

Polish Heart Journal

The Official Peer-reviewed Journal of the Polish Cardiac Society since 1957

Online first

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon

ISSN 0022-9032 e-ISSN 1897-4279

Disparities in knowledge of cardiovascular risk factors and prevention methods related to cardiovascular status and functional health literacy, Poland, 2020–2021

Authors: Alicja Cicha-Mikołajczyk, Aleksandra Piwońska, Agnieszka Borowiec,

Anita Aranowska, Wojciech Drygas

Article type: Original article

Received: February 13, 2023

Accepted: May 10, 2023

Early publication date: May 19, 2023

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

Disparities in knowledge of cardiovascular risk factors and prevention methods related

to cardiovascular status and functional health literacy, Poland, 2020–2021

Short title: CVD knowledge related to CVD status and functional health literacy

Alicja Cicha-Mikołajczyk, Aleksandra Piwońska, Agnieszka Borowiec, Anita Aranowska,

Wojciech Drygas

Department of Epidemiology, Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Health Promotion,

National Institute of Cardiology, Warszawa, Poland

Correspondence to:

Alicja Cicha-Mikołajczyk, MD,

Department of Epidemiology, Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Health Promotion,

National Institute of Cardiology,

Alpejska 42, 04–628 Warszawa, Poland,

phone: +48 22 812 55 86,

e-mail: acicha@ikard.pl

WHAT'S NEW?

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) knowledge is associated with health literacy (HL): the higher

level of HL, the better CVD knowledge. The novelty of the research is an in-depth look at the

knowledge of CVD risk factors and prevention methods in people with different CVD status

(free from CVD, being diagnosed with CVD or being hospitalized with CVD), and adequate or

inadequate HL, simultaneously. The most important findings were the differences between

persons with different CVD status within the HL subgroups. Our study revealed that CVD status

plays a crucial role in acquiring knowledge of particular CVD risk factors and prevention

methods: in CVD patients with inadequate HL the greatest knowledge was mostly observed in

CVD hospitalized persons, while in CVD patients with adequate HL - in not hospitalized

persons. These findings may be particularly important in public health practice because they

show that patient's HL may affect CVD prevention and/or development. Therefore, HL

screening should be recommended in primary care to better understand patient needs, reduce

health inequalities, and increase the effectiveness of CVD prevention and/or treatment.

ABSTRACT

2

Background: Numerous studies have reported a significant role for health literacy (HL) in the prevention or treatment of various diseases. However, in Poland there was no scientific research involving the status of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and HL in the assessment of health knowledge simultaneously, therefore, it became the objective of the study.

Aims: We aimed to evaluate the level of CVD knowledge depending on CVD status and functional HL in Polish population.

Methods: The study population consisted of 2827 participants from the WOBASZ II Survey aged 20–89 years: 2266 were CVD-free (non-CVD), 361 were hospitalized with CVD (CVDH[+]) and 200 were diagnosed with CVD, but not hospitalized (CVDH[-]). The Newest Vital Sign test (NVS) was applied to determine functional HL. Self-reported knowledge of CVD risk factors (RFs) and prevention methods (PMs) between people with different CVD status depending on HL was estimated. Multivariable ordinal and binary logistic regression analyzes were performed to find predictors of RFs and PMs knowledge.

Results: The knowledge of CVD RFs and/or PMs was strictly related to HL and CVD status. Inadequate HL decreased the satisfactory (≥5 RFs/PMs) knowledge of RFs (odds ratio [OR], 0.50; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.40–0.62) and PMs (OR, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.45–0.71). CVDH(-) people were more likely to have a satisfactory PMs knowledge (OR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.02–2.16), while CVDH(+) persons a satisfactory RFs knowledge (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.35–2.53).

Conclusions: HL and CVD status are the key determinants of CDV RFs/PMs knowledge. Functional HL significantly affects health knowledge; therefore, HL screening should be recommended in primary care to increase the effectiveness of primary CVD prevention.

Key words: cardiovascular disease, health inequalities, health literacy, health promotion, risk factors

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the main cause of mortality and disability throughout the world, as in Poland. It caused 17.9 million deaths (32% of all global deaths) in 2019 [1]. Every year more than 40% of Poles die from CVD. One of the biggest threats to global health that occurred in the last 10 years was the high number of deaths from ischemic heart disease and stroke [2]. One way to reverse this unfortunate situation seems to place more emphasis on primary prevention, which refers to the steps taken by individuals to prevent the onset of CVD. Knowledge of risk factors (RFs) and non-pharmacological prevention methods (PMs) is

required to take these steps.

Individuals cannot change unmodifiable CVD RFs (age, sex), but can modify their lifestyle by making proper choices such as a healthy diet, exercise, no tobacco use, no harmful alcohol drinking, and avoiding chronic stress. Lifestyle change is possible at every stage of life, both among CVD-free or CVD-diagnosed people, and can bring tangible benefits.

Numerous studies report a helpful role for functional health literacy (HL) [3, 4], defined as "a person's ability to read and comprehend information and instructions in health settings" [5], in this area. An adequate level of HL can significantly contribute to maintaining a healthy lifestyle and/or increasing the detection and effectiveness of CVD treatment [6]. Limited HL has been shown to result in 'an increased risk of morbidity and premature death in older adults independent of age, socioeconomic position, cognitive function and pre-existing illness' [7]. CVD RFs and/or PMs knowledge has also been shown to depend on CVD status [3, 4]. However, to our best knowledge, there was no scientific research involving different CVD status and HL simultaneously in the assessment of CVD health knowledge in Poland.

Therefore, the purpose of the study was to investigate and describe similarities and disparities between individuals with different CVD status and with adequate and inadequate functional HL.

METHODS

Study population

The current project related to the assessment of functional HL in people with different CVD status was conducted in 2020–2021. We used data from the National Multicenter Health Examination Survey (Polish acronym WOBASZ II), which took place in 2013–2014 in a randomly selected sample of 6170 respondents; details are available elsewhere [8]. A pilot study on the evaluation of HL was included in the WOBASZ II Survey in 2014 among participants from 8 voivodships (dolnoslaskie, kujawsko-pomorskie, lubuskie, opolskie, podkarpackie, warminsko-mazurskie, wielkopolskie, zachodniopomorskie). There were 2868 respondents who completed the main questionnaire of the WOBASZ II Survey and participated in the HL test. Finally, our study based on 2827 interviewees aged 20–89 years, 1270 (44.9%) men and 1557 (55.1%) women, after excluding 39 of the respondents who did not provide information about having CVD. Both studies were approved by the Bioethics Committee of the National Institute of Cardiology (current study: no. 1857/2020, WOBASZ II: no. 1344/2012). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Identifying CVD status

We classified the interviewees as free from CVD if they answered no to being diagnosed or hospitalized with CVD (non-CVD group, n = 2266), and as having CVD if they answered yes to the same questions (CVD group, n = 561), and then into two subgroups of CVD: hospitalized with CVD (CVDH[+] group, n = 361) and diagnosed with CVD, but not hospitalized (CVDH[-] group, n = 200). Respondents were diagnosed with any of the following CVD: coronary heart disease (n = 214, 38.1%), myocardial infarction (n = 95, 16.9%), atrial fibrillation (n = 144, 25.7%), abnormal heart rhythm or other cardiac arrhythmias (n = 204, 36.4%), peripheral vascular disease of the lower limbs (n = 135, 24.1%) and stroke (n = 69, 12.3%). CVD-related hospitalizations were due to acute coronary heart disease (n = 138, 38.3%), myocardial infarction (n = 100, 27.8%), atrial fibrillation (n = 119, 33.1%), abnormal heart rhythm or other cardiac arrhythmias (n = 107, 29.7%), heart failure (n = 96, 26.7%), stroke (n = 72, 20.0%), coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting (n = 54, 15.0%), implanted pacemaker or cardioverter-defibrillator (n = 25, 6.9%).

Measurement of health literacy

From many validated instruments used to assess HL skills [5], the Newest Vital Sign Test (NVS) was applied to determine functional HL [9, 10]. Respondents completed the 6 question test in the Polish language version, which was adopted for the first time during the European Health Literacy Survey (HLS-EU) in 2011 [11]. We applied bivalent classification to convert the NVS score from a seven-point scale. The adequate level of HL was assigned to the respondent if he/she achieved a score 4–6 in NVS and an inadequate (limited) level of HL if his/her result was in the range 0–3.

Assessment of CVD risk factors and non-pharmacological prevention methods knowledge

A multistage approach was applied to evaluate the level of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge based on open-ended questions. First, we estimated the knowledge of single CVD RFs or PMs. We classified respondents as knowing eligible CVD RF if they listed any of the following modifiable RFs: hypertension, tobacco smoking, increased alcohol consumption, overweight and/or obesity, improper diet, low physical activity, chronic stress, diabetes mellitus (DM), increased total cholesterol (T-Chol), increased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). We classified participants as knowing eligible CVD PM if they mentioned any of the following non-pharmacological modifiable PMs: tobacco smoking cessation, alcohol consumption reduction, regular physical activity, weight

reduction in persons with overweight or obesity, leading a regular lifestyle and/or avoiding chronic stress, fat intake reduction, salt intake reduction and regular consumption of fruits and vegetables.

One point was obtained for each correct answer and a composite knowledge index was created by summing the responses for each item. CVD RFs and PMs knowledge indices were calculated separately and were in the range of 0–11 and 0–8, respectively. Furthermore, they were converted to a 4-point scale: poor (0–1 points), moderate (2–3 points), good (4–5 points), and very good (≥6 points). The method of recoding reflected degree of dissemination of knowledge, therefore the same scale was used for CVD RFs/PMs despite the different range of original scales. We also defined a satisfactory level for CVD RFs/PMs knowledge (≥5 points). The threshold value has been arbitrarily taken as the value equal to the upper limit of good CVD RFs/PMs knowledge.

Statistical analysis

First, the prevalence of CVD RFs and PMs knowledge was estimated between different CVD status groups depending on functional HL. Second, multivariable ordinal (a partial proportional odds model) and binary logistic regression analyzes were performed to find potential predictors of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge, respectively for four-level (very good, good, moderate, poor) and two-level (satisfactory, unsatisfactory) dependent variable.

Descriptive statistics were presented as means (standard deviation [SD]) for continuous data and numbers (percentages) for categorical data, and the Kruskal-Wallis test or χ^2 test was applied for comparisons between groups, respectively. Percentages and means with 95% confidence interval (CI) related to the level of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge were adjusted for age, sex, education, and size of the place of residence in a general linear model with the Tukey–Kramer adjustment for multiple comparisons. The determinants of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge were expressed as the odds ratio (OR) with 95% CI. The level of significance was assumed at P < 0.05. Data analyzes were performed using SAS9.4 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, US).

RESULTS

The mean age (SD) of the study population was 49.7 (16.3) years. Respondents with different CVD status varied in the background variables: sex, age, education, some categories of marital status or place of residence, and HL (Table 1). The respondents with CVDH(+) status were the oldest (64.5 [13.0] years vs. CVDH[-], 58.9 (14.9) years, and non-CVD, 46.5 (15.2) years; *P*

<0.001), with the lowest level of secondary and higher education (40.1% vs. CVDH[-], 56.5% and non-CVD, 62.3%; P <0.001) and with the highest percentage of individuals with inadequate functional HL (65.7% vs. CVDH[-], 44.0% and non-CVD, 42.5%; P <0.001).

First, the relationships between knowledge of CVD RFs/PMs were found separately either for the HL level of respondent or the presence or absence of CVD. As expected, people with adequate HL or positive CVD status had better knowledge than people with inadequate HL and non-CVD.

The differences in CVD knowledge by HL were statistically significant for the following RFs: hypertension, tobacco smoking, increased alcohol consumption, overweight and/or obesity, improper diet, low physical activity, chronic stress and increased T–Chol, and for the following PMs: tobacco smoking cessation, alcohol consumption reduction, regular physical activity, weight reduction, leading a regular lifestyle, fat or salt intake reduction (Supplementary material, *Figure S1A* and *S1B*, respectively).

The differences in CVD knowledge between non-CVD and CVD persons were significant for the following RFs: hypertension, tobacco smoking, increased alcohol consumption, DM and increased T–Chol, and for the following PMs: tobacco smoking cessation, weight reduction, fat intake reduction and regular consumption of fruits and vegetables (Supplementary (Supplementary material, *Figure S1A* and *S1B*, respectively).

Secondly, the knowledge of CVD RFs/PMs was investigated simultaneously by CVD status and HL level. The detailed data and the distribution of 2 to 4 most frequently mentioned CVD RFs/PMs, and their sums are presented in Supplementary material, *Table S1* and *Figure S2*, respectively. Additionally, the graph shows the level of ignorance about CVD RFs/PMs (unknown any CVD RF or PM).

There were two different patterns of CVD RFs knowledge distribution between people with different CVD status within each HL subgroup.

Subgroup with inadequate health literacy

In the subgroup with inadequate HL the highest knowledge was observed in CVDH(+) persons almost for all significantly different RFs: hypertension (47.3%; 95% CI, 40.8%–53.9%), overweight and/or obesity (36.7%; 95% CI, 30.8%–42.6%), increased T–Chol (31.1%; 95% CI, 26.6%–37.6%), except for DM (the highest knowledge in CVDH[-] persons (21.6%; 95% CI, 14.5%–28.6%). No significant differences were noticed in knowledge of singular CVD PMs, except knowledge of the necessity of weight reduction.

There was a difference between people with different CVD status (non-CVD, CVDH[-],

CVDH[+]) in the average and satisfactory levels of CVD RFs knowledge (2.3 [95% CI, 2.1–2.5]; 2.7 [95% CI, 2.2–3.2]; 3.0 [95% CI, 2.7–3.3]; P < 0.001, and 14.8% [95% CI, 12.5%–17.2%]; 21.1% [95% CI, 14.2%–28.0%]; 21.2% [95% CI, 16.7%–25.7%]; P = 0.02, respectively), but not in the average or satisfactory levels of CVD PMs knowledge. The lowest percentage of people with a poor CVD RFs knowledge was in the CVDH(+) subgroup (31.6% [95% CI, 25.0%–38.2%]) (Supplementary material, *Table SI*).

Subgroup with adequate health literacy

In the subgroup with adequate HL the greatest CVD RFs knowledge was noticed in CVDH(-) persons in the following significantly different RFs: tobacco smoking (56.1% [95% CI, 46.4%–65.8%]), improper diet (54.4% [95% CI, 46.2%–64.5%]) and low physical activity (33.1% [95% CI, 24.3%–42.0%]) except increased alcohol consumption (the highest knowledge in CVDH(+) persons (43.2% [95% CI, 34.1%–52.3%]). Furthermore, CVDH(-) respondents had the greatest PMs knowledge, although not statistically significant, except knowledge of fat intake reduction and regular consumption of fruits and vegetables.

There was no significant difference in the average number of self-reported CVD RFs between people with different CVD status (non-CVD, CVDH[-], CVDH[+]), although it was in the average and satisfactory levels of CVD PMs knowledge (3.3 [3.2–3.4], 3.9 [3.5–4.2], 3.4 [3.0–3.7]; P = 0.005 and 21.8% [18.8%–24.8%], 32.7% [24.3%–41.1%], 26.2% [18.1%–34.3%]; P = 0.03, respectively). The lowest percentage of people with a poor CVD RFs and PMs knowledge was in the CVDH(-) subgroup (17.3% [9.4%–25.2%] and 5.7% [0%–12.0%], respectively) (Supplementary material, *Table S1*).

Predictors of CVD risk factors and prevention methods knowledge

HL and CVD status impacted the knowledge of CDV RFs/PMs. Inadequate HL reduced the knowledge by 51% and 48% (Table 2, model 1), for RFs and PMs respectively, while the presence of CVD increased the knowledge about RFs/PMs. People diagnosed or hospitalized with CVD were more likely to have a higher level of RFs and PMs knowledge (by 48% and 62%, and by 65% and 32%, respectively).

Education also impacted CVD RFs/PMs knowledge: the lower educational level the lower knowledge (Table 2). Furthermore, the place of residence, had a substantial impact on RFs/PMs knowledge. Living in a medium community substantially increased only the possibility of a very good level of RFs knowledge (odds ratio [OR], 1.74; 95% CI, 1.38–2.20), while living in a small community significantly decreased the knowledge (OR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.67–0.96)

regardless of its level. In turn, living in a medium community increased a level of PMs knowledge by 28%, 48%, and 86%, for moderate, good, and very good levels of knowledge, respectively. Living in a small community did not affect CVD PMs knowledge.

Additionally, people aged 45–59 years were more likely to have a higher level of RFs knowledge (by 47%), while persons aged 75 years and older were more likely to have a lower level of PMs knowledge (by 42%). In our study, neither RFs knowledge nor PMs knowledge was sex-dependent (Table 2).

Similar results were obtained for satisfactory knowledge of RFs/PMs in relation to HL, education, and sex (Table 2, model 2). Living in a small community did not impact on RFs knowledge, while living in a medium community increased by 43% and 81% the possibility of achieving satisfactory RFs and PMs knowledge, respectively. People aged 45–59 years were more likely to obtain satisfactory RFs knowledge, whereas age does not affect the achievement of satisfactory PMs knowledge.

The ability to achieve satisfactory RFs knowledge was significantly higher only in CVDH(+) persons (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.35–2.53) and satisfactory PMs knowledge only in CVDH(-) persons (OR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.02–2.16). It was the main difference in the influence of CVD status on the RFs/PMs knowledge.

DISCUSSION

The overall level of adequate HL (54.4%), measured by NVS, in the adult Polish population in 2014 was noticeably higher than the level of adequate HL (42.2%) in the HLS-EU in 2011 [12]. The increasing percentage of adults with adequate HL appears to be a good prognosis for the future, also compared to other European countries. The meta-analysis of low HL in Europe revealed the middle position of Poland among European countries with low HL of 45% (95% CI, 41%–48%) against the highest low HL of 62% (95% CI, 59%–65%) in Bulgaria and the lowest low HL of 29% (95% CI, 26%–32%) in the Netherlands [13].

The percentage of people without CVD who did not know of any RFs changed from 20.8% to 37.1% in subgroups with adequate and inadequate HL, respectively. Our results are consistent with those of the Brazilian study [14], where one third of the respondents were unable to identify at least one CVD RF. The results of other Polish studies also confirm the low level of health knowledge, especially in people with CVD or at risk for CVD [15].

A little knowledge of the impact of DM on CVD and the relationship between cholesterol fractions and CVD was also observed, whereas at that time 8.4% and 67.1% of Polish adults were affected by DM or hypercholesterolemia, respectively [16, 17].

In general, knowledge of CVD PMs and RFs seemed to be closely related, but it was not reflected in everyday life. In our study, more than 50% with inadequate HL and more than 60% with adequate HL knew that regular physical activity could reduce CVD risk, but only 27.3% of men and 28.7% of women participated in recommended physical activity (exercises lasting ≥30 min/day at least 5 times per week) [18]. Furthermore, the focus on one PM does not result in compliance with other recommendations: knowledge of recommended daily sodium intake and/or harmful use of excessive amounts of sodium contributed to the control of dietary salt intake, but did not affect awareness of other PMs [19].

The knowledge of CVD RFs/PMs was found to be related to HL, CVD status, and education, as previously demonstrated by other researchers [5, 6]. In Poland, better educated patients (>11 years) who participate in cardiac rehabilitation within comprehensive coordinated care after myocardial infarction achieve more effective results compared to non-participants [20].

The relationship between HL and education requires additional attention. The final level of formal education is an attribute acquired by a person at the young adult age. When HL was based on the concept of traditional and functional literacy, there was a simple dependence: adults with limited functional literacy skills had difficulties in maintaining well-being.

Nowadays, general HL refers to personal "knowledge, motivation and competencies to access, understand, appraise and apply information to make judgments and take decisions in everyday life concerning healthcare, disease prevention and health promotion to maintain and improve quality of life during the life course" [5]. The broadening of HL definition and the rapid development of medical science and technology in the 21st century mean that yesterday's knowledge may be outdated and insufficient today.

Living in a medium size community may be pivotal for good and very good level of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge. We suppose that in large communities the fast pace of life does not encourage mutual integration and active participation in CVD prevention programs, while in small communities public access to expert knowledge and preventive programs is not as common as in larger centers. Some sociological studies indicate that environmental and cultural factors, and social capital, are the reasons of differences between municipalities in Poland. Mantaj et al. [21] observed similar dependencies: self-monitoring of health and intensity of preventive examinations were the highest in medium cities (<50 000) compared to rural areas and larger cities (>50 000), respectively 72.7% vs. 43.8% and 44.7%. However, this interesting phenomenon would require further in-depth research.

Undeniably, the crucial findings of the study were the differences between persons with different CVD status within HL subgroups. Among respondents with adequate HL, the lowest

percentage of people who had poor knowledge of CVD RFs was in CVDH(-) respondents, which could suggest a good implementation of primary prevention. In turn, among the respondents with inadequate HL, the lowest percentage of people who had poor knowledge of CVD RFs was in CVDH(+) respondents, which could suggest that they acquired and/or deepened their knowledge in secondary prevention.

People, even those who participate in prevention programs, do not comply with the advice they receive from healthcare professionals. Moreover, the older patients (65+ years) are 50% less likely to recollect information compared to younger patients [22]. Probably, in patients with inadequate HL, this percentage would be higher. Therefore, optimal patient–doctor communication, adapted to patient's HL and actual perception, becomes an urgent need. Furthermore, age-related and HL-dependent individual cognitive competence [23], leads to higher mortality in people with lower HL [24].

There was a verification of the usefulness of person's HL during the COVID-19 pandemic. The growing threat of SARS-CoV-2 infection, high morbidity and mortality due to COVID-19 disease limited access to traditional medical care and caused the need to use e-health and telemedicine systems. Higher HL significantly impacted user satisfaction and ease-of-use of remote visit in Polish patients (OR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.08–1.16 and OR, 1.18; 95% CI, 1.14–1.22, respectively) [25].

Knowledge of CVD RFs and PMs was of particular importance during the pandemic. Regarding only smoking and obesity, and CVD itself, they significantly increased COVID-19 mortality (OR, 2.24; 95% CI, 1.4–3.58; OR, 2.28; 95% CI, 0.76–6.90 and OR, 7.87; 95% CI, 2.12–28.57 at maximum estimate, respectively) [26]. The high prevalence of CVD RFs in the Polish population and the decreased attention to CVD prevention during the COVID-19 pandemic impose the development of modern prevention programs.

In conclusion, it seems that the knowledge of the patient's HL may be a key determinant of CVD prevention, development, treatment, and positive health outcomes [27], also in Poland. Initial evaluation of patient HL will allow for personalized and tailored contact doctor-patient, and choice of an appropriate way of providing medical information, especially for patients from vulnerable groups (i.e. with low educational level, advanced age, poor handling with new technologies). This is particularly important in aging societies accompanied by a progressive increase in non-communicable diseases. Therefore, HL screening is recommended in primary cardiology care for a better understanding of the patient's needs to provide adequate medical care and reduce health inequalities.

Our conclusions are in line with the findings of a systematic review of HL measurement in

patients with CVD by Elbashir et al. [4] and their suggestion that healthcare professionals

should consider HL assessment as a routine practice in CVD patients. Furthermore, the

necessary actions should still be taken to intensify health promotion and improve the quality of

primary CVD prevention. We believe that our findings can facilitate future actions, especially

since taking HL into account in creating social environments by both national and local

authorities has been recommended in 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease

prevention in clinical practice' [28].

Our study has several limitations. First, it was a cross-sectional study, therefore we could not

investigate the relationship between HL and CVD status. Second, only eight out of 16

voivodeships and only some of the diseases classified as CVD were included in the project, so

we cannot generalize the results to the entire population of Poland. However, the main strength

of our study is the large number of participants and the consideration of many factors that

affected CVD knowledge (sex, age, education level, place of residence, HL, CVD status)

simultaneously.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at https://journals.viamedica.pl/kardiologia_polska.

Article information

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Funding: None.

Open access: This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-

Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, which allows

downloading and sharing articles with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher,

but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially. For commercial

use, please contact the journal office at kardiologiapolska@ptkardio.pl.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Fact sheets. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Available

online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-

(cvds). [Accessed: December 22, 2022].

2. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and

injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global

12

- Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet. 2020; 396(10258): 1204–1222, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9, indexed in Pubmed: 33069326.
- 3. Diederichs C, Jordan S, Domanska O, et al. Health literacy in men and women with cardiovascular diseases and its association with the use of health care services Results from the population-based GEDA2014/2015-EHIS survey in Germany. PLoS One. 2018; 13(12): e0208303, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0208303, indexed in Pubmed: 30521588.
- 4. Elbashir M, Awaisu A, El Hajj MS, et al. Measurement of health literacy in patients with cardiovascular diseases: A systematic review. Res Social Adm Pharm. 2019; 15(12): 1395–1405, doi: 10.1016/j.sapharm.2019.01.008, indexed in Pubmed: 30709731.
- International Handbook of Health Literacy. Research, practice and policy across the lifespan. Edited by Okan O, Bauer U, Levin-Zamir D, Pinheiro P and Sørensen K. Policy Press 2019.
- 6. Magnani JW, Mujahid MS, Aronow HD, et al. Health Literacy and Cardiovascular Disease: Fundamental Relevance to Primary and Secondary Prevention: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2018; 138(2): e48–e74, doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000579, indexed in Pubmed: 29866648.
- 7. Local action on health inequalities. Improving health literacy to reduce health inequalities. Practice resource: September 2015. Public Health England. UCL Institute of Health Equity. Available online: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme nt_data/file/460710/4b_Health_Literacy-Briefing.pdf. [Accessed: December 22, 2022]. https://assets publishing service gov uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/460710/4b_Health_Literacy-Briefing pdf Accessed.; 22: DEC.
- 8. Drygas W, Niklas AA, Piwońska A, et al. Multi-centre National Population Health Examination Survey (WOBASZ II study): assumptions, methods, and implementation. Kardiol Pol. 2016; 74(7): 681–690, doi: 10.5603/KP.a2015.0235, indexed in Pubmed: 26620680.
- 9. Weiss BD, Mays MZ, Martz W, et al. Quick assessment of literacy in primary care: the newest vital sign. Ann Fam Med. 2005; 3(6): 514–522, doi: 10.1370/afm.405, indexed in Pubmed: 16338915.

- Rowlands G, Khazaezadeh N, Oteng-Ntim E, et al. Development and validation of a measure of health literacy in the UK: the newest vital sign. BMC Public Health. 2013;
 13: 116, doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-116, indexed in Pubmed: 23391329.
- 11. Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S, Pelikan JM, et al. Measuring health literacy in populations: illuminating the design and development process of the European Health Literacy Survey Questionnaire (HLS-EU-Q). BMC Public Health. 2013; 13: 948, doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-948, indexed in Pubmed: 24112855.
- 12. Pelikan JM, Rothlin F, Ganahl K. in behalf of the Euroean Health Literacy Project Consortium (The HLS-EU Consortium). Comarative Reort on Health Literacy in Eight EU Member States. p 26 Available online: http://cpme dyndns org:591/adopted/2015/Comparative_report_on_health_literacy_in_eight_EU_member _states pdf. [Accessed: December 22, 2022].
- 13. Baccolini V, Rosso A, Di Paolo C, et al. What is the Prevalence of Low Health Literacy in European Union Member States? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2021; 36(3): 753–761, doi: 10.1007/s11606-020-06407-8, indexed in Pubmed: 33403622.
- 14. Bartlett ES, Flor LS, Medeiros DS, et al. Public knowledge of cardiovascular disease and response to acute cardiac events in three municipalities in Brazil. Open Heart. 2020; 7(2), doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2020-001322, indexed in Pubmed: 32847995.
- 15. Jóźwiak JJ, Studziński K, Tomasik T, et al. The prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease among primary care patients in Poland: results from the LIPIDOGRAM2015 study. Atheroscler Suppl. 2020; 42: e15–e24, doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosissup.2021.01.004, indexed in Pubmed: 33589219.
- 16. Rutkowski M, Wojciechowska A, Śmigielski W, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in Poland in 2005-2014: results of the WOBASZ surveys. Diabet Med. 2020; 37(9): 1528–1535, doi: 10.1111/dme.14333, indexed in Pubmed: 32445422.
- 17. Pająk A, Szafraniec K, Polak M, et al. Changes in the prevalence, treatment, and control of hypercholesterolemia and other dyslipidemias over 10 years in Poland: the WOBASZ study. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2016; 126(9): 642–652, doi: 10.20452/pamw.3464, indexed in Pubmed: 27452484.
- 18. Kwaśniewska M, Pikala M, Bielecki W, et al. Ten-Year Changes in the Prevalence and Socio-Demographic Determinants of Physical Activity among Polish Adults Aged 20 to 74 Years. Results of the National Multicenter Health Surveys WOBASZ (2003-2005)

- and WOBASZ II (2013-2014). PLoS One. 2016; 11(6): e0156766, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156766, indexed in Pubmed: 27272130.
- 19. Dewey G, Wickramasekaran RN, Kuo T, et al. Does Sodium Knowledge Affect Dietary Choices and Health Behaviors? Results From a Survey of Los Angeles County Residents. Prev Chronic Dis. 2017; 14: E120, doi: 10.5888/pcd14.170117, indexed in Pubmed: 29166247.
- 20. Jankowski P, Pajak A, Lysek R, et al. Cardiac Rehabilitation in Real Life. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015; 94(32): e1257, doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000001257, indexed in Pubmed: 26266357.
- 21. Mantaj A, Krupa J, Stokłosa Ł. The influence of the media on health promoting behaviors [in Polish]. In, Szpara K, editors. Zrównoważony rozwój społecznogospodarczy determinantą ochrony dóbr przyrodniczych i kulturowych oraz rozwoju turystyki [Sustainable socio-economic development determinant for the protection of natural and cultural goods and tourism development]. Politechnika Rzeszowska, Dynów 2020: 175–188.
- 22. Sinnadurai S, Sowa P, Jankowski P, et al. Recollection of Physician Information about Risk Factor and Lifestyle Changes in Chronic Coronary Syndrome Patients. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022; 19(11), doi: 10.3390/ijerph19116416, indexed in Pubmed: 35682001.
- 23. Fawns-Ritchie C, Starr JM, Deary IJ. Role of cognitive ability in the association between functional health literacy and mortality in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936: a prospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2018; 8(9): e022502, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022502, indexed in Pubmed: 30206087.
- 24. Hayat SA, Luben R, Dalzell N, et al. Understanding the relationship between cognition and death: a within cohort examination of cognitive measures and mortality. Eur J Epidemiol. 2018; 33(11): 1049–1062, doi: 10.1007/s10654-018-0439-z, indexed in Pubmed: 30203336.
- 25. Duplaga M. A Nationwide Natural Experiment of e-Health Implementation during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Poland: User Satisfaction and the Ease-of-Use of Remote Physician's Visits. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022; 19(14), doi: 10.3390/ijerph19148338, indexed in Pubmed: 35886190.
- 26. Pająk A, Jankowski P, Zdrojewski T. The burden of cardiovascular disease risk factors: A current problem. Kardiol Pol. 2022; 80(1): 5–15, doi: 10.33963/KP.a2022.0018, indexed in Pubmed: 35137945.

- 27. Oscalices MI, Okuno MF, Lopes MC, et al. Health literacy and adherence to treatment of patients with heart failure. Rev Esc Enferm USP. 2019; 53: e03447, doi: 10.1590/S1980-220X2017039803447, indexed in Pubmed: 31314864.
- Visseren FLJ, Mach F, Smulders YM, et al. 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: Developed by the Task Force for cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice with representatives of the European Society of Cardiology and 12 medical societies With the special contribution of the European Association of Preventive Cardiology (EAPC). Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed). 2022; 75(5): 429, doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2022.04.003, indexed in Pubmed: 35525570.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of respondents by CVD status

		Cardiovascular disease status			
	Total	non-	CVD		P-
		CVD	CVDH(-)	CVDH(+)	value
Respondents, n (%)	2827	2266	200 (7.1)	361 (12.8)	
	(100.0)	(80.1)			
Sex, n (%)					
Male	1270	1015	67 (33.5)	188 (52.1)	< 0.001
	(44.9)	(44.8)			
Female	1557	1251	133	173 (47.9)	-
	(55.1)	(55.2)	(66.5)		
Age, years					
Mean (SD)	49.7	46.5	58.9	64.5	c0 001
	(16.3)	(15.2)	(14.9)	(13.0)	<0.001
Age group, y, n (%)					
20-44	1149	1086	34 (17.0)	29 (8.0)	< 0.001
	(40.6)	(47.9)			
45-59	822	683	59 (29.5)	80 (22.2)	•
	(29.1)	(30.1)			
60-74	646	404	75 (37.5)	167 (46.3)	•
	(22.9)	(17.8)			
≥75	210 (7.4)	93 (4.1)	32 (16.0)	85 (23.5)	
Marital status, n (%)					
Married/cohabited	1856	1487	129	240 (66.5)	< 0.001
	(65.7)	(65.6)	(64.5)		
Single	493	456	22 (11.0)	15 (4.1)	-
	(17.4)	(20.1)			
Widowed	317	189	40 (20.0)	88 (24.4)	
	(11.2)	(8.3)			
Divorced/separated	161 (5.7)	134	9 (4.5)	18 (5.0)	•
		(5.9)			
Education, n (%)					

Primary	490	312	50 (25.0)	128 (35.5)	< 0.001
	(17.3)	(13.7)			
Vocational	669	544	37 (18.5)	88 (24.4)	
	(23.7)	(24.0)			
Secondary	1023	844	72 (36.0)	107 (29.6)	
	(36.2)	(37.3)			
Higher	645	566	41 (20.5)	38 (10.5)	
	(22.8)	(25.0)			
Size of the place of residence, n					
(%)					
Small community (<8000	933	764	51 (25.5)	118 (32.7)	0.017
inhabitants)	(33.0)	(33.7)			
Medium community (8000–40	940	739	65 (32.5)	136 (37.7)	
000 inhabitants)	(33.3)	(32.6)			
Large community (≥40 000	954	763	84 (42.0)	107 (29.6)	
inhabitants)	(33.7)	(33.7)			
Health literacy, n (%)					
Inadequate	1289	964	88 (44.0)	237 (65.7)	< 0.001
	(45.6)	(42.5)			
Adequate	1538	1302	112	124 (34.3)	
	(54.4)	(57.5)	(56.0)		

Abbreviations: CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation

Definitions: non-CVD, without CVD; CVDH(-), CVD-diagnosed but not hospitalized; CVDH(+), CVD-diagnosed and hospitalized; education: primary, none, partial or completed primary school; vocational,- after completed primary or middle school; secondary, high or post-secondary school, higher, bachelor's degree or tertiary education

 Table 2. Predictors of CVD Risk factors or non-pharmacological CVD prevention methods knowledge

	MODEL 1				
Level of knowledge	Risk factors		Prevention methods		
Very good, n (%)	410 (14.5)		275 (9.8)		
Good, n (%)	618 (21.9)		719 (25.4)		
Moderate, n (%)	839 (29.7)		1216 (43.0)		
Poor, n (%)	960 (33.9)		617 (21.8)		
	Ordinal logistic regression				
Predictor	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
Sex					
Female	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Male	0.90 (0.78-1.04)	0.14	0.93 (0.80-1.07)	0.28	
Age, years					
20–44	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
45–59	1.47 (1.23-1.74)	< 0.001	1.10 (0.93-1.31)	0.26	
60–74	1.10 (0.90-1.35)	0.36	0.96 (0.78-1.18)	0.68	
≥75	0.84 (0.60-1.19)	0.32	0.58 (0.41-0.81)	0.002	
Education					
Higher	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Secondary	0.48 (0.40-0.58)	< 0.001	0.55 (0.46-0.67)	< 0.001	
Basic vocational	0.30 (0.24-0.37)	< 0.001	0.38 (0.30-0.47)	< 0.001	
Primary	0.17 (0.13-0.23)	< 0.001	0.18 (0.13-0.23)	< 0.001	
Size of the place of					
residence					
Large	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Medium Very Good	1.74 (1.38-2.20)	< 0.001	1.86 (1.42-2.44)	< 0.001	
Medium Good	1.17 (0.97-1.41)	0.11	1.48 (1.23-1.79)	< 0.001	
Medium Moderate	0.99 (0.81-1.20)	0.89	1.28 (1.02-1.60)	0.04	
Small	0.80 (0.67-0.96)	0.01	1.05 (0.88-1.26)	0.57	
CVD status					
non-CVD	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
CVDH(-)	1.48 (1.12-1.94)	0.005	1.65 (1.26-2.17)	< 0.001	
CVDH(+)	1.62 (1.30-2.04)	< 0.001	1.32 (1.05-1.66)	0.02	
Health literacy					
Adequate	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	

Inadequate	0.49 (0.42-0.57)	< 0.001	0.52 (0.44-0.61)	< 0.001	
	MODEL 2				
Level of knowledge	Risk factors		Prevention methods		
Satisfactory	622 (22.0)		541 (19.1)		
Unsatisfactory	2205 (78.0)		2286 (80.9)		
	Binary logistic regression				
Predictor	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	P-value	
Sex					
Female	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Male	0.91 (0.75-1.10)	0.32	0.91 (0.75-1.12)	0.38	
Age, years					
20–44	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
45–59	1.41 (1.13-1.77)	0.003	1.15 (0.91-1.46)	0.24	
60–74	0.89 (0.66-1.20)	0.46	0.94 (0.70-1.28)	0.70	
≥75	0.80 (0.46-1.39)	0.42	0.63 (0.34-1.16)	0.14	
Education					
Higher	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Secondary	0.52 (0.41-0.65)	< 0.001	0.49 (0.39-0.63)	< 0.001	
Basic vocational	0.30 (0.22-0.41)	< 0.001	0.40 (0.29-0.54)	< 0.001	
Primary	0.18 (0.12-0.27)	< 0.001	0.21 (0.13-0.33)	< 0.001	
Size of the place of					
residence					
Large	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
Medium	1.43 (1.15-1.79)	0.002	1.81 (1.43-2.30)	< 0.001	
Small	0.98 (0.76-1.26)	0.86	1.28 (0.98-1.67)	0.07	
CVD status					
non-CVD	1 [Reference]	_	1 [Reference]	_	
CVDH(-)	1.35 (0.93-1.95)	0.12	1.49 (1.02-2.16)	0.04	
CVDH(+)	1.85 (1.35-2.53)	< 0.001	1.16 (0.83-1.64)	0.39	
Health literacy					
Adequate	1 [Reference]		1 [Reference]	_	
Inadequate	0.50 (0.40-0.62)	< 0.001	0.56 (0.45-0.71)	< 0.001	

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; OR, odds ratio; PM, prevention method; RF, risk factor; SE, standard error; other abbreviations and definitions — see Table 1

Levels of CVD RFs/PMs knowledge: very good \geq 6 RFs/PMs; good 4–5 RFs/PMs; moderate 2–3 RFs/PMs; poor 0–1 RFs/PMs; satisfactory \geq 5 RFs/PMs; unsatisfactory 0–4 RFs/PMs