



A new genus, *Complexispora*, with two new species, *C. multistratosa* and *C. mediterranea*, and *Epigeocarpum japonicum* sp. nov.

Janusz Błaszowski¹ · Masahide Yamato² · Piotr Niezgoda¹ · Szymon Zubek³ · Paweł Milczarski⁴ · Ryszard Malinowski¹ · Edward Meller¹ · Monika Malicka⁵ · Bruno Tomio Goto⁶ · Sylwia Uszok⁵ · Leonardo Casieri⁷ · Franco Magurno⁵

Received: 21 December 2022 / Revised: 3 March 2023 / Accepted: 7 March 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Morphological analyses of three glomoid spore-producing fungi suggested that two of them were undescribed species of *Glomeraceae* (phylum *Glomeromycota*), and the third differed slightly from *Dominikia glomerocarpica* and *Epigeocarpum crypticum*, recently described in *Glomeraceae*. The first two fungi originated from the Mediterranean Sea sand dunes of the Peloponnese, Greece, and the third was originally found in a tree plantation in Yokohama City, Japan. Phylogenetic analyses of sequences of the 45S nuc rDNA region and the *RPBI* gene showed that (i) the three fungi belonged to *Glomeraceae*; (ii) the first two represented a new genus, here described as *Complexispora* gen. nov. with *C. multistratosa* sp. nov. and *C. mediterranea* sp. nov. and (iii) the third enlarged the monospecific genus *Epigeocarpum*, as *E. japonicum* sp. nov.

Keywords Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi · *Epigeocarpum* · Four new taxa · Morphology · Nc 45S rDNA · *RPBI* phylogenetic taxonomy

Section Editor: Tanay Bose

✉ Janusz Błaszowski
janusz.blaszowski@zut.edu.pl

Masahide Yamato
myamoto@chiba-u.jp

Piotr Niezgoda
np12457@zut.edu.pl

Szymon Zubek
szymon.zubek@uj.edu.pl

Paweł Milczarski
pawel.milczarski@zut.edu.pl

Ryszard Malinowski
ryszard.malinowski@zut.edu.pl

Edward Meller
edward.meller@zut.edu.pl

Monika Malicka
monika.malicka@us.edu.pl

Bruno Tomio Goto
brunogoto@hotmail.com

Sylwia Uszok
sylwia.uszok@us.edu.pl

Leonardo Casieri
leonardo.casieri@mycorrhizae.com

Franco Magurno
franco.magurno@us.edu.pl

¹ Department of Environmental Management, West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, Słowackiego 17, PL–71434 Szczecin, Poland

² Faculty of Education, Chiba University, 1-33, Yayoi-Cho, Inage-Ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan

³ Institute of Botany, Faculty of Biology, Jagiellonian University, 30-387, Kraków, Poland

⁴ Department of Genetic, Plant Breeding & Biotechnology, West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, Słowackiego 17, PL–71434, Szczecin, Poland

⁵ Institute of Biology, Biotechnology and Environmental Protection, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Jagiellońska 28, 40032 Katowice, Poland

⁶ Departamento de Botânica e Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Campus Universitário, RN 59078–900 Natal, Brazil

⁷ Mycorrhizal Applications LLC at Bio-Research & Development Growth Park, St. Louis, MO, USA

Introduction

The family *Glomeraceae* with two genera, *Glomus* and *Sclerocystis*, was originally erected in the order *Endogonales*, class *Zygomycetes* (Pirozynski and Dalpé 1989). Except for *Glomus* and *Sclerocystis* species, which have been known to form arbuscular mycorrhiza and fungi suspected to live in such symbiosis, the order contained taxa that differed fundamentally in the mode of reproduction and nutrition, as, for example, *Endogone* species (Gerde-mann and Trappe 1974). Therefore, Morton and Benny (1990) transferred *Glomeraceae* to a new order, *Glomerales* in *Zygomycetes*, which included solely arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). Based on phylogenetic analyses of sequences of the 18S nuc rDNA gene, Redecker et al. (2000) found that *Glomerales* was polyphyletic, and Schwarzott et al. (2001) distinguished in *Glomeraceae* *Glomus*-groups A and B. *Glomus*-group A was represented, among others, by *G. caledonium*, *G. mosseae* and *G. intraradices*, and *Glomus*-group B by *G. claroideum* and *G. lamellosum*. Following phylogenetic analyses of sequences of the same gene, Schüßler et al. (2001) accommodated *Glomerales* in a newly erected phylum, *Glomeromycota*. Schüßler and Walker (2010) sequenced *G. macrocarpum*, designated it as the type species of *Glomus* and *Glomeromycota*, and, consequently, retained in *Glomeraceae* only species of *Glomus*-group A sensu Schwarzott et al. (2001); *Glomus*-group B was raised to the rank of a monogeneric family, *Claroideoglomeraceae* with *Claroideoglomus*, in *Glomerales*.

Oehl et al. (2011) distinguished in *Glomeraceae* six subgroups depending on the shape of the spore subtending hypha, the thickness of its wall, the mode of pore closure and the formation of spores singly, in clusters, or in un- or organized glomerocarps (= sporocarps). Consequently, the researchers distributed glomoid spore-producing species of *Glomeraceae* in four genera. The feature linking these subgroups was the similar pigmentation of the subtending hyphal wall at and below the spore base to that of the spore wall in coloured spores. In coloured glomoid spores of *Entrophospora* species (*Entrophosporaceae*, *Entrophosporales*; Błaszowski et al. 2022b), which are morphologically closest to members of *Glomeraceae*, the subtending hyphal wall, even at the spore base, is colourless or conspicuously lighter than the spore wall (Oehl et al. 2011; Błaszowski et al. 2022b). However, these characters may be invisible in colourless spores due to lack of contrast. In addition, the presence of potential cryptic species, the simplicity of construction of spores, and the high phenotypic variability of the spore components may complicate the taxonomic diagnosis of members of *Glomeraceae* based on morphological analyses only.

Characterizing, identifying and classifying members of the *Glomeraceae* and other taxa of *Glomeromycota* based on morphological and phylogenetic analyses may also be difficult or impossible because a large number of species have not yet been sequenced. For instance, although the *Glomeraceae* is the richest family in *Glomeromycota*, only ca. 57% of species of this family, distributed in 18 genera, have known molecular phylogeny. Interestingly, of the 18 genera, 13, including the genus *Epigeocarpum*, were recognized only in the last 9 years (Błaszowski et al. 2015; 2018a, b, 2021a; Corazon-Guivin et al. 2019a–c, Sieverding et al. 2014). So, it is expected that soon further new taxa at different ranks will be revealed among the still not sequenced members of *Glomeraceae*. Moreover, such members of *Glomeraceae* can also be among the ca. 23% of glomoid spore-producing species, currently classified in other families of *Glomeromycota*, with uncertain or unknown phylogenies.

The aim of the studies described in this paper was to characterize the morphology and phylogeny of three fungi producing spores with features of species of *Glomeraceae*, including a species of almost identical morphology to the recently newly described *Dominikia glomerocarpica* and *Epigeocarpum crypticum* (Błaszowski et al. 2021a).

Materials and methods

Origin of study material, establishment and growth of trap and single-species cultures, extraction of spores and staining of mycorrhizal structures

The potentially new species were initially named Species 1–3. Spores of Species 1 and 2 were first grown in trap pot cultures. Then, the spores, as well as spores of Species 3, extracted from a field-collected glomerocarp (= sporocarp), were used to establish single-species pot cultures. Many attempts at establishing such cultures with Species 2 and 3 failed. Therefore, Species 1, 2 and 3 were characterized based on spores extracted from both types of cultures, only trap cultures and only a glomerocarp, respectively. The trap cultures, in which Species 1 and 2 were found, had been originally inoculated with field mixtures of rhizosphere soils and root fragments collected under two specimens of *Ammophila arenaria* (L.) Link ca. 100 m apart from each other. The plants colonized maritime sand dunes of the beach Voidokoilia (36°57'N 21°39'E) located on the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece. The soil samples were collected by Dr. Dimitris Arrianas on July 18, 2012 and J. Błaszowski on September 8, 2015. Data about the climate and soil chemical properties of the sampled site are in Błaszowski et al. (2019). The glomerocarp of Species 3 was collected on

September 22 of 2020 from beneath the litter in a plantation of *Cryptomeria japonica* (Thunb. Ex L.F.) D. Don in Yokohama City, Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan (35°30'N 139°30'E). The climate of the sampling site is warm and humid with an average annual temperature of 16.9°C and an annual rainfall of 1937 mm recorded in 2019 at the nearest meteorological station Yokohama by the Japan Meteorological Agency.

Methods used to establish trap and single-species cultures, growing conditions and methods of spore extraction and staining of mycorrhizal structures were as those described previously (Błaszowski et al. 2012). Five to ten spores of uniform morphology of each AMF species were used to establish single-species cultures.

Microscopy and nomenclature

Morphological features of spores and phenotypic and histochemical characters of spore wall layers of the new species presented here were characterized based on 50–100 spores of each species mounted in water, lactic acid, polyvinyl alcohol/lactic acid/glycerol (PVLG, Omar et al. 1979) and a mixture of PVLG and Melzer's reagent (1:1, v/v). The preparation of spores for study and photography were as described previously (Błaszowski 2012; Błaszowski et al. 2012). The types of spore wall layers were defined by Błaszowski (2012) and Walker (1983). Colour names were from Kornerup and Wanscher (1983). Nomenclature of fungi and the authors of fungal names are from the Index Fungorum website <http://www.indexfungorum.org/AuthorsOffungalNames.htm>. The terms “glomerospores” and “glomeroconidia” were used for spores and sporocarps, respectively, produced by AMF as proposed by Goto and Maia (2006) and Jobim et al. (2019).

Voucher specimens of the proposed new species [spores permanently mounted in PVLG and a mixture of PVLG and Melzer's reagent (1:1, v/v) on slides] were deposited at ZT Myc (ETH Zurich, Switzerland; holotypes) and in the Laboratory of Plant Protection, Department of Environmental Management (LPPDEM), West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin, Poland (isotypes).

DNA extraction, PCR, cloning and DNA sequencing

Genomic DNA of each of the three species was represented by eight samples. Each sample of Species 1 and 2 contained DNA extracted from single or 2–5 spores and Species 3 from fragments of a glomerocarp, each containing ca. 10–30 spores. The method of processing the spores prior to PCR, conditions and primers used for PCR, as well as cloning and sequencing of PCR products to obtain 45S sequences of the three species were as those described by Błaszowski et al. (2021b). Primers and PCR conditions to obtain *RPBI*

amplicons are summarized in Table S2. Both 45S and *RPBI* sequences were deposited in GenBank (45S: OQ437298–OQ437315; *RPBI*: OQ435056–OQ435061).

Phylogenetic analyses

Preliminary BLAST analysis on the 45S sequences obtained suggested that Species 1–3 are undescribed taxa of *Glomeraceae*. To confirm the status and find position of these species within *Glomeraceae*, three alignments were produced (45S, *RPBI* and 45S + *RPBI*) separately using MAFFT 7 (Kato et al. 2019) with the E-INS-i option (<http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/>). The 45S alignment contained 95 sequences of the 45S region or its part, which characterized Species 1–3 and 24 representative species of all recognized (17) genera of *Glomeraceae*, except for *Simigliomus*. For *Sclerocystis sinuosa*, to increase the phylogenetic signal, the 45S sequence was obtained by concatenation of the overlapping sequences AJ133706 (18S), AJ437106 (45S) and FJ461846 (28S) obtained from the same isolate MD126. The *RPBI* alignment consisted of 61 *RPBI* sequences of those species of the 45S alignment that have sequences of the two loci. This alignment represented 19 species in 16 genera of *Glomeraceae* and Species 1–3. The 45S + *RPBI* alignment was produced by manual concatenation of the 45S and *RPBI* alignments. In all alignments, the outgroup was represented by sequences of the same three *Diversispora* species (*D. epigaea*, *D. spurca* and *D. trimurales*).

The percentage intraspecific sequence variations of Species 1–3 and divergences of sequences of these species from those of their closest relatives (Fig. 1; Figs. S1, S2) were calculated using BioEdit (Hall 1999). All comparisons were performed on sequences of the same length.

The phylogenetic position of Species 1–3 was reconstructed based on Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analyses of the 45S and 45S + *RPBI* alignments, performed via CIPRES Science Gateway 3.1 (Miller et al. 2010). The 45S alignment was divided into five partitions: 18S, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2 and 28S. Five additional *RPBI* partitions, representing 3 exons and two introns, were added in the alignments with the concatenated genes, similarly as in Błaszowski et al. (2021a). In addition, a tree with only *RPBI* sequences partitioned as in the analysis of the 45S + *RPBI* alignment was generated to reveal potential conflict in the topologies of the trees obtained from analyses of sequences of single loci.

GTR + I + G was chosen in both BI and ML analyses as nucleotide substitution model for each nucleotide partition (Abadi et al. 2019). Substitution models selected by ModelTest-NG 0.1.5 (Darriba et al. 2020) were also tested in ML analyses but the trees obtained final log likelihood values lower than those where GTR + I + G was used.

Four Markov chains were run over 1 million generations in MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012), sampling every 1000 generations, with a burn-in at 25% of sampled trees. The ML phylogenetic tree inference was performed with RAxML-NG 1.0.1 (Kozlov et al. 2019), using a maximum likelihood/1000 bootstrapping run and ML estimated proportion of invariable sites and base frequencies. The alignments and tree files were deposited as online resources.

We assumed that clades were supported when the Bayesian posterior probabilities and the ML bootstrap values were ≥ 0.95 and $\geq 70\%$, respectively. The phylogenetic trees were visualized and edited in TreeGraph 2 (Stöver and Müller 2010). To evaluate possible conflicts between the genes, the topologies of the ML trees (collapsed at bootstrap values $< 70\%$) were compared. In addition, the trees were compared based on four measures referred to the ingroup: (i) the number of species clades supported with $BI \geq 0.95$ and $ML \geq 70\%$, (ii) mean BI and ML values for species clades when supported, (iii) mean supports of nodes with $BI \geq 0.95$ and $ML \geq 70\%$ and (iv) the amount of resolution of each tree. The amount of resolution was calculated for the ingroup of the tree, dividing the number of significantly supported internal branches by the size of the ingroup (Thorley and Wilkinson 2000).

To detect possible other findings of the potential three new species, their 45S sequences were used as queries in BLASTn to retrieve nucleotide sequences from GenBank. The sequences were selected according to the percentage of identity > 96 with at least one of the queries. Sequences were clustered in Mothur 1.39 (Schloss et al. 2009) using a dissimilarity cutoff of 1%. The possible relatedness with any of the three new species was assessed repeating the BI and ML phylogenetic analyses of the 45S locus, including the representative environmental sequences in the 45S alignment.

Results

General data and phylogeny

Among the analysed 45S and *RPBI* sequences, 18 and 6, respectively, were newly obtained in this study. The ranges of intraspecific variability of 45S sequences of Species 1–3 were 0.2–1.2%, 0.3–3.3% and 0.2–0.5%, respectively, and the variability of *RPBI* sequences of these species did not exceed 0.1%. Data about the numbers of variable and parsimony informative sites of the alignments are presented in Table 1.

The topologies of the trees generated in BI and ML analyses of the 45S and 45S + *RPBI* alignments were identical (Fig. 1; Fig. S1). In all trees, Species 1 and 2 sequences clustered in two separate species clades belonging to a clade at the rank of genus. This new generic clade formed a highly supported clade

together with the *Glomus* and *Sclerocarpum* clades, occupying a sister position to the *Glomus* clade. In all analyses, Species 1 and 2, as well as the generic clade containing the two species obtained full or very high supports ($BI = 1.0$; $ML = 97–100\%$). Instead, the node linking the new generic clade with the *Glomus* clade was not supported in any analysis.

All analyses of both alignments placed Species 3 in a species clade sister to the clade with *E. crypticum* sequences. Both the species clade and the generic *Epigeocarpum* clade were fully supported (Fig. 1; Fig. S1). All these analyses also showed that the sister of *Epigeocarpum* is the monospecific genus *Kamienskia* with *K. bistrata*.

The *RPBI* tree showed similar statuses and relationships of the analysed species as the 45S and 45S + *RPBI* trees, when the species had *RPBI* sequences. Also, the supports of the new generic clade *Complexispora* and all species clades were significant.

In all trees, the considered measurements regarding the ingroup were identical or similar, except for the *RPBI* tree where the BI and ML resolutions were slightly lower than in the 45S and 45S + *RPBI* trees (Table S1).

The divergences of Species 1 from Species 2, determined based on 45S and *RPBI* sequences, were 5.7–6.9% and 1.1%, respectively. The similarities of the 45S and *RPBI* sequences of Species 1 and 2 to those of *G. bareae* and *G. tetrastratosum*, which clustered in a neighbouring clade, were 76.6–84.8% and 91.7–92.1%, respectively. The 45S and *RPBI* sequences of Species 3 and *E. crypticum* differed by 8.9–9.3% and 4.1–4.6%, respectively.

Taxonomy

The results of the phylogenetic analyses and sequence comparisons described above proved that Species 1–3 are new taxa of *Glomeraceae*, of which Species 1 and 2 (below described as *Complexispora multistratosa* and *C. mediterranea*, respectively) represent a new genus (named *Complexispora*), and Species 3 extended the monospecific genus *Epigeocarpum* as *E. japonicum*.

Description of a new genus

Complexispora Błaszcz., B.T. Goto, Niezgodna & Magurno, gen. nov.

Mycobank No. 847607.

Etymology: Latin, *Complexispora*, referring to the complex spore wall structure of species of this genus.

Type species: *Complexispora multistratosa* Błaszcz., B.T. Goto, Niezgodna & Magurno.

Diagnosis: Differs from glomoid spore-producing species of other genera of *Glomeraceae* in (i) having a swelling, colourless, laminate spore wall layer surrounding the




Fig. 1 A 50% majority-rule consensus tree from the Bayesian analysis of sequences of 45S nuc rDNA concatenated with *RPBI* sequences of *Complexispora multistratosa*, *C. mediterranea*, *Epigeocarpum japonicum*, 23 other species of 17 genera of *Glomeraceae*, and three *Diversispora* species (*Diversisporaceae*) serving as outgroup. The three new species are in bold font. The Bayesian posterior probabilities ≥ 0.90 and ML bootstrap values $\geq 50\%$ are shown near the branches, respectively. Bar indicates 0.1 expected change per site per branch

Table 1 Characteristics of the sequence alignments analysed

Name of alignment	No. of sequences	No. of fungal species	No. of base pairs	No. of variable sites	No. of parsimony informative sites
45S	95	29	971	1868	891
<i>RPBI</i>	61	25	1712	706	608
45S + <i>RPBI</i>	95	29	3580	1677	1499

main, much thicker and structural laminate spore wall layer and (ii) nucleotide composition of sequences of the 45S nuc rDNA region and the *RPBI* gene (see “Discussion” for details).

Genus description: Producing hypogeous glomoid spores singly and in clusters. Spores yellow to brown, usually globose to subglobose, 62–230 µm diam., with a spore wall composed of four to six layers, of which two consist of tightly adherent sublayers (laminae). Only spore wall layer 1 stains in Melzer’s reagent. Subtending hypha cylindrical to funnel-shaped, concolorous with the spore wall layer, with a wall composed of layers continuous with all spore wall layers, except for the innermost layer. Subtending hyphal pore closed by a septum continuous with the innermost spore wall layer, occasionally also by a septum connecting the inner surfaces of the main structural laminate spore wall layer. Forming mycorrhiza with vesicles and arbuscules staining dark in 0.1% Trypan blue.

Description of new species

Complexispora multistratosa Błaszcz., B.T. Goto, Niezgodna & Magurno, **sp. nov.**

Figures 2a–h and 3a–d.

Mycobank 847608.


Typification: Greece. Peloponnese: Spores from a single-species culture established from spores extracted from a trap culture inoculated with a field-collected mixture of rhizosphere soil and root fragments of *Ammophila arenaria* from a maritime sand dune site of the beach Voidokolia (36°57′N 21°39′E), the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece, 8 September 2015, J. Błaszczowski (**holotype** slide with spores no. ZT Myc 66510, **isotype** slides with spores no. 3873–3882, LPPDSE).

Etymology: *multistratosa* (Latin), referring to the multi-layered spore wall of this species.

Diagnosis: Differs from other glomoid spore-producing species with a six-layered spore wall in (i) the phenotypic and histochemical properties of the two outer spore wall layers surrounding the other spore wall layers, (ii) morphology of the spore pore closure and (iii) nucleotide composition of sequences of the 45S nuc rDNA region and the *RPBI* gene (see “Discussion” for details).

Description: Glomerospores (= spores) glomoid, formed singly in the soil (Fig. 2a). Spores arise blastically at tips of sporogenous hyphae continuous with extraradical mycorrhizal hyphae. Spores pastel yellow (4B3) to brown (5E5); globose to subglobose; (130–)173(–230) µm diam, rarely ovoid; 140–180 × 150–210 µm and with one subtending hypha (Figs. 2a and 3c). Spore wall composed of six layers (Figs. 2a–h and 3a–d). Layer 1, forming the spore surface, is evanescent, uniform (without visible sublayers), flexible, hyaline and ca. 0.8–1.3 µm thick when intact (Figs. 2a–h and 3a, d). Layer 2 is evanescent, uniform, flexible, hyaline and ca. 1.0–1.5 µm thick when intact and mounted in water, swelling up to a thickness of 90 µm and showing sublayers < 0.5 µm thick, slightly separating from each other, or groups of sublayers, not separated from each other, when mounted in PVLG and PVLG + Melzer’s reagent (Figs. 2a–h and 3a, d). Layers 1 and 2 are smooth in young spores but become roughened with age and are occasionally completely sloughed off in older spores. Layer 3 is permanent, uniform, semi-flexible, smooth, hyaline, (0.8–)1.3(–2.0) µm thick and always tightly adherent to the upper surface of layer 3 (Figs. 2b–h and 3a–d). Layer 4 is permanent, laminate, smooth, pastel yellow (4B3) to brown (5E5) and (8.5–)12.4(–19.0) µm thick, consisting of thin, < 0.5 µm thick, laminae, tightly adherent to each other (Figs. 2b–h and 3a–d). Layer 5 is permanent, uniform, semi-flexible, smooth, dull yellow (3B3) to greyish yellow (4B3) and (1.0–)1.1(–1.5) µm thick, always tightly adherent to the lower surface of layer 4 (Figs. 2b–h and 3a–d). Layer 6 is permanent, uniform, flexible to semi-flexible, smooth, hyaline and 0.8–1.0 µm thick, usually easily separating from the lower surface of layer 5 in even slightly crushed spores (Figs. 2b–h and 3a–d). Only layer 1 stains pale red (12A3) to ruby red (12D8) in Melzer’s reagent (Figs. 2f–h and 3a, d). Subtending hypha pastel yellow (4B3) to brown (5E5); straight or recurved, cylindrical or slightly funnel-shaped, rarely slightly constricted at the spore base; (13.0–)23.6(–34.0) µm wide at the spore base (Figs. 2a and 3c, d) and not braking in crushed spores. Wall of subtending hypha pastel yellow (4B3) to brown (5E5) and (5.3–)9.3(–15.0) µm thick at the spore base; consisting of five layers continuous with spore wall layers 1–5; subtending hyphal wall layers 1 and 2 usually highly deteriorated or completely sloughed off in mature spores (Fig. 3c, d). Pore (2.5–)5.7(–22.3) µm wide at the spore base, always occluded by ingrowth of spore wall layer 6, reaching half the thickness of

Fig. 2 a–h *Complexispora multiistratosa*. **a**. Intact spores (sp) with subtending hyphae (sh); swollen spore wall layers 1 and 2 (swl1 + 2) are indicated. **b–h**. Spore wall layers (swl) 1–6; soil debris (sd) covering swl1 are indicated in **c** and **e**; note laminae (la) in swl2 in **g** and **h**. **a–e**. Spores in PVLG. **f–h**. Spores in PVLG + Melzer's reagent. **a–h**. Differential interference microscopy. Scale bars: **a** = 100 μ m, **b** = 20 μ m and **c–h** = 10 μ m



the laminate spore wall layer 4, and sometimes also by a straight or slightly curved septum connecting the inner surfaces of spore wall layer 5 near the half thickness of spore wall layer 4 or at the spore base (Fig. 3b–d). *Germination* unknown.

Ecology and distribution: In the field, associated with roots of *A. arenaria* in the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece. The geographic position, climate and soil chemical properties of the sampled site are characterized in Błaszowski et al. (2019). Not found in 239 other trap cultures inoculated with rhizosphere soils from other dune sites of the Peloponnese. BLASTn and phylogenetic analyses


involving environmental sequences indicated that *C. multiistratosa* has not been detected so far. Forming mycorrhiza with arbuscules, vesicles, as well as intraradical and extraradical hyphae in single-species cultures with *P. lanceolata* as the host; fungal structures stained pale violet (16A3) to deep violet (16DE8) in 0.1% Trypan blue.

Complexispora mediterranea Błaszki., B.T. Goto, Niezgodna & Magurno, **sp. nov.**

Figure 4 a–h.

Mycobank No. MB 847609.

Fig. 3 **a–d** *Complexispora multiistratosa*. **a.** Spore wall layers (swl) 1–6; note laminae (la) of swl2. **b.** Spore wall layers (swl) 3–6; note the insertion of swl6 forming a septum (s) in the pore connecting the subtending hyphal lumen with the spore interior. **c.** Subtending hyphal wall layers (shwl) 2–5 continuous with spore wall layers (swl) 2–5 and the insertion of swl6 forming a septum (s) closing the space between the subtending hyphal lumen and the spore interior. **d.** Subtending hyphal wall layers 1–5 continuous with spore wall layers (swl) 1–5 and a septum (s) formed by swl6. **e–h.** *Funneliformis caesaris* spore wall layers (swl) 1–5. **b, c, e** and **f.** Spores in PVLG. **a, d, g** and **h.** Spores in PVLG + Melzer's reagent. **a–h.** Differential interference microscopy. Scale bars: **a–h** = 10 μ m



Typification: Greece. Peloponnese: Spores extracted from trap cultures inoculated with field-collected mixtures of rhizosphere soil and root fragments of *A. arenaria* from a maritime sand dune site of the beach Voidokoilia (36°57'N 21°39'E), the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece, 8 September 2015, J. Błaszowski (**holotype** slide with spores no. ZT Myc 66511, **isotype** slides with spore no. 3883–3902, LPPDSE).


Etymology: Latin, *mediterranea*, referring to the Mediterranean origin of this species.

Diagnosis: Differs from other glomoid spore-producing species with a four-layered spore wall in (i) the phenotypic properties of the outermost spore wall layer surrounding the

other spore wall layers and (ii) nucleotide composition of sequences of the 45S nuc rDNA region and the *RPB1* gene (see “**Discussion**” for details).

Description: Glomerospores (= spores) glomoid, formed in clusters or singly in the soil (Fig. 4a). Clusters compact or loose, with two to more than hundred randomly distributed spores. Spores arise blastically at tips of sporogenous hyphae either branched from a parent hypha continuous with an extraradical mycorrhizal hypha (spores in clusters) or directly continuous with extraradical mycorrhizal hyphae (single spores) (Fig. 4a). Spores pale yellow (4A3) to olive (3E8); globose to subglobose; (62–)93(–121)

Fig. 4 a–h *Complexispora mediterranea*. **a**. Spores (sp) in cluster. **b–f**. Spore wall layers (swl) 1–4; laminae (la) of swl 2 are indicated. **g**. Subtending hyphal wall layers (shwl) 1–3 continuous with spore wall layers (swl) 1–3 and a septum (s) formed by invagination of swl4 separating the space between the subtending hyphal lumen and the spore interior. **h**. Subtending hyphal wall layers (shwl) 1–3. **a**. Spores in lactic acid. **b, c**. Spores in PVLG. **d–h**. Spores in PVLG + Melzer's reagent. **a**. Light microscopy. **b–h**. Differential interference microscopy. Scale bars: **a** = 200 μm , **b–h** = 10 μm



μm diam; frequently ovoid; $40\text{--}92 \times 54\text{--}108 \mu\text{m}$; with one subtending hypha (Fig. 4a–h), occasionally with two. *Spore wall* composed of four layers (Fig. 4b–g). Layer 1, forming the spore surface, is evanescent, uniform (without visible sublayers) in spores crushed in water, swelling up to a thickness of ca. 20 μm , and then uniform or, rarely, consisting of thin, $<0.5 \mu\text{m}$ thick, sublayers slightly separating from each other in spores mounted in PVLG, flexible to semi-flexible, smooth in young spores, becoming roughened with age, occasionally completely sloughed off in older spores, hyaline to pale yellow (4A3), and (1.3–)2.4(–4.5) μm thick when intact (Fig. 4b–g). Layer

2 is permanent, uniform, semi-flexible, smooth, hyaline and (0.8–)1.2(–2.0) μm thick, rarely separating from the upper surface of layer 2 (Fig. 4b–g). Layer 3 is permanent, laminate, semi-flexible, pale yellow (4A3) to olive (3E8) and (3.6–)8.8(–12.8) μm thick, consisting of thin, $<0.5 \mu\text{m}$ thick, laminae, tightly adherent to each other (Fig. 4b–g). Layer 4 is permanent, uniform, flexible to semi-flexible, hyaline to yellowish white (2A2) and (0.8–)1.0(–1.5) μm thick, usually separating from the lower surface of layer 3 in slightly crushed or even intact spores (Fig. 4b–g). Only layer 1 usually stains reddish white (9A2) to brownish violet (11D8) in Melzer's reagent (Fig. 4e, f), occasionally is

non-reactive or the staining reaction disappears with time (Fig. 4d, g). *Subtending hypha* pale yellow (4A3) to olive (3E8); straight or recurved, usually slightly funnel-shaped, rarely cylindrical or slightly constricted at the spore base; (8.3–)13.7(–20.8) μm wide at the spore base (Fig. 4g, h); not braking in crushed spores. *Wall of subtending hypha* pale yellow (4A3) to olive (3E8); (3.5–)6.0(–9.6) μm thick at the spore base; consisting of three layers continuous with spore wall layers 1–3; subtending hyphal wall layer 1 usually highly deteriorated or sloughed off in mature and older spores (Fig. 4g, h). *Pore* (1.3–)2.6(–6.0) μm wide at the spore base, closed by a curved septum formed by a protrusion of spore wall layer 4, located at the level of the lower surface of spore wall layer 3 or slightly below (Fig. 4g). Spore content of hyaline oily substance. *Germination* unknown.

Ecology and distribution: In the field, associated with roots of *A. arenaria* in the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece. The geographic position, climate and soil chemical properties of the sampled site are characterized in Błazkowski et al. (2019). Not found in 239 other trap cultures inoculated with rhizosphere soils from other dune sites of the Peloponnese. Our sequence comparisons and phylogenetic analyses suggested that two 45S sequences (HE775333 and HE775339) ascribed to uncultured *Glomeraceae* might represent *C. mediterranea*. The identity of these sequences to the *C. mediterranea* 45S sequences is 97–98%. The sequences were obtained from roots of *Briza media* L. growing in Malesov, North Bohemia, Czech Republic. Attempts to grow *C. mediterranea* in single-species cultures with *P. lanceolata* as host plant failed.

Epigeocarpum japonicum, Yamato, B.T. Goto, Niezgodna, Magurno & Błazsk., **sp. nov.**

Figure 5 a–h.

Mycobank No. MB 847610.

Typification: Japan. Yokohama: A glomerocarp found on September 22, 2020 from under the litter in a tree plantation of *Cryptomeria japonica* in Yokohama City, Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan (35°30'N 139°3'E), by Minoru Nakajima in Kanagawa Prefectural Museum of Natural History (**holotype** slide with spores no. ZT Myc 66512, **isotype** slides with spore no. 3903–3909, LPPDSE).

Etymology: Latin, *japonicum*, referring to Japan, origin of this species.


Diagnosis: Differs from *E. crypticum*, the sister relative, in (i) the reactivity of spore wall layers 2 and 3 in Melzer's reagent and (ii) nucleotide composition of sequences of the 45S nuclear DNA region and the *RPB1* gene (see "Discussion: for details).

Description: Glomerospores (= spores) formed in a compact epigeous glomerocarp (Fig. 5a). *Glomerocarp* mustard yellow (3B6); 5.5 × 5.0 mm (Fig. 5a). *Peridium* thin, yellowish

white (3A2) to pale yellow (3A3), only partially cover spores' conglomerations. *Gleba* mustard yellow (3B6), with hyaline to yellowish white (3A2), straight or branched hyphae; (2.0–)8.1(–16.0) μm wide, with a wall (0.8–)1.7(4.2) μm thick; not staining or staining reddish white (9A2) in Melzer's reagent; glomerocarp hosting hundreds of spores (Fig. 5b–h). Spores arise blastically at tips of sporogenous hyphae. *Spores* pale grey (1B1) to pale yellow (4A3); globose to subglobose and (30–)38(–44) μm diam; rarely ovoid; 30–39 × 33–46 μm ; with one subtending hypha (Fig. 5b–h). *Spore wall* composed of three permanent, smooth layers (Fig. 5c–h). Layer 1, forming the spore surface, is uniform (not containing visible sublayers), semi-flexible, hyaline and (0.8–)1.0(–1.3) μm thick, tightly adherent to layer 2 even in vigorously crushed spores (Fig. 5c–h). Layer 2 is laminate, semi-flexible, pale grey (1B1) to pale yellow (4A3) and (5.2–)6.5(–8.0) μm thick; consisting of very thin, <0.5 μm thick, laminae, tightly adherent to each other, not separating even in vigorously crushed spores (Fig. 5c–h). Layer 3 is flexible, hyaline and ca. 1.0 μm thick, frequently separating from the inner surface of layer 2 even in intact spores, except for its funnel-shaped part associated with the inner surfaces of the laminate layer 2 forming the channel that connects the spore interior with the lumen of the subtending hypha (Fig. 5c–h). In Melzer's reagent, spore wall layer 3 and often some innermost laminae of the laminate spore wall layer 2 stain pastel red (9A4) to brownish red (10C8); Fig. 5e, f, h). *Subtending hypha* pale grey (1B1) to pale yellow (4A3); straight or recurved, funnel-shaped; (5.2–)6.0(–7.8) μm wide at the spore base (Fig. 5c, g, h) and not braking in crushed spores. *Wall of subtending hypha* pale grey (1B1) to pale yellow (4A3) and (1.4–)2.6(–3.2) μm thick at the spore base; consisting of two layers continuous with spore wall layers 1 and 2 (Fig. 5g, h). *Pore* (0.6–)1.0(–1.4) μm wide and open at the spore base; the channel connecting the lumen of the subtending hypha with the interior of spores closed by a septum continuous with spore wall layer (swl) 3; the septum positioned slightly below the inner surface of up to ca. half the thickness of swl 2 and the subtending hyphal lumen gradually narrowing in maturing spores due to thickening of subtending hyphal wall layer 2 (Fig. 5c, g, h). Spore content of hyaline oily substance. *Germination* unknown.

Ecology and distribution: The specimen of *E. japonicum* characterized in this study was found in a plantation of *C. japonica* in Yokochama City, Japan. The geographic position and climatic conditions of the sampling site are described in "Materials and methods"/ "Origin of study material". Sequence comparison and BI and ML analyses showed 24 environmental sequences, clustered in four OTUs (Fig. S3), whose phylogenetic affiliation suggested conspecificity to those of *E. japonicum*. All sequences originated from Japan. Most of them were obtained from five specimens (CE1408,

Fig. 5 a–h *Epigeocarpum japonicum*. **a.** Glomerocarp with spores (sp). **b.** Glomerocarpic spores (sp) and glebal hypha (gh). **c–f.** Spore wall layers (swl) 1–3; septum (s) formed by invagination of swl3 in the slot of swl2 connecting the subtending hyphal lumen with the spore interior and glebal hyphae (gh) are visible in **c** and **d–e**, respectively. **g, h.** Subtending hyphal wall layers (shwl) 1 and 2 continuous with spore wall layers 1 and 2; glebal hyphae (gh) and the insertion of swl3 forming a septum (s) in the slot between the subtending hyphal lumen and the spore interior are indicated in **g** and **h**, respectively. **a.** Dry specimen. **b–d** and **g.** Spores in PVLG. **e, f** and **h.** Spores in PVLG + Melzer's reagent. **a.** Light microscopy. **b–h.** Differential interference microscopy. Scale bars: **a** = 200 μm , **b** = 20 μm and **c–h** = 10 μm



CE1506, CE1509, CE1708 and CE1709) collected from different locations and originally identified as *Glomus microcarpum*. Attempts to grow *E. japonicum* in single-species cultures with *P. lanceolata* as host plant failed.

Discussion

The morphological and phylogenetic analyses of three glo-moid spore-producing fungi presented above confirmed our hypotheses that they are undescribed species of the family *Glomeraceae*. Moreover, the phylogenetic analyses showed

that two of these species clustered in a new generic clade of this family (Fig. 1; Figs. S1, S2). Consequently, the clade was described under the name *Complexispora* gen. nov., and the two new species were characterized as *C. multiestrata* and *C. mediterranea*. The third fungus enlarged the monospecific genus *Epigeocarpum* (Błaszowski et al. 2021a) and was described as *E. japonicum* sp. nov.

The only morphological structure that appears to be diagnostic for *Complexispora* is the swelling, colourless, laminate spore wall layer surrounding the main, much thicker, structural laminate spore wall layer (Figs. 2b–h; 3a; 4b, c, e). A spore wall layer with a similar phenotype and location

in the spore wall was previously revealed only in *Funneliformis caesaris*, originally described as *Glomus caesaris* (Fig. 4e–h; Oehl et al. 2002), which potentially belongs to this genus.

Morphologically, *C. multistratosa* most resembles *F. caesaris*. Both species produce single spores, which are similar in colour, size, the number of spore wall layers as well as in the position and phenotypic features of spore wall layers 1 and 5 (Figs. 2a–h and 3a–h) (Oehl et al. 2002). Spore wall layer 2 in both species swells in PVLG (Figs. 2a–h and 3a, d). However, in *F. caesaris*, this layer is a permanent structure (Fig. 3e–h), while in *C. multistratosa*, it deteriorates with age and may be completely sloughed off in older specimens (Fig. 3b, c). The spore wall of both species contains layer 3, which tightly covers the laminate layer 4 (Figs. 2b–h and 3a–h), but spore wall layer 3 of *F. caesaris* may be coloured (Fig. 3e, f vs. is always hyaline in *C. multistratosa*; Figs. 2b–h and 3a–d) and over twofold thicker. Moreover, the spore wall of *F. caesaris* is ca. 1.7-fold thinner than that of *C. multistratosa* and the spore wall components staining in Melzer's reagent in these species are layers 2 and 1, respectively (Figs. 2f–h and 3a, d). Importantly, in *F. caesaris* the spore subtending pore is closed only by spore wall layer 5, and in *C. multistratosa* the pore is closed by spore wall layer 6, which is lacking in the *F. caesaris* spore wall, and occasionally also by a septum separating the subtending hyphal lumen from the spore interior (Fig. 3b–d). Finally, at the *F. caesaris* spore base, the subtending hypha and its wall may be 1.4-fold and 1.7-fold narrower and thinner, respectively. Phylogenetically, the two species cannot be compared because no sequence of *F. caesaris* has been deposited in public databases.

The only other species characterized to form glomoid spores with a six-layered spore wall is *Funneliformis fragilistratus*, originally described as *G. fragilistratum* (Skou and Jakobsen 1989). Spores of this species are also similar in size, colour and the phenotypes of their spore wall layers 1–4. Skou and Jakobsen (1989) described spore wall layers 5 and 6 as “hyaline membranous walls, each 1–2 µm thick”, which correspond to flexible to semi-flexible layers in the terminology currently used in characterizing *Glomeromycota* spore morphology. The presence of two such layers would render *F. fragilistratus* a unique species among all glomoid spore-producing species of *Glomeromycota*. However, this finding appears to be an artifact of the condition of spores and the way they were crushed. Most importantly, phylogenetic analyses of 18S sequences placed this species in the *Funneliformis* clade (Krüger et al. 2012).

Among non-sequenced species of *Glomeromycota* forming glomoid spores, only *Redeckera avelingiae*, described as *G. avelingiae*, and *G. bagyarajii* were described to have a spore wall composed of four layers, of which none is ornamented, and the innermost layer is flexible to semi-flexible

(Mehrotra 1997; Sinclair et al. 2000). Both species also produce spores in clusters and the spores are coloured similarly to those of *C. mediterranea*, but the largest globose *R. avelingiae* spores are up to 1.5-fold smaller and have a 2.4–5.6-fold thinner spore wall. Moreover, the subtending hypha of *R. avelingiae* may be up to 2.1-fold narrower at the spore base, and its pore is closed by a septum continuous with spore wall layer 3 (vs. by a protrusion of spore wall layer 4; Fig. 4g). In *R. bagyarajii*, the main structural, thickest, laminate spore wall component is layer 2 (vs. layer 3 in *C. mediterranea*; Fig. 4b–g) and the spore wall is ca. 2.1-fold thinner. In addition, at the spore base the *R. bagyarajii* subtending hypha is 1.2–1.5-fold narrower, has a 1.9–3.5-fold thinner wall, and its pore may be up to 1.7-fold narrower. Finally, none of the spore components of *R. avelingiae* and *G. bagyarajii* stains in Melzer's reagent, as does spore wall layer 1 of *C. mediterranea* (Fig. 4e, f).

The only phenotypic character separating *E. japonicum* from *E. crypticum* is the reactivity of their spore wall components in Melzer's reagent (Błaszowski et al. 2021a). In *E. crypticum*, only the thickest, laminate middle spore wall layer 2 stains in this reagent, while in *E. japonicum* the staining reaction occurs in the thinnest, flexible innermost layer 3 and often in some innermost laminae of the laminate layer 2 (Fig. 5e, f, h). However, this histochemical property probably is of little phylogenetic significance as Kaonongbua et al. (2010) concluded with respect to layer 2 of the innermost spore wall 3 of *Acaulospora* species. Instead, the 45S and *RPB1* sequence divergences strongly confirmed the separateness of the two species (see “General data and phylogeny”).

As we mentioned in “Ecology and distribution” regarding *E. japonicum*, this species has likely been previously identified in Japan as *Glomus microcarpum* because its 45S sequences were almost identical to the 45S sequences of our new species. However, we treat this species assignment as incorrect for the following reasons. First, the morphology of *E. japonicum* does not match the morphology of *G. microcarpum* originally described by the Tulasne brothers (Tulasne and Tulasne 1845) and that of the type material characterized by Berch and Fortin (1984) and Koske et al. (1986). Tulasne and Tulasne (1845) and Berch and Fortin (1984) described *G. microcarpum* with a one-layered spore wall. Koske et al. (1986) found that the *G. microcarpum* spore wall consists of two layers: a unit outer layer and a much thicker, laminate inner layer. Gerdemann and Trappe (1974), based on examination of spores originating from the field and cultures, found the presence of one laminate spore wall layer in specimens of this species coming from Idaho, Oregon and Washington. Błaszowski (2012) and Oehl et al. (2011) distinguished two layers in the *G. microcarpum* spore wall and spores identified as *G. microcarpum* with such a spore wall structure were found in Northeast Brazil (unpublished data). Thus, there is no consensus in

the literature on the morphology of *G. microcarpum* and, regardless of whether the spore wall structure of the true *G. microcarpum* is one- or two-layered, *E. japonica* clearly differs in this respect. Second, *G. microcarpum* has not yet been sequenced, although it has been described as one of the first two species of this group of fungi and until 2010 regarded as the type species of *Glomus* (e.g., Gerdemann and Trappe 1974). Therefore, in order to lift the doubts about the morphology of and to know the phylogenetic placement of *G. microcarpum* in *Glomeromycota*, its molecular phylogeny should be determined. This should be reconstructed from analyses of an epitype of *G. microcarpum* designated from a specimen (s) collected from Paris or its vicinity, where this species was originally discovered (Tulasne and Tulasne 1845).

The present study and literature data prove that epigeous and hypogeous glomerocarpic species of *Glomeromycota* differ strongly phylogenetically. Currently, they are distributed in eight genera belonging to two orders (Błaszczkowski et al. 2021a, b, 2022a; Jobim et al. 2019). However, the phylogenies of a number of glomerocarpic species remain unknown and certainly many species are undiscovered mainly due to much greater difficulty in finding them than other members of *Glomeromycota* (Jobim et al. 2019). It is expected that these yet uncharacterized glomerocarpic fungi will represent new taxa at different ranks.

We excluded from phylogenetic analyses the monospecific *Simiglomus* with *S. hoi*. The genus was erected based on two sequences of the 18S gene (Oehl et al. 2011), which in our alignments is represented only by approximately 240 base pairs. In addition, the origination of these sequences is uncertain, as stated by Redecker et al. (2013). Further studies are needed to clarify the status of *Simiglomus* in *Glomeraceae*.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-023-01882-9>.

Acknowledgements We thank (i) Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte for covering the costs of B.T. Goto's stay as collaborative research in West Pomeranian University of Technology in Szczecin in the period from December 2019 to January 2020, (ii) Dr. Shaun Pennycook for giving nomenclatural advice, (iii) Minoru Nakajima for the sample collection of *Epigeocarpum japonicum* and (iv) two anonymous reviewers of the manuscript for their useful comments.

Author contribution All the authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto, Leonardo Casieri, Franco Magurno, Monika Malicka, Edward Meller, Paweł Milczarski, Piotr Niezgoda and Szymon Zubek. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Janusz Błaszczkowski, and all the authors commented on the previous versions of the manuscript. Conceptualisation: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto, Leonardo Casieri, Franco Magurno and Sylwia Uszok; methodology: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto, Franco Magurno and Piotr Niezgoda; formal analysis

and investigation: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto, Franco Magurno, Monika Malicka, Edward Meller, Paweł Milczarski, Piotr Niezgoda, Sylwia Uszok and Szymon Zubek; writing original draft preparation: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto and Franco Magurno; writing—review and editing: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Bruno Tomio Goto, Franco Magurno, Leonardo Casieri, Monika Malicka, Edward Meller, Paweł Milczarski, Sylwia Uszok, Piotr Niezgoda and Szymon Zubek; funding acquisition: Bruno Tomio Goto, Piotr Niezgoda and Szymon Zubek; resources: Janusz Błaszczkowski, Franco Magurno and Piotr Niezgoda; Supervision: Janusz Błaszczkowski. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Funding was given to Bruno Tomio Goto by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, proc. 311945/2019–8), to Piotr Niezgoda by the National Science Centre, grant no. 2020/37/N/NZ9/00509, to M. Yamato by JSPS KAKENHI, grant no. 19K22269 and to Szymon Zubek by the Institute of Botany at the Jagiellonian University, project no. N18/DBS/000002.

Data availability Datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Declarations

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Abadi S, Azouri D, Pupko T, Mayrose I (2019) Model selection may not be a mandatory step for phylogeny reconstruction. *Nat Commun* 10:934. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08822-w>
- Berch SM, Fortin JA (1984) A lectotype for *Glomus microcarpum* (*Endogonaceae*, *Zygomycetes*). *Mycologia* 76:190–193
- Błaszczkowski J (2012) *Glomeromycota*. W. Szafer Institute of Botany, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków
- Błaszczkowski J, Kovács GM, Gáspár BK, Balázs TK, Buscot F, Ryszka P (2012) The arbuscular mycorrhizal *Paraglomus majewskii* sp. nov. represents a new distinct basal lineage in *Paraglomeraceae* (*Glomeromycota*). *Mycologia* 104:148–156. <https://doi.org/10.3852/10-430>
- Błaszczkowski J, Chwat G, Góralaska A, Ryszka P, Kovács GM (2015) Two new genera, *Dominikia* and *Kamienskia*, and *D. disticha* sp. nov. in *Glomeromycota*. *Nova Hedwigia* 100:225–238. https://doi.org/10.1127/nova_hedwigia/2014/0216

- Błaszowski J, Kozłowska A, Niezgoda P, Goto BT, Dalpé Y (2018) A new genus, *Oehlia* with *Oehlia diaphana* comb. nov. and an emended description of *Rhizoglossus vesiculiferum* comb. nov. in the *Glomeromycotina*. *Nova Hedwigia* 107(3–4):501–518. https://doi.org/10.1127/nova_hedwigia/2018/0488
- Błaszowski J, Niezgoda P, Goto BT, Kozłowska A (2018b) *Halonatospora* gen. nov. with *H. pansihalos* comb. nov. and *Glomus barae* sp. nov. (*Glomeromycota*; *Glomeraceae*). *Botany* 96:737–748. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2018-0107>
- Błaszowski J, Niezgoda P, de Paiva JN, da Silva KJG, Theodoro RC, Jobim K, Orfanoudakis M, Goto BT (2019) *Sieverdingia* gen. nov., *S. tortuosa* comb. nov., and *Diversispora peloponnesiaca* sp. nov. in the *Diversisporaceae* (*Glomeromycota*). *Mycol Prog* 18:1363–1382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-019-01534-x>
- Błaszowski J, Jobim K, Niezgoda P, Meller E, Malinowski M, Milczarski P et al (2021) New glomeromycotan taxa, *Dominikia glomerocarpica* sp. nov. and *Epigeocarpum crypticum* gen. nov. et sp. nov. from Brazil, and *Silvaspora* gen. nov. from New Caledonia. *Front Microbiol* 12:655910. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.655910>
- Błaszowski J, Niezgoda P, Zubek S, Meller E, Milczarski P, Malicka M et al (2021b) *Dominikia bonfanteae* and *Glomus atlanticum*, two new species in the *Glomeraceae* (phylum *Glomeromycota*) with molecular phylogenies reconstructed from two unlinked loci. *Mycol Prog* 20:131–148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-020-01659-4>
- Błaszowski J, Niezgoda P, Zubek S, Meller E, Milczarski P, Malinowski, et al (2022a) Three new species of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi of the genus *Diversispora* from maritime dunes of Poland. *Mycologia* 114:453–466. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514.2022.2030081>
- Błaszowski J, Sánchez-García M, Niezgoda P, Sz Zubek, Fernández F, Vila A, Al-Yahya'ei MN, Symanczik S, Milczarski P, Malinowski R, Cabello M, Goto BT, Casieri L, Malicka M, Biera W, Magurno F (2022) A new order, *Entrophosporales*, and three new *Entrophospora* species in *Glomeromycota*. *Front Microbiol* 13:962856. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.962856>
- Corazon-Guivin MA, Cerna-Mendoza A, Guerrero-Abad JC, Vallejos-Tapullima A, Carballar-Hernández S, da Silva GA, Oehl F (2019a) *Nanoglossum plukenetiae*, a new fungus from Peru, and a key to small-spored *Glomeraceae* species, including three new genera in the “*Dominikia* complex/clades”. *Mycol Prog* 18:1395–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-019-01522-1>
- Corazon-Guivin MA, Cerna-Mendoza A, Guerrero-Abad JC, Vallejos-Tapullima A, Carballar-Hernández S, da Silva GA, Oehl F (2019) *Microkamienskia* gen. nov. and *Microkamienskia peruviana*, a new arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus from Western Amazonia. *Nova Hedwigia* 109(3–4):355–368. https://doi.org/10.1127/nova_hedwigia/2019/0551
- Corazon-Guivin MA, Mendoza AC, Guerrero-Abad JC, Vallejos-Tapullima A, Carballar-Hernández S, da Silva GA, Oehl F (2019c) *Funneliglossum* gen. nov., and *Funneliglossum sanmartinensis*, a new arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus from the Amazonia region in Peru. *Sydowia* 71:17–24
- Darriba D, Posada D, Kozlov AM, Stamatakis A, Morel B, Flouri T (2020) ModelTest-NG: a new and scalable tool for the selection of DNA and protein evolutionary models. *Mol Biol Evol* 37:291–294. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz189>
- Gerdemann JW, Trappe JM (1974) The *Endogonaceae* in the Pacific Northwest. *Myc Memoir* 5:1–76
- Goto BT, Maia LC (2006) Glomerospores: a new denomination for the spore of *Glomeromycota*, a group molecularly distinct from the *Zygomycota*. *Mycotaxon* 96:129–132
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl Acids Symp Ser* 41:95–98
- Jobim K, Błaszowski J, Niezgoda P, Kozłowska A, Sz Z, Mleczek P, Chachuła P, Ishikawa NK, Goto BT (2019) New sporocarpic taxa in the phylum *Glomeromycota*: *Sclerocarpum amazonicum* gen. et sp. nov. in the family *Glomeraceae* (*Glomerales*) and *Diversispora sporocarpia* sp. nov. in the *Diversisporaceae* (*Diversisporales*). *Mycol Prog* 18:369–384. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-018-01462-2>
- Kaonongbua W, Morton JB, Bever JD (2010) Taxonomic revision transferring species in *Kuklospora* to *Acaulospora* (*Glomeromycota*) and a description of *Acaulospora colliculosa* sp. nov. from field collected spores. *Mycologia* 102:1497–1509. <https://doi.org/10.3852/10-011>
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019) MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings Bioinf* 20:1160–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108>
- Kornerup A, Wanscher JH (1983) *Methuen handbook of colour*, 3rd edn. Eyre Methuen, London
- Koske RE, Gemma JN, Olexia PD (1986) *Glomus microaggregatum*, a new species in the *Endogonaceae*. *Mycotaxon* 26:125–132
- Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A (2019) RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable, and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics* 35:4453–4455. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305>
- Krüger M, Krüger C, Walker C, Stockinger H, Schüßler A (2012) Phylogenetic reference data for systematics and phylotaxonomy of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from phylum to species-level. *New Phytol* 193:970–984. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03962.x>
- Mehrotra VS (1997) *Glomus bagyarajii* sp. nov., a new species of *Glomaceae* (*Glomales*, *Zygomycetes*) from India. *Philipp J Sci* 126:233–242
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: *Proceedings of the gateway computing environments workshop*; 14 Nov 2010. New Orleans, LA: IEEE, 1–8 <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>
- Morton JB, Benny GL (1990) Revised classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Zygomycetes*): a new order, *Glomales*, two new suborders, *Glomineae* and *Gigasporineae*, and two new families, *Acaulosporaceae* and *Gigasporaceae*, with an emendation of *Glomaceae*. *Mycotaxon* 37:471–491
- Oehl F, Wiemken A, Sieverding E (2002) *Glomus caesaris*, a new arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus from the Kaiserstuhl in Germany. *Mycotaxon* 84:379–385
- Oehl F, da Silva GA, Goto BT, Sieverding E (2011) *Glomeromycota*: three new genera and glomoid species reorganized. *Mycotaxon* 116:75–120. <https://doi.org/10.5248/116.75>
- Omar MB, Bollan L, Heather WA (1979) A permanent mounting medium for fungi. *Brit Mycol Soc* 13:31–32. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1528\(79\)80038-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1528(79)80038-3)
- Pirozynski KA, Dalpé Y (1989) Geological history of the *Glomaceae* with particular reference to mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Symbiosis* 7:1–36
- Redecker D, Morton JB, Bruns TD (2000) Ancestral lineages of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomales*). *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 14(2):297–301. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1999.0713>
- Redecker D, Schüßler A, Stockinger H, Stürmer SL, Morton JB, Walker C (2013) An evidence-based consensus for the classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomeromycota*). *Mycorrhiza* 23:515–531. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0486-y>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S et al (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst Biol* 61:539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl

- JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, van Horn DJ, Weber CF (2009) Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:7537–7541. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09>
- Schüßler A, Walker C (2010) The *Glomeromycota*. A species list with new families and new genera. Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, Gloucester
- Schüßler A, Schwarzott D, Walker C (2001) A new fungal phylum, the *Glomeromycota*: phylogeny and evolution. *Myc Res* 105:1413–1421. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756201005196>
- Schwarzott D, Walker C, Schüßler A (2001) *Glomus*, the largest genus of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (*Glomales*) is nonmonophyletic. *Mol Phyl Evol* 21:190–197
- Sieverding E, da Silva GA, Berndt R, Oehl F (2014) *Rhizoglomus*, a new genus of the *Glomeraceae*. *Mycotaxon* 129(2):373–386. <https://doi.org/10.5248/129.373>
- Sinclair RC, Van Greuning JV, Eicker A (2000) A new species of sporocarpic *Glomales* from South Africa. *Mycotaxon* 74:337–342
- Skou JP, Jakobsen I (1989) Two new *Glomus* species from arable land. *Mycotaxon* 36:273–282
- Stockinger H, Peyret-Guzzon M, Koegel S, Bouffaud M-L, Redecker D (2014) The largest subunit of RNA polymerase II as a New Marker Gene to Study assemblages of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the Field. *PLoS One* 9:e107783. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107783>
- Stöver BC, Müller KF (2010) TreeGraph 2: combining and visualizing evidence from different phylogenetic analyses. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-7>
- Thorley JL, Wilkinson M (2000) The RadCon manual 1.1.2. Bristol University, Bristol
- Tulasne LR, Tulasne C (1845) Fungi nonnulli hypogaei, novi minus cogniti act. *Giorn Bot Ital* 2:35–63
- Walker C (1983) Taxonomic concepts in the *Endogonaceae*: spore wall characteristics in species descriptions. *Mycotaxon* 18:443–455

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.