

University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

Physics and Astronomy Faculty Publications
and Presentations

College of Sciences

3-10-2023

A candidate magnetic helium-core white dwarf in the globular cluster NGC 6397

Manuel Pichardo Marcano

Liliana E. Rivera Sandoval

Thomas J. Maccarone

Rene D. Rohrmann

Craig O. Heinke

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/pa_fac








Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](#), and the [Physics Commons](#)

Authors

Manuel Pichardo Marcano, Liliana E. Rivera Sandoval, Thomas J. Maccarone, Rene D. Rohrmann, Craig O. Heinke, Diogo Belloni, Leandro G. Althaus, and Arash Bahramian

A candidate magnetic helium-core white dwarf in the globular cluster NGC 6397

Manuel Pichardo Marcano ^{1,2}★, Liliana E. Rivera Sandoval ³, Thomas J. Maccarone,¹
Rene D. Rohrmann,⁴ Craig O. Heinke ⁵, Diogo Belloni ⁶, Leandro G. Althaus^{7,8} and
Arash Bahramian ⁹

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

²Department of Astrophysics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024-5192, USA

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA

⁴Instituto de Ciencias Astronómicas, de la Tierra y del Espacio (CONICET-UNSJ), Avenida España 1512 (sur), 5400 San Juan, Argentina

⁵Department of Physics, University of Alberta, CCIS 4-183, Edmonton, AB T6G 2E1, Canada

⁶Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Avenida España 1680, Valparaíso 2340000, Chile

⁷Grupo de Evolución Estelar y Pulsaciones, Facultad de Ciencias Astronómicas y Geofísicas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Paseo del Bosque s/n, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

⁸IALP – CONICET, B1900FWA La Plata, Argentina

⁹International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

Accepted 2023 March 7. Received 2023 March 6; in original form 2023 January 26

ABSTRACT

We report a peculiar variable blue star in the globular cluster (GC) NGC 6397, using *Hubble Space Telescope* optical imaging. Its position in the colour–magnitude diagrams and its spectrum are consistent with this star being a helium-core white dwarf (He WD) in a binary system. The optical light curve shows a periodicity at 18.5 h. We argue that this periodicity is due to the rotation of the WD and possibly due to magnetic spots on the surface of the WD. This would make this object the first candidate magnetic He WD in any GC, and the first candidate magnetic WD in a detached binary system in any GC and one of the few He WDs with a known rotation period and of magnetic nature. Another possibility is that this system is an He WD in a binary system with another WD or another degenerate object, which would make this object one of the few candidate non-accreting double-degenerate binaries in any GC.

Key words: white dwarfs – stars: magnetic field – globular clusters: individual: NGC 6397 – binaries: general.

1 INTRODUCTION

NGC 6397 is the closest (2.5 kpc) core-collapsed Galactic globular cluster (GC). Due to its proximity and low reddening, $E(B - V) = 0.18$ (Harris 1996; McLaughlin & van der Marel 2005; Baumgardt & Vasiliev 2021), NGC 6397 has been extensively studied at different wavelengths. One particular population that has been studied is the white dwarfs (WDs), in large part due to the capabilities of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), especially its angular resolution that makes it capable of studying sources in crowded regions such as GCs (e.g. Cool, Piotto & King 1996; Richer et al. 2006, 2008; Hansen et al. 2007). HST has allowed the study of the cooling sequence of isolated carbon–oxygen WDs (CO WDs) to determine, among other things, the age and distance of the cluster (Hansen et al. 2007; Richer et al. 2008).

Besides CO WDs, a more exotic and less studied population of WDs with helium cores (He WDs) is known to exist in NGC 6397 (Cool et al. 1998; Edmonds et al. 1999). He WDs are not expected to be the typical outcome of single stellar evolution because the Universe is not old enough for the very low mass stars (i.e. stars of

too low a mass to fuse helium into carbon) to finish their lifetimes. The He WDs thus must be produced from stars that have had their evolution halted during binary evolution. In the Milky Way field, they are predominantly found in binary systems ($\gtrsim 70$ per cent; e.g. Marsh, Dhillion & Duck 1995; Brown et al. 2011), and in particular all extremely low mass He WDs ($\lesssim 0.2 M_{\odot}$) are known to be members of binaries (e.g. Brown et al. 2020). Characterizing the putative binary population of He WDs is important as these objects are potential sources of low-frequency gravitational waves (e.g. Nelemans 2013; Kremer et al. 2021) as well as progenitors/members of AM CVns or ultra-compact X-ray binaries, in which a more massive compact object accretes mass from a Roche lobe filling He WD (e.g. Nelemans et al. 2010).

Except for the enhanced mass-loss scenario during single star evolution (e.g. Castellani & Castellani 1993), all other formation channels proposed for the origin of single He WDs involve a companion, such as mass ejection by massive planets (e.g. Nelemans & Tauris 1998), Type Ia supernova stripping (e.g. Justham et al. 2009), double He WD mergers (e.g. Saio & Jeffery 2000), and dynamically unstable cataclysmic variable (CV) merger (e.g. Zorotovic & Schreiber 2017).

In NGC 6397, three He WD candidates were first identified by Cool et al. (1998) as ultraviolet (UV) stars significantly bluer than the main sequence (MS) but lacking the flickering generally seen

* E-mail: mpichardomarcano@amnh.org

Table 1. Table with the magnitudes and measurements of the candidate magnetic He WD. Magnitudes are not dereddened.

Source ID	RA, Dec. (J2000) ^a	r (arcmin) ^b	Previous/new IDs	Period (d)	δ F336W (mag)	Mean F336W (mag)	$\mu_\alpha \cos(\delta)$ (mas yr ⁻¹) ^c	μ_δ (mas yr ⁻¹) ^c	P (per cent) ^d
HUGS: 11 228	17:40:37.072 – 53:40:08.99	0.8	HACKS: 10 097 ACS: 11 691	0.769 ± 0.01	0.25	19.65	−0.11	−0.57	97.9

^aCoordinates from the HUGS catalogue (Piotto et al. 2015; Nardiello et al. 2018).

^bDistance from the centre of the cluster.

^cData from the HACKS catalogue (Libralato et al. 2022).

^dMembership probability from the HUGS catalogue.

in CVs. He WDs lie above the CO WD sequence, due to their unusually large radii (a direct consequence of their low masses, combined with the degenerate nature of WDs), in the gap region between the MS and the CO WD sequence. In the $H\alpha - R$ colour–magnitude diagram (CMD), they are redder than the MS showing strong $H\alpha$ absorption, in contrast to CVs that generally show $H\alpha$ excesses. One candidate was later confirmed via spectroscopy by Edmonds et al. (1999) to be a low-mass He WD. Further studies by Taylor et al. (2001), using photometry from *HST*'s narrow $H\alpha$ filter, found nine additional sources deficient in $H\alpha$ and thus good He WD candidates. Currently, there are 24 good candidate He WDs in NGC 6397 found by Strickler et al. (2009) based on their position in the CMDs. Strickler et al. (2009) determined photometric masses in the range of 0.2–0.3 M_\odot , and based on the locations of the objects within the cluster, determined dynamical masses similar to those of the blue stragglers. The difference in the masses argues that these must typically be in binaries with companions that are rather heavy for GC objects; given that the colours of these objects are not affected by their companions, the companions are likely to be other compact objects.

Here, we present the optical counterpart and light curve of a candidate binary He-core WD in NGC 6397 that shows a periodicity of 18.5 h. We interpret this periodicity in the light curve as the rotation period of the WD and hence as evidence for magnetism in this system. This would make this object the first magnetic candidate He-core WD in any star cluster, and one of the few He WDs with both a known rotation period and a magnetic nature.

2 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

2.1 Data

This object was found as a variable and blue object in the Survey for Compact Objects and Variable Stars (SCOVaS; Pichardo Marcano et al., in preparation). SCOVaS is a survey for CVs and compact binaries in Galactic GCs using multiwavelength archival data from *HST*. The details of the survey will be published in a future paper.

For NGC 6397, we use Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) data from the parallel field that observed the core of the cluster from the *HST* large programme GO-10424 (PI: H. Richer; Richer et al. 2006). The data set consists of 126 orbits, where each orbit is divided into three exposures in three different filters ($F814W$, $F606W$, and $F336W$). For this work, we use the 126 individual exposures in the filter $F336W$, with exposure times ranging from 500 to 700 s, taken between mid-March and April 2005 (2005 March 13 to 2005 April 8). The minimum separation between consecutive data points is 74 min, the maximum separation between consecutive data points is 3.2 d, and the total baseline is 26 d.

We also use the GO-10257 data set (PI: Anderson), which provides Advanced Camera for Surveys, Wide Field Channel (ACS/WFC) imaging of the central region of NGC 6397 in the filters $F435W$ (B), $F625W$ (R), and $F658N$ ($H\alpha$).

For the photometry of both data sets, we used the software package DOLPHOT (Dolphin 2000). For the WFPC2 data, we supply DOLPHOT with the calibrated single-exposure image data (c0m) WFPC2 files

and the drizzled.drz image as the reference frame for alignment, and for the ACS/WFC we supplied the CTE-corrected.flc images and a.drz image as reference frames. The final output for both runs from the software lists the position of each star relative to the reference image, together with the measured aperture-corrected magnitudes calibrated to the Vega system for the individual exposures, along with some diagnostic values. We limit the data to measurements containing an error flag of zero, meaning that the star was recovered extremely well in the image without contamination due to cosmic rays.

We also made use of the *HST* UV Globular Cluster Survey (HUGS; Piotto et al. 2015; Nardiello et al. 2018) (programmes GO-10775 and GO-13297) and the Hubble Space Telescope Atlases of Cluster Kinematics (HACKS; Libralato et al. 2022) (programme GO-10257). The HUGS catalogue¹ provides photometry in five different bands ($F275W$, $F336W$, $F438W$, $F606W$, and $F814W$). The HACKS data set also provides a photometric ($F435W$, $F606W$, $F625W$, $F814W$, $F336W$, $F438W$, and $F606W$) and proper motion catalogue for the GC NGC 6397.² We used both public catalogues to build CMDs of the cluster to confirm that our photometric results are consistent with previous studies, and to confirm the membership of our sources.

We used publicly released spectra from the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2014). MUSE is an optical integral-field spectrograph operating in the visible wavelength range at the Very Large Telescope (VLT). We used the data from NGC 6397³ as published in Husser et al. (2016) and Kamann et al. (2016). The data consist of short ≤ 60 s exposures to avoid saturation of the brightest cluster giants.

2.2 Photometric results

The CMDs in Figs 1 and 2(a) identify the optical counterpart to the peculiar variable blue star as a red point. The left-hand panel of Fig. 1 shows the source in the gap region between the CO WD sequence and the MS. The position of the source on the CMDs and its proper motion (matching the cluster value) are consistent with it being an He WD.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 1 shows the source to the right of the MS, consistent with a stronger $H\alpha$ absorption line. However, as this object is bluer than MS stars of the same brightness, a more appropriate test is to construct a colour–colour diagram, contrasting its $H\alpha - R$ and $B - R$ colours. Fig. 3 shows that in such a diagram, this source does not show evidence for $H\alpha$ emission or greater-than-average absorption. A finding chart for this source is shown in Fig. 4.

2.3 MUSE spectrum

This source is included in the public data release⁴ of MUSE sources (Star ID: 11691) as published in Husser et al. (2016) and Kamann

¹<https://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/hugs/>

²<http://dx.doi.org/10.17909/jpfd-2m08>

³<http://muse-vlt.eu/science/globular-cluster-ngc-6397/>

⁴<http://muse-vlt.eu/science/globular-cluster-ngc-6397/>




Figure 1. HACKS CMDs for the central regions of NGC 6397. The red triangle shows the position in each CMD of the candidate magnetic helium-core WD.

et al. (2016). The data reduction of the released public spectra was done using the official MUSE pipeline (in versions 0.18.1, 0.92, and 1.0; Weilbacher et al. 2012). The spectrum has a signal-to-noise ratio of 5.9 and an exposure time of 25 s. We smooth the spectrum by convolving it with a Gaussian with a standard deviation of 1.5 \AA . The smoothed spectrum for this source is shown in Fig. 5 along with the Gaussian fit to the $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ lines as insets. We fitted the Gaussians using the ASTROPY (Astropy Collaboration 2013; Price-Whelan et al. 2018) built-in routine after detrending the spectrum by fitting a polynomial to the continuum, excluding the fitted line. We used the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm to find the best fit and estimated the errors following Lenz & Ayres (1992). The spectrum shows the $H\alpha$ and $H\beta$ lines in absorption. The best Gaussian fit to the $H\alpha$ line shown in the inset has a mean of 6558.63 \AA and a standard deviation of 6.03 \AA . Using the single available spectrum, we measure a radial velocity for the $H\alpha$ line of $-200 \pm 22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This value is reasonably close to the radial velocity obtained using the $H\beta$ line of $-282.2 \pm 23 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. To check the wavelength calibration, we used the atmospheric ozone absorption bands centred at 6870 and 7605 \AA . For their centres, we get 7605.10 ± 0.01 and $6868.83 \pm 0.01 \text{ \AA}$. For NGC 6397, Husser et al. (2016) reported a mean radial velocity for the cluster population of 17.8 km s^{-1} with a dispersion of 5.0 km s^{-1} . Our large radial velocity, compared to the mean radial velocity of the members, implies that this source is either a non-member or in a binary.

2.4 Light curve and timing analysis

The *HST* $F336W$ light curve shows clear variability of the order of 0.1 mag. We performed a periodicity search on the light curve using the Lomb–Scargle method (Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982). The resulting periodogram is shown in Fig. 6. The periodogram shows a significant peak with a false-alarm probability of 1.4×10^{-8} , using the method described in Baluev (2008), which allows getting an upper limit that is valid for alias-free periodograms and in the absence of strong aperiodic variability. The peak is at 0.769 ± 0.01 d or 18.46 ± 0.24 h. The uncertainty on the period was estimated by the standard deviation of the best-fitting Gaussian to the peak. The light curve folded at 0.769 d is shown in Fig. 7. Given that the data set spans about 35 cycles of the period, and that the sampling is fairly dense, we can be confident that this is a real period and not due to red noise.

3 DISCUSSION

3.1 A candidate He WD

Based on the position of the star in the CMDs and the lower limit on the radial velocity of this source, this system is a candidate He WD in a binary system. To estimate the mass and the age of the candidate He WD, we use the theoretical evolutionary sequences, calculated for the metallicity of NGC 6397 ($[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2.03$), published by Althaus, Miller Bertolami & Córscico (2013) as shown in Fig. 8. These authors used a statistical approach to create a grid of masses and ages for extremely low mass He WDs. Such an approach is needed due to the fact that the different cooling tracks cross each other, and this leads to no unique solution for mass and age for a given $\log g$ and T_{eff} . To fit the available photometry for our target, we use model atmospheres described at length in Rohrmann et al. (2012) and references therein. This model atmosphere code was also used to derive the outer boundary conditions for the mentioned evolving models. Synthetic magnitudes in the *HST* photometry are calculated using the zero-points derived from the Vega spectrum integrated over the passband for each filter. The input parameters of model atmospheres are the effective temperature, the surface gravity (evaluated from the stellar mass and radius of the evolutionary models), and the chemical composition, which we assume here to be pure hydrogen. In the $F435W - F625W$ CMD, the candidate He WD (triangle) lies near the tracks predicted for a $0.16 M_{\odot}$ He WD, with $\log g = 4.9$, and an age of 0.11 Gyr. As we showed in Section 2.4, this is a variable object and we expect that the position on the CMD is phase dependent and could introduce some bias in the derivation of the exact physical parameters. The light curve (Fig. 7) shows clear variability of the order of 0.1 mag. The right-hand panel of Fig. 8 shows the location of the candidate He WD on the $F275W - F336W$ CMD assuming an average dereddened magnitude of $m_{F336W} = 18.54$. The candidate He WD (triangle) lies near the tracks predicted for a $0.16 M_{\odot}$ He WD, $\log g = 4.7$, and an age of 0.068 Gyr. Assuming that the colour $F275W - F336W$ stays the same, a 0.1 mag variability changes the estimate to an He WD with a mass of $0.16 M_{\odot}$, $\log g = 4.8$, and an age of 0.088 Gyr. The differences in the estimated parameters do not change any of the conclusions of the paper, and all positions in the CMD are consistent with an He WD. For the rest of the paper, we assume an He WD with a mass of $0.16 M_{\odot}$, $\log g = 4.9$, and an age of 0.11 Gyr.

3.2 Can the periodic variability be explained by orbital modulation?

We consider the possibility that the 0.76 d periodicity observed may be the orbital period of the system. Assuming it is the orbital period P , we can calculate the mass function for the companion

$$f(M) = \frac{PK_{\text{wd}}^3}{2\pi G} = \frac{M_c^3 \sin^3 i}{(M_c + M_{\text{wd}})^2},$$

where K_{wd} is the semi-amplitude of the radial velocity for the WD, M_c is the mass of the companion, M_{wd} is the mass of the WD, and $q = M_{\text{wd}}/M_c$. Using the values for this source, an orbital period of 0.76 d and a radial velocity of $\sim 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, this would give a lower limit for the companion of $\sim 1.23 M_{\odot}$ (assuming $M_{\text{wd}} = 0$ and inclination $i = 90^\circ$). At this orbital period and radial velocity, this value for the minimum companion mass already indicates that the companion is not an MS star, as this mass value is larger than the turn-off mass for the cluster ($\sim 0.8 M_{\odot}$; Richer et al. 2008). The relation between the mass function of the companion, given above,




Figure 2. CMDs of stars from the HUGS catalogue. The red point indicates the position of the candidate magnetic helium-core WD.




Figure 3. HAKCS colour-colour diagram for the central regions of NGC 6397. The red triangle shows the position in the CMD of the candidate magnetic helium-core WD.




Figure 4. $F336W$ image centred at the candidate magnetic He WD. The red circle is 0.5 arcmin in radius and centred at 17:40:37.07, $-53:40:08.99$ (J2000, epoch 2015.0). The position is from the HUGS catalogue (Piotto et al. 2015; Nardiello et al. 2018).

and its actual mass is $M = f(M)(1 + q)^2 \sin^{-3} i$. For an exactly edge-on orbit, if the WD mass is $0.16 M_{\odot}$ as given above, the mass of the companion would be $1.5 M_{\odot}$, allowing only a neutron star or black hole as a possible companion. From the MUSE spectrum (Fig. 5), we measure a radial velocity of $\sim 250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Given that there was

only a single measurement from MUSE, it is most likely that the K_{wd} value for the WD is actually substantially larger than the value obtained during that one measurement. The 18 h period is orders of magnitude longer than that at which a WD can fill its Roche lobe, so no measurable ellipsoidal modulations would be expected if this were the orbital period, yielding an additional problem, that there is not a clear mechanism for producing photometric modulation on the observed time-scale.

We arrive at a similar conclusion when we estimate an upper limit on the mass of the companion based on the reddest photometric band available, $F814W$. In redder bands, a putative non-degenerate, MS, companion to the He WD would dominate. This is not the case, as seen in Fig. 2(b), where the object remains bluer than the MS in the redder bands. Assuming all the red light comes from the companion, we can get a lower limit for the absolute magnitude in $F814W$. Assuming an extinction of $A_{F814W} = 0.33$ towards the direction of the cluster (Richer et al. 2008), we get a lower limit for the absolute magnitude of $M_{F814W} = 6.44$. This value corresponds to a K6 star with a mass of $\sim 0.7 M_{\odot}$ (Pecaut & Mamajek 2013). The mass upper limit from the brightness in the red band is lower than the lower limit from the mass function, making the companion underluminous for its mass and thus probably a second compact object.

Another possibility is that the orbital period is 1.52 d, twice the peak found in the periodogram, due to ellipsoidal modulation of a stripped star, and implies we have a stripped star, instead of a WD, that is near Roche lobe filling. A star with colours $V_0 - I_0 = 0.29$, where V_0 and I_0 are the dereddened magnitudes in the $F606W$ and $F814W$ filters, respectively, would have a T_{eff} of 7400 K. From this estimate for T_{eff} , and the absolute magnitude, $M_{F814W} = 6.44$, calculated above, we can estimate a radius $R = 0.13 R_{\odot}$. Using the well-known density-period relation for a Roche lobe filling star ($\bar{\rho}_{\text{mean}} \approx 0.185 \text{ g cm}^{-3} P_{\text{orb}}$), this would imply a mass of $2 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$, a mass comparable to a planet. This rules out the possibility that the periodicity is due to the ellipsoidal modulation of a stripped star.

Many He WDs are found in binary systems as companions to NSs, in either ultra-compact X-ray binaries (e.g. Nelemans, Jonker & Steeghs 2006) or detached millisecond pulsar binaries (e.g. van Kerkwijk et al. 2010; Rivera-Sandoval et al. 2015). An ultra-compact X-ray binary can be immediately ruled out from the suggested orbital period, which is far too long to permit Roche lobe overflow (< 1 h in ultra-compact X-ray binaries). He WDs in detached millisecond pulsar binaries can show sinusoidal optical modulations, due to illumination of the companion by gamma-rays and/or pulsar winds from the pulsar, as in the millisecond pulsar (MSP) 47 Tuc U (Edmonds et al. 2001). The lack of detected radio emission does not




Figure 5. Observed MUSE spectrum for the candidate magnetic He WD. The spectrum clearly shows the H α line in absorption. The insets to the upper right, and lower left, show the best fit to the H α and H β absorption lines, respectively. The centroids of both lines are blueshifted, showing evidence that the WD is part of a binary system.




Figure 6. Lomb–Scargle periodogram for the candidate magnetic helium-core WD. The periodogram shows an isolated peak at 18.4 h or 0.769 d. The false-alarm probability of the peak is calculated to be 1.4×10^{-8} using the method described in Baluev (2008).

yet constrain the MSP hypothesis, as radio continuum observations (Tudor et al. 2022) do not approach the faintest MSPs yet known (Wang et al. 2021).⁵ However, the lack of X-ray emission from this object indicates that an MSP nature is unlikely. Bogdanov et al. (2010) placed an X-ray upper limit of $L_X(0.5\text{--}6 \text{ keV}) = 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (consistent with Bahramian et al. 2020). Lee et al. (2018) and Zhao & Heinke (2022) identify no MSPs (among 47 field MSPs and 68 GC

⁵Another faint MSP was recently detected in NGC 6397 by Zhang et al. (2022).




Figure 7. Light curve (F336W filter) of the candidate magnetic helium-core WD folded at a period of 18.4 h or 0.769 d.

MSPs, respectively) with $L_X(0.5\text{--}6 \text{ keV}) < 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; the least X-ray luminous MSPs identified are PSR J0636+5129 [$L_X(0.2\text{--}2 \text{ keV}) = 1.3 \times 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; Spiewak et al. 2016], PSR B1257+12 [$L_X(0.3\text{--}8 \text{ keV}) = 3 \times 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; Pavlov et al. 2007], and PSR J0645+5158 ($L_X < 3 \times 10^{29} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$; Spiewak et al. 2016). This object would thus be the least X-ray luminous MSP yet known. Additionally, given the wide separation, the companion star would be occupying a small solid angle from the point of view of the putative neutron star, meaning that it would be hard to obtain a 10 per cent flux modulation of the star via the heating from the faint, distant pulsar.

All this leads us to conclude that the modulation we see in the light curve is probably not due to the orbital period. Future spectroscopic and photometric follow-up can determine the orbital period and the nature of the binary companion of this system.




Figure 8. Dereddened CMD of stars from the HACKS catalogue of NGC 6397. The black small circles represent stars in the clusters, and the black triangle indicates the position of the candidate magnetic helium-core WD. The solid blue and purple lines represent the theoretical cooling sequence from Althaus et al. (2009) for WDs of masses $M_{\text{wd}} = 0.22$ and $0.52 M_{\odot}$, respectively. The coloured circles are the theoretical evolutionary tracks from Althaus et al. (2013) for WDs with $M_{\text{wd}} < 0.21 M_{\odot}$. The candidate magnetic He WD (black triangle) lies near the tracks predicted for a $0.16 M_{\odot}$ He WD, $0.24 R_{\odot}$ radius, an age of 0.11 Gyr, an effective temperature of 7586 K, and a surface gravity of $\log g = 4.9$.

3.3 A candidate magnetic He WD

Another possibility we consider is that the periodic modulation is due to the magnetism of the WD. WDs with a high enough magnetic field ($> 10^4$ G) can show modulation in the light curve of the order of > 0.1 mag due to surface magnetic spots in the WD, via the process of magnetic dichroism (Landi Degl’Innocenti 1976). We argue that this is what might be happening in this system and interpret the periodicity in the light curve as a periodic modulation due to a magnetic spot on a rotating He WD.

If the periodicity is due to the rotation of a magnetic WD, we can estimate the initial rotational period of the system. Assuming that magnetic dipole radiation is solely responsible for the spin-down of the system, the evolution of the system can be written as $\dot{\Omega} = -K\Omega^3$, where Ω and $\dot{\Omega}$ are the angular velocity and its derivative, respectively, and K is a proportionality constant. For a non-varying dipole magnetic field, the age (t) can be inferred from the above equation to be $t = \tau \left[1 - \left(\frac{P_0}{P} \right)^2 \right]$, where $\tau \equiv \frac{P}{2\dot{P}}$, and τ is the characteristic age, P_0 is the initial spin period, P is the spin period, and \dot{P} is the period derivative. In cgs units, assuming that the radiation luminosity is equal to the rotational energy loss rate, the period evolution can be written as $\dot{P} = \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \frac{B^2 \times R^6}{c^3 I P} \sin^2 \alpha$, where α is the unknown inclination angle between the rotation and magnetic axes, R is the radius of the WD, B is the magnitude of the magnetic field, and I is the moment of inertia.

Magnetic fields of isolated WDs are observed to lie in the range of 10^3 – 10^9 G (Ferrario, de Martino & Gänsicke 2015), while Brinkworth et al. (2013) show that there are often measurable modulations due to spots in WDs with magnetic fields as low as 10^5 G. For a 10^9 G magnetic field, assuming an angle of 90° between the rotation and magnetic axes, the characteristic time-scale would be 0.000 25 Gyr (i.e. 250 kyr). This is much shorter than the estimated cooling age for the WD (0.11 Gyr), obtained by comparing to theoretical models. This suggests that the magnetic field is weaker to get a shorter \dot{P} . We can get an upper limit on the magnetic field of the He WD, assuming that the He WD started its life spinning very rapidly. To get a characteristic age of 0.11 Gyr, the magnetic field cannot be larger than 3.4×10^7 G. This gives an estimated range for the magnetic field of this system of $B \sim 10^4$ to 3.4×10^7 G, with the

lower end of the range possible only if the WD was initially rotating much slower than its break-up velocity.

For this range of B field, the linear or quadratic Zeeman effect could dominate the spectrum depending on the value of the B field. For values below $B < 10 \times 10^6$ G, the linear Zeeman effect produces a triplet pattern, and above $B > 10 \times 10^6$ G, the quadratic Zeeman effect dominates and causes a blueshift in the wavelength of all lines in the spectra that varies as $\propto \lambda^2 n^4$ and can be approximated by $\Delta\lambda_q = -7.5 \times 10^{-23} \lambda^2 n^4 B^2$ (Jenkins & Segrè 1939; Preston 1970). For H α ($n = 3$) and H β ($n = 4$), for a $B = 5 \times 10^6$ G the shifts correspond to -296 and -696 km s^{-1} , respectively. We do not see such a strong difference between H α and H β , suggesting that the shifts are due to orbital variations and not due to the Zeeman effect. The small, statistically marginal discrepancy between the wavelengths for the two lines provides intriguing, but non-conclusive evidence that the quadratic Zeeman effect may be responsible for the differences, but better data would be required to make that measurement clearly and convincingly.

4 CONCLUSION

We report a variable blue star in the GC NGC 6397. The position in the CMDs and the spectrum is consistent with the star being an He WD in a binary system. The optical/near-UV light curve shows a periodicity at ~ 18 h. We speculate that this periodicity is due to the rotation of the WD and possibly due to magnetic spots on the surface of the WD. This would make this object the first candidate magnetic He WD in any GC, the first candidate magnetic WD in a detached binary system in any GC, and one of the few He WDs with a known rotation period. We propose that the large radial velocity is due to the WD being in a binary system with another compact object. Future observation of higher resolution optical or infrared spectra to see the Zeeman splitting could confirm the magnetic nature of this system, and follow-up photometry or phase-resolved spectroscopy could determine the orbital period for this system and help identify the companion for this magnetic He WD candidate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Thomas Kupfer and Aleksander Kosakowski for useful discussions. DB acknowledges financial support from FONDECYT (grant number 3220167). CH acknowledges support from NSERC, grant RGPIN-2016-04602. MPM would like to thank David Zurek for useful discussions. MPM also acknowledges financial support from the Kalbfleisch Fellowship, Richard Gilder Graduate School, American Museum of Natural History. Software used in this work are PYASTRONOMY (Czesla et al. 2019), SCIPY (Virtanen et al. 2020), ASTROPY (Astropy Collaboration 2013; Price-Whelan et al. 2018), MATPLOTLIB (Hunter 2007), and SPECUTILS (Earl et al. 2021).

DATA AVAILABILITY

This work used public *HST* data available in the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes under the programmes GO-10424, GO-10257, GO-10775, and GO-13297. The MUSE data can be found at <http://muse-vlt.eu/science>, and are based on observations obtained at the VLT of the European Southern Observatory, Paranal, Chile [ESO Programme ID 60.A-9100(C)].

REFERENCES

- Althaus L. G., Panei J. A., Romero A. D., Rohrmann R. D., Córscico A. H., García-Berro E., Miller Bertolami M. M., 2009, *A&A*, 502, 207
- Althaus L. G., Miller Bertolami M. M., Córscico A. H., 2013, *A&A*, 557, A19
- Astropy Collaboration, 2013, *A&A*, 558, A33
- Bacon R. et al., 2014, *The Messenger*, 157, 13
- Bahramian A. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 901, 57
- Baluev R. V., 2008, *MNRAS*, 385, 1279
- Baumgardt H., Vasiliev E., 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 5957
- Bogdanov S., van den Berg M., Heinke C. O., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Grindlay J. E., 2010, *ApJ*, 709, 241
- Brinkworth C. S., Burleigh M. R., Lawrie K., Marsh T. R., Knigge C., 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 47
- Brown J. M., Kilic M., Brown W. R., Kenyon S. J., 2011, *ApJ*, 730, 67
- Brown W. R. et al., 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 49
- Castellani M., Castellani V., 1993, *ApJ*, 407, 649
- Cool A. M., Piotto G., King I. R., 1996, *ApJ*, 468, 655
- Cool A. M., Grindlay J. E., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Bailyn C. D., 1998, *ApJ*, 508, L75
- Czesla S., Schröter S., Schneider C. P., Huber K. F., Pfeifer F., Andreasen D. T., Zechmeister M., 2019, *Astrophysics Source Code Library*, record ascl:1906.010
- Dolphin A. E., 2000, *PASP*, 112, 1383
- Earl N. et al., 2021, *Astropy/specutils*: v1.2, available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4603801>
- Edmonds P. D., Grindlay J. E., Cool A., Cohn H., Lugger P., Bailyn C., 1999, *ApJ*, 516, 250
- Edmonds P. D., Gilliland R. L., Heinke C. O., Grindlay J. E., Camilo F., 2001, *ApJ*, 557, L57
- Ferrario L., de Martino D., Gänsicke B. T., 2015, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 191, 111
- Hansen B. M. S. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 671, 380
- Harris W. E., 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1487
- Hunter J. D., 2007, *Comput. Sci. Eng.*, 9, 90
- Husser T.-O. et al., 2016, *A&A*, 588, A148
- Jenkins F. A., Segrè E., 1939, *Phys. Rev.*, 55, 52
- Justham S., Wolf C., Podsiadlowski P., Han Z., 2009, *A&A*, 493, 1081
- Kamann S. et al., 2016, *A&A*, 588, A149
- Kremer K., Rui N. Z., Weatherford N. C., Chatterjee S., Fragione G., Rasio F. A., Rodriguez C. L., Ye C. S., 2021, *ApJ*, 917, 28
- Landi Degl'Innocenti E., 1976, *ApJ*, 209, 208
- Lee J., Hui C. Y., Takata J., Kong A. K. H., Tam P. H. T., Cheng K. S., 2018, *ApJ*, 864, 23
- Lenz D. D., Ayres T. R., 1992, *PASP*, 104, 1104
- Libralato M. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 934, 150
- Lomb N. R., 1976, *Ap&SS*, 39, 447
- McLaughlin D. E., van der Marel R. P., 2005, *ApJS*, 161, 304
- Marsh T. R., Dhillon V. S., Duck S. R., 1995, *MNRAS*, 275, 828
- Nardiello D. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 3382
- Nelemans G., 2013, in Auger G., Binétruy P., Plagnol E., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 467, 9th LISA Symp., Galactic Binaries with eLISA*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 27
- Nelemans G., Tauris T. M., 1998, *A&A*, 335, L85
- Nelemans G., Jonker P. G., Steeghs D., 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 255
- Nelemans G., Yungelson L. R., van der Sluys M. V., Tout C. A., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 1347
- Pavlov G. G., Kargaltsev O., Garmire G. P., Wolszczan A., 2007, *ApJ*, 664, 1072
- Pecaut M. J., Mamajek E. E., 2013, *ApJS*, 208, 9
- Piotto G. et al., 2015, *AJ*, 149, 91
- Preston G. W., 1970, *ApJ*, 160, L143
- Price-Whelan A. M. et al., 2018, *AJ*, 156, 123
- Richer H. B. et al., 2006, *Science*, 313, 936
- Richer H. B. et al., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 2141
- Rivera-Sandoval L. E. et al., 2015, *MNRAS*, 453, 2707
- Rohrmann R. D., Althaus L. G., García-Berro E., Córscico A. H., Miller Bertolami M. M., 2012, *A&A*, 546, A119
- Saio H., Jeffery C. S., 2000, *MNRAS*, 313, 671
- Scargle J. D., 1982, *ApJ*, 263, 835
- Spiewak R. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 822, 37
- Strickler R. R., Cool A. M., Anderson J., Cohn H. N., Lugger P. M., Serenelli A. M., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 40
- Taylor J. M., Grindlay J. E., Edmonds P. D., Cool A. M., 2001, *ApJ*, 553, L169
- Tudor V. et al., 2022, *MNRAS*, 513, 3818
- Virtanen P. et al., 2020, *Nat. Methods*, 17, 261
- Wang P. et al., 2021, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.*, 64, 129562
- Weilbacher P. M., Streicher O., Urrutia T., Jarno A., Pécontal-Rousset A., Bacon R., Böhm P., 2012, in Radziwiłł N. M., Chiozzi G., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 8451, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy II*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 84510B
- Zhang L. et al., 2022, *ApJ*, 934, L21
- Zhao J., Heinke C. O., 2022, *MNRAS*, 511, 5964
- Zorotovic M., Schreiber M. R., 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, L63
- van Kerkwijk M. H., Rappaport S. A., Breton R. P., Justham S., Podsiadlowski P., Han Z., 2010, *ApJ*, 715, 51

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.