

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE Farhad M. Panah ☑ farhad@food.ku.dk

†PRESENT ADDRESS

Farhad M. Panah, Department of Food Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

RECEIVED 10 June 2023 ACCEPTED 12 June 2023 PUBLISHED 27 June 2023

CITATION

Panah FM, Nielsen KD, Simpson GL, Schönherz A, Schramm A, Lauridsen C, Nielsen TS, Højberg O, Fredborg M, Purup S and Canibe N (2023) Corrigendum: A westernized diet changed the colonic bacterial composition and metabolite concentration in a dextran sulfate sodium pig model for ulcerative colitis. Front. Microbiol. 14:1238157. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1238157

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Panah, Nielsen, Simpson, Schönherz, Schramm, Lauridsen, Nielsen, Højberg, Fredborg, Purup and Canibe. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: A westernized diet changed the colonic bacterial composition and metabolite concentration in a dextran sulfate sodium pig model for ulcerative colitis

Farhad M. Panah^{1*†}, Katrine D. Nielsen¹, Gavin L. Simpson¹, Anna Schönherz¹, Andreas Schramm², Charlotte Lauridsen¹, Tina S. Nielsen¹, Ole Højberg¹, Marlene Fredborg¹, Stig Purup¹ and Nuria Canibe¹

¹Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Aarhus University, Tjele, Denmark, ²Department of Biology, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

KEYWORDS

inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, meat consumption, colonic inflammation, porcine model, 16S rRNA gut metagenomics, dextran sulfate sodium

A corrigendum on

A westernized diet changed the colonic bacterial composition and metabolite concentration in a dextran sulfate sodium pig model for ulcerative colitis

by Panah, F. M., Nielsen, K. D., Simpson, G. L., Schönherz, A., Schramm, A., Lauridsen, C., Nielsen, T. S., Højberg, O., Fredborg, M., Purup, S., and Canibe, N. (2023). *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1018242. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1018242

In the published article, there was an error in the **Legend** for Tables 2 and 3. The Table legends should be corrected as follows:

Panah et al. 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1238157

TABLE 2 Alpha diversity metrics with their estimated marginal means and their 95% confidence interval for each treatment group.

	Groups ¹							
	СТ	WD	DSS	WD + DSS				
Proximal								
Chao1	533 (426–665) ^b	500 (399–625) ^{ab}	460 (360–588) ^{ab}	367 (296–462) ^a				
Shannon	5.30 (5.0–5.66) ^b	5.20 (4.92-5.57) ^b	5.0 (4.63-5.30) ^{ab}	4.80 (4.05-5.09) ^a				
Faith PD	35.4 (30.0-42.0) ^b	35.5 (30.0-42.0) ^b	29.4 (24.0-35.0) ^{ab}	27.4 (23.0-32.0) ^a				
Distal								
Chao1	535 (428-668) ^b	555 (443-695) ^b	397 (311–508) ^a	397 (315–501) ^a				
Shannon	5.30 (4.94-5.59) ^b	5.30 (4.96-5.63) ^b	4.80 (4.46-5.12) ^a	4.90 (4.59-5.21) ^{ab}				
Faith PD	36.4 (31.0-43.0) ^b	38.6 (32.0-46.0) ^b	26.9 (22.0-32.0) ^a	28.5 (24.0-34.0) ^a				
Feces								
Chao1	488 (387–615)	534 (426–668)	391 (301–506)	434 (347–542)				
Shannon	5.20 (4.90-5.57)	5.30 (4.95-5.62)	5.0 (4.64-5.35)	5.0 (4.71-5.33)				
Faith PD	34.3 (29–41) ^{ab}	37.9 (32-45) ^b	27.7 (23–34) ^a	30.6 (26-36) ^{ab}				

 $^{^1}$ Treatment groups: control (CT; n=17), WD (CT + ground beef; n=18), CT + dextran sulfate sodium (DSS; n=14), WD + dextran sulfate sodium (WD + DSS; n=17). Pairwise comparison for differences in EMMS between groups was adjusted with BH and EMMs are superscripted with different letters at p<0.05.

TABLE 3 Test statistics of the dbRDA model for the effect of treatment and sample type on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity.

	Degree of freedom	% of variance explained	Sum of squares	Pseudo-F	P-value
WD	1.0	5.0	0.05	4.90	0.02
DSS	1.0	22.0	0.23	21.1	< 0.01
WD · DSS	3.0	31.0	0.33	10.8	< 0.01
Sample type	2.0	1.0	0.12	0.55	< 0.01

The values are averaged over three blocks.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.