

Comparison between Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution and Many other Distributions

Mahmood A. Shamran*, Asmaa A. Mohammed and Iden H. Alkanani

Department of Mathematics, College of Science for Women, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

*Corresponding Author.

Received 26/11/2022, Revised 04/02/2023, Accepted 06/02/2023, Published 20/06/2023



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

In 2020 one of the researchers in this paper, in his first research, tried to find out the Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution of Type I by using the Azzalini method for weighted distributions, which contain three parameters, two of them for scale while the third for shape. This research compared the distribution with two other distributions from the same family; the Standard Pareto Distribution of Type I and the Generalized Pareto Distribution by using the Maximum likelihood estimator which was derived by the researchers for Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution of Type I, then the Mont Carlo method was used—that is one of the simulation manners for generating random samples data in different sizes ($n= 10,30,50$), and in different initial values for each Pareto distribution family being used in the research. A comparison was done by using Akaike Information Criteria, Corrected Akaike Information Criteria, and Bayesian Information Criteria.

Keywords: Akaike Information Criterion, Bayesian Information Criterion, Corrected Akaike Information Criterion, Generalized Pareto Distribution, Maximum likelihood Estimator, Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution Type I, Standard Pareto Distribution Type I.

Introduction

The aim of this research boils down to three goals, the first goal is to find out and derive what was carried out by the researchers about the Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) to estimate the three parameters for Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution of Type I (MWPDTI). The second goal is to compare the new distribution with two other distributions of the same family by using Akaike Information Criteria (AIC),(AICc), and (BIC). While the third goal is to generate data by using the Mont Carlo method in order to generate random samples of different sizes; with different initial values to compare the same- Pareto family distribution.

Azzalini¹, found a method for computing the probability density function for weighted distributions according to Eq.1.

$$f_w(x) = \frac{1}{Pr(x_2 < \theta x_1)} f(x_1)F(x_1) \quad 1$$

Where $F(x_1)$ is the cumulative distribution function, this technique has opened up new challenges in the field of weighted probability distributions.

Para and Jan², they submitted a generalization of the Pareto distribution of the second type using the idea of weighted distributions, and the statistical properties of this generalization were derived.

Alkanani et al³, used two methods to estimate the parameters of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, one of which is the method of Maximum Likelihood estimator method used in this paper.. Nagatsuka et al⁴ 2021, they presented a new development of the inference for the generalized Pareto distribution operating on all values of the k-

shape parameter, in which they extracted new properties that lead to obtaining new confidence intervals. Omekam et al⁵, they used the method of introducing new parameters on the distribution of Pareto of the first type, resulting in a new distribution, and a more flexible distribution with new types of data. Pho KH et al⁶, in studying the problem of traffic accidents, using the comparison between Akaike's criterion and Bayes' criterion to get the best estimate of the parameters of the problem model. Portet S.⁷, used the Akaike information criterion to study some biological models to obtain the best model in terms of estimating highly accurate parameters.

Pham MH. et al ⁸, estimated the parameters of the Generalized Pareto Distribution (GPD) and they tested the goodness of fit. Cavanaugh JE. et al ⁹, they reviewed the Akaike criterion, its properties, its derivation, and its use in predicting the estimation of the parameters of the statistical model

Sahmran MA.¹⁰, used the Azzalini method to find a modified and weighted distribution of a Pareto type I (MWPDTI) according to Eq.2.

$$f_w^*(x; \alpha, k, \theta) = \frac{2\alpha k^\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha}\right)}{\theta^\alpha}, \alpha > 0, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0, \theta \geq 1, k > 0 \quad 2$$

Al Sarraf NM et al ¹¹, they studied some methods of parameter estimation for the standard Pareto distribution of type I.

Preliminary:

The probability density function (pdf) and the cumulative distribution function (cdf) for the MWPDTI are equations respectively:

$$f_w^*(x; \alpha, k, \theta) = \frac{2\alpha k^\alpha x^{-\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha}\right)}{\theta^\alpha}, \alpha > 0, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0, \theta \geq 1, k > 0 \quad 3$$

$$F_w^*(x) = \frac{(\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)^2}{\theta^2 \alpha x^{2\alpha}}, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0, \alpha > 0, \theta \geq 1 \quad 4$$

The mean and the variance of the MWPDTI are equations respectively:

$$E_w^*(x) = \frac{2\alpha^2 k}{\theta(1-2\alpha)(1-\alpha)}, \alpha > 1, \theta \geq 1, k > 0 \quad 5$$

$$Var_w^*(x) = \frac{(5\alpha-1)\alpha^2 k^2}{(\alpha-2)(\alpha-1)^2(2\alpha-1)\theta^2}, \alpha > 2, \theta \geq 1, k > 0 \quad 6$$

The survival function and the hazard functions are equations respectively

$$\overline{F}_w^*(x) = \left[1 - \frac{(\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)^2}{\theta^2 \alpha x^{2\alpha}}\right], \theta > 1, \alpha > 0, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0 \quad 7$$

$$h_w^*(x) = \frac{2\alpha\theta^\alpha x^{\alpha-1}}{2\theta^\alpha x^{\alpha-k^\alpha}} - \frac{2\alpha k^\alpha}{x(2\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)}, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0, \alpha > 0, \theta \geq 1 \quad 8$$

And the other properties of the MWPDTI are mentioned in ¹⁰.

Materials and Methods

Maximum Likelihood Estimation Method (MLE):

The maximum likelihood estimator is used to find the estimated values for the parameters of MWPDTI.

From Eq.1, obtaining to

$$f_w^*(x; \alpha, \theta, k) = 2\alpha k^\alpha \theta^{-\alpha} (x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha}) \quad 9$$

$$L(x; \alpha, \theta, k) = 2^n \alpha^n k^{n\alpha} \theta^{-n\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^n (x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha}) \quad 10$$

Taken the log of Eq.10, getting

$$\ln L(x; \alpha, \theta, k) = n \ln 2 + n \ln \alpha + n\alpha \ln k - n\alpha \ln \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha}) \quad 11$$

$$\text{Let } f(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + n \ln k - n \ln \theta + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-x^{-\alpha-1} \ln x - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} [\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})} \quad 12$$

$$\text{Let } g(\hat{k}) = \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial k} = \frac{n\alpha}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-x^{-2\alpha-1} k^{\alpha-1} \alpha \theta^{-\alpha}}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})} \quad 13$$

$$\text{Let } h(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{-n\alpha}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} k^\alpha \theta^{-\alpha-1}}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})} \quad 14$$

When $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial k} = \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = 0$, in this case, there is no closed solution for Eqs.12, 13, and 14, which must use numerical methods such as Newton – Raphson method.

$$\text{Where } J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial k} & \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \end{bmatrix} \quad 16$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_{i+1} \\ \hat{k}_{i+1} \\ \hat{\theta}_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_i \\ \hat{k}_i \\ \hat{\theta}_i \end{bmatrix} - J^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} f(\hat{\alpha}_i) \\ g(\hat{k}_i) \\ h(\hat{\theta}_i) \end{bmatrix} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{-n}{\alpha^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})(x^{-\alpha-1} (\ln x)^2 - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x))^2]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2}$$

$$\frac{[-x^{-\alpha-1} (\ln x)^2 - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x)]^2}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{-n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})((-k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})(-\alpha(\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x) - 1))]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2}$$

$$\frac{-(\alpha k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})(-x^{-\alpha-1} \ln x - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x))}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 18$$

$$\frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial k} = \frac{n}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{(-x^{-2\alpha-1} k^{\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})[(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})(\alpha(\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x) + 1)]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \right]$$

$$\frac{+\alpha[(-x^{-\alpha-1} \ln x - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x))]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-x^{-2\alpha-1} k^{\alpha-1} \alpha \theta^{-\alpha})[(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})(\alpha(\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x) + 1)]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2}$$

$$\frac{+\alpha[(-x^{-\alpha-1} \ln x - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x))]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\alpha^2 x^{-3\alpha-2} k^{\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial k} = \frac{-n\alpha}{k^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(-\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} k^{\alpha-2} \theta^{-\alpha})[(\alpha-1)(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha}) + k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha}]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{-n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})((-k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})(-\alpha(\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x) - 1))]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2}$$

$$\frac{-(\alpha k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})(-x^{-\alpha-1} \ln x - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha} (\ln k - \ln \theta - 2 \ln x))}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n\alpha}{\theta^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\alpha k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})[(x^{-\alpha-1}(-\alpha-1)\theta^{-1} - (k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})(-\alpha-1)) - (\alpha k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})]}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 24$$

$$\frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\alpha^2 x^{-3\alpha-2} k^{\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha-1})}{(x^{-\alpha-1} - k^\alpha x^{-2\alpha-1} \theta^{-\alpha})^2} \quad 25$$

Because the matrix J is a non-singular symmetric matrix, then $\frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \alpha}$, $\frac{\partial f(\hat{\alpha})}{\partial k} = \frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \alpha}$ and $\frac{\partial g(\hat{k})}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial k}$.

The maximum likelihood function for SPDTI distribution as in form ¹¹ :

$$L(\alpha|x_n) = (\alpha^{nk}k^n) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i)^{-(\alpha+1)} \quad 26$$

And the GPD distribution Maximum likelihood function is in form ⁸:

$$L(k, \alpha; X) = \begin{cases} -n \ln \alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i & k = 0 \\ -n \ln \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \left(1 - \frac{kx_i}{\alpha}\right) & k \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad 27$$

The Three Criteria (AIC, AIC_c and BIC):

The following statistical criteria are used (AIC, AIC_c and BIC) to compare the MWPDTI with two Pareto distributions (SPDTI, GPD).

$$AIC = 2m - \hat{L} \quad 28$$

$$AIC_c = AIC + \frac{2m(m+1)}{n-m-1} \quad 29$$

and $BIC = m \ln(n) - 2 \ln(\hat{L}) \quad 30$

Where m is the number of parameters in the distribution, \hat{L} is the maximum log-likelihood function value for the distribution and n represents the sample size.

The Simulation Technique:

The Monte Carlo method is the most popular simulation technique used to generate the observations (samples) for any distribution. The simulation method is flexible for the test of experiments by replications many times.

1. Applying the Monte Carlo method for Modified Weighted Pareto distribution Type I (MWPDTI), using the cumulative distribution function as follows:

$$F(x) = \frac{(\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)^2}{\theta^{2\alpha} x^{2\alpha}}, x \geq \frac{k}{\theta} > 0, \alpha > 0, \theta \geq 1 \quad 31$$

By substituting $F(x)$ as u , with a random number the $u = F(x)$, that is $x = F^{-1}(u)$

$$\frac{(\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)^2}{\theta^{2\alpha} x^{2\alpha}} = u \quad 32$$

$$\frac{(\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha)^2}{(\theta^\alpha)^2 (x^\alpha)^2} = u \quad 33$$

$$\frac{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha - k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha} - \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \quad 35$$

$$1 - \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha} = \sqrt{u} \quad 36$$

$$[1 - \sqrt{u}] = \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha x^\alpha} \quad 37$$

$$x^\alpha = \frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha [1 - \sqrt{u}]} \quad 38$$

$$x = \left[\frac{k^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha [1 - \sqrt{u}]} \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad 39$$

2. Generating random samples which are $n = 10, 30, 50$.
3. The initial values of the (SPDTI),(GPD) and (MWPDTI) are:

Table No.	α	k	θ
1	1	0.1	1
2	1	0.002	0.1
3	1.5	0.008	2

4. The replicate is $R = 1000$.

Then compare three distributions by (AIC, AIC_c, and BIC) criteria to find the best distribution than another's.

The result Estimated Value of Parameters with corresponding Criteria AIC, AIC_c, and BIC as in Table 1-3.



Table 1. The result when $\alpha = 1, \theta = 1, k = 0.1$ with sample size 10, 30 and 50

Initial values of parameters	distribution name	Sample Size	estimated parameters	AIC	AICc	BIC
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.1$	SPDTI (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.004799$ $\hat{k}=0.099993$	44.871	46.575	45.931
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.001398$ $\hat{k}=0.0999820$	83.5466	82.8741	82.8453
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.000898$ $\hat{k}=0.0997536$	115.5643	115.8243	116.06
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.1$	GPD (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=0.94008147$ $\hat{k}=0.99987687$	44.5949	48.5949	45.4182
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.000490$ $\hat{k}=0.1000265$	83.6325	84.5555	84.4183
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=0.9993400$ $\hat{k}=0.099792$	114.6952	115.2169	115.2830
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.1$ $\theta=1$	MWPDTI (3 parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.000493$ $\hat{k}=0.099489$ $\hat{\theta}=1.0070863$	42.8642	46.8642	44.772
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0002314$ $\hat{k}=0.1000213$ $\hat{\theta}=1.0004647$	81.2756	82.0086	82.356 3
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.00004996$ $\hat{k}=0.10090915$ $\hat{\theta}=0.9973012$	114.1271	114.6488	115.7854

Table. 1 showing the value of the three criteria increases with the sample size increasing.

1. When $n = 10$ the MWPDTI distribution has minimum values of AIC, and BIC, but the SPDTI distribution has less value in AICc.
2. When $n = 30$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values AIC, AICc, and BIC.

3. When $n = 50$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values AIC, and AICc, but the GPD distribution has less BIC.
4. Although the results for AIC, AICc, and BIC are converged from each other.

Table 2. The result when $\alpha = 1, \theta = 0.1, k = 0.002$ with sample size 10, 30 and 50

Initial values of parameters	distribution name	Sample Size	estimated parameters	AIC	AICc	BIC
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.002$	SPDTI (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.004799$ $\hat{k}=0.00200043$	32.2321	33.9461	34.21735
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0007543$ $\hat{k}=0.0019949$	53.5466	54.0081	54.8432
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0000542$ $\hat{k}=0.0019730$	87.3421	87.6021	88.1796
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.002$	GPD (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=0.99400814$ $\hat{k}=0.00201905$	34.9765	38.9765	39.6276
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.000490$ $\hat{k}=0.0019023$	55.2381	56.1611	56.796
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0097859$ $\hat{k}=0.0019853$	88.1346	88.6563	89. 4798
$\alpha=1$ $k=0.002$ $\theta=0.1$	MWPDTI (3 parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0000493$ $\hat{k}=0.00194891$ $\hat{\theta}=0.0989708$	30.3651	34.3651	34.7876
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.0083949$ $\hat{k}=0.0021436$ $\hat{\theta}=0.0998735$	52.6579	53.5809	54.1352
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=0.997499$ $\hat{k}=0.00998646$ $\hat{\theta}=0.10009418$	86.1436	86.6653	87.0631

- Showing in Table 2 that
1. When $n = 10$ the SPDTI distribution has less values in AICc, and BIC, but the MWPDTI distribution has less value in AIC.
 2. When $n = 30$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values in AIC, AICc, and BIC.
 3. When $n = 50$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values in AIC, AICc, and BIC.
 4. Although the results for AIC, AICc, and BIC are converged from each other.

Table 3. The result when $\alpha = 1.5$, $\theta = 2$, $k = 0.008$ with sample sizes 10, 30 and 50

Initial values of parameters	distribution name	Sample Size	estimated parameters	AIC	AICc	BIC
$\alpha=1.5$ $k=0.008$	SPDTI (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.499950$ $\hat{k}=0.00099768$	42.7364	44.4504	45.2376
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.5007543$ $\hat{k}=0.0079983$	73.546	74.0075	74.8326
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.50000542$ $\hat{k}=0.00095360$	118.396	118.656	119.879
$\alpha=1.5$ $k=0.008$	GPD (2parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.499905632$ $\hat{k}=0.00092743$	43.247	47.247	48.6965
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.500490$ $\hat{k}=0.007956$	71.212	72.135	72.9342
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.5000635$ $\hat{k}=0.00906854$	118.164	118.6857	119.2365
$\alpha=1.5$ $k=0.008$ $\theta=2$	MWPDTI (3 parameters)	10	$\hat{\alpha}=1.4999873$ $\hat{k}=0.00800674$ $\hat{\theta}=1.99936724$	41.641	43.641	44.3421
		30	$\hat{\alpha}=1.500039$ $\hat{k}=0.00814231$ $\hat{\theta}=2.00001999$	70.584	71.507	72.1231
		50	$\hat{\alpha}=1.500004998$ $\hat{k}=0.00800443$ $\hat{\theta}=1.99991681$	117.465	117.9867	118.3843

- Table 3 shows that
1. When $n = 10$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values in AIC, AICc, and BIC.
 2. When $n = 30$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values in AIC, AICc, and BIC.
 3. When $n = 50$ the MWPDTI distribution has less values in AIC, AICc, and BIC.
 4. Although the results for AIC, AICc, and BIC are converged from each other.

Conclusion

The researchers found out and derived the (MLE) to estimate the three parameters for (MWPDTI), then they compared the three distributions used in this research by (AIC),(AICc) and (BIC) to represent the efficiency of the statistical distribution, the three criteria used in this research must be in minimum value i.e.(AIC, AICc, BIC). By using the simulation manner, it was found that the new (MWPDTI) contains a minimum value for all sizes of the

generated random samples, except for a few samples. It was obvious that the new (MWPDTPI) is more efficient and better 23 times, (GPD) is more efficient one time, and (SPDTI) is three times more effective.

In the future, it can be confirmed the efficiency of (MWPDTI) by using other estimation methods to estimate the distribution parameters.

Author's Declaration

- Conflicts of Interest: None.
- We hereby confirm that all the Figures and Tables in the manuscript are ours. Furthermore,

any Figures and images that are not ours have been included with the necessary permission for re-publication, which is attached to the manuscript.

- Ethical Clearance: The project was approved by the local ethical committee at the University of Baghdad.

Author's Contribution Statement

M.A. and I. H. presented the idea and discussed it theoretically and checked the equations. Authors M. A. and A. A. made the derivations for the equations. Authors M. A. and I. H. discuss and

validate the results. M. A. prepared the computer programs for the simulation method. I. H. Conceptualization (supporting the idea and goal, methodology, and reviewing).

References

1. Azzalini A. A Class of Distributions Which Includes the Normal Ones. *Scand J Stat.* 1985 Jan 1; 12(2): 171-178. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4615982>.
2. Para BA, Jan TR. On Three Parameter Weighted Pareto Type II Distribution: Properties and Applications in Medical Sciences. *Amisl.* 2018; 6(1): 13-26. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18576/amisl/06010>.
3. Alkanani IH, Salman SG. Bayes and Non-Bayes Estimation Methods for the Parameter of Maxwell-Boltzmann Distribution. *Baghdad Sci J.* 2017; 14(4). <http://dx.doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2017.14.4.0808>
4. Nagatsuka H, Balakrishnan N. Efficient Likelihood-Based Inference for the Generalized Pareto Distribution. *Ann Inst Stat Math.* 2021 Dec; 73(6): 1153-85.
5. Omekam IV, Popoola J, Gatta NF, Adejumo AO. Some Extended Pareto Type I Distributions. *Ife J Sci.* 2022 Oct 13; 24(2): 265-76. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ijis.v24i2.8>.
6. Pho KH, Ly S, Ly S, Lukusa TM. Comparison Among Akaike Information Criterion, Bayesian Information Criterion and Vuong's Test in Model Selection: A Case Study of Violated Speed Regulation in Taiwan. *J Adv Eng Comput.* 2019 Mar 31;3(1): 293-303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.25073/jaec.201931.220>.
7. Portet S. A Primer on Model Selection Using the Akaike Information Criterion. *Infect Dis Model.* 2020 Jan 1; 5: 111-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2019.12.010>.
8. Pham MH, Tsokos C, Choi BJ. Maximum likelihood estimation for the generalized pareto distribution and goodness-of-fit test with censored data. *J Mod Appl Stat Methods.* 2019;17(2):11.. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22237/jmasm/1553261471>.
9. Cavanaugh JE, Neath AA. The Akaike Information Criterion: Background, Derivation, Properties, Application, Interpretation, and Refinements. *WIREs Comput Stat.* 2019: e1460. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.1460>.
10. Sahrman MA. Modified Weighted Pareto Distribution Type I (MWPDTI). *Baghdad Sci J.* 2020 Sep 1; 17(3): 869-877. <https://doi.org/10.21123/bsj.2020.17.3.0869>.
11. Al Sarraf NM, Mohana FA, Kamal GI. About Estimating Pareto Distribution Parameters. *J Rafidain Uni Coll Sci.* 2020; 2020(46): 431-40.

مقارنة بين توزيع باريتو المعدل الموزون والعديد من التوزيعات الأخرى

محمود عريبي شممران، اسماء عبدالحسين محمد و ايدن حسن حسين

قسم الرياضيات، كلية العلوم للبنات، جامعة بغداد، بغداد، العراق.

الخلاصة

قام احد الباحثين في هذه الورقة في سنة 2020 في بحثه الأول إيجاد توزيع باريتو الموزون المعدل من النوع الأول من خلال الاعتماد على طريقة Azzalini للتوزيعات الموزونة , وبعدها قام باشتقاق كافة الخواص الرياضية والاحصائية للتوزيع الجديد والذي يحتوي على ثلاثة معلمات اثنان منها معلمات القياس والثالثة معلمة الشكل. هذا البحث تمت مقارنة توزيع باريتو الموزون المعدل من النوع الأول مع توزيعين من نفس عائلة توزيع باريتو وهما توزيع باريتو القياسي من النوع الاول وتوزيع باريتو المعمم من خلال استخدام طريقة الإمكان الأعظم التي قام الباحثين باشتقاقها ومن ثم استخدمت طريقة مونت كارلو وهي احد أساليب المحاكاة لتوليد بيانات عينات عشوائية باحجام مختلفة $n=10,30,50$ وبقيم ابتدائية مختلفة لكل التوزيعات من عائلة توزيع باريتو المستخدمة في البحث وتمت المقارنة باستخدام معيار معلومات أكاي، معيار معلومات المصحح ومعيار المعلومات البيزية .

الكلمات المفتاحية: معيار معلومات أكاي، معيار معلومات البيزية، معيار معلومات أكاي المعدل، توزيع باريتو المعمم، دالة الإمكان الأعظم، توزيع باريتو الموزون المعدل من النوع I، توزيع باريتو القياسي من النوع I.