REVIEW

Contemporary Management of Patients with Stable Ischemic Heart Disease

Radmila Lyubarova, MD¹, Joshua Schulman-Marcus, MD¹ and William E. Boden, MD²

¹Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Albany Medical Center, 113 Holland Avenue, Albany, NY 12208, USA ²VA New England Healthcare System, VA Boston – Jamaica Plain Campus, 150 S. Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02130, USA

Received: 15 November 2018; Accepted: 30 November 2018

Abstract

The overall goals of therapy for patients with stable ischemic heart disease are to minimize the likelihood of death while maximizing health and function. Initial risk assessment with noninvasive testing is indicated to determine whether invasive evaluation is needed in addition to medical therapy. All patients with stable ischemic heart disease need optimal medical therapy, which includes risk factor management with lifestyle modifications and pharmacologic therapy. First-line pharmacologic therapy is focused on preventing myocardial infarction and death with antiplatelet agents, lipid-lowering therapy, and antihypertensive therapies. In addition, antianginal therapy and anti-ischemic therapy are indicated to alleviate symptoms, reduce ischemia, and improve quality of life. The commonly used antianginal agents include nitrates, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, and ranolazine. When medical therapy is not adequate to relieve angina, revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting is indicated. We review the indications and evidence for antianginal agents and other therapies for angina.

Keywords: stable ischemic heart disease; coronary artery disease; optimal medical therapy

Introduction

The Coronary Artery Disease in General Practice (CADENCE) study used a cluster-stratified, crosssectional design to examine angina frequency in patients with stable angina attending Australian primary care practices [1]. Among primary care practice patients with stable angina, 29% (95% confidence interval [CI] 26–31%) experienced one or more episodes of angina weekly, which was associated with greater physical limitations and worse quality of life, 24 and 27% lower Seattle Angina Questionnaire scores, respectively (P<0.05), compared with those with minimal angina (angina less than once a week in the preceding 4 weeks) [1]. Patient characteristics associated with one or more episodes of angina weekly included female sex (odds ratio 1.42, P<0.003), history of heart failure (odds ratio 1.59, P<0.001), and peripheral artery disease (odds ratio 1.89, P<0.001) [1].

Alexander et al. [2] conducted a survey of patients with coronary disease and angina pectoris at 6 months and 1 year after catheterization for self-reported symptoms and quality of life. At the 1-year follow-up (n=1109), 19% had chronic angina pectoris, 11% had recurrent angina pectoris, and 70% were free of angina pectoris. Patients with chronic

Correspondence: William E. Boden, MD, VA New England Healthcare System, VA Boston – Jamaica Plain Campus, 150 S. Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02130, USA, E-mail: william.boden@va.gov

and recurrent angina pectoris had more singlevessel coronary artery disease (CAD), and underwent revascularization less often. Patients with chronic angina pectoris were younger, more likely to be women, had higher body mass index, had more depression and lung disease, and had more frequent baseline angina pectoris. In addition, patients in this group took more antianginals and other medications and had reduced physical function and healthrelated quality of life in relation to the persistence and frequency of symptoms (P < 0.001).

General Approach to Therapy

The overall goals of therapy for patients with stable ischemic heart disease (SIHD) are to minimize the likelihood of death while maximizing health and function. This includes reducing the likelihood of premature cardiovascular death, preventing complications of SIHD that directly or indirectly impair patients' functional well-being (including nonfatal myocardial infarction and heart failure), maintaining or restoring functional capacity and quality of life, reducing ischemic symptoms, minimizing costs of health care, and avoiding adverse effects of tests and treatments [3, 4].

Risk Assessment

Multiple noninvasive modalities, including functional or anatomical testing, used to diagnose CAD also provide prognostic information about long-term risk. Functional assessment testing may be performed by standard exercise ECG, exercise stress testing with imaging (myocardial perfusion imaging or echocardiography), or pharmacologic stress testing with imaging when the patient is unable to exercise (myocardial perfusion imaging, echocardiography, or magnetic resonance imaging). Anatomical assessment is by coronary computed tomography angiogram or magnetic resonance angiography. Exercise capacity is very strong predictor of death and cardiac events, and it is preferable to perform exercise stress testing if the patient is able to achieve the maximal workload. Addition of imaging to exercise stress testing provides additional risk stratification markers, such as the extent of ischemia and left ventricular systolic function. If noninvasive testing suggests a low or intermediate CAD risk, then it is recommended to proceed with optimal medical therapy (OMT). If testing suggests a high risk of ischemia or if all adequate trials of OMT do not resolve anginal symptoms in patients with SIHD, then invasive coronary angiography with possible revascularization should be considered [3, 4].

Risk Factor Modification

Risk factor management is the foundation of guideline-directed medical therapy. As chronic stable angina is almost always related to underlying coronary atherosclerosis, attention should be paid to the presence of risk factors and/or lifestyle habits that facilitate the progression of CAD. Patients should be assessed for smoking status, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and overall dietary and activity status, as well as for hyperuricemia and psychosocial factors. If these are identified, lifestyle and/or pharmacologic interventions should be implemented to modify/reduce these modifiable risk factors and thereby reduce the progression of CAD, as well as prevent morbidity and death. However, some risk factors are not modifiable, such as sex, age, and family history, and factor into the antianginal management plan [5].

All patients should be counseled about the need for lifestyle modification (class Ib), such as weight control, increased physical activity, alcohol consumption moderation, sodium intake reduction, increased consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables, and consumption of low-fat dairy products [3, 4] (Table 1).

It is crucial to implement OMT for risk factor modification. For lipid management, dietary therapy should be advised, with restriction of saturated fats to less than 7% of calories and *trans* fatty acids to less than 1% of calories [3]. Starting moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy to achieve 50% or more LDL cholesterol level reduction from the baseline is recommended [3, 8]. Addition of nonstatin therapy with ezetimibe or a proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitor to maximal tolerated statin therapy should be considered when additional LDL cholesterol level lowering is desired [9]. Hypertension management should be

Class of recommendation	Modifiable factor	Goal
I (LOE B)	Physical activity	Moderate-intensity aerobic activity for 30–60 minutes, 7 days per week (minimum 5 days per week)
I (LOE B)	Weight management	Body mass index 18.5–24.9 kg/m ² Waist circumference <40 inches in men, <35 inches in women
I (LOE B)	Smoking	Complete cessation. No exposure to environmental tobacco smoke
IIa (LOE B)	Psychologic factors	Consider screening the patient for depression
IIb (LOE C)	Alcohol consumption	Nonpregnant women, one drink per day (4 oz wine, 12 oz beer, 1 oz spirits) Men, one or two drinks per day
IIa (LOE C)	Exposure to air pollution	Avoid
I (LOE B)	Lipid management	Dietary therapy: saturated fats <7% of calories, <i>trans</i> fatty acids <1% of calories
I (LOE A)	Lipid management	Moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy to achieve ≥50% reduction in LDL cholesterol level from the baseline
I (LOE B, systolic BP; LOE C, diastolic BP)	BP management	Target BP <130/80 mmHg
I (LOE A, systolic BP; LOE C, diastolic BP)	BP management	Use of BP-lowering medication is recommended if BP is above the target
I (LOE B, systolic BP; LOE C, diastolic BP)	BP management	Use GDMT with beta-blockers, ACE inhibitor, or ARBs as first-line therapy
I (LOE B)	BP management	In adults with angina and uncontrolled hypertension, the addition of therapy with the CCB dihydropyridine to beta- blocker therapy is recommended
I (LOE A)	Diabetes management	Goal Hb A _{1c} <7%
IIa (LOE A)	Diabetes management	Start pharmacotherapy to achieve Hb A_{1c}
III LOE C	Diabetes management	Rosiglitazone therapy should not be started

Table 1 Optimal Medical Therapy for Stable Ischemic Heart Disease: Risk Factor Modification.
--

Adapted from [5-7].

ACE, Angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BP blood pressure; CCB, calcium channel blocker; GDMT, guideline-directed medical therapy; Hb, hemoglobin; LOE, level of evidence.

according to the latest guidelines for achievement of a blood pressure goal of less than 130/80 mmHg with lifestyle modifications and blood pressure– lowering medications, with the initial choice of a beta-blocker or renin-angiotensin-aldosterone inhibitors [6]. The management of diabetes has shifted with the development of new classes of agents, and has recently been reviewed [10].

First-Line Pharmacologic Therapy

The use of first-line agents is focused on preventing myocardial infarction and death [3]. Every patient with documented ischemic heart disease should be treated with an antiplatelet agent and aspirin and/or a thienopyridine when indicated [3]. Beta-blockers should be used for patients with prior myocardial infarction or systolic heart failure [3]. For patients with CAD and hypertension, diabetes, or left ventricular systolic dysfunction, renin-angiotensinaldosterone inhibitors are recommended [3].

Relief of Symptoms

Traditional Antianginal Therapy

It is recommended that adequate trials of medical therapy to control symptoms be undertaken before revascularization is considered to alleviate persistent symptoms. Commonly used antianginal agents include nitrates (isosorbide dinitrate, isosorbide mononitrate, nitroglycerin patch), beta-blockers (propranolol, metoprolol, atenolol, nadolol), calcium channel blockers (amlodipine, nifedipine, verapamil, diltiazem), and a late Na⁺ current inhibitor (ranolazine) (Table 2). Nitrates improve myocardial oxygen supply and demand through their potent vasodilatory effect. Beta-blockers work primarily by decreasing myocardial oxygen consumption through reductions in heart rate, blood pressure, and myocardial contractility. Dihydropyridine (DHP) and non-DHP classes of calcium antagonists

Table 2Antianginal Agents Approved for Treatment of
Angina in the United States.

Agent	Dosage			
Nitrates				
Isosorbide dinitrate				
IR	10–40 mg bid or tid			
SR	40–160 mg qd			
Isosorbide mononitrate				
IR	10–20 mg bid			
SR	30–120 mg qd			
Nitroglycerin patch	0.2-0.8 mg/hour			
Beta-blockers				
Cardioselective				
Bisoprolol	2.5-10 mg qd			
Metoprolol tartrate	50–200 mg bid			
Metoprolol succinate	50–400 mg qd			
Atenolol	25-100 m, qd or bid			
Noncardioselective				
Propranolol LA	80–160 mg qd			
Nadolol	40-120 mg qd			
Carvedilol (combined alpha-	12.5–50 mg bid			
blocker and beta-blocker)				
Calcium channel blockers				
Dihydropyridines				
Amlodipine	2.5–10 mg qd			
Nifedipine LA	30–90 mg qd			
Nondihydropyridines				
Verapamil SR	120-240 mg qd or bid			
Verapamil IR	80-160 mg tid			
Diltiazem ER	120-360 mg qd			
Late Na ⁺ current inhibitor				
Ranolazine	500–1000 mg, bid			

bid, Twice daily; ER, extended release; IR, immediate release; LA, long acting; qd, once daily; SR, sustained release; tid, three times per day. both increase blood flow, but non-DHP agents also decrease regulate heart rate (in contrast, some DHP agents increase heart rate).

Many patients have relative intolerance to full doses of beta-blockers, calcium antagonists, and nitrates. Limitations to nitrate use include severe aortic stenosis, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, and erectile dysfunction treated with phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors. Limiting factors for beta-blocker therapy include asthma, severe bradycardia, heart block, severe depression, Raynaud syndrome, and sick sinus syndrome. Limitations for use of calcium channel blockers include bradycardia and heart block, left ventricular dysfunction, and heart failure.

Heidenreich et al. [7] conducted a meta-analysis to determine the relative efficacy and tolerability of treatment with beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, and nitrates in patients with stable angina. Randomized or crossover studies comparing antianginal drugs from two or three different classes lasting at least 1 week were reviewed. The rates of cardiac death and myocardial infarction were not significantly different for treatment with beta-blockers versus calcium antagonists. There were fewer episodes of angina per week with betablockers than with calcium antagonists. Too few trials compared nitrates with calcium antagonists or beta-blockers to draw firm conclusions about relative efficacy.

Nitrate tolerance is a well-described phenomenon that occurs with long-term and continuous nitrate exposure. With each sequential dose, blood vessels become less responsive to the vasodilating effects of the nitrates. Tolerance develops primarily with the use of long-acting nitrates. The exact mechanism behind the development of nitrate tolerance is unclear. Current theories include increased generation of vascular superoxide anion, plasma volume expansion, impaired biotransformation of nitrates to nitric oxide, decreased end-oxygen responsiveness to nitric oxide, and neurohormonal activation. Nitrate tolerance is readily reversed by provision of a 10- to 12-hour nitrate-free period each day. This can be achieved by administration of nitrates three times per day. Cross tolerance exists and could be clinically important, as noted in a study that demonstrated long-term continuous exposure to orally administered sustained-release isosorbide dinitrate led to abolition of anti-ischemic effects,

with simultaneous loss of effects of sublingually administered nitroglycerin [11].

Consequently, many patients continue to experience ischemic symptoms with traditional antiischemic medication, even when taking two or three antianginal agents [7]. Furthermore, traditional antianginal agents have not been shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular death or myocardial infarction in chronic stable angina. Antianginal agents with new/novel mechanisms of action have thus been a focus of study for some time.

Ranolazine

Ranolazine, a late sodium influx inhibitor, in contrast to older antianginal medications, appears to work downstream of the ischemic insult, complementing the mechanism of action of traditional medications. Ranolazine has been studied in largescale clinical trials involving patients with chronic stable angina (monotherapy in MARISA, combination therapy in CARISA and ERICA) and patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome (MERLIN-TIMI 36) [12-15]. Ranolazine was associated with significant alleviation of angina, had no significant effect on heart rate or blood pressure, and was well tolerated. Ranolazine has important drug interactions with strong cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitors (e.g., ketoconazole, itraconazole, clarithromycin). A dosage limit of 500 mg twice daily is recommended for patients using concomitant moderate cytochrome P450 3A4 inhibitors (diltiazem, verapamil, erythromycin, fluconazole). With P-glycoprotein inhibitors (cyclosporine), a dose reduction of ranolazine may be required. For drugs transported by P-glycoproteins or metabolized by cytochrome P450 2D6 (digoxin), a reduced dose may be required when they are used in combination with ranolazine.

Ling et al. [16] evaluated the efficacy of ranolazine in patients with chronic angina pectoris and assessed the impact of ranolazine on health care resource use. Of the 150 patients included in this analysis, most had undergone percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). Long-acting nitrates, betablockers, and calcium antagonists were being used in 83, 97, and 75% of patients, respectively [16]. With regard to Canadian Cardiovascular Society angina class, at the baseline, 8% of patients had class 2 angina, 58% had class 3 angina, and 34% had class 4 angina. At the end of ranolazine therapy, the proportions were 18% for class 2 angina, 62% for class 3 angina, and 28% for class 4 angina. During the period before ranolazine therapy, the numbers of clinic visits, emergency department visits, and hospital visits were 428, 59, and 137, respectively. During the period after ranolazine therapy, the number of visits decreased to 419, 42, and 96, respectively. These data demonstrate that ranolazine reduced the Canadian Cardiovascular Society angina class and reduced health care resource use over a 12-month follow-up period in a difficult-to-treat group of patients with severe angina pectoris [16].

Alternative Therapies for Angina

Alternative therapies for refractory angina may be considered when traditional antianginal therapy is not effective and the patient is not amenable to revascularization, such as spinal cord stimulation, transmyocardial revascularization, and enhanced external counterpulsation, all class IIb recommendations [3]. Ongoing studies are investigating other devices in severe refractory angina, such as the coronary sinus reducer [17–19].

Metabolic Modulation: Trimetazidine

The free fatty acid oxidation hypothesis arose out of advances in understanding of myocardial metabolic pathways. Myocardial cells derive their energy via fatty acid and glucose metabolism. During ischemia the fatty acid pathway predominates. However, this pathway requires more oxygen than the glucose pathway [20-23]. Theoretically, inhibition of fatty acid oxidation should promote a shift toward the more oxygen-efficient glucose pathway. A number of groups have reported experimental data showing that the antianginal trimetazidine is an inhibitor of partial fatty acid oxidation. However, other investigators have not observed any inhibition with trimetazidine in other experimental models [20-22]. Thus inhibition of fatty acid oxidation as a major antianginal mechanism for trimetazidine remains to be definitively established [20-23]. Chazov et al. [24] conducted a randomized, placebo-controlled

trial to assess the efficacy and acceptability of trimetazidine in combination with hemodynamic agents (beta-blockers or long-acting nitrates) in 177 stable angina patients. Patients with stable angina resistant to nitrates or beta-blockers, who had a difference of 10% in the duration between two positive exercise test results, were randomly treated with trimetazidine (n=90) or placebo (n=87) for 12 weeks. Patients in the trimetazidine arm had significantly fewer anginal episodes per week compared with patients in the placebo arm (2.1 versus 5.1 angina episodes per week, P < 0.05). There was also a trend for less nitrate use among patients in the trimetazidine arm. Patients in the trimetazidine arm had significantly longer duration of exercise at trough compared with patients in the placebo arm (506.8 s vs. 458.9 s, P<0.05).

Sinus Node Inhibitor: Ivabradine

Ivabradine is a selective sinus node I, inhibitor that causes heart rate reduction and decreases myocardial demand. Borer et al. [25] conducted a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate the antianginal and anti-ischemic effects of ivabradine in 257 patients with a history of chronic stable angina of 3 months or longer. The time to 1-mm ST-segment depression and limiting angina was significantly increased in the ivabradine groups [25]. Tardif et al. [26] evaluated the antianginal and anti-ischemic efficacy of ivabradine in patients with chronic stable angina pectoris receiving betablocker therapy in the ASSOCIATE study. This study demonstrated that the combination of ivabradine (7.5 mg twice daily) and atenolol in patients with chronic stable angina pectoris produced additional efficacy with no untoward effect on safety or tolerability [20].

Koester et al. [27] conducted a subgroup analysis of 351 octogenarians from the REDUCTION study to assess the antianginal effects of ivabradine as initial or extended medical therapy for angina pectoris. After 4 months, ivabradine therapy significantly reduced from the baseline the number of angina attacks, heart rate, and nitrate consumption. The regimen was well tolerated, and physicians reported that the efficacy of ivabradine was very good. However, the antianginal effect of ivabradine did not translate into improvement in cardiac outcomes in the BEAUTIFUL and SIGNIFY trials [28, 29].

Preconditioning Agent: Nicorandil

Nicorandil possesses a nitrate moiety, and therefore produces hemodynamic effects similar to those of long-acting nitrates. It activates cyclic GMP, dilates capacitance vessels, and decreases preload [23]. Nicorandil is also capable of opening ATP-sensitive potassium channels. These channels are involved in dilation of coronary resistance arterioles, which decreases afterload, and are also thought to mimic ischemic preconditioning, a potential cardioprotective effect [23].

Meany et al. [30] conducted a double-blind parallel group study in 46 patients with chronic stable angina to assess the efficacy of nicorandil with regard to exercise capacity. Patients were randomized to receive nicorandil at a dosage of 5 mg twice daily (n=5), nicorandil at a dosage of 10 mg twice daily (n=10), or placebo. The nicorandil dosages were increased to 10 and 20 mg twice daily, respectively, after 1 week of treatment. A symptomlimited Bruce protocol exercise test was performed before and 2 hours after the initial dose and after 2 weeks of treatment, 2 and 12 hours after administration. After initial dosing (day 1), there were significant increases in exercise duration and the time to onset of angina in patients receiving nicorandil. After 2 weeks, there was a significant increase in the time to onset of angina in both nicorandil groups compared with the placebo group (P < 0.05). The time to 1-mm ST-segment depression, exercise duration, and total workload increased in the nicorandil groups, but the difference from the placebo group did not reach statistical significance.

The IONA study was a double-blind, randomized study to evaluate whether nicorandil could reduce the frequency of coronary events in men and women with stable angina and additional risk factors (n=5126 patients) [31]. The primary composite end point was coronary heart disease death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and unplanned hospital admission for cardiac chest pain. Over the mean follow-up of 1.6 years, 398 primary end point events (15.5%) were noted in the placebo group compared with 337 (13.1%) in the nicorandil group (hazard ratio 0.83, 95% CI 0.72–0.97, P=0.014) [31]. The rate of acute coronary syndromes was 7.6% (n=195) in the placebo group and 6.1% (n=156) in the nicorandil group (hazard ratio 0.79, 95% CI 0.64–0.98, P=0.028), and the corresponding rates for all cardiovascular events were 17.0% (n=436) and 14.7% (n=378) (hazard ratio 0.86, 95% CI 0.75–0.98, P=0.027). These data show that nicorandil can produce significant improvement in outcomes because of a reduction in the rate of major coronary events in patients with stable angina.

Referral for Revascularization

To date, no study has clearly demonstrated that revascularization of stable CAD patients with PCI reduces the risk of death or myocardial infarction, although a large randomized study (ISCHEMIA) will soon clarify this matter further. The ideal use of revascularization to reduce anginal symptoms remains an area of active investigation. In general, revascularization with PCI or CABG should be reserved for patients who have inadequate symptom control with at least two antianginal medications.

Recent studies provide some additional considerations when one is weighing the benefits of PCI versus medical therapy for symptom control.

Data from the COURAGE trial showed that both treatment groups (PCI added to OMT and medical therapy alone) had a substantial reduction in the prevalence of angina during follow-up but the effect attenuated over time [32]. The only significant difference between the two treatment strategies was a reduced prevalence of angina in the PCI group at 1 and 3 years; however, by 5 years there was no significant difference between the groups in freedom from angina. Most of the increase in freedom from angina in the medical therapy group was present at 1 year, with a further improvement at 5 years.

In the BARI 2D study, 2368 patients with diabetes mellitus and stable CAD were randomized to receive either medical therapy or coronary revascularization (either surgical or catheter based) [33]. At follow-up at 5 years, the rate of death did not differ significantly between the medical therapy group and revascularization group. However, the composite end point of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke was significantly lower in the CABG stratum in the revascularization group (P=0.01). The FAME-2 trial randomized patients with stable coronary disease to receive medical therapy or fractional flow reserve-guided PCI [34, 35]. While the composite primary end point (all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and unplanned hospitalization leading to urgent revascularization) was lower in the PCI group, the rates of death and myocardial infarction were not different between the groups. ORBITA was the first placebo-controlled trial that randomized patients (n=200) with refractory angina to receive medical therapy and either true or sham PCI [36]. There was no significant difference in the change in exercise time or angina with PCI. There was improvement in the wall motion score index on stress echocardiography in the PCI group compared with the placebo group. ORBITA raises questions on how much of PCI angina relief is driven by placebo factors.

When one is deciding on a revascularization strategy with PCI or CABG, several factors should be taken into account, such as the location, extent and complexity of the lesion(s), the presence of left ventricular dysfunction, diabetes mellitus, target vessels, age, and comorbidities. In the SYNTAX trial, comparing CABG versus PCI among patients with three-vessel disease, CABG reduced the risk of death, myocardial infarction, and repeated revascularization, especially among those with higher SYNTAX scores [37-39]. No difference in mortality was observed in those with SYNTAX scores below 22. Among patients with diabetes and multivessel coronary disease in the FREEDOM trial, CABG had a much lower primary event rate (death, myocardial infarction, or stroke) compared with PCI [40]. This trial suggests a benefit from revascularization with CABG for patients with diabetes and advanced CAD.

Summary

Angina persists for many patients despite medical therapy and/or revascularization. In all patients with angina, aggressive risk factor modification and optimized medical management must be instituted. Revascularization should be performed for highrisk patients or patients with persistent symptoms. A beta-blocker is likely a first-line agent; however, most patients require multiple medications for symptom control. Novel agents with new mechanisms improve treatment options, including ivabradine (sinus node inhibitor), and late Na⁺ blockade (with a mechanism of action complementary to traditional agents) is beneficial in a broad range of patients unresponsive to current treatment options. Ongoing trials may help better define the role of aggressive medical therapy with or without revascularization.

Funding

This work received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Beltrame JF, Weekes AJ, Morgan C, Tavella R, Spertus JA. The prevalence of weekly angina among patients with chronic stable angina in primary care practices: the Coronary Artery Disease in General Practice (CADENCE) study. Arch Intern Med 2009;169(16):1491–9.
- 2. Alexander KP, Cowper PA, Kempf JA, Lytle BL, Peterson ED. Profile of chronic and recurrent angina pectoris in a referral population. Am J Cardiol 2008;102(10):1301–6.
- 3. Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, Berra K, Blankenship JC, Dallas AP, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/ AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60(24):e44-164.
- 4. Qaseem A, Fihn SD, Dallas P, Williams S, Owens DK, Shekelle P. Management of stable ischemic heart disease: summary of a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians/ American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association/American Association for Thoracic Surgery/Preventive

- Cardiovascular Nurses Association/ Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Ann Intern Med 2012;157(10): 735–43.
- 5. Kones R. Recent advances in the management of chronic stable angina II. Anti-ischemic therapy, options for refractory angina, risk factor reduction, and revascularization. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2010;6:749–74.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, et al. 2017 ACC/ AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/ APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Hypertension 2018;71(19):e127–248.
- Heidenreich PA, McDonald KM, Hastie T, Fadel B, Hagan V, Lee BK, et al. Meta-analysis of trials comparing beta-blockers, calcium antagonists, and nitrates for stable angina. JAMA 1999;281(20):1927–36.
- Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, Bairey Merz CN, Blum CB, Eckel RH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63(25 Pt B):2889–934.

- Lloyd-Jones DM, Morris PB, Ballantyne CM, Birtcher KK, Daly DD, DePalma SM, et al. 2017 focused update of the 2016 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on the role of non-statin therapies for LDL-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk. A report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. Am J Coll Cardiol 2017;70(14):1785–822.
- Purga SL, Sidhu M, Farkouh M, Schulman-Marcus J. Recent Insights into pharmacologic cardiovascular risk reduction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2017;31(4):459–70.
- 11. Kosmicki MA. Long-term use of short- and long-acting nitrates in stable angina pectoris. Curr Clin Pharmacol 2009;4(2):132–41.
- 12. Chaitman BR, Skettino SL, Parker JO, Hanley P, Meluzin J, Kuch J, et al. Anti-ischemic effects and long-term survival during ranolazine monotherapy in patients with chronic severe angina. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43(8):1375–82.
- 13. Chaitman BR, Pepine CJ, Parker JO, Skopal J, Chumakova G, Kuch J, et al. Effects of ranolazine with atenolol, amlodipine, or diltiazem on exercise tolerance and angina frequency in patients with severe chronic angina: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004;291(3):309–16.
- 14. Stone PH, Gratsiansky NA, Blokhin A, Huang IZ, Meng L. Antianginal

efficacy of ranolazine when added to treatment with amlodipine: the ERICA (Efficacy of Ranolazine in Chronic Angina) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48(3):566–75.

- 15. Wilson SR, Scirica BM, Braunwald E, Murphy SA, Karwatowska-Prokopczuk E, Buros JL, et al. Efficacy of ranolazine in patients with chronic angina observations from the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled MERLIN-TIMI (Metabolic Efficiency With Ranolazine for Less Ischemia in Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes) 36 trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53(17):1510–6.
- 16. Ling H, Packard KA, Burns TL, Hilleman DE. Impact of ranolazine on clinical outcomes and healthcare resource utilization in patients with refractory angina pectoris. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2013;13(6):407–12.
- 17. Banai S, Ben Muvhar S, Parikh KH, Medina A, Sievert H, Seth A, et al. Coronary sinus reducer stent for the treatment of chronic refractory angina pectoris: a prospective, open-label, multicenter, safety feasibility first-in-man study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49(17):1783–9.
- 18. Jolicoeur EM, Banai S, Henry TD, Schwartz M, Doucet S, White CJ, et al. A phase II, sham-controlled, double-blinded study testing the safety and efficacy of the coronary sinus reducer in patients with refractory angina: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2013;14:46.
- 19. Verheye S, Jolicoeur EM, Behan MW, Pettersson T, Sainsbury P, Hill J, et al. Efficacy of a device to narrow the coronary sinus in refractory angina. N Engl J Med 2015;372(6):519–27.
- 20. MacInnes A, Fairman DA, Binding P, Rhodes J, Wyatt MJ, Phelan A, et al. The antianginal agent trimetazidine does not exert its functional benefit via inhibition of mitochondrial long-chain 3-ketoacyl coenzyme A thiolase. Circ Res 2003;93(3):e26–32.
- 21. Lopaschuk GD, Barr R, Thomas PD, Dyck JR. Beneficial effects of

trimetazidine in ex vivo working ischemic hearts are due to a stimulation of glucose oxidation secondary to inhibition of long-chain 3-ketoacyl coenzyme A thiolase. Circ Res 2003;93(3):e33–7.

- 22. Stanley WC. Myocardial energy metabolism during ischemia and the mechanisms of metabolic therapies. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2004;9 Suppl 1:S31–45.
- 23. Chaitman BR, Sano J. Novel therapeutic approaches to treating chronic angina in the setting of chronic ischemic heart disease. Clin Cardiol 2007;30(2 Suppl 1):I25–30.
- EI, Lepakchin VK. 24. Chazov Zharova EA, Fitilev SB. Levin AM. Rumiantzeva EG. et al. Trimetazidine in Angina Combination Therapy - the TACT study: trimetazidine versus conventional treatment in patients with stable angina pectoris in a randomized, placebo-controlled, multicenter study. Am J Ther 2005;12(1): 35-42.
- 25. Borer JS, Fox K, Jaillon P, Lerebours G. Antianginal and antiischemic effects of ivabradine, an I_f inhibitor, in stable angina: a randomized, double-blind, multicentered, placebo-controlled trial. Circulation 2003;107(6):817–23.
- Tardif JC, Ponikowski P, Kahan T. Efficacy of the I_f current inhibitor ivabradine in patients with chronic stable angina receiving beta-blocker therapy: a 4-month, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Heart J 2009;30(5):540–8.
- 27. Koester R, Kaehler J, Meinertz T. Ivabradine for the treatment of stable angina pectoris in octogenarians. Clin Res Cardiol 2011;100(2):121–8.
- Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, Tendera M, Ferrari R. Ivabradine for patients with stable coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (BEAUTIFUL): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2008;372(9641):807–16.
- 29. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, Tardif JC, Tendera M, Ferrari R. Ivabradine in stable coronary artery disease

without clinical heart failure. N Engl J Med 2014;371(12):1091–9.

- 30. Meany TB, Richardson P, Camm AJ, Coltart J, Griffith M, Maltz MB, et al. Exercise capacity after single and twice-daily doses of nicorandil in chronic stable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 1989;63(21):66j–70j.
- 31. The IONA Study Group. Effect of nicorandil on coronary events in patients with stable angina: the Impact of Nicorandil in Angina (IONA) randomised trial. Lancet 2002;359(9314):1269–75.
- 32. Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Teo KK, Hartigan PM, Maron DJ, Kostuk WJ, et al. Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2007;356(15):1503–16.
- 33. Frye RL, August P, Brooks MM, Hardison RM, Kelsey SF, MacGregor JM, et al. A randomized trial of therapies for type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease. New Engl J Med 2009;360(24):2503–15.
- 34. De Bruyne B, Pijls NH, Kalesan B, Barbato E, Tonino PA, Piroth Z, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI versus medical therapy in stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2012;367(11):991–1001.
- 35. De Bruyne B, Fearon WF, Pijls NH, Barbato E, Tonino P, Piroth Z, et al. Fractional flow reserve-guided PCI for stable coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2014;371(13): 1208–17.
- 36. Al-Lamee R, Thompson D, Dehbi HM, Sen S, Tang K, Davies J, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention in stable angina (ORBITA): a doubleblind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2018;391(10115):31–40.
- 37. Serruys PW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, Colombo A, Holmes DR, Mack MJ, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention versus coronary-artery bypass grafting for severe coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2009;360(10):961–72.
- 38. Kappetein AP, Feldman TE, Mack MJ, Morice MC, Holmes DR, Stahle E, et al. Comparison of coronary bypass surgery with drug-eluting stenting for the treatment of left main and/or three-vessel disease: 3-year

follow-up of the SYNTAX trial. Eur Heart J 2011;32(17):2125–34.

39. Mohr FW, Morice MC, Kappetein AP, Feldman TE, Stahle E, Colombo A, et al. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery versus percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with three-vessel disease and left main coronary disease: 5-year follow-up of the randomised, clinical SYNTAX trial. Lancet 2013;381(9867):629–38. 40. Farkouh ME, Domanski M, Sleeper LA, Siami FS, Dangas G, Mack M, et al. Strategies for multivessel revascularization in patients with diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012;367(25):2375–84.