Brucella abortus and Brucella melitensis in Iranian Bovine and Buffalo Semen Samples: The First Clinical Trial on Seasonal, Senile and Geographical Distribution Using Culture, Conventional and Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction Assays^[1]

Farhad SAFARPOOR DEHKORDI¹ Faham KHAMESIPOUR¹ Manouchehr MOMENI¹

^[1] This work was supported by the Islamic Azad University, (Shahrekord Branch, Iran, grant 90/9025)

¹ Young Researchers and Elite Club, Shahrekord Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shahrekord Branch, Shahrekord, IRAN

Article Code: KVFD-2014-10827 Received: 16.01.2014 Accepted: 27.03.2014 Published Online: 16.04.2014

Abstract

Conventional and real-time PCR assays were developed for detection and identification of *Brucella* species in bovine and buffalo semen samples. Totally, 91 bovine and buffalo semen samples were collected from 4 major provinces of Iran in various seasons. The animals which their semen samples were collected for this study had less than 1 year old, 1-2 years old, 2-3 years old and more than 3 years old. Samples were cultured and DNA was extracted and novel primers have been designed using the IS711 target of *Brucella* species for conventional PCR. Positive results of PCR have been studied for presences of *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis*. Totally, 21.56% and 14.28% of bovine and buffalo semen samples, respectively, were positive for *Brucella* species. Khozestan had the highest while Sistan Va Balochestan had the lowest incidences of *Brucella* species in studied regions. Samples which were collected in spring season had the highest rate of infection. Also, samples which were collected from less than 1 year old bovine and buffalo semen samples had the highest incidence of *Brucella* species. Totally, 25 (24.50%) and 4 (3.92%) bovine semen samples and 14 (15.38%) and 1 (1.09%) buffalo semen samples were positive for *Brucella abortus* and *Brucella melitensis*.

Keywords: Brucella abortus, Brucella melitensis, Seasonal distribution, Senile distribution, Geographical distribution, Semen, Iran

İran'da Sığır ve Yaban Sığırları Semen Örneklerinde Brucella abortus ve Brucella melitensis: Kültür, Konvansiyonel ve Real-time Polimeraz Zincir Reaksiyonu Kullanılarak Mevsimsel, Yaşa Bağlı ve Bölgesel Dağılımı Üzerine İlk Klinik Çalışma

Özet

Sığır ve yaban sığırlarının semen örneklerinde Brusella türlerinin tespit ve tanımlanması amacıyla konvansiyonel yöntemler ve Gerçek Zamanlı Zincirleme Polimeraz Reaksiyonu kullanıldı. İran'da değişik mevsimlerde 4 ana bölgeden toplam 91 adet sığır ve yaban sığırı semen örnekleri toplandı. Semen örneklerinin toplandığı hayvanlar 1 yaş, 1-2 yaş, 2-3 yaş ve 3 yaş üzeri olarak gruplandırıldı. Örneklerden kültür hazırlandı, konvansiyonel PCR amacıyla DNA ekstraksiyonu yapılarak Brusella türleri için IS711 kullanılarak pimerler dizayn edildi. Pozitif sonuç veren örnekler *Brucella abortus* ve *Brucella melitensis* yönünden incelendi. Sığırların %21.56'sında yaban sığırların %14.28'inde Brusella tespit edildi. Çalışılan bölgeler arasında Khozestan en yüksek Sistan Va Balochestan ise en düşük Brusella oranına sahipti. İlkbaharda toplanan örneklerde oran en yüksek olarak bulundu. En yüksek Brusella oranı 1 yaşın altındaki hayvanlardan toplanan semen örneklerinde tespit edildi. *Brucella abortus* 25 sığırda (%24.50) ve 14 yaban sığırında (%15,38) *Brucella melitensis* ise 4 sığırda (%3.92) ve 1 yaban sığırında (%1.09) belirlendi.

Anahtar sözcükler: Brucella abortus, Brucella melitensis, Mevsimsel dağılım, Yaşa bağlı dağılım, Bölgesel Yayılım, Semen, İran

iletişim (Correspondence) ألم

+98 913 2805063

momeniman@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a highly contagious zoonotic bacterial disease of human and many species of animals worldwide caused by gram-negative, aerobic and facultative intracellular bacterium of the genus *Brucella*. It is an important public health problem in many parts of the worlds, such as the Mediterranean littoral, the Middle East and parts of Latin America ^[1]. *Brucella* species are classically classified into 6 main species 2 of which are *Brucella abortus (B. abortus)* and *Brucella melitensis (B. melitensis)* cause abortions in ruminants ^[2].

In some countries, especially in Europe and Asia (including Iran), where animals like camelids and buffaloes are kept in close contact with infected sheep, goat and cattle, infections and abortions can also be caused by *B. melitensis* and *B. abortus*^[3,4].

In Iran, B. abortus was first isolated from a bovine fetus in 1944^[5], and *B. melitensis* was first isolated from a sheep in Isfahan Province in 1952 [6]; brucellosis has been reported from various parts of Iran ever since. In the majority of cases of brucellosis in Iran, B. abortus and B. melitensis are the main pathogens. Artificial insemination is used to induce fertility in livestock in Iran and other sites of the world. Therefore, the quality and hygiene of semen samples should be considered. There are various assays for diagnosis of brucellosis such as culture, serological and molecular methods. Culture methods require a living host and are both time consuming and hazardous ^[7]. Previous study showed the low accuracy of serological methods for detection of brucellosis ^[8]. The usual method for detection and segregation of Brucella spp. is based on phenotypic traits, but it is associated with a high risk of laboratoryacquired infections and very time consuming ^[7].

Therefore, in order to avoid these problems and despite the high degrees of genetic similarity of *Brucella* spp., several conventional and real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) assays that are easier, faster, safer and more accurate than traditional methods have been developed ^[9,10].

It seems that several risk factors like seasons, age and geographical area have an effective role in epidemiology and prevalence of *Breucella* spp. in animal hosts ^[11,12] but they are unknown in Iran. Therefore, this present investigation was carried out in order to study the seasonal, senile and geographical distribution of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples and identification of *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* in positive cases.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Samples

From January 2011 to January 2012 (in various seasons of the year), a total of 102 bovine and 91 buffalo semen

samples were collected randomly from 43 commercial herds in various parts of Iran (Table 1). Those were commercial herds producing semen. The animals from which semen samples were collected for this study were clinically healthy and were classified into 4 age groups (less than 1 year old, 1-2 years old, 2-3 years old and over 2 years old) (animal age has been obtained from the history taking). All of these animals have been classified into two groups (with and without histories of orchitis). From each animal, 10 ml of semen were collected with using an artificial vagina. All semen samples showed normal physical characteristics including color and density. All samples were collected under sterile hygienic conditions and were immediately transported at 4°C to the laboratory in a cooler with ice packs. All of them were cultured and then the remaining semen samples were kept at -20°C until processing.

Brucella Culture Method

A trial of bacterial isolation from the samples was performed on blood agar base (Oxoid) supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep erythrocytes and antibiotics (vancomycin, nalidixic acid, bacitracin, nystatin and cycloheximide at the dose recommended in the OIE manual, 2000). Cultures were incubated for 10 days with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. The isolated bacteria were identified according to the conventional procedures ^[13].

DNA Extraction

For *Brucella* DNA extraction, previous method that was introduced by Consuelo Vanegas et al.^[14] was used. Purification of DNA was achieved using a genomic DNA purification kit (Fermentas GmbH, St. Leon-Rot, Germany), and the total DNA was measured at an optical density of 260 nm according to the method described by Sambrook and Russell ^[15].

Primer Designs and Conventional PCR

In the present study, the conventional PCR assay has been designed by the authors. This PCR to screen the Brucella spp. detected the DNA sequence of the gene coding the IS711 target reported for Brucella in the GenBank database of the National Center For Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (GenBank No: AF242533.1). In order to design primers, recorded sequences of the IS711 target have been gotten from the NCBI. The CLS sequence viewer software (Version 6/4) has been used for alignments of the IS711 target. Forward and reverse primers have been designed based on the protected area in these sequences. Thermodynamic properties of designed primers were studied using the Gene Runner software (Version 3.05). In order to ensure the specificity of designed primers, the Basic Logical Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) service, has been used. The forward primer sequence was 5'-GCGGTCAATGTTTTCTCGCA-3', and the reverse primer sequence was 5'-TGGGGCATGTCATTGCTGAT-3'.

All of the semen samples were analyzed for presence of *Brucella* using the novel conventional PCR assay. The PCR reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 μ l containing 10 μ l DNA concentrated in 2 μ l of DNA sample, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 0.8 μ M each primers and 0.5 U/reaction of Taq DNA polymerase. Reactions were initiated at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 50 sec, 57°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min, with a final hold at 4°C in a DNA thermal cycler (Mastercycler Gradient, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). A negative control (sterile water), and a positive control DNA from *B. abortus* strain S19 (S19 vaccine strain) (Razi Institute, Karaj, Iran), were included in each amplification run.

Gel Electrophoresis

The PCR-amplified products (IS711: 223 bp) were examined by electrophoresis (120 V/208 mA) in a 1.5% agarose gel, stained with a solution of ethidium bromide (0.004 μ g/ml) and examined under UV illumination.

Real-Time PCR

The real-time PCR for species segregation was based on unique genetic loci of B. melitensis and B. abortus. The primer set (which was designed by the author) consisted of BMEII0466 (5'-TCGCATCGGCAGTTTCAA/CCAGCTTTT GGCCTTTTCC-3') (112 bp) with the Cy5-CCTCGGCATGG CCCGCAA-BHQ-2 (5'Fluorophore→3'Quencher) internal probe for B. abortus and BruAb2-0168 (5'-GCACACT CACCTTCCACAACAA/CCCCGTTCTGCACCAGACT-3')(222bp) with the FAM-TGGAACGACCTTTGCAGGCGAGATC-BHQ-1 internal probe for B. melitensis. In this study, the starting quantity of DNA from each serial dilution was plotted as a function of threshold cycle (CT) values to obtain a standard curve. On the other hand, the CT is defined as the fractional cycle number at which the fluorescence passes the fixed threshold. All of the positive samples on conventional PCR assays were studied for presences of B. abortus, B. melitensis, both bacteria and other species of Brucella.

A typical 25 µl reaction contained 12.5 µl TaqMan[®] Universal PCR Master Mix (Foodproof[®] Brucella Detection Kit), a 300 nM concentration of each forward and reverse primer (Bioneer Corporation, Daejeon, South Korea), a 200 nM concentration of the probe (Bioneer Corporation, Daejeon, South Korea), and 2.5 ng of sample DNA. TaqMan Master Mix real-time PCR reactions were carried out using a Rotor-Gene 6000 instrument (Corbett Research, Mortlake, NSW, Australia). The reaction mixture was initially incubated for 10 min at 95°C. Amplification was performed with 45 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 20 sec, annealing and extension at 62°C for 1 min. In this reaction, the Foodproof[®] Brucella Internal Control (White cap) and Foodproof[®] Brucella Control Template (Purple cap) were used as an internal and positive control, respectively.

Sequencing

In order to confirm the PCR results, a sequencing method was used. For this reason, PCR products of some positive samples were purified with a High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. Single DNA strands were sequenced with an ABI 3730 XL device and Sanger sequencing method (Macrogen, Seoul, South Korea). The sequence of each gene was aligned with the gene sequences recorded in the GenBank database on the NCBI.

Statistical Analysis

Data were transferred to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) for analysis. Using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 18.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test analyses were performed for study the differences between incidence of bacteria in various seasons and various ages, and differences were considered significant at values of P<0.05. In this study, the distribution of CT values was compared between bovine and buffalo semen samples using an ANOVA test.

RESULTS

The results of this present study showed that 22 out of 102 bovine semen samples (21.56%) and 13 out of 91 buffalo semen samples (14.28%) were positive for *Brucella* spp. *Table 1* presents the seasonal distribution of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples collected from various geographical regions.

Table 2 presents the senile distribution of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples collected from various geographical regions.

Totally, 25 bovine (24.50%) and 17 buffalo (18.68%) of samples had the typical 223 bp fragment in gel electrophoresis (*Fig. 1*) which were positive for *Brucella* spp. positive conventional PCR results were studied using Taqman real-time PCR. Totally, 25 out of 102 (24.50%) and 4 out of 102 (3.92%) bovine semen samples were positive for *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*, respectively. Also, 14 out of 91 (15.38%) and 1 out of 91 (1.09%) buffalo semen samples were positive for *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*, respectively. Results showed that only a bovine semen sample were positive for presence of both *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*. Also, 2 bovine semen samples (1.96%) and 2 buffalo semen samples (2.19%) were positive for other species of *Brucella* (*Table 3*).

The sensitivity and specificity of our novel primers for detection of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples were 100% and 96% and 100% and 94%, respectively (*Table 4*).

Table 1. Seasonal distribution of Brucella spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples collected from various geographical regions Tablo 1. Değişik bölgelerdeki sığır ve yaban sığırlarından toplanan semen örneklerinde Brucella spp. mevsimsel dağılımı												
	No. of Samples		Culture Positive (%)		Seasonal Distribution (%)							
Provinces	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo	Summer		Autumn		Winter		Spring	
					Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo
Khozestan	30	29	9 (30)	6 (20.68)	-	1 (16.66)*	2 (22.22)	2 (33.33)	1 (11.11)	-	6 (66.66)	3 (50)
Boshehr	27	21	6 (22.22)	3 (14.28)	1 16.66)	-	1 (16.66)	1 (33.33)	-	-	4 (66.66)	2 (66.66)
Hormozgan	24	21	4 (16.66)	2 (9.52)	-	-	1 (25)	-	1 (25)	-	3 (75)	1 (50)
Sistan Va Balochestan	21	20	3 (14.28)	2 (10)	-	-	1 (33.33)	-	-	-	2 (66.66)	2 (100)
Total	102	91	22 21.56)	13 14.28)	1 (4.54)	1 (7.69)	5 (22.72)	3 (23.07)	2 (9.09)	-	15 68.18)	8 (61.53)
* Positive samples from a total of culture positive samples												

* Positive samples from a total of culture positive samples

 Table 2. Senile distribution of Brucella spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples collected from various geographical regions

 Tablo 2. Değişik bölgelerdeki sığır ve yaban sığırlarından toplanan semen örneklerinde Brucella spp.yaşa bağlı dağılımı

Provinces	No. of Samples		Culture Positive (%)		Senile Distribution (%)								
	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine				Buffalo				
					1>	1-2	2-3	3<	1>	1-2	2-3	3<	
Khozestan	30	29	9 (30)	6 (20.68)	5 (55.55) *	2 (22.22)	1 (11.11)	1 (11.11)	3 (50)	1 (16.66)	1 (16.66)	1 (16.66)	
Boshehr	27	21	6 (22.22)	3 (14.28)	3 (50)	2 (33.33)	1 (16.66)	-	2 (66.66)	1 (33.33)	-	-	
Hormozgan	24	21	4 (16.66)	2 (9.52)	3 (75)	1 (25)	-	-	1 (50)	1 (50)	-	-	
Sistan Va Balochestan	21	20	3 (14.28)	2 (10)	2 (75)	1 (25)	-	-	2 (100)	-	-	-	
Total	102	91	22 (21.56)	13 (14.28)	13 (59.09)	6 (27.27)	2 (9.09)	1 (4.54)	8 (36.36)	3 (23.07)	1 (4.54)	1 (7.69)	

* Positive samples from a total of culture positive samples



DISCUSSION

Our study showed that the semen samples of infected bovine and a buffalo possibly plays an important role in distribution of brucellosis in Iran. Unfortunately, despite the high incidences of these bacteria in bovine and buffalo semen samples, very little research concerning detection of *Brucella* in semen samples has been performed. In addition, in the majority of cases, the semen samples are not well screened for the presence of *Brucella* and other pathogens. When laboratories do screen for them, they commonly use traditional diagnostic methods like the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Diagnosis of brucellosis by the ELISA method is not recommended because it can be unspecific and subsensitive due to cross-reaction with other pathogens including *Yersinia*

8255 SAFARPOOR DEHKORDI KHAMESIPOUR, MOMENI

Table 3. Incidences of B. melitensis, B. abortus and other species of Brucella in bovine and buffalo semen samples collected from various geographical regions
Table 3. Dečisik bölgelerdeki söur ve vahan sörgelarindan taplanan semen örgeklerinde B. abortus, B. melitensis ve diðer Brucella törlerinin vačunluðu

noro si Degijik bolgeleneki sigi ve fabari siginarindar teplanan semen omeklemite b. abortas, b. menensis ve alger bracena tanemini yoganaga														
Provinces	No. of Samples		Conventional PCR (%)		Novel Real-time PCR (%)									
		Buffalo	Bovine	Buffalo	Bovine				Buffalo					
	Bovine				B. abortus	B. melitensis	Unknown	Both bacteria	B. abortus	B. melitensis	Unknown	Both bacteria		
Khuzestan	30	29	11 (36.66)	8 (27.58)	8 (26.66)*	2 (6.66)	1 (3.33)	1 (3.33)	6 (20.68)	-	2 (6.89)	-		
Bushehr	27	21	7 (25.92)	4 (19.04)	6 (22.22)	-	1 (3.70)	-	3 (14.28)	1 (4.76)	-	-		
Hormozgan	24	21	4 (16)	3 (14.28)	3 (12.5)	1 (4.16)	-	-	3 (14.28)	-	-	-		
Sistan Va Baluchestan	21	20	3 (14.28)	2 (10)	2 (9.52)	1 (4.76)	-	-	2 (10)	-	-	-		
Total	102	91	25 (24.50)	17 (18.68)	25 (24.50)	4 (3.92)	2 (1.96)	1 (0.98)	14 (15.38)	1 (1.09)	2 (2.19)	-		

* Positive results of total samples

Table 4. Evaluation of sensitivity and specificity of conventional PCR for detection of Brucella spp. in the bovine (A) and buffalo (B) semen samples **Tablo 4.** Konvansiyonel PCR'ın sığır (A) ve yaban sığırlarında (B) Brucella spp. tespit etmedeki duyarlılığı ve özgüllüğü

A										
Sensitivity	Culture Po	sitive	Culture Negative		Total					
Conventional PCR positive	22*ª		3°	25						
Conventional PCR negative	_b		74** ^d		74					
Total	22 ^{a+b}		77 ^{c+d}		99					
*Sensitivity: $\frac{a}{a+b} = 100\%$ **Sensitivity: $\frac{d}{d+c} = 96\%$										
В										
Sensitivity	Sensitivity Culture Positive Culture Negative Total									
Conventional PCR positive	Conventional PCR positive 13 ^{*a} 4 ^c 17									
Conventional PCR negative	Conventional PCR negative _b 74**d 74									
Total	Total 13 ^{a+b} 78 ^{c+d} 91									
*Sensitivity: = 100% a+b										
d+c										

enterocolitica, Salmonella, Escherichia coli O:157 and other Brucella spp.^[16-18]. Therefore, this makes PCR as an accurate, safe, sensitive, fast and specific assay for detection and differentiation of *Brucella* spp., so essential in these cases. Furthermore, the real-time PCR assay has some advantages compared with the conventional PCR. It is an important diagnostic tool yielding reliable and reproducible results, does not require post-PCR analysis (gel electrophoresis, hybridization), and has a limited risk of cross contamination compared with the conventional method; however, realtime PCR is more expensive than conventional PCR. Many studies have shown that the conventional method for detecting *Brucella* spp. is technically more time-consuming and labor-intensive than real-time PCR assay^[8,19].

To our best knowledge, this study has been introduced a pair of primer which had the high sensitivity and specificity for detection the IS711 target of *Brucella* spp. Also, this study is the first prevalence report of seasonal, senile and geographical distribution of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples in Iran. Our results showed that 24.50% of bovine and 18.68% of buffalo semen samples were positive for *Brucella* spp. Also, 24.50% and 3.92% of bovine semen samples were positive for *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*, respectively and 15.38% and 1.09% of buffalo semen samples were positive for *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*, respectively. Statistical analysis showed significant differences (*P*<0.01) between *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis* in bovine and buffalo semen samples and between the presence of *Brucella* spp. in bovine with buffalo semen samples (*P*<0.05).

A previous study showed that Iran, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Syria and Oman had the highest incidences of brucellosis among the countries of the Near East region ^[20]. Studies about brucellosis from various parts of Iran^[12,21] and various species such as sheep ^[22], goats ^[23], cattle ^[24], buffaloes ^[21] and humans ^[6] indicated that brucellosis is one of the most important endemic zoonotic diseases in Iran. Brucellosis causes great economic losses in Iran. A previous report from Iran indicated that the prevalence of brucellosis was 0.037% in humans, 3.4% in sheep and goats and 0.56% in cattle in Eastern Iran during 2002-2006^[25]. The prevalence of *B. abortus* observed in bovine in this study (24.50%) is higher than those in Egypt (5.44%)^[26], Ethiopia (4.9%)^[27], and India (18.81%)^[28], Punjab region (20.67%)^[29] but lower than those in the Sokoto State (25.25%) [30] and Kenya (77.5%) [31]. The incidence of B. abortus observed in buffaloes in the present study (15.38%) is higher than those in Egypt (0.3%) ^[20] and the Punjab region (India) (13.4%) ^[10] but is lower than that in Africa (30%) ^[32]. It appears that there is no prevalence report of brucellosis caused by B. melitensis in bovine and buffaloes, making the present study is the first prevalence report of B. melitensis observed in bovine and buffalo semen samples in the world.

The high incidence of brucellosis in semen samples of an unspecific host (buffalo) in the present study possibly indicates that these animals were maintained in close association with infected sheep and cattle. In addition, the high prevalence of brucellosis in animals in Khozestan Province probably represents the low number of veterinary facilities in this province, geographical and climate conditions and importation of infected livestock from neighboring countries like Iraq.

The semen samples collected in spring seasons had the highest prevalence of *Brucella* spp. in bovine (68.18%) and buffalo (61.53%) semen samples. Statistical analyses were significant (P<0.05) for the prevalence of *Brucella* spp. between spring season and winter. The main reason for this finding is the fact that temperature and climate maybe have effect on the prevalence of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples. An explanation for the highest prevalence of *Brucella* spp. in spring season might be that during this time some climatic events such as heat, rain, and thunderstorms, as well as variation in barometric pressure might have occurred and may have influence on the autonomic nervous system. These events is known to cause reduction in the levels of animal immunity. Therefore, several infections might also have been occurred.

Seasonal distribution of the brucellosis were expressed previously with the highest occurrence in May (15.9%), June (16.3%) and July (15.1%) (spring) ^[11], which was in agree with our results. In Germany, the largest number of cases was recorded in August and September ^[33]. In Central Greece, the largest number of outbreaks was reported from spring ^[34]. In countries with temperate or cold climates there is a marked seasonal variation in the incidence of acute brucellosis, with most cases occurring in spring and summer.

Also, the results from this study indicated that Khozestan had the highest while Sistan Va Balochestan had the lowest prevalence of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples. Statistical analysis were significant for the prevalence of Brucella spp. in both bovine and buffalo semen samples between Khozestan and while Sistan Va Balochestan provinces (P<0.05). After analyzing the average temperatures of these 4 seasons and provinces, it has been found that spring season and Khozestan province had the most constant temperature. The high prevalence of *Brucella* spp. seems reasonable in spring season and Khozestan province of Iran since this bacterium needs moderate temperature with high degree of moisture for growth and survival. Similarly, regional differences have also been reported previously from Azerbaijan^[12].

Our results showed that there were strong age distributions for the incidence of *Brucella* spp. in bovine and buffalo semen samples in Iran. Results showed that 59.09% less than 1 year old bovine and 36.36% of less than 1 year old buffalo semen samples were positive for *Brucella* spp. Also, statistical analyses were significant for the prevalence of *Brucella* spp. between young bovines and buffaloes and old bovines and buffaloes (P<0.05). This may be explained by the fact that younger bovines and buffaloes have weaker immune system. Thus, several infection and illnesses maybe expected. Seasonal distributions of *Brucella* have been reported previously ^[33].

This study showed that both *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*, can infect bovine and buffaloes, but the incidence of *B. abortus* was higher than *B. melitensis*. It appears that buffaloes are unlikely the primary hosts for *Brucella*, but they can be infected with both *B. abortus* and *B. melitensis*. Consequently, the prevalence of brucellosis in buffaloes is dependent on the infection rate of primary hosts being in contact with. On the other hand, spread of brucellosis in buffaloes depends on the *Brucella* spp. prevalence in other animals sharing their habitat and on the husbandry methods of the different species.

We also claim by this study that both bovine and buffalo can be important reservoirs for transmission of this zoonotic disease to humans in Iran. Our results revealed that bovines and buffaloes less than 1 year old in spring season and Khozestan province had the highest prevalence of Brucella spp. in Iran. Several control programs should be performed on Khozestan province in spring seasons especially on younger bovines and buffaloes. The present study shows that molecular methods such as conventional and real-time PCR are accurate, reliable and rapid assays for detection and identification of B. abortus and B. melitensis in bovine and buffalo semen samples but that the real-time PCR assay is better. It seems that this study is the first report of direct detection and segregation of B. melitensis and B. abortus by application of conventional and real-time PCR assays in bovine and buffalo semen samples in Iran. We hope that the realtime PCR method introduced in this study as an accurate, safe, fast, sensitive and specific assay for detection and segregation of B. melitensis and B. abortus in clinical samples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. F. Hemmatzadeh at the Department of Virology, Adelaide University, Australia, Dr. Emad Yahaghi and Dr. Reza Mirnezhad at the Molecular Biology Research Center of the Baqiatalah Hospital, Tehran, Iran and Prof. H. Momtaz at the Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Research Center of the Islamic Azad University of Shahrekord, and Dr. T. Taktaz at the Department of Veterinary Midwifery, Islamic Azad University of Shahrekord for their important technical and clinical support.

REFERENCES

1. Khamesipour F, Doosti A, Taheri H: Molecular detection of brucella spp. in the semen, testis and blood samples of cattle and sheep. *J Pure Appl Microbiol*, 7 (Suppl.): 495-500, 2013.

2. Megid J, Mathias LA, Robles CA: Clinical manifestations of brucellosis in domestic animals and humans. *Open Vet Sci J*, 4 (2): 119-126, 2010.

3. Radwan AI, Bekairi SJ, Prasad PVS: Serological and bacteriological study of brucellosis in camels in central Saudi Arabia. *Rev Sci Tech* (*International Office of Epizootics*), 11 (3): 837-844, 1992.

4. Renukaradhya G, Isloor S, Rajasekhar M: Epidemiology, zoonotic aspects, vaccination and contorol/eradication of brucellosis in India. *Vet Microbiol*, 90 (1-4): 183-195, 2002.

5. Delpy LP, Kaveh M: The occurrence of brucellosis in Iran. The isolation of the causative agent of contagious abortion in cattle. *Rev Fac Vet Med Univ The*, 1 (2): 21-29, 1945.

6. Kazemi B, Yousefi Namin SA, Dowlatshahi M, Bandepour M, Kafilzadeh F, Gachkar L, Mahmoudinejad F, Samarghandi A, Mardani M: Detection of *Brucella* by peripheral blood PCR and comparison with culture and serological methods in suspected cases. *Iran J Publ Health*, 37 (4): 96-102, 2008.

7. Navarro E, Casao MA, Solera J: Diagnosis of human brucellosis using PCR. *Exp Rev Mol Diagn*, 4 (1): 115-123, 2004.

8. Bogdanovich T, Skurnik M, Lubeck PS, Ahrens P, Hoorfar J: Validated

5' nuclease PCR assay for rapid identification of the genus *Brucella*. *J Clin Microbiol*, 42 (5): 2261-2263, 2004.

9. Bricker BJ: PCR as a diagnostic tool for brucellosis. *Vet Microbiol*, 90 (1-4): 435-446, 2002.

10. Dhand NK, Gumber S, Singh BB, Aradhana B, Bal MS, Kumar H, Sharma DR, Singh J, Sandhu KS: A study on the epidemiology of brucellosis in Punjab (India) using Survey Toolbox. *Rev Sci Tech* (*International Office of Epizootics*), 24 (3): 879-885, 2005.

11. Donev D, Karadzovski Z, Kasapinov B, Lazarevik V: Epidemiological and public health aspects of brucellosis in The Republic of Macedonia. *Prilozi*, 31 (1): 33-54, 2010.

12. Abdullayev R, Kracalik I, Ismayilova R, Ustun N, Talibzade A, Blackburn JK: Analyzing the spatial and temporal distribution of human brucellosis in Azerbaijan (1995-2009) using spatial and spatio-temporal statistics. *BMC Infect Dis*, 12 (1): 185, 2012.

13. Quinn PJ, Carte ME, Markey B, Carter GR (Eds): Challenge Inoculums of Bacteria, Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. 267, Wolfe Publishing, London, UK, 261-1994.

14. Vanegas MC, Vasquez E, Martinez AJ, Rueda AM: Detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in raw whole milk for human consumption in Colombia by real-time PCR. *Food Cont*, 20 (4): 430-432, 2009.

15. Sambrook J, Russell DW (Eds): Standard Methods for DNA Manipulations. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 544-553, Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2001.

16. Corbel MJ, Stuart FA, Brewer RA: Observations on serological cross-reactions between smooth *Brucella* species and organisms of other genera. *Dev Biol Standard*, 56 (1): 341-348, 1984.

17. Cventik Z, Toncic J, Spicic S, Lojric M, Terzic S, Jemersic L, Humski A, Curic S, Mitak M, Habrun B, Brstilo M, Ocepek M, Krt B: Brucellosis in wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) in the republic of Croatia. *Vet Med Czech*, 49 (4): 115-122, 2004.

18. Nielsen K, Smith P, Widdison J, Gall D, Kelly L, Kelly W, Nicoletti P: Serological relationship between cattle exposed to *Brucella abortus, Yersinia enterocolitica* O:9 and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *Vet Microbiol,* 100 (1-2): 25-30, 2004.

19. Yang SJ, Shim HS, Woo JT, Kim HS, Lee SS: Bacteriological detection of *Brucella abortus* and its characterization by PCR in the sporadic outbreak of bovine brucellosis in Gyeonggi province. *Kor J Vet Serv*, 30 (2): 251-258, 2008.

20. Refai M: Incidence and control of brucellosis in the Near East region. *Vet Microbiol*, 90 (1-4): 81-110, 2002.

21. Nowroozi-Asl A, Oliaei A, Poormahmood-Shalgahian MA: Serological survey of *Brucella* spp. in water buffalo in Khoozestan province, Iran. *Ital J Anim Sci*, 2, 825-827, 2007.

22. Zowghi E, Ebadi A, Yarahmadi M: Isolation and identification of *Brucella* organisms in Iran. *Iran J Clin Infect Dis*, 3 (4): 185-188, 2008.

23. Akbarmehr J, Ghiyamirad M: Serological survey of brucellosis in livestock animals in Sarab City (East Azarbayjan province), Iran. *Afr J Microbiol Res*, 5 (6): 1220-1223, 2011.

24. Zowghi E, Ebadi A: Naturally occurring *Brucella melitensis* infection in cattle in Iran. *Rev Sci Tech (International Office of Epizootics)*, 4 (4): 811-814, 1985.

25. Bokaie S, Sharifi L, Alizadeh H: Epidemiological survey of brucellosis in human and animal in Birjand, east of Iran. *J Anim Vet Adv*, 7 (4): 460-463, 2008.

26. Samaha H, Al-Rowaily M, Khoudair RM, Ashour HM: Multicenter study of brucellosis in Egypt. *Emerg Infect Dis*, 14, 1916-1918, 2008.

27. Mekonnen H, Kalayou S, Kyule M: Serological survey of bovine brucellosis in barka and arado breeds of Western Tigray, Ethiopia. *Prev Vet Med*, 94 (12): 28-35, 2010.

28. Kanani AN, Jain Lata Patel TJ, Rank DN, Joshi CG, Purohit JH: Detection of *brucella* DNA in semen using the polymerase chain reaction

assay. Ind J Anim Res, 42 (3): 222-224, 2008.

29. Aulakh HK, Patil PK, Sharma S, Kumar H, Mahajan V, Sandhu KS: A Study on the epidemiology of bovine brucellosis in Punjab (India) using milk-ELISA. *Acta Vet Brno*, 77 (3): 393-399, 2008.

30. Junaidu AU, Oboegbulem SI, Salihu MD: Serological survey of *Brucella* antibodies in breeding herds. *J Microbiol Biotechnol Res*, 1 (1): 60-65, 2011.

31. Namanda AT, Kakai R, Otsyula M: The role of unpasteurized "hawked" milk in the transmission of brucellosis in Eldoret municipality, Kenya. *J Infect Dev Cntries*, 3 (4): 260-266, 2009.

32. Waghela S, Karstad L: Antibodies to *Brucella* spp. among blue wildebeest and African buffalo in Kenya. *J Wildlife Dis*, 22 (2): 189-192, 1986.

33. Dahouk SA, Neubauer H, Hensel A, Schöneberg I, Nöckler K, Alpers K, Merzenich H, Stark K, Jansen A: Changing epidemiology of human Brucellosis, Germany, 1962-2005. *Emerg Infec Dis*, 13 (12): 1895-900, 2007.

34. Minas M, Minas A, Gourgulianis K, Stournara A: Epidemiological and clinical aspects of human brucellosis in Central Greece. *JPN J Infect Dis*, 60 (6): 362-366, 2007.