

Publication Year	2021
Acceptance in OA@INAF	2022-03-24T16:07:46Z
Title	Period-Age-Metallicity and Period-Age-Color-Metallicity relations for Classical Cepheids: an application to the Gaia EDR3 sample
Authors	DE SOMMA, GIULIA; MARCONI, Marcella; CASSISI, Santi; RIPEPI, Vincenzo; PIETRINFERNI, Adriano; et al.
DOI	10.1093/mnras/stab2611
Handle	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12386/31898
Journal	MONTHLY NOTICES OF THE ROYAL ASTRONOMICAL SOCIETY
Number	508

Period-age-metallicity and period-age-colour-metallicity relations for classical Cepheids: an application to the *Gaia* EDR3 sample

Giulia De Somma[®],^{1,2}* Marcella Marconi[®],¹* Santi Cassisi,^{3,4}* Vincenzo Ripepi,¹ Adriano Pietrinferni,³ Roberto Molinaro,¹ Silvio Leccia¹ and Ilaria Musella[®]

¹INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Via Moiariello I-16, 80131 Napoli, Italy

² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN)-Sez. di Napoli, Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

³INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico d'Abruzzo, Via Maggini sn, I-64100 Teramo, Italy

⁴Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) - Sezione di Pisa, Universitá di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

Accepted 2021 September 10. Received 2021 September 10; in original form 2021 July 1

ABSTRACT

Based on updated pulsation models for classical Cepheids, computed for various assumptions about the metallicity and helium abundance, roughly representative of pulsators in the Small Magellanic Cloud (Z = 0.004 and Y = 0.25), Large Magellanic Cloud (Z = 0.008 and Y = 0.25), and M31 (Z = 0.03 and Y = 0.28), and self-consistent updated evolutionary predictions, we derived period-age and multi-band period-age-colour relations that also take into account variations in the mass–luminosity (ML) relation. These results, combined with those previously derived for Galactic Cepheids, were used to investigate the metallicity effect when using these variables as age indicators. In particular, we found that a variation in the metal abundance affects both the slope and the zero-point of the above-mentioned relations. The new relations were applied to a sample of Gaia Early Data Release 3 classical Cepheids. The retrieved distribution of the individual ages confirms that a brighter ML relation produces older ages and that first overtone pulsators are found to be concentrated towards older age and period-age-colour relations slightly modifies the predicted ages. In particular, the age distribution of the selected sample of Galactic Cepheids is found to be shifted towards slightly older values, when the F-mode canonical relations are considered, with respect to the case at a fixed solar chemical composition. A marginally opposite dependence can be found in the non-canonical F-mode and canonical FO-mode cases.

Key words: stars: distances – stars: evolution – stars: oscillations (including pulsations) – stars: variables: Cepheids.

1 INTRODUCTION

Classical Cepheid (CC), period–luminosity (PL), and period– luminosity–colour (PLC) relations are the basis for the absolute calibration of the extragalactic distance scale, which leads to a local evaluation of the Hubble constant (H_0) (see e.g. Freedman et al. 2001; Saha et al. 2006; Riess et al. 2018, 2021). This role of CCs has known a renewed interest in the last few years due to the debate on the so-called H_0 tension, the discrepancy between early Universe measurements of H_0 based on the cosmic microwave background analysis, and late Universe estimates of the constant, based on the extragalactic distance scale (see e.g. Verde, Treu & Riess 2019, and references therein).

In addition, CCs are also known to obey period-age (PA) and period-age-colour (PAC) relations (see e.g. Efremov 2003; Bono et al. 2005; Anderson et al. 2016, and references therein). Indeed, the combination of the period-mean density relation and the Stefan-Boltzmann Law leads to a period-luminosity-mass-effective temperature relation that, once it assumes a mass-luminosity (ML) relation and a transformation into the observational plane, leads to a PLC relation. As the luminosity is related to the mass and the evolving mass is anticorrelated with the stellar age, a PAC relation arises. The PA relation is then found by averaging the period over the colour extension of the instability strip for any given age. Therefore, this class of pulsating stars represents a powerful tool for measuring individual stellar ages, and hence, inferring tight constraints on the star formation history of the stellar populations to which they belong.

A PA relation for CCs can be obtained via a semi-empirical approach combining the measurement of the pulsational properties of a sample of CCs in a star cluster, with the corresponding age estimate obtained via the isochrone fitting technique applied to the cluster Colour–Magnitude Diagram (CMD) (see, for a recent analysis, Medina, Lemasle & Grebel 2021; Zhou & Chen 2021, and references therein).

A completely different approach (Bono et al. 2005) relies on a theoretical scenario in which the ML relation and evolutionary lifetimes during the core He-burning stage, as predicted by stellar models (see e.g. Chiosi, Wood & Capitanio 1993; Bono, Castellani & Marconi 2000, and references therein), are connected with the pulsation relation (linking the period with the stellar mass, luminosity, and effective temperature) obtained via suitable pulsational models so as to derive the PA relation. The use of the PAC relation with respect the PA one represents an improvement, applied in order to overcome the problem related to the finite colour width of the instability strip (IS)

^{*} E-mail: giulia.desomma@inaf.it (GDS); marcella.marconi@inaf.it (MM); santi.cassisi@inaf.it (SC)



Figure 1. The location, in the HR diagram, of a sub-sample of massive and intermediate-mass stellar models from the BaSTI-IAC library, for various assumptions of the initial chemical compositions (see labels). The predicted boundaries of the IS, fundamental blue edge (FBE), fundamental red edge (FRE), first overtone blue edge (FOBE), and first overtone red edge (FORE), for both F (solid line) and FO-mode pulsators (dashed line) are also shown. Left-hand panels refer to the canonical scenario, while the right-hand panels correspond to the non-canonical scenario (see text for more details).

Z	Y <i>M</i> / <i>M</i> _☉		$\log L/L_{\odot}$	ML	Mode
0.004	0.25	4.0	2.91	А	F/FO
0.004	0.25	4.0	3.11	В	F/FO
0.004	0.25	5.0	3.24	А	F/FO
0.004	0.25	5.0	3.44	В	F/FO
0.004	0.25	6.0	3.50	А	F/FO
0.004	0.25	6.0	3.70	В	F
0.004	0.25	7.0	3.73	А	F/FO
0.004	0.25	7.0	3.93	В	F
0.004	0.25	8.0	3.92	А	F
0.004	0.25	8.0	4.12	В	F
0.004	0.25	9.0	4.09	А	F
0.004	0.25	9.0	4.29	В	F
0.004	0.25	10.0	4.25	А	F
0.004	0.25	10.0	4.45	В	F
0.004	0.25	11.0	4.39	А	F
0.004	0.25	11.0	4.59	В	F
0.008	0.25	4.0	2.81	А	F/FO
0.008	0.25	4.0	3.01	В	F/FO
0.008	0.25	5.0	3.14	А	F/FO
0.008	0.25	5.0	3.34	В	F/FO
0.008	0.25	6.0	3.40	А	F/FO
0.008	0.25	6.0	3.60	В	F
0.008	0.25	7.0	3.63	А	F/FO
0.008	0.25	7.0	3.83	В	F
0.008	0.25	8.0	3.82	А	F
0.008	0.25	8.0	4.02	В	F
0.008	0.25	9.0	3.99	А	F
0.008	0.25	9.0	4.19	В	F
0.008	0.25	10.0	4.14	А	F
0.008	0.25	10.0	4.34	В	F
0.008	0.25	11.0	4.28	А	F
0.008	0.25	11.0	4.48	В	F
0.02	0.28	4.0	2.74	А	F/FO
0.02	0.28	4.0	2.94	В	F/FO
0.02	0.28	5.0	3.07	А	F/FO
0.02	0.28	5.0	3.27	В	F
0.02	0.28	6.0	3.33	А	F/FO
0.02	0.28	6.0	3.53	В	F
0.02	0.28	7.0	3.56	А	F
0.02	0.28	7.0	3.76	В	F
0.02	0.28	8.0	3.75	А	F
0.02	0.28	8.0	3.95	В	F
0.02	0.28	9.0	3.92	А	F
0.02	0.28	9.0	4.12	В	F
0.02	0.28	10.0	4.08	А	F
0.02	0.28	10.0	4.28	В	F
0.02	0.28	11.0	4.21	А	F
0.02	0.28	11.0	4.41	В	F
0.03	0.28	4.0	2.68	А	F/FO
0.03	0.28	4.0	2.88	В	F
0.03	0.28	5.0	3.01	А	F
0.03	0.28	5.0	3.21	В	F
0.03	0.28	6.0	3.27	А	F
0.03	0.28	6.0	3.47	В	F
0.03	0.28	7.0	3.50	А	F
0.03	0.28	7.0	3.70	В	F
0.03	0.28	8.0	3.69	А	F
0.03	0.28	8.0	3.89	В	F
0.03	0.28	9.0	3.86	А	F
0.03	0.28	9.0	4.06	В	F

 Table 1 – continued

Z	Y	M/M_{\odot}	$\log L/L_{\odot}$	ML	Mode
0.03	0.28	10.0	4.02	А	F
0.03	0.28	10.0	4.22	В	F
0.03	0.28	11.0	4.15	А	F
0.03	0.28	11.0	4.35	В	F

Table 2. The coefficients of the relation $\log T_{eff} = a + b \log L/L_{\odot}$, for both the F and FO-mode IS boundaries, for Z = 0.004 and Y = 0.25, $\alpha_{ml} = 1.5$ and various assumptions of the ML relation. The last two columns represent the root–mean–square deviation (σ) and the R-squared (R^2) coefficients.

ML	а	b	σ_a	σ_b	σ	R^2
FBE						
A	3.825	-0.016	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.7696
В	3.849	-0.022	0.011	0.003	0.005	0.8879
FRE						
A	3.958	-0.074	0.014	0.004	0.006	0.9814
В	3.937	-0.069	0.033	0.009	0.014	0.9031
FOBE						
A	3.941	-0.044	0.012	0.004	0.003	0.9796
В	3.945	-0.045	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.9988
FORE						
А	3.844	-0.026	0.043	0.013	0.010	0.5588
В	3.888	-0.042	0.099	0.032	0.010	0.6320

Table 3. The same as in Table 2 but for Z = 0.008 and Y = 0.25.

а	b	σ_a	σ_b	σ	R^2
3.833	-0.020	0.012	0.003	0.005	0.8362
3.865	-0.029	0.017	0.005	0.007	0.8444
3.975	-0.081	0.014	0.004	0.006	0.9839
3.987	-0.087	0.022	0.006	0.009	0.9692
3.916	-0.037	0.021	0.007	0.005	0.9073
3.969	-0.054	0.013	0.004	0.001	0.9932
3.864	-0.034	0.029	0.009	0.007	0.8122
3.879	-0.039	0.078	0.026	0.008	0.6879
	a 3.833 3.865 3.975 3.987 3.916 3.969 3.864 3.879	a b 3.833 -0.020 3.865 -0.029 3.975 -0.081 3.987 -0.087 3.916 -0.037 3.969 -0.054 3.864 -0.034 3.879 -0.039	a b σ_a 3.833 -0.020 0.012 3.865 -0.029 0.017 3.975 -0.081 0.014 3.987 -0.087 0.022 3.916 -0.037 0.021 3.969 -0.054 0.013 3.864 -0.034 0.029 3.879 -0.039 0.078	a b σ_a σ_b 3.833 -0.020 0.012 0.003 3.865 -0.029 0.017 0.005 3.975 -0.081 0.014 0.004 3.987 -0.087 0.022 0.006 3.916 -0.037 0.021 0.007 3.969 -0.054 0.013 0.004 3.864 -0.034 0.029 0.009 3.879 -0.039 0.078 0.026	a b σ_a σ_b σ 3.833 -0.020 0.012 0.003 0.005 3.865 -0.029 0.017 0.005 0.007 3.975 -0.081 0.014 0.004 0.006 3.987 -0.087 0.022 0.006 0.009 3.916 -0.037 0.021 0.007 0.005 3.969 -0.054 0.013 0.004 0.001 3.864 -0.034 0.029 0.009 0.007 3.879 -0.039 0.078 0.026 0.008

[Caputo, Marconi & Musella (2000); Marconi, Musella & Fiorentino (2005); Marconi et al. (2010) and De Somma et al. (2020a, hereafter DS20a)].

In a recent work (De Somma et al. 2020b, hereafter DS20b), we computed updated PA and PAC – the Gaia DR2 photometric passbands (Gaia Collaboration 2018, 2016) – for solar metallicity CCs, by combining an extended and homogeneous set of nonlinear convective pulsation models obtained for several assumptions on the ML relation, and the efficiency of superadiabatic convection (we refer to DS20a, for more details), with the updated BaSTI library of stellar evolutionary predictions presented in Hidalgo et al. (2018).

The obtained PA and PAC relations were applied to a subset of Gaia Data Release 2 Galactic Cepheids (see DS20a for details). The retrieved age distributions confirm that the effect of a variation in the efficiency of superadiabatic convection in the pulsational computations is negligible, whereas older ages are obtained when



Figure 2. Upper panels: The F (left-hand panel) and, when available, FO (right-hand panel) instability strip boundaries for Z = 0.004 (dashed lines), Z = 0.008 (solid lines), Z = 0.02 (dotted lines), and Z = 0.03 (dash-dotted lines), obtained by adopting the canonical ML relation and the standard efficiency for the superadiabatic convection. *Bottom panels*: The same as in the upper panels but for non-canonical models.

Table 4. The same as in Table 2 but for Z = 0.03 and Y = 0.28.

ML	а	b	σ_a	σ_b	σ	R^2
FBE						
А	3.979	-0.070	0.007	0.002	0.002	0.9953
В	3.976	-0.070	0.009	0.002	0.003	0.9937
FRE						
А	4.009	-0.096	0.015	0.004	0.005	0.9892
В	4.048	-0.111	0.013	0.004	0.004	0.9940

a brighter ML relation, due to a combination of mild overshooting and/or rotation, and/or mass-loss, is adopted.

The aim of the present investigation is to extend the theoretical calibration of the PA and PAC relations to other chemical compositions, representative of the typical chemical composition of the Small and Large Magellanic Clouds (Z = 0.004 and Z = 0.008 with Y = 0.25), and the Andromeda (M31) galaxy (Z = 0.03 with Y = 0.28).

A complete analysis of the pulsational models corresponding to these chemical abundances, including the investigation of the effects related to changes in the adopted ML relation and efficiency of super-adiabatic convection, will be presented in a companion paper (De Somma et al., in preparation). Here, we focus our analysis on the derivation of PA and PAC relations in several photometric systems, and of the first period–age–metallicity (PAZ) and period-age-colour-metallicity (PACZ) relationships.

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2, we present the new metal-dependent theoretical scenario for CCs, based on an extended set of evolutionary tracks and nonlinear convective pulsation models; in Section 3, we derive the new PA and multifilter PAC relations by varying the chemical compositions which are then applied to a subset of Gaia Early Data Release 3 (EDR3) CCs in Section 4; the conclusions and possible future developments of the presented investigation are discussed in Section 5.

2 A METAL DEPENDENT THEORETICAL SCENARIO

In order to retrieve the theoretical PA and PAC calibrations, it is mandatory to combine the stellar evolutionary framework with the pulsation model predictions:

(i) Evolutionary models provide the relevant information about the morphology of the evolutionary tracks during the core He-burning phase (the so-called blue loop), and the evolutionary lifetimes in this phase;

(ii) The pulsation scenario gives crucial information about the IS boundaries, and the relation between the pulsation period and

Table 5. The coefficients of the PMLT relations $\log P = a + b\log T_{eff} + c \log (M/M_{\odot}) + d \log L/L_{\odot}$ for both F and FO pulsators as a function of the assumed α_{ml} parameter for Z = 0.004 and Y = 0.25, Z = 0.008 and Y = 0.25, and Z = 0.03 and Y = 0.28. For comparison, the relations for Z = 0.02 and Y = 0.28 taken by DS20b are also reported.

a	b	с	d	σ_a	σ_b	σ_c	σ_d	σ	R^2
				Z = 0.004	Y = 0.25				
F									
10.711	-3.315	-0.776	0.918	0.109	0.028	0.017	0.005	0.013	0.9990
FO									
12.042	-3.636	-0.574	0.799	1.271	0.325	0.112	0.037	0.032	0.9867
				Z = 0.008	Y = 0.25				
F									
10.482	-3.254	-0.773	0.920	0.103	0.026	0.017	0.005	0.013	0.9991
FO									
10.880	-3.337	-0.622	0.816	0.122	0.031	0.009	0.003	0.003	0.9999
				Z = 0.02	Y = 0.28				
F									
10.268	-3.192	-0.758	0.919	0.001	0.025	0.015	0.005	0.011	0.9995
FO									
10.595	-3.253	-0.621	0.804	0.002	0.067	0.014	0.005	0.003	0.9996
				Z = 0.03	Y = 0.28				
F									
10.414	-3.227	-0.765	0.918	0.119	0.029	0.023	0.008	0.007	0.9998

Table 6. The coefficients of the F and FO-mode PA relations in the form $\log t = a + b \log P$, for Z = 0.004, Y = 0.25; Z = 0.008, Y = 0.25 and Z = 0.03, Y = 0.28 derived by assuming linear IS boundaries and adopting both *case A* and *B* ML relations. The last two columns represent the root-mean-square deviation (σ) and the R-squared (R^2) coefficients. For comparison, the relations for Z = 0.02 and Y = 0.28 taken by DS20b are also reported.

ML	a	b	σ_a	σ_b	σ	R^2
		Z = 0.004	Y = 0.25			
Fundamental mode						
А	8.455	-0.800	0.013	0.013	0.090	0.849
В	8.570	-0.692	0.019	0.014	0.089	0.767
First overtone mode						
А	8.342	-0.891	0.024	0.063	0.054	0.585
		Z = 0.008	Y = 0.25			
Fundamental mode						
А	8.398	-0.776	0.016	0.013	0.097	0.681
В	8.503	-0.688	0.010	0.007	0.085	0.839
First overtone mode						
А	8.280	-0.777	0.023	0.039	0.065	0.770
		Z = 0.02	Y = 0.28			
Fundamental mode						
А	8.393	-0.704	0.008	0.009	0.084	0.916
В	8.480	-0.626	0.010	0.009	0.080	0.866
First overtone mode						
А	8.120	-0.396	0.020	0.057	0.052	0.506
		Z = 0.03	Y = 0.28			
Fundamental mode						
А	8.336	-0.673	0.009	0.010	0.076	0.887
В	8.356	-0.555	0.012	0.009	0.082	0.822

stellar evolutionary properties such as current mass, luminosity, and effective temperature.

In the following subsections, we provide a concise description of the main characteristics of the adopted evolutionary and pulsation frameworks.

2.1 The evolutionary framework

The sets of stellar models adopted in the present investigation are fully consistent with those adopted for deriving the PA and PAC relations for a solar chemical composition by DS20b. These stellar model sets correspond to the updated version of the BaSTI stellar



Figure 3. Fundamental PA relations for Z = 0.004 (dashed lines), Z = 0.008 (solid lines), Z = 0.02 (dotted lines), and Z = 0.03 (dash-dotted lines), obtained by adopting the canonical ML relation (left-hand panel) and non-canonical ML relation (right-hand panel) and the standard efficiency for the superadiabatic convection. The coloured areas represent the 1 σ errors on these relations.



Figure 4. First overtone PA relations for Z = 0.004 (dashed lines), Z = 0.008 (solid lines), Z = 0.02 (dotted lines), and Z = 0.03, obtained by adopting the canonical ML relation and the standard efficiency for the superadiabatic convection.

evolutionary library for the solar scaled heavy element distribution, presented by Hidalgo et al. (2018) and available at the URL: http://basti-iac.oa-abruzzo.inaf.it.

A complete description of the physical inputs and assumptions adopted for computing these models is provided by Hidalgo et al. (2018), while a brief summary of the features more relevant for the investigation of the CC properties can be found in De Somma et al. (2020b). Here, it is enough to mention that for this analysis we selected stellar models in the $4M_{\odot}$ and $11M_{\odot}$ mass range for the following chemical compositions: Z=0.004, Y=0.252; Z=0.008, Y=0.257; and Z=0.03, Y = 0.284.¹

The BaSTI library provides evolutionary predictions for both stellar models, accounting for a moderate core convective overshooting during the core H-burning stage (non-canonical models), and completely neglecting the occurrence of any physical process able to increase the size of the convective core above the canonical value predicted by the Schwarzschild criterion (canonical scenario) (we refer to Hidalgo et al. 2018, for a detailed discussion on this topic).

A subsample of the stellar evolutionary tracks adopted in this work is shown in Fig. 1.

In order to allow the derivation of the PAC relation, the selected sets of stellar models have been converted from the theoretical Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram to various photometric systems, such as the Johnson–Cousin, the Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2021) and the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) NIRCam ones. As such, the procedure described in detail in Hidalgo et al. (2018) has been adopted.

2.2 The pulsational framework

To investigate the metallicity effect on the pulsational properties of CCs, as well as to pave the way for future theoretical calibrations of the Cepheid-based extragalactic distance scale, a theoretical analysis of the CC pulsation scenario was extended to chemical compositions different from the solar one already analyzed in DS20a. In particular, we considered the following chemical compositions: Z = 0.004, Y = 0.25; Z = 0.008, Y = 0.25; and Z = 0.03, Y = 0.28, to be representative of CCs observed in the Small Magellanic Cloud, the Large Magellanic Cloud, and M31, respectively.

For each chemical composition, a wide range of masses $(3 < M/M_{\odot} < 11)$ and effective temperatures $[3600 < T_{eff}(K) < 7200]$, as well as two assumptions of the ML relation were considered. Models with a canonical luminosity level, i.e. models computed by neglecting core convective overshooting, rotation, and mass loss, were labeled 'case A', while those with a non-canonical luminosity level, obtained by increasing the canonical luminosity level by $\Delta \log (L/L_{\odot}) = 0.2 dex$, were labeled 'case B'. An analysis of the impact of a change in the super-adiabatic convection efficiency was also performed for consistency with the analysis performed at solar chemical abundance (DS20a).

This notwithstanding, we note that for the present analysis, a standard convective efficiency value (i.e. $\alpha_{ml} = 1.5$) was accounted for because both the PA and PAC relations were found to be barely

¹The chemical compositions of the stellar model sets are quite consistent with those adopted for the pulsational computations. The extremely small differences in the initial He abundances have no impact at all on present investigation.

Classical Cepheids: PAZ and PACZ relations 1479

Table 7. The coefficients of the F-mode canonical and non-canonical PAC relations $\log t = a + b\log P + c$ CI), for Z = 0.004, Y = 0.25; Z = 0.008, Y = 0.25; Z = 0.008, Y = 0.28; and Z = 0.03, Y = 0.28. The last two columns represent the root-mean-square deviation (σ) and the R-squared (R^2) coefficients.

Z	Y	Colour	ML	а	b	с	σ_a	σ_b	σ_c	σ	R^2
Fundamental mode											
0.004	0.25	$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	А	8.794	-0.571	-0.543	0.036	0.026	0.055	0.082	0.868
0.004	0.25	V–I	А	8.808	-0.578	-0.618	0.038	0.026	0.063	0.082	0.868
0.004	0.25	V–K	А	8.767	-0.576	-0.254	0.033	0.025	0.025	0.081	0.869
0.004	0.25	J–K	А	8.715	-0.579	-0.864	0.027	0.024	0.082	0.081	0.870
0.004	0.25	F115W-F150W	А	8.769	-0.583	-1.087	0.032	0.024	0.102	0.080	0.871
0.004	0.25	F150W-F200W	А	8.616	-0.574	-1.954	0.020	0.025	0.190	0.081	0.869
0.004	0.25	F115W-F200W	А	8.716	-0.579	-0.702	0.027	0.024	0.066	0.081	0.870
0.004	0.25	$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	В	8.517	-0.758	0.135	0.024	0.024	0.035	0.068	0.772
0.004	0.25	V–I	В	8.080	-0.394	0.047	0.015	0.014	0.027	0.071	0.421
0.004	0.25	V–K	В	8.096	-0.379	0.006	0.013	0.013	0.011	0.071	0.421
0.004	0.25	J–K	В	8.103	-0.370	-0.006	0.011	0.012	0.034	0.071	0.421
0.004	0.25	F115W-F150W	В	8.159	-0.319	-0.227	0.014	0.012	0.045	0.071	0.423
0.004	0.25	F150W-F200W	В	8.097	-0.416	0.253	0.008	0.013	0.072	0.071	0.422
0.004	0.25	F115W-F200W	В	8.114	-0.353	-0.048	0.011	0.012	0.028	0.071	0.421
0.008	0.25	G_{BP} – G_{RP}	А	8.772	-0.313	-0.769	0.026	0.030	0.045	0.085	0.733
0.008	0.25	V–I	А	8.728	-0.345	-0.794	0.026	0.030	0.051	0.087	0.724
0.008	0.25	V–K	А	8.651	-0.332	-0.321	0.022	0.030	0.020	0.086	0.728
0.008	0.25	J–K	А	8.574	-0.333	-1.086	0.018	0.029	0.064	0.086	0.731
0.008	0.25	F115W-F150W	А	8.691	-0.327	-1.497	0.022	0.027	0.082	0.084	0.738
0.008	0.25	F150W-F200W	А	8.407	-0.330	-2.168	0.015	0.031	0.136	0.087	0.726
0.008	0.25	F115W-F200W	А	8.578	-0.323	-0.896	0.018	0.029	0.051	0.085	0.734
0.008	0.25	$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	В	8.783	-0.401	-0.533	0.030	0.030	0.054	0.082	0.846
0.008	0.25	V–I	В	8.600	-0.581	-0.216	0.030	0.031	0.062	0.085	0.840
0.008	0.25	V–K	В	8.620	-0.526	-0.131	0.024	0.030	0.024	0.084	0.841
0.008	0.25	J–K	В	8.608	-0.499	-0.527	0.018	0.029	0.078	0.084	0.842
0.008	0.25	F115W-F150W	В	8.772	-0.373	-1.207	0.024	0.026	0.098	0.081	0.850
0.008	0.25	F150W-F200W	В	8.512	-0.627	-0.337	0.011	0.031	0.165	0.085	0.839
0.008	0.25	F115W-F200W	В	8.633	-0.455	-0.525	0.018	0.029	0.063	0.083	0.844
0.02	0.28	$G_{BP}-G_{RP}$	А	8.416	-0.711	-0.019	0.037	0.022	0.049	0.080	0.917
0.02	0.28	V–I	А	8.338	-0.740	0.090	0.040	0.023	0.062	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	V–K	А	8.351	-0.738	0.033	0.033	0.023	0.024	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	J–K	А	8.360	-0.735	0.109	0.027	0.022	0.084	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	F115W-F150W	А	8.347	-0.736	0.156	0.035	0.022	0.115	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	F150W-F200W	А	8.377	-0.735	0.201	0.016	0.023	0.162	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	F115W-F200W	А	8.360	-0.736	0.089	0.027	0.022	0.068	0.080	0.921
0.02	0.28	$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	В	8.439	-0.702	0.102	0.026	0.017	0.034	0.079	0.858
0.02	0.28	V–I	В	8.462	-0.692	0.082	0.023	0.017	0.036	0.079	0.857
0.02	0.28	V–K	В	8.473	-0.690	0.031	0.019	0.017	0.014	0.079	0.857
0.02	0.28	J–K	В	8.482	-0.686	0.099	0.017	0.016	0.049	0.079	0.857
0.02	0.28	F115W–F150W	В	8.457	-0.693	0.182	0.022	0.016	0.068	0.079	0.858
0.02	0.28	F150W-F200W	В	8.499	-0.685	0.170	0.012	0.017	0.093	0.079	0.857
0.02	0.28	F115W-F200W	В	8.478	-0.690	0.091	0.017	0.016	0.039	0.079	0.857
0.03	0.28	$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	A	8.211	-0.752	0.181	0.036	0.024	0.050	0.074	0.890
0.03	0.28	V–I	A	8.227	-0.746	0.186	0.033	0.023	0.054	0.074	0.890
0.03	0.28	V–K	A	8.251	-0.743	0.071	0.027	0.023	0.021	0.074	0.890
0.03	0.28	J–K	A	8.268	-0.739	0.247	0.022	0.022	0.075	0.074	0.889
0.03	0.28	F115W-F150W	A	8.238	-0.742	0.359	0.029	0.022	0.102	0.074	0.890
0.03	0.28	F150W-F200W	A	8.306	-0.738	0.443	0.013	0.023	0.142	0.074	0.889
0.03	0.28	F115W-F200W	A	8.268	-0.741	0.201	0.022	0.022	0.060	0.074	0.890
0.03	0.28	$G_{BP}-G_{RP}$	В	8.369	-0.539	- 0.027	0.021	0.023	0.035	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	V-I	В	8.374	-0.526	- 0.050	0.017	0.022	0.034	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	V-K	В	8.368	-0.530	-0.018	0.015	0.022	0.014	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	J-K	В	8.365	-0.529	- 0.068	0.014	0.021	0.050	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	F115W-F150W	В	8.368	-0.538	- 0.067	0.018	0.021	0.073	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	F150W-F200W	В	8.354	-0.530	- 0.118	0.012	0.022	0.095	0.080	0.822
0.03	0.28	F115W-F200W	В	8.363	-0.535	-0.044	0.014	0.021	0.041	0.080	0.822

affected by the choice of the mixing length parameter (see DS20b, for more details).

and convective equations was integrated until a stable limit cycle of pulsation was achieved.

The adopted input parameters are listed in Table 1. For each selected model and pulsation mode, the system of non-linear dynamical The relation of the effective temperature of each IS boundary as a function of the luminosity, obtained by performing a linear fit,

Table 8. The same as in Table 7 but for FO-mode canonical PAC relation
--

Z	Y	Colour	ML	а	b	с	σ_a	σ_b	σ_c	σ	R^2
First overtone mode											
0.004	0.25	$G_{BP}-G_{RP}$	А	8.002	-1.097	0.668	0.107	0.088	0.206	0.047	0.614
0.004	0.25	V–I	А	7.995	-1.088	0.741	0.110	0.087	0.230	0.047	0.613
0.004	0.25	V–K	А	8.005	-1.094	0.333	0.107	0.088	0.103	0.047	0.614
0.004	0.25	J–K	А	8.061	-1.089	1.173	0.090	0.087	0.363	0.047	0.613
0.004	0.25	F115W-F150W	А	8.054	-1.071	1.266	0.094	0.084	0.399	0.047	0.612
0.004	0.25	F150W-F200W	А	8.034	-1.125	4.024	0.096	0.093	1.211	0.046	0.615
0.004	0.25	F115W-F200W	А	8.049	-1.084	0.964	0.094	0.086	0.300	0.047	0.613
0.008	0.25	$G_{BP}-G_{RP}$	А	8.044	-0.601	0.180	0.100	0.076	0.179	0.064	0.421
0.008	0.25	V–I	А	8.040	-0.599	0.208	0.104	0.075	0.207	0.064	0.421
0.008	0.25	V–K	А	8.042	-0.601	0.095	0.100	0.075	0.092	0.064	0.422
0.008	0.25	J–K	А	8.058	-0.599	0.336	0.085	0.074	0.326	0.064	0.422
0.008	0.25	F115W-F150W	А	8.055	-0.594	0.367	0.090	0.072	0.364	0.064	0.421
0.008	0.25	F150W-F200W	А	8.050	-0.611	1.161	0.087	0.079	1.044	0.064	0.422
0.008	0.25	F115W-F200W	А	8.054	-0.598	0.279	0.089	0.074	0.270	0.064	0.422
0.02	0.28	$G_{BP}-G_{RP}$	А	8.082	-0.452	0.069	0.142	0.097	0.223	0.050	0.332
0.02	0.28	V–I	А	8.082	-0.451	0.078	0.144	0.095	0.258	0.050	0.331
0.02	0.28	V–K	А	8.083	-0.452	0.036	0.135	0.095	0.115	0.050	0.332
0.02	0.28	J–K	А	8.090	-0.451	0.131	0.115	0.094	0.416	0.050	0.332
0.02	0.28	F115W-F150W	А	8.090	-0.448	0.139	0.121	0.090	0.471	0.050	0.331
0.02	0.28	F150W-F200W	А	8.094	-0.452	0.364	0.108	0.100	1.224	0.050	0.331
0.02	0.28	F115W-F200W	А	8.091	-0.449	0.101	0.118	0.093	0.340	0.050	0.331

Table 9. The coefficients of the F and FO-mode PAZ relations in the form $\log t = a + b\log P + c$ (Fe/H) obtained by using all the computed models for Z = 0.004, Z = 0.008, Z = 0.02, and Z = 0.03. The root-mean-square deviation (σ) and the R-squared (R^2) coefficients are reported in the last two columns.

а	b	с	σ_a	σ_b	σ_c	σ	R^2
8.419	-0.775	-0.015	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.083	0.845
8.423	-0.642	-0.067	0.006	0.004	0.006	0.081	0.842
8.236	-0.762	-0.079	0.014	0.028	0.015	0.041	0.686
	a 8.419 8.423 8.236	a b 8.419 -0.775 8.423 -0.642 8.236 -0.762	a b c 8.419 -0.775 -0.015 8.423 -0.642 -0.067 8.236 -0.762 -0.079	a b c σ_a 8.419 -0.775 -0.015 0.006 8.423 -0.642 -0.067 0.006 8.236 -0.762 -0.079 0.014	a b c σ_a σ_b 8.419 -0.775 -0.015 0.006 0.006 8.423 -0.642 -0.067 0.006 0.004 8.236 -0.762 -0.079 0.014 0.028	abc σ_a σ_b σ_c 8.419-0.775-0.0150.0060.0060.0078.423-0.642-0.0670.0060.0040.0068.236-0.762-0.0790.0140.0280.015	abc σ_a σ_b σ_c σ 8.419-0.775-0.0150.0060.0060.0070.0838.423-0.642-0.0670.0060.0040.0060.0818.236-0.762-0.0790.0140.0280.0150.041

for both the F and FO pulsators, is provided in Tables 2 to 4, for Z = 0.004, Z = 0.008, and Z = 0.03, respectively.

The linear relations for the F and FO-mode (when it was stable) boundaries obtained for the canonical ML relation and the standard convective efficiency are plotted in Fig. 2. For the sake of comparison, the same figure also shows the IS boundaries for the solar chemical composition (Z = 0.02, Y = 0.28). These plots show that, in agreement with previous studies (Bono et al. 1999, 1997), a change in the chemical composition does significantly affect the topology of the instability strip. As the metallicity increases from Z = 0.004 to Z = 0.03, at a fixed mixing length parameter and ML relation, the IS gets redder. This occurrence is due to both the decreased hydrogen abundance that makes pulsation less efficient at the blue edge of the IS, delaying the onset of pulsation to lower effective temperatures, and the increased contribution of iron bump opacity to pulsation at the IS red boundary, thereby delaying the quenching of pulsation due to convection.

Following the same approach adopted for the solar chemical composition case, a linear regression of the period as a function of the luminosity, the mass, and the effective temperature (PMLT relation) was carried out. The coefficients of the relations for F and FO pulsators, for each chemical composition, are reported in Table 5. A quick inspection of their values clearly shows that the metallicity has a larger effect on the zero-point and on the term related to the effective temperature than on the other coefficients. In agreement

with previous investigations (see e.g. Bono, Castellani & Marconi 2000), longer periods are expected for higher metal abundance models, when all the other parameters are fixed.

3 THE DEPENDENCE OF THE PERIOD-AGE AND PERIOD-AGE-COLOUR RELATIONS ON THE METALLICITY

By combining our pulsation model results for the aforementioned chemical compositions with the corresponding stellar evolution model predictions, and adopting the derived PMLT relation, the periods for each combination of mass, luminosity, and effective temperature, along the portions of the evolutionary tracks within the predicted instability strip boundaries (see Fig. 1), were derived.

A linear regression of the periods estimated from the PMLT relation, the age and mean colours – in the various selected photometric systems – of the corresponding points along the evolutionary tracks, allowed us to derive accurate PA and multi-filter PAC relations, including the first theoretical PAC in the Gaia bands for the new chemical compositions (see Table 1). The coefficients of the PA relation are reported in Table 6.

Fig. 3 shows the canonical (left-hand panel) and non-canonical (right-hand panel) PA relations obtained for the standard convective efficiency for Z = 0.004 (dashed blue line), Z = 0.008 (solid green line), Z = 0.02 (dotted cyan line), and Z = 0.03 (dash-dotted

Table 10. The coefficients of the F and FO PACZ relations $\log t = a + b\log P + c \operatorname{CI} + d$ (Fe/H), derived by adopting both *cases A* and *B* ML relations.

Colour	ML	а	b	с	d	σ_a	σ_b	σ_c	σ_d	σ	R^2
Fundamental mode											
$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	А	8.711	-0.559	-0.446	-0.048	0.018	0.014	0.026	0.026	0.042	0.858
V-I	А	8.676	-0.577	-0.460	-0.038	0.017	0.014	0.029	0.007	0.078	0.856
V-K	А	8.628	-0.572	-0.186	-0.023	0.014	0.013	0.011	0.007	0.078	0.857
J-K	А	8.581	-0.570	-0.650	-0.001	0.011	0.013	0.036	0.007	0.077	0.859
F115W-F150W	А	8.651	-0.558	-0.921	-0.012	0.013	0.012	0.046	0.007	0.076	0.862
F150W-F200W	А	8.490	-0.589	-1.177	0.030	0.007	0.013	0.075	0.007	0.079	0.856
F115W-F200W	А	8.584	-0.566	-0.530	0.006	0.011	0.013	0.029	0.007	0.077	0.859
$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	В	8.560	-0.519	-0.231	-0.014	0.013	0.011	0.020	0.020	0.043	0.847
V-I	В	8.360	-0.494	0.077	-0.022	0.011	0.010	0.020	0.005	0.080	0.670
V-K	В	8.374	-0.467	0.054	-0.021	0.009	0.010	0.008	0.004	0.080	0.671
J-K	В	8.366	-0.457	0.215	-0.029	0.007	0.010	0.026	0.004	0.080	0.671
F115W-F150W	В	8.318	-0.419	-0.246	-0.004	0.010	0.009	0.036	0.004	0.076	0.617
F150W-F200W	В	8.265	-0.450	-0.147	0.008	0.006	0.010	0.054	0.004	0.076	0.616
F115W-F200W	В	8.290	-0.430	-0.113	0.003	0.008	0.010	0.022	0.004	0.076	0.617
First overtone mode											
$G_{BP} - G_{RP}$	А	7.958	-0.832	0.410	-0.155	0.073	0.033	0.106	0.106	0.040	0.698
V-I	А	7.965	-0.832	0.463	-0.134	0.073	0.033	0.125	0.020	0.040	0.698
V-K	А	7.979	-0.837	0.208	-0.123	0.070	0.034	0.056	0.018	0.040	0.698
J-K	А	8.023	-0.836	0.734	-0.110	0.059	0.034	0.202	0.016	0.040	0.697
F115W-F150W	А	8.022	-0.827	0.798	-0.105	0.061	0.033	0.226	0.016	0.040	0.697
F150W-F200W	А	8.003	-0.853	2.462	-0.121	0.061	0.036	0.639	0.018	0.040	0.699
F115W-F200W	А	8.016	-0.833	0.605	-0.109	0.061	0.034	0.167	0.016	0.040	0.697

magenta line). The coloured areas represent the 1σ errors on these relationships.

For any given initial mass, non-canonical models during the blue loop phase are older and brighter with respect to the canonical ones as a consequence of the larger convective core during the central H-burning stage, and of the larger He core during the central He-burning phase. As a result, PA relations – regardless of the metallicity – based on the non-canonical scenario, predict older ages than the corresponding canonical PA relation as also shown at solar metallicity by DS20b, for any given period.

Regardless of the selected evolutionary scenario, i.e. either the canonical or the non-canonical one, as the metal abundance increases, the PA relation gets flatter. This occurrence becomes more evident when moving from Z = 0.008 to Z = 0.02, and then to Z = 0.03.

Fig. 4 shows the same comparison as Fig. 3 but for FO-mode models. In this case, due to the reduced number of available models, only the canonical cases for Z = 0.004, Z = 0.008, and Z = 0.02 are represented. As FO-mode pulsators have masses and periods smaller than F pulsators, their ages are systematically older than the F ones for each chemical composition. Again, we note a non-negligible metallicity effect on both the slope and the zero-point that becomes important, particularly when moving from Z = 0.004 to Z = 0.02. This difference is related to the decreasing number of FO-mode pulsators as the metallicity increases.

Similar to the PL relation, the PA is affected by the intrinsic dispersion, due to the finite width of the instability strip. This implies that the use of PA relations provides individual CC ages that are affected by systematic errors related to the actual position of the pulsator inside the IS. In order to take into account this occurrence, as done in DS20b, we derived the PAC relation for various photometric systems, such as the Gaia EDR3, Johnson–Cousin and JWST NIRCam photometric systems.

The coefficients of the F and FO-mode PAC for the Gaia (G_{BP} – G_{RP}) colour, as well as (V–I), (V–K), (J–K), and (F115W–F150W),

(F150W-F200W), and (F115W-F200W) colours are listed in Tables 7 and 8, respectively.²

The availability of a theoretical scenario spanning a wide metallicity range allowed us to obtain the PAZ and PACZ relations. The coefficients of the PAZ relations are reported in Table 9 while Table 10 shows the coefficients of the PACZ relation for both F and FO-mode pulsators. These PAZ and PACZ relations are the first metallicity-dependent theoretical calibration of the CC PA and PAC relations.³

3.1 Comparison with literature results

In this Section we compare the derived PA and PAC relations with those obtained by other authors (see Table 4 in DS20b for more

²To validate the inclusion of the colour term to the Period-Age relation, an F-test analysis was performed (Press et al. 2002, and references therein). Assuming a standard significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$, and comparing it with the p-values obtained from the F-test, lead us to assert that for the 77 period-age-colour relations listed in Tables 7 and 8 the colour term is favoured and therefore, the period-age-colour relation is preferred to the period-age relation.

³Following a referee's suggestion, we verified that present results are barely affected, if any, by the adopted density grid for both pulsation and evolutionary models. This is because the density of the pulsation model grid has been optimized to properly define the location of the instability strip boundaries. From the point of view of the evolutionary models, the density of the grid - both in terms of the number of evolutionary tracks and time steps for each stellar model - is very fine to properly trace any evolutionary features during the core He-burning stage. This notwithstanding, we decided to perform a test by deriving the PA, PAC, PAZ, and PACZ relations after halving the number of the adopted evolutionary models. We found that this assumption increases the errors on the slope and intercept as well as the standard deviation of the relations by about 35 per cent; but the average values of the slope and intercept in each relation remain consistent within the errors.



Figure 5. Bottom panel: comparison between F-mode PA relations derived in the present work for Z = 0.004 (solid magenta line); obtained by varying the adopted ML relation (see labels) with the canonical PA relations derived by Bono et al. (2005). The coloured areas represent the 1σ errors on these relationships. Upper panel: the relative difference, with the expected uncertainty, between the age predictions obtained from the PA relationships compared in the bottom panel.

details). For the chemical composition Z = 0.004 and Y = 0.25, Fig. 5 shows the comparison between our canonical PA relation derived for F-mode pulsators (magenta solid line) with the ones derived by Bono et al. (2005) (blue dashed line). Fig. 6 shows the same comparison but for FO-mode pulsators.

These figures show that, for F pulsators, within the error, a good agreement does exist, whereas in the case of the FO-mode, our relation is steeper than that provided by Bono et al. (2005); although the two slopes are still consistent within the errors. This difference can be related to, the small number of FO pulsators with respect to the F-mode models, a slight difference in the assumed ML relation, and the selected mass range because we only selected masses equal to or greater than four solar masses while in Bono et al. (2005) masses less than four solar masses were also included.

In Fig. 7, the left-hand panel displays the comparison between our canonical PA relations obtained for Z = 0.008 and Y = 0.25(magenta solid line) and the one derived by Bono et al. (2005) (blue dashed line), while the right-hand panel shows our non-canonical PA relation for the same chemical composition compared with the Efremov (2003) results (green dash-dotted line). Our relation for Z = 0.008 is in excellent accord with the result by Bono et al. (2005), and slightly steeper than the Efremov (2003) relation, but still consistent within the corresponding errors.



Figure 6. The same as in Fig. 5 but for FO-mode pulsators.

The comparison between our canonical PA relation for FO-mode pulsators with Z = 0.008 and the PA relation by Bono et al. (2005) is shown in Fig. 8. Here, the difference in the slope is still more significant than at Z = 0.004 because of the smaller number of FO-mode pulsators as the metallicity increases. In Fig. 9, we compare our non-canonical PA relation for Z = 0.004 and Z = 0.008 with the relation derived by Anderson et al. (2016) for Z = 0.006. The Anderson et al. (2016) PA relation provides ages that are systematically older than the ones predicted by our relations. This discrepancy is due to the brighter non-canonical ML relation adopted by Anderson et al. (2016) which includes both overshooting and rotation in their models.

In Fig. 10, our non-canonical PA relation, derived for Z = 0.03 and Y = 0.28 (solid magenta line) is compared with the one derived by Magnier et al. (1997) (dotted red line) and the ones derived by Senchyna et al. (2015) for M31 CCs (orange triangle marker) and M31 clusters (grey star marker). While the agreement with Magnier et al. (1997) is very good, our relations show fainter/brighter zero points than the Senchyna et al. (2015) results based on cluster Cepheids. In any case, their associated errors are so large that our predictions are still consistent with the results by these authors. The upper panels of Figs 5–10 show the relative age difference between our PA relations and the literature ones (see labels).

4 INDIVIDUAL AGES OF GAIA EARLY DATA RELEASE 3 CLASSICAL CEPHEIDS

In this Section, we apply the theoretical relations discussed above to a subsample of EDR3 Galactic Cepheids with bayesian distances (see Bailer-Jones et al. 2021, for more details) and complementary



Figure 7. *Bottom panels*: comparison between present F-mode PA relations obtained for Z = 0.008 (solid magenta line) by varying the adopted ML relation (see labels), with similar predictions from the literature: the dashed blue line shows the canonical PA relations by Bono et al. (2005) (left-hand panel) and the dashed green line the non-canonical PA relation by Efremov (2003) (right-hand panel). The 1σ errors on these relationships as provided by the authors are represented with the coloured areas. *Upper panels*: the relative age difference between the age predictions obtained by current PA relations for the canonical case (*left-hand panel*) and the non-canonical one (*right-hand panel*) and those obtained with the PA relations taken from the literature.

metal abundance data (Gaia Collaboration 2017; Groenewegen 2018; Ripepi et al. 2020), in order to apply both the PAZ, PACZ relations and the PA, PAC, at a fixed solar metallicity, to the Cepheid sample. The resulting sample consists of 416 F-mode and 56 FO-mode pulsators.

4.1 Individual age estimates from PAZ and PACZ relations

By applying the PAZ and PACZ relations derived above, we estimated the individual ages of all the Cepheids in the selected sample. Figs 11 and 12 show the distribution of the individual ages computed by using PAZ and PACZ relations, respectively. Inspection of these plots suggests that, in both cases, the age distribution depends on the assumed ML relation, with the brighter ML relation (case B) providing a shift of the age distribution towards older ages. This trend confirms previous results by Anderson et al. (2016), Bono et al. (2005) and DS20b. Furthermore, a comparison between Figs 11 and 12 confirms that similar results for the main peak of the age distribution are inferred when using the PAZ or the PACZ relations.

For the sake of quantifying the impact of the metallicity term on the previous relations, we also plotted, in Fig. 13, the individual age distributions obtained when applying the F and FO-mode PAC relations derived for the solar metallicity case. Regardless of the selected ML relation, the peaks of the retrieved F-mode CC age distributions appear to be shifted towards slightly older ages with respect to the case in which the appropriate CC metallicity is accounted for. For the FO-mode CCs, the age distribution appears quite more peaked than the one obtained from the PACZ relation.

Assuming the canonical ML relation, the age distribution for fundamental pulsators (see blue bars in the left-hand panels of Figs 11 and 12), made using the PAZ and the PACZ relations, peaks around 7.86 and 7.89 Myrs, respectively. The minimum and maximum age values derived from the PAZ relation are 6.99 Myr and 8.20 Myr, respectively. However, the application of the PACZ provides a minimum age of 7.13 Myr and a maximum age of 8.23 Myr. In the non-canonical case (brown bars), the PAZ relation provides a peak age around 7.92 Myr while the PACZ's is around 7.96 Myr. The minimum and maximum age values from the PAZ and PACZ relations are, 7.24 Myr and 8.28 Myr, and 7.32 Myr and 8.24 Myr, respectively. As expected, the entire FO-mode GCC age distribution (see magenta bars in the right-hand panels of Figs 11 and 12) is shifted towards older ages with a peak around 7.86 Myr and 7.93 Myr from the PAZ and PACZ relations, respectively; and minimum and maximum age values of 7.61 Myr and 8.05 Myr from the PAZ application and 7.63 Myr and 8.03 Myr from the PACZ one. Since the age errors are smaller in the case of the PACZ, we decided to provide only the ages derived using the PACZ relation. The computed ages of the fundamental pulsators for canonical and non-canonical ML assumptions are partly reported in Tables 11 and 12, respectively. The retrieved ages for the canonical first overtone pulsators are partly listed in Table 13. Complete tables are available in the supplementary material.



Figure 8. *Bottom panel*: comparison between present FO-mode PA relation (solid magenta line) obtained for Z = 0.008 and ML case A, and the theoretical FO-mode GCC PA relation obtained by Bono et al. (2005) (dashed blue line). Upper panel: the relative difference between the age estimates provided by these PA relations.

For comparison, Fig. 13 shows the age distributions derived by applying the PAC relation for the solar metallicity to the same Cepheid sample; that is, neglecting any difference in the individual metal abundances. In particular, the left-hand panel, showing the age distribution for the F-mode pulsators, highlights that the age distributions for canonical (red bars) and non-canonical (green bars) pulsators each peaks around 7.85 Myr and 8.0 Myr, respectively. This is slightly different from the metal-dependent value (7.89 and 7.96 Myr, respectively). The minimum and maximum age values are 7.07 Myr and 8.19 Myr (against 7.13 and 8.23 for the metaldependent case) for the canonical case, and 7.26 Myr and 8.32 Myr (against 7.32 and 8.24 for the metal-dependent case) for the noncanonical case. The FO-mode pulsator age distribution (right-hand panel) peaks around 7.90 Myr with minimum and maximum age values of 7.77 Myr and 8.01 Myr (against 7.63 and 8.03 for the metal-dependent case).

4.2 The age distribution maps

Fig. 14 shows the age distribution map (colour-code scale), inferred from the application of the canonical fundamental (left-hand panel), canonical first overtone (middle panel) PACZ relation and the non-canonical fundamental PACZ relation (right-hand panel) to the selected sample of Galactic Cepheids. To build the figure, we adopted the photogeometric distances by Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) based on Gaia EDR3 astrometry and transformed the Galactic coordinates into



Figure 9. Bottom panel: comparison between F-mode non-canonical PA relations for Z = 0.004 (solid brown line) and Z = 0.008 (dashed line) derived in the present work and the non-canonical PA relation provided by Anderson et al. (2016) for Z = 0.006. Upper panel: the relative difference between the age estimates provided by these PA relations.

Galactocentric Cartesian coordinates, assuming that the investigated Cepheids are located on the Galactic disc and that the distance of the Sun from the Galactic centre is 8.3 kpc. The plotted maps show that the selected sample is concentrated at a relatively low Galactocentric distance so that the dependence of the inferred age on this parameter is not evident as in our previous investigation (DS20b). This is due to the limited number of Cepheids in the Gaia EDR3 catalogue with individual metal abundances. To investigate the effect of the metallicity term in the adopted PAC relation, Fig. 15 shows the direct comparison between the individual ages inferred from the PACZ relation and the ones obtained from the PAC relation at solar chemical composition, for canonical (upper panel) and noncanonical (middle panel) F-mode and canonical FO-mode (bottom panel) assumptions.

We notice that in the case of the canonical F-mode relations, the inclusion of the metallicity term produces, on average, a slight shift towards older ages, especially moving towards longer periods. On the other hand, for the F-mode non-canonical case, the individual ages predicted by the PACZ relation appear to be, on average, smaller than in the fixed Z = 0.02 case. Finally, in the case of the FO-mode, the difference is significant at shorter periods, with the ages predicted by the PACZ being systematically smaller than the ones obtained from the PACZ relation. The comparisons shown in Fig. 15 suggest that the inclusion of the metallicity term is relevant and it can have a significant effect on the application of PAC relations to constrain the star formation history of Cepheid host galaxies.



Figure 10. *Bottom panel*: comparison between present non-canonical Fmode PA relation (solid magenta line) obtained for Z = 0.03 and ML case B, and the PA relation obtained by Magnier et al. (1997) (dotted red line). The relations marked with triangles and stars represent the PA relations for M31 CCs and M31 clusters, respectively; both derived by Senchyna et al. (2015). *Upper panel*: the relative age difference provided by these PA relations.

5 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

We have presented an updated evolutionary and pulsational scenario for CCs by varying the pulsation mode, the ML relation and the chemical composition. The predicted evolutionary paths and instability strip boundaries were combined to infer updated PA and multi-filter PAC relations, including the first theoretical PAC in the Gaia bands for chemical compositions different from the solar one (see Table 1).

We found that the predicted PA relations, based on the noncanonical scenario, predict older ages than the corresponding canonical PA relations, for any given period and chemical composition; confirming previous results for solar metallicity (DS20b). Moreover, in both the case of the canonical and non-canonical assumptions, as the metal abundance increases, the F-mode PA relation gets flatter. Whereas, no clear trend can be noticed for the FO-mode PA relation apart from a non-negligible variation of its coefficient when varying the chemical composition. A similar dependence on metallicity is found for the PAC coefficients. Therefore, since we rely on a theoretical scenario spanning a wide metallicity range, we derived metal dependent PA and PAC relations that we named PAZ and PACZ relations, respectively.

These PAZ and PACZ relations were applied to a subset of Galactic Cepheids from the Gaia EDR3 catalogue with information on the metal abundance. The inferred individual ages show a distribution that confirms that the predicted age is higher for FO-mode pulsators and increases as the ML relation gets brighter. Moreover, the derived age distributions for F and FO-mode pulsators are compared with similar results based on Z = 0.02 models only. As a result we can conclude that, even if the selected sample is concentrated at a relatively low Galactocentric distance the inclusion of the metallicity term produces slightly different individual ages both in the F-mode and FO-mode cases. In particular, in the F-mode canonical case the adoption of the PACZ relation produces, on average, a slight shift towards older ages, especially at longer periods. Whereas, an opposite trend is found in the F-mode non-canonical case and in the FO-mode canonical case.



Figure 11. The predicted age distribution, derived by applying the PAZ relation to the selected sample of F (*left-hand panel*) and FO-mode (*right-hand panel*) CCs, for the assumed cases A and B of the ML relation (see labels).



Figure 12. The predicted age distribution, derived by applying the PACZ relation to the selected sample of F (*left panel*) and FO-mode (*right panel*) CCs, for the assumed cases A and B of the ML relation (see labels).



Figure 13. The predicted age distribution, derived by applying the PAC relation for Z = 0.02 to the selected sample of F (*left-hand panel*) and FO-mode (*right-hand panel*) CCs, for the assumed cases A and B of the ML relation (see labels).

Table 11. Individual ages for the F-mode GCC in our sample obtained by using the canonical PAZ and PACZ relations. The full table is available as supplementary material.

Gaia EDR3 Source Id	RA (deg)	DEC (deg)	P(d)	(Fe/H)	σ (Fe/H)	G (mag)	G _{BP} -G _{RP} (mag)	$E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag)	$\sigma E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag)	t _{PAZ} (Myr)	σt _{PAZ} (Myr)	t _{PACZ} (Myr)	σt _{PACZ} (Myr)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
4757601523650165120	83.40631	-62.48977	9.84229	- 0.06	0.12	3.59	0.95	0.1	0.02	44.69	8.54	54.50	5.27
4240272953377646592	298.11824	1.00562	7.17680	0.14	0.12	3.75	0.95	0.19	0.02	56.70	10.84	63.24	6.12
3366754155291545344	106.02718	20.57029	10.14860	-0.11	0.12	3.54	0.93	0.06	0.03	43.72	8.36	54.87	5.31
2200153454733285248	337.29288	58.41521	5.36625	0.09	0.12	3.85	0.87	0.1	0.03	71.15	13.60	81.31	7.86
4057701830728920064	266.89007	-27.83083	7.01302	-0.21	0.12	4.33	0.84	0.26	0.03	58.42	11.17	74.89	7.24
4050309195613114624	271.25513	-29.58011	7.59498	0.08	0.12	4.59	0.96	0.15	0.02	54.37	10.39	61.03	5.90
4514145288240593408	284.56145	17.36087	4.47092	0.1	0.12	5.17	0.79	0.26	0.03	81.93	15.66	97.45	9.42
3435571660360952704	97.14203	30.49298	3.72833	0.1	0.12	5.34	0.75	0.08	0.03	94.31	18.03	112.74	10.90
1820309639468685824	299.00526	16.63476	8.38234	0.14	0.12	5.46	0.93	0.16	0.02	50.27	9.61	59.18	5.72
4096107909387492992	275.34576	-18.86003	5.77338	0.11	0.12	5.48	0.89	0.27	0.03	67.18	12.84	76.06	7.36

Table 12. The same as in Table 11 but for non-canonical F-mode models. The full table is available as supplementary material.

Gaia EDR3 Source Id (1)	RA (deg) (2)	DEC (deg) (3)	P(d) (4)	(Fe/H) (5)	σ (Fe/H) (6)	G (mag) (7)	GBP-GRP (mag) (8)	$E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag) (9)	$\sigma E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag) (10)	tPAZ (Myr) (11)	σ t _{PAZ} (Myr) (12)	t _{PACZ} (Myr) (13)	σ t _{PACZ} (Myr) (14)
4757601523650165120	83.40631	- 62.48977	9.84229	- 0.06	0.12	3.59	0.95	0.1	0.02	61.58	11.54	67.04	6.64
4240272953377646592	298.11824	1.00562	7.17680	0.14	0.12	3.75	0.95	0.19	0.02	73.13	13.71	78.43	7.77
3366754155291545344	106.02718	20.57029	10.14860	-0.11	0.12	3.54	0.93	0.06	0.03	60.85	11.40	66.68	6.60
2200153454733285248	337.29288	58.41521	5.36625	0.09	0.12	3.85	0.87	0.1	0.03	88.82	16.65	95.33	9.44
4057701830728920064	266.89007	-27.83083	7.01302	-0.21	0.12	4.33	0.84	0.26	0.03	78.34	14.68	84.96	8.41
4050309195613114624	271.25513	-29.58011	7.59498	0.08	0.12	4.59	0.96	0.15	0.02	71.18	13.34	75.84	7.51
4514145288240593408	284.56145	17.36087	4.47092	0.1	0.12	5.17	0.79	0.26	0.03	99.71	18.69	109.22	10.81
3435571660360952704	97.14203	30.49298	3.72833	0.1	0.12	5.34	0.75	0.08	0.03	112.04	21.00	122.79	12.16
1820309639468685824	299.00526	16.63476	8.38234	0.14	0.12	5.46	0.93	0.16	0.02	66.19	12.41	73.13	7.24
4096107909387492992	275.34576	-18.86003	5.77338	0.11	0.12	5.48	0.89	0.27	0.03	84.49	15.84	90.62	8.97

Table 13. The same as in Table 11 but for canonical FO-mode models. The full table is available as supplementary material.

Gaia EDR3 Source Id	RA (deg) (2)	DEC (deg) (3)	P(d)	(Fe/H) (5)	σ (Fe/H) (6)	G (mag) (7)	G _{BP} -G _{RP} (mag) (8)	$E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag) (9)	$\sigma E(G_{BP}-G_{RP})$ (mag) (10)	t _{PAZ} (Myr) (11)	σt_{PAZ} (Myr) (12)	tPACZ (Myr) (13)	σ t _{PACZ} (Myr) (14)
6058439910929477120	187 91793	- 59 42394	3 34265	-0.11	0.12	5.27	0.70	0.14	0.03	70.04	6 79	67.07	6.18
5506374096132016512	114.57584	- 48.60141	5.69453	-0.11	0.12	5.47	0.76	0.14	0.02	46.67	4.52	45.60	4.20
5519380081746387328	122.99983	- 46.64432	4.22727	0.09	0.12	5.59	0.74	0.05	0.03	56.47	5.47	53.50	4.93
1853025642297186688	316.62604	31.18463	2.49921	0.16	0.12	5.65	0.67	0.09	0.03	83.22	8.06	75.29	6.93
1964855904803120640	319.84243	38.23746	3.33282	0.09	0.12	5.72	0.70	0.03	0.0	67.69	6.56	62.47	5.75
541716257882226560	42.99483	68.88847	1.95151	0.12	0.12	5.73	0.63	0.37	0.04	101.22	9.81	90.72	8.36
3409635486731094400	69.31155	18.54301	3.14804	0.15	0.12	6.27	0.76	0.41	0.04	69.93	6.78	67.70	6.24
5960623272099513856	264.40651	-40.81355	3.38033	0.18	0.12	7.07	0.72	0.34	0.04	65.87	6.38	61.00	5.62
5848500161483878400	222.62620	- 67.49763	3.06522	0.14	0.12	7.14	0.71	0.5	0.04	71.49	6.93	66.67	6.14
5877460679352962048	221.67487	-61.46196	2.39810	-0.01	0.12	7.33	0.70	0.35	0.06	88.58	8.58	84.94	7.82



Figure 14. Distribution of the selected Gaia EDR3 Cepheids on the Galactic plane plotted in polar coordinates for canonical F-mode pulsators (left-hand panel), canonical FO-mode pulsators (middle panel) and non-canonical F-mode pulsators (right-hand panel). The Galactic center is in the middle. The black 'X' marks the Sun's position. In each panel, the coloured circles show the predicted individual ages obtained using the PACZ relations, according to the logarithmic colour-bar axis.



Figure 15. Comparison between the individual ages obtained by using the PACZ relation and the PAC relation for Z = 0.02 to the selected sample of canonical F-mode (upper panel), non-canonical F-mode (middle panel) and canonical FO-mode GCCs (bottom panel). The black solid line is the 1:1 line.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the anonymous referee for the pertinent and useful comments that significantly improved the content and readability of the manuscript. We acknowledge the financial support from ASI-Gaia ('Missione Gaia Partecipazione italiana al DPAC – Operazioni e Attività di Analisi dati'), and the project Mainstream INAF 'Stellar evolution and asteroseismology in the context of PLATO space mission' (PI: S.Cassisi). GDS thanks the support from Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Naples section-Specific Initiative Moonlight2.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

REFERENCES

- Anderson R. I., Saio H., Ekström S., Georgy C., Meynet G., 2016, A&A, 591, A8
- Bailer-Jones C. A. L., Rybizki J., Fouesneau M., Demleitner M., Andrae R., 2021, AJ, 161, 147
- Bono G., Caputo F., Cassisi S., Castellani V., Marconi M., 1997, ApJ, 489, 822
- Bono G., Caputo F., Castellani V., Marconi M., 1999, ApJ, 512, 711
- Bono G., Castellani V., Marconi M., 2000, ApJ, 529, 293
- Bono G., Marconi M., Cassisi S., Caputo F., Gieren W., Pietrzynski G., 2005, ApJ, 621, 966
- Caputo F., Marconi M., Musella I., 2000, A&A, 354, 610
- Chiosi C., Wood P. R., Capitanio N., 1993, ApJS, 86, 541
- De Somma G., Marconi M., Molinaro R., Cignoni M., Musella I., Ripepi V., 2020a, ApJS, 247, 30 (DS20a)
- De Somma G., Marconi M., Cassisi S., Ripepi V., Leccia S., Molinaro R., Musella I., 2020b, MNRAS, 496, 5039 (DS20b)
- Efremov Y. N., 2003, Astron. Rep., 47, 1000
- Freedman W. L. et al., 2001, ApJ, 553, 47
- Gaia Collaboration, 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, 2017, A&A, 605, A79
- Gaia Collaboration, 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, 2021, A&A, 650, C3
- Groenewegen M. A. T., 2018, A&A, 619, A8
- Hidalgo S. L. et al., 2018, ApJ, 856, 125
- Magnier E. A., Augusteijn T., Prins S., van Paradijs J., Lewin W. H. G., 1997, A&AS, 126, 401
- Marconi M., Musella I., Fiorentino G., 2005, ApJ, 632, 590
- Marconi M. et al., 2010, ApJ, 713, 615
- Medina G. E., Lemasle B., Grebel E. K., 2021, MNRAS, 505, 1342
- Press W. H., Teukolsky S. A., Vetterling W. T., Flannery B. P., 2002, Numerical Recipes in C+ +: The Art of Scientific Computing. William H. Press
- Riess A. G. et al., 2018, ApJ, 855, 136
- Riess A. G., Casertano S., Yuan W., Bowers J. B., Macri L., Zinn J. C., Scolnic D., 2021, ApJ, 908, L6
- Ripepi V. et al., 2020, A&A, 642, A230
- Saha A., Thim F., Tammann G. A., Reindl B., Sandage A., 2006, ApJS, 165, 108
- Senchyna P. et al., 2015, ApJ, 813, 31
- Verde L., Treu T., Riess A. G., 2019, Nature Astron., 3, 891
- Zhou X., Chen X., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 4768

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at MNRAS online.

full_table_11.txt full_table_12.txt full_table_13.txt

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/LAT_EX file prepared by the author.