

A Work Project presented as part of the requirements for the award of a Master's Degree in  
Management from the Nova School of Business and Economics

*A Marketing Research about the Portuguese Consumer Behavior Towards  
Sustainable Fashion*

Marina Stief Pinheiro

Work project carried out under the supervision of:  
Professor Pedro Miguel da Cruz Correia Gardete

July 15<sup>th</sup>, 2022

## **Abstract**

Sustainable consumption matters increased during the COVID-19 pandemic. But this isn't something new. Over the years, the world population has become more worried about sustainability. Taking that into consideration, this thesis objective is understanding the Portuguese Consumer Behavior Towards Sustainable Fashion, how do they perceive the sustainable fashion brands present on the national market – more sincere and transparent than fast fashion brands – and if they are willing to pay a premium for sustainability – although Portuguese consumers give major importance to price, they would be still willing to pay a maximum price premium of 20 euros for a more sustainable option.

## **Key Words**

Marketing Research, Perceptual Map, Conjoint Analysis, Sustainability, Slow Fashion, Sustainable Brands, Portugal

This work used infrastructure and resources funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (UID/ECO/00124/2013, UID/ECO/00124/2019 and Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209), POR Lisboa (LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-007722 and Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209) and POR Norte (Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209).

## Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	4
1.1.	Context of the research.....	4
1.2.	Problem Definition.....	6
1.3.	Research Question.....	6
1.4.	Work Project Overview.....	6
2.	Contextual Background/Literature Review .....	7
2.1.	Sustainable Fashion Market in Portugal.....	7
2.2.	Consumer Behavior.....	10
2.3.	Perceptual Maps .....	11
	• Attributes choice .....	12
2.4.	Conjoint Analysis.....	18
	• Attributes and levels of choice.....	19
3.	Methodology .....	23
3.1.	Qualitative Analysis – Preliminary Interviews .....	24
3.2.	Preliminary Survey.....	25
3.3.	Perceptual Maps Survey.....	26
3.4.	Conjoint Analysis Survey.....	27
4.	Results.....	30
4.1.	Perceptual Map.....	30
	• General Results: Sample Characteristics .....	30
	• Sample Characteristic: Age.....	31
	• Sample Characteristic: Gender .....	32

4.2. Conjoint Analysis.....	34
Preliminary Analysis.....	35
• Willingness to pay .....	37
5. Conclusions.....	45
6. Study Limitations.....	47
7. Reference List .....	50
8. Appendices.....	59

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Context of the research

*“As pandemic restrictions lift in some areas of the world, consumer intention to buy sustainable fashion has increased”* (R. Cernansky 2021). Indeed, a BCG study with people across eight different countries has shown that *“in the wake of the pandemic people are more concerned — not less — about addressing environmental challenges and are more committed to changing their behavior to advance sustainability.”* (Kachaner, Nielsen and Portafaix 2020) In this study, 72% of the respondents answered to be very/extremely concerned with air pollution and 71% to be very/extremely concerned with unsustainable water resource management. (Kachaner, Nielsen and Portafaix 2020). The fashion *“(…) industry is responsible for 10% of annual global carbon emissions, more than all international flights and maritime shipping combined.”* (World Bank 2019) Undoubtedly, the fashion industry is one of the most polluting industries and therefore a big enemy of the environment. (World Bank 2019)

This study might be recent, but the sustainable era is not something new and, around the world, environmental concern grows year after year. According to a study about environmental trends conducted between 2014 and 2021, the number of people worried about damage caused to the planet by humans increased from 71% to 79% (Glocalities 2021). Also, in 2008, only 53% of the N100<sup>1</sup> companies worldwide reported on sustainability. This number climbed to 80% in 2020 (KPMG Impact 2020). As it is possible to see, consumers and companies are now more aware of sustainability and the impact it has on the future of the world. Furthermore, having a more sustainable behavior and keep thinking about sustainable development can indeed provide solutions towards planning economic activities and growth in a way that the

---

<sup>1</sup> The N100 refers to a worldwide sample of 5,200 companies. It comprises the top 100 companies by revenue in each of the 52 countries and jurisdictions researched in the KPMG Survey of Sustainability Reporting 2020.

## Group Part

environment is preserved (or less damaged), paving the way for future generations to maintain and build societies and economies that do not neglect the environment (Sustainable Organizations, 2021).

Concerning Europe, *“Engagement in sustainability has deepened during the COVID-19 crisis, with European consumers wanting fashion players to act responsibly and consider the social and environmental impacts of their businesses.”* (Granskog, et al. 2020). Consumers have also stated that their habits have changed during the pandemic. According to a study done by McKinsey (2020), 57% of the people reported that they made significant changes to their lifestyles to lessen environmental impact and more than 60% are going out of their way to recycle and/or buy more sustainable products. In the context of fashion, 65% of consumers are now willing to buy more durable items (Sawers, et al. 2020). Also, 37% of Europeans recycle their clothes and 69% would like to understand how their clothes are manufactured (Fashion For Change 2021).

In the Portuguese market, the fashion sector represented 5% of the country's GDP in 2020 (Executiva 2020). According to a study made by BNP Paribas, 52% of Portuguese consumers have bought sustainable products. In the same study, 9 out of 10 people said they value products, brands, and sustainable companies, but only 11% choose exclusively eco-friendly options when facing others that are not (Correia, Distribuição Hoje 2021). Besides, when looking for the sustainability inside of fashion, according to a survey conducted by *showroomprive.pt* a website and online store specializing in fashion – 64.73% of the Portuguese consumers affirmed they were willing to buy from ethical fashion brands irrespective of having to pay a higher price (Comunidades 2021).

Overall, it is possible to state that the world is pointing in a direction where brands – and companies – should strengthen their commitments regarding sustainability, as it is a matter that

## Group Part

is increasing its importance and concerns inside consumers' minds, that are also choosing, each day more, sustainable options – being it related to food, cosmetics, or fashion.

### **1.2. Problem Definition**

The extent to which Portuguese consumers are interested in buying sustainable fashion brands and if they are willing to pay a premium for sustainable clothing over a conventional choice. Additionally, their perception of sustainable fashion brands operating in the local market.

### **1.3. Research Question**

To better understand how the sustainable fashion market works in Portugal, some questions need to be addressed: 1) Would Portuguese consumers be willing to pay a premium for sustainable fashion? And 2) How do they perceive the sustainable fashion brands operating in the local market?

### **1.4. Work Project Overview**

Considering all the information presented above, in the course of this Work Project, it will be possible to find, first, a contextual background to provide useful definitions of important concepts used throughout the document, such as slow fashion and sustainable fashion brands, among others. At a certain point, it is going to start a transition from a contextual background/literature review focused on definitions and the context to a different one, which will inform how the analysis that is going to be done will take place. The following is going to be provided the methodology that is going to be used to answer the research questions

## Group Part

previously explained. Aiming to have these answers, the results are then going to be shown and analyzed and it is, finally, going to be possible to have a conclusion and give recommendations, besides the possibility to analyze this study's limitations.

Regarding the findings, some can be addressed, such as: even though consumers do not associate attributes such as price with quality, they associated quality with sustainability. Also, sustainable fashion brands' perceptions were more related to sincerity and transparency, something that the fast-fashion brand present was not, that was more related to trendy. On the conjoint analysis performed, although Portuguese consumers tend to give importance to price, they are still willing to pay a maximum price premium of 20 euros for more sustainable fashion apparel.

## **2. Contextual Background/Literature Review**

### **2.1. Sustainable Fashion Market in Portugal**

When considering the Portuguese sustainable fashion market, it is worth noting the growth in size and the entry of several new players over the past few years (Brito 2020). Besides, it is also important to take into consideration that according to a study made with 700 Portugueses (50% men and 50% women), it was considered that Portuguese citizens are willing to pay more for sustainable and ethical fashion brands. (Correia, Distribuição Hoje 2021). Also, according to the same study, sustainability is the fourth priority for them when choosing clothes, being after price, quality, and fit.

Some of the many Portuguese Sustainable fashion brands currently present in the market are the following:



## Group Part

- **ISTO.:** Created in 2017 to curb the fast consumption tendency of the fashion industry. The brand has no seasonal collections and launches new products based on the needs and demands of its clients. Clothes are made of organic and natural materials, and the tags on their garments provide consumers with a clear breakdown of the price, as well as its website page (figures 1 and 2). (ISTO. n.d.)

*Figure 1 - Price Breakdown of an ISTO's men's shirt*





Figure 2 - Price Breakdown Women's V neck t-shirt



- **+351:** +351 is a 100% Portuguese brand inspired by the Atlantic Ocean. Special care is given to where their cotton comes from and it is cultivated without chemicals, making the lives of their workers healthier. The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are reduced by 45% compared to conventional cotton, while also reducing water consumption by 90% (+351 n.d.).
- **Guaja:** founded in 2019, Guaja focuses on high quality, timelessness, and sustainability in all its clothes. The founders aim to create long-lasting pieces owing to their organic, recycled, and biodegradable materials. They also have a zero-waste policy, which implies thorough planning for all its processes and limited editions in a way to have the least possible waste. (GUAJA n.d.)
- **Conscious:** the brand is focused on beachwear outfits and uses Econyl on its bikinis, a fabric made of regenerated nylon. In this way, they can help to turn trash into high-quality swimming wear. Packaging is also considered, and, for instance, there is the

usage of plastic. Besides, it is also offered carbon-neutral shipping worldwide.

(Conscious n.d.)

## 2.2. Consumer Behavior

Understanding how consumers react to and consume different products and services is critical for the success of businesses. Therefore, it is important to understand consumers' behavior and learn how to anticipate and plan to manage the 'environment' in which the products or services are being offered to final customers.

“Consumer behavior reflects the totality of consumers' decisions concerning the acquisition, consumption, and disposition of good, services, time, and ideas by (human) decision-making units (over time)” (Hoyer and MacInnis 2003), which means that consumer behavior is not only present in the buying decision-making process, but it is also a dynamic process that has an impact on how consumers act during the whole lifecycle of the product or service. The consumer decision-making process consists of five sequential stages: problem recognition, information search, alternative evaluation, purchase decision, and post-purchase evaluation (Workman and Studak 2005).

Recognizing that consumer behavior might change over time leads to understanding that it is influenced by different internal and external factors. When referring to internal influences, these are mostly linked with information that customers have access to, their personalities, and their psychological motives during the decision-making process. *“While motives direct behaviors toward objectives, personality relates to characteristic patterns of behavior. Personality is generally considered to reflect a consistent pattern or responses to a variety of situations. However, the important role played by the situation itself is also recognized”* (Hawkins, Best and Coney 1983). On the other hand, when considering external influences,

*“consumer behavior is the product of a particular culture. Our culture provides us with what we know to be “true”. Our knowledge of “how things are” as consumers come to us from our culture through our families, friends, and institutions”* (Hawkins, Best and Coney 1983).

Consumer behavior differs across industries, including complex evaluative processes for each of them. Although consumers care more about sustainable solutions, this does not translate into sustainable consumer behavior concerning fashion items (Park y Lin, Exploring attitude-behavior gap in sustainable consumption: comparison of recycled fashion products 2020). Consumers’ decision-making process in the sustainable fashion industry is more complex since unethical clothing does not personally “harm” consumers, and it has been demonstrated that consumers show more ethical behavior when its result has a positive influence on their health (Joergens 2006).

Consumers of sustainable clothes are driven to purchase mainly due to their favorable evaluations of product attributes that are beneficial for them to express fashion trends, personalities, and/or identities (Xu, et al. 2021). Also, the five phases of the product lifecycle that worry them the most are the location of manufacturing, product processing, the lifetime of the product, transportation, and cultivation of fiber (Niinimäki and Hassi 2011).

### **2.3. Perceptual Maps**

Perceptual maps are defined as: “the visual representation of a respondent’s perceptions of two or more dimensions” therefore “the most basic, but important, issue in perceptual mapping is the definition of objects to be evaluated” (Hair, et al. 1992). This is a useful tool when creating marketing strategies since “it is helpful for a company to develop new products or rebrand products since the map clearly shows the positions of products in comparison with those of competitors” (Lee, et al. 2016).

Perceptual maps can be driven by different analytical methods, where the most common, due to its simplicity, is a two-perpendicular-axes plot that allows placing all the brands/products under analysis based on two attributes that are previously selected depending on the analysis' objectives. "The purpose is to transform consumer judgments of similarity of preferences (e.g., preferences for stores or brands) into distances represented in multidimensional space" (Hair, et al. 1992).

The success of perceptual maps when evaluating consumer behavior and defining marketing strategies relies on the fact that "by avoiding difficult statistical... and relying on the human ability to deal efficiently with graphical data, perceptual maps are very appealing to applied marketing researchers". Nonetheless, perceptual maps can also be counterproductive if the attributes are not selected accurately. It is not possible to collect sufficient data if the analysis methodology is not clear enough to determine if there might be a misinterpretation of the results.

For this investigation, building a multidimensional perceptual map will help understand how Portuguese consumers perceive sustainable fashion brands operating on the national market, which corresponds to one of the research questions previously specified.

- **Attributes choice**

In this way, taking into consideration the literature review content already performed, other data that are going to be presented on this topic, and quantitative research conducted with Portuguese consumers (Appendix 1, figure 12) – whose results are going to be explained later – six different attributes were selected to make it possible to run a perceptual mapping.

- **Quality:** it is defined by (Niinimaki and Aako 2020) as “*a concept that is embedded in everyday matters, ranging from food and consumer goods to lifestyle and living environments. It often guides our decisions*”. According to the preliminary research conducted with Portuguese consumers, quality was the most important criterion when considering buying apparel or accessories, achieving an average rating of 8.4 out of 10. Since the concept of quality can be relative and therefore have different meanings (Niinimaki and Aako 2020), in this research, a product of “good quality“ is when the clothes from a specific brand are made with good materials and lasts for a good period, being more durable.
- **Price:** “*The monetary value one must give in return for a product or a service in a purchase agreement*” is how price is defined (Nagle and Muller 2018). In this paper, the “price” definition is related to if a particular brand has or has no good relation between its price and quality, in the respondents' minds. With that, it is going to be possible to determine what Portuguese consumers perceive as cheap and low quality, and what is unique, aspirational and worth the investment. Also, as already mentioned in the previous point, quality was the most important attribute Portuguese consumers considered when buying clothes. The second most important was the price, which had an average of 8,2 out of 10.
- **Trendy/Stylish:** A definition found in Cambridge Dictionary describes trendy, for example, as (1) “*modern and influenced by the most recent fashions or ideas*” and has synonyms as fashionable and stylish. This criterion was the third most important for the Portuguese consumers according to the survey results and this paper considers if the brand’s clothes are perceived as stylish and follow the latest fashion trends. Also,

according to (Kirsi 2010), aesthetics, together with quality, are frequently considered highly important when buying apparel. Adding this attribute to the survey, it is going to be possible to understand how sustainable brands are perceived related to that and come up with recommendations about this matter, since, as mentioned before, it can be an important characteristic for consumers.

- **Brand transparency:** *“The outbreak of COVID-19 put more pressure on brands to showcase their transparency, especially in the fashion industry”* (Nguyen 2021). Additionally, *“over 90 percent of consumers say brand transparency is important for their purchase decisions”* (Schroeder 2020). On the survey, this attribute got an average of 6,2 out of 10, which shows it still has some importance for the Portuguese consumers who participated. In this survey, “brand transparency” is related to if a brand is transparent and reveals information regarding its work condition, price strategy, and even data that could be considered sensitive, like business impact on the environment.
- **Work Conditions:** a bit related to the previous topic, when thinking about work conditions, *“Consumers want labor rights transparency. Fashion is lagging”* (R. Cernansky 2021). Consumers are increasingly demanding the fashion industry to *“treat workers fairly and be transparent on wages, and labor rights are just as important”*, affirms the same article. Since it is an increasing concern around the world, this research considers the attribute “work conditions” as if the respondents perceive the studied brands as having its workers behind the production of the product to have fair wages and working hours are protected against accidents at work and health problems, where dialogue and worker involvement are promoted.

- **Material Origin:** According to the preliminary research this was the least important attribute selected by the respondents when buying clothes, but it still has an average above 5, achieving 5.3 out of 10. There is also growing awareness around the world about the increasing demand for sustainable fabric/eco-friendly fashion (Gasparini 2020). In this way, the “material origin” is related to if the clothes from the shown brands are seen as made with sustainable materials or not, helping to identify if the participants realize that Zara, for instance, has a different material origin than Patagonia.

Besides that, five other attributes/characteristics were selected based on Aaker’s Brand Personality Dimensions. Brand personality is formally defined as “*the set of human characteristics associated with a brand*” (Aaker 1997) and it was developed a theoretical framework (figure 3) and a scale (figure 4) that made the measure of the following dimensions possible.

Figure 3 - Aaker's brand personality



Source (Aaker 1997)



Figure 4 - Brand Personality Scale

Traits	Mean	Standard Deviation	Facet	Facet Name	Factor Name	Mean	Standard Deviation
down-to-earth	2.92	1.35	(1a)	Down-to-earth	Sincerity	2.72	.99
family-oriented	3.07	1.44	(1a)				
small-town	2.26	1.31	(1a)				
honest	3.02	1.35	(1b)	Honest			
sincere	2.82	1.34	(1b)				
real	3.28	1.33	(1b)				
wholesome	2.81	1.36	(1c)	Wholesome			
original	3.19	1.36	(1c)				
cheerful	2.66	1.33	(1d)	Cheerful			
sentimental	2.23	1.26	(1d)				
friendly	2.95	1.37	(1d)				
daring	2.54	1.36	(2a)	Daring	Excitement	2.79	1.05
trendy	2.95	1.39	(2a)				
exciting	2.79	1.38	(2a)				
spirited	2.81	1.38	(2b)	Spirited			
cool	2.75	1.39	(2b)				
young	2.73	1.40	(2b)				
imaginative	2.81	1.35	(2c)	Imaginative			
unique	2.89	1.36	(2c)				
up-to-date	3.60	1.30	(2d)	Up-to-date			
independent	2.99	1.36	(2d)				
contemporary	3.00	1.32	(2d)				
reliable	3.63	1.28	(3a)	Reliable	Competence	3.17	1.02
hard working	3.17	1.43	(3a)				
secure	3.05	1.37	(3a)				
intelligent	2.96	1.39	(3b)	Intelligent			
technical	2.54	1.39	(3b)				
corporate	2.79	1.45	(3b)				
successful	3.69	1.32	(3c)	Successful			
leader	3.34	1.39	(3c)				
confident	3.33	1.36	(3c)				
upper class	2.85	1.42	(4a)	Upper class	Sophistication	2.66	1.02
glamorous	2.50	1.39	(4a)				
good looking	2.97	1.42	(4a)				
charming	2.43	1.30	(4b)	Charming			
feminine	2.43	1.43	(4b)				
smooth	2.74	1.34	(4b)				
outdoorsy	2.41	1.40	(5a)	Outdoorsy	Ruggedness	2.49	1.08
masculine	2.45	1.42	(5a)				
Western	2.05	1.33	(5a)				
tough	2.88	1.43	(5b)	Tough			
rugged	2.62	1.43	(5b)				

\*Based on n = 9, 910

Source (Aaker 1997)

- **Sincerity:** The brands that are part of this dimension are seen as realistic, honest, and righteous, for example. Normally, sincere brands are perceived as that due to how they act and communicate their ethical practices and commitment/concerns with the community and consumers, establishing good customer relationships, and supporting not only their employees but also the social and natural environment. A sincere brand case is the already mentioned brand Patagonia, which is seen like that due to its environmental activism and actions.

- **Excitement:** exciting brands are the ones perceived, for instance, as bold, young, creative, and up to date, which is often seen in unexpected and exciting places and situations. Their teams promote them as brands that “think outside of the box” to, in this way, inspire and excite consumers. A brand case is Red Bull, which is often involved in the sponsorship of radical/extreme sports events and athletes, being, in such manner, associated with that.
- **Competence:** this dimension includes brands seen as intelligent, reliable, efficient, and successful. Consumers normally tend to base their perception considering the performance of the brands' products or services, that are seen as trustworthy. A competent brand case is Apple, with the development of innovative and, literally, competent products.
- **Sophistication:** sophisticated brands are the ones perceived as elegant, glamorous, charming, and upper class and there is no surprise that this dimension includes luxury industries and high-priced brands. In this way, some brand cases are luxury fashion brands such as Louis Vuitton, Chanel, or Gucci, in all cases, it is transmitted an exclusive, status and power air, with only a few people (upper class) being able to afford them.
- **Ruggedness:** the last dimension includes brands seen as tough, adventurous, and brave. One more time Patagonia is a brand case. By looking at their Instagram account, it is possible to identify all these characteristics in most of its posts, portraying its products always in an outdoor setting as mountains, oceans, cliffs, and extreme scenarios.

Thus, with the attributes and characteristics chosen and proper analysis of those, it is going to be possible to answer the second research question and understand the Portuguese perception regarding the sustainable fashion brands operating on the national market.

## 2.4. Conjoint Analysis

When developing market research, it is key to understand the attributes that consumers value the most in different kinds of products and services. For this, conjoint analysis is commonly used as a survey-based statistical study. “Conjoint analysis is used to understand the attributes that guide preferences by having consumers compare products across levels of evaluative criteria and the expected utility associated with the alternatives” (Babin y Harris 2012).

Conjoint analysis helps understand which “agreements” are more acceptable for consumers, therefore, “this technique is built on the assumption that consumers make complex decisions based not on one factor at a time but several factors “jointly” (Shao 1999). Considering the price of the clothes as one of the attributes in the purchase decision-making process will allow driving results about survey participants' willingness to pay a premium for sustainable fashion brands as part of the research question previously presented,

Three main phases need to be followed when conducting conjoint analysis (Popović, Kuzmanović1 y Savić 2018):

- 1. Determining relevant attributes and the levels of each attribute.** Lists of attributes describing single alternatives are called profiles (real or hypothetical) being presented to respondents who are invited to express their preference by rating or ranking them.

2. **Design data collection of measuring individual preferences and estimating respondent's utility functions.** For this, the linear additive model is the most used when determining the relationship between the attributes' utility, this model assumes that the overall utility is the result of the sum of separate partworths of the attributes.
3. **Market simulation.** Used to predict how buyers will choose among competing products and how this choice can be impacted by the variances of the product's features.

- **Attributes and levels of choice**

According to Iwanow, McNeill, and Moore, clothing consumption decisions are less influenced by sustainability, particularly among fashionable consumers, than price, quality, style, and brand image. (Iwanow, McEachern e Jeffrey 2005) (McNeill e Moore 2015) (Xu, et al. 2021).

Nonetheless, considering the interviews conducted with experts from the sustainable fashion industry, attributes such as material origin, certification label, and production location were considered relevant when deciding to purchase a fashion item.

In this sense, the attributes chosen for the conjoint analysis were as follows:

- **Price:** The amount a customer is willing to pay for a product or service is the price. (Murphy 2021). The reason why the price is an important attribute relies on the fact that Portugal is a very price-sensitive country, combined with the fact that normally when compared to non-sustainable apparel brands, sustainable apparel brands' price is higher. This is mainly because manufacturers face a higher cost to produce the goods, as well as to purchase the raw materials (such as organic cotton, for example) (Ritch 2015) (Xu, et al. 2021). The different price levels attributed were defined based on the price of a shirt in a fast fashion brand versus the price of a shirt in a sustainable fashion brand

(table 1). An intermediate price was added to have an average choice between these two extremes.

*Table 1 - Price Attribute Conjoint - Comparison between brands' prices*

<b>Brand</b>	<b>Average Price</b>
Primark	15€
Zara	25€
ISTO.	80€
Patagonia	90€

Source: Brands' websites

- **Quality:** In general, quality is “a characteristic or feature of someone or something” (Dictionary n.d.). According to Garvin, by identifying the different dimensions of a product, such as performance, features, durability, and aesthetics, among others, we can analyze the quality of a product. (Garvin 1988) (Niinimaki and Aako 2020).

One widely discussed strategy that aims to reduce the environmental impacts of the fashion industry is the extension of the lifetimes of the pieces of garments, by producing better quality ones. (Niinimaki and Aako 2020) Moreover, in the interview with Mariana, when asked about the consumption behavior of Portuguese sustainable brands, she mentioned that she identifies an increasing trend from the Portuguese consumers to look not necessarily for sustainable products, but rather for products with better quality, thus, longer durability.

- **Style:** “*Fashion is most often used as a synonym for the current style in clothing*” (Fashion Dictionary n.d.). Many studies have proven that fashion, as well as style, are pertinent attributes for apparel consumption decisions (Shaw, et al. 2006) (Read 2017). Moreover, it is perceived that “*the style and fashion of ethical clothing are undesirable*

*and often described as dull and unstylish”* (Read 2017) (Kirsi 2010) (Joergens 2006).

Thus, this is a very important attribute to perceiving the consumption behavior of sustainable fashion brands.

The different style levels attributed were defined based on previous studies by Reading and North et al, that divided style into “trendy”, that aligns with the latest trends in the industry, is inspired by leading brands, models and is showcased at the top fashion shows around the world; “comfortable”, that is focused on the fitting and feeling good, in which soft fabric are chosen to create basic clothing that can be worn on most occasions and paired with almost any other garment; and lastly “classic” which can be defined by timeless pieces that are always in style regardless of the occasion or age.

- **Material Origin/Content:** As explained above, the materials used to produce a piece of clothing can be considered the most critical step to reducing the fashion industry’s negative environmental impact. (Clark, et al. 2009).

In this sense, it is common to use attributes such as fiber and fabric content to evaluate sustainable fashion items. (Rothenberg e Matthews 2017) Sustainable materials such as organic cotton and recycled materials are becoming more popular among sustainable fashion brands, to reduce the environmental impact of textile production, as well as to minimize its waste in the early stages of production. (Bick, Halsey e Ekenga 2018) (Xu, et al. 2021)

Without a doubt, the selection of the materials used to produce a piece of closing can be considered the most critical step to reducing the (negative) environmental impact that the fashion industry is known for. Indeed, the materials selected will have a considerable impact on the life cycle of the garment produced, it will influence its usage, maintenance, and eventual disposal (or not). (Clark, et al. 2009).

The different material origin levels attributed were defined based on only two levels, whether the fabrics are sustainable or not.

- **Production Location:** Some studies have shown that the location of the manufacturing is one of the aspects that worries the most sustainable consumers, the main reason behind this is that consumers are most concerned about the environmental impact of the textile production at the same time that they value the “home” made aspect in textiles and garments, meaning that they are mostly worried about their environmental impact (Niinimaki and Aako 2020).

Even though proximity location is meant to improve the supply chain transparency, sustainability, and efficiency during the whole product lifecycle, as well as benefiting from governmental support and cluster and business collaboration to propose long-lasting solutions in the industry, it is not yet demonstrated how proximity manufacturing may be related to improving product design development capabilities (Ekwall and Hjelmgren 2018).

The different production location levels attributed were defined based on only two levels. As this research has at the base Portuguese consumers, the local production must be in Portugal. The production location chosen was Bangladesh as this country is one of the world’s largest garment exporters, with the RMG (ready-made garment) sector accounting for more than 80 percent of Bangladesh’s exports.

- **Certification Label:** This attribute was chosen based on the importance transparency has for sustainable brands, regardless of the industry. Indeed, social responsibility, which deals with subjects such as fair wages, labor rights, safety measures, etc. is

relevant for consumers when deciding to make a purchase. (Henninger, Alevizou e Oates 2016) (Xu, et al. 2021).

The first level chosen was The Fair Trade Label, which focuses on addressing social and ethical concerns such as safe working conditions and environmental protection, among others. (Fair Trade Certified n.d.) (Xu, et al. 2021).

The second label – PETA (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals) - has to do with another increasing trend that was mentioned above, that was for the fashion industry to not only care for the people but also the animals. By having a PETA-certified label, brands assure the consumer that they did not conduct “(…) *any animal tests on ingredients, formulations, or finished products and that they pledge not to do so in the future.*” (PETA n.d.)

### **3. Methodology**

In this research, both qualitative and quantitative research methods were used. In the qualitative approach, preliminary in-depth interviews were conducted (see In-Depth Interviews Scripts and Transcriptions in Appendix 2 (table 13 and table 14) with professionals from the sustainable fashion market in Portugal, which allowed us to understand their views regarding the market and consumer preferences and behaviors are. The quantitative research was performed by carrying out three different surveys, where we were able to collect important data from the perspective of the participants (Portuguese or people who live in Portugal for at least five years) that are going to help with the development of personas, perceptual map, and conjoint analysis.



## Group Part

In this way, the methodology is going to be divided into four parts: (1) preliminary in-depth interviews conducted with the market professionals, as already mentioned; (2) analysis of the preliminary quantitative survey done to understand Portuguese consumers' preferences and behaviors, also helping to create personas and choose the attributes that are going to be used on the survey regarding brands perceptions; (3) analysis of the second survey which is going to be the background to develop the perceptual map; and (4) analysis of the third and last survey performed, that is needed to run the conjoint analysis and perform the willingness to pay a premium for sustainable fashion.

### **3.1. Qualitative Analysis – Preliminary Interviews**

For this research, only two preliminary interviews with industry experts were conducted. The duration of the interviews was 30 and 90 minutes, respectively. For these, only one script was designed (Appendix 2) since both interviewees were considered industry experts and the information to be collected was the same.

There were eight interview questions (Appendix 2, Table 13, and table 14) complemented with follow-up questions, according to each interviewee. The purpose of these interviews was to get a feeling and deeper understanding of the sustainable fashion market in Portugal in terms of size, demographics, and consumers preferences, to, in the end, help determine Portuguese fashion consumers' behaviors and, consequently, discover the possible personas present on the market. Lastly, the interviews also helped in the development of the following surveys, mainly for the attributes' selection of the perceptual maps and conjoint analysis.

It is important to note that five more brands were contacted to conduct more interviews with industry experts however, without any reply. Thus, only these two interviews were sufficient for the purposes mentioned above.

### **3.2. Preliminary Survey**

This first survey had as its main objective understanding the Portuguese consumer of sustainable fashion to then conduct a cluster analysis to build the different personas that sustainable fashion brands in Portugal should target. Before its publication, the survey was revised by the thesis advisor and tested by all the members of the group to make sure that it was easy to understand for all the participants (Appendix 3). After being sure that the survey was designed to collect all the needed data and that it was self-explanatory within the four sections, it was sent on different social networks such as WhatsApp, Instagram and LinkedIn accounts to gather as many answers as possible from the selected target group (Portuguese Citizens or people living in Portugal for at least five years) for one week.

Collecting as many answers as possible was linked to the confidence level that was expected in the results. A sample size between 100 and 384 observations represents enough data to conduct a significant analysis of the variables when considering a confidence level of 90% if not possible to assume that the attributes are normally distributed, and the population size starts at 20,000 (Singh and Micah 2014), which corresponds to the case of the population of this study: Portuguese people. If the sample size is above 384 observations, it will then have a significance level of 95% (Singh and Micah 2014).

In the first part of the survey Portuguese participants were able to identify some sustainable brands, both Portuguese-made and based brands and international brands. Also, this part was designed to understand if the participants had bought in sustainable fashion stores in the last 3 years and if they knew any other sustainable fashion brand besides the one shown. The objective of this part was to understand if Portuguese people were aware of the sustainable fashion industry in the country and if they are regular consumers of this type of brand.

## Group Part

The second part of the survey was made to understand which criteria were more important to participants when considering buying clothes or accessories. For this, six attributes were shown (quality, style, price, origin of the materials, labor condition, and transparency of the brand), and participants could select a number on a 1-10 scale for each one. For this, the attributes were selected based on the results of the preliminary interviews done with market experts previously explained.

Following, the third part of the survey included some questions that aimed to understand how sustainable the participants considered themselves and why, and if they are willing to pay a higher price for sustainable clothes, as well as why and which other factors (besides the ones mentioned in the previous section) would drive them to make this decision. These results are an important part step for answering the research question since it not only allows us to understand if Portuguese people can be considered sustainable in the fashion industry in general but also give an idea about how price-driven the decision-making process might be when considering buying clothes from sustainable fashion brands.

Finally, the last part of the survey was dedicated to demographic information, and it was collected the age group, gender, residence location in Portugal, highest academic level, professional status, and income level of the participants' household, with the main purpose of understanding the possible existents personas, which means: who are these consumers? And who sustainable fashion brands may tackle within the Portuguese market?

### **3.3. Perceptual Maps Survey**

Aiming to understand and answer the Research Question number 2 (How do Portuguese consumers perceive the sustainable fashion brands operating in the local market?) a perceptual map must be performed. In order to help with that, some actions need to be done. The first and

## Group Part

second step for that were already explained and are the two previous surveys done, that helped to understand the most important attributes for Portuguese consumers. Following a survey with the attributes chosen and brands analyzed is going to be done, in this way it will be possible to understand how they perceive the brands showed considering all the attributes – those first related to functional values as price, quality, style/trendy, material origin, work conditions, and brand transparency) and human characteristics – considering Aaker Brand Personality Dimensions (Aaker 1997) – sincerity, excitement, competence, sophistication, and ruggedness. Then, finally, it would be possible to analyze the data and build the perceptual map. On Appendix 4 it is possible to find the survey done to build the perceptual map, with all its sections, possible questions and answers. Furthermore, on Appendix 5 is possible to find the definition given to each attribute and how each brand was evaluated.

### **3.4. Conjoint Analysis Survey**

This conjoint analysis survey was designed to understand the consumers' behavior towards sustainable clothing and to answer the RQ2: Would Portuguese consumers be willing to pay a premium price for sustainable fashion? Six attributes were considered and the levels to be chosen from are mentioned in brackets: price (Up to €20, €21 – 40, €41 – 60, Above €60), quality (good, average, and poor), style (fashionable, comfortable and classic), material origin (sustainable fabrics and non-sustainable fabrics), certification labels (PETA, Fair Trade, none) and production location (Portugal and Bangladesh). The full survey is attached in Appendix 6.

Conjoint analysis can measure two aspects of consumer purchase decisions - the importance of each product attribute and the degree of preference for each of these attributes (Kapur, et al. 2008). Conjoint analysis is a realistic overall decision model as it forces consumers to assess products in a simulated actual purchase situation (Bajaj 1999). It has been

## Group Part

frequently used in previous studies to evaluate how consumers value different attributes of a specific product or service and to identify the preferred combination of attributes (Jung E. and Pamela S. 2011).

In this survey, questions were designed to understand the preferences of Portuguese consumers while purchasing garments. To help the consumers visualize a product better and thereby provide better information, a shirt was chosen. Shirts are very common and found in most wardrobes, making it easier for consumers to recall the purchasing process. Secondly, this product allows the inclusion of the 'style' attribute and helps consumers relate to the multiple variations that come along with it.

Among the attributes related to the consumption of sustainable fashion, previous research has identified price and attributes related to sustainability (e.g., the material of the clothing, certification labels, production location, etc.) as the dealbreakers in consumers' decision-making (Dekhili and Mohamed Akli 2012); (Rothenberg e Matthews 2017). There is a common tendency to assume that sustainable clothing comes at a premium price. The levels mentioned include the typical cost of a shirt in a fast fashion brand, all the way up to the price range in a premium clothing store or website. Quality was differentiated into three levels based on color, fabric, stitching, fit, and durability. These qualities are generally looked at when determining if a garment is built to last (Mitrokostas 2019). With shirts coming in different patterns and designs, the style was chosen as an attribute to evaluate its influence among the other parameters. Multiple research points toward consumers' willingness to buy apparel made from recycled fibers and fabrics (Dekhili and Mohamed Akli 2012); (Park and Lin 2020); (Wang, Sun and Song 2017). Therefore, the material origin is chosen as an attribute with sustainable fabrics comprising at one level and non-sustainable fabrics at the other. Similarly, (Rausch and Kopplin 2021) suggested that there has been a rise in material traceability issues in the apparel industry because of increased consumer concerns about environmental and social

## Group Part

sustainability. Given the characteristics of apparel, it is essential to incorporate certification labels as an attribute considering consumers' perception of the label as a tool to communicate the environmental performance of a product (Thøgersen 2002). Lastly, the production location variable was considered an attribute due to its positive effect on consumer buying decisions. The levels chosen include Portugal as respondents are locals, and Bangladesh due to lower costs of labor and consistently high levels of quality.

The conjoint data was collected via *Conjoint.ly*, an online choice-based conjoint platform that randomizes the product attributes levels and provides respondents with multiple scenarios to choose their preferred product from. These scenarios closely resemble a real-life situation wherein products might not be available due to a stockout or because, customers do not identify themselves with any of the presented options, so a "None of the above" option was available. The conjoint questions were followed by some demographic questions about respondents' gender, age, the region they hail from, educational background, professional situation, and household income.

The survey was disseminated through the researchers' social media accounts (*Facebook, WhatsApp, and Instagram*), social media groups that aimed to share academic surveys, and groups related to the topic at hand, e.g., Sustainable Fashion and Ecological groups, targeting consumers who were Portuguese or lived in Portugal for over five years to fulfill the requirements of the research question. However, this sampling method might affect the quality of the representativity of the Portuguese population, since it is limited to the researchers' social media communities.

## **4. Results**

### **4.1. Perceptual Map**

As previously explained, to answer the research question number two – How do Portuguese consumers perceive the sustainable fashion brands operating on the national market? – a Perceptual Map is going to be elaborated and analyzed. Below it is possible to find the results retrieved from the survey and SPSS, as the sample characteristic, brand knowledge, and perceptions.

- **General Results: Sample Characteristics**

A total of 112 answers were collected on the survey (previously explained on topic 3.3 of this work named “Perceptual Maps Survey”). From those 112 answers, 107 (96%) corresponded to Portuguese – or people living for at least five years in Portugal – and 5 (4%) to non-Portuguese. For the respondents who answered as being non-Portuguese, the survey ended right after this question, since this work intends to analyze and understand the Portuguese perception, not other nationalities. Considering this, all demographic information gathered refers to the Portuguese sample, since these questions were asked at the end of the survey.

The valid sample (Portuguese or people who live in Portugal for at least five years) is composed of 59% of females and 41% of males. Regarding age, 48,6% (52 out of 107) of the sample has an age range from 25 to 34 years old, and 37,4% (40 out of 107) has from 19 to 24 years old. These ranges sum up to 86% of the respondents. Besides that, 52 respondents (48,6%) are from Lisbon, while the others are spread around the country. Regarding the academic level, 85% of the sample has at least a bachelor's degree. Respondents are all students or workers, having only one unemployed and their household income is mixed, being the most common one between 751 and 2250 euros (47%).

All 107 respondents knew the brand Zara, which is a fast-fashion brand with a worldwide presence that, as mentioned before, was added to this survey to help us to understand the different perceptions consumers tend to have between sustainable and non-sustainable fashion brands. Moving to the sustainable fashion brands operating in the Portuguese market, 56 respondents – or 52,3% of the sample – knew Patagonia, 30 (28%) knew +351, and 18 (16,8%), knew ISTO.

A deeper explanation and analysis of the sample characteristics regarding age and gender are going to be provided on the two following topics, since, as previously mentioned, it is important to understand the brand knowledge among the sample population.

- **Sample Characteristic: Age**

When considering the valid sample age, it was measured by dividing them into age groups: less than 18; 18-24; 25-34; 35-44; 45-54; 55-64; and above 65. The great majority of the answers (86%) are between 18 and 34 years old, with, as already mentioned, 48,6% (52 out of 107) of the sample being from 25 until 34 years old, and 37,4% (40 out of 107) from 19 until 24 years old. Regarding the other age groups, only 4 people or 3,7% are less than 18 years old and 10,3% (11 people) are between 35 and 65+. From these 11 answers, 5 (4,7%) are from 35 until 44; 2 (1,9%) from 45 until 54; 4 (3,7%) from 55 until 64; and no respondents are more than 65.

Since we have a very small sample for all other age groups besides 18-24 and 25-34, it is complicated to analyze the behavior inside the groups, because it has an excess of responses from people between 18 and 34 and a lack from the other groups, what can bias the analysis. The lack of answers from the other groups can be justified due to the way the survey was spread(online), and Zoomers (Gen Z) and Millennials are more online generations compared to the others (Chivers 2021), being a limitation of this work project.



- **Sample Characteristic: Gender**

The sample is now going to be analyzed considering only its gender. As previously mentioned, it contains 63 females, which represents 59% of the whole population, and 44 males, the remaining 41%. From these 63 females, 27 (42,9%) knew Patagonia, 17 (27%) knew +351 and 10 (15,9%) knew ISTO. Moving now to the male population of the sample, from the 44 answers, 29 (65,9%) knew Patagonia, 13 (29,5%) knew +351, and 8 (18,2%) knew ISTO. According to the conducted research, it is possible, then, to affirm that males know more about the presented sustainable fashion brands operating on the national market than females.

That difference can be justified due to the difference between male and female shopping behaviors. A study from Wharton School of Business says: “men buy, women shop”, and according to Stephen J. Hoch – a Wharton marketing professor – “women think of shopping in an inter-personal, human fashion and men treat it as more instrumental.” (Knowledge at Wharton Staff 2007). This affirmation was made in 2007 and is still valid many years later. According to a much more recent Forbes publication, “women purchasing decisions often carry more emotional weight” (Brennan 2013). Also, another study from 2018 stated that “shopping plays a stronger emotional, psychological and symbolic role for women compared with men” (Garczarek-Bąk e Szymkowiak 2018). Besides, it was also stated by The Great British Wardrobe Report in 2017 (Crisell 2017) that women feel better about their image when wearing something new, which can be relatable to the fact that they tend to buy more than men (Robinson 2021). While women buy on average 7.1 times/year, men only do it about 5.4 times (Robinson 2021). Also, according to the same source, women tend to be more responsive to sales and follow trends and fashion, making the purchasing decision with that in their minds. While women buy on average 7.1 times/year, men only do it about 5.4 times (Robinson 2021). Also, according to the same source, women tend to be more responsive to sales and follow trends and fashion, making the purchasing decision with that in their minds.

As it is going to be shown below on the Perceptual Maps Figures 5 and 6, the sustainable fashion brands researched (+351, ISTO., and Patagonia) are on the right quadrants and, in this way, more related to “sincerity”, “transparency”, “material origin” and “work conditions” and being on the opposite side of “trendy”, for instance. In this way, considering all the above presented information regarding the difference between male and female shopping behaviors, it is now possible to understand the previous showed the difference in their knowledge regarding the brands, since women tend to prefer more fashionable options and want to buy more frequently, what goes against the sustainable essence of those brands, that do not agree with overconsumption, also having a more basic style among their apparel options.

*Figure 5 - Perceptual maps with grouped attributes*





Figure 6 - Perceptual maps with brands and attributes relative positioning



## 4.2. Conjoint Analysis

As already mentioned in the methodology section, a conjoint study was conducted via the survey platform *Conjoint.ly* to answer research question 1: “Are Portuguese Consumers Willing to Pay a Premium for Sustainable Fashion?”

The study collected 272 responses between the 6<sup>th</sup> May 2022 and the 15<sup>th</sup> May 2022. Of the 272 respondents, the majority opened the survey link and left the page immediately (73.5% of the respondents), 3.7% of the respondents started the survey but did not complete it and 22.8% of the respondents completed the survey, which accounted for 61 responses (see figure 13 in Appendix 7). However, considering only the 61 completed surveys, only 57 will be taken

## Group Part

into account for the sample, since 4 were low-quality responses because these respondents did not scroll through all the shown options.


### **Preliminary Analysis**

#### **Attribute Importance**

On analyzing the population sample, 33.9% and 29% of the respondents prioritized the price and quality of the shirt, respectively (see Figure 7). This was followed by the production location, certification labels, style, and origin of materials. The average percentage of importance for the four previously mentioned attributes is 9.3% with a range of 3.8%, indicating that these attributes were not considered to be as important as the price and quality, and were almost equally less important.

Regarding the levels in which clothing attribute is divided, the respondents have a preference for prices up to €40 compared with the highest prices, for higher quality, trendy pieces, for clothes made of sustainable materials, made in Portugal and that have some certification label (see figure 20 in Appendix 7).

Figure 7 - Importance of attributes (in%)



### Ranked List of Concepts

The ranked list has shirts made up of 103 combinations out of 210 that have left a positive impact on the customers. Among those, the first 75 of them are shirts of medium or high quality, indicating that quality plays a major part in the purchasing process. Customers are also extremely sensitive to price, willing to sacrifice and purchase a shirt of medium quality if that would mean that their shirt is going to be cheaper. The willingness to pay more than €40 is seen only when the shirt is of high quality and sustainable. A similar trend is seen further down the ranking list as well, roughly indicating that consumers are willing to pay about €20 more for a sustainable shirt if it is of high quality. The same cannot be said about a shirt that is €60 or higher as the first mention of it is on the 98<sup>th</sup> rank. Style, Production Location, and Certification Labels seem to vary throughout, so there does not seem to be a particular level that customers are keen on. The first 20 results on the ranking list are shirts made locally in Portugal, suggesting that customers would prefer a locally produced garment. This tendency

begins to shift as the price rises above €40, with more importance being given to price and quality.

- **Willingness to pay**

**Preliminary calculations**

In this section, the conjoint results will be analyzed and used as a basis to calculate the willingness to pay for sustainable fashion, which will ultimately answer research question 1: Would Portuguese consumers be willing to pay a premium price for sustainable fashion? For this willingness to pay calculation, the results on the average preferences for levels (level partworths), shown below in table 2, will have the main role.

*Table 2 - Average preferences for levels (level partworths)*

<b>Attributes</b>	<b>Levels</b>	<b>Average preferences for levels (level part-worth)</b>
<b>Quality</b>	High quality	13.2%
	Average quality	6.0%
	Low quality	-19.2%
<b>Style</b>	Trendy	3.2%
	Comfortable	-0.9%
	Classic	-2.3%
<b>Materials origin</b>	Sustainable	3.3%
	Not sustainable	-3.3%
<b>Certification label</b>	PETA	1.6%
	Fair Trade	2.6%
	None	-4.2%
<b>Production local</b>	Made in Portugal	5.2%
	Made in Bangladesh	-5.2%
<b>Price</b>	Up to €20	14.6%
	Between €21 and €40	10.7%
	Between €41 and €60	-1.6%
	Above €60	-23.7%

## Group Part

Firstly, as the attribute “price” had ranges of prices as levels (< €20; €21-40; €41-60; > €60) and not specific prices, it was necessary to calculate the midpoint of these ranges to use as specific prices. For the last range (> €60), the upper price considered was €80. With this, the price midpoints obtained were €10, €30, €50, and €70 (see figure 21 in Appendix 7). Then, as a next step, the gap between one price midpoint’s part-worth (average preference) and the next one was calculated successively until the last price midpoint (€70), to achieve the correspondent utility. For example, from €10 to €30, the gap between their partworths was 3.9%, which is equal to the absolute value of 10.7% - 14.6%. The results for all the gaps between price levels’ partworths are 3.9% from €10 to €30; 12.3% from €30 to €50 and 22.1% from €50 to €70, as can be seen in the following table.

*Table 3 - Calculation of the gap between the price levels' partworths*

Attribute	Levels	Price Midpoint	Price levels' partworth	Distance (gap) between price levels' partworth
Price	Up to €20	€10.0	14.6%	
	Between €21 and €40	€30.0	10.7%	3.9%
	Between €41 and €60	€50.0	-1.6%	12.3%
	Above €60	€70.0	-23.7%	22.1%

Since these gaps between price levels’ partworths are different (3.9% ≠ 12.3% ≠ 22.1%) and the increase from one price midpoint to the next one is always €20, for analysis simplicity, a simple average gap was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Average gap for €20 increase in price} = \frac{(3.9\% + 12.3\% + 22.1\%)}{3} = 12.77\%$$

The result can be interpreted as: for each €20 increase in the price of the product, there is an average negative effect of 12.77% on utility. In the same line of thinking, by doing a direct

## Group Part

proportion, for each €1 increase in the price of the product there is a +n average negative effect of 0,64% on utility:

$$\text{Average gap for €1 increase in price} = \frac{\text{€1} \times 12.77\%}{\text{€20}} = 0.64\%$$

Then, as a next step to calculate the willingness to pay for each level of each attribute (quality, style, materials origin, certification label, and production location), the gaps between the lowest level's partworth and each one of the upper level's partworth were computed, as seen in the 4<sup>th</sup> column of table 4 below. The lowest level's partworths of each attribute (in orange), are normally related to fast fashion products (except for the style that can have common levels to sustainable and fast fashion), which will be the standing point to estimate the willingness to pay for sustainable fashion products, in other words, to see if customers are willing to pay a premium for sustainability over fast fashion products' prices. Afterward, to calculate the willingness to pay for each level of each attribute, a direct proportion was again done, using the already known average gap for a €1 increase in price (0.64%). To better understand the calculation behind it, as an example, let's consider the production location and its levels ("Made in Portugal" and "Made in Bangladesh"). It is easy to verify that, a shirt "Made in Portugal" has a higher partworth level (5,2%), when compared to a shirt "Made in Bangladesh" (-5,2%), which corresponds to a gap of 10,4%. Then, the direct proportion to reach the willingness to pay for the shirt made in Portugal was computed this way:

$$\text{Willingness to pay for a shirt made in Portugal} = \frac{\text{€1} \times 10.4\%}{0.64\%} = \text{€16.29}$$

This result can be interpreted in the following way: Portuguese consumers are willing to pay €16.29 more for a shirt that was made in Portugal than the price of a shirt that was made in Bangladesh. According to a study done by Diário de Notícias (2020), since Covid-19 appeared, Portuguese consumers have been giving priority to national products over



## Group Part

international ones, even when consumers purchase online, which can justify the €16.29 premium for shirts made in Portugal, compared to the ones made in Bangladesh. Alternatively, Portuguese consumers can just perceive the €16.29 premium as the shipping costs, customs taxes, and the cost of not having immediate gratification by acquiring a shirt from Bangladesh.

Finally, this step was repeated for all the other attributes, leading to the results in the 5<sup>th</sup> column of table 4.

*Table 4 - Summary of the calculations of the willingness to pay for each attribute*

Attributes	Levels	Average preferences for levels (level partworths)	Distance (gap) between the lowest level's partworth for each attribute and the other levels' partworth within the same attribute	Willingness to pay
Quality	High quality	13.2%	32.4%	€50.76
	Average quality	6.0%	25.2%	€39.48
	<b>Low quality</b>	<b>-19.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>€0.00</b>
Style	Trendy	3.2%	5.5%	€8.62
	Comfortable	-0.9%	1.4%	€2.19
	<b>Classic</b>	<b>-2.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>€0.00</b>
Materials origin	Sustainable	3.3%	6.6%	€10.34
	<b>Not sustainable</b>	<b>-3.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>€0.00</b>
Certification label	PETA	1.6%	5.8%	€9.09
	Fair Trade	2.6%	6.8%	€10.65
	<b>None</b>	<b>-4.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>€0.00</b>
Production location	Made in Portugal	5.2%	10.4%	€16.29
	<b>Made in Bangladesh</b>	<b>-5.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>€0.00</b>

Besides the already analyzed attribute (production location), an analysis of the remaining attributes should be conducted. To start with quality, Portuguese consumers are willing to pay more than €50.67 for a high-quality shirt and more than €39,48 for an average level shirt for a

## Group Part

low-quality one. As already seen in the conjoint survey results (see Figure 10 above) quality is the most important attribute after price, and consumers have a major preference for high-quality clothes (Appendix 7, Figure 20), which justifies why they are willing to pay a much higher premium for quality when compared with the remaining attributes.

As for the style, Portuguese consumers are willing to pay a premium of €8.62 for a trendy shirt, and a premium of €2.19 for a comfortable shirt, above the price of a classic shirt. These consumers do not see style as a priority when deciding to buy clothes (table 4).

Regarding materials origin, Portuguese consumers are disposed to pay an extra €10.34 for a shirt made with sustainable materials (organic cotton, linen, Tencel, or organic hemp), on top of a non-sustainable shirt (made with polyester, acrylic, nylon, rayon). Finally, concerning the certification label, Portuguese are willing to pay a premium of €10.65 for a shirt with Fair Trade certification and a premium of €9.09 for a shirt with PETA (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals) certification, when compared with the price of a shirt without certifications. In reality, a study conducted by *Showroomprive.pt* found that 64.73% of the Portuguese are willing to purchase ethical and sustainable fashion, even if the prices are higher than fast fashion ones. This goes in hand with the fact that 95.18% of Portuguese consumers consider it important “to take care of the planet’s health” (Comunidades Lusófonas 2021).

## Results

After deconstructing each attribute’s willingness to pay, and since each shirt is presented to the market as a combination of attributes, it is relevant to estimate what would be the premium that Portuguese consumers would be willing to pay for a shirt with all these characteristics combined (quality, style, materials origin, certification label, and production location). In this line of thinking, since the main goal of this section is to answer the RQ1:

## Group Part

Would Portuguese consumers be willing to pay a premium price for sustainable fashion?, all the attributes' levels should be sustainability-oriented.

For this purpose, a hypothetical shirt, called shirt X, was created with the following characteristics: high quality, comfortable, made with sustainable materials, Fair Trade certified, and made in Portugal. This combination of attributes corresponds to the top 4 ranked shirts from the ranked list of product concepts as preferred by customers extracted from conjoint survey results. The 4<sup>th</sup> most preferred combination of attributes was chosen since the 3 first ranked products had a feature that was not so associated with sustainable fashion, which was the trendy style. Normally sustainable fashion products tend to be more basic or comfortable to be always in style and avoid over purchases, as ISTO.'s, for example. Thus, the estimated willingness to pay for shirt X would be the sum of all the individual attributes' willingness to pay (high quality = €50.76; comfortable = €2.19; sustainable materials = €10.34; Fair Trade = €10.65; made in Portugal = €16.26):

$$\text{Willingness To Pay for shirt X} = \text{€50.76} + \text{€2.19} + \text{€10.34} + \text{€10.65} + \text{€16.26}$$

$$\text{Willingness to pay for shirt X} = \text{€90.23}$$

Ideally, Portuguese consumers would be willing to pay a premium of €90.23 for a sustainable fashion shirt (like the shirt X), above the price of a non-sustainable shirt. As seen before, the lowest partworth levels, in orange, in table 12 correspond to the characteristics of a non-sustainable choice (low quality, classic, made with not-sustainable materials, without certification label, and made in Bangladesh).

## Group Part

However, based on research made by Jung and Jin (2016), even the *highly involved in slow fashion persona* would only be disposed to pay 30% to 40% more to buy slow fashion items compared to fast fashion items. Therefore, considering that the price of a non-sustainable option would be around €26 like the one presented below in figure 1 from Zara, consumers would be willing to pay between €33.8 to €36.4 for the sustainable option, by adding a premium of 30%-40% to Zara's shirt price. Thus, in reality, Portuguese consumers might not be willing to pay €26 plus a premium of €90.23, totalizing €116.23 for a sustainable shirt.

*Figure 8 - Price of a not-sustainable shirt from Zara*



*Source: Zara*

The previous conclusion might indicate that the willingness to pay a premium for a shirt with multiple characteristics, should not be calculated solely based on the sum of each character's individual willingness to pay and that the interactions between these characteristics should be considered in the equation.

## Simulations

## Group Part

To analyze how the characteristics of the shirts interact between them, some simulations using a Conjoint.ly tool were done. First, two hypothetical products A and B with price points of €20 or lower and €21 – 40 were considered respectively. The other attributes were the same (high quality, trendy style, sustainable materials, Fair Trade certification label, and made in Portugal). This resulted in preference shares of 58.6% for A and 41.2% for B (see figure 22 in Appendix 7).

On altering the quality of A to medium while retaining the quality of B at high (the other attributes remain the same), shares of B rise to 61.4% and reduce A's shares to 38.5%. The drastic change in shares indicates the importance of quality concerning the other attributes.

On a second simulation, the price of B was moved up to €41 – 60. Quality and all other attributes had not been changed. Shares of A increased to 54.9% and B's shares reduced to 44.9%. On pushing the price of the product A up to €21 – 40, the preference shares were almost equal. Quality was the attribute that affected purchasing power the most, closely followed by Price.

The attributes were reset again. Product A was sustainable while B was not. Product A cost the consumers between €21 – 40 while B was €20 or less. The preference shares for A stood at 57.1% and 42.9% for B, indicating that based on the surveyed sample, consumers were willing to pay at least €20 more for sustainable clothing if there was no difference or reduction in quality. This trend however changed when the price for A was moved up to €41 – 60. 60% of the people were happier buying non-sustainable clothing. This shows that people were unwilling to pay more than a premium of €20 for sustainable clothing over conventional clothing.

For some of the attributes, the levels did not seem to have much of an impact on the respondents as they did with price or quality. With the certification labels for example, on

## Group Part

simulating two products with different certification labels (with all other attributes remaining the same), the preference shares stood at 49.3% for PETA and 50.6% for Fair Trade. The trend was very similar for style as well when the price and quality remained the same.

To conclude, although the conjoint survey had a small sample (57 respondents) which was not the most representative of the Portuguese population, it was possible to infer that the national consumers give more importance to price and quality than other attributes, which might indicate that they normally look for average price-quality clothing and do not give much importance to sustainable attributes. On the contrary, by looking at the willingness to pay a premium for each sustainable characteristic when compared with the least preferred characteristics, connected with fast fashion, it is possible to conclude that Portuguese consumers are disposed to pay more for sustainable options, meaning that they give importance to sustainable aspects of fashion.

Considering the combination of all the sustainable characteristics in a shirt, showed that Portuguese customers would be willing to pay more €90.23 for a sustainable shirt than for a fast-fashion shirt, which would not totalize a reasonable final price that customers would be willing to pay since they give higher importance to the price attribute. After running some simulations, it is possible to conclude that consumers were willing to pay a maximum premium of €20 for sustainable clothing if it was made with high quality, being sensitive to price changes above that level.

## **5. Conclusions**

This research allowed us to find meaningful results about the Portuguese consumer behavior in the sustainable fashion industry by analyzing a significant sample taken from different surveys focused on personas, perceptual maps, and conjoint analysis individually.

## Group Part

First, Portuguese consumers can be considered as average sustainable consumers in the fashion industry, meaning that they have recently started to make changes (including the attributes they now value the most when buying different types of products) in their daily habits to become more sustainable consumers since they are concerned about the short- and long-term impact on the environment.

Regarding the sustainable fashion brands, the ones included in this research were less associated with the attributes of trendy and excitement, as seen in the analysis of the perceptual maps. Also, these brands were more associated with attributes related to the sustainable approach of business, such as sincerity, material origin, transparency, and work conditions. It was also possible to conclude that consumers do not associate price with, however, they associate quality with sustainability since there is a high and positive correlation of quality with the attributes: sincerity, material origin, transparency, and work conditions.

As result, consumers might be willing to pay a premium for sustainable fashion brands if the brand is committed to having a more efficient supply chain and product lifecycle, and if they offer clothes with good quality that matches their taste (which does not necessarily match with the fashion trends). The efficiency of the supply chain and product lifecycle might be hard for consumers to understand if they cannot access the information needed to make fully informed decisions; therefore, sustainable fashion brands are recommended to be transparent with each step of their supply chain by sharing as much information as possible with their current and potential consumers. As mentioned, this information should be mostly linked with the origin of the materials and the work conditions.

As a last analysis of the perceptions maps, it was concluded that sustainable fashion brands have been focused on men's fashion since men correspond to an easier target when designing clothes that can last time and match different style preferences, nonetheless, even though

## Group Part

women are believed to value more unique pieces that can make them stand out, they have also demonstrated to be concerned about sustainability in the fashion industry and willing to consider sustainable fashion brands for future purchases. Therefore, these brands are recommended to also target women innovatively, meaning by creating pieces that can be long lasting but also matchable with sustainable accessories that allow women to have unique outfits. Even though the sustainable fashion accessories industry was not the objective of this investigation, just a few of the brands under analysis have already entered this market.

Based on the conjoint analysis, it was possible to infer that the national consumers give more importance to price and quality than other attributes, which might indicate that they normally look for average price-quality clothing and do not give much importance to sustainable attributes. On the contrary, the willingness to pay a premium for each sustainable characteristic when compared with the least preferred characteristics, connected with fast fashion, indicates that Portuguese consumers are willing to pay more for sustainable options, so they are concerned with the sustainable side of fashion.

Considering the combination of all the sustainable characteristics in a shirt, showed that Portuguese customers would be willing to pay more €90.23 for a sustainable shirt than for a fast-fashion shirt, which would generate a final price tag that customers would not be disposed to pay since they give major importance to the price attribute, so they are more price sensitive. Finally, after running some simulations by changing one attribute level of the products at each time, it was possible to conclude that consumers were willing to pay a maximum premium of €20 for sustainable clothing if it was made with high quality, being sensitive to price changes above that level.

## **6. Study Limitations**



## Group Part

The main limitation of this investigation corresponds to the sampling method. Since the different surveys were spread by the group members mostly on their social media accounts, the sample population is not fully representative of the Portuguese population, meaning that there are some groups excluded from the results previously presented, and some demographic data could not be analyzed or used to drive results. For instance, one of the main limitations was that for all the surveys the population was mostly composed of people between 18 and 34 years old, whilst the Portuguese population is mostly composed of older people as previously presented. For future investigation on this topic, it would be recommended to use a random sampling method to reach the most diverse population possible.

The previous limitation led to having common attributes for all the three different personas profiles obtained. All the personas share the consumers' brand awareness (they know at least one of the brands shown in the survey), gender (female), educational level (bachelor's degree), professional situation (employed), and correspond to consumers that have bought in a sustainable fashion brand in the last 3 years. As mentioned, this could be improved by having a random sampling method in future investigations.

On the other hand, for the conjoint survey, there was a limitation in regards to the sample size. Even though it was recommended to have at least 150 responses in *Conjoint.ly*, the data presented correspond to a sample size of 57 people. The reason behind this is that even though the survey was opened by 272 people, most of them just opened the survey and did not provide any answers. For future investigations, it would be recommended to evaluate the possibility of considering fewer attributes or levels per attribute so the survey takes less time to be completed or explore other tools that might be more interactive when presenting the different options to the respondents.

## Group Part

Even though it does not represent a limitation regarding the results presented, for the preliminary survey used to analyze the different personas in the sustainable fashion industry, the results presented correspond to a 90% confidence level, leaving a 10% of chance. As previously mentioned, this confidence level is given by the number of responses reached in the survey, meaning that future investigators could consider having bigger samples to increase the confidence level.

Finally, to calculate the willingness to pay for sustainable fashion, it would be important, besides considering bigger samples in the Conjoint Analysis, as already mentioned, to analyze the available literature review and theory on how the interactions between the clothing attributes will operate when combined, to provide a more reliable price premium estimate

## 7. Reference List

- +351. n.d. +351 *Fabrics*. Accessed May 19, 2022. <https://plus351.pt/pages/fabrics>.
- Aaker, Jennifer. 1997. "Dimensions of Brand Personality." *Journal of Marketing Research* 11.
- Babin, Barry, and Eric Harris. 2012. *CB3*. Mason, OH: Cengage Learning.
- Bajaj, Akhilesh. 1999. "Conjoint Analysis: A Potential Methodology For IS Research."
- Bick, Rachel, Erika Halsey, and Christine C. Ekenga. 2018. "The global environmental injustice of fast fashion."
- Brennan, Bridget. 2013. *Forbes*. March 6. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/bridgetbrennan/2013/03/06/the-real-reason-women-shop-more-than-men/?sh=6bdf7c4674b9>.
- Brito, Flavia. 2020. *Moda sustentável: urgência ou tendência?* L, December 9. Accessed March 20, 2022. <https://gerador.eu/moda-sustentavel-urgencia-ou-tendencia/>.
- Cernansky, Rachel. 2021. *Customers Care More About Sustainability Post-Lockdowns. Now what?* August 5. Accessed February 02, 2022. <https://www.voguebusiness.com/sustainability/customers-care-more-about-sustainability-post-lockdowns-now-what>.
- Chivers, Kyle. 2021. *Digital generations: The technology gap between seniors, parents, and kids*. May 26. Accessed May 2022. <https://us.norton.com/internetsecurity-how-to-digital-generations.html>.
- Clark, Garrette, Long Nguyen Hong, Marcel Crul, and Justin Kosoris. 2009. *Design for Sustainability: Current Trends in Sustainable Product Design and Development*.

Comunidades. 2021. *Comunidades*. October 27. <https://comunidadeslusofonas.pt/portugueses-dispostos-a-pagar-mais-por-moda-etica-e-sustentavel/>.

Comunidades Lusófonas. 2021. *Portugueses dispostos a pagar mais por moda ética e sustentável*. October 27th. Accessed May 16th, 2022. <https://comunidadeslusofonas.pt/portugueses-dispostos-a-pagar-mais-por-moda-etica-e-sustentavel/>.

Conscious. n.d. *Sustainability*. Accessed May 2022. <https://consciousthelabel.com/pages/sustainability>.

Correia, Rafael. 2021. *Distribuição Hoje*. June 2021. <https://www.distribuicao hoje.com/consumo/52-dos-consumidores-portugueses-ja-compram-produtos-sustentaveis/>.

Crisell, Hattie. 2017. *Do you spend £1042 on clothes each year? New research reveals the average Brit's shopping habits*. March 23. Accessed May 2022. <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/fashion/news/do-spend-1042-clothes-year-new-research-reveals-average-brits/>.

Dekhili, Sihem, and Achabou Mohamed Akli. 2012. "Price Fairness in the Case of Green Products: Enterprises' Policies and Consumers' Perceptions."

Dictionary, Cambridge. n.d. *Cambridge Dictionary*. <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/quality>.

Ekwall, Daniel, and Daniel Hjelmgren. 2018. "Proximity Manufacturing for Enhancing Clothing Supply Chain Sustainability." *The International Journal of Logistics Management* 29 (4): 1346-1378. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/IJLM-09-2017->

0233/full/pdf?title=proximity-manufacturing-for-enhancing-clothing-supply-chain-sustainability.

Executiva. 2020. *Executiva*. October 23. <https://executiva.pt/evento/conferencia-internacional-moda-sustentavel/>.

Fair Trade Certified. n.d. *Fair Trade Certified*. Accessed May 1, 2022. <https://www.fairtrade.net>.

Fashion Dictionary. n.d. *Fashion Dictionary*.

Fashion For Change. 2021. *Fashion For Change*. July 2021. <https://www.fashionforchange.eu/survey-consumers-do-care-about-sustainable-fashion/>.

Garczarek-Bąk, Urszula , and Andrzej Szymkowiak. 2018. "Gender Differences in E-commerce." *Gender Differences in E-commerce*, January: 251.

Garvin, D.A. 1988. "Managing Quality. The Strategic and Competitive Edge." *The Free Press*.

Gasparini, Allison. 2020. *Sustainable Fashion Demand Provides New Opportunities In Material Science And Chemistry*. May 19. Accessed April 25, 2022. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/allisongasparini/2020/05/19/sustainable-fashion-demand-provides-new-opportunities-in-material-science-and-chemistry/?sh=7b0928e4b495>.

Glocalities. 2021. *Glocalities*. October 26. Accessed February 20, 2022. <https://glocalities.com/reports/environmental-trends-2021?highlight=WyJlbnZpcm9ubWVudGFsIiwuY29uY2VybiIsImNvbmlcm4nIiwuZW52aXJvbm1lbnRhbCBjb25jZXJuil0=>.

- Granskog, Anna, Libbi Lee, Karl-Hendrik Magnus, and Corinne Sawers. 2020. *Survey: Consumers Sentiment on Sustainability in Fashion*. July 17. Accessed February 03, 2022. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/survey-consumer-sentiment-on-sustainability-in-fashion>.
- GUAJA. n.d. *Who We Are*. Accessed May 2022. <https://guajastudio.com/en/pages/who-we-are>.
- Hair, Joseph, Rolph Anderson, Ronald Tatham, and Black William. 1992. *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Vol. Third Edition. London: MacMillan Publishing.
- Hawkins, Del, Roger Best, and Kenneth Coney. 1983. *Consumer Behavior. Implications for Marketing Strategy*. Vol. Revised Edition. Plano, Texas: Business Publications, INC.
- Henninger, C.E., P.J. Alevizou, and C.J Oates. 2016. "What is sustainable fashion?" *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*.
- Hoyer, Wayne, and Deborah MacLinnis. 2003. *Consumer Behavior*. Vol. Third edition. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- ISTO. n.d. *About Us*. <https://isto.pt/pages/about>.
- Iwanow, H., M. McEachern, and A. Jeffrey. 2005. "The influence of ethical trading policies on consumer apparel purchase decisions: a focus on The Gap Inc." *Retail Distrib. Manag.*
- Joergens, Catrin. 2006. "Ethical Fashion: Myth or Future Trend?" *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management* 10 (3): 360-371. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/13612020610679321/full/pdf?title=ethical-fashion-myth-or-future-trend>.
- Jung E., Ha-Brookshire, and Norum Pamela S. 2011. "Willingness to pay for socially responsible products: case of cotton apparel." *Journal of Consumer Marketing*.

- Kachaner, Nicolas, Jesper Nielsen, and Adrien Portafaix. 2020. *The Pandemic Is Heightening Environmental Awareness*. 14 July. Accessed May 2022. <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2020/pandemic-is-heightening-environmental-awareness>.
- Kapur, Sandeep, Babita Kumar, Gagandeep Banga, and Mahesh Surana. 2008. "Comparison of Full Profile Approach and Self-Explicated Approach of Conjoint Analysis: An Empirical Evidence." *Journal of Management Research*.
- Kirsi, Niinimäki. 2010. "Eco-clothing, consumer identity and ideology." *Sustainable Development* 150-162.
- Knowledge at Wharton Staff. 2007. *Knowledge at Wharton*. November 28. <https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/men-buy-women-shop-the-sexes-have-different-priorities-when-walking-down-the-aisles/>.
- KPMG Impact. 2020. *The Time Has Come*. December. Accessed February 02, 2022. <https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/xx/pdf/2020/11/the-time-has-come.pdf>.
- Lee, Anthony, Fu-Chen Yang, Chao-Hung Chen, Chun-Sheng Wang, and Chih-Yuan Sum. 2016. "Mining Perceptual Maps from Consumer Reviews." *Decision Support Systems*. <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0167923615002110?token=C661ACFB8E883611C0F30CA3553600BDEA65909B0B35B9BE840B487FDBF292AB305886C3015639048CC4CAD4FEFE9235&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20220318155146>.
- McNeill, L., and R. Moore. 2015. "Sustainable fashion consumption and the fast fashion conundrum: fashionable consumers and attitudes to sustainability in clothing choice."

- Mitrokostas, Sophia. 2019. *Insider*. January 10. Accessed April 29, 2022. <https://www.insider.com/signs-clothes-good-quality-2019-1>.
- Murphy, Chris. 2021. *Investopedia*. <https://www.investopedia.com/ask/answers/101314/what-difference-between-cost-and-price.asp>.
- Nagle, Thomas, and Georg Muller. 2018. *The Strategy and Tactics of Pricing*. New York: Routledge.
- Nguyen, Lavender. 2021. *What is Brand Transparency and Why It Is Important*. January 28. Accessed April 20, 2022. <https://latana.com/post/brand-transparency/>.
- Niinimäki, Kirsi, and Lotta Hassi. 2011. "Emerging Design Strategies in Sustainable Production and Consumption of Textiles and Clothing." *Journal of Cleaner Production* 1876-1883. <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0959652611001569?token=0E29F326BD9A1F7DCE10450DB61327177CF9CB26C047884DB9699AB1F08D306B9D911C4E15ED6D1E958CD26F3BB7039C&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20220429102247>.
- Niinimäki, Kirsi, and Maarit Aako. 2020. "Quality matters: reviewing the connections between perceived quality and clothing use time." *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*.
- Park, Hyun Jung, and Li Min Lin. 2020. "Exploring attitude-behavior gap in sustainable consumption: comparison of recycled and upcycled fashion products." *Journal of Business Research*.
- . 2020. "Exploring attitude-behavior gap in sustainable consumption: comparison of recycled fashion products." *Journal of business research*. <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S0148296318304004?token=0702C36CDBB>



14A4EA6B79743991AB6DEAC83377FAF036399C4D9CDE10EB093B98B597EF6  
234865D16E989AC6056B8053&originRegion=eu-west-  
1&originCreation=20220318133400).

PETA. n.d. *PETA*. Accessed May 1, 2022. <https://www.peta.org/about-peta/how-is-a-company-certified-as-cruelty-free/>.

Popović, Milena, Marija Kuzmanović<sup>1</sup>, and Gordana Savić. 2018. "A Comparative Empirical Study of Analytic Hierarchy Process and Conjoint Analysis: Literature REview." *Decision Making: Applications in Management and Engineering*, 153-163.

Rausch, Theresa Maria, and Cristopher Siegfried Kopplin. 2021. "Bridge the gap: Consumers' purchase intention and behavior regarding sustainable clothing."

Read, Julia. 2017. "OVERCOMING BARRIERS TO ETHICAL CLOTHING CONSUMPTION: A CONJOINT ANALYSIS APPROACH."

Ritch, Elaine L. 2015. "Consumers interpreting sustainability: moving beyond food to fashion." *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*.

Robinson, Jennifer. 2021. *SageSeller*. October 11. <https://sageseller.com/blog/difference-between-men-and-women-shopping-behaviors/>.

Rothenberg, Lori, and Delisia Matthews. 2017. "Consumer decision making when purchasing eco-friendly apparel ." *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*.

Sawers, Corinne, Karl-Hendrik Magnus, Libbi Lee, and Anna Granskog. 2020. July 17. Accessed February 20, 2021. <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/retail/our-insights/survey-consumer-sentiment-on-sustainability-in-fashion>.

Schroeder, Bernhard. 2020. *From The Traditional to The Outrageous, Four Brands That Use Honest Transparency to Build Loyal Costumer with Non-Traditional Marketing and*

*Branding*. January 16. Accessed April 20, 2022.

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernhardschroeder/2020/01/16/from-the-traditional-to-the-outrageous-four-brands-that-use-honest-transparency-to-build-loyal-customers-with-non-traditional-marketing-and-branding/?sh=6506e7fc20a1>.

Shao, Alan. 1999. *Marketing Research: An Aid to Decision making*. Cincinnati, OH: South-Western College Publishing.

Shaw, D., G. Hogg, E. Wilson, E. Shiu, and L. Hassan. 2006. "Fashion victim: The impact of fair trade concerns on clothing choice." *Journal of Strategic Marketing*.

Singh, Ajay, and Masuku Micah. 2014. "Sampling Techniques and Determination of Sample Size in Applied Statistics Research: An Overview." *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management* 2 (11): 1-23.  
[https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/65225177/21131\\_IJECM-with-cover-page-v2.pdf?Expires=1652447427&Signature=dMeeQ70suQaRPnoyvzwzHliTuzQJD7hoD1ud4UT11~vJY4sLEqb6-efuxMFpWVmMXshU8SjoOI5f7vuyJjBVBCsKAANK-iszemKCU80iTUT9RUdjp4klWbQb2tnsnbBU68TMV6Z8rsnQkNnX](https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/65225177/21131_IJECM-with-cover-page-v2.pdf?Expires=1652447427&Signature=dMeeQ70suQaRPnoyvzwzHliTuzQJD7hoD1ud4UT11~vJY4sLEqb6-efuxMFpWVmMXshU8SjoOI5f7vuyJjBVBCsKAANK-iszemKCU80iTUT9RUdjp4klWbQb2tnsnbBU68TMV6Z8rsnQkNnX).

Thogersen, John. 2002. "Promoting "green" consumer behaviour with eco-labels."

Wang, Yutao, Mingxing Sun, and Baimin Song. 2017. "Public perceptions of and willingness to pay for sponge city initiatives in China."

Workman, Jane, and Cathryn Studak. 2005. "Fashion Consumer and Fashion Problem Recognition Style." *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 30 (1): 75-84.  
[https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2005.00451.x?saml\\_referrer](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2005.00451.x?saml_referrer).

World Bank. 2019. *How Much Do Our Wardrobes Cost to the Environment?* 2019 September.

Accessed May 2022. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2019/09/23/costo-moda-medio-ambiente>.

Xu, Yingjiao, Hanna Lee, Ailin Li, and Luo Wang. 2021. *Preferred product attributes for sustainable outdoor apparel: A conjoint analysis approach* [Author links open overlay panel](#).

## 8. Appendices

### Appendix 1 -

Figure 5 - Preliminary Survey Results: Average rate of attributes



## Appendix 2 - Preliminary Interviews

Table 2 - Interview with ISTO. questions and answers

Question	Answer
<b>What is your perception of the sustainable fashion market in Portugal? (Growth, size, trends, etc.)</b>	The sustainable fashion market in Portugal has demonstrated to have a lot of emerging brands and some other turning their business models into sustainable business models to adapt to demand. Based on my experience, the sustainable market is growing and is expected to keep growing. Specifically for ISTO, from 2020 to 2021 we doubled our revenues.
<b>Where are ISTO's customers from in geographic terms? Are they Portuguese people? Or is ISTO. more recognized by foreigners (in Lisbon/online)?</b>	60% of the sales are to Portugal and the rest is international (Germany is a big market since German consumers are more aware and concerned about sustainability). There are currently 3 stores in Lisbon and 1 in Porto, and 45% of the sales are online. The brand is planning to keep growing and internationalize to markets like the USA
<b>Regarding the Portuguese consumers, can you identify different personas among them, based on purchasing attitudes, expenses, lifestyle, etc.?</b>	Conscious consumers that like quality clothing. They prefer quality to quantity and they are willing to pay extra for it. People that like to travel and like to have basic clothes and not necessarily trendy ISTO sells more to male customers because we are focused on that. It is also common that women to buy presents for their men friends/boyfriends/family... Our perception has been that men are more conscious of sustainability
<b>What is the average age of ISTO.'s customers?</b>	Portuguese: men and women - 22-40 years old. This is the same for other countries (max is 45)
<b>Why did ISTO? decide to start with men's clothing instead of women's or both?</b>	ISTO started with men mostly cause the owners are men and they wanted to create something that they could also wear. It was a good starting point to understand customers' needs. The brand then decided to also target women because of the requests/demands of the market. It is still hard to understand women's needs, that's why they are still more focused on men. Nowadays, a consumer is split as 70% men – 30% women
<b>How does ISTO. Establish its prices?</b>	The brand understands the production costs, and they share this with customers (transparency). After this, a fixed margin is set to cover fixed costs and invest in new developments Prices are also benchmarked to similar brands in the market to try to offer a lower price. Part of the strategy is to sell quality clothes to lower prices than the ones in the market. There is no other brand similar in regards to the basic pieces. The main competitor might be LAPAS
<b>How do customers perceive ISTO.'s price level?</b>	Consumers have positive feedback about the prices, they consider them to be fair, and they also appreciate the brand's transparency with the prices For example, the brand increased the price of the classic T-shirt cause the production cost doubled, and we post on IG explaining the situation, and the customers were understanding. Some products, especially the new ones, have higher prices than the rest which may be considered a high price by Portuguese standards, but customers keep buying them. Consumers are reluctant about the prices.
<b>Does ISTO. do promotional events or any other price-related activities engage its customers?</b>	The brand has not offered discounts since it started as a company to not encourage consumption and to create awareness about customers' purchases. The only discount is 10% off if subscribing to the newsletter to attract new customers. Customers are demanding to know more about the products they are buying, so ISTO has implemented transparency in green delivery (delivery with an electric brand) and traceability of their materials and costs.
<b>How do you think to move forward? Do you think customers will be still willing to pay higher prices in the future</b>	It is not possible to predict now. New pieces are more expensive cause the production cost is getting more expensive (the brand has no control over this). The production costs have increased mostly because of the fabric (organic cotton) since the emerging brands have recently increased their demand and the offer of sustainable materials is not enough.
<b>Why do they think that women's demands are harder to predict/understand?</b>	The perception is that women are more willing to spend money on pieces that are unique/different to stand out.
<b>What would be your insight about consumers' behavior towards the brand?</b>	Few loyal customers: They buy almost monthly, and they normally buy the new products of the brand, but the majority of the customers buy based on their needs

Table 3 - Interview with Azur, questions, and answers

Question	Answer
<b>What is your perception of the sustainable fashion market in Portugal? (Growth, size, trends, etc.)</b>	“There is an increasing number of sustainable fashion brands, but I do not know how to precise the exact market size. I believe there is an increasing trend for the choice of sustainable options, as consumers are more and more conscious about the quality of a sustainable product”
<b>Regarding the Portuguese consumers, can you identify different personas among them, based on purchasing attitudes, expenses, lifestyle, etc.?</b>	“I can identify an increasing number of consumers concerned more about the quality of the piece they want to purchase. Maybe the purpose of this behavior is to slow down the over-consumption and replacement of certain pieces.”
<b>Do you think customers will be still willing to pay higher prices in the future?</b>	“It is something they cannot predict now. New pieces are more expensive cause the production cost is getting more expensive (they cannot control it). The production costs increase mostly because of the fabric. They only work with organic cotton and because of the emerging brand the demand has been increasing and the offer in the market is not enough.”
<b>Do you think women are less prone to buy sustainable fashion brands due to a lack of trendy sustainable options?</b>	I’t hard to say. I think maybe women are always following the latest fashion trends and that sometimes it means buying the cheapest brand, and not necessarily the most sustainable. But of course, it depends on the person in a cause.”
<b>Where are ISTO’s customers from in geographic terms? Are they Portuguese people? Or is ISTO. more recognized by foreigners (in Lisbon/online)?</b>	“I feel that in terms of demand, it is more or less equivalent between Portuguese and foreigners. Now, when it comes to making the purchase, there are more Portuguese. I think that eventually the fact that it is further away and the brand is small means that foreigners often see the products, ask me for information but end up not finalizing the purchase.”
<b>What is the average age of ISTO.’s customers?</b>	“Portuguese: men and women - 22-40 years old. This is the same for other countries (maximum is 45)”
<b>What are the key attributes when considering a sustainable fashion brand?</b>	“The ages vary a lot and I think that's also because the brand is new and doesn't have its niche 100% consolidated yet. I have both younger people, like the late 20s/early 30s, and older people, between 40 and 50 years old.”
<b>What would be your insight about consumers’ behavior towards the brand?</b>	“They exist. I would say they are around 25% of the consumers”
<b>How does Azur establish its prices?</b>	“To set the prices we take into account several costs, such as the cost of raw materials (e.g. fabrics), the cost of trims (e.g. buttons, threads, applications, zippers, etc.), the cost of labor, cost of packaging, website, photo productions, marketing, etc. We also take into account market prices for similar products.”

### Appendix 3 - Preliminary Survey Questionnaire

We are a group of Master's in Management students of the NOVA School of Business and Economics (Nova SBE) and, to obtain information for our course completion thesis, we are conducting research relative to consumer behavior towards sustainable fashion brands. Your answers are important to us, whether you are a consumer or not.

Our goal is to have an understanding of the needs, wills, and expectations of current or potential Portuguese consumers concerning sustainable fashion brands.

This questionnaire will not take more than 7 minutes and we would like to thank you for your participation.

Note: The data collected will be used only by our team for this study.

\*Mandatory

1. Are you Portuguese or do you live in Portugal for at least 5 years? \* Yes/No
  - If no, the survey ends here

#### **First Section**

2. Please check which of the sustainable brands shown in the image you know \*



3. Have you purchased or as a gift some item from a sustainable fashion brand in the past 3 years? \* Yes/No

*A sustainable fashion brand assumes that supply and production are done locally, that there is transparency throughout the logistics chain, traceability of work processes,*

*and (ideally) of raw materials. The garments are made from ecological raw materials and safe working conditions and fair wages are guaranteed.*

4. Do you know any other sustainable brand? If so, please tell us below.
5. Have you ever purchased an item from at least one of the following brands? If so, please mark from which brand it was. \*



### **Second Section**

6. How important are the below attributes for you while you are buying clothes? From 0 to 10, being 0 is not important at all, and 10 is super important.
  - Quality\*
  - Style\*
  - Price\*
  - Source of the material/fabrics\*
  - Labor condition\*
  - Transparency\*

### **Third Section**

7. *On a 0 (no sustainable) to 10 (very sustainable) scale, please let us know how sustainable do you consider yourself? \**
8. *Please indicate the reason behind your previous answer \**
9. *From 0 (not likely) to 10 (very likely), how likely are you to choose a sustainable fashion brand instead of a fast fashion brand? \**
10. *Please indicate the reason behind your previous answer \**
11. *Are you willing to pay a premium for a sustainable fashion brand? \* Yes/No/Maybe*
12. *Please indicate the reason behind your previous answer \**
13. *What would influence you to buy a sustainable fashion brand clothing piece?*



#### **Fourth Section**

14. *How old are you? \**
- a) Under 18 years old
  - b) 18-24 years old
  - c) 25-34 years old
  - d) 35-44 years old
  - e) 45-54 years old
  - f) 55-64 years old
  - g) 65+ years old
15. *With which gender do you identify yourself? \**
- a) Female
  - b) Male
  - c) Non-binary
  - d) Other
16. *What is the highest educational degree you received? \**
- a) Less than a high school degree
  - b) High school degree
  - c) Bachelor's degree
  - d) Master's degree
  - e) Doctorate Degree
17. *What is your employment status? \**
- a) Student
  - b) Employed
  - c) Self-employed
  - d) Unemployed
  - e) Retired
  - f) Other
18. *What is your household liquid income? \**
- a) Up to 750
  - b) Between 751 and 2250
  - c) Between 2251 and 3750
  - d) More than 3751
19. *Which district are you from? \**
- e) Açores
  - f) Aveiro
  - g) Beja
  - h) Braga
  - i) Bragança
  - j) Castelo Branco
  - k) Coimbra
  - l) Évora
  - m) Faro
  - n) Guarda

- o) Leiria
- p) Lisboa
- q) Madeira
- r) Portalegre
- s) Porto
- t) Santarém
- u) Setúbal
- v) Viana do Castelo
- w) Vila Real
- x) Viseu

## Appendix 4 - Perceptual Maps Survey Questionnaire

### First Section

1. Are you Portuguese or do you live in Portugal for at least 5 years?
  - a. If not, the survey ends here!

### Second Section

2. Do you know the following brands?
  - b. Zara
  - c. +351
  - d. ISTO.
  - e. Patagonia

### Third Section- Fashion Brands Perceptions (objective)

How do you rate the following brands concerning the following attributes? Please rate them on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being strongly disagreed, 3 being neutral, and 5 strongly agreeing.

1. Fair price – good relation between price and quality

1    2    3    4    5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

2. Good quality – clothes are made with good material, and will last for a good period

1    2    3    4    5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

3. Style/Trendy – clothes are stylish and follow trends

1    2    3    4    5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

4. Material Origin – clothes are done with sustainable materials

1    2    3    4    5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

5. Fair Working Condition – the work condition behind the production is fair, with no slavery and underpaid work

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

6. Brand Image – the brand has a good image/perception in the consumer's mind

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

7. Brand Transparency – the brand is transparent and reveals information regarding its work condition, price strategy, and even data that could be considered sensitive, like business impact on the environment.

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

#### **Fourth Section– Brand Personality**

How do you rate the following brands concerning the following characteristics? Please rate them on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being strongly disagreed, 3 being neutral, and 5 strongly agreeing.

1. Sincerity – *realistic, honest, upright*

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

2. Enthusiasm - bold, young, creative, current

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

3. Competência - inteligente, confiável, eficiente, bem-sucedida

1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

4. Sofisticação - elegante, glamourosa, charmosa, de classe alta  
1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

5. Robustez – forte, aventureira, valente  
1      2      3      4      5

Zara  
ISTO.  
+351  
Patagonia

### **Last Section - Demographics**

*1. How old are you?*

- a. Under 18 years old
- b. 18-24 years old
- c. 25-34 years old
- d. 35-44 years old
- e. 45-54 years old
- f. 55-64 years old
- g. 65+ years old

*2. With which gender do you identify yourself?*

- a. Female
- b. Male
- c. Other

*3. What is the highest educational degree you received?*

- a. Less than a high school degree
- b. High school degree
- c. Bachelor's degree
- d. Master's degree
- e. PhD

*4. What is your employment status?*

- a. Student
- b. Employed
- c. Self-employed
- d. Unemployed
- e. Retired
- f. Other

*5. What is your household income? Consider it liquid*

- a. Up to 750€
- b. Between 751€ and 2250€
- c. Between 2251€ and 3750€

d. More than 3751€

6. Which district are you from?

- a. Açores
- b. Aveiro
- c. Beja
- d. Braga
- e. Bragança
- f. Castelo Branco
- g. Coimbra
- h. Évora
- i. Faro
- j. Guarda
- k. Leiria
- l. Lisboa
- m. Madeira
- n. Portalegre
- o. Porto
- p. Santarém
- q. Setúbal
- r. Viana do Castelo
- s. Vila Real
- t. Viseu

## Appendix 5 - Perceptual Maps Survey

Table 4 - Perceptual Data: attributes and definitions

	<b>Attribute</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Brand Characteristics</b>	Price	Good relation between price and quality
	Quality	Clothes are made with good materials and are durable.
	Trendy	brand's clothes are perceived as stylish and follow the actual fashion trends
	Material Origin	Clothes are made with sustainable materials
	Work Conditions	Workers behind the production of the product have fair wages and working hours, are protected against accidents at work and health problems, and a brand where dialogue and worker involvement are promoted.
	Brand Transparency	The brand is transparent and reveals information regarding its work condition, price strategy, and even data that could be considered sensitive, like business impact
<b>Brand Personality</b>	Sincerity	Realistic, honest, and righteous
	Excitement	Bold, young, creative, and up to date
	Competence	Intelligent, reliable, efficient, and successful
	Sophistication	Elegant, glamorous, charming, and upper class
	Ruggedness	Tough, adventurous, and brave

Table 5 - Perceptual Data: attributes & mean values

<b>Attributes   Brands</b>	<b>+351</b>	<b>Patagonia</b>	<b>ISTO.</b>	<b>Zara</b>
Price	3,01	3,36	2,96	3,42
Quality	3,15	3,64	3,07	2,67
Trendy	3,09	3,07	2,98	4,24
Material Origin	3,18	3,42	3,14	2,30
Work Conditions	3,06	3,21	3,07	2,10
Brand Transparency	3,05	3,22	3,09	2,21
Sincerity	3,20	3,45	3,12	2,54
Excitement	3,24	3,28	3,07	4,01
Competence	3,09	3,43	3,07	3,78
Sophistication	3,00	3,15	3,03	3,24
Ruggedness	2,96	3,79	3,00	2,76

## Appendix 6 – Conjoint Survey

### Introduction

This study is designed to understand Portuguese consumers' preferences while buying apparel items and it will take no longer than 10 minutes of your time. If you are Portuguese or live in Portugal for at least 5 years, please proceed to complete the survey and provide us with your valuable input.

Observation: The data collected will only be used by our team for this study.

Thank you for your participation!

**Q1:** Imagine that you are buying a shirt and that you have the options that will be shown throughout this survey. From the assorted options, you should select the shirt that pleases you the most based on the group of characteristics of each one of them. The shirts differ in terms of price, quality, style, material origin, certification labels, and production location.

Please note that the combinations shown are all hypothetical, not reflect reality.

### **Block of conjoint questions**

Which white shirt would you choose from the options below?

<b>Price</b>	<b>Up to €20</b>
	<b>€21 – 40</b>
	<b>€41 – 60</b>
	<b>Above €60</b>
<b>Quality</b>	<b>Good quality</b> The colors and fabrics of the item match the description and the item is sewn well. It fits the customer comfortably and allows him/her to feel more confident about themselves.
	<b>Average quality</b> The colors and fabrics of the item match the description, and the fitting is average. There could be an odd loose thread at the ends or a small stain that can be washed away. These aspects do not damage the clothing or deem it unwearable, so they do not affect the customer psychologically.
	<b>Poor quality</b> The colors and fabrics of the item vary greatly from the description. The item does not fit properly to the customer's body, and it is less durable compared to a good quality one, but still wearable.



<b>Style</b>	<b>Fashionable</b> The item aligns with the latest trends in the industry. It is inspired by leading brands, and models and showcased at the top fashion shows around the world.
	<b>Comfortable</b> The item is focused on fitting and comfort. It is made of soft fabric woven to create basic clothing that can be worn on most occasions and paired with almost any other garment.
	<b>Classic</b> The item is a timeless piece, which is always in style irrespective of day and age. It is most often stitched to fit perfectly and meet customer's needs.
<b>Materials origin</b>	<b>Sustainable fabrics</b> (e.g.: organic cotton, linen, Tencel, organic hemp)
	<b>Non-sustainable fabrics</b> (e.g.: polyester, acrylic nylon, rayon)
<b>Certification Labels</b>	<b>PETA</b> - People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
	<b>Fair Trade</b> – Focus on values of social sustainability, namely the assurance of fair salaries and safe and respectable working conditions, and environment protection.
	<b>None</b>
<b>Production location</b>	<b>Made in Portugal</b>
	<b>Made in Bangladesh</b>

## Demographic section

1. *How old are you?*

- a. Under 18 years old
- b. 18-24 years old
- c. 25-34 years old
- d. 35-44 years old
- e. 45-54 years old
- f. 55-64 years old
- g. 65+ years old

2. *With which gender do you identify yourself?*

- a. Female
- b. Male
- c. Other

3. *What is the highest educational degree you received?*

- a. Less than a high school degree
- b. High school degree
- c. Bachelor's degree
- d. Master's degree
- e. PhD

4. *What is your employment status?*

- a. Student
- b. Employed
- c. Self-employed
- d. Unemployed
- e. Retired
- f. Other

5. *What is your household income? Consider it liquid*

- a. Up to 750€
- b. Between 751€ and 2250€
- c. Between 2251€ and 3750€
- d. More than 3751€

6. *Which district are you from?*

- a. Açores
- b. Aveiro
- c. Beja
- d. Braga
- e. Bragança
- f. Castelo Branco
- g. Coimbra
- h. Évora
- i. Faro
- j. Guarda
- k. Leiria
- l. Lisboa
- m. Madeira
- n. Portalegre
- o. Porto
- p. Santarém
- q. Setúbal
- r. Viana do Castelo
- s. Vila Real
- t. Viseu

## Appendix 7 - Conjoint Survey Results

Figure 6 - Respondent counts by status




Figure 7 - Distribution of the respondents per gender




Figure 8 - Distribution of the respondents per age groups




Figure 9 - Distribution of the respondents by residence district




Figure 10 - Distribution of the respondents per academic level




Figure 11 - Distribution of the respondents per professional situation level




Figure 12 - Distribution of the respondents per monthly household net income (€)




Figure 13 - Relative preference for levels (in %)




Figure 14 - Updated preference for price levels with price midpoints




Figure 15 - Preference Shares for price difference

