on adolescent mental health: Systematic review and meta-analysis.

 Mental Health and Physical Activity 19 (2020).
 - 124 Crush, E. *et al.* Protective factors for psychotic experiences amongst adolescents exposed to multiple forms of victimization. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **104**, 32-38 (2018).
- Sormunen, E. *et al.* Effects of childhood and adolescence physical activity patterns on psychosis risk-a general population cohort study. *NPJ Schizophr* **3**, 5 (2017).

 This population-based cohort study found that higher levels of physical activity in childhood and adolescence were associated with a lower risk of developing a non-affective psychotic disorder.
- Koivukangas, J. *et al.* Physical activity and fitness in adolescents at risk for psychosis within the Northern Finland 1986 Birth Cohort. *Schizophr. Res.* **116**, 152-158 (2010).
- Ohi, K. *et al.* Meta-analysis of physical activity and effects of social function and quality of life on the physical activity in patients with schizophrenia. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* **269**, 517-527 (2019).
- Holley, J., Crone, D., Tyson, P. & Lovell, G. The effects of physical activity on psychological well-being for those with schizophrenia: A systematic review. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **50**, 84-105 (2011).
- Rosenbaum, S., Tiedemann, A., Sherrington, C., Curtis, J. & Ward, P. B. Physical activity interventions for people with mental illness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **75**, 964-974 (2014).
- 130 Mittal, V. A. *et al.* Exercise Treatments for Psychosis: A Review. *Curr Treat Options*1054 *Psychiatry* **4**, 152-166 (2017).
- Firth, J. *et al.* Aerobic Exercise Improves Cognitive Functioning in People With Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* **43**, 546-556 (2017).
- McMahon, E. M. *et al.* Risk and protective factors for psychotic experiences in adolescence: a population-based study. *Psychol. Med.* **51**, 1220-1228 (2021).
- 133 Perna, G. *et al.* Heart rate variability: Can it serve as a marker of mental health resilience?: Special Section on "Translational and Neuroscience Studies in Affective Disorders" Section Editor, Maria Nobile MD, PhD. *J. Affect. Disord.* **263**, 754-761 (2020).

- 1064 134 An, E. *et al.* Heart Rate Variability as an Index of Resilience. *Mil. Med.* **185**, 363-369 (2020).
- Williams, D. P. *et al.* Resting heart rate variability predicts self-reported difficulties in emotion regulation: a focus on different facets of emotion regulation. *Front Psychol* **6**, 261 (2015).

1071

1072

1078

1079

1084

1085

1091

1093

1098

- Thayer, J. F., Hansen, A. L., Saus-Rose, E. & Johnsen, B. H. Heart rate variability, prefrontal neural function, and cognitive performance: the neurovisceral integration perspective on self-regulation, adaptation, and health. *nn. Behav. Med.* **37**, 141-153 (2009).
- Thayer, J. F. & Lane, R. D. Claude Bernard and the heart-brain connection: further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **33**, 81-88 (2009).
- Beauchaine, T. P. Respiratory Sinus Arrhythmia: A Transdiagnostic Biomarker of Emotion Dysregulation and Psychopathology. *Curr Opin Psychol* **3**, 43-47 (2015).
 - 139 Cacciotti-Saija, C., Quintana, D. S., Alvares, G. A., Hickie, I. B. & Guastella, A. J. Reduced heart rate variability in a treatment-seeking early psychosis sample. *Psychiatry Res.* **269**, 293-300 (2018).
- 1081 140 Clamor, A., Lincoln, T. M., Thayer, J. F. & Koenig, J. Resting vagal activity in schizophrenia: meta-analysis of heart rate variability as a potential endophenotype. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **208**, 9-16 (2016).
 - 141 Zhang, T. H. et al. Imbalance Model of Heart Rate Variability and Pulse Wave Velocity in Psychotic and Nonpsychotic Disorders. Schizophr. Bull. 48, 154-165 (2022).
- Benjamin, B. R. *et al.* Heart rate variability is associated with disease severity in psychosis spectrum disorders. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Bol. Psychiatry* **111**, 110108 (2021).
- Haigh, S. M., Walford, T. P. & Brosseau, P. Heart Rate Variability in Schizophrenia and Autism. *Front Psychiatry* **12**, 760396 (2021).
 - 144 Clamor, A., Ludwig, L. & Lincoln, T. M. Heart rate variability as an index of emotion (dys)regulation in psychosis? *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* **158**, 310-317 (2020).
 - Hamilton, H. K. *et al.* Social cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: The moderating role of cardiac vagal tone. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* **123**, 764-770 (2014).
- Mathewson, K. J., Jetha, M. K., Goldberg, J. O. & Schmidt, L. A. Autonomic regulation predicts performance on Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) in adults with schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychol.* **91**, 389-399 (2012).
 - 147 Lehrer, P. M. & Gevirtz, R. Heart rate variability biofeedback: how and why does it work? *Front Psychol* **5**, 756 (2014).
- Goessl, V. C., Curtiss, J. E. & Hofmann, S. G. The effect of heart rate variability biofeedback training on stress and anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Med.* **47**, 2578-2586 (2017).
- Sandercock, G. R., Bromley, P. D. & Brodie, D. A. Effects of exercise on heart rate variability: inferences from meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **37**, 433-439 (2005).
- Lehrer, P. *et al.* Heart Rate Variability Biofeedback Improves Emotional and Physical Health and Performance: A Systematic Review and Meta Analysis. *Appl. Psychophysiol. Biofeedback* **45**, 109-129 (2020).
- Kirk, U. & Axelsen, J. L. Heart rate variability is enhanced during mindfulness practice: A randomized controlled trial involving a 10-day online-based mindfulness intervention. *PLoS One* **15**, e0243488 (2020).
- 1111 152 Grässler, B., Thielmann, B., Böckelmann, I. & Hökelmann, A. Effects of Different
 1112 Training Interventions on Heart Rate Variability and Cardiovascular Health and Risk
 1113 Factors in Young and Middle-Aged Adults: A Systematic Review. *Front Physiol* **12**,
 1114 657274 (2021).

- McAusland, L. & Addington, J. Biofeedback to treat anxiety in young people at clinical high risk for developing psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **12**, 694-701 (2018).
- Clamor, A., Koenig, J., Thayer, J. F. & Lincoln, T. M. A randomized-controlled trial of heart rate variability biofeedback for psychotic symptoms. *Behav. Res. Ther.* **87**, 207-215 (2016).
- Folkman, S. & Lazarus, R. S. An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. *J. Health Soc. Behav.* **21**, 219-239 (1980).
- 156 Lazarus, R. S. & Folkman, S. *Stress, Appraisal, and Coping*. (Springer Publishing Company, Inc., 1984).
- Lin, A. *et al.* The relationship between coping and subclinical psychotic experiences in adolescents from the general population--a longitudinal study. *Psychol. Med.* **41**, 2535-2546 (2011).
- Ered, A., Gibson, L. E., Maxwell, S. D., Cooper, S. & Ellman, L. M. Coping as a mediator of stress and psychotic-like experiences. *Eur Psychiatry* **43**, 9-13 (2017).
- Mian, L., Lattanzi, G. M. & Tognin, S. Coping strategies in individuals at ultra-high risk of psychosis: A systematic review. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **12**, 525-534 (2018).
- Ritsner, M., Gibel, A. & Ratner, Y. Determinants of changes in perceived quality of life in the course of schizophrenia. *Qual. Life Res.* **15**, 515-526 (2006).
- 1133 161 Boschi, S. *et al.* Coping with psychotic symptoms in the early phases of schizophrenia.

 1134 *Am. J. Orthopsychiatry* **70**, 242-252 (2000).
- 1135 Cooke, M. *et al.* Insight, distress and coping styles in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* **94**, 12-22 (2007).
- 1137 163 Rudnick, A. & Martins, J. Coping and schizophrenia: a re-analysis. *Arch. Psychiatr. Nurs.* 1138 **23**, 11-15 (2009).
- Meyer, B. Coping with severe mental illness: Relations of the brief COPE with symptoms, functioning, and well-being. *J Psychopathol Behav* **23**, 265-277 (2001).
- Jalbrzikowski, M. *et al.* Coping styles of individuals at clinical high risk for developing psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **8**, 68-76 (2014).

 This prospective longitudinal study shows that more adaptive coping at baseline
 - is associated with attenuated clinical symptom severity and better social functioning one year later in youth at high-risk for psychosis.
- 1146 Shi, J. *et al.* The Relationship Between Big Five Personality Traits and Psychotic
 1147 Experience in a Large Non-clinical Youth Sample: The Mediating Role of Emotion
 1148 Regulation. *Front Psychiatry* **9**, 648 (2018).

- Osborne, K. J., Willroth, E. C., DeVylder, J. E., Mittal, V. A. & Hilimire, M. R. Investigating the association between emotion regulation and distress in adults with psychotic-like experiences. *Psychiatry Res.* **256**, 66-70 (2017).
- Sanderson, V. A. *et al.* Post-secondary students with symptoms of psychosis: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* **29**, 590-607 (2020).
- Shi, J. *et al.* Protective factors in Chinese university students at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Psychiatry Res.* **239**, 239-244 (2016).
- Wartelsteiner, F. *et al.* Quality of life in stabilized patients with schizophrenia is mainly associated with resilience and self-esteem. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* **134**, 360-367 (2016).
- Harris, K., Haddock, G., Peters, S. & Gooding, P. Psychological resilience to suicidal thoughts and behaviours in people with schizophrenia diagnoses: A systematic literature review. *Psychol Psychother* **93**, 777-809 (2020).
- Peters, E. *et al.* Clinical, socio-demographic and psychological characteristics in individuals with persistent psychotic experiences with and without a "need for care". *World Psychiatry* **15**, 41-52 (2016).

- The results of this article provide important insights into what might reduce the chance of persistant psychotic experiences leading to poor psychological outcomes.
- 173 Krabbendam, L. et al. Neuroticism and low self-esteem as risk factors for psychosis. 1167 Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. 37, 1-6 (2002). 1168

1165

1166

1175

1176

1183

1185

1194

- Henderson, A. R. & Cock, A. The responses of young people to their experiences of 174 1169 first-episode psychosis: harnessing resilience. Community Ment. Health J. 51, 322-328 1170 (2015).1171
- 175 Ho, W. W., Chiu, M. Y., Lo, W. T. & Yiu, M. G. Recovery components as determinants of 1172 the health-related quality of life among patients with schizophrenia: structural equation 1173 modelling analysis. Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry 44, 71-84 (2010). 1174
 - 176 Fisher, H. L. et al. Pathways between childhood victimization and psychosis-like symptoms in the ALSPAC birth cohort. Schizophr. Bull. 39, 1045-1055 (2013).
- Johns, L. C. et al. Auditory verbal hallucinations in persons with and without a need for 177 1177 care. Schizophr. Bull. 40 Suppl 4, S255-264 (2014). 1178
- 178 Swyer, A. & Powers, A. R., 3rd. Voluntary control of auditory hallucinations: 1179 phenomenology to therapeutic implications. NPJ Schizophr 6, 19 (2020). 1180
- 179 Kuranova, A. et al. Don't worry, be happy: Protective factors to buffer against distress 1181 associated with psychotic experiences. Schizophr. Res. 223, 79-86 (2020). 1182
- 180 Kentros, M. K., Terkelsen, K., Hull, J., Smith, T. E. & Goodman, M. The relationship between personality and quality of life in persons with schizoaffective disorder and 1184 schizophrenia. Qual. Life Res. 6, 118-122 (1997).
- 181 Boyette, L. L. et al. Personality compensates for impaired quality of life and social 1186 functioning in patients with psychotic disorders who experienced traumatic events. 1187 Schizophr. Bull. 40, 1356-1365 (2014). 1188
- Ridgewell, C., Blackford, J. U., McHugo, M. & Heckers, S. Personality traits predicting 182 1189 quality of life and overall functioning in schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 182, 19-23 1190 (2017).1191
- Corrigan, P. W. & Watson, A. C. Understanding the impact of stigma on people with 183 1192 mental illness. World Psychiatry 1, 16-20 (2002). 1193
 - 184 Corrigan, P. W. & Rao, D. On the self-stigma of mental illness; stages, disclosure, and strategies for change. Can. J. Psychiatry. 57, 464-469 (2012).
- Yanos, P. T., Roe, D., Markus, K. & Lysaker, P. H. Pathways between internalized 185 1196 stigma and outcomes related to recovery in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. 1197 Psychiatr. Serv. 59, 1437-1442 (2008). 1198
- 186 Hasson-Ohayon, I. et al. Between self-clarity and recovery in schizophrenia: reducing 1199 the self-stigma and finding meaning. Compr. Psychiatry 55, 675-680 (2014). 1200
- Sibitz, I., Unger, A., Woppmann, A., Zidek, T. & Amering, M. Stigma resistance in 187 patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull. 37, 316-323 (2011). 1202
- O'Connor, L. K., Yanos, P. T. & Firmin, R. L. Correlates and moderators of stigma 188 1203 resistance among people with severe mental illness. Psychiatry Res. 270, 198-204 1204 (2018).1205
- 189 Firmin, R. L., Luther, L., Lysaker, P. H., Minor, K. S. & Salyers, M. P. Stigma resistance 1206 is positively associated with psychiatric and psychosocial outcomes: A meta-analysis. Schizophr. Res. 175, 118-128 (2016). 1208
- Rüsch, N. et al. Self-stigma, group identification, perceived legitimacy of discrimination 190 1209 and mental health service use. Br. J. Psychiatry 195, 551-552 (2009).
- Yılmaz, E. & Kavak, F. Effects of Mindfulness-Based Psychoeducation on the 191 1211 Internalized Stigmatization Level of Patients With Schizophrenia. Clin. Nurs. Res. 29, 1212 496-503 (2020).

- Mittal, D., Sullivan, G., Chekuri, L., Allee, E. & Corrigan, P. W. Empirical Studies of Self-Stigma Reduction Strategies: A Critical Review of the Literature. *Psychiatr. Serv.* **63**, 974-981 (2012).
- 1217 193 Koenig, H. G. Research on religion, spirituality, and mental health: a review. *Can. J. Psychiatry.* **54**, 283-291 (2009).
- 194 Migdal, L. & MacDonald, D. A. Clarifying the relation between spirituality and well-being.

 J. Nerv. Ment. Dis. **201**, 274-280 (2013).
- 1221 195 Pargament, K. I. & Mahoney, A. in *Oxford handbook of positive psychology* (eds S. J. Lopez & C. R. Snyder) 611-619 (Oxford University Press., 2009).
- Murray-Swank, A. *et al.* Correlates of religious service attendance and contact with religious leaders among persons with co-occurring serious mental illness and type 2 diabetes. *Nervous and Mental Disorders* **195**, 382-388 (2007).
- 197 Severaid, K. B., Osborne, K. J. & Mittal, V. A. Implications of religious and spiritual practices for youth at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **208**, 481-482 (2019).
- Daalman, K. *et al.* The same or different? A phenomenological comparison of auditory verbal hallucinations in healthy and psychotic individuals. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **72**, 320-325 (2011).
- 1232 199 Moseley, P., Powell, A., Woods, A., Fernyhough, C. & Alderson-Day, B. Voice-Hearing
 1233 Across The Continuum: A Phenomenology of Spiritual Voices. *Schizophr. Bull.* **48**, 10661234 1074 (2022).
- Roxburgh, E. C. & Roe, C. A. Reframing voices and visions using a spiritual model. An interpretative phenomenological analysis of anomalous experiences in mediumship.

 Ment Health Relig Cult 17, 641-653 (2014).
- Siddle, R., Haddock, G., Tarrier, N. & Faragher, E. B. Religious delusions in patients admitted to hospital with schizophrenia. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **37**, 130-138 (2002).
- 1241 202 Gearing, R. E. *et al.* Association of religion with delusions and hallucinations in the context of schizophrenia: implications for engagement and adherence. *Schizophr. Res.* 1243 126, 150-163 (2011).
- Sariah, A. E., Outwater, A. H. & Malima, K. I. Risk and protective factors for relapse among individuals with schizophrenia: a qualitative study in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *BMC Psychiatry* **14**, 240 (2014).
- Gooding, P. A., Littlewood, D., Owen, R., Johnson, J. & Tarrier, N. Psychological resilience in people experiencing schizophrenia and suicidal thoughts and behaviours. *J Ment Health* **28**, 597-603 (2019).
- Harris, K., Gooding, P., Haddock, G. & Peters, S. Factors that contribute to psychological resilience to suicidal thoughts and behaviours in people with schizophrenia diagnoses: qualitative study. *BJPsych Open* **5**, e79 (2019).
- Pargament, K. I. *The psychology of religion and coping: Theory, research, and practice.* (Guilford Press, 1997).
- Koenig, H. G. *et al.* Religious coping and depression among elderly, hospitalized medically ill men. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **149**, 1693-1700 (1992).
- 1257 208 Ferraro, K. F. & Koch, J. R. Religion and health among black and white adults:
 1258 Examining social support and consolation. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion* **33**,
 1259 362-375 (1994).
- Nguyen, A. W. Religion and Mental Health in Racial and Ethnic Minority Populations: A Review of the Literature. *Innov Aging* **4**, igaa035 (2020).
- This review of research on religiosity in Black and Latino communities living in the United States highlights racial and ethnic differences in the relationship between religion and mental health outcomes.

- Heriot-Maitland, C., Knight, M. & Peters, E. A qualitative comparison of psychotic-like phenomena in clinical and non-clinical populations. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **51**, 37-53 (2012).
- Powers, A. R., 3rd, Kelley, M. S. & Corlett, P. R. Varieties of Voice-Hearing: Psychics and the Psychosis Continuum. *Schizophr. Bull.* **43**, 84-98 (2017).
- Lal, S. *et al.* Well-being and engagement in valued activities: experiences of young people with psychosis. *OTJR (Thorofare N J)* **33**, 190-197 (2013).
- Lal, S., Ungar, M., Malla, A., Leggo, C. & Suto, M. Impact of Mental Health Services on Resilience in Youth with First Episode Psychosis: A Qualitative Study. *Adm. Policy Ment. Health* **44**, 92-102 (2017).
- Johnson, S., Sathyaseelan, M., Charles, H., Jeyaseelan, V. & Jacob, K. S. Insight, psychopathology, explanatory models and outcome of schizophrenia in India: a prospective 5-year cohort study. *BMC Psychiatry* **12**, 159 (2012).
- Riggio, R. E. in *The sourcebook of nonverbal measures: Going beyond words* (ed V. Manusov) 25-33 (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers, 2005).
- Lecomte, T. *et al.* Predicting and preventing symptom onset and relapse in schizophrenia-A metareview of current empirical evidence. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* **128**, 840-854 (2019).
- Rossi, R. *et al.* Personal and contextual components of resilience mediate risky family environment's effect on psychotic-like experiences. *Early Interv Psychiatry* **15**, 1677-1685 (2021).
- Pan, P. M. *et al.* Childhood trauma and adolescent psychotic experiences in a community-based cohort: The potential role of positive attributes as a protective factor. *Schizophr. Res.* **205**, 23-29 (2019).

1290

1302

1303

- Lam, M. *et al.* Longitudinal Cognitive Changes in Young Individuals at Ultrahigh Risk for Psychosis. *JAMA Psychiatry* **75**, 929-939 (2018).
- Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Cognitive functioning in prodromal psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **69**, 562-571 (2012).
- De Herdt, A. *et al.* Neurocognition in clinical high risk young adults who did or did not convert to a first schizophrenic psychosis: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* **149**, 48-55 (2013).
- Hall, M. H., Holton, K. M., Öngür, D., Montrose, D. & Keshavan, M. S. Longitudinal trajectory of early functional recovery in patients with first episode psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **209**, 234-244 (2019).
- Crush, E., Arseneault, L., Jaffee, S. R., Danese, A. & Fisher, H. L. Protective Factors for Psychotic Symptoms Among Poly-victimized Children. *Schizophr. Bull.* **44**, 691-700 (2018).
 - This prospective longitudinal study shows that factors such as cognitive abilities, home environment qualities, and neighborhood cohesion protect children with exposure to multiple victimization experiences from later psychotic symptoms.
- Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R. & Marmot, M. Social determinants of mental health. *Int Rev Psychiatry* **26**, 392-407 (2014).
- Crush, E., Arseneault, L. & Fisher, H. L. Girls get by with a little help from their friends: gender differences in protective effects of social support for psychotic phenomena amongst poly-victimised adolescents. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **53**, 1413-1417 (2018).
- Crush, E., Arseneault, L., Danese, A., Jaffee, S. R. & Fisher, H. L. Using discordant twin methods to investigate an environmentally mediated pathway between social support and the reduced likelihood of adolescent psychotic experiences. *Psychol. Med.* **50**, 1898-1905 (2020).

- Riches, S. *et al.* Protective Factors for Early Psychotic Phenomena Among Children of Mothers With Psychosis. *Front Psychiatry* **9**, 750 (2018).
- Pruessner, M., Iyer, S. N., Faridi, K., Joober, R. & Malla, A. K. Stress and protective factors in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis, first episode psychosis and healthy controls. *Schizophr. Res.* **129**, 29-35 (2011).
- Norman, R. M. *et al.* Social support and three-year symptom and admission outcomes for first episode psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **80**, 227-234 (2005).
- Lim, M. H., Gleeson, J. F., Jackson, H. J. & Fernandez, K. C. Social relationships and quality of life moderate distress associated with delusional ideation. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **49**, 97-107 (2014).
 - This paper highlights the importance of both receiving and giving social support in buffering against distress associated with persistent psychotic experiences.
- Angell, B. & Test, M. A. The relationship of clinical factors and environmental opportunities to social functioning in young adults with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* **28**, 259-271 (2002).

1332

1338

1340

1341

1343

1344

1346

1347

1348

1349

1351

1357

- Norman, R. M., Windell, D., Manchanda, R., Harricharan, R. & Northcott, S. Social support and functional outcomes in an early intervention program. *Schizophr. Res.* **140**, 37-40 (2012).
- Robustelli, B. L., Newberry, R. E., Whisman, M. A. & Mittal, V. A. Social relationships in young adults at ultra high risk for psychosis. *Psychiatry Res.* **247**, 345-351 (2017).
- Gan, G. *et al.* Neural Correlates of Affective Benefit From Real-life Social Contact and Implications for Psychiatric Resilience. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 790-792 (2021).
 - Bjornestad, J. *et al.* "With a little help from my friends" social predictors of clinical recovery in first-episode psychosis. *Psychiatry Res.* **255**, 209-214 (2017).
 - O'Keeffe, D. *et al.* The iHOPE-20 study: Relationships between and prospective predictors of remission, clinical recovery, personal recovery and resilience 20 years on from a first episode psychosis. *Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry* **53**, 1080-1092 (2019).
 - 237 Myin-Germeys, I., Nicolson, N. A. & Delespaul, P. A. The context of delusional experiences in the daily life of patients with schizophrenia. *Psychol. Med.* **31**, 489-498 (2001).
 - This study uses experience sampling methodology and shows that the mere presence of family or acquantainces decreases the probability of the experience of delusional thoughts in individuals with schizophrenia.
 - Malmberg, A., Lewis, G., David, A. & Allebeck, P. Premorbid adjustment and personality in people with schizophrenia. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **172**, 308-313; discussion 314-305 (1998).
 - Deegan, P. E. The importance of personal medicine: a qualitative study of resilience in people with psychiatric disabilities. *Scand J Public Health Suppl* **66**, 29-35 (2005).
- ¹³⁵³ 240 March, D. *et al.* Psychosis and place. *Epidemiol. Rev.* **30**, 84-100 (2008).
- Veling, W. *et al.* Ethnic density of neighborhoods and incidence of psychotic disorders among immigrants. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **165**, 66-73 (2008).
 - This prospective study shows that the incidence of psychotic disorders was elevated among immigrants living in neighborhoods where their ethnic group was a small proportion of the neighborhood population.
- Bosqui, T. J., Hoy, K. & Shannon, C. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the ethnic density effect in psychotic disorders. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **49**, 519-529 (2014).
- Li, D., Ramos, M. R., Bennett, M. R., Massey, D. S. & Hewstone, M. Does ethnic diversity affect well-being and allostatic load among people across neighbourhoods in England? *Health Place* **68**, 102518 (2021).

- van der Meer, T. & Tolsma, J. Ethnic Diversity and Its Effects on Social Cohesion. *Annual Review of Sociology* **40**, 459-478 (2014).
- Ramos, M. R., Bennett, M. R., Massey, D. S. & Hewstone, M. Humans adapt to social diversity over time. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 12244-12249 (2019).
- Becares, L., Nazroo, J. & Stafford, M. The buffering effects of ethnic density on experienced racism and health. *Health Place* **15**, 670-678 (2009).
 - Maxwell, J. in *Eric John Hanson Memorial Lecture Series* Vol. 8 (University of Alberta, 1996).
- Ansari, S. Social Capital and Collective Efficacy: Resource and Operating Tools of Community Social Control. *Journal of Theoretical & Philosophical Criminology* **5**, 75-94 (2013).
- Schneider, J., Arthur, A., Doody, G., Simpson, J. & Jones, P. Individual social capital and psychosis: secondary analysis of AESOP data for Nottingham. *Mental Health Rev* **14**, 4-12 (2009).
- Kirkbride, J. B. *et al.* Neighbourhood-level effects on psychoses: re-examining the role of context. *Psychol. Med.* **37**, 1413-1425 (2007).
- Lofors, J. & Sundquist, K. Low-linking social capital as a predictor of mental disorders: a cohort study of 4.5 million Swedes. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **64**, 21-34 (2007).
- Rotenberg, M., Anderson, K. K. & McKenzie, K. Social capital and psychosis: a scoping review. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **55**, 659-671 (2020).
- Selten, J. P., van der Ven, E., Rutten, B. P. & Cantor-Graae, E. The social defeat hypothesis of schizophrenia: an update. *Schizophr. Bull.* **39**, 1180-1186 (2013).
- Gevonden, M. *et al.* Increased release of dopamine in the striata of young adults with hearing impairment and its relevance for the social defeat hypothesis of schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 1364-1372 (2014).
- Bratman, G. N. *et al.* Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective. *Sci Adv* **5**, eaax0903 (2019).
- Buxton, R. T., Pearson, A. L., Allou, C., Fristrup, K. & Wittemyer, G. A synthesis of health benefits of natural sounds and their distribution in national parks. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118** (2021).
 - Engemann, K. *et al.* Childhood exposure to green space A novel risk-decreasing mechanism for schizophrenia? *Schizophr. Res.* **199**, 142-148 (2018).
- Engemann, K. *et al.* Natural surroundings in childhood are associated with lower schizophrenia rates. *Schizophr. Res.* **216**, 488-495 (2020).

1399

1400

- This large population-cohort study shows that childhood exposure to natural environments was associated with a reduced chance of being diagnosed with schizophrenia later in life.
- Engemann, K. *et al.* Association Between Childhood Green Space, Genetic Liability, and the Incidence of Schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull.* **46**, 1629-1637 (2020).
- Fett, A. J., Lemmers-Jansen, I. L. J. & Krabbendam, L. Psychosis and urbanicity: a review of the recent literature from epidemiology to neurourbanism. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* **32**, 232-241 (2019).
- Henson, P., Pearson, J. F., Keshavan, M. & Torous, J. Impact of dynamic greenspace exposure on symptomatology in individuals with schizophrenia. *PLoS One* **15**, e0238498 (2020).
- Kaplan, S. The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. *Journal* of Environmental Psychology **15**, 169-182 (1995).
- Kellert, S. R. & Wilson, E. O. *The Biophilia hypothesis*. (Island Press/Shearwater Books, 1993).
- Söderström, O. *et al.* Unpacking 'the City': An experience-based approach to the role of urban living in psychosis. *Health Place* **42**, 104-110 (2016).

- Shin, W. S., Yeoun, P. S., Yoo, R. W. & Shin, C. S. Forest experience and psychological health benefits: the state of the art and future prospect in Korea. *Environ Health Prev Med* **15**, 38-47 (2010).
- Rosa, C. D., Larson, L. R., Collado, S. & Profice, C. C. Forest therapy can prevent and treat depression: Evidence from meta-analyses. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* **57** (2021).
- Zabini, F. *et al.* Comparative Study of the Restorative Effects of Forest and Urban Videos during COVID-19 Lockdown: Intrinsic and Benchmark Values. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **17** (2020).
- Brancato, G., Van Hedger, K., Berman, M. G. & Van Hedger, S. C. Simulated nature walks improve psychological well-being along a natural to urban continuum. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* **81** (2022).
- Bielinis, E., Jaroszewska, A., Łukowski, A. & Takayama, N. The Effects of a Forest
 Therapy Programme on Mental Hospital Patients with Affective and Psychotic Disorders. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **17** (2019).
- Moore, T. H. M. *et al.* The effects of changes to the built environment on the mental health and well-being of adults: Systematic review. *Health Place* **53**, 237-257 (2018).
- Roe, J. *et al.* The Urban Built Environment, Walking and Mental Health Outcomes Among Older Adults: A Pilot Study. *Front Public Health* **8**, 575946 (2020).
- Renalds, A., Smith, T. H. & Hale, P. J. A systematic review of built environment and health. *Fam. Community Health* **33**, 68-78 (2010).
- Rautio, N., Filatova, S., Lehtiniemi, H. & Miettunen, J. Living environment and its relationship to depressive mood: A systematic review. *Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry* **64**, 92-103 (2018).
- Song, J. *et al.* Built environment and schizophrenia re-hospitalization risk in China: A cohort study. *Environ. Res.* **227**, 115816 (2023).
- Vancampfort, D. *et al.* Associations between physical activity and the built environment in patients with schizophrenia: a multi-centre study. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry* **35**, 653-658 (2013).
- Vancampfort, D. *et al.* Associations of the Built Environment With Physical Activity and Sedentary Time in Ugandan Outpatients With Mental Health Problems. *J Phys Act Health* **16**, 243-250 (2019).
- Vancampfort, D. *et al.* Associations between perceived neighbourhood environmental attributes and self-reported sitting time in patients with schizophrenia: a pilot study. *Psychiatry Res.* **215**, 33-38 (2014).
- 1451 278 Chaiyachati, K. H., Hom, J. K., Hubbard, R. A., Wong, C. & Grande, D. Evaluating the
 1452 association between the built environment and primary care access for new Medicaid
 1453 enrollees in an urban environment using Walk and Transit Scores. *Prev Med Rep* **9**, 241454 28 (2018).
- Ungar, M. The differential impact of social services on young people's resilience. *Child Abuse Negl.* **78**, 4-12 (2018).
- Engemann, K. *et al.* Residential green space in childhood is associated with lower risk of psychiatric disorders from adolescence into adulthood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 5188-5193 (2019).
- Heller, A. S. *et al.* Association between real-world experiential diversity and positive affect relates to hippocampal-striatal functional connectivity. *Nat. Neurosci.* **23**, 800-804 (2020).
- Werker, J. F. & Hensch, T. K. Critical periods in speech perception: new directions. Annu. Rev. Psychol. **66**, 173-196 (2015).
- Frankenhuis, W. E. & Walasek, N. Modeling the evolution of sensitive periods. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* **41**, 100715 (2020).

- Gee, D. G. When do sensitive periods emerge later in development? *Trends Cogn Sci* **26**, 97-98 (2022).
- Walasek, N., Frankenhuis, W. E. & Panchanathan, K. An evolutionary model of sensitive periods when the reliability of cues varies across ontogeny. *Behav Ecol* **33**, 101-114 (2022).
- Theron, L. C. & Liebenberg, L. in *Youth Resilience and Culture. Cross Cultural Advancements in Positive Psychology* Vol. 11 (eds L. Theron, L. Liebenberg, & M. Ungar) (Springer, 2015).
- Ungar, M. A Constructionist Discourse on Resilience: Multiple Contexts, Multiple Realities among At-Risk Children and Youth. *Youth and Society* **35**, 341-365 (2004).
- Lin, K. M. & Kleinman, A. M. Psychopathology and clinical course of schizophrenia: a cross-cultural perspective. *Schizophr. Bull.* **14**, 555-567 (1988).
- Luhrmann, T. M. Social defeat and the culture of chronicity: or, why schizophrenia does so well over there and so badly here. *Cult. Med. Psychiatry* **31**, 135-172 (2007).
- Craig, T. J., Siegel, C., Hopper, K., Lin, S. & Sartorius, N. Outcome in schizophrenia and related disorders compared between developing and developed countries. A recursive partitioning re-analysis of the WHO DOSMD data. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **170**, 229-233 (1997).
- Harrison, G. *et al.* Recovery from psychotic illness: a 15- and 25-year international follow-up study. *Br. J. Psychiatry* **178**, 506-517 (2001).
- Hopper, K. in *Schizophrenia, culture and subjectivity* (eds J. H. Jenkins & R. J. Barrett) 62-86 (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- Hopper, K. Rethinking social recovery in schizophrenia: what a capabilities approach might offer. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **65**, 868-879 (2007).
- Thara, R. & Anuradha. Cognitive functioning in schizophrenia: its relevance to rehabilitation. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **126**, 414-416 (2007).
- Thara, R. & Eaton, W. W. Outcome of schizophrenia: the Madras longitudinal study. Aust. N. Z. J. Psychiatry **30**, 516-522 (1996).
- Kane, J. M. *et al.* Comprehensive Versus Usual Community Care for First-Episode Psychosis: 2-Year Outcomes From the NIMH RAISE Early Treatment Program. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **173**, 362-372 (2016).
- Bennett, D. & Rosenheck, R. Socioeconomic status and the effectiveness of treatment for first-episode psychosis. *Health Serv. Res.* **56**, 409-417 (2021).

- This follow-up analysis from a large treatment study shows that the initially promising effects of a coordinated specialty care intervention for early psychosis that adopts a strengths and resilience based approach were limited to those at the top 25% of the socioeconomic distribution.
- Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Preventive psychiatry: a blueprint for improving the mental health of young people. *World Psychiatry* **20**, 200-221 (2021).
- DeTore, N. R. *et al.* Efficacy of a transdiagnostic, prevention-focused program for at-risk young adults: a waitlist-controlled trial. *Psychol. Med.*, 1-10 (2022).
- Burke, A. S. *et al.* Rationale, Methods, Feasibility, and Preliminary Outcomes of a Transdiagnostic Prevention Program for At-Risk College Students. *Front Psychiatry* **10**, 1030 (2019).
- Shah, J. L., Jones, N., van Os, J., McGorry, P. D. & Guloksuz, S. Early intervention service systems for youth mental health: integrating pluripotentiality, clinical staging, and transdiagnostic lessons from early psychosis. *Lancet Psychiatry* **9**, 413-422 (2022).
- Hartmann, J. A. *et al.* Pluripotential Risk and Clinical Staging: Theoretical Considerations and Preliminary Data From a Transdiagnostic Risk Identification Approach. *Front Psychiatry* **11**, 553578 (2020).

- Bonanno, G. A. Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events? *Am. Psychol.* **59**, 20-28 (2004).
- Erlenmeyer-Kimling, L. & Cornblatt, B. The New York High-Risk Project: a followup report. *Schizophr. Bull.* **13**, 451-461 (1987).
- Mednick, S. A., Parnas, J. & Schulsinger, F. The Copenhagen High-Risk Project, 1962 86. *Schizophr. Bull.* 13, 485-495 (1987).
- Addington, J. *et al.* North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study: a collaborative multisite approach to prodromal schizophrenia research. *Schizophr. Bull.* **33**, 665-672 (2007).
- Addington, J. *et al.* North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study (NAPLS 2): overview and recruitment. *Schizophr. Res.* **142**, 77-82 (2012).
- Liu, R. T. A developmentally informed perspective on the relation between stress and psychopathology: when the problem with stress is that there is not enough. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* **124**, 80-92 (2015).
- Minor, K. S. *et al.* Personalizing interventions using real-world interactions: Improving symptoms and social functioning in schizophrenia with tailored metacognitive therapy. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* **90**, 18-28 (2022).
- Raugh, I. M. *et al.* Geolocation as a Digital Phenotyping Measure of Negative Symptoms and Functional Outcome. *Schizophr. Bull.* **46**, 1596-1607 (2020).
- Fulford, D. *et al.* Smartphone sensing of social interactions in people with and without schizophrenia. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **137**, 613-620 (2021).
- Rapado-Castro, M., McGorry, P. D., Yung, A., Calvo, A. & Nelson, B. Sources of clinical distress in young people at ultra high risk of psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **165**, 15-21 (2015).
- Masten, A. S. Ordinary magic. Resilience processes in development. *Am. Psychol.* **56**, 227-238 (2001).
- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. *Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 Results.* (2017).
 - 315 Kraepelin, E. Dementia Praecox and Paraphrenia. (Chicago Medical Book Co., 1919).
 - 316 Rapoport, J. L., Giedd, J. N. & Gogtay, N. Neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia: update 2012. *Mol. Psychiatry* **17**, 1228-1238 (2012).
- Jacobs, G. D., Pace-Schott, E. F., Stickgold, R. & Otto, M. W. Cognitive behavior therapy and pharmacotherapy for insomnia: a randomized controlled trial and direct comparison. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **164**, 1888-1896 (2004).

1547

1548

- Ritterband, L. M. *et al.* Efficacy of an Internet-based behavioral intervention for adults with insomnia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **66**, 692-698 (2009).
- 1554 319 Irish, L. A., Kline, C. E., Gunn, H. E., Buysse, D. J. & Hall, M. H. The role of sleep 1555 hygiene in promoting public health: A review of empirical evidence. *Sleep Med Rev* **22**, 1556 23-36 (2015).
- Hale, L., Troxel, W. & Buysse, D. J. Sleep Health: An Opportunity for Public Health to Address Health Equity. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **41**, 81-99 (2020).
- Morgan, A. J., Parker, A. G., Alvarez-Jimenez, M. & Jorm, A. F. Exercise and mental health: an Exercise and Sports Science Australia commissioned review. *Journal of Exercise Physiology Online* **16**, 64-73 (2013).
- Firth, J., Cotter, J., Elliott, R., French, P. & Yung, A. R. A systematic review and metaanalysis of exercise interventions in schizophrenia patients. *Psychol. Med.* **45**, 1343-1361 (2015).
- Damme, K. S. F. *et al.* Exercise Intervention in Individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis: Benefits to Fitness, Symptoms, Hippocampal Volumes, and Functional Connectivity. *Schizophr. Bull.* (2022).

- Pizzoli, S. F. M. *et al.* A meta-analysis on heart rate variability biofeedback and depressive symptoms. *Sci Rep* **11**, 6650 (2021).
- Schlier, B., Ludwig, L., Wiesjahn, M., Jung, E. & Lincoln, T. M. Fostering coping as a mechanism of symptom change in cognitive behavioural therapy for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* **215**, 416-423 (2020).
- Wykes, T., Steel, C., Everitt, B. & Tarrier, N. Cognitive behavior therapy for schizophrenia: effect sizes, clinical models, and methodological rigor. *Schizophr. Bull.* **34**, 523-537 (2008).
- Fusar-Poli, P. *et al.* Preventive Treatments for Psychosis: Umbrella Review (Just the Evidence). *Front Psychiatry* **10**, 764 (2019).
- Meyer, P. S., Gottlieb, J. D., Penn, D., Mueser, K. & Gingerich, S. Individual resiliency training: An early intervention approach to enhance well-being in people with first-episode psychosis. *Psychiatric Annals* **45**, 554–560 (2015).
- Niveau, N., New, B. & Beaudoin, M. Self-esteem Interventions in Adults A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J Res Pers* **94**, 104131 (2021).
- Arzy, S., Thut, G., Mohr, C., Michel, C. M. & Blanke, O. Neural basis of embodiment: distinct contributions of temporoparietal junction and extrastriate body area. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 8074-8081 (2006).
- Tsang, H. W. *et al.* Therapeutic intervention for internalized stigma of severe mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* **173**, 45-53 (2016).
- Pargament, K. I. & Lomax, J. W. Understanding and addressing religion among people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry* **12**, 26-32 (2013).
- Weisman de Mamani, A., Tuchman, N. & Duarte, E. A. Incorporating Religion/Spirituality Into Treatment for Serious Mental Illness. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice* **17**, 348-357 (2010).
 - Granholm, E. L., McQuaid, J. R. & Holden, J. L. *Cognitive-behavioral social skills training for schizophrenia: A practical treatment guide.*, (Guilford Publications, 2016).
- Horan, W. P. *et al.* Social cognitive skills training in schizophrenia: an initial efficacy study of stabilized outpatients. *Schizophr. Res.* **107**, 47-54 (2009).

- Roberts, D. L. *et al.* A randomized, controlled trial of Social Cognition and Interaction Training (SCIT) for outpatients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **53**, 281-298 (2014).
- Nahum, M. *et al.* Online Social Cognition Training in Schizophrenia: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Controlled Multi-Site Clinical Trial. *Schizophr. Bull.* **47**, 108-117 (2021).
- Vita, A. *et al.* Effectiveness, Core Elements, and Moderators of Response of Cognitive Remediation for Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**, 848-858 (2021).
- Wykes, T., Huddy, V., Cellard, C., McGurk, S. R. & Czobor, P. A meta-analysis of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: methodology and effect sizes. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **168**, 472-485 (2011).
- Glenthoj, L. B., Hjorthoj, C., Kristensen, T. D., Davidson, C. A. & Nordentoft, M. The effect of cognitive remediation in individuals at ultra-high risk for psychosis: a systematic review. *NPJ Schizophr* **3**, 20 (2017).
- Hogan, B. E., Linden, W. & Najarian, B. Social support interventions: do they work? *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **22**, 383-442 (2002).
- White, S. *et al.* The effectiveness of one-to-one peer support in mental health services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* **20**, 534 (2020).
- Liu, C. H., Keshavan, M. S., Tronick, E. & Seidman, L. J. Perinatal Risks and Childhood Premorbid Indicators of Later Psychosis: Next Steps for Early Psychosocial Interventions. *Schizophr. Bull.* **41**, 801-816 (2015).

- Bauer, A. *et al.* Mobilising social support to improve mental health for children and adolescents: A systematic review using principles of realist synthesis. *PLoS One* **16**, e0251750 (2021).
- Webber, M. & Fendt-Newlin, M. A review of social participation interventions for people with mental health problems. *Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol.* **52**, 369-380 (2017).
- Semenza, J. C. & March, T. L. An urban community-based intervention to advance social interactions. *Environment and Behavior* **41**, 22-42 (2009).
- 1625 347 Cohen, D. A., Inagami, S. & Finch, B. The built environment and collective efficacy.

 Health Place **14**, 198-208 (2008).
- Hunter, R. F. *et al.* Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environ Int* **130**, 104923 (2019).
- South, E. C., Hohl, B. C., Kondo, M. C., MacDonald, J. M. & Branas, C. C. Effect of Greening Vacant Land on Mental Health of Community-Dwelling Adults: A Cluster Randomized Trial. *JAMA Netw Open* **1**, e180298 (2018).
 - Organization, W. H. Urban Green Space and Health: Intervention Impacts and Effectiveness. (Copenhagen, Denmark, 2017).

1642

1643

1644

1647

1648

- Dauwan, M., Begemann, M. J., Heringa, S. M. & Sommer, I. E. Exercise Improves Clinical Symptoms, Quality of Life, Global Functioning, and Depression in Schizophrenia: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Bull.* **42**, 588-599 (2016).
- Tao, F. B. *et al.* Physical activity might not be the protective factor for health risk behaviours and psychopathological symptoms in adolescents. *J. Paediatr. Child Health* **43**, 762-767 (2007).
 - 353 Chekroud, S. R. *et al.* Association between physical exercise and mental health in 1·2 million individuals in the USA between 2011 and 2015: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Psychiatry* **5**, 739-746 (2018).
- Firth, J., Schuch, F. & Mittal, V. A. Using exercise to protect physical and mental health in youth at risk for psychosis. *Res Psychother* **23**, 433 (2020).
 - Dawkins, M. P., Williams, M. M. & Guilbault, M. Participation in school sports: risk or protective factor for drug use among black and white students. *Journal of Negro Education* **75**, 25-33 (2006).
- 1650 356 Quinton, D., Rutter, M. & Liddle, C. Institutional rearing, parenting difficulties and marital support. *Psychol. Med.* **14**, 107-124 (1984).
- 1652 357 Crawford, J. R. & Henry, J. D. The positive and negative affect schedule (PANAS):
 1653 construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical
 1654 sample. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* **43**, 245-265 (2004).
- Bell, V., Halligan, P. W. & Ellis, H. D. Explaining delusions: a cognitive perspective. *Trends Cogn Sci* **10**, 219-226 (2006).
- Freeman, D. *et al.* Comparison of a theoretically driven cognitive therapy (the Feeling Safe Programme) with befriending for the treatment of persistent persecutory delusions: a parallel, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Psychiatry* **8**, 696-707 (2021).
- Mehl, S., Werner, D. & Lincoln, T. M. Does Cognitive Behavior Therapy for psychosis (CBTp) show a sustainable effect on delusions? A meta-analysis. *Front Psychol* **6**, 1450 (2015).
- Fried, E. I., Koenders, M. A. & Blom, J. D. Bleuler revisited: on persecutory delusions and their resistance to therapy. *Lancet Psychiatry* **8**, 644-646 (2021).
- Ritunnano, R., Broome, M. & Stanghellini, G. Charting New Phenomenological Paths for Empirical Research on Delusions: Embracing Complexity, Finding Meaning. *JAMA* Psychiatry **78**, 1063-1064 (2021).

- Feyaerts, J., Henriksen, M. G., Vanheule, S., Myin-Germeys, I. & Sass, L. A. Delusions beyond beliefs: a critical overview of diagnostic, aetiological, and therapeutic schizophrenia research from a clinical-phenomenological perspective. *Lancet Psychiatry* **8**, 237-249 (2021).
- Ritunnano, R., Humpston, C. & Broome, M. R. Finding order within the disorder: a case study exploring the meaningfulness of delusions. *BJPsych Bull*, 1-7 (2021).
- Bilu, Y., Witztum, E. & van der Hart, O. Paradise regained: "miraculous healing" in an Israeli psychiatric clinic. *Cult. Med. Psychiatry* **14**, 105-127 (1990).
- Appelbaum, P. S., Robbins, P. C. & Roth, L. H. Dimensional approach to delusions: comparison across types and diagnoses. *Am. J. Psychiatry* **156**, 1938-1943 (1999).
- 1678 Coid, J. W. *et al.* The relationship between delusions and violence: findings from the East London first episode psychosis study. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 465-471 (2013).

1680

1682

1683

1684

1685

1688

1690

1693

1694

1695

- Roberts, G. Delusional belief systems and meaning in life: a preferred reality? *Br. J. Psychiatry. Suppl.*, 19-28 (1991).
- Rutunnano, R. & Bortolotti, L. Do delusions have and give meaning? *Phenomenology* and the Cognitive Sciences, 1-20 (2021).
- 370 Chennaoui, M., Arnal, P. J., Sauvet, F. & Léger, D. Sleep and exercise: A reciprocal issue? *Sleep Medicine Reviews* **20**, 59-72 (2015).
- Minkel, J. *et al.* Sleep deprivation potentiates HPA axis stress reactivity in healthy adults. Health Psychol. **33**, 1430-1434 (2014).
 - Hamilton, J. L. & Alloy, L. B. Atypical reactivity of heart rate variability to stress and depression across development: Systematic review of the literature and directions for future research. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* **50**, 67-79 (2016).
- Joao, K., Jesus, S. N., Carmo, C. & Pinto, P. The impact of sleep quality on the mental health of a non-clinical population. *Sleep Med* **46**, 69-73 (2018).
 - Sallis, J. F. *et al.* Built Environment, Physical Activity, and Obesity: Findings from the International Physical Activity and Environment Network (IPEN) Adult Study. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **41**, 119-139 (2020).
- Marshall, S. L., Parker, P. D., Ciarrochi, J. & Heaven, P. C. L. Is self-esteem a cause or consequence of social support? A 4-year longitudinal study. *Child Dev.* **85**, 1275-1291 (2014).
- Ludyga, S., Gerber, M., Puhse, U., Looser, V. N. & Kamijo, K. Systematic review and meta-analysis investigating moderators of long-term effects of exercise on cognition in healthy individuals. *Nat Hum Behav* **4**, 603-612 (2020).
- Soundy, A. *et al.* The transcending benefits of physical activity for individuals with schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-ethnography. *Psychiatry Res.* **220**, 11-19 (2014).
- Astell-Burt, T., Feng, X., Mavoa, S., Badland, H. M. & Giles-Corti, B. Do low-income neighbourhoods have the least green space? A cross-sectional study of Australia's most populous cities. *BMC Public Health* **14**, 292 (2014).

1712 1713 1714	Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Jackie Bao and Jessica Fattal for their help with the literature search.
1715	Author contributions
1716	K.N.T., A.M., K.S.M., C.S.H., & S.P wrote the article. All authors researched data for the article,
1717	contributed substantially to discussion of the content, and reviewed and/or edited the
1718	manuscript before submission.

Competing interests

1710

1711

1719

1721

1724

1727

The authors declare no competing interests.

Peer review information

Nature Reviews Psychology thanks Thomas Kwapil and Angus Macbeth for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Table 1. Summary of reviewed protective and promotive factors

Level	Factor	Key findings	Considerations for prevention and intervention
Biological	Sleep	Better sleep quality is associated with greater well-being ^{110,111} Sleep quality interventions decrease psychotic-like symptoms ¹¹²	Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) for insomnia ³¹⁷ is the first-line treatment for sleep disturbance and can be effectively delivered using scalable web-based programs ³¹⁸
		Sleep quantity shows a non- linear relationship with well- being ^{114,115}	Sleep hygiene recommendations as stand-alone interventions without personalization are unlikely to be effective ³¹⁹
			Expand beyond the level of the individual and consider how social and environmental determinants might be modified to improve sleep health ³²⁰
	Physical activity	Low to moderate exercise is associated with mental health benefits ¹¹⁶⁻¹¹⁸ Physical activity in childhood is associated with a lower likelihood of developing psychosis later in life ¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶	90 minutes of moderate to vigorous exercise per week can improve mental and physical health ³²¹ among individuals diagnosed with psychotic disorders ³²² and individuals at clinical high risk ³²³
		Physical activity is associated with positive clinical and functional outcomes and subjective well-being in individuals with psychotic disorders 127-131	Supervised exercise in group settings (versus solitary exercise) maximizes adherence to the exercise intervention in individuals diagnosed with psychotic disorders ³²²
			Strategies for addressing barriers to exercise include establishing an incentive structure, using augmented reality, varying the exercise routine, and social support ¹³⁰

	Homeostatic regulation of the autonomic nervous system	Higher heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia (within the normal range) are associated with better mental and physical health 135-138 Heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia are lower in people with psychotic disorder and individual differences relate to clinical symptoms and daily functioning 142-146 Biofeedback training to enhance heart rate variability	Heart rate variability and respiratory sinus arrhythmia are modifiable through biofeedback training, breathing retraining, mindfulness practice, and physical exercise in the general population 147-152,324
		is associated with improved clinical symptoms ^{153,154}	
Psychological	Traits and personal characteristics	Adaptive coping is associated with less severe psychotic and psychotic-like symptoms in the general population 157,158,165 and clinical populations 160-164 Higher self-esteem is associated with reduced psychotic and psychotic-like symptom severity 168,169,173, improved quality of life 170 and general mental health in clinical populations 170,171, and reduced distress associated with psychotic experiences 172 Regaining internal locus of control is a major component of recovery in individuals with schizophrenia 175 and is associated with a lower likelihood of developing psychotic symptoms 176 Trait emotional stability, extraversion, and agreeableness are associated with better quality of life in individuals with schizophrenia 180-182	Fostering coping might be a mechanism of symptom improvement in CBT for psychosis ³²⁵ , although CBT does not lead to improvements in quality of life, subjective distress or functioning ³²⁶ . There is no evidence to favor any specific preventative treatment of psychosis (including CBT) ³²⁷ . Individualized Resiliency Training is a psychosocial intervention to enhance well-being among people with psychosis that focuses on education and skills training to foster adaptive coping strategies ³²⁸ . Face-to-face or scalable webbased CBT and reminiscence-based interventions that focus on reflecting upon autobiographical memories are associated with improved self-esteem ³²⁹ .

Attitudes and orientations

Stigma resistance is related to well-being and quality of life in individuals with psychotic disorders¹⁸⁷⁻¹⁸⁹

Spirituality might confer mental health benefits in the general population^{193,194}. Religion and religious practices might act as both a vulnerability factor^{193,197,202} as well as a protective or promotive factor^{196,203-205}.

Among individuals diagnosed with a psychotic disorder, spirituality relates to adaptation in the face of adversity¹⁹⁶, is associated with better social functioning in young people at risk for psychosis¹⁹⁷, and might buffer against the distress associated with psychotic experiences^{172,198}

Ascribing meaning to anomalous experiences might buffer against the distress of psychotic experiences^{210,211} and promote well-being in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia²¹²

Stigma reduction strategies that either attempt to alter stigmatizing beliefs and attitudes or enhance stigma-coping skills through improvements in self-esteem, empowerment, and help-seeking behavior are effective in reducing self-stigma³³⁰, particularly when they include a psychoeducation component³³¹

Religion and spirituality might offer resources for support and meaning and/or exacerbate psychological distress. Thus, they should only be incorporated into psychotherapy after careful consideration. Incorporating religion and spirituality into treatment might be particularly important for individuals from underserved and minoritized backgrounds who have higher rates of religious beliefs and greater use of religious coping than the general population ²⁰⁶⁻²⁰⁹ and for whom religious and spiritual resources might be more accessible than other resiliencepromoting factors^{332,333}.

Abilities

Higher social competence is associated with reduced risk of relapse in patients with psychosis²¹⁶ and with reduced risk for and severity of psychotic-like experiences in at-risk individuals^{217,218}

Better neurocognitive abilities are associated with decreased risk for psychotic symptoms in at-risk youth^{219-221,223}, a better clinical course in individuals recently diagnosed with a psychotic disorder²²², and might buffer

Cognitive Behavioral Social Skills Training³³⁴, Social Cognition Training³³⁵, and Social Cognition and Interaction Training³³⁶ involve live instruction, role plays, behavioral assignments, and/or computerized programs³³⁷ to foster skills in emotion and social perception, theory of mind, and social problem solving in individuals with psychotic-spectrum illness.

Cognitive remediation improves cognition and daily functioning in individuals with schizophrenia^{338,339}

		against distress associated with psychotic symptoms ¹⁷² .	and in individuals at high risk for psychosis ³⁴⁰ , particularly when they include an active and trained therapist, repeated practice, structured development of cognitive strategies, and techniques to maximize transfer of cognitive improvement to realworld settings. Delivery in group and individual settings is equally effective.
Social	Social support and relationship quality	Greater social support is related to reduced psychotic experiences in young adults with significant psychosis risk 124,223,225-227, and to reduced symptom severity 203,228,229 and improved functioning 229 in people diagnosed with a psychotic disorder. Mutually beneficial exchange of support (relationship reciprocity) is higher in individuals with persistent psychotic experiences that do not have a need for care versus those that do 230. In individuals with schizophrenia, better relationship quality is related to reduced symptom severity 231 and predicts better functional outcomes three years later 232. In individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis, better quality of relationships and number of relationships are related to reduced severity of psychotic experiences and better functioning 233	Group and individual interventions in adolescents and adults aimed at enhancing the availability of social support through social skill development or increasing the degree of perceived support through cognitive restructuring show preliminary effectiveness. But results are mixed and methodological limitations preclude a definitive interpretation of these results ³⁴¹ Family interventions aimed at improving family support are protective against relapse ²¹⁶ . One-to-one peer support improves support provided by personal relationships when adjunctive to usual care for psychosis ³⁴² Targeting families of children at higher risk for psychosis by increasing parental social support and parent training can enhance the quality of familial support provided to the child ^{343,344}
	Social network size and social interaction	Social interaction promotes positive mental health outcomes in the general population ²³⁴ . Interactions with close relations is associated with	Social participation interventions aim to build social networks and improve community integration for individuals with mental illness through activities that facilitate social interactions. The limited evidence available suggests

	Social roles	improved psychotic symptoms ²³⁵⁻²³⁷ Number of relationships is associated with a reduced risk of developing schizophrenia 15 years post-baseline ²³⁸ and with reduced symptom severity in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia ²³¹ . Engagement in activities related to valued social roles	potential benefit of social participation interventions for social networks. However, further work is needed ³⁴⁵ .
		reduces clinical symptoms and prevents relapse ^{237,239} .	
	Broader social environment	High ethnic density ²⁴⁰⁻²⁴² , neighborhood social cohesion ^{124,223,227} , and neighborhood social capital ²⁴⁹⁻²⁵¹ are associated with reduced risk of developing a psychotic disorder.	Data reporting individual outcomes are limited, but modifications to physical neighborhood features (for example, increasing walkability) can increase opportunities for social interactions and improve civic engagement and collective efficacy ^{346,347}
Built and natural environment	Built environment characteristics	Characteristics of the built environment (for example, walkability and housing quality) contribute to positive mental health outcomes in the general population ²⁷¹⁻²⁷³ .	Environmental modifications aimed at increasing public access to green space (for example, planting street trees and greening vacant lots) might broadly improve health outcomes ³⁴⁸⁻³⁵⁰ .
		No studies have directly examined the impact of aspects of the built environment on positive outcomes in the context of psychosis risk.	Neighborhood walkability increases physical activity in individuals with schizophrenia ²⁴²⁻²⁴⁴
	Exposure to natural space	Exposure to natural green and blue space during childhood is associated with reduced psychosis risk in adulthood ²⁵⁷⁻²⁵⁹	Group and individual interventions to increase time spent in green space promote mental and physical health ^{265,266} , including among individuals hospitalized for psychosis ²⁶⁹ and
		Exposure to green space is related to decreased severity of clinical symptoms in individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia ²⁶¹ and might buffer against stress of urban environment ²⁶⁴	even in simulated or virtual formats ^{267,268} .

Figure legends

1731

1732 1733

1734

1735

1736

1739

1740

1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746

1747

1748

1749 1750

1752

1753

1754

1755

1757 1758 Figure 1. Trajectories of psychosis risk and resilience. Example trajectories for psychological distress (top), psychotic and psychotic-like experiences (middle), and subjective well-being and psychosocial functioning (bottom) in individuals at risk for psychosis. Risk factors include the circumstances that increase the likelihood of being diagnosed with a psychotic disorder, the distress associated with the experience of psychotic symptoms themselves, and secondary events associated with a diagnosis of psychotic disorder (for example, poor physical health or discrimination). Blue represents an individual presenting with risk factors but not protective or promotive factors. The grey, yellow, and green trajectories represent different resilience-promoting processes. Adaptation (grey) occurs when the individual changes in ways that permit positive outcomes despite the impact of risk. Recovery (yellow) occurs when the individual initially experiences negative outcomes in response to risk, but later returns to a previous level of functioning. Finally, resistance and persistence (green) occur when the individual maintains their current trajectory despite risk. These trajectories are highly schematized and simplified examples and do not encompass all possible trajectories of an individual with psychosis risk factors. Rather, they are intended to provide an illustration of how resilience-promoting processes might be enacted in the context of psychosis risk factors.

Figure 2. Protective and promotive factors across the biopsychosocial-ecological system. Potential protective and promotive factors in the context of psychosis risk identified in the Review are organized within levels of a biopsychosocial-ecological system. The factors placed at the border of adjacent levels indicate that different aspects of these factors are best conceptualized as operating at multiple levels of the biopsychosocial-ecological system.

Box 1. Beyond semantics in the shift from risk to resilience

1759

1760

1761

1763

1764

1767

1768

1770

1771

1773

1774

1775

1776

1777

1778

1779

1780

1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1790

17911792

A shift away from risk and towards resilience could be perceived as merely semantic—that a focus on strengths and protection is more hopeful-sounding but conceptually identical to a risk-focused approach to prevention and intervention. But resilience scholars have presented several arguments supporting the idea that a shift from risk to resilience is more than an inversion of language⁸⁷. First, a high 'dose' of a particular variable that buffers against the effect of risk exposure might do so via different processes or mechanisms than those by which a low 'dose' of that same variable exacerbates the effect of risk^{22,87}. For example, physical activity (generally considered a promotive factor) has a non-linear relationship with mental health, such that more physical exercise is related to improved mental health up to a threshold, after which it increases the likelihood of poor mental health³⁵¹⁻³⁵⁴. Those aspects of exercise at low to moderate 'doses' that confer benefits are likely not the same aspects that confer vulnerability at high doses.

Second, context matters: a particular factor or process that has protective or promotive effects in one context, group, or individual might operate as a vulnerability factor in another⁸⁷. For example, participating in high school sports is protective against alcohol use in Black girls, but is associated with increased alcohol use in Black boys and white girls and boys³⁵⁵. Third, the 'active ingredient' by which a particular factor confers benefits might lie in the positive end of that factor. For example, in women raised in institutional care, being in a supportive marital relationship was related to improved parental quality as compared to women who were not in a supportive marital relationship; however, parenting quality was equivalent in women raising a child without a partner and women raising children in the context of a poor marital relationship. In other words, a supportive marital relationship was a protective or promotive factor, but there was no analogous vulnerability caused by a poor marital relationship³⁵⁶. Thus, focusing on the protective end of a variable—supportive marital relationship, in this example—might elucidate the mechanism or process by which variation in exposure to a given factor might buffer the negative effects of risk. Finally, outcome variables do not lie on a unidimensional spectrum. Presence of resilience factors is not equivalent to an absence of risk factors. In a similar way, positive and negative emotions represent different constructs³⁵⁷ and 'feeling good' is not the same as 'not feeling bad'. Thus, a paradigmatic shift from risk to resilience represents a change in approach and framework, not just a matter of emphasis on language and terms.

Box 2. The case of delusions

Delusions (false and fixed beliefs that are not amenable to change despite conflicting evidence³⁵⁹) are a defining symptom of schizophrenia and are understood as harmful and dysfunctional. Delusions are also considered an important treatment target that is central to recovery from psychosis. Current explanatory models of delusions adopt neurocognitive approaches to belief formation, whereby delusions are thought to arise from normative reasoning in the context of anomalous experiences or reflect abnormalities in a normative belief formation process (for a review see ³⁵⁸). These approaches have led to the development of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) for delusions ³⁵⁹, which treat delusions as beliefs that can be challenged through standard techniques of reality testing and evaluation. However, the efficacy of CBT for delusions appears to be modest and its therapeutic ingredients remain unclear ^{360,361}.

Delusions are notoriously difficult to dispel. However, the current definition and operationalization of delusions are fraught with epistemic hurdles that make it difficult to determine the borders of pathology ^{362,363}. Framing delusions as harmful beliefs that must be eliminated to achieve recovery from psychosis fails to consider the lived experience of the phenomenon and the broader sociocultural and psychological context. Specifically, some delusions might serve an adaptive purpose, at least temporarily ^{364,365}. This proposition is not intended to romanticize delusions or to downplay their seriousness. Indeed, delusions—particularly persecutory delusions—are associated with tremendous personal distress ³⁶⁶, and anger secondary to delusions has been found to increase an individual's risk for violent behavior

To best grapple with these clinical realities, clinicians and researchers must consider that delusions might be an adaptive response in some cases, and notions of recovery and treatment must be reframed accordingly. Indeed, a meta-analysis indicated the improvements in positive symptoms (like delusions) with CBT were related to increases in hopelessness³²⁶. Quotes from a qualitative study wherein individuals with schizophrenia with a longstanding delusional belief were asked what their life would be like without their delusional belief further illustrate this point³⁶⁸:

"It would all have been for nothing...it would be sadness...it would be wrong, I wouldn't accept it...that's futility - I would really miss it. A waste of a life, all my lives, all the way through."

"I can't see that ever happening - psychic activity is part of my structure - my heart. If I lost it, I would be inert. I'd have to start all over again."

An alternative phenomenological account of delusions incorporates the phenomenology of the variety of reality experiences to fathom how individuals with delusions might evaluate and discover meaning in these experiential alterations³⁶². Moving away from a purely mechanistic model of delusions that fails to acknowledge or incorporate the subjective, phenomenological illness narratives will be essential to defining recovery and positive outcomes in a manner that leaves intact the person's sense of self and ability to find meaning in experience^{365,369}. From the perspective of the person with lived experience, delusions are not necessarily an irrational or false representation of reality; rather, such beliefs might bring a sense of meaningfulness to their life ³⁶⁹—which might confer resilience.

Box 3. Integrating risk and resilience factors

1836

1837

1838

1840

1841

1842

1843

1844

1845

1846

1847

1848

1849

1850

1851

1852

1853

1854

1855

1856

1857

1858

1859

1860 1861

1863

Our categorization of potential protective and promotive factors reflects the current literature that tends to study factors in isolation or within a small selection of other risk or resilience factors. However, this approach obscures the fact that it is the interactions between various assets and abilities together with risk factors that engender the conditions under which resilience can occur⁸³. First, interactions between various risk and resilience promoting factors can occur within levels. For example, the biological resilience promoting factors reviewed here (sleep quality, physical activity, and homeostatic regulation of the autonomic nervous system) influence each other through reciprocal interactions via physiological and psychological pathways³⁷⁰ and might exert their impact on positive mental health outcomes via a common process, such as reducing stress reactivity³⁷¹⁻³⁷³. Second, extensive interactions occur between levels. For example, physical activity is influenced by the walkability of the built environment³⁷⁴, self-esteem increases perceived social support³⁷⁵, and exercise promotes cognitive abilities³⁷⁶. Furthermore, these resilience promoting factors might also reduce exposure to stressors. For example, for individuals from minoritized ethnic groups, the protective effect of living in neighborhoods wherein their ethnic identity is well-represented might reduce the degree of discrimination they experience in day-to-day life²⁴⁶. Finally, the access or ability conferred by resilience promoting resources might be compromised by the illness itself. For example, qualitative studies indicate that symptoms and the sedative effects of medication pose barriers to engaging in physical activity³⁷⁷. Similarly, stigma and structural discrimination together with psychosocial disability might limit employment opportunities and thereby reduce opportunities to access resilience promoting resources associated with wealth (such as access to green space, which is less available in low-income neighborhoods³⁷⁸), and to engage in social networks.

Table of Contents blurb

 Psychosis research has traditionally focused on vulnerability and the detrimental outcomes of risk exposure. In this Review, Thakkar et al. consider an alternative resilience-based approach focused on resources and strengths that might help protect against negative illness course among people at risk.