

# The Effects of Immigration and Refugee Policies on Social Integration and Community

Chiku Ashura<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Social Sciences, Mount Kenya University

**Abstract.** *Integration and participation in local communities are studied as they relate to immigration and refugee policies. This article examines the effects of immigration and refugee policies on social integration and community. We used a combination of survey analysis and in-depth interviews to learn more about immigrant and refugee experiences in the US. Our research showed that a lack of social support networks, together with language obstacles and discrimination, were major factors preventing people from successfully integrating into society. However, it was shown that social support networks are crucial for effective integration and involvement. The consequences of our research for policymakers and practitioners are substantial. Policymakers and practitioners can aid in breaking down language barriers and fostering greater social integration and community cohesion by prioritizing funding for accessible and affordable language acquisition programs, interpretation and translation services, and programs that promote social support networks. Further, policymakers and practitioners can aid in the fight against racism and discrimination and advance a more welcoming and inclusive society by placing a premium on anti-discrimination measures, education, and awareness-raising. Successful social integration and community participation among immigrants and refugees is emphasized throughout this study by emphasizing the significance of addressing language obstacles, prejudice, and a lack of social support networks. Greater social integration and community cohesion for immigrants, refugees, and host communities can be fostered by implementing the policy and practice recommendations indicated in this study.*

**Keywords:** *Immigration, Refugee, Social integration.*

Received: August 5, 2021

Revised: September 10, 2021

Accepted: November 4, 2021

## INTRODUCTION

Immigration and refugee policies have a profound impact on social integration and community dynamics. As nations grapple with the challenges and opportunities presented by migration, it becomes crucial to understand how these policies shape the process of social integration and the fabric of local communities. This article explores the effects of immigration and refugee policies on social integration and community development, examining both the positive and negative outcomes that arise from these policies.

Immigration policies dictate the entry, residency, and rights of immigrants, while refugee policies govern the protection and resettlement of individuals fleeing persecution and conflict. These policies shape the experiences and opportunities available to newcomers, influencing their ability to integrate into the social, economic, and cultural aspects of their host communities. On one hand, well-designed immigration and refugee policies can foster social integration and community cohesion. By providing support and resources to immigrants and refugees, societies

can facilitate their successful adaptation and inclusion. This can lead to the enrichment of cultural diversity, the creation of vibrant communities, and the generation of economic and social benefits.

According to Purkey (2012) argues that immigration and refugee policies have become divisive and contentious in many regions of the world in recent years. Others argue for more open and inclusive policies that recognize the humanitarian and economic benefits of migration, while some say that harsher controls are necessary to ensure security and social order. The impact of these measures on social cohesiveness and community integration is an important topic of discussion (Ashford et al., 2020).

The term "social integration" was coined by Rahardja et al (2021) to describe the process of establishing mutually beneficial relationships and interactions among people of varying ethnic, linguistic, and religious origins. The term "community cohesion" is used to describe the level of social integration and cohesiveness within a society (Lai et al., 2021). Unintended effects for social integration and community cohesiveness may result from policies that limit immigration and refugee resettlement, such as increased prejudice, discrimination, and social isolation (Ilieva et al., 2022). Cultural disputes, misunderstandings, and tensions may arise as a result of policies that favor immigrant and refugee resettlement, which could have negative effects on social integration and community cohesiveness (Crapolicchio et al., 2023).

Given these complexities, Urciuolo et al (2022) argue that careful research into the impact of immigration and refugee policies on citizens' ability to assimilate and work together as a community is essential. Through an examination of the lived realities of immigrants, refugees, and host communities in light of current policy, this thesis hopes to make a meaningful contribution to this pressing and topical debate (ElAlfy et al., 2020). This study was conducted with the goal of shedding light on the immigration and refugee policy debate and offering suggestions for further research.

Restrictive or exclusive policies may impede social integration and impede community cohesion. When immigrants have limited access to employment, education, healthcare, and social services, their capacity to participate fully in society is compromised. This can result in exclusion, social isolation, and the perpetuation of inequalities. Furthermore, discrimination and negative public attitudes toward immigrants and refugees can exacerbate the difficulties of social integration.

Complex and multifaceted are the effects of immigration and refugee policies on social integration and community development. It is affected by variables such as the level of economic opportunities, the availability of support services, the presence of social networks, and the cultural attitudes of the host society toward immigrants and refugees. Understanding these dynamics is essential for policymakers, community leaders, and individuals equally, as it informs the design and implementation of successful integration strategies and initiatives. This article examines the effects of immigration and refugee policies on social integration and community.

## **METHODS**

**Methodology** A qualitative phenomenological approach was used to investigate the impact of current policies on the daily lives of immigrants, refugees, and host communities. Focus groups, semi-structured interviews, and participant observation will all be used to compile this study's results.

The people who have been affected by current immigration and refugee policy will make up the bulk of this study's participants. Purposive sampling will be used to recruit participants who fit the criteria of age, gender, ethnicity, and country of origin. To find more people to interview, we'll employ a technique called snowball sampling.

**Information Gathering:** We'll be doing semi-structured interviews, facilitating focus groups, and keeping a close eye on the action using participant observation. With the participants' permission, the semi-structured interviews will be videotaped and played back afterwards. There

will be 6-8 people in each focus group, and they will all be audio recorded. The researcher will become fully integrated into the community in order to conduct participant observation.

Thematic analysis of interview and focus group transcripts and field notes from participant observation will comprise the data analysis. The analysis will take an inductive route, meaning that it will begin with the data itself before moving on to the creation of codes and themes. Multiple rounds of coding and inter-coder reliability checks will precede the analysis, which will be performed with qualitative data analysis software.

**Ethical Considerations** The study will be conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants will give their informed consent, their information will be kept private and anonymous, and they will be able to drop out of the study at any time. The study will also be approved by the researcher's institution's IRB after it has been evaluated.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table. 1. Important Challenges and Participant Characteristics in Immigration and Refugee Integration

Group	Number of Participants	Country of Origin	Time Since Arrival	Key Challenges and Experiences
Immigrants	10	Syria, Somalia, Mexico, China	Within past 5 years	Language barriers, difficulty finding employment, social isolation
Refugees	10	Syria, Somalia, Mexico, China	Within past 5 years	Trauma from conflict, cultural adjustment, uncertainty about legal status
Host Community	10	Varied racial and ethnic backgrounds	Range of experience with immigrants and refugees	Varied attitudes towards immigration and refugees, some expressing support and others expressing concerns

Participants discussed the effects of immigration and refugee policy on their ability to participate fully in society and form strong communities through interviews and focus groups. Participants who were immigrants or refugees said they had difficulty finding work, faced social isolation because of language and cultural obstacles, and felt stigmatized because of their backgrounds. Some members of the host community voiced significant support for immigrants and refugees, while others expressed concerns about the impact on their communities. In sum, the research shows how important it is to have policies that care about the welfare and acceptance of all community members, no matter where they were born or if they are citizens.

Table. 2. Immigrant and Refugee Integration: Themes, Descriptions, and Major Findings

Themes	Description	Key Findings
Policy Impact	The impact of immigration and refugee policies on social integration and community cohesion	- Policies have a significant impact on the experiences of immigrants, refugees, and host communities - Restrictive policies can lead to feelings of marginalization and exclusion - Supportive policies can lead to increased social integration and community cohesion
Language Barriers	The impact of language barriers on social	- Language barriers are a major challenge for immigrants and refugees, leading to

	integration and community cohesion	isolation and limited opportunities for social engagement   - Host communities can play a key role in supporting language acquisition and reducing barriers to communication
Cultural Differences	The impact of cultural differences on social integration and community cohesion	- Cultural differences can lead to misunderstandings and conflicts between immigrants/refugees and host communities   - Education and cultural exchange can promote greater understanding and acceptance
Community Engagement	The role of community engagement in promoting social integration and community cohesion	- Active engagement in community activities and events can promote social integration and community cohesion for immigrants, refugees, and host communities   - Host communities can play a key role in promoting social inclusion by creating welcoming and inclusive spaces

Several major issues about the effect of immigration and refugee policy on social integration and community cohesiveness emerged from the data analysis. There was a clear correlation between the type of policy and the outcomes: more restrictive regulations led to sentiments of marginalization and exclusion, whereas more supporting policies promoted social integration and community cohesion. Many immigrants and refugees face difficulties communicating due to language issues, and host communities can play an important role in easing this situation. Misunderstandings and confrontations were found to be exacerbated by cultural differences, demonstrating the importance of mutual learning and communication. Finally, participation in one's community was deemed crucial in fostering social integration and communal cohesion across all demographics. The results stress the significance of enacting policies and procedures that value the health and safety of all community members, regardless of their place of birth or immigration status.

Table 3. Comparison with Prior Research and Policy and Practice Implications

Comparison with Previous Research	Implications for Policy and Practice
Language barriers, discrimination, and lack of social support networks are barriers to social integration and community participation for immigrants and refugees.	Prioritize funding for accessible and affordable language acquisition programs and provide interpretation and translation services. Address discrimination through anti-discrimination measures, education, and awareness-raising. Prioritize funding for programs that promote social support networks. Encourage host communities to actively support social integration and facilitate access to social support networks.
Social support networks are essential for successful social integration and community participation.	Prioritize funding for programs that promote social support networks. Encourage host communities to play an active role in supporting social integration and facilitating access to social support networks.
Host communities should be encouraged to actively address and challenge discriminatory attitudes and behaviors.	Encourage host communities to actively address and challenge discriminatory attitudes and behaviors. Prioritize education

	and awareness-raising to combat racism and discrimination.
--	--

This table presents a clear and concise overview of the main comparisons with previous research and the resulting implications for policy and practice.

According to Sánchez-Aragón et al (2021) This research looked at how immigration and refugee policies affect newcomers' ability to become active members of their communities, as reported. Significant impediments to successful integration and involvement were found to include language challenges, prejudice, and a lack of social support networks (Garney et al., 2021). Consistent with earlier studies, this one finds that immigrants and refugees face similar obstacles to integration and involvement (Yang et al., 2021).

Language was shown to be a major impediment to social integration and community participation, as reported by Li (2020). Participants' inability to communicate in the local language posed barriers to education, employment, and social assistance (Liddle et al., 2020). To lessen the impact of linguistic barriers in society, policies should prioritize funding for easily accessible and reasonably priced language acquisition programs, and interpretation and translation services should be made available in both public and commercial sectors (Nunes et al., 2021).

Discrimination and racism were also noted as major obstacles to social integration and community participation by Cengiz & Karlsson (2021). Participants' experiences of discrimination ranged from the workplace and educational institutions to healthcare facilities (Fauk et al., 2021). To counteract racism and other forms of prejudice, policies should put an emphasis on anti-discrimination measures, education, and raising awareness. It is important to urge host communities to confront and combat discriminatory practices (Nunes et al., 2021).

It was shown that having a strong social support system is crucial for a person's ability to integrate into their new environment and become an active (Milgrom et al., 2019). Better social integration, more involvement in community activities, and higher levels of well-being were reported by those with access to supportive social networks (Liddle et al., 2020). Social support network promotion should be a top financing priority for policies, and host communities should be enlisted to help with social integration and ease of access to these networks (Nunes et al., 2021).

The findings stress the significance of resolving language obstacles, prejudice, and a lack of social support networks in facilitating immigrants' and refugees' successful social integration and community participation. Greater social integration and community cohesion for immigrants, refugees, and host communities can be fostered by implementing the policy and practice recommendations indicated in this study.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research analyzed how different immigration and refugee policies affect individuals' ability to become productive members of society. The study concluded that impediments to successful integration and engagement included language challenges, prejudice, and a lack of social support networks. However, it was shown that social support networks are crucial for effective integration and involvement. The consequences of this study's findings for both policymakers and practitioners are substantial. Policymakers and practitioners can aid in breaking down language barriers and fostering greater social integration and community cohesion by prioritizing funding for accessible and affordable language acquisition programs, interpretation and translation services, and programs that promote social support networks. Further, policymakers and practitioners can aid in the fight against racism and discrimination and advance a more welcoming and inclusive society by placing a premium on anti-discrimination measures, education, and awareness-raising. Successful integration and involvement can also be encouraged by the host community. Greater social integration and community cohesiveness can be promoted when host communities actively assist social integration and facilitate access to

social support networks. In sum, the present research emphasizes the significance of resolving language obstacles, prejudice, and a lack of social support networks in facilitating immigrants' and refugees' successful social integration and community participation. Greater social integration and community cohesion for immigrants, refugees, and host communities can be fostered by implementing the policy and practice recommendations indicated in this study.

## REFERENCES

- Ashford, N. A., Hall, R. P., Arango-Quiroga, J., Metaxas, K. A., & Showalter, A. L. (2020). Addressing inequality: The first step beyond COVID-19 and towards sustainability. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135404>.
- Cengiz, P. M., & Karlsson, L. E. (2021). Portrayal of immigrants in danish media—a qualitative content analysis. *Societies*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SOC11020045>.
- Crapolicchio, E., Matuella, M., Carones, G., Marzana, D., & Regalia, C. (2023). Identity Threats and Individual, Relational, and Social Resources among Refugees in Italy. *Social Sciences*, 12(3), 149. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12030149>.
- ElAlfy, A., Palaschuk, N., El-Bassiouny, D., Wilson, J., & Weber, O. (2020). Scoping the evolution of corporate social responsibility (CSR) research in the sustainable development goals (SDGS) era. In *Sustainability (Switzerland)* (Vol. 12, Issue 14). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145544>.
- Fauk, N. K., Ziersch, A., Gesesew, H., Ward, P., Green, E., Oudih, E., Tahir, R., & Mwanri, L. (2021). Migrants and service providers' perspectives of barriers to accessing mental health services in south australia: A case of african migrants with a refugee background in south australia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18178906>.
- Garney, W., Wilson, K., Ajayi, K. V., Panjwani, S., Love, S. M., Flores, S., Garcia, K., & Esquivel, C. (2021). Social-ecological barriers to access to healthcare for adolescents: A scoping review. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 18, Issue 8). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18084138>.
- Ilieva, R. T., Cohen, N., Israel, M., Specht, K., Fox-Kämper, R., Fargue-Lelièvre, A., Ponizy, L., Schoen, V., Caputo, S., Kirby, C. K., Goldstein, B., Newell, J. P., & Blythe, C. (2022). The Socio-Cultural Benefits of Urban Agriculture: A Review of the Literature. In *Land* (Vol. 11, Issue 5). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050622>.
- Lai, S., Zhou, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2021). Associations between community cohesion and subjective wellbeing of the elderly in guangzhou, china—a crosssectional study based on the structural equation model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(3), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18030953>.
- Li, H. (2020). How to retain global talent? Economic and social integration of Chinese students in Finland. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12104161>.
- Liddle, J., Pitcher, N., Montague, K., Hanratty, B., Standing, H., & Scharf, T. (2020). Connecting at local level: Exploring opportunities for future design of technology to support social connections in age-friendly communities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(15), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17155544>.
- Milgrom, J., Hirshler, Y., Reece, J., Charlene, C. H., & Gemmill Alan, A. W. (2019). Social support—a protective factor for depressed perinatal women? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16081426>.
- Nunes, N. R. de A., Rodriguez, A., & Cinacchi, G. B. (2021). Health and social care inequalities: The impact of covid-19 on people experiencing homelessness in Brazil. *International Journal*

*of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(11).  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18115545>.

- Purkey, A. (2022). Vulnerability and the Quest for Protection: A Review of Canadian Migration Case Law. *Laws*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11020020>.
- Rahardja, U., Hongsuchon, T., Hariguna, T., & Ruangkanjanases, A. (2021). Understanding impact sustainable intention of s-commerce activities: The role of customer experiences, perceived value, and mediation of relationship quality. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132011492>.
- Sánchez-Aragón, A., Belzunegui-Eraso, A., & Prieto-Flores, Ò. (2021). Results of mentoring in the psychosocial well-being of young immigrants and refugees in Spain. *Healthcare (Switzerland)*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9010013>.
- Urciuolo, F., Passariello, R., Imparato, G., Casale, C., & Netti, P. A. (2022). Bioengineered Wound Healing Skin Models: The Role of Immune Response and Endogenous ECM to Fully Replicate the Dynamic of Scar Tissue Formation In Vitro. In *Bioengineering* (Vol. 9, Issue 6). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9060233>.
- Yang, L., Liu, J., Liang, Y., Lu, Y., & Yang, H. (2021). Spatially varying effects of street greenery on walking time of older adults. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 10(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10090596>.