Pulmonology 000 (xxxx) 1-2



PULMONOLOGY

PULMONOLOGY O'
JOURNAL

www.journalpulmonology.org

COMMENT

Diagnosis of COVID-19 by sound-based analysis of vocal recordings

P. Carreiro-Martins^{a,b,*}, P. Paixão^a, I. Caires^a, A. Rodrigues^a, P. Matias^c, H. Gamboa^{c,d}, A. Carreiro^c, F. Soares^c, P. Gomez^e, J. Sousa^f, N. Neuparth^{a,b}

Received 6 December 2022; accepted 14 March 2023 Available online xxx

Commentary

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on the world, with widespread illness, death, and economic disruption. The pandemic constantly evolves as new virus variants emerge, and governments respond with new measures. The development of a diverse range of diagnostic tests¹ has been triggered to deal with the situation, which can be summarised in three major categories: molecular tests, rapid antigen tests and serology. Molecular tests have been the reference (real-time polymerase chain reaction - RT-PCR), but common to all, these are invasive, although minimally, and costly diagnostic techniques. Although at-home rapid antigen tests are currently available, they are invasive and uncomfortable, vary in accuracy depending on how they are done and must be quickly accessible. These characteristics may raise perceived barriers to COVID-19 testing and limit the detection of the disease. Vaccines have been unevenly

SARS-CoV-2 infection primarily affects the respiratory tract, including the upper and lower airways, and contributes to the disruption of normal vocalisations. Given this, some research groups have attempted to develop more convenient and accessible COVID-19 diagnostic methods by using machine learning to analyse voice recordings and other audio signals, such as coughing, breathing sounds, and breathing rate. Voice and cough analysis are an attractive approach to screening for respiratory disease symptoms^{2,3} as sound recordings are simple to acquire and non-invasive. However, due to subtle differences in voice and cough characteristics, artificial intelligence is required to detect specific disease patterns, discard confounding factors that induce similar manifestations, and reduce the effects of environmental noise. Geographic and idiolectal linguistic variations can also affect analyses of these samples.

Most existing algorithms rely on crowdsourced audio sample databases. However, such databases do not ensure the quality of the recordings and contain only a limited number

E-mail address: pmartinsalergo@gmail.com (P. Carreiro-Martins).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2023.03.003

2531-0437/© 2023 Sociedade Portuguesa de Pneumologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^a Comprehensive Health Research Center, NOVA Medical School, Campo Mártires da Pátria 130, 1169-056 Lisboa, Portugal

^b Serviço de Imunoalergologia, Hospital de Dona Estefânia, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, EPE, Rua Jacinta Marto, 1169-045 Lisboa, Portugal

^c Fraunhofer Portugal AICOS - Porto, Rua Alfredo Allen 455/461, 4200-135 Porto, Portugal

^d Laboratory for Instrumentation, Biomedical Engineering and Radiation Physics, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia of NOVA, University of Lisbon, Portugal

^e NeuSpeLab, CTB, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Campus de Montegancedo, s/n, 28223 Madrid, Spain

f NOS Inovação, Rua Actor António Silva, 9 - 6º Piso, Campo Grande, 1600-404 Lisboa, Portugal

distributed and accepted, and cases are still increasing in some areas. It is unclear what the future holds for the pandemic, but it will likely continue to require effort from all involved.

SARS-COV-2 infection primarily affects the respiratory.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Comprehensive Health Research Centre, NOVA Medical School, Campo Mártires da Pátria, 130, 1169-056 Lisboa, Portugal.

of positive cases. Notable examples of respiratory audio—based sample collection include studies that used the Coswara dataset⁴ and the COVID-19 Sounds database.⁵ The accuracy reached by these studies' algorithms is debatable as the results derive from unreliable data sources, either due to unconfirmed COVID-19 status or an uncontrolled recording environment.

For this reason, some authors have chosen to conduct their own recording protocols to ensure the reliability of their results. With a sample of 70 SARS-CoV-2-positive patients and 70 healthy individuals, Robotti et al.⁶ demonstrated that machine learning could accurately discriminate both groups (accuracy, 90.24%). Shimon et al.⁷ included 57 patients (25 SARS-CoV-2-positive), achieving an average accuracy of 80%. Pinkas et al.⁸ included recordings of 29 SARS-CoV-2-positive and 59 SARS-CoV-2-negative patients and achieved an accuracy of 79%.

Matias et al. 9 used crowdsourced databases combined with quality assessment algorithms of voice recordings to overcome the above mentioned issues and detect SARS-CoV-2 infection with a more reliable and less noisy dataset. Such an approach reached accuracy values ranging from 75% to 84% on Coswara, and from 67% to 81% on a sub-set of COVID-19 sounds dataset.

The available literature suggests that machine learning tools using voice recordings and other audio signals could provide a non-invasive, low-cost way to screen and flag for SARS-CoV-2 infection, the results of which could later be confirmed with a clinically validated test. Such algorithms are powerful in their ability to automatically learn hidden patterns from data and decision rules. Combined with signal processing and expertise in feature engineering, they can form reliable tools to help track infection in a more decentralised way. This is particularly important in diseases where infection isolation is critical.

Nevertheless, future studies should validate the robustness of these algorithms in real-world settings and assess the feasibility of adapting this approach to other areas, such as other respiratory infections, such as seasonal influenza. Additionally, machine learning tools could also be used to monitor other chronic respiratory illnesses including asthma, COPD, obstructive sleep apnoea, and tuberculosis. In the future, this strategy might contribute to more decentralised screening for

respiratory diseases, facilitating early diagnosis and monitoring disease progression, potentially improving outcomes for patients with these conditions, and reducing pressure on the healthcare system.

References

- Benda A, Zerajic L, Ankita A, Cleary E, Park Y, Pandey S. COVID-19 testing and diagnostics: a review of commercialized technologies for cost, convenience and quality of tests. Sensors. 2021;21(19):6581. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/19/6581.
- Windmon A, Minakshi M, Bharti P, Chellappan S, Johansson M, Jenkins BA, et al. TussisWatch: a smart-phone system to identify cough episodes as early symptoms of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and congestive heart failure. IEEE J Biomed Health Inform. 2019;23(4):1566-73.
- 3. Botha GHR, Theron G, Warren RM, Klopper M, Dheda K, van Helden PD, et al. Detection of tuberculosis by automatic cough sound analysis. Physiol Meas. 2018;39(4):45005. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/aab6d0.
- Sharma N, Krishnan P, Kumar R, Ramoji S, Chetupalli SR, Nirmala R, et al. Coswara - a database of breathing, cough, and voice sounds for COVID-19 diagnosis. Proceedings of the annual conference of the International Speech Communication Association, INTERSPEECH. 2020; 2020. p. 4811–5.
- Xia T, Spathis D, Brown C, Chauhan J, Grammenos A, Han J, et al. COVID-19 sounds: a large-scale audio dataset for digital respiratory screening. In: Thirty-fifth conference on neural information processing systems datasets and benchmarks track (round 2); 2021. p. 1–13. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/.
- Robotti C, Costantini G, Saggio G, Cesarini V, Calastri A, Maiorano E, et al. Machine learning-based voice assessment for the detection of positive and recovered COVID-19 patients. J Voice. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2021.11.004.
- Shimon C, Shafat G, Dangoor I, Ben-Shitrit A. Artificial intelligence enabled preliminary diagnosis for COVID-19 from voice cues and questionnaires. J Acoust Soc Am. 2021;149(2):1120–4. Available from: https://asa.scitation.org/doi/10.1121/10.0003434.
- Pinkas G, Karny Y, Malachi A, Barkai G, Bachar G, Aharonson V. SARS-CoV-2 detection from voice. IEEE Open J Eng Med Biol. 2020;1:268-74. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9205643/.
- Matias P, Costa J, Carreiro AV, Gamboa H, Sousa I, Gomez P, et al. Clinically relevant sound-based features in COVID-19 identification: robustness assessment with a data-centric machine learning pipeline. IEEE Access. 2022;10:105149–68.