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#### Abstract

Kim, Kyoung D, The Optimization Amplifiers For UWB Applications. Master of Science and Engineering (MSE). April 2006, 174 pp.; 147 figures, 14 tables, references, 16 titles.

Optimizations of $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifiers without using inductors for UWB applications are presented. A 3 dB bandwidth from 3.1 GHz to 9.9 GHz with minimum 9.6 dB of transducer gain at 10.6 GHz and approximate 92.8 mW atts of total power consumption are achieved. The source and load impedances were 50 ohms. Also, the number of capacitors and resistors has been minimized. To predict characteristics more precisely, the pad capacitance is added to the output port. In addition, a different feedback topology is presented which gives a lower Noise Figure with maximum 7.73 dB at 10.6 GHz with 300 ohms feedback resistance.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

Since UWB technology had been approved for commercialization by FCC, many engineers have been researching to meet its requirements. One of the requirements is the amplifier. It has been a technical challenge because UWB requires Ultra-Wideband as it represents. Therefore, many people have tried to breakthrough it and introduced several different approaches.

In this thesis, cascoded type which is among the approaches will be introduced. Though it gives better performances versus single stages of MOSFET amplifiers, such as Common-Source and Common-Gate, its performances should be enhanced to meet UWB requirements, especially frequency response. In addition, many other performances are needed to be enhanced as well, such as Transducer gain, total power consumption, and Noise Figure, etc. This thesis will show various optimizations of the introduced amplifier for UWB applications include the feedback topologies.

In chapter 2, the UWB technologies and applications will be briefly introduced. In chapter 3, previous approaches to the design of UWB amplifiers will be presented with comparisons. In chapter 4, at first fundamental theories of single MOSFETs amplifiers will be re-visited and the technical limitations for UWB applications will be shown and then the proposed solution of this thesis which is a cascoded type will be shown with advantages against single MOSFET amplifiers. After this, the simulation of the initial
schematic platform will be shown. As stated previously, the initial platform should be enhanced to satisfy the UWB application, so that multistage approach will be introduced with its effects. In chapter 5, the optimizations of the proposed design will be shown. In this chapter, feedback topologies will be introduced to enhance the gain flatness and 3 dB bandwidth with eliminating passive elements. In chapter 6, the measurements of proposed design will be presented. Finally, in chapter 7, conclusions will be stated.

Additionally, in the appendices, the optimizations with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs amplifier will be shown and a different design with a different feedback topology will be shown.

The lastly shown design in appendix with a different feedback topology gives much better Noise Figure which is one of the most important factors in receivers. Unlikely transmitters, receivers require a low noise to amplify the received signal with minimizing the noise. However, the design proposed in this thesis does not really satisfy the desired noise figure.

Though it gives a promising simulation result of noise figure, its research has not been done thoroughly because the new feedback topology has been found in the closing period of my research.

## CHAPTER 2

## UWB INTRODUCTION

Since the 1980s UWB technology has been researched for military purposes and recently it has shown possibilities of using it in wireless applications. Though it has interference issues with current wireless communications, the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) approved UWB for commercial applications on 2002.

UWB is a wireless communications technology which enables highly reliable communications through high-speed and high-performance wireless networks. In addition, the technology can be used for military purposes which require low interception and detection devices, and anti-collision devices, etc.

### 2.1 UWB DEFINITION

UWB refers to electromagnetic signal waveforms that have instantaneous fractional bandwidths greater than 0.25 with respect to a center frequency. There are two other classes identified by signal fractional bandwidth: narrowband, where the fractional bandwidth is less than $1 \%$, and wideband, with a fractional bandwidth from 1 to $25 \%$. Therefore, it differs substantially from conventional narrowband radio frequency (RF).


Figure 1 Definition of UWB

Fractional BW: $\quad \frac{f_{H}-f_{L}}{\left(\frac{f_{H}+f_{L}}{f_{C}}\right)} \geq 0.20$

Where
$f_{H}$ : Upper 10 dB down point
$f_{L}$ : Lower 10 dB down point
$f_{c}$ : Center frequency

### 2.2 UWB FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS AND POWER LIMITS



Figure 2 Comparison of conventional NB and UWB signal concept

UWB is a unique and new usage of a recently legalized frequency spectrum. UWB devices can use frequencies from 3.1 GHz to 10.6 GHz - a band more than 7 GHz wide. Each channel can have a bandwidth of more than 500 MHz , depending on its center frequency.

To allow for such a large signal bandwidth, the FCC put in place severe broadcast power restrictions. But by doing so, UWB devices can make use of an extremely wide frequency band while not emitting enough energy to be noticed by narrower band devices nearby, such as $802.11 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{r}$ radios.

Strict power limits (Figure 3) mean the devices themselves must be low-power consumers. Because of the low power requirements, it is feasible to develop costeffective CMOS implementations of UWB devices.


Figure $3^{1}$ FCC UWB Limits

* ARNS: Aeronautical navigation services


### 2.3 APPLICATIONS

UWB applications can be divided into the military and commercial areas.

### 2.3.1 MILITARY APPLICATIONS

Fine spatial resolution, low probability of interception, and noninterfering signal waveforms are some of the features that make UWB radar appealing. Therefore, UWB radar offers solutions to defense requirements such as passive target identification, target imaging and discrimination, and signal concealment from electronic warfare equipment and antiradiation missiles.

The UWB radar's fine spatial resolution gives a capability for target imaging and discrimination of targets. Target clutter separation is a major problem in look-down radar
${ }^{1}$ Taken from "UWB Applications and Interference (UWB Colloquium $23^{\text {rd }}$ July 2002)," Presenter: Ewan Frazer
and limits the ability to detect small radar cross section (RCS) low altitude flying targets or surface. In addition, the UWB radar could provide features such as detection surveillance or tracking systems with a low probability of detection by spectral characteristics of the signal.

### 2.4 COMMERCIAL (WIRELESS) APPLICATIONS

UWB technology offers a solution for the bandwidth, cost, power consumption, and physical size requirements of next-generation consumer electronic devices. UWB enables wireless connectivity with consistent high data rates across multiple devices and PCs within the digital home and the office. This emerging technology provides the high bandwidth that multiple digital video and audio streams require throughput the home ${ }^{2}$.

A number of practical usage scenarios are well suited to UWB. In these scenarios system implementations based on UWB Radio Technology could be beneficial and potentially welcomed by industry and service providers alike ${ }^{3}$ :

- High-data-rate wireless personal are network (HDR-WPAN)
- Wireless Ethernet interface link (WEIL)
- Intelligent wireless are network (IWAN)
- Outdoor peer-to-peer network (OPPN)

[^0]
## CHAPTER 3

## PREVIOUS APPROACHES TO THE DESIGN OF UWB AMPLIFIERS

Since the FCC approved UWB technology for commercialization, various approaches have been made to the design of CMOS-based UWB amplifiers. In this section, the different methods shown below will be shown and compared.

- $3.1-10.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ CMOS cascaded two-stage distributed amplifier for ultrawideband application
- Ultra-wideband CMOS low noise amplifier with active input matching
- Design of CMOS UWB low noise amplifier with cascode feedback
- An ultra-wideband CMOS low noise amplifier for $3-5 \mathrm{GHz}$ UWB system


## $3.1 \quad 3.1 \sim 10.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ CMOS CASCADED TWO-STAGE DISTRIBUTED AMPLIFIER FOR ULTRA-WIDEBAND APPLICATION [1]

The work employed a topology in which several gain stages are in parallel and series-inductors are introduced to separate capacitances of the input and output node of adjacent gain stages.


Figure $4^{4}$ Three-stage distributed amplifier

This topology builds two artificial transmission lines, a gate and a drain line as shown in Figure 4. Output current is combined additively by the current flowing from each gain stage.

This topology results in low gain with very wide bandwidth.
A 3.1~10.6 GHz CMOS Cascaded Two-stage Distributed Amplifier for UltraWideband Application has been proposed by Kuan-Hung Chen and Chorng-Kuang Wang in Aug. 4-5, 2004 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Advanced System Integrated Circuits [1].

This paper proposed a cascaded two-stage distributed amplifier (CTDA) as shown in Figure 10 to give higher gain, better input-output isolation, and high output impedance.

[^1]The CTDA can achieve a voltage gain of $12 \sim 24 \mathrm{~dB}$ using less power consumption. In this work, an 18 dB voltage gain CTDA consuming only 54 mW has been presented for UWB application and the noise figure is between 5 dB and 7 dB .


Figure $5^{5}$ Cascaded two-stage distributed amplifier

[^2]
### 3.2 ULTRA WIDEBAND CMOS LOW NOISE AMPLIFIER WITH ACTIVE INPUT MATCHING [2]

To improve impedance matching for the full band of frequency of operation, there are three main wideband topologies - resistive termination, shunt series feedback, and common gate.

Though all these three methods provide wideband matching at the price of increase noise, the common gate (CG) topology can be a good candidate for wideband impedance matching.

In [2], the authors propose a new LNA with broadband input matching and excellent gain flatness operating at the frequency range of 3.1 GHz to 4.8 GHz with 0.18 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ CMOS process.


Figure $6^{6}$ Common Gate small signal equivalent circuit

The first step is the selection of transistor size and the bias point of M1 to yield $\operatorname{Re}\left|Z_{11}\right|=1 / g_{m}=50 \Omega$.

[^3]

Figure $7^{7}$ LNA circuit schematic

The next step is the selection of optimal bias point of second stage of M2, so that it operates at its maximum $f_{T}$.

In addition, this paper uses a shunt resistor feedback configuration to reduce $\left|S_{12}\right|$ and noise figure. Also, with adding an inductor $L_{f}$ in the feedback path, the gain flatness at higher frequencies can be improved.

This paper shows that the proposed design can achieve with $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ CMOS at the frequency range of 3.1 GHz to 4.8 GHz as follows.

- Noise Figure (dB): $\quad 3.95 \mathrm{~dB}$ to 4.3 dB
- $S_{12}$ :
less than -43 dB
- Power Gain:
16.5 dB

[^4]
### 3.3 DESIGN OF CMOS UWB LOW NOISE AMPLIFIER WITH CASCODE FEEDBACK [3]

In [3], the authors describe a broadband LNA for UWB applications with $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ CMOS technology based on cascode feedback topology.

The cascode configuration is being used to reduce the high frequency roll-off of the input devices due to the Miller effect and the negative feedback is to give better stability and bandwidth (Figure 8).


Figure $8^{8}$ Typical schematic of cascode feedback LNA

To achieve a gain of over 15 dB and the NF of less than 2 dB , the feedback resistance was chosen to be between $800 \Omega$ and $1000 \Omega$ (Table 1).

Table $1^{9}$ Effects on feedback resistance $\left(\mathrm{NF}_{\min } \& \mathrm{G}_{\max }\right)$

| Feedback Resistance | $\mathrm{NF}_{\min }(\mathrm{dB})$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\max }(\mathrm{dB})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2000 \Omega$ | 1.08 | 19.16 |
| $1000 \Omega$ | 1.44 | 16.22 |
| $800 \Omega$ | 1.59 | 15.22 |

[^5]| $500 \Omega$ | 2.01 | 13.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $300 \Omega$ | 5.15 | 10.37 |

A broad matching technique with a lossy gain-compensating network such as aresonant circuit [4] provides lower input reflection coefficients and a lower NF because a lossy resonant circuit can imitate the behavior of a negative capacitor or inductor in the resonance frequency range.

The resonant circuit for broadband input matching is shown in Figure $9^{9}$. The gate inductor $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ is applied to form $50 \Omega$ input match as well as increasing gain.


Figure 9 The resonant circuit for broadband input matching

[^6]

Figure $10^{10}$ The complete schematic of LNA

The designed circuit schematic of the CMOS cascode feedback LNA is shown in Figure 10. In Figure 10, the RC feedback $\left(R_{f} \& C_{f}\right)$ in cascode circuit improves the $S_{11}$. The inductor $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ in the feedback stage is used to broaden bandwidth and to improve gain flatness at the upper band edge.

With this proposed design, a maximum power gain of 15.3 dB at 4 GHz and a minimum power gain of 14.5 dB at 7 GHz were achieved. The flatness of gain within 0.8 dB in the frequency range where achieved. The noise figure was 1.4 dB and 1.9 dB between 3 GHz and 7 GHz .

Simulation results are shown in Table 2.

Table $2{ }^{11}$ Simulation results of broadband LNA

| Process | $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ RF CMOS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supply Voltage | 1.8 V |

[^7]| Frequency Range | $3 \sim 7 \mathrm{GHz}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Power Gain (S21) | 15 dB |
| Gain Flatness | $<0.8 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| Noise Figure (NF) | $<1.9 \mathrm{~dB} \mathrm{@} \mathrm{7} \mathrm{GHz}$ |
| Power Consumption | 21 mW with bias network |

At the operation voltage of 1.8 V single supply, this amplifier has less than 1.9 dB noise figure, 15 dB gain within flatness 0.8 dB , and less than -10 dB input and output return loss from 3 GHz to 7 GHz with 21 mW power consumption.

### 3.4 AN ULTRA-WIDEBAND CMOS LOW NOISE AMPLIFIER FOR 3-5 GHz UWB SYSTEM [5]

In this paper, an ultra-wideband (UWB) CMOS low noise amplifier (LNA) topology that cornbines a narrowband LNA with a resistive shunt-feedback is proposed.

Figure $11^{12}$ (a) shows a typical narrowband cascade LNA topology. In Figure 11(a), the inductor $L_{s}$ is added for simultaneous noise and input matching and $L_{g}$ for the impedance matching between the source resistance $R_{s}$ and the input of the LNA [6].

(b)

Figure 11 Narrowband LNA topology. (a) Overall schematic. (b) Small-signal equivalent circuit at the input.

Figure 11(b) shows the small -signal equivalent circuit for the input part of the overall LNA, where $C_{g s}$ represents the gate-source capacitance of the input transistor $M_{1}$. The $\omega_{T}$ represents the cutoff frequency of transistor $M_{1}$. the equality factor $Q$ of the series resonating input circuit shown in Figure 11(b) can be given by [7]

[^8]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{N B}=\frac{1}{\left(R_{s}+\omega_{T} L_{s}\right) \cdot \omega_{0} \cdot C_{g s}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\omega_{0}$ represents the resonant frequency. Since the fractional -3 dB bandwidth of a typical $R L C$ series resonant circuit is inversely proportional to its $Q$-factor $\left(\mathrm{BW}_{-3 \mathrm{~dB}}=\right.$ $\omega_{0}\left(Q_{N B}\right)$, the LNA shown in Figure 12 (a) is unsuitable for wideband application.


Figure $12^{13}$ UWB LNA topology.
(a) Overall schematic. (b) Small-signal equivalent circuit at the input.
where $\omega_{0}$ represents the resonant frequency. Since the fractional -3 dB bandwidth of a typical $R L C$ series resonant circuit is inversely proportional to its $Q$-factor $\left(\mathrm{BW}_{-3 \mathrm{~dB}}=\right.$ $\omega_{0} / Q_{N B}$ ), the LNA shown in Figure 8 (a) is unsuitable for wideband application.

[^9]Figure 12 (a) shows the proposed wideband LNA topology. In Figure 12 (b), the resistor $R_{f M}\left[=R_{f} /\left(1-A_{v}\right)\right]$ represents the Miller equivalent input resistance of $R_{f}$, where Av is the open-loop voltage gain of the LNA.

In Figure 12 (a), $R_{f}$ is added as a shunt-feedback element, $L_{\text {load }}$ is used as a shunt peaking inductor at the output [8], the capacitor $C_{f}$ is used for ac coupling purpose, the source follower of $M_{3}$ and $M_{4}$ is added for measurement purpose only, and $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are ac coupling capacitors.

From the Figure 12 (b), the input impedance is determined by $\omega_{T} L_{s}$. Therefore, the feedback resistor $R_{f}$ plays a main role to reduce the $Q$-factor of the resonating narrowband LNA input circuit. The $Q$-factor of the circuit shown in Figure 12 (b) can be approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{W B} \approx \frac{1}{\left[R_{s}+\omega_{T} L_{s}+\frac{\left(\omega_{o} L_{g}\right)^{2}}{R_{f M}}\right] \cdot \omega_{0} \cdot C_{g s}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2), and considering the inversely linear relation between the -3 dB bandwidth and the $Q$-factor, the narrowband LNA in Figure 12 (a) can be converted into a wideband amplifier by the proper selection of $R_{f}$.

This topology is applied for a 3.1-5 GHz UWB amplifier implementation based on $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ CMOS technology. The measured results show more than 9 dB of input return loss, a higher than 11 dB output return loss, a peak gain of 9.8 dB over the -3 dB bandwidth of $2-4.6 \mathrm{GHz}$, while dissipating 7 mA from 1.8 V supply. The minimum NF is 2.3 dB at 3 GHz and stays at less than 3 dB up to 4 GHz , but rises up to 5.2 dB at 5 GHz .

### 3.5 SUMMARY OF DIFFERENT APPROACHES

The following Table 3 shows the summarized simulation result from the referenced papers.

Table 3 Summary of the simulation result of the referenced papers

Table 3. A summary of the simulation resuit of the referenced papers.

| Referenced Paper | Technology | Frequency (GHz) | Gain (dB) | Noise Figure (dB) | Power Consumption $(\mathrm{mw})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. A $3.1-10.8$ GHzCMOS Cascaded Tistributed Amolfier tor Itra-Wideband Application | TSMC 0.18 mm | $3.1 \sim 10.5$ | 18 | $5 \sim 7$ | 54 |
| 2. Ultra Wideband GMOS Low Noise Amplifier with Active input Matching | TSMC 0.18 um | $3.1 \sim 6.1$ | $15.5-17$ | $3.9-4.3$ | 21 |
| 6. Design of CMOS UWE Low Noise Amplifier with Cascode Feedback | TMC 0.18 mm | 3-7 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \mathrm{~dB} \\ \text { Mn: } 14.503 \mathrm{GHz} \\ \text { Max: } 15.304 \mathrm{GHz} \end{gathered}$ | $<1.907 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 21 (w/bias network) 15 (w/o bias network) |
| 4. Un Ulra wideband CMOS Low Noise Amplifiet tor $3-5$ CHz UWB System | 0.18 mm | $2 \sim 4.6$ | 9.8 | 2.3 (minimum | 12.6 (only core LNA) |

Based on the simulation (measured) data, paper 1 covers whole UWB frequency band with highest gain and highest power consumption. Paper 3 shows overall better result than paper 2. Paper 4 adopted a feedback resistor to reduce the $Q$-factor of the narrowband amplifier input impedance. As a result it shows advantages in overall performance (NF, power gain, power dissipation, chip size, number of external components, etc.), compared to the distributed and conventional shunt-feedback amplifiers for UWB applications.

## CHAPTER 4

## DESIGNING UWB AMPLIFIER

In this section, the basic theories of MOSFET, common-source single stage and common-gate MOSFET, and cascode topology will be shown and analyzed. By analyzing the high frequency characteristics of single stage MOSFET amplifiers, the need of a new design of, such as cascode topology will be shown.

Though cascoded topology gives better performances against single MOSFET amplifiers, it is required to enhance them to meet UWB requirements. Various considerations in broadband and multistage amplifiers will be shown.

### 4.1 MOSFET THEORY

In this section, the basic materials for MOSFET will be reviewed. These information have taken from various materials [9] [10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16].

### 4.1.1 MOSFET FUNDAMENTALS

In this chapter we are concerned with describing the fundamentals of MOSFET. MOS structures are assumed ideal and the long-channel enhancement-mode MOSFETs are focused.


Figure $13^{14}$ MOSFET structure simulation by Silvaco

[^10]As shown in Figure 13 MOSFET (Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor) has a structure of source and drain diffusion to the MOS capacitor which has a vertical stacking structure of metal (Gate), oxide, and semiconductor.

The MOSFET has become by far the most widely used electronic device, especially in the design of integrated circuits (ICs), which are circuits fabricated on a single silicon chip.

Compared to BJTs, MOSFETs can be made quite small and their manufacturing process relatively simple. Also, their operation requires comparatively little power. In addition, it is possible to implement digital and analog together.

All of these properties have made it possible to build VLSI circuits such as those for memory and microprocessors.

Therefore, MOSFET-based integrated circuits have become the dominant technology in the semiconductor industry. There are thousands of MOS-transistor circuits in production ranging from rather simple logic gates used in digital-signal processing to custom designs with both logic and memory functions on the same silicon chip.

### 4.1.2 MOSFET IV CHARACTERISTICS

The next Figure 14 shows the MOSFET with proper bias voltages.


Figure 14 n-MOSFET device with bias voltages

When $V_{G S}<V_{T}$, the MOSFET is cutoff. If $V_{G S}>V_{T}$, inversion occurs and a conducting channel establishes. The channel conductivity is determined by the vertical electrical field, which is controlled by the voltage, $V_{G S}>V_{T}$. Nonzero $V_{D S}$ produces a horizontal electrical field and causes current $I_{D}$ to flow.

The drain current $I_{D}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D}=K_{n} \frac{W}{L}\left(\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) V_{D S}-\frac{1}{2} V_{D S}^{2}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}^{\prime} \triangleq \mu_{n} C_{O X}=\frac{\mu_{n} \varepsilon_{O X}}{t_{O X}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C_{O X}$ : The gate oxide capacitance per unit area

$$
\left(=\frac{\varepsilon_{o x}}{t_{o x}}=\frac{3.9 \cdot \varepsilon_{o}}{t_{o x}}=\frac{3.9 \cdot\left(8.854 \times 10^{-14}\right)}{t_{o x}}\right)
$$

In saturation region (4.1) becomes as follows with $\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)=V_{D S}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) K_{n}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure $15^{15}$ MOSFET $V_{G}-I_{D}$ Graph by Silvaco simulation

Furthermore, (4.3) becomes as follows with a channel-length modulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{D}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) K_{n}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)^{2}\left(1+\lambda V_{D S}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda: \text { Channel-length modulation factor }\left(=\frac{1}{V_{A}}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 15 shows the relation between drain current $I_{D}$ and gate voltage $V_{G}$. The drain current starts flowing when $V_{G}$ is larger than $V_{T}$ and gradually increase until a
${ }^{15}$ Project done for ELEE63201 with Edward Banatoski, Ph.D.
Simulated TSMC 180nm MOSFET through Silvaco Athena Simulated TSMC 180nm MOSFET through Silvaco Athena.
certain level of $V_{G}$. Based on the Figure 15, the larger $V_{G}$ gives the higher $I_{D}$. However, $V_{G}$ cannot be increased above a certain point (refer to the Figure 17) because the transconductance will be decreased.

### 4.1.3 SMALL-SIGNAL EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT OF MOSFET



Figure 16 Common-source MOSFET amplifier circuit

### 4.2 SMALL-SIGNAL ANALYSIS

The total output current ( $i_{o}$ ) when MOSFET ( $M_{1}$ ) operates in saturation is as follows (neglected channel-length modulation):

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{O}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}+v_{g s}-V_{T}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& i_{O}=\frac{V_{D D}-\left(V_{O}+v_{o}\right)}{R_{D}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

With (4.6) and (4.7), the output voltage ( $v_{o}$ ) is shown below.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{o} & =-R_{D} K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left\{\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) v_{g s}+\frac{v_{g s}^{2}}{2}\right\}  \tag{4.8}\\
& =-R_{D} K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) v_{g s}\left\{1+\frac{v_{g s}}{2\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

The small-signal output current, $i_{o}=\frac{-v_{o}}{R_{D}}$, becomes as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{o}=K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) v_{g s}\left\{1+\frac{v_{g s}}{2\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)}\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.8) distortions occurs when $v_{g s}$ is large. To make the distortion rate less than $10 \%$, the magnitude of small-signal input voltage $\left(\left|v_{g s}\right|\right)$ should satisfy the following.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{g s}\right| \leq 0.2\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (4.10) is valid, the $v_{g^{s}}^{2}$ term can be neglected from the equation (4.8) and the small-signal output voltage $\left(v_{o}\right)$ and output $\operatorname{current}\left(i_{o}\right)$ can be shown as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{o}=-R_{D} K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) v_{g s}  \tag{4.11}\\
& i_{o}=K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) v_{g s} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.3 TRANSCONDUCTANCE

One of the most important components of a MOSFET ac analysis is find the voltage-to-current ratio (a proportional constant between $i_{o}$ and $v_{g s}$ in (4.12)). When the MOSFET operates in saturation region, it indicates how well a device converts a voltage to a current. The change in drain current that will result from a change in gate-to-source voltage can be determined using the transconductance factor $g_{m}$ in the following way [10].


Figure $17^{16}$ Transconductance graph from Silvaco simulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m} \triangleq \frac{\Delta I_{O}}{\Delta V_{G S}}=\frac{\partial i_{o}}{\partial v_{G S}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.12), transconductance $\left(g_{m}\right)$ becomes as follows.

[^11]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)=\frac{2 I_{O}}{\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Transconductance represents the sensitivity of the device: for high $g_{m}$, a small changes in $V_{G S}$ results in a large change in $I_{o}$.

Figure 17 shows the relations between gate voltage and transconductance. There is a gate voltage which gives the maximum of transconductance. For designing amplifier, the proper gate (bias) voltage should be used.

### 4.4 OUTPUT RESISTANCE

Increasing drain-source voltage in an n-channel MOSFET increases the width of the depletion region around the Drain and reduces the effective channel length in the saturation region. This effective is called channel-length modulation and causes the Drain current to increase.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{o} \triangleq\left\{\left.\frac{\partial i_{o}}{\partial v_{D S}}\right|_{a t Q}\right\}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\lambda I_{o}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.5 SINGLE STAGE MOSFET ANALYSIS

This section will show the analysis of the two different types of MOSFETs' topologies (common-source (CS) and common-gate (CG)) for high-frequency characteristics. The two main characteristics of transducer gain and high frequency response will be discussed though there are many other characteristics.

MOSFETs have internal gate capacitive effect. The gate electrode forms a parallel-plate capacitor with the channel, with the oxide layer serving as the capacitor dielectric.


Figure 18 The cross-sectional view of a nMOSFET with various capacitances

As Figure 18 shows five main different capacitances in MOSFET. Gate capacitances are $C_{g s}, C_{g d}$, and $C_{g b}$ and depletion capacitances are $C_{s b}$ and $C_{d b}$.

Based on the Meyer model which is being commonly used in SPICE simulation, each gate capacitances (neglect body effect coefficient $\gamma$ ) can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{g s}=W \cdot C G S O+\frac{2}{3} W \cdot L \cdot C_{O X} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{g d}=W \cdot C G D O  \tag{4.17}\\
& C_{g b}=L \cdot C G B O \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C G S O=C G D O=L_{o v} \times C_{o x} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{o v}$ is the overlap length between the gate-source and gate-drain as shown in Figure 8. The intrinsic value of $C_{g d}$ is zero because the channel is the pinched-off at the drain end. However, the value of $C_{g d}$ has a parasitic value due to the overlap that depends on the layout. As $C_{g d}$ plays an important role in deciding the bandwidth of some amplifiers, its influence cannot be ignored. [11]

Here are the typical values of $C G S O, C G D O$, and $C G B O$ of TSMC T3CV 0.18 $\mu \mathrm{m} \mathrm{MOSFET}^{17}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C G S O=C G D O=7.9 \times 10^{-10}  \tag{4.20}\\
& C G B O=1 \times 10^{-12} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

[^12]
### 4.5.1 COMMON-SOURCE MOSFET HIGH-FREQUENCY MODEL

The common-source amplifier uses the gate as an input ports and drain as an output port as shown in Figure 19 which includes internal capacitances for high frequency analysis.

(a)

(b)

Figure 19 (a) Common-source MOSFET high frequency model (b) its small signal model

Where
$C_{i}=C_{g s}+C_{g b}+$ input wiring capacitances
$C_{l}=C_{d b}+$ output wiring capacitances
$C_{g d}$ itself is much smaller than $C_{g s}$ and usually set to zero. However, because of the Miller's effect, it becomes the major factor for frequency response.

### 4.5.2 TRANSDUCER GAIN $\left(G_{T}\right)$ OF CS MOSFET

The gain that we are interested in RF transistors is the power gain of the device, rather than just the voltage or current gain because voltage and current gains alone no longer mean anything when an impedance level changes in a circuit. [12]

Transducer Power Gain ( $G_{T}$ )

$$
G_{T}=\frac{P_{L}}{P_{\text {AVS }}}=\frac{\text { Power delivered to the load }}{\text { Power available from the source }}
$$

From Figure 11, the relations between $v_{\text {out }}$ and $v_{x}$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{o u t}=-g_{m} v_{x}\left(R_{L}^{\prime} \| s C_{o}\right)=-g_{m} v_{x} \frac{R_{L}^{\prime}}{1+R_{L}^{\prime} s C_{o}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R_{L}^{\prime}=\left(r_{o}\left\|R_{D}\right\| R_{L}\right)$ and the relations between $v_{s}$ and $v_{x}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{s}=\left(1+s R_{S} C_{I}\right) v_{x} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, voltage gain $\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{s}}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{s}}=\frac{-g_{m} R_{L}^{\prime}}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{I}\right)\left(1+s R_{L}^{\prime} C_{o}\right)} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\left(G_{T}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{L}=\left|i_{L}\right|^{2} R_{L}^{\prime}=\left|\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{R_{L}^{\prime}}\right|^{2} R_{L}^{\prime}=\frac{\left|v_{\text {out }}\right|^{2}}{R_{L}^{\prime}}  \tag{4.25}\\
& P_{A V S}=\frac{\left|v_{S}\right|^{2}}{4 R_{S}} \tag{4.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Transducer gain ( $G_{T}$ ) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}=\frac{P_{L}}{P_{A V S}}=\frac{\left(\frac{\left|v_{\text {out }}\right|^{2}}{R_{L}^{\prime}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\left|v_{S}\right|^{2}}{4 R_{S}}\right)}=4 \frac{R_{S}\left|v_{\text {out }}\right|^{2}}{R_{L}^{\prime}\left|v_{S}\right|^{2}}=4\left(\frac{R_{S}}{R_{L}^{\prime}}\right)\left|\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{S}}\right|^{2} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by substituting (4.27) with (4.24), transducer gain $\left(G_{T}\right)$ of a single stage CS amplifier becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}(s)=4\left(\frac{R_{S}}{R_{L}^{\prime}}\right)\left|\frac{g_{m} R_{L}^{\prime}}{\left(1+s R_{L}^{\prime} C_{o}\right)\left(1+s R_{S} C_{I}\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.5.3 FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF CS MOSFET

The frequency of the applied signal can have a very important effect on the response of a single-stage or multistage network. The frequency dependent parameters of the small-signal equivalent circuits and the stray capacitive elements associated with the active device and network will limit the high-frequency response of the system.

In this section the frequency respense of CS amplifier in high-frequency will be analyzed to estimate the upper 3 dB frequency.

From (4.24), high frequency gain of the CS amplifier is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{v}(s)\right|=\left|\frac{v_{\text {out }}(s)}{v_{s}(s)}\right|=\left|\frac{A_{M}}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{I}\right)\left(1+s R_{L}^{\prime} C_{O}\right)}\right| \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $A_{M}\left(=g_{m} R_{L}^{\prime}\right)$ is mid-band gain.

Equation (4.29) can be rearranged as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{v}(s)=\frac{v_{\text {out }}(s)}{v_{s}(s)}=\frac{A_{M}}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{P 1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{s}{\omega_{P 2}}\right)} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.30) the input pole $\omega_{P 1}$ and the output pole $\omega_{P 2}$ are as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{P 1} & =\frac{1}{R_{S} C_{I}}=\frac{1}{R_{S}\left(C_{g s}+\left(1+A_{v}\right) C_{g d}\right)}  \tag{4.31}\\
\omega_{P 2} & =\frac{1}{R_{L}^{\prime} C_{O}}=\frac{1}{R_{L}^{\prime}\left(C_{d b}+\left(1+\frac{1}{A_{v}}\right) C_{g d}\right)}  \tag{4.32}\\
& \cong \frac{1}{R_{L}^{\prime}\left(C_{d b}+C_{g d}\right)} \quad\left(\because A_{v} \gg 1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the (4.31) and (4.32), the $\omega_{P 1}$ is the dominant pole of the commonsource amplifier and becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{P 1}=\omega_{H}=\frac{1}{R_{S}\left(C_{g s}+\left(1+A_{v}\right) C_{g d}\right)} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, bandwidth ( $B W$ ) of CS amplifier is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B W \triangleq f_{H}-f_{L} \cong f_{H}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \cdot R_{S}\left(C_{g s}+\left(1+A_{v}\right) C_{g d}\right)} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplication effect that $C_{g d}$ undergoes comes about because it is connected between two nodes whose voltages are related by a large negative gain $\left(1+A_{\nu}\right)$. This Miller effect causes the CS amplifier to have a large total input impedance $C_{I}$ and hence a low $\omega_{H}$.

### 4.6 COMMON-GATE MOSFET HIGH-FREQUENCY MODEL

Common-gate amplifier applies DC bias voltage into gate node, input voltage into source node, and uses drain as an output port as shown in Figure 20. This amplifier gives large positive voltage gain with low input resistance and high output resistance.


Figure 20 (a) Common-gate MOSFET high frequency model and (b) highfrequency small signal model

### 4.6.1 TRANSDUCER GAIN $\left(G_{T}\right)$ OF CG MOSFET

To find the transducer gain $\left(G_{T}\right)$, Figure $20(\mathrm{~b})$ is re-arranged as shown in Figure 21. [13]

By applying KCL at node $X$, we can find the current ( $i_{s}$ ) flows at the resistor $\left(R_{S}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{s}+i_{r o}=g_{m} v_{x}+i_{x}  \tag{4.35}\\
& i_{s}=g_{m} v_{x}+i_{x}-i_{r o}=i_{x}+i_{L}=s C_{i} v_{x}+\frac{v_{o u t}}{Z_{L}} \tag{4.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that the supply voltage must equal voltage across $R_{S}$ plus $v_{x}$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{s} & =R_{S} i_{S}+v_{x}=\left(s C_{i} v_{x}+\frac{v_{o u t}}{Z_{L}}\right) R_{S}+v_{x} \\
& =\left(s R_{S} C_{i}+1\right) v_{x}+\left(\frac{R_{S}}{Z_{L}}\right) v_{\text {out }} \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 21 Re -arranged CG MOSFET high frequency small signal model

From (4.37), $v_{x}$ can be expressed as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=\frac{\left(v_{s}-\left(\frac{R_{S}}{Z_{L}}\right) v_{\text {out }}\right)}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also the voltage $v_{\text {out }}$ must equal $v_{x}$ plus the voltage across $r_{o}$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\text {out }} & =v_{r_{o}}+v_{x}=r_{o}\left(g_{m} v_{x}-i_{L}\right)+v_{x} \\
& =\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) v_{x}-r_{o} i_{L}=\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) v_{x}-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{Z_{L}}\right) v_{\text {out }} \tag{4.39}
\end{align*}
$$

With applying (4.39), we can have the relations between $v_{\text {out }}$ and $v_{s}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\text {out }} & =\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) \frac{\left(v_{s}-\left(\frac{R_{S}}{Z_{L}}\right) v_{\text {out }}\right)}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{Z_{L}}\right) v_{\text {out }}  \tag{4.40}\\
& =\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right)}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)} v_{s}-\left(\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) R_{S}+r_{o}\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}{Z_{L}\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}\right) v_{\text {out }}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.40) $\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{S}}\right)$ can be find as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{s}}\right) & =\left(\frac{\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right)}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}}{1+\left(\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) R_{S}+r_{o}\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}{Z_{L}\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.41}\\
& =\left(\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right)}{\left(1+s R_{S} C_{i}\right)\left(1+\frac{r_{o}}{Z_{L}}\right)+\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right)\left(\frac{R_{S}}{Z_{L}}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{L}=\left(R_{D}\left\|R_{L}\right\| C_{d}\right)=\left(R_{L}^{\prime} \| C_{d}\right)=\frac{R_{L}^{\prime}}{\left(1+s R_{L}^{\prime} C_{d}\right)} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{s}}\right)$ becomes as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1+g_{m} r_{0}\right) R_{L}^{\prime}}{\left(\left(R_{l}^{\prime}+r_{d}+\left(1+g_{m_{m}} r_{o} R_{s}\right)+s\left(R_{s}\left(R_{L}^{\prime}+r_{o}\right) C_{t}+r_{o} R_{L} C_{d}+R_{s} R_{L}^{\prime}\left(1+g_{m_{d}} r_{0} C_{d}\right)+s^{2} r_{o} R_{s} R_{L} C_{i} C_{d}\right)\right.\right.} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transducer gain ( $G_{T}$ ) of a single stage CG amplifier becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{T}(s)=4\left(\frac{R_{s}}{R_{L}}\right) \times  \tag{4.44}\\
& \left|\frac{\left(1+g_{g} r_{o}\right) R_{L}^{i}}{\left.\mid\left(R_{L}+r_{o}+\left(1+g_{m} r_{o}\right) R_{s}\right)+s\left(R_{s}\left(R_{L}+r_{o}\right) C_{i}+r_{o} R_{L} C_{d}+R_{s} R_{L}\left(1+g_{m} r_{d}\right) C_{d}\right)+s^{2} r_{o} R_{s} R_{L} C_{t} C_{d}\right)}\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.6.2 FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF CG MOSFET

Finding the input impedance of CG amplifier make it easier to find the frequency response of the CG MOSFET.

To find the input impedance ( $Z_{i n}$ ) of CG amplifier, we need an input impedance circuit shown in Figure 22.


Figure 22 Re-arranged CG MOSFET for input impedance, $Z_{i n}$

By KCL at node X of Figure 14 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{x}=g_{m} v_{x}-i_{r_{o}}=g_{m} v_{x}-\frac{Z_{L} i_{x}-v_{x}}{r_{O}} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the input impedance $\left(Z_{i n}=\frac{v_{x}}{i_{x}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i n}=\frac{r_{o}+Z_{L}}{1+g_{m} r_{o}} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Figure 13 (b),

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{s}=C_{g s}+C_{b s}  \tag{4.47}\\
& C_{d}=C_{g d}+C_{b d} \tag{4.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $C_{b s}$ is the junction capacitance between bulk and source and $C_{b d}$ is the junction capacitance between bulk and drain and omitted for simplification.

Dominant pole $\omega_{p}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{P}=\omega_{H}=\frac{1}{\left(R_{S} \| Z_{i n}\right) \cdot C_{i}} \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The input impedance $Z_{i n}=\frac{r_{o}+Z_{L}}{1+g_{m} r_{o}}$ varies depends on the $Z_{i n}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Z_{i n, M I N .}\right|_{a t} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=0  \tag{4.50}\\
& \cong \frac{1}{g_{m}}  \tag{4.51}\\
& \left.Z_{\text {in,MAX. }}\right|_{\text {at }} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}=\infty \\
& =\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the dominant pole exists between

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{S} \cdot C_{i}} \leq \omega_{H} \leq \frac{1}{\left(R_{S} \| \frac{1}{g_{m}}\right) \cdot C_{i}} \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the minimum of $\omega_{H}$ can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{S} \cdot C_{i}}=\frac{1}{R_{S} \cdot\left\{W \cdot C G S O+\frac{2}{3} W \cdot L \cdot C_{O X}\right\}} \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant pole of CS MOSFET amplifier which is given by (4.33) can be restated as follows to be compared with CG MOSFET amplifier.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{S} \cdot\left\{W \cdot C G S O+\frac{2}{3} W \cdot L \cdot C_{O X}+W \cdot C G D O\left(1+g_{m} R_{D}\right)\right\}} \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we compare the $\omega_{H, C S}(4.53)$ and $\omega_{H, C G}(4.53)$, frequency response of CG amplifier is much higher than CS amplifier.

### 4.7 CASCODE AMPLIFIER TOPOLOGY

In the previous sections Common-Source and Common-Gate MOSFET configurations were presented. For conventional and narrowband purpose, the CS amplifier can be used. However, it cannot be used for UWB application because of the Miller Effect which drastically decrease the frequency response, $\omega_{-3 d B}$. One of the possible ways of minimizing Miller effect is using a cascode topology which is shown in Figure 23.


Figure 23 Basic cascode amplifier configuration

The Drain current, $i_{d l}$ of M1 is given as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{d M I}=g_{m M 1} v_{s} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $v_{x}$ is given as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{x} & =-i_{d M 1} \cdot\left(r_{o M 1} \| Z_{i M 2}\right) \cong-i_{d M 1} \cdot\left(r_{o M 1} \| \frac{1}{g_{m M 2}}\right)  \tag{4.56}\\
& \cong-i_{d M 1} \cdot \frac{1}{g_{m M 2}}=-\left(\frac{g_{m M 1}}{g_{m M 2}}\right) v_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $Z_{i M 2} \cong \frac{1}{g_{m M 2}}$ from (4.50)
From (4.14)

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{m M 1} & =K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{M 1}\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) \\
g_{m M 2} & =K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{M 2}\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) \tag{4.57}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}=-\left(\frac{g_{m M 1}}{g_{m M 2}}\right) v_{s}=-\left(\frac{\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{M 1}}{\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{M 2}}\right) v_{s} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.58) it is clear that $v_{x}$ is proportional to the ratio of the widths and lengths
of $M 1$ and $M 2$. If $M 1$ and $M 2$ have same physical dimensions, the small signal gain $\left(\frac{v_{x}}{v_{s}}\right)$ becomes -1 .

As a result, the Miller effect becomes 2 (Miller constant). Therefore this cascode topology will have a better frequency response than single MOSFET CS transistors.

### 4.7.1 SMALL SIGNAL ANALYSIS OF CASCODE AMPLIFIER

The CASCODE is a very useful two-transistor stage that provides the performance of a CS stage with a much small Miller effect and much larger output resistance. Therefore, it gives better high-frequency performance and higher output resistance.

### 4.8 OUTPUT RESISTANCE OF CASCODE

From Figure 23 output resistance $R_{\text {out }}$ can be found as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{r o M 2}\left(v_{o}-v_{2}\right)=i_{o}+g_{m M 2} v_{2}  \tag{4.59}\\
& g_{r o M 2}\left(v_{o}-v_{2}\right)=\left(g_{r o M 1}+g_{m M 2}\right) v_{2} \tag{4.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{o u t}=\frac{\left(g_{r o M I}+g_{r o M 2}+g_{m M 2}\right)}{g_{\text {roM1 }} g_{\text {roM2 }}} \cong \frac{g_{m M 2}}{g_{\text {roM1 }} g_{\text {roM2 }}}=g_{m M 2} r_{o M 2} r_{o M 1} \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.61) it is evident that the output resistance of CASCODE is much larger than $r_{o M I}$.

### 4.9 HIGH-FREQUENCY RESPONSE OF CASCODE AMPLIFIER [16]

Figure 24 is shown as a high frequency equivalent circuit. Only $C_{g s}$ and $C_{g d}$ will be considered for simplifications.


Figure 24 CASCODE small signal circuit for frequency response

With the open-circuit time constants, the frequency response can be estimated as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g s l}=C_{g s} R_{S} \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\tau_{g d l}$, at first the resistance $R_{g d l}$ that is seen by the capacitor $C_{g d l}$ must be found.

### 4.9.1.1 RE-VISIT CS AMPLIFIER

Figure 24 can be re-drawn to find the $R_{g d l}$.

The relation between $i_{x}$ and $v_{x}$ is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+g_{m} R_{S}\right) i_{x}=-\frac{1}{\left(r_{o} \| R_{L}\right)}\left(R_{S} i_{x}-v_{x}\right) \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the resistance seen by the capacitor $C_{g d l}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{x} & =R_{g d l}=\left(r_{o} \| R_{L}\right)+R_{S}\left(1+g_{m}\left(r_{o} \| R_{L}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(r_{o} \| R_{L}\right)+R_{S}\left(1+\left|A_{v}\right|\right) \tag{4.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that the term $R_{S}\left(1+\left|A_{v}\right|\right)$ gives the largest contribution.


Figure 25 Circuit to find $R_{g d I}$

Therefore, $\tau_{g d l}$ is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g d l}=R_{g d l} C_{g d l} \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the calculation of time constants it has been shown that the largest time constant is associated with the drain-gate capacitance, $C_{g d l}$, even though hat capacitance is numerically the smallest one. The effect of this capacitance is multiplied by the gain $\left(1+\left|A_{v}\right|\right)$. As it has been explained previously, this is called Miller effect. This effect arises from connecting a capacitance across two nodes that have inverting voltage gain between them. One of the possible solutions of this problem is to isolate this capacitor so that it no longer appears across a gain stage. [11]

The CASCODE amplifier of Figure 16 shows the elimination Miller effect by performing this isolation. The output is at the drain of $M 2$, while the input is at the gate of $M 1$, and there is no capacitance directly across these two nodes.

### 4.10 TIME-CONSTANT OF A CASCODE AMPLIFIER

At first, the input resistance of CG stage is already given in (4.46).

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i n C G}=\frac{r_{o M 2}+R_{L}}{1+g_{m M 2} r_{o M 2}} \cong \frac{1}{g_{m M 2}}\left(1+\frac{R_{L}}{r_{o M 2}}\right) \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $R_{g d l}$ from (4.64) becomes with $R_{i n C G}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {gdl }}=\left(r_{o M l} \| R_{i n C G}\right)+R_{S}\left(1+g_{m M l}\left(r_{o M l} \| R_{i n C G}\right)\right) \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the time constant $\tau_{g d l}$ is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{g d l}=R_{g d l} C_{g d l}=C_{g d l}\left\{\left(r_{o M l} \| R_{i n C G}\right)+R_{S}\left(1+g_{m M 1}\left(r_{o m l} \| R_{i n C G}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the amplifier M2, time constants are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{g s 2}=C_{g s 2}\left(r_{o M 2} \| R_{i n C G}\right)  \tag{4.69}\\
& \tau_{g s 2} \cong C_{g s 2} R_{L} \tag{4.70}
\end{align*}
$$

and, finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{L} \cong C_{l} R_{L} \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, the bandwidth estimation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& B W \cong \frac{1}{\tau_{\text {tooal }}}=\frac{1}{\tau_{g s i l}+\tau_{g d l}+\tau_{g 22}+\tau_{g d 2}}  \tag{4.72}\\
& =\frac{1}{\left\{C_{\text {sis }} R_{S}+\left(r_{\text {oml }} \| R_{\text {incC }}\right)+R_{S}\left(1+g_{\text {mMI }}\left(r_{\text {oml }} \| R_{\text {incG }}\right)\right)+C_{\text {gri }} R_{L}+C_{l} R_{t}\right\}}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.11 BROADBAND AND MULTISTAGE AMPLIFIERS

So far, CASCODE amplifier has been shown a possible topology for UWB applications. Though it gives better performances against single stage topologies, it needs enhancements of gains and bandwidths. In this section various methods will be introduced and analyzed.

### 4.11.1 CHANNEL LENGTH

Other than that, the simulation result shown below gives the role of MOSFET channel length. One of the ways to have better bandwidth is using short channel length. This section will explain the relations between channel length and frequency bandwidth.

### 4.11.1.1 UNITY-GAIN FREQUENCY $\left(\omega_{T}\right)$

The unity-gain is defined as the frequency at which the short-circuit current-gain of the common-source configuration become unity. This measurement assumes the steady state operation with sinusoidal excitation. A current source $i_{i n}$ is applied to the gate of the device, and the output current $i_{d}$ is measured in the short-circuited drain circuit.

As previously shown in (4.3) and (4.14) the drain current $I_{D}$ and the transconductance $g_{m}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{D} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.73}\\
g_{m} & =K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right) \tag{4.74}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the current gain $A_{i}(j \omega)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(j \omega)=\frac{i_{d}}{i_{i n}}=\frac{g_{m}}{j \omega\left(C_{g s}+C_{g d}\right)} \cong \frac{g_{m}}{j \omega C_{g s}} \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unity-gain frequency is determined as the frequency where the absolute value of the current gain becomes equal to unity. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{i}(j \omega)\right|=1 \tag{4.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{T}=\frac{g_{m}}{C_{g s}}=\frac{K_{n}^{\prime}\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) W L C_{o x}} \tag{4.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (4.77) shows that $\omega_{T} \propto \frac{1}{L^{2}}$, i.e. that for high-frequency operation the device should be short and that $\omega_{T} \propto\left(V_{G S}-V_{T}\right)$. The second proportionality means that to amplify high frequencies the device has to carry a heavy current. However, increasing power is not always possible especially for the mobile communications, etc. Therefore, using short channel transistors are much better solution [14].

### 4.11.2 SHUNT-PEAKING METHOD TO ENHANCE BANDWIDTH

If a transistor is an ideal, then the only elements that control the bandwidth are $\boldsymbol{R}$, $\boldsymbol{L}$, and $\boldsymbol{C}$. It can be represented as in Figure 26. [7]


Figure 26 Shunt-peaked amplifier

As previously shown the gain of the amplifier is proportional to $g_{m} R_{L}$. The addition of an inductance in series with the load resistor introduces a zero. Therefore the impedance of the $\boldsymbol{R L C}$ network can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(s)=(s L+R) \| \frac{1}{s C}=\frac{R\left(s\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)+1\right)}{s^{2} L C+s R C+1} \tag{4.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the magnitude of $Z(s)$ can be expressed in frequency as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Z(j \omega)|=R \sqrt{\frac{\left(\left(\frac{\omega L}{R}\right)^{2}+1\right)}{\left(1-\omega^{2} L C\right)^{2}+(\omega R C)^{2}}} \tag{4.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

It shows that the numerator has a term that increases with increasing frequency. In addition, the $1-\omega^{2} L C$ term in the denominator contributes to an increase in $|Z(j \omega)|$ for frequencies below the $L C$ resonance as well.

Initially the inductor(s) will be used. However, inductors have some deficiencies of a low Q-factor and occupy a lot of chip area. Therefore, if it is possible, it is preferable not to use those. The solution with trade-offs will be shown later.

### 4.11.3 CASCADING TOPOLOGY



Figure 27 CASCADE topology

As shown in Figure $27 \mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ transistor stage connected directly to the output of a (n$1)^{\text {th }}$ stage. Therefore, there will be several major elements to be considered for designing amplifiers with CASCADE topology as follows.

- Change of overall power (voltage) gain
- Change of overall Noise Figure
- Change of IP3
- Change of overall frequency response

For convenient, only dual-stage performance parameters will be analyzed for the following sub-sections.

### 4.12 OVERALL GAIN, NOISE FIGURE, AND IP3 EFFECT

### 4.12.1.1 OVERALL GAIN

At first, to increase power gain, a multistage amplifier circuit such as CASCADE topology should be considered. For cascaded circuit (refer to the Figure 27) the total power gain $G_{\text {total }}$ of a dual-stage amplifier under linear operating conditions results in an addition of the individual gains $G_{I}$ and $G_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\text {total }}(d B)=G_{1}(d B)+G_{2}(d B) \tag{4.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.12.1.2 NOISE FIGURE

Noise is a form of background signal. A major part of the noise signal belongs to the input transducer; but other components within the circuit will each contribute noise.

The comparison between the desired signal and noise background are defined quantitatively by the signal-to-noise ratio,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S}{N}=\frac{(\text { Signal Power })}{(\text { Noise Power })} \tag{4.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ at the output of an amplifier is weaker than it is at the input, due to the fact that the amplifier has components that add noise. Therefore, for a network with gain $G$, the output noise is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{o}=G\left(N_{i}+N_{a}\right) \tag{4.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

as if an additional noise source $N_{a}$ exists at the input. $G \times N_{a}$ is the extra noise at the output arising from internal elements within the amplifier, sometimes called the equivalent system-noise input.

The definition of noise factor is the ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\frac{N_{o}}{G N_{i}}=\frac{N_{i}+N_{a}}{N_{i}}=1+\frac{N_{a}}{N_{i}} \tag{4.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dB form is called "noise figure" NF.

### 4.12.1.3 CASCADED STAGES

In cascaded stage, each stage contributes noise and has a noise factor and a gain (or loss). The total noise of the system is an equivalent input noise, $N_{a}$, as defined in (4.83).

In the cascaded system shown in Figure 27, the total noise in terms of their noise factors and gains.

For the $1^{\text {st }}$ stage, having noise factor $F_{I}$ and power gain $G_{l}$, the equivalent input noise, according to (4.83), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a l}=N_{i} F_{1}-N_{i}=N_{i}\left(F_{1}-1\right) \tag{4.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the equivalent input noise at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ stage is $N_{a 2}=N_{i}\left(F_{2}-1\right)$, and at the input noise of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ stage is $N_{a 3}=N_{i}\left(F_{3}-1\right)$, etc. but noise at the input to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ stage represents an equivalent input noise at the $1^{\text {st }}$ stage of

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a 2}^{\prime}=\frac{N_{a 2}}{G_{l}} \tag{4.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the entire input noise of the dual stage is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a}=N_{i}\left(F_{1}-1\right)+N_{i} \frac{\left(F_{2}-1\right)}{G_{1}} \tag{4.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F-\left(N_{i}+N_{a}\right) / N_{i}$, then the overall system noise figure is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {toatal }}(d B)=F_{l}(d B)+\frac{\left(F_{2}(d B)-1\right)}{G_{l}(d B)} \tag{4.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where

$F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ denote the noise figure of stage 1 and 2.
This process can be extended to more stages in like manner, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {toot }}(d B)=F_{l}(d B)+\frac{\left(F_{2}(d B)-1\right)}{G_{I}(d B)}+\frac{\left(F_{3}(d B)-1\right)}{G_{l}(d B) G_{2}(d B)}+\frac{\left(F_{4}(d B)-1\right)}{G_{l}(d B) G_{2}(d B) G_{3}(d B)}+\cdots{ }^{( } \tag{4.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.88) the gain of the $1^{\text {st }}$ stage should be high enough to decrease total noise figure of the system.

## $4.133^{\text {RD }}$ ORDER INTERCEPT POINT (IP3)

When active devices driven with a large enough RF signal, they will generate undesirable spurious signals. How much spurious generated by the device is dependent on the linearity of the devices.

There are couple of factors which determine the linearity of RF devices, such as 1 dB compressed point ( P 1 dB ), Intermodulation distortion (IMD), and 3 rd order intercept point (IP3).


Figure 28 IP3, P1dB, IMD graph

In this section, IP3 will be analyzed how it is being affected by cascading stages as follows.

By definition, IP3 is the point where fundamental power meets IM3 power under the condition that the two power increase without saturating as shown in Figure 28.


Figure $293^{\text {rd }}$ order intercept point (IP3)

In non-linear system, output signal $y$ can be expressed with input signal $x$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=a+b x+c x^{2}+d x^{3}+\ldots . . \tag{4.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.89), the IM3 term $d x^{3}$ has 3 times slope in dB scale than the fundamental frequency term $b x$. Therefore, there is an assumed point where they meet each other.

In modern communication systems, the IP3 is a very important criterion to measure the linearity of amplifiers.

From Figure 35, if the minimal detectable signal $P_{i n, m d s}$ at $3 d B$ above thermal noise at the input is given by $P_{i n, m d s}=k T B+3 d B+F_{1}$, the minimal detectable output power $P_{\text {out }, \text { mds }}$ becomes [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {out }, \text { mds }}(d B m)=k T B(d B m)+3 d B+F_{\text {total }}(d B)+G_{\text {total }}(d B) \tag{4.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dynamic properties are also affected. For instance, the third-order intercept point (IP3) changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I P 3_{\text {total }}(d B m)=\frac{1}{\left(1 / I P 3_{l}(d B m)\right)+\left(1 /\left(G_{2} I P 3_{2}\right)(d B m)\right)} \tag{4.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I P 3_{1}$ and $I P 3_{2}$ are the third order intercept points associated with stage 1 and 2.


Figure 30 Actual IP3 simulation graph with two different input sources ( 8 GHz \&

### 8.2 GHz )

### 4.14 FREQUENCY RESPONSE EFFECTS

Since $a(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ stage connected directly to the output of a $n^{\text {th }}$ stage, there will be significant change in the overall frequency response mainly because of the capacitances, such as the wiring capacitances, parasitic capacitances, and Miler capacitances, etc.

In case of each stage have a unit DC gain and a single pole of the amplfier's transfer function is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(S)=\frac{1}{\tau s+1} \tag{4.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a CASCADE that has nth stages have an overall transfer function of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{H}(S)=\left(\frac{1}{\tau s+1}\right)^{n} \tag{4.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for -3 dB bandwidth by computing the magnitude of the transfer function is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{H}(j \omega)\right|=\left|\left(\frac{1}{\tau s+1}\right)\right|^{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{4.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the bandwidth is a as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{\tau} \sqrt{\left(2^{(1 / n)}-1\right)} \tag{4.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4 Bandwidth versus $n$

| n | $\sqrt{\left(2^{(1 / n)}-1\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0.64 |
| 3 | 0.51 |
| 4 | 0.43 |
| 5 | 0.39 |

Therefore, from (4.95) it is necessary to optimize the maximum bandwidth in a cascaded amplifier with a gain requirement.

## CHAPTER 5

## CONSIDERATIONS OF UWB AMPLIFIER

In this section, standard considerations to meet the main desired quality characteristics shown in Table 5 will be presented.

Table 5 UWB quality characteristics

| Target Characteristics |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Frequency Range | 3.1 to 10.6 GHz |
| Minimum Desired Transducer Gain (dB) | 10 dB @ 10.6 GHz |
| Maximum Desired Power Consumption (W) | 100 mWatts |
| Minimum Desired Noise Figure (dB) | $<10 \mathrm{~dB}$ |
| Input/Output resistance | 50 ohm |

With previous technical considerations, the initial circuit shown in Figure 39 will be used for optimization.

The optimization procedure is as follows.

- Focus on achieving 3 dB bandwidth with a decent Transducer gain
- Eliminate capacitors in the circuit
- Take account the fabrication factor (PAD capacitance in the output port) for Transducer gain
- Eliminate inductors from the circuit


Figure 31 Initial circuit for optimization

With previous technical considerations, such as optimizing the lengths of MOSFETs, bias voltages, and the resistances, the initial circuit shown in Figure 31 has been achieved. The $1^{\text {st }}$ stage is a CASCODE arrangement to provide voltage gain, while the two common drain stages that follow permit driving a 50 ohm load.

### 5.1 ACHIEVING 3 dB BANDWIDTH THROUGH FEEDBACK TOPOLOGIES

To meet the 3 dB bandwidth criteria, a feedback topology will be used. Negative feedback allows a flat gain response and reduces the input and output VSWR over wide frequency range. An additional advantage of the negative feedback is that it makes the circuit less sensitive to transistor-to-transistor parameter variations. The disadvantage of such circuit is that tend to limit maximum power gain of the transistor and increase its noise figure.

In amplifier design, negative feedback is applied to change gain (desensitize), reduce nonlinear distortion, reduce the effect of noise, control the input and output impedances, and extend the bandwidth with trading off the gain of an amplifier.

For UWB applications, we need to extend bandwidth almost 7 GHz with a decent gain flatness. Therefore, negative feedback will be one of the most important factors.

In this section the properties of negative feedback will be analyzed.

### 5.2 GAIN DESENSITIZATION



Figure 32 Simple CS amplifier with a feedback
From Figure $32 v_{o}$ and $v_{x}$ are as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{o}}{v_{x}}=-g_{m} r_{o} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform KCL at node X,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v_{o}-v_{x}\right) s C_{2}=\left(v_{x}-v_{i n}\right) s C_{1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

With combining (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{o}}{v_{i n}}=-\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{1}{g_{m} r_{o}}\right) \frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}}+\frac{1}{g_{m} r_{o}}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{m} r_{o} \gg 1$, (5.3) can be simplified as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{o}}{v_{i n}}=-\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.4), it is clear that gain does not depend on $g_{m}$ and $r_{o}$ which are variables of process and temperature. Rather, it depends on the ratio of capacitances, which can be avoided of the effects from process and temperature if same materials are used.

### 5.3 BANDWIDTH EXTENSION



Figure 33 Negative series-shunt feedback amplifier block diagram

From Figure 33, the voltage gain is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{f}(s) \triangleq \frac{v_{o}}{v_{i}}=\frac{A(s)}{1+T(s)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $T(s)$ is loop gain which is $\beta \cdot A(s)$.
If the amplifier $A(s)$ has a single-pole characteristic it can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(s)=\frac{A_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Loop gain $T(s)$ is $\beta \cdot A(s)$. Therefore, it can be expressed as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s)=\frac{\beta \cdot A_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The denominator of (5.5) $(1+T(s))$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+T(s)=\frac{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}}+\frac{\beta \cdot A_{0}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}}=\frac{1+\beta \cdot A_{0}-\frac{s}{p_{1}}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}} \\
& =\left(1+\beta \cdot A_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}\left(1+\beta \cdot A_{0}\right)}}{1-\frac{s}{p_{1}}}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the denominator $(1+T(s))$ has pole $\left(p_{1}\right)$ and zero $\left(p_{1}\left(1+\beta \cdot A_{0}\right)\right)$.
With (5.6) and (5.8), the voltage gain of feedback circuit $\left(A_{f}(s)\right)$ is given by

### 5.4 ELIMINATE CAPACITORS

Cx in Figure 35 represents the parasitic capacitance to ground at the output end of the CASCODE stage. This is a high impedance point so the shunt capacitance is especially critical, and careful layout will be required.


Figure 35 Parasitic capacitance to ground at the output end of the CASCODE
stage

The physical implementation of the blocking capacitor (for example, C3a) shown in Figure 35 causes additional Cx which obviously affects the performance of amplifiers. The Figure 36 shows the various transducer gains with different Cx .

The simulation results show that the additional capacitance should be less than 0.001 pF which is not likely to be feasible. Therefore, the blocking capacitors should be removed from the circuit. To remove the capacitors, the initial design has been modified. The modified circuit is shown in the Figure 37.


Figure 36 Transducer gain (dB) with different Cx

Two each stage has two more cascaded CASCODE topologies. Though it causes very large power consumption, it is worth while removing the passive elements, such as capacitors.


Figure 37 Modified circuit to resolve the capacitor and the Transducer gain issues

Adding more RC negative feedback introduced more poles and zeros which caused the peaking at the high frequency. Though it helps extending frequency bandwidth, the amount of peak should be limited not to exceed certain amount (in this amplifier
design, the limit has been set to +1 dB ). To optimize the peaking phenomenon, further study should be done, such as more complicated feedback circuitry, etc.


Figure 38 Transducer gain comparison of dual stages and a single stage

### 5.5 FABRICATION FACTOR (Pad CAPACITANCE)

To meet the desired Transducer gain without the use of blocking capacitors, two more cascades have been added and the whole stage has been extended as shown in Figure 39.

In practice, the pad capacitance is given and cannot be changed. For this reason, it would be better taken account as a factor. Therefore, the Pad capacitance ( $C_{p a d}(0.4 p F)$ ) has been added in the output stage as shown in the Figure 39.


Figure 39 Circuit with a Pad capacitor at the output node
The comparison of the Transducer gains with the Pad capacitance and without Pad capacitance is shown in the Figure 40.

As the Figure 40 shows, the pad capacitance sharply affected the Transducer gain in the higher frequencies. At 10.6 GHz , it made the Transducer gain lower by approximately 1.2 dB which is a great amount in power gain.

Since it degraded the transducer gain mainly in the higher frequencies, it should be taken into account as a known factor for all subsequent simulations.


Figure 40 Transducer gain comparison with and without a Pad capacitor So far, the newly suggested design of the UWB amplifier with minimizing the passive devices, such as resistors and capacitors, proved the achievement of the quality characters shown in the Table 5 (page 72).

### 5.6 ELIMINATE INDUCTORS

In addition to the passive devices introduces previously, there is one more which plays important role in VLSI.


Figure 41 Circuit without inductors

As shown in previous section, inductors help enhancing bandwidth through peaking phenomenon. However, they have a low Q-factor and occupy a lot of chip area. Therefore, in modern VLSI it is highly desirable to limit them.

The Figure 41 shows inductorless circuit and Figure 42 shows the trade-off of the 3 dB bandwidth ( 3 dB bandwidth achievement: 3.1 GHz to 9.9 GHz ), the inductors can be removed successfully.


Figure 42 Transducer gain comparison with and without inductors

### 5.7 FIND THE INPUT IMPEDANCE OF EACH STAGE

In this section, the input impedance of each stage will be analyzed. At first, the impedance of the last stage $\left(Z_{\text {in_4th }}\right)$ with a load resistor $R_{L}$ in Figure 43 will be calculated. Secondly, the $\left(Z_{\text {in }_{-} \text {Ith }}\right)$ will be found by generalizing $\left(Z_{\text {in_ }}\right.$ 4th $)$.


Figure 43 CASCODE total input resistance circuit

### 5.7.1 FIND $Z_{\text {in_nh }}$ with $R_{L}$

The $4^{\text {th }}$ circuit for $Z_{i n \_4}$ ith is shown in the Figure 44. For simplification, the output resistors are neglected. There is no current flow in the $C_{g s M 1 \_4}$. Therefore, the Figure 44 can be simplified as Figure 44.


Figure 44 Small signal circuit of the $4^{\text {th }}$ stage


Figure 45 Simplified circuit of Figure 63

Where $Z_{L}=C_{g d M I_{-}} \| R_{L}$.
At first, the input voltage $v_{i n M 24}$ is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i n M 2_{-} 4}=v_{g s M 2_{-4}}+v_{Z_{L_{-} 4}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

KCL at node $X_{2}$ and $Y_{2}$ gives as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{i n M 2 \_4}=s C_{g d M 2 \_4} \cdot v_{i n M 2 \_4}+s C_{g s M 2 \_4} \cdot v_{g s M 2 \_4}  \tag{5.11}\\
& s C_{g d M 2 \_} \cdot v_{i n M 2 \_4}+g Z_{L} \cdot v_{Z_{L-4}}=g_{m M 2 \_4} \cdot v_{g s M 2 \_4} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

With applying (5.10) to (5.12) gives as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s C_{g d M 24} \cdot v_{i n M 2 \_4}+g Z_{L}\left(v_{i n M 2 \_4}-v_{8 M M 2 \_}\right)=g_{m M 2 \_} \cdot v_{\text {gsM2_4 }} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(s C_{g d M 2 \_4}+g Z_{L}\right) \cdot v_{i n M 2 \_4}=\left(g Z_{L}+g_{m M 2 \_4}\right) \cdot v_{g s M 2 \_4}  \tag{5.13}\\
& \Rightarrow v_{g s M Z_{-} 4}=\frac{\left(s C_{g d M 2 \_}+g Z_{L}\right)}{\left(g Z_{L}+g_{m M 2_{-} 4}\right)} \cdot v_{i n M 2 \_4}
\end{align*}
$$

With applying (5.13) to (5.11) gives the relationship between the input current and the input voltage of the $4^{\text {th }}$ stage as follows.

Therefore, the input impedance of the $4^{\text {th }}$ stage is given as follows.

Where $g Z_{L}=s C_{8 d M I_{-}^{4}}+\frac{1}{R_{L}}$.
From (5.15), it can be extended up to the proposed circuit which has $4^{\text {th }}$ stage as shown below.

When MOSFET operates in saturation region, the capacitances are

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{g^{s}} & =W \cdot C G S O+\frac{2}{3} W \cdot L \cdot C_{o x}  \tag{5.17}\\
C_{g^{d}} & =W \cdot C G D O
\end{align*}
$$

The $Z_{\text {in }}^{4 t h}$ can be simplified as follows.
From (5.17) ${ }^{18}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{g s} & =W \cdot\left(\operatorname{CGSO}+\frac{2}{3} L \cdot C_{o x}\right) \\
& =W \cdot\left(7.9 \times 10^{-10}+\frac{2}{3} \times 0.18 \times 10^{-6} \times 84.2 \times 10^{-6}\right)  \tag{5.18}\\
& =W \cdot\left(7.9 \times 10^{-10}+10.1 \times 10^{-12}\right) \\
& \cong W \cdot\left(7.9 \times 10^{-10}\right)=W \cdot C G S O
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
C_{g s} \cong C_{g d}=\alpha W
$$

Where $\alpha=C G S O=C G D O=7.9 \times 10^{-10}$.
As a result, $Z_{i n}^{4 t h}$ is given

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i n}^{\text {tit }}=\frac{\left(g_{\text {mN2 }}+s \alpha W_{4}+\frac{1}{R_{L}}\right)}{s\left(\alpha W_{4} \cdot \frac{1}{R_{L}}+\alpha W_{4}\left(\frac{1}{R_{L}}+g_{\text {mN2.4 }}\right)\right)+s^{2}\left(\alpha W_{4}\left(\alpha W_{4}+\alpha W_{4}\right)+\alpha W_{4} \cdot \alpha W_{4}\right)} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $R_{L}$ is 50 ohms.
Therefore, generalized input impedances of each stage given in (5.16) can be rearranged as follows
${ }^{18} C_{o x}=\frac{\varepsilon_{o x}}{t_{o x}}=\frac{3.9 \varepsilon_{o}}{t_{o x}}=\frac{3.9 \times 8.854 \times 10^{-14}}{4.1 \times 10^{-9}}=84.2 \times 10^{-6}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i n}^{s t}=\frac{\left(s \alpha W_{1}+g_{m M 2-1}+\frac{1}{Z_{i n}^{2 n d}}\right)}{s^{2} 3 \alpha^{2} W_{1}^{2}+s \alpha W_{I}\left(g_{m M 2-1}+\frac{2}{Z_{i n}^{2 n d}}\right)} \\
& Z_{i n}^{2 n d}=\frac{\left(s \alpha W_{2}+g_{m M 2-2}+\frac{1}{Z_{i n}^{3 n d}}\right)}{s^{2} 3 \alpha^{2} W_{2}^{2}+s \alpha W_{2}\left(g_{m M 22}+\frac{2}{Z_{i n}^{3 n d}}\right)} \\
& Z_{i n}^{3 \text { rd }}=\frac{\left(s \alpha W_{3}+g_{m M 2-3}+\frac{1}{Z_{i n}^{4 h}}\right)}{s^{2} 3 \alpha^{2} W_{3}^{2}+s \alpha W_{3}\left(g_{m M 23}+\frac{2}{Z_{i n}^{4 n h}}\right)} \\
& Z_{i n}^{4 n}=\frac{\left(s \alpha W_{4}+g_{m M 24}+0.02\right)}{s^{2} 3 \alpha^{2} W_{4}^{2}+s \alpha W_{4}\left(g_{m M 2-4}+0.04\right)} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\left.g_{m M 2_{-} 4}\right|_{M I_{-} 4 b 8}=2.24 \times 10^{-2}, W_{4}=55 \mu m$, and $\alpha=7.9 \times 10^{-10}$.
With the values, impedance of each stages are shown below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\text {int }}^{l s t}=\frac{\left(1.264 \times 10^{-53}\right) s^{4}+\left(1.003 \times 10^{-41}\right) s^{3}+\left(2.063 \times 10^{.30}\right) s^{2}+\left(2.065 \times 10^{-19}\right) s+\left(1.097 \times 10^{-8}\right)}{\left(1.764 \times 10^{67}\right) s^{5}+\left(1.299 \times 10^{-55}\right) s^{4}+\left(2.142 \times 10^{-44}\right) s^{3}+\left(1.790 \times 10^{-33}\right) s^{2}+\left(6.930 \times 10^{23}\right) s}(5  \tag{5.21}\\
& Z_{i \pi}^{2, w d}=\frac{\left(4.157 \times 10^{-40}\right) s^{3}+\left(3.003 \times 10^{28}\right) s^{2}+\left(4.433 \times 10^{-17}\right) s+\left(3.235 \times 10^{-6}\right)}{\left(1.001 \times 10^{-53}\right) s^{4}+\left(6.724 \times 10^{-42}\right) s^{3}+\left(7.653 \times 10^{-31}\right) s^{2}+\left(3.557 \times 10^{-20}\right) s} \\
& Z_{\text {in }}^{3 \text { sd }}=\frac{\left(6.693 \times 10^{27}\right) s^{2}+\left(4.272 \times 10^{-15}\right) s+\left(5.427 \times 10^{-4}\right)}{\left(3.417 \times 10^{40}\right) s^{3}+\left(2.131 \times 10^{-28}\right) s^{2}+\left(1.286 \times 10^{-.77}\right) s} \\
& Z_{\text {in }}^{4 i n}=\frac{\left(4.345 \times 10^{-14}\right) s+\left(4.240 \times 10^{-2}\right)}{\left(5.664 \times 10^{-27}\right) s^{2}+\left(2.711 \times 10^{-15}\right) s}
\end{align*}
$$

The impedance graphs are shown in Figure 46. It is shown that the input impedance is increased in each stage.


Figure 46 Input Impedance Graphs of each stage

## CHAPTER 6

## OPTIMIZING UWB AMPLIFIER

In this section, the actual results of optimizing will be represented. At first, optimizing of the initial layout will be shown. Secondly, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase with feedback topologies will be shown. Finally, the final inductorless stage will be presented.

### 6.1 OPTIMIZING INITIAL STAGE



Figure 47 Initial layout
The followings will show the optimization of the initial layout shown in Figure 47. Voltages, width of MOSFETs, and the resistances include the input and output resistance will be represented.


Figure 48 VGla optimization


Figure 49 VG1b optimization
From Figure 48, VG1a effects on the overall transducer gain (Gt) of the amplifier.
The highest value is 5 Vdc .

The transducer gain (Gt) is almost same with 0.8 and 1 Vdc . With 1 Vdc , the power consumption is very high. Therefore, 0.8 Vdc is selected.


Figure 50 V7 optimization
The transducer gain (Gt) variations are almost same with different V7 and V8
(from 1 Vdc to 5 Vdc ). Later, these voltages will be eliminated with blocking capacitors.


Figure 51 V8 optimization


Figure 52 V9 optimization

Unlike previous bias voltages, the V9 shown in Figure 52 affects on the high frequency region very much.


Figure 53 V10 optimization
The bias voltage, V10, affects on the overall the transducer gain.
In addition, these bias voltages remarkably change the drain current.


Figure 54 M1-1 width optimization
The width of M1-1 MOSFET affects on the transducer gain (Gt) and the frequency response. An optimized value has been selected with considering the flatness, Gt , power consumption, and the frequency response.

Figure 55 shows that the width of M1-2 affects on the high frequency region frequency response also, the power consumption should be considered for optimization.


Figure 55 M1-2 width optimization


Figure 56 M1-3 width optimization

The width of M1-3 MOSFET affects on the mid-band gain. A best value should be selected with considering the 3 dB bandwidth criteria.


Figure 57 Rd optimization
The Rd affects on the overall transducer gain (Gt) with frequency response. Since it directly affects on the power consumption, proper optimization should be done.


Figure 58 Input resistance


Figure 59 Output resistance
The input resistance shown in Figure 58 mainly affects on the high frequency region frequency response.

Unlikely the input resistance, the output resistance (Figure 59) affects on the overall transducer gain (Gt). This simulation result shows the difficulties to obtain desirable transducer gain (Gt) with 50 ohm output resistance.

### 6.2 OPTIMIZING $2^{\text {nd }}$ PHASE WITH FEEDBACK TOPOLOGIES

In this section, the optimization with feedback topologies will be shown to achieve 3 dB bandwidth with eliminating blocking capacitors.


Figure $602^{\text {nd }}$ phase layout
Figure 60 shows the $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase layout. This layout shows the elimination of blocking capacitors and feedback topologies to achieve 3 dB bandwidth.


Figure 61 VG1a optimization


Figure 62 VG1b optimization
VG1a (Figure 61) and VG1b (Figure 62) affect on the overall transducer gain (Gt).
Comparing with the VG1a of the initial layout, this graph shows the remarkable improve of frequency response and transducer gain (Gt) with 3 dB bandwidth


Figure 63 V3 optimization


Figure 64 V4 optimization


Figure 65 V5 optimization


Figure 66 V6 optimization


Figure 67 V7 optimization


Figure 68 V8 optimization


Figure 69 V9 optimization


Figure 70 V10 optimization


Figure 71 V11 optimization


Figure 72 V12 optimization

Table 6 Optimization table of each bias voltage (CG)

| Bias <br> Voltage | Effects | Best Values | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V3 | Transducer gain (Gt) and | 5 Vdc |  |
| V4 | frequency response |  |  |
| V5 | Transducer gain (Gt) | 4 and 5 Vdc | * |
| V6 |  | 3,4 and 5 Vdc | * |
| V7 |  | 2, 3, 4 and 5 Vdc | * |
| V8 | Transducer gain (Gt) and frequency response | 5 Vdc |  |
| V9 | Transducer gain (Gt) |  |  |
| V10 |  | 4 and 5 Vdc | * |
| V11 | Transducer gain (Gt) | 3,4 and 5 Vdc | * |
| V12 |  | 2, 3, 4 and 5 Vdc | * |

* The lower voltages give lower power consumption. However, using different voltages make the circuit complex. This is a trade-off.

Subsequent graphs show the effect of the bias voltages (from V13 to V20) of the common-source amplifiers of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase amplifier.


Figure 73 V13 optimization


Figure 74 V14 optimization


Figure 75 V15 optimization


Figure 76 V16 optimization


Figure 77 V17 optimization


Figure 78 V18 optimization


Figure 79 V19 optimization


Figure 80 V20 optimization

Table 7 Optimization table of each bias voltage (CS)

| Bias <br> Voltage | Effects | Best Values | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V13 | Frequency response of high frequency region | $0.7 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V14 |  | $0.6 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V15 |  | $0.7 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V16 | Transducer gain (Gt) |  | * |
| V17 | Frequency response of high frequency region | $0.6 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V18 |  | $0.7 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V19 |  | $0.8 \sim 1.0 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | * |
| V20 | Transducer gain (Gt) |  | * |

* The lower voltages give lower power consumption. However, using different voltages make the circuit complex. This is a trade-off.

Table 7 shows the effects of each bias voltage of the CS amplifiers of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase. Some of them affect on the transducer gain (Gt) and others on the frequency response.

Subsequent graphs show the effect of the MOSFETs width of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase amplifier.

The comparisons are shown in the Table 8.


Figure 81 M1-1a \& M1-1b MOSFET width optimization


Figure 82 M1-2a and M1-2b MOSFET width optimization


Figure 83 M1-3a and M1-3b MOSFET width optimization


Figure 84 M1-4a8 \& M1-4b8 MOSFET width optimization


Figure 85 M1-1a1 \& M1-1b1 MOSFET width optimization


Figure 86 M1-2al \& M1-2b1 MOSFET width optimization


Figure 87 M1-3a1 \& M1-3b1 MOSFET width optimization


Figure 88 M1-4al \& M1-4b1 width optimization


Figure 89 M1-4a2 \& M1-4b2 MOSFET width optimization

Table 8 MOSFET width effects comparison table

| MOSFET | Effects | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width |  |  |
| M1-1a \& | Overall transducer gain (Gt). |  |
| M1-1b | Smaller values give better frequency response. |  |


|  <br> M1-4b8 | Larger values give better transducer gain (Gt) and frequency response. However, power consumption increases. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { M1-1a1 \& } \\ \text { M1-1b1 } \end{gathered}$ | Overall transducer gain (Gt). <br> Smaller values give better frequency response. | Similar to M1- <br> 1a \& M1-1b |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { M1-2a1 \& } \\ \text { M1-2b1 } \end{gathered}$ | Frequency response of high frequency region. <br> Larger value cause peaking. | Similar to M1- <br> 2a \& M1-2b |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { M1-3a1 \& } \\ \text { M1-3b1 } \end{gathered}$ | Smaller values give better frequency response. | Similar to M1- <br> 3a \& M1-3b |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { M1-4a1 \& } \\ \text { M1-4b1 } \end{gathered}$ | Some Smaller values give better frequency response at high frequency region. | Similar to M1$4 \mathrm{a} \& \mathrm{M} 1-4 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { M1-4a2 \& } \\ \text { M1-4b2 } \end{gathered}$ | Larger values give better transducer gain (Gt) and frequency response. However, power consumption increases. | Similar to M1- <br> 4 a 8 \& M1-4b8 |

Based on the simulation results, wider width does not give better performance always. Sometimes, shorter width gives better performance.

Subsequent graphs will show the effects of Rd, feedback resistances, input, and output resistances.


Figure 90 Rx optimization


Figure 91 Rx1 optimization


Figure 92 Rfb 1 optimization


Figure 93 Rfb2 optimization


Figure 94 Rin optimization


Figure 95 Rout optimization

Table 9 Resistances effect comparison table

| Resistances | Effects | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx \& Rx1 | These resistance affect the overall <br> transducer gain (Gt). Lower values give <br> better frequency response. |  |
| Rfb1 \& Rfb2 | Higher values give better flatness. <br> However, power consumption increases. |  |
| Rout | Lower resistance gives better transducer <br> gain (Gt) and frequency response. Higher <br> resistance causes distortion of the |  |

### 6.3 OPTIMIZING PROPOSED INDUCTORLESS LAYOUT

In this section, optimizations of the proposed inductorless layout will be represented. As shown previously, transducer gain (Gt) and frequency response will be analyzed with different values of each component.


Figure 96 Proposed inductorless layout
Figure 96 shows the proposed inductorless layout. At first, the effects of voltages will be analyzed. Secondly, the effects of each MOSFET's width will be analyzed. Finally, the effects of resistances will be analyzed.

The comparisons will be shown in the Table 10.


Figure 97 VG1a optimization


Figure 98 VG2a optimization
Only 1 Vdc of VG1a and VG2a is possible one.


Figure 99 VG1b optimization


Figure 100 VG2b optimization
Optimum value of VG1b and VG2b will be between 2 Vdc and 3 Vdc .

Table 10 Bias voltage comparison table

| Voltages | Effects | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VG1a \& VG2a | Only 1 Vdc is working as a bias voltage. |  |
| VG1b \& VG2b | Optimum value will be between 2 Vdc |  |
|  | and 3 Vdc. |  |

Based on the simulation results, only 1 Vdc will be working for VG1a and VG2a and the optimum value of VG1b and VG2b is between 2 Vdc and 3 Vdc .


Figure 101 V3 optimization
Only 5 Vdc is working supply voltage of M1-1b.


Figure 102 V4 optimization


Figure 103 V5 optimization
3,4 , and 5 Vdc will be possible for V 4 and $2,3,4$, and 5 Vdc will be possible for V5.


Figure 104 V6 optimization


Figure 105 V7 optimization
V6 and V7 affect the transducer gain (Gt). The higher value gives higher transducer gain (Gt).


Figure 106 V8 optimization


Figure 107 V9 optimization
V8, V9, V10 (Figure 108) affect almost the same as V3, V4, V5 each other.


Figure 108 V10 optimization


Figure 109 V11 optimization
V11 and V12 (Figure 110) affect the same as V6 and V7. The higher value gives higher transducer gain (Gt).


Figure 110 V12 optimization
Table 11 Drain voltage comparison table

| Drain | Effects | Best Values | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Voltage |  |  |  |
| V3 \& V8 | Only 1 Vdc will be working. | 1.0 Vdc |  |
| V4 \& V9 | The transducer gain (Gt) is not <br> really changing with certain values. | $3 \sim 5 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |
| V5 \& V10 | The transducer gain (Gt) is not <br> really changing with certain values. | $2 \sim 5 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |
| V6, V7 and | Affects the overall transducer gain <br> (Gt). The higher value gives higher <br> transducer gain (Gt). |  |  |

* The lower voltages give lower power consumption. However, using different voltages make the circuit complex. This is a trade-off.

Subsequent graphs show the effect of each bias voltage of the CS amplifiers.


Figure 111 V13 optimization
Higher values of V13, V14 (Figure 112), and V15 (Figure 113) are not working. The optimum values are between 0.6 and 0.8 Vdc .


Figure 112 V14 optimization


Figure 113 V15 optimization
$0.6,0.7$, and 0.8 Vdc are the optimum values of V14 and V15.


Figure 114 V16 optimization
0.7 and 0.8 Vdc are the optimum voltages of V16.


Figure 115 V17 optimization
$0.6,0.7$, and 0.8 Vdc are the optimum voltages of V17.


Figure 116 V18 optimization


Figure 117 V19 optimization
0.7 and 0.8 Vdc are the best values of V18 and V19.


Figure 118 V20 optimization
0.7 and 0.8 Vdc are the best values of V20.

Table 12 Bias voltage of CS comparison table

| Bias Voltage | Effects | Best Values | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> V15,V17,V18 | Higher values give worse <br> transducer gain (Gt). | $0.6 \sim 0.8 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |
| V16 | 0.7 Vdc gives higher transducer <br> gain (Gt) whereas 0.8 Vdc gives <br> better flatness. | $0.7 \& 0.8 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |
| V19 | 0.7 Vdc gives the highest <br> transducer gain (Gt) and frequency <br> response. | $0.7 \& 0.8 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |
| V20 | 0.7 Vdc and 0.8 Vdc give almost | $0.7 \& 0.8 \mathrm{Vdc}$ | $*$ |


|  | the same performance of <br> transducer gain (Gt) and frequency <br> response. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |

* The lower voltages give lower power consumption. However, using different voltages make the circuit complex. This is a trade-off.

Subsequent graphs show the effect of width of each MOSFETs.


Figure 119 Width of M1-1a \& M1-1b MOSFET optimization


Figure 120 Width of M1-2a \& M1-2b MOSFET optimization


Figure 121 Width of M1-3a \& M1-3b MOSFET optimization
Lower width of M1-2a, M1-2b, M1-3a, and M1-3b give better frequency response in high frequency region.


Figure 122 Width of M1-4a \& M1-4b MOSFET optimization
Higher width gives better frequency response. However, causes peaking.


Figure 123 Width of M1-4a8 \& M1-4b8 MOSFET optimization
Higher width affects overall transducer gain (Gt) with frequency response.


Figure 124 Width of M1-1a1 \& M1-1b1 MOSFET optimization


Figure 125 Width of M1-2a1 \& M1-2b1 MOSFET optimization
Lower width of M1-2a1, M1-2b1, M1-3a1, and M1-3b1 (Figure 126) give better frequency response in high frequency region.


Figure 126 Width of M1-3a1 \& M1-3b1 MOSFET optimization


Figure 127 Width of M1-4a1 \& M1-4b1 MOSFET optimization
Higher width gives better frequency response. However, causes peaking.


Figure 128 Width of M1-4a2 \& M1-4b2 MOSFET optimization
Higher width affects overall transducer gain (Gt) with frequency response.
Table 13 MOSFET width effects comparison table

| MOSFET | Effects | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Width |  |  |
| M1-1a, M1-1b, | Optimized value will be between $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| M1-1a1, \& | and $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. |  |
| M1-1b1 |  |  |
| M1-2a, M1-2b, | Lower width gives better frequency |  |
| M1-3a, M1-3b, | response in high frequency region. |  |
| M1-2a1, M1- |  |  |
| $2 b 1$, M1-3a1, |  |  |
| \& M1-3b1 |  |  |


| M1-4a, M1-4b, | Higher width gives better frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1 4a1, \& | response in high frequency region. |  |
| M1-4b1 | However, best value has to be selected not <br> too exceed the peaking criteria. |  |
| M1-4a8, M1- | Higher width gives better overall |  |
| 4 b 8, M1-4a2, | transducer gain (Gt). |  |
| \& M1-4b2 |  |  |

Based on the simulation results, wider width does not give better performance always. Sometimes, shorter width gives better performance.

Subsequent graphs will show the effects of Rd, feedback resistances, input, and output resistances.

Rd1 and Rd2 affect the overall transducer gain (Gt) and optimum values are between $600 \sim 800$ ohms.


Figure 129 Rd1 optimization


Figure 130 Rd2 optimization


Figure 131 Rfb 1 optimization


Figure 132 Rfb2 optimization
Higher values give better transducer gain (Gt).


Figure 133 Rin optimization


Figure 134 Rout optimization
Lower input resistance (Figure 133) gives better transducer gain (Gt). Higher output resistance give better transducer gain (Gt).

Table 14 Resistances effect comparison table

| Resistances | Effects | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rd1 \& Rd2 | These resistance affect the overall <br> transducer gain (Gt). Higher values give <br> better transducer gain (Gt). |  |
| Rfb1 \& Rfb2 | Higher values give better transducer gain <br> (Gt) with better flatness. |  |
| Rin | Lower resistance gives better transducer <br> gain (Gt) and frequency response. Higher <br> resistance causes distortion of the <br> transducer gain (Gt) |  |
|  | Unlike the input resistance, the output <br> resistance affects on the overall <br> transducer gain (Gt). This simulation <br> results show the difficulties of achieving <br> high transducer gain with 50 ohm <br> resistance. |  |

## CHAPTER 7

## PROPOSED UWB AMPLIFIER QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS MEASUREMENTS

So far the optimizations of the proposed UWB amplifier have been shown. With couple of trade-offs, quite acceptable results are achieved. In this section, the quality characteristics shown below will be measured.

- Transducer Gain (dB) - already shown in section 5.4
- Noise Figure (dB)
- 1 dB Compressed Power (P1dB)
- IP3 Point


### 7.1 NOISE FIGURE (dB)

Figure 135 shows the Noise Figure (dB) of the two different designs. It shows that the circuit which does have inductors has better Noise Figure (dB); however, the Noise Figure is substantially higher than presented in [2] and [5].

The circuit which has inductors has better Noise Figure (dB) by a margin of 1.6 dB to 2.2 dB .


Figure 135 Noise Figure (dB) comparison with and without inductors

### 7.21 dB COMPRESSED POWER POINT (P1dB)

In this section, 1 dB compressed power point which is defined as the input power causes 1 dB drop in a linear gain due to its saturation will be shown.

The 1 dB compressed power comparison graph of the circuits with inductors and without inductors is shown in the Figure 136.


Figure 136 P 1 dB comparison with and without inductors

The Figure 136 shows that the amplifier with inductors has higher compressed power with higher Transducer gain (dB).

### 7.3 IP3 POINT

The next important characteristic of measuring the linearity of amplifiers is the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Intermodulation Product.

The Figure 137 shows the IP3 Point of the amplifier with inductors and without inductors.


Figure 137 IP3 comparison with and without inductors

## CHAPTER 8

## CONCLUSIONS

A new design with the TSMC $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET UWB amplifier is proposed and simulated. To satisfy the UWB requirements, multiple cascode stages cascaded with common drain stages has been used with feedback topologies. The Cadence OrCAD Capture simulation tool has been used.

Passive devices (resistors, capacitors, and inductors) play major role in RF circuits with active devices. Particularly, capacitors and inductors are critical components in the amplifiers. A newly proposed design without using inductors has been suggested. There are some trade-offs between the two designs with and without inductors. Also, the parasitic capacitance to ground of the blocking capacitors is a serious issue, and this thesis shown circuits that eliminate these blocking caps.

Though the proposed amplifier without using inductors has some disadvantages versus the other one, in many applications the reduced fabrication complexity ad area may make the trade-off worthwhile.

Different feedback topologies will be shown in Appendix C. Noise Figure has been improved with these new topologies. Though it has disadvantage of the number of supply voltage, it can be the right amplifier for UWB receiver. The next step will be optimizing these new topologies.
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## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## MOSFET ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS ${ }^{19}$

## A. $1 \quad 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET



[^13]
## A. $2 \quad 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET

SPICF $3 f 5$ Leval 8, Stax-HBPICB Level 49, UTMOST Level 8


## APPENDIX B

## DESIGNING WITH $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETS

Previous work had been done with TSMC $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs which was the most current technology and shown the promising results for UWB applications.

In this section, the possibility of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs for UWB amplifier will be shown. The main characteristics will be compared with $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs $^{20}$.

Initially, the $1^{\text {st }}$ stage of $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ design was used as a basic platform. It was much harder to have the desired outputs with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs.

The design looks the same as $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs. However, all the values are different to obtain desired outputs.


Figure A-1 Basic circuit with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs

[^14]
## B. 1 STAGE EXTENSION

Unlikely $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs, it requires one more stage which makes it total 3 stages as shown in Figure A-2.

To increase the transducer gain $\left(G_{t}\right)$, additional $3^{\text {rd }}$ stage has been added. The schematic is shown below. The simulation results are shown in section A-2.2.


Figure A- 2 UWB amplifier with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs

## B. 2 SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, the transducer gain ( $G_{t} \mathrm{~dB}$ ), and the noise figure ( dB ) of $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ will be presented. In addition, the P 1 dB will be shown.

## B.2.1 TRANSDUCER GAIN ( $\left.G_{t} \mathrm{DB}\right)$



Figure A- 3 Transducer gain comparison graph
$0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier gives higher overall transducer gain. However, above $10 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier gives higher gain than $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ one. Especially in the $10.6 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier gives 7.8 dB whereas $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ one gives 4.9 dB.

## B.2.2 NOISE FIGURE (dB)



Figure A- 4 Noise Figure (dB) comparison graph

As shown above, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier has lower overall Noise figure (dB). However, above $9.954 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier gives lower noise figure than $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ amplifier. At the $10.6 \mathrm{GHz}, 0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier gives 10.62 dB whereas $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET gives 11.49 dB .

## B.2.3 1 dB COMPRESSED POWER (P1dB)



Figure A- 5 P1dB of $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier


Figure A- 6 P1dB of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier

Not like the previous compared results, P 1 dB are the same between $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifiers.

The main purpose of the design with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs was checking the possibilities if it was feasible for UWB applications. As the results shown, the overall performances looked fine. However, above 10 GHz , its performances were must worse than $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFETs. One of the main reasons was the channel length which was shown in section 3.6.1.1. Other than the test results, the power consumption of the $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ MOSFET amplifier is approximately 400 mWatts which is not practical for telecommunication applications.

Though it has main degradations above 10 GHz , there are some rooms to enhance its performances mainly through the feedback topologies which will be shown in the next section.

## APPENDIX C

## OTHER FEEDBACK TOPOLOGIES

In the main sections, the feedback topology with a serial R-C circuit has been used. In this annex, how a different feedback topology makes an effect on the performances will be shown mainly for the Noise figure ( dB ).

Previously R-C series-connected feedback topology has been utilized. In this section, R-C parallel-connected feedback topology with a little bit different schematics will be used and compared with.

## C. 1 SCHEMATICS WITH R-C PARALLEL-CONNECTED FEEDBACKS



Figure A-7 Schematic with R-C parallel-connected feedbacks

The major changes of this circuit are the feedback topologies. As shown in Figure A-7, instead of R-C series-connected, R-C parallel-connected topologies are used. By using these topologies, the number of stages can be reduced. The other characteristics will be shown in the latter sections.

## C. 2 TRANSDUCER GAINS $\left(G_{t} \mathrm{~dB}\right)$



Figure A- 8 Transducer gains ( $G_{l} \mathrm{~dB}$ ) with different feedback resistances and capacitances.

Figure A-8 shows the changes of Transducer gains with different feedback resistances and capacitances. The amplifier does not work until the feedback capacitance is 0.01 nF (Figure A-8 (a)). The frequency response is getting better with decreasing the feedback capacitances until 0.001 pF (Figure A-8 (d)). The frequency response can be improved a little bit by peaking phenomena with 0.001 pF (Figure A-8 (d)) versus 0.01 pF (Figure A-8 (c)).

From the simulation result, the optimized feedback resistance is 250 Ohm. With this feedback resistance, Transducer gains with different feedback capacitances will be shown in Figure A-9.


Figure A- 9 Transducer gain $\left(G_{t} \mathrm{~dB}\right)$ with different feedback capacitances with a fixed feedback resistance ( 250 Ohm )

Figure A-9 shows the Transducer gains ( $G_{t} \mathrm{~dB}$ ) with different feedback capacitances with a fixed feedback resistance 250 Ohm which is found from previous simulations. The frequency response was the best with 0.001 pF .

## C. 3 NOISE FIGURE (NF dB)



Figure A-10 Noise figure (dB) variations with different feedback resistances

Figure A-10 shows the Noise figure variations with different feedback resistances from 100 Ohms to 1 kOhms with a fixed feedback capacitance 0.001 pF . It shows that the higher feedback resistance, the lower noise figure can be achieved.

With the previous Transducer gain results, the optimized feedback resistance would be 300 Ohms with the capacitance 0.001 pF .

## APPENDIX D <br> CONCLUSIONS OF THE ANOTHER FEEDBACK TOPOLOGIES

In this Annex, a different feedback topology has been shown and analyzed. One of the main advantages of this new approach is the lower Noise figure which is the most important factor in a receiver. In addition, another advantage is the number of elements. The numbers of MOSFETs are decreased from 20 MOSFETs to 14 MOSFETs. The approximate power consumption of this new design is 89.8 mWatts which is about the same as the previous design ( 92.8 mWatts ). The disadvantage of this new design is using two different drain voltages of 5 V and 3 V whereas the previous design uses one drain voltage of 5 V .

This new design with R-C parallel-connected topology gives very promising results. In future, more optimizations should be done to have better performances to meet UWB applications.
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