# <u>Anthropogenic Litter Abundance and Composition in Urban Streams:</u> Influence of Site and Habitat

Olivia Schaul, Thomas Crabtree, Jess Von Brugger, Timothy Hoellein Loyola University Chicago

## Introduction

- Anthropogenic litter (AL) refers to trash, such as plastic, glass, and other materials that find their way into the environment.
- Accumulation of AL is a growing concern at a global scale.  $\bullet$
- Rivers are a key site of AL disposal and transport to global oceans.
- Yet, research on AL assemblage, density, and movement within rivers is relatively uncommon
- Understanding the abundance and distribution of AL is needed to inform efficient clean-up and prevention strategies.
- Our objective was to quantify the abundance and composition of AL in different habitats at multiple sites in an urban watershed









# Hypothesis

### We Predict:

- 1. Greater AL at the downstream sites relative to upstream.
- 2. AL assemblage will be different among habitats within the streams
  - Overhanging vegetation, riparian zone, and floating habitats will dominated by lightweight items (e.g., plastics, styrofoam)
  - Benthic habitats will consist of more heavy material (e.g., glass, rubber)





Fig. 4: Total litter, total plastic (non-Styrofoam), and total Styrofoam in each of the streams.

- Total litter and plastic were highest downstream consistent with our
- However, one of the upstream sites (Skokie River) had higher than expected

Skokie	Middle	Glenview	Bunker

#### -2.0 -1.5 -1.0

NMDS1 Fig. 6: NMDS analysis of AL "community" composition among sites and habitats grouped by site

• The two upstream sites (Skokie – Blue) and Middlefork (Purple) had distinct communities of AL relative to the two downstream sites, which were not different from one another



Fig. 7: NMDS analysis of AL "community" composition among sites and habitats grouped by habitat type

• The Benthic community had distinct communities of AL relative to the other habitat types (Floating, Overhang, Riparian), which were not different from one another

### Discussion

#### Conclusions:

1. There was ultimately more AL downstream than upstream

- a. AL primarily accumulates at downstream locations, likely due to movement of wind and current. b.Seen in figures 4 and 5
- 2. The composition of AL differed by site
  - a. Each site differs both in composition of AL and of human
  - activities at each site.

### b. Seen in Figure 6

c.As composition of AL differs at each site, clean-up procedures could be adapted to the specific needs of each site.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the graduate students in the Hoellein lab, post-doc Dr. Fritz Petersen, visiting scholar



![](_page_0_Picture_48.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_49.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_50.jpeg)

### only true for the most downstream sites.

At Skokie River and Glenview Woods, the greatest amount of AL was found

We expected benthic habitats to show the greatest litter density, but this was

### in the riparian zone (streamside vegetation)

Helena Guasch, the LUC Department

of Biology, LUROP and Dr. Timothy Hoellein for their contributions to

NSF.

make this work possible. Funding from