

NERC Open Research Archive



Article (refereed)

Tipping, E.; Lofts, S.; Hooper, H.; Frey, B.; **Spurgeon, D.**; **Svendsen, C.** 2010 Critical Limits for Hg(II) in soils, derived from chronic toxicity data. *Environmental Pollution*, 158. 2465-2471. 10.1016/j.envpol.2010.03.027

Copyright © 2010 Elsevier B.V.

This version available http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/10405/

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the authors and/or other rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

This document is the author's final manuscript version of the journal article, incorporating any revisions agreed during the peer review process. Some differences between this and the publisher's version remain. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from this article.

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trade marks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1	Submitted to Environme	ntal Pollution August 2009
2	Revision submitted Febr	uary 2010
3		
4		
5	Critical Limits	for Hg(II) in soils, derived from chronic toxicity data
6		
7	E. Tipping ¹ , S. Lot	fts ¹ , H. Hooper ² , B. Frey ³ , D. Spurgeon ² & C. Svendsen ²
8		
9		
10	¹ Centre for Ecology	and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue,
11	1	3ailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4AP, United Kingdom
12	² Centre for Ecol	ogy and Hydrology, Wallingford, OX10 8BB, United Kingdom
13	³ Swiss Feder	al Research Institute WSL, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland
14		
15 16	Correspondence to:	Professor Edward Tipping
17	-	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology
18		Lancaster Environment Centre
19		Bailrigg
20		Lancaster
21		LA1 4AP
22		United Kingdom
23		
24	E-mail <u>et@ceh.a</u>	<u>c.uk</u>
25	Telephone $++44(0)$	1524 595866
26		
27		
28	"Capsule"	
29	Published laboratory to:	xicity data and chemical speciation modelling are used to derive
30	Critical Limits expressed	<i>d</i> as either soil $Hg(II)$ content or Hg^{2+} concentration.
31		
32		
33		
34		

35 Abstract

36

Published chronic toxicity data for Hg(II) added to soils were assembled and evaluated to 37 38 produce a data set comprising 52 chronic endpoints, five each for plants and invertebrates and 39 42 for microbes. With endpoints expressed in terms of added soil Hg(II) contents, Critical Limits were derived from the 5th percentiles of species sensitivity distributions, values of 0.13 40 μ g (g soil)⁻¹ and 3.3 μ g (g soil organic matter)⁻¹ being obtained. The latter value exceeds the 41 currently-recommended Critical Limit, used to determine Hg(II) Critical Loads in Europe, of 42 0.5 μ g (g soil organic matter)⁻¹. We also applied the WHAM/Model VI chemical speciation 43 model to estimate concentrations of Hg^{2+} in soil solution, and derived an approximate Critical 44 Limit Function (CLF) that includes pH; $\log [Hg^{2+}]_{crit} = -2.15 \text{ pH} - 17.10$. Because they take 45 soil properties into account, the soil organic matter-based limit and the CLF provide the best 46 assessment of toxic threat for different soils. For differing representative soils, each predicts a 47 48 range of up to 100-fold in the dry weight-based content of mercury that corresponds to the 49 Critical Limit. 50 51 Key words: chemical speciation, critical limit, free ion concentration, mercury (II), organic 52 matter, soil 53 54

56 **1. Introduction**

57

Although current interest in the ecotoxicity of mercury is mainly focused on the 58 59 bioaccumulative form methylmercury, inorganic mercury - Hg(II) - is also a significant environmental pollutant. The inorganic form of the metal exerts direct toxic effects towards a 60 61 variety of organisms including microbes, invertebrates and plants. Protection of soil 62 ecosystems against these toxic effects can be based on Critical Limits (or Environmental 63 Quality Standards), expressed as soil concentrations of Hg(II) above which unacceptable effects are expected. In work on Critical Loads of heavy metals, De Vries et al. (2007) 64 adopted a soil Critical Limit of 0.5 μ g (g organic matter)⁻¹ based on the results of long-term 65 (several years) experiments with Hg(II) added to the O horizons of Swedish forest soils 66 (Bringmark and Bringmark, 2001a,b). This limit was used to estimate Hg Critical Loads for 67 effects on European soil ecosystems (Hettelingh et al., 2006), and the results indicate Critical 68 69 Load exceedance for 85% of the area of Europe.

70 The Critical Limit for Hg(II) used by De Vries et al. (2007) was derived differently 71 from limits for other metals (Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb), for which experimental chronic (NOEC, EC₁₀) 72 toxicity data have been assembled and analysed using the Species Sensitivity Distribution 73 (SSD) concept (e.g. Crommentuijn et al., 1997). The work described here was performed in 74 order to derive SSD-based limits from published laboratory toxicity data for Hg(II). We 75 assembled all available and acceptable published soil toxicity data for Hg(II) and analysed 76 them to derive Critical Limits based on the maximum information. The limit values were set 77 at the 5% level, i.e. protective of 95% of soil organisms (see e.g. Posthuma et al., 2003; Van 78 Straalen and Denneman, 1989). Our main purpose in conducting this work was to obtain 79 Critical Limits that can be used to quantify the possible effects of atmospherically-deposited 80 Hg on natural and semi-natural ecosystems. However, the values should be generally 81 applicable.

We used three methods to express Hg(II) toxicity. Firstly we followed the conventional approach and expressed the end-points in terms of the soil metal content ($\mu g g^{-1}$), with no account taken of possible dependence on soil organic matter (SOM) content or pH. The second method is a variant in which the results are expressed in terms of the Hg:SOM ratio, following de Vries et al. (2007). Meili (1991) argued that this should be preferred because of the well-established strong interaction of Hg(II) with organic matter, and the strong parallels between Hg(II) adsorption to SOM and its uptake by organisms.

89 The third approach followed that of Lofts et al. (2004), who described toxic effects not 90 in terms of the total metal added to the soil, but the metal free ion concentration in soil 91 solution. They derived Critical Limit Functions, in which the logarithm of the critical free ion 92 concentration was expressed as a linear function of pH. Although this does not involve an 93 explicit mechanism of toxicity, it is consistent with the idea that toxic response is elicited by a 94 quasi-complexation mechanism in which the reactivity of the metal, expressed through the 95 free ion concentration, is a pH-dependent measure of toxicity. This takes bioavailability into account in a simple way, as is thought desirable (Peijnenburg et al., 2002; Janssen et al., 96 97 2003); there is much current interest in relating metal toxic effects to the chemistry of the metals in the solution phase by which exposure occurs. Lofts et al. (2004) used pedotransfer 98 99 functions to estimate free metal ion concentrations in soil solution for published toxicity 100 experiments. These functions were multiple regression equations that were derived from 101 analysis of metal concentrations in the solutions of soils of differing pH, organic matter 102 content and heavy metal content (Tipping et al., 2003). Because such pedotransfer functions are not currently available for Hg(II), we used the WHAM chemical speciation model 103 (Tipping, 1994; 1998) to estimate Hg^{2+} concentrations, denoted by $[Hg^{2+}]$. 104

105

107 **2. Methods**

108

109 2.1 Toxicity data

110 Toxicity data were accepted for analysis if they met the following criteria set out by Lofts etal. (2004).

(i) Only tests carried out in soils were accepted. Tests carried out in other media (e.g.agar, nutrient solution) were not used.

(ii) The exposed organism was of a species living in intimate contact with and considered
to take up metal directly from the pore water (e.g. earthworms and other soft-bodied
invertebrates, plants, and soil microorganisms).

117 (iii) The metal was added singly to the soil in a soluble form. In all the tests accepted, the118 form of mercury added was HgCl₂.

(iv) The pH and organic carbon or organic matter content of the soil were quoted or referenced. Where organic carbon alone was quoted it was converted to organic matter by multiplying by 2.0. Measured pH values were converted from values obtained by soil extraction (using H_2O , KCl or CaCl₂) to soil solution pH using the equations given by de Vries et al. (2005).

(v) Chronic effect endpoints were used. For plants, data were available for growth (yield)
and reproduction, and for soil-dwelling invertebrates reproduction rate. For microbes there
were measurements of enzymatic activity, rates of soil processes (e.g. respiration,
nitrification), and changes in Operational Taxonomic Units.

(vi) The endpoint metal concentration was taken to be the added Hg concentration. As noted by Lofts et al. (2004), the optimal Hg pool would be the 'geochemically active' concentration since this controls the solution free ion concentration. However the geochemically active concentration of metal is rarely measured in toxicity tests so for consistency the added metal concentration was used. Since it is highly likely that Hg undergoes fixation in soil solids following addition in soluble form, the added metal concentration represents an upper limit to the geochemically active concentration.

(vii) End point concentrations of metals (NOEC, EC10) were either quoted in the paper, could be clearly extracted from tables of dose-response relationships, or in the case of EC10 values were calculable from tabulated or graphed dose-response data. A log-logistic doseresponse model was used to calculate EC10 values when required. A description of this model is given by Lofts et al. (2004). Toxicity data were assembled from the published literature and from new results for
soil microorganisms, obtained from experiments with Swiss soils (see Supplementary
Content).

143

144 2.2 Chemical speciation modelling

145 Calculations of soil and water chemical speciation were performed using WHAM (Tipping, 146 1994) incorporating Humic Ion-Binding Model VI (Tipping, 1998). Model VI uses a 147 structured formulation of discrete, chemically-plausible, binding sites for protons, in order to allow the creation of regular arrays of bidentate and tridentate binding sites for metals. Metal 148 aquo ions (Al³⁺, Fe³⁺, Hg²⁺ etc.) and their first hydrolysis products (AlOH²⁺, FeOH²⁺, HgOH⁺ 149 etc.) compete with each other, and with protons, for binding. The same intrinsic equilibrium 150 151 constant (K_{MA}) for binding to carboxyl or type A groups is assumed to apply to the aquo ion 152 and its first hydrolysis product. The constant $(K_{\rm MB})$ for binding to weaker acid groups is related to K_{MA}, and the contribution of rarer "soft" ligand atoms is factored in. The intrinsic 153 154 equilibrium constants are modified by empirical electrostatic terms that take into account the 155 attractive or repulsive interactions between ions and the charged macromolecule. More 156 information and parameter values are given in Table S1. The humic ion-binding model is 157 combined with an inorganic speciation model, the species list and constants for which were 158 given by Tipping (1994). The inorganic reactions in this database are restricted to monomeric 159 complexes of metals. The effects of ionic strength on the inorganic reactions are taken into 160 account using the extended Debye-Hückel equation. Temperature effects on reactions 161 between inorganic species are taken into account using published or estimated enthalpy data, 162 but in the absence of experimental information, reactions involving humic substances are 163 assumed to be independent of temperature. Tipping (1998, 2002) showed that the model can 164 account for the great majority of published data sets describing either proton binding by 165 humic matter or the binding of individual metals. Results from laboratory experiments involving competition for binding between metal ions and protons, and between different 166 167 metal ions, have also been described successfully.

Soil organic matter is considered to comprise humic and fulvic acids (HA and FA), together with "inert" organic matter. The solution phase contains FA and inert organic matter. Therefore to apply the model the amounts of the humic substances (active with respect to cation binding) have to be determined or assumed. Information relevant to the present study has been reported by Tipping (2002) and Tipping et al. (2003). Tipping et al. (2003) showed that the active organic matter could be represented by a combination of humic and fulvic acids, but their combined contribution to the total organic matter varied. If the soil
contained less than 45% SOM, the active binding compounds accounted on average for 0.386
of the total SOM, but for soils with SOM contents of greater than 45 %, their combined
amount was 0.174 of the total soil mass (i.e. SOM and mineral matter). These values were
used in the present work to estimate the concentrations of soil humic substances. For soil
water, 65% of the dissolved organic matter was assumed to be active FA (Tipping et al.,
2003).

101

182

3. Results and discussion

184

185 186 3.1 Chemical speciation modelling of Hg(II) in soil 187 Values of the key parameter to describe metal binding in Model VI, log K_{MA} , have been 188 estimated for Hg(II) from a range of published data from experiments with isolated humic 189 substances (Tipping, 2007). The derived default values for the model were 3.5 and 2.9 for 190 HA and FA respectively (Table S1). However, it should be noted that the experimental data 191 used to derive these constants were both scattered and relatively few in number, compared to 192 those for most other metals to which the model has been applied (Tipping, 1998; 2002). 193 Furthermore, for other strongly-binding metals (e.g. Cu, Pb), the values of LKMA for HA and 194 FA are quite similar (Table S1), so the difference for Hg is unusual. Consequently there is 195 considerable uncertainty in the default values for Hg(II), and some adjustments can be 196 justified in order to square the lab-based constants with observations relevant to the field. 197 The model should be able to reproduce the distribution of Hg(II) between the solid and 198 solution phases, which is mainly controlled by the distribution of dissolved and solid-phase 199 organic matter (Schuster, 1991). Åkerblom et al. (2008) demonstrated that in Swedish forest 200 soils, the ratio of Hg to OC was very similar in solution to that in the solid phase. The 201 similarity in Hg:OC ratios was not correctly predicted using the default log K_{MA} values in 202 WHAM, because the FA value was appreciably lower than that for HA (see above), and FA is assumed to dominate the solution OC. To achieve the required equalisation of Hg:OC ratios 203 204 in the solid and solution phases, it was found necessary to increase the log K_{MA} value for 205 Hg(II)-FA binding from 2.9 to 3.5. 206 The model should also provide reasonable estimates of soil solution [Hg²⁺]. However 207 estimates of this variable are scarce, reflecting the extremely low values and absence of 208 reliable direct methodologies. The only relevant study is that of Skyllberg et al. (2000), who 209 measured total dissolved Hg(II) concentrations in suspensions of peat in 0.5 M NaBr, which permitted estimation of [Hg²⁺] using equilibrium constants for Hg(II)-Br complexation. We 210 211 applied WHAM / Model VI to the same data, and compared the predicted and experimentally 212 estimated [Hg²⁺]. The model outputs were insensitive to the value of log K_{MA} for FA; thus, 213 increasing the log K_{MA} for FA from 2.9 to 3.5, as applied above to match Hg:OC ratios, made 214 little difference to the simulated values because binding is dominated by solid phase HA. 215 Therefore for consistency we used the value of 3.5. Agreement between the measured and calculated values of $[Hg^{2+}]$ is only approximate (Table 1). The model predicts higher 216 concentrations at low pH, with better agreement at higher pH. We examined competition due 217

to Al and Fe(III) by varying their assumed soil contents. There was little dependence on the Al concentration for typical soil levels. Relatively large effects of Fe(III) were found. The results in Table 1 are based on a solubility product (log K_{so}) for the reaction Fe(OH)₃ + 3H⁺ = Fe³⁺ + 3H₂O (log K_{so}) of 2.7 at 25°C. If a log K_{so} of 0.0 was assumed, agreement with the observations was good at low pH, but simulated [Hg²⁺] became too low at higher pH.

223 The observations and simulations are both consistent with strong binding of Hg(II) by organic matter and very low Hg²⁺ concentrations in soil solution. However the validation 224 attempt is clearly not fully successful, in particular with respect to the pH dependence of 225 226 Hg(II) binding. The stronger pH dependence predicted by WHAM is consistent with 227 observations of Hg binding by isolated dissolved organic matter fractions covering the pH range 4.9 to 7.0 (Haitzer et al. 2002, 2003). It can also be argued that modification of the 228 229 model on the basis of indirect estimates based on results obtained from experiments under 230 unnatural chemical conditions (i.e. 0.5 M NaBr) is inappropriate. Furthermore, adjustment of the pH dependence of binding would require a major change to WHAM, the model assumes 231 competition between metal ions and protons, the binding of which is determined separately. 232 233 Therefore we decided not to make further model amendments, and it is applied to estimate $[Hg^{2+}]$ in the soils used for toxicity experiments with caution. 234

As noted, the low concentrations of Hg^{2+} reflect the very high affinity of Hg for 235 organic matter. For reference, a free ion concentration of 1.66×10^{-24} mol l⁻¹ (the reciprocal of 236 Avogadro's number per litre) implies that there is on average one Hg^{2+} ion per litre of 237 solution. In these circumstances, the free ion concentration is a notional quantity that 238 239 provides a link between the concentrations of quantitatively dominant species. For example, 240 in a soil system at equilibrium, a conditional equilibrium constant could be defined that 241 relates the concentration of Hg sorbed by solid phase organic matter to the concentration of 242 Hg bound by dissolved organic matter, both measurable quantities. But the same calculation 243 result should be obtained by relating the interactions of both these Hg forms to the theoretical 244 free ion concentration. Thus, there is no reason to abandon the formal chemical description 245 based on the free ion master species. By the same token, Hg(II) interactions with soil 246 organisms can be described in terms of the reactivity of the metal, as expressed through the 247 free ion concentration (see section 3.3).

248

249 3.2 Critical Limits expressed in terms of Hg(II) soil contents

Fifty-two toxicity end-points were obtained from published data, and these are summarised in Table 2. The results refer to five studies each with plants and invertebrates, and 42 studies of

microbial processes. For this analysis we used the published end-points for all studies except 252 253 those of Semu et al. (1985), Gudbrandsen et al. (2007), Son et al. (2007), van Faassen (1973) 254 and Landa and Fang (1978), and the new data reported here (see Supplementary Content), for 255 which we estimated endpoints using the log-logistic dose response model used by Lofts et al. 256 (2004). The data set of Table 2 represents a substantial increase in the number of data points 257 (18) assembled by Slooff et al (1995), and is an up-to-date compilation providing the best current basis for assessing Hg(II) toxic effects in soils. The number of end-points is 258 comparable to those used for other heavy metals (Table 3). 259

Plotting all the toxicity end-points expressed as $\mu g (g \text{ soil})^{-1}$, or their logarithms, 260 against either pH or SOM did not reveal any significant relationships, neither did multiple 261 262 regression combining pH and %OM. This may reflect the differences in toxicity processes. 263 Welp and Brummer (1997) showed that for the same microbial process (Fe(III) reduction) in different soils the logarithm of the end-point depended significantly on both pH and %OM, 264 and we found that multiple regression with these variables yielded an r^2 of 83% (p < 0.001). 265 266 However, no such relationships were found in the new data reported here on other microbial 267 processes (Table 2B).

Figure 1 shows SSDs for Hg(II) plotted in terms of both $\mu g (g \text{ soil})^{-1}$ and $\mu g (g \text{ SOM})^{-1}$ 268 ¹. There is no clear indication of different sensitivities among species or processes, although 269 270 the results are dominated by results for microbes. The Critical Limits are derived from the data by repeated bootstrap calculation of the lower 5th percentile value, values of 0.13 µg (g 271 soil)⁻¹ and 3.3 μ g (g SOM)⁻¹ being obtained. These values are assumed to apply under all 272 conditions, i.e. they do not vary with pH or any other soil variables. Of course, the use of the 273 274 SOM-based value implies that the Critical Limit does depend upon SOM. The Critical Limits 275 for Hg(II) are substantially lower than those of other bivalent cationic metals (Table 3).

276 Regulatory agencies have estimated guideline soil levels of Hg, aimed at protecting either human health or the environment. UK soil guideline values for inorganic Hg, to 277 protect human health depend upon the land-use, but the lowest value is 8 μ g (g soil)⁻¹ 278 (Environment Agency, 2002). The corresponding value for Canada is 6.6 μ g (g soil)⁻¹, which 279 is lower than the value of 12 μ g (g soil)⁻¹ that applies to environmental health (Canadian 280 281 Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999). Both are considerably greater than the 282 corresponding value derived here of 0.13 μ g (g soil)⁻¹. For the Netherlands, Crommentuijn et al. (1997) recommended a maximum permissible added content of 1.9 μ g (g soil)⁻¹ for a soil 283 284 with 10% organic matter; our SOM-based Critical Limit would convert to 0.33 μ g (g soil)⁻¹. In contrast, our SOM-based value is appreciably higher than the value of 0.5 μ g (g SOM)⁻¹ 285

02/08/2010

suggested by De Vries et al. (2007) on the basis of experiments on forest floor soil samples (Bringmark and Bringmark, 2001). As noted in the Introduction, this value of 0.5 μ g (g SOM)⁻¹ was used to determine that 85% of European sites are exceeded in the Critical Load (Hettelingh and Sliggers, 2006). Use of our new higher value would decrease the geographical area for which the Critical Load is exceeded..

291

292 3.3 Critical Limit Function in terms of $[Hg^{2+}]$ and pH

We applied WHAM / Model VI to estimate $[Hg^{2+}]$ from added Hg(II), pH and SOM content. 293 The calculation required assumptions about the background electrolyte, and each soil had to 294 295 be "titrated" with either strong acid or strong base to achieve the measured pH. The $[Hg^{2+}]$ values finally used were obtained assuming all soils to contain 0.5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂ as 296 297 background electrolyte, with calculated additions of either Na or Cl to adjust pH. However, 298 very similar results were obtained if NaCl was used as background, and adjustments were 299 made with Ca or NO₃. As discussed in Section 3.1, we set $\log K_{MA}$ to 3.5 for both HA and FA, and assumed log $K_{so} = 2.7$ for Fe(OH)₃ solubility. Since the toxicity data refer to added 300 301 metal in laboratory toxicity experiments, we assume that all the metal is "reactive" or 302 "geochemically active", i.e. able to participate in solid-solution partitioning, chemical 303 speciation in solution, and to be bioavailable. The estimated free ion concentrations are included in Table 2, and plotted against pH in Figure 2. The calculated values of [Hg²⁺] fall 304 in a wide range, from as low as 10^{-35} to as high as 10^{-13} mol l⁻¹. The dashed line is the median 305 306 linear regression (p < 0.001 by bootstrapping).

The Critical Limit Function (CLF) was calculated using an adjusted version of the method used by Lofts et al. (2004). The procedure involves firstly calculating an expression for the median toxicity of $[Hg^{2+}]$ as a function of pH and then deriving a further expression for the critical limit concentration of $[Hg^{2+}]$ by assuming the distribution of toxicity data around the median expression to represent the distribution of sensitivities of soil organisms to Hg^{2+} . This entailed the following steps.

- 313 (i) The toxicity dataset (comprising pairs of soil solution pH and log $[Hg^{2+}]$ concentrations) 314 was sampled 10,000 times with replacement and slope (α) and intercept (γ) values 315 calculated using median linear regression.
- 316 (ii) For each sample, a sensitivity distribution of the regression residuals was calculated and 317 the 95-percentile (δ) of this distribution calculated. A value of γ corresponding to the

- 318 critical concentration of $[Hg^{2+}]$, was calculated as $\gamma_{crit} = \gamma + \delta$. The critical concentration 319 of $[Hg^{2+}]$ is given by $\log [Hg^{2+}]_{crit} = \alpha.pH + \gamma_{crit.}$
- 320 (iii) Using the sampled slope and intercept parameters α and γ_{crit} , 10,000 values of log 321 $[Hg^{2^+}]_{crit}$ were calculated at each of a series of pH values spanning the range found in the 322 toxicological tests. The CLF was derived by linear regression of the median log $[Hg^{2^+}]_{crit}$ 323 against pH. The solid line in Figure 2 represents the CLF, with $\alpha = -2.15$ and $\gamma_{crit} = -$ 324 17.10.
- Figure 2 shows the CLF for Hg(II) and also CLFs derived for other heavy metals (Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb). It is clear that the Hg(II) CLF falls many orders of magnitude below those of the other metals. The range of end-points, on the logarithmic scale, is relatively large for Hg(II). Table 3 presents the full set of CLF parameters for six cationic metals.
- 329 The CLF approach is empirical, but is consistent with the more mechanistic Biotic Ligand Model (Niyogi and Wood, 2004; Thakali et al., 2006), in that chemical speciation is 330 331 regarded as the key to metal interaction with organisms, and thereby to toxicity. The free 332 metal ion concentration is central to this idea, but competition with other cations, notably H^+ , 333 is also taken into account, hence the pH term in the CLF. In the case of Hg(II) the free ion 334 concentrations are very low (Table 2, Figure 2), which means that binding to both soil organic 335 matter and the biota is very strong. It is important to recognise that the free ion approach does 336 not suggest that the free ion is somehow the "toxic species" or the "bioavailable form" of the 337 metal; rather, it is the main variable that predicts toxicity. In the chemical equilibrium-based 338 approaches (i.e. the CLF or the Biotic Ligand Model) all the "reactive" or "geochemically 339 active" metal (i.e. all metal in solution, adsorbed to soil organic matter or mineral particles, etc) is bioavailable, but the chemical reactions govern the extent to which the metal reacts 340 341 with the organism, and therefore toxicity. Thus, if the concentrations of other chemical 342 species are kept constant, the greater is $[M^{z+}]$ the greater is the extent of reaction with the 343 organism, and therefore the greater the toxic effect.
- The speciation modelling results (Section 3.1) showed only partial validation of the model by comparison with experimentally-estimated soil $[Hg^{2+}]$, in particular with regard to the pH dependence of Hg(II) binding. Accordingly, the derived CLF also is also uncertain with respect to the pH dependence. As long as WHAM is used to estimate $[Hg^{2+}]$ then application of the CLF derived here will yield consistent results, since any errors will cancel. But in an absolute sense the CLF parameters are highly approximate.
- 350

351 3.4 Application of the Critical Limits for Hg(II)

352 For four hypothetical but representative soils differing in pH and OM content, we calculated 353 the active Hg content corresponding to the three types of Critical Limit derived in the present work (Table 4). For the soil-based Hg content limit of 0.13 μ g g⁻¹ the value is the same in all 354 cases, and variation in soil properties cannot be taken into account. The SOM-based limit of 355 356 3.3 μ g g⁻¹ yields a variation of 100-fold, from 0.03 to 3.3 μ g g⁻¹. The CLF gives similar results but a slightly smaller range, from 0.04 to 1.2 μ g g⁻¹. The differences between the 357 SOM- and CLF-based values arise because the pH dependence of Hg(II) binding by soil 358 359 differs from the pH-dependence of the CLF. These results demonstrate the superiority of 360 approaches that take soil properties into account; the purely soil-based Critical Limit would be over-protective at low pH / high SOM and under-protective at high pH / low SOM. 361

362 The soil contents of Hg(II) at the Critical Limit refer to reactive metal, which is well-363 defined for toxicity experiments in which metal salts are added to soil, and in theoretical 364 chemical speciation calculations, but less so when analysing samples of soils from the field. 365 For the other cationic bivalent metals of Table 3, extraction with dilute acid or EDTA 366 provides a reasonable estimate of reactive metal (see e.g. Tipping et al., 2003), but 367 preliminary studies in our laboratory (A.J. Lawlor and E.Tipping, unpublished results) suggest that such reagents are ineffective for Hg(II); see also Ernst et al. (2008). The use of a 368 369 more aggressive extractant such as aqua regia may therefore be needed to release Hg(II) from 370 soils, but this could also mobilise unreactive metal that is part of parent mineral matter, 371 leading to overestimation of both atmospherically-deposited and bioavailable mercury (Krug 372 and Winstanley, 2004).

373

374

376 4. Conclusions

- 377
- 378 (a) Critical Limits for Hg expressed as added metal content of soil are 0.13 μ g (g soil)⁻¹ and
- 379 $3.30 \ \mu g (g \text{ SOM})^{-1}$. These are substantially lower than values derived by the same method 380 for other bivalent cationic metals (Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb).
- (b) The SOM-based limit is appreciably higher than the value of 0.5 µg (g SOM)⁻¹ currently
 recommended for use in calculating Critical Loads.
- 383 (c) With Hg(II) toxicity expressed in terms of the free ion concentration, $[Hg^{2+}]$, estimated 384 using WHAM / Model VI, an approximate Critical Limit Function (CLF) is derived. This 385 takes the form; $\log [Hg^{2+}]_{crit} = -2.15 \text{ pH} - 17.10.$
- 386 (d) The SOM-based Critical Limit and the CLF provide the best assessments of permissible
- 387 soil levels of reactive Hg(II), which vary from *c*. $2 \mu g (g \text{ soil})^{-1}$ for acid organic soil to *c*. 388 0.05 $\mu g (g \text{ soil})^{-1}$ for neutral soil low in organic matter.
- 389

391 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to L. Bringmark for helpful discussions, and to U. Skyllberg for providing experimental data for soil speciation modelling. This work was financially supported under contract AQ0805, by the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, the Scottish Executive, the National Assembly of Wales and the Department of the Environment (in Northern Ireland).

398 References

- Åkerblom, S., Meili, M., Bringmark, L., Johansson, K., Kleja, D.B. and Bergvist, B., 2008.
 Partitioning of Hg between solid and dissolved organic matter in the humus layer of
 boreal forests. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 189, 235-252.
- Ashmore, M., Van den Berg, L., Terry, A., Tipping, E., Lawlor, A. J., Lofts, S., Thacker, S.
 A., Vincent, C. D., Hall, J., O'Hanlon, S., Shotbolt, L., Harmens, H., Lloyd, A., Norris,
 D., Nemitz, E., Jarvis, K. and Jordan, C., 2007. Development of an effects-based
 approach for toxic metals. Report to the UK Department for Environment, Food and
 Rural Affairs, the Scottish Executive, the National Assembly for Wales and the
 Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland. Contract CPEA 24. University
 of York.
- Beck, T., 1981. Untersuchungen über die toxische Wirkung der in Siedlungsabfällen häufigen
 Schwermetalle auf die Bodenmikroflora. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernahrung und
 Bodenkunde 144, 613–627.
- Bringmark, L. and Bringmark, E., 2001a. Soil respiration in relation to small-scale patterns of
 lead and mercury in mor layers of southern Swedish forest sites. Water, Air, and Soil
 Pollution: Focus, 1, 395-408.
- Bringmark, L. and Bringmark, E., 2001b. Lowest level effects of lead and mercury on
 decomposition of mor layers in a long-term experiment. Water, Air, and Soil
 Pollution: Focus 1, 425-437.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999. Canadian soil quality guidelines for
 the protection of environmental and human health: Mercury (inorganic) (1999). In:
 Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines, 1999, Canadian Council of Ministers of
 the Environment, Winnipeg.
- 422 Crommentuijn, T., Polder, M.D. and Van De Plassche, E.J., 1997. Maximum Permissible
 423 Concentrations and Negligible Concentrations for Metals, Taking Background
 424 Concentration Into Account. National Institute of Public Health and the Environment,
 425 Report no. 601501 001, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
- De Vries, W., Lofts, S., Tipping, E., Meili, M., Groenenberg, J.E. and Schütze, G., 2007.
 Impact of soil properties on critical concentrations of cadmium, lead, copper, zinc, and
 mercury in soil and soil solution in view of ecotoxicological effects. Review of
 Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 191, 47-89.
- 430 De Vries, W., Schütze, G., Lofts, S., Tipping, E., Meili, M., Römkens and P.F.A.M.,
 431 Groenenberg, J.E., 2005. Calculation of critical loads for cadmium, lead and mercury.

- Background document to a mapping manual on critical loads of cadmium, lead and
 mercury. Alterra Report 1104, Alterra, Wageningen, The Netherlands
 (http://www2.alterra.wur.nl/Webdocs/PDFFiles/Alterrarapporten/AlterraRapport1104.
 pdf)
- 436 Environment Agency of England and Wales, 2002. Soil Guideline Values for Inorganic
 437 Mercury Contamination. R&D Publication SGV 5, WRc, Swindon.
- 438 Ernst G., Zimmermann S., Christie P., Frey B., 2008. Mercury, cadmium and lead
 439 concentrations in different ecophysiological groups of earthworms in forest soils.
 440 Environmental Pollution 156, 1304 1313.
- Gudbrandsen, M., Sverdrup, L.E., Aamodt, S. and Stenersen, J., 2007. Short-term exposure
 increases earthworm tolerance to mercury. European Journal of Soil Biology 43
 (Supp. 1), S261-S267.
- Haitzer, M., Aiken, G.R., Ryan, J.N., 2002. Binding of mercury(II) to dissolved organic
 matter: the role of the mercury-to-DOM concentration ratio. Environtal Science and
 Technology 36, 3564-3570.
- Haitzer, M., Aiken, G.R. and Ryan, J.N., 2003. Binding of mercury(II) to aquatic humic
 substances: influence of pH and source of humic substances. Environtal Science and
 Technology 37, 2436-2441.
- Hettelingh, J.P. and Sliggers, J. (eds), 2006. Heavy Metal Emissions, Depositions, Critical
 Loads and Exceedances in Europe. Ministry of the Environment VROM DGM,
 Netherlands.
- Janssen, C.R., Heijerick, D.G., De Schamphelaere, K.A.C., Allen and H. E. Allen, 2003.
 Environmental risk assessment of metals: tools for incorporating bioavailability
 Environment International 28, 793-800.
- Krug, E.C. and Winstanley, D., 2004. Comparison of mercury in atmospheric deposition and
 in Illinois and USA soils. Hydrology and Earth System Science 8, 98-102.
- Landa, E.R. and Fang, S.C., 1978. Effect of mercuric chloride on carbon mineralization in
 soils. Plant and Soil, 49, 179–183.
- 460 Lock, K. and Janssen, C.R., 2001. Ecotoxicity of mercury to *Eisenia fetida*, *Enchytraeus*461 *albidus* and *Folsomia candida*. Biology and Fertility of Soils 34, 219–221.
- Lofts, S., Spurgeon, D.J., Svendsen, C. and Tipping, E., 2004. Deriving soil critical limits for
 Cu, Zn, Cd, and Pb: A method based on free ion concentrations. Environmental
 Science and Technology 38, 3623-3631.

- Meili, M., 1991. The coupling of mercury and organic-matter in the biogeochemical cycle towards a mechanistic model for the boreal forest zone. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution
 56, 333-347
- 468 Niyogi, S. and Wood, C.M., 2004. Biotic Ligand Model, a flexible tool for developing site469 specific water quality guidelines for metals. Environmental Science and Technology
 470 38, 6177.
- 471 Peijnenburg, W., Sneller, E., Sijm, D., Lijzen, J., Traas, T. and Verbruggen, E., 2002.
 472 Implementation of bioavailability in standard setting and risk assessment. Journal of
 473 Soils and Sediments 2, 169-173.
- 474 Posthuma, L., Traas, T. P. and Suter, G. W., 2001. The Use of Species Sensitivity
 475 Distributions in Ecotoxicology. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Fl.
- Schuster, E., 1991. The behaviour of mercury in the soil with special emphasis on
 complexation and adsorption processes a review of the literature. Water, Air, and
 Soil Pollution 56, 667-680.
- 479 Semu, E., Singh, B.R., Selmerolsen, A.R. and Steenberg, K., 1985. Uptake of Hg from Hg²⁰³480 labeled mercury compounds by wheat and beans grown on an oxisol. Plant and Soil
 481 87, 347–355.
- 482 Skyllberg, U., Xia, K., Bloom, P.R., Nater and E.A., Bleam, W.F., 2000. Binding of
 483 mercury(II) to reduced sulphur in soil organic matter along upland-peat soil transects.
 484 Journal of Environmental Quality 29, 855-865.
- Slooff, W., Van Beelen, P., Annema, J.A. and Janus, J.A., 1995. Integrated Criteria
 Document Mercury. RIVM Report No. 601014 008.
- Son, J., Ryoo, M.I., Jung, J. and Cho, K., 2007. Effects of cadmium, mercury and lead on the
 survival and instantaneous rate of increase of *Paronychiurus kimi*. Applied Soil
 Ecology 35, 404–411.
- Thakali, S., Allen, H.E., Di Toro, D.M., Ponizovsky, A.A., Rooney, C.P., Zhao, F.J.,
 McGrath, S.P., Criel, P., Van Eeckhout, H., Janssen, C.R., Oorts and K. Smolders, E.,
 2006. A terrestrial biotic ligand model. 1. Development and application to Cu and Ni
 toxicities to barley root elongation in soils. Environmental Science and Technology
 40, 7094-7100.
- Tipping, E., 1994. WHAM A chemical equilibrium model and computer code for waters,
 sediments and soils incorporating a discrete-site / electrostatic model of ion-binding by
 humic substances. Computers and Geosciences 20, 973-1023.

- Tipping, E., 1998. Humic Ion-Binding Model VI: an improved description of ion-binding by
 humic substances. Aquatic Geochemistry 4, 3-48.
- 500 Tipping, E., 2002. Cation-Binding by Humic Substances. Cambridge University Press,
 501 Cambridge.
- 502 Tipping, E., 2007. Modelling the interactions of Hg(II) and methylmercury with humic 503 substances using WHAM/Model VI. Applied Geochemistry 22, 1624-1635.
- Tipping, E., Rieuwerts, J., Pan, G., Ashmore, M.R., Lofts, S., Hill, M.T.R., Farago, M.E. and
 Thornton, I., 2003. The solid-solution partitioning of heavy metals (Cu, Zn, Cd, Pb)
 in upland soils of England and Wales. Environmental Pollution 125, 213-225.
- 507 Tu, C.M., 1988. Effects of selected pesticides on activities of invertase, amylase and 508 microbial respiration in sandy soil. Chemosphere 17, 159-163.
- Van Faassen, U.G., 1973. Effects of mercury compounds on soil microbes. Plant and Soil, 38,
 485–487.
- 511 Van Straalen and N. M., Denneman, C. A. J., 1989. Ecotoxicological evaluation of soil
 512 quality criteria. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 18, 241-251.
- Weaver, R.W., Melton, J.R., Wang, D.S. and Duble, R.L., 1984. Uptake of arsenic and
 mercury from soil by Bermuda grass *Cynodon dactylon*. Environmental Pollution 33,
 133–142.
- Welp, G., 1999. Inhibitory effects of the total and water-soluble concentrations of nine
 different metals on the dehydrogenase activity of a loess soil. Biology and Fertility of
 Soils 30, 132–139.
- Welp, G. and Brummer, G.W., 1997. Microbial toxicity of Cd and Hg in different soils
 related to total and water-soluble contents. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety
 38, 200–204.
- Zelles, L., Scheunert, I. and Korte, F., 1985. Side effects of some pesticides on non-target
 soil microorganisms. Journal of Environmental Science and Health B20(5), 457-488.
- Zelles, L., El-Kabbany, S., Scheunert, I. and Korte, F., 1989. Effects of pentachlorophenol ¹⁴C and HgCl₂ on the microflora of various soils in comparison to biodegradation and
 volatilization. Chemosphere 19, 1721–1727.
- 527

529 Table 1. Free ion concentrations of Hg(II) in equilibrium with peat soil. The observed

530 values are from Skyllberg et al. (2000), the simulations are from WHAM.

531

532

pН	mol Hg gC ⁻¹	log [Hg2-	+] mol l^{-1}
		005	51111
2.83	-8.68	-28.50	-23.73
3.15	-8.41	-28.19	-24.07
3.18	-8.41	-28.25	-24.14
3.20	-6.93	-25.40	-20.09
3.21	-7.40	-26.20	-21.70
3.22	-8.62	-28.80	-24.52
3.24	-8.35	-28.40	-24.20
3.24	-7.91	-27.50	-23.11
3.24	-7.67	-27.00	-22.37
3.34	-7.47	-25.65	-22.17
3.34	-7.52	-25.65	-22.27
3.51	-8.37	-28.68	-24.88
3.54	-8.37	-28.69	-24.95
3.66	-7.38	-25.67	-22.76
3.72	-7.35	-25.68	-22.84
5.23	-6.92	-25.90	-25.52
5.41	-6.91	-25.94	-26.01
5.59	-6.92	-25.90	-26.56
5.98	-6.76	-26.24	-27.24
6.40	-6.69	-26.75	-28.28

- Table 2A. Chronic toxicity data for Hg(II) for plants and invertebrates. OM is organic matter; [Hg]²⁺ estimated by modelling with WHAM/Model VI.

Toxicity variable	pН	OM	added Hg	log [Hg2+]	Reference
		%	µg gsoil ⁻¹	mol l^{-1}	
Plants					
Cynodon dactylon leaf dry weight	7.8	1.4	8.0	-26.5	Weaver 1984
Cynodon dactylon stem dry weight	4.6	0.4	25.0	-13.7	Weaver 1984
Lactuca sativa seed emergence	4.0	5.6	7.0	-20.1	Environment Canada 1995
Raphanus sativa seed emergence	4.0	5.6	51.0	-16.7	Environment Canada 1995
Phaseolus vulgaris straw yield	4.9	3.2	0.9	-24.3	Semu 1985
Invertebrates					
Eisenia fetida reproduction	6.4	10.0	10.0	-25.7	Lock & Janssen 2001
Eisenia fetida reproduction	6.2	3.2	4.8	-24.7	Gudbrandsen et al 2007
Enchytraeus albidus reproduction	6.4	10.0	18.0	-24.9	Lock & Janssen 2001
Folsomia candida reproduction	6.4	10.0	1.8	-28.9	Lock & Janssen 2001
Paronychiurus kimi progeny	6.4	10.0	0.0	-33.7	Son et al 2007

- 542 Table 2B. Chronic toxicity data for Hg(II) for microbial processes and community structure.
- 543 OM is organic matter; [Hg]²⁺ estimated by modelling with WHAM/Model VI.
- 544

Toxicity variable	pН	OM	added Hg	log [Hg2+]	Reference
		%	µg gsoil ⁻¹	$mol \Gamma^1$	
ammonification	7.9	1.5	26.0	-24.5	van Faassen 1973
amylase activity	7.4	3.8	70	-23.1	Tu 1998
ATP content	5.9	24.0	2.0	-29.0	Zelles 1989
ATP content	6.4	3.2	3	-25.8	Zelles et al. 1985
dehydrogenase activity	7.5	2.2	0.1	-33.9	Welp 1999
Fe(III) reduction	5.8	4.0	0.1	-29.8	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	5.6	2.4	0.2	-28.5	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	5.6	2.4	0.2	-28.4	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	8.0	3.4	0.2	-34.6	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	7.3	3.2	0.3	-32.2	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	8.2	2.2	0.4	-33.7	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	5.8	6.6	2.0	-26.4	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	6.0	5.2	2.0	-26.5	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	4.5	11.0	2.0	-24.4	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	7.9	9.6	3.0	-31.6	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	5.7	6.6	5.0	-24.4	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	4.4	15.6	6.0	-22.7	Welp & Brummer 1997
Fe(III) reduction	5.2	22.8	125.0	-20.0	Welp & Brummer 1997
fluorescein diacetate hydrolysis	6.4	3.2	4	-25.4	Zelles et al. 1985
microbial respiration - C mineralisation	8.2	3.2	10.0	-28.8	Landa & Fang 1978
microbial respiration - C mineralisation	6.6	13.4	0.5	-31.6	Landa & Fang 1978
nitrification	8.1	6.1	70.0	-25.9	van Faassen 1973
nitrification	7.9	1.5	1.2	-30.2	van Faassen 1973
nitrification	7.4	30.0	2.0	-32.8	Beck 1981
Operational Taxonomic Unit	3.2	5.8	0.4	-22.4	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	3.3	8.4	0.3	-23.4	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	4.4	7	0.5	-25.5	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	4.5	4.2	8.2	-20.5	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	4.5	8.2	5.9	-22.0	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	4.9	16.4	5.1	-24.2	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	5.7	6.4	3.2	-25.2	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	6.8	10	31.1	-24.9	This study
Operational Taxonomic Unit	7.5	8.8	19.6	-27.4	This study
respiration	3.2	5.8	2.0	-20.0	This study
respiration	3.3	8.4	0.6	-22.7	This study
respiration	4.4	7	6.9	-21.3	This study
respiration	4.5	4.2	1.8	-22.8	This study
respiration	4.5	8.2	0.4	-26.2	This study
respiration	4.9	16.4	2.5	-25.8	This study
respiration	5.7	6.4	2.8	-25.5	This study
respiration	6.8	10	0.4	-31.7	This study
respiration	7.4	3.8	70	-23.1	Tu 1998

546 Table 3 Summary of Critical Limits for heavy metals in soil. The values for Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd

547 and Pb are from Ashmore et al. (2007).

548

Metal	no. of data	μg (gsoil) ⁻¹	μg (gSOM) ⁻¹	$CLF\alpha$	$CLF \ \gamma_{crit}$
Ni	83	9.4	481	-0.42	-3.78
Cu	141	10.0	227	-1.26	-1.80
Zn	92	17.9	253	-0.25	-5.07
Cd	63	3.5	54	-0.31	-6.36
Hg	52	0.13	3.3	-2.15	-17.10
Pb	49	52.8	984	-0.93	-3.50

549

550

552	Table 4 Comparison of soil Hg contents at the Critical Limit, calculated from the three
553	Critical Limit methods (soil, SOM, function) described in the text.
554	

	%OM	log [Hg ²⁺]	soil Hg µg g ⁻¹			
рН			CL soil	CL SOM	CLF	
4.0	100	-25.70	0.13	3.30	1.19	
5.0	20	-27.85	0.13	0.66	0.64	
6.0	5	-30.00	0.13	0.17	0.19	
7.0	1	-32.15	0.13	0.033	0.048	

556 Figure captions

- 557
- 558 Figure 1
- 559 Species sensitivity distributions for Hg(II) chronic toxicity endpoints in soil, expressed in
- 560 terms of soil solids (upper panel) and soil organic matter (lower panel). The derivation of
- 561 Critical Limits at the 5th percentile is demonstrated with the horizontal and vertical lines.
- 562
- 563 Figure 2
- 564 Toxic end-points expressed as $[Hg^{2+}]$, symbols as for Figure 1. The dashed line is the median
- regression, and the solid line the derived Critical Limit Function (CLF). CLFs for Ni, Cu,Zn, Cd and Pb are also shown.
- 567



