Northwell Health[™]

Journal Articles

2016

Differential Protein Expression in Small Intestinal Neuroendocrine Tumors and Liver Metastases

M. K. Kim

F. Ye

D. Wang

M. Cui

S. C. Ward

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications

Part of the Surgery Commons

Recommended Citation

Kim M, Ye F, Wang D, Cui M, Ward S, Warner R, Roayaie S, Schwartz M, Zhang D, Itzkowitz S, . Differential Protein Expression in Small Intestinal Neuroendocrine Tumors and Liver Metastases. . 2016 Jan 01; 45(4):Article 1863 [p.]. Available from: https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications/1863. Free full text article.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. For more information, please contact academicworks@hofstra.edu.

Authors

M. K. Kim, F. Ye, D. Wang, M. Cui, S. C. Ward, R. R. Warner, S. Roayaie, M. Schwartz, D. Zhang, S. Itzkowitz, and +1 additional author



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Pancreas*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Pancreas.* 2016 May ; 45(4): 528–532. doi:10.1097/MPA.0000000000459.

Differential Protein Expression in Small Intestinal Neuroendocrine Tumors and Liver Metastases

Michelle Kang Kim, MD, MSc¹, Fei Ye, PhD², Daguang Wang, PhD², Miao Cui, PhD², Stephen C. Ward, MD, PhD², Richard R.P. Warner, MD¹, Sasan Roayaie, MD³, Michail Shafir, MD⁴, Myron Schwartz, MD⁵, David Zhang, MD, PhD², and Steven Itzkowitz, MD¹ ¹Department of Medicine/Gastroenterology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

²Department of Pathology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

³Liver Cancer Program, Hofstra-North Shore Long Island Jewish School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

⁴Department of Surgery/Division of Surgical Oncology, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, USA

⁵Mount Sinai Liver Cancer Program, Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, New York, USA

Abstract

Objectives—Small intestinal neuroendocrine tumors (SI-NETs) are often detected after they have become metastatic. Using a novel protein array, we identified pathways important in SI-NET metastasis development in surgically resected patients.

Methods—Paired primary tumors and liver metastases from 25 patients undergoing surgical resection for metastatic SI-NETs were harvested. Extracted proteins were separated by SDS gel, and multiplex immunoblots were performed with 136 antibodies. Significant Analysis of Microarray was used to select for differentially expressed proteins. A tissue microarray was constructed from 27 archived specimens and stained by immunohistochemistry.

Results—Comparing primary SI-NETs to matched normal small bowel mucosa, 9 proteins were upregulated and Cyclin E was down-regulated. SI-NET liver metastases demonstrated upregulation of P-ERK and p27 but down-regulation of CDK2 and CDC25B. When comparing primary SI-NET with their paired liver metastases, cyclin E demonstrated a significant upregulation in the liver metastasis. Tissue microarray demonstrated higher p38 expression and lower Cdc 25b expression in SI-NETs vs liver metastases and confirmed higher expression of p27 in liver metastases vs normal liver.

Corresponding author: Michelle Kang Kim, MD, MSc, One Gustave Levy Place, Box 1069, New York, New York, 10029, Tel: 212-241-7535, Fax: 212-241-2276, Michelle.kim@mssm.edu.

Disclosure: The authors declare the following conflicts of interest: MKK, FY, DW, MC, SCW, RRPW, M. Shafir, M. Schwartz, DYZ, SI (no conflicts); SR (Ethicon).

Conclusions—Few studies have compared protein expression in paired primary and metastatic SI-NETs. Our findings reveal changes in a limited number of proteins, suggesting these may be targets for therapy.

Keywords

carcinoid; neuroendocrine; small bowel; liver metastasis; proteomics

Introduction

The prevalence and incidence of small intestinal neuroendocrine tumors (SI-NETs) is on the rise.[1, 2] Often presenting late with advanced disease, patients frequently die of their liver metastases. Limited information is currently available regarding the pathways important in the development of metastatic disease. In addition, the biologic behavior of SI-NETs is quite heterogeneous, making outcomes difficult to predict. For this reason, it would be helpful to identify biomarkers that could help to clarify prognosis or to predict response to therapy.

Currently, there are few known clinical, biochemical, and pathologic biomarkers that may help to prognosticate patients. Carcinoid syndrome and carcinoid heart disease are markers of advanced disease and predict poor prognosis. The biomarker chromogranin A is often helpful in diagnosis and surveillance of patients with neuroendocrine tumors, but is also falsely elevated by proton pump inhibition.[3–8] Pathologic features such as Ki67 or mitotic indices provide important but limited information in prediction of prognosis.[9, 10]

Most previous studies on molecular alterations in NET development, proliferation, and metastasis have focused on NETs from primary sites other than small bowel. The importance of the mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) pathway in NET pathogenesis has been demonstrated in multiple studies, and is confirmed by clinical trials demonstrating the efficacy of mTOR inhibitors in patients with pancreatic NETS.[11–14] Other groups have similarly shown the importance of the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT pathway in tumor cell growth and angiogenesis in pancreatic NET cell lines, as well as in human clinical trials.[15–17]

We have developed and applied a protein array entitled Protein Pathway Array to study tumor biology in a variety of tumor types.[18, 19] Rather than being a nonspecific survey of proteins, this array encompasses proteins and phosphoproteins known to participate in well characterized biologic pathways important for cancer development (Table 1). This array permits an analysis of whether such proteins are up- or down-regulated. The aim of our study was to identify potential proteomic differences between primary SI-NETs and their liver metastases and to elucidate pathways important in metastasis development.

Methods

Tissue Specimens and Patient Data

Under an IRB-approved protocol, we retrieved tissue from surgically obtained specimens of SI-NETs and their liver metastases from the prospective Mount Sinai Tissue Bank. Fresh

frozen specimens from primary and metastatic sites were collected. Adjacent normal small intestine and normal liver specimens were also harvested.

Protein Pathway Array Analysis

Proteins were extracted, separated on an SDS gel and blotted on a multichannel manifold with 136 antibodies as described elsewhere (Table 1).[18, 19] The correct bands were identified and band densities were determined using BioRad Image system. Significant Analysis of Microarray (SAM) (http://www-stat.stanford.edu/~tibs/SAM/) was used to select the proteins differentially expressed between different groups. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using BRB Array Tools software v.3.3.0 (htt://linus.nci.nih.gov/BRB-ArrayTools.html).

Tissue Microarray Analysis

We created a tissue microarray with formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded SI-NETs retrieved from the Department of Pathology archives between 1998 and 2010. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue from 27 specimens were processed with a manual tissue arrayer (Advanced Tissue Arrayer ATA100, Chemicon International, Temecula, CA) using 1-mm diameter cores in triplicate for tumors and for normal tissue sections. One H&E-stained section for each tissue microarray was prepared for histological confirmation of the tissue sections. 25 specimens had both primary and matched liver tumors.

Four-micrometer-thick histology sections were cut, and immunohistochemical staining was performed.¹⁷ Antibodies for p38 (1:50 dilution), XIAP (1:200), p27 (1:200), cyclin E (1:200), Cdc25b (1:50), Stat 3 (1:50), and Cdk4 (1:200) were used. Corresponding negative controls were incubated in the absence of primary antibody. Antibodies specific for NETs, such as chromogranin A, synaptophysin, and neuron-specific enolase were included in the panel as positive controls. A single pathologist (S.W.) examined the immunohistochemical expression profile of the antigens based on their staining intensity and localization pattern (i.e. cell membrane, cytoplasmic or nuclear). Both the extent of distribution and intensity of immunopositivity were recorded for the membrane and cytoplasmic immunostaining, with intensity grading ranging from 0 to 3 and the distribution extent of staining from 0% to 100%. The final staining score (range = 0-300) was obtained by multiplying both scores. For nuclear immunostaining, the percentage of positive nuclei in 200 cells was calculated.

Results

Identification of differentially expressed proteins using Protein Pathway Array

Nine pairs of SI-NET and liver metastasis tissues were obtained from the Mount Sinai Tissue Bank. Of the 136 proteins analyzed, 52 proteins were expressed in these samples (Table 1). 9 proteins were up-regulated in primary SI-NETs compared with matched normal small bowel mucosa (Table 2). These included p-PDK1, PTEN, cdk4, MetRS, p27, XIAP, p38, Stat3, and alpha-tubulin. The degree of overexpression ranged from 3.4- to 21.5-fold in SI-NETs as compared to normal small intestinal tissue. Cyclin E was markedly downregulated in primary SI-NET tissue as compared to normal small bowel mucosa.

Compared to normal liver tissue, SI-NET liver metastases demonstrated up-regulation of P-ERK and p27 but down-regulation of CDK2 and CDC25B.

When comparing primary SI-NET with their paired liver metastases, cyclin E was the only protein to demonstrate differential expression; there was significant upregulation in the liver metastasis as compared to the primary lesion.

Validation using Tissue Microarray

To validate the PPA results, we selected several proteins to assess their expression on a TMA (Table 3). We chose proteins where there was more than two-fold change in expression between cancer and normal tissue based on PPA and where antibodies were available for Immunohistochemistry assay. We tested p38, XIAP, p27, cyclin E, Cdc25b, Stat3, and Cdk4 in our TMA.

In comparing primary SI-NETs and normal small bowel, TMA demonstrated statistically significant upregulation of cytoplasmic p38 MAP kinase, confirming the findings of the Protein Pathway Array (Figure 1, Table 2). TMA also demonstrated statistically significant downregulation of nuclear Cdc25B and Cdk4. The finding of the downregulation of Cdk4 is different from the findings of the Protein Pathway Array, which found upregulation of Cdk4 in the SI-NET as compared to normal small bowel.

Compared to normal liver tissue, SI-NET liver metastases demonstrated statistically significant upregulation of p27, confirming the findings of the Protein Pathway Array. TMA also demonstrated statistically significant upregulation of p38, Cdk4, and Cyclin E. SI-NETs liver metastases also revealed downregulation of Cdc25B.

In comparison of SI-NETs and their liver metastases, TMA demonstrated higher p38 expression and lower Cdc 25b expression in SI-NETs vs liver metastases (Figure 1 and Table 2).

Discussion

Small intestinal NETs have heterogeneous behavior that often presents clinical challenges. Because deaths due to SI-NETs are usually caused by metastatic disease, it is important to understand important pathways in tumor growth and metastasis development.

TMA confirmed some, but not all, specific PPA findings. Both PPA and TMA confirmed statistically significant up-regulation of cytoplasmic p38 in primary SI-NETs, as compared to normal small bowel. PPA and TMA also confirmed up-regulation of p27 in liver metastases compared to normal liver.

In some instances, TMA results were different from PPA findings, or elicited new findings. For instance, TMA demonstrated Cdk4 down-regulation in primary SI-NETs as compared to normal small bowel; this contrasted with PPA, which showed up-regulation of Cdk4 in SI-NET as compared to normal small bowel. TMA alone demonstrated significant up-regulation of p38, Cdk4, and Cyclin E and down-regulation of Cdc25B in liver metastases compared to normal liver. TMA alone also demonstrate higher p38 expression and lower

Kim et al.

Page 5

Cdc25b expression in SI-NETs as compared to liver metastases. Cdc25b plays an important role in the initial activation of the cell cycle, allowing mitosis to occur. Cdc25b has been found to be overexpressed in many neoplasms, including breast, thyroid, laryngeal, esophageal, gastric, and other cancers.[20] Overexpression may be associated with poor prognosis and high grade tumors.[20]

Our data demonstrated increased p27 expression in SI-NETs hepatic metastases compared to adjacent normal liver tissue. P27 is a member of the kinase inhibitor protein (KIP) family, with a negative effect on cyclin E/CDK2 and cyclin A/CDK2 and a positive effect on cyclin D/CDK complexes. A loss of p27 seems to have prognostic potential in breast, lung, colon, ovary, and prostate carcinomas.[21]

Our data also demonstrated increased p38 in primary SI-NETs as compared to normal small bowel. P38 catalyzes activation of other downstream kinases in cell-cell signaling.[22]

A strength of our study is that we analyzed primary and metastatic tissues from the same patients, as well as adjacent normal small intestine and liver. Previous studies have focused mainly on pancreatic NETs or a heterogeneous population of gastroenteropancreatic NETs, and analyzed a group of primary tumors that were not necessarily linked to metastases from the same patients.[23, 24] In addition, we applied a protein pathway approach that allowed us to identify pathways that are well known to play a role in tumor cell biology. By designing TMAs, we were able to confirm some of the findings of the PPA using an independent set of tumor tissues. The advantage of analyzing proteins and phosphoproteins is that we obtain a better understanding of the post-translational processing of DNA or RNA (used in other studies) which should provide a closer approximation of pathways that are indeed active in these tumors.

Despite this, our study does have some limitations. Because it is difficult to obtain tissue from synchronous primary and liver metastases of patients with SI-NETs, our sample size is limited. In addition, some of the markers that emerged as being either up- or down-regulated by PPA were not confirmed by TMA, which has been observed in our previous study.[25] It is probably due to the fact that IHC can determine the localization while PPA measures total protein, suggesting that these methods are complementary to each other.

Our study found that after analyzing many proteins involved in different cancer biology pathways, the proteins that seemed to be most important were those involved in cell cycle and proliferation. This confirms the importance of the mitotic index as an important component of how we predict tumor aggressiveness in SI-NETs. Future studies are needed to determine whether other pathways for which therapeutic agents are already developed, such as MAPK or others, might enhance our ability to treat SI-NETs or possibly predict clinical outcome.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences at the National Institutes of Health [Grant No. KL2TR000069 to MK Kim] and in part by the American Cancer Society [MRSG-14-014-01-CCE to MK Kim].

Pancreas. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

References

- Lawrence B, Gustafsson BI, Chan A, et al. The epidemiology of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2011; 40:1–18. vii. [PubMed: 21349409]
- Yao JC, Hassan M, Phan A, et al. One hundred years after "carcinoid": epidemiology of and prognostic factors for neuroendocrine tumors in 35,825 cases in the United States. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:3063–3072. [PubMed: 18565894]
- Nikou GC, Marinou K, Thomakos P, et al. Chromogranin a levels in diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of 42 patients with non-functioning pancreatic endocrine tumours. Pancreatology. 2008; 8:510–519. [PubMed: 18765956]
- Ter-Minassian M, Chan JA, Hooshmand SM, et al. Clinical presentation, recurrence, and survival in patients with neuroendocrine tumors: results from a prospective institutional database. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2013; 20:187–196. [PubMed: 23319495]
- Turner GB, Johnston BT, McCance DR, et al. Circulating markers of prognosis and response to treatment in patients with midgut carcinoid tumours. Gut. 2006; 55:1586–1591. [PubMed: 16556667]
- Vinik AI, Silva MP, Woltering EA, et al. Biochemical testing for neuroendocrine tumors. Pancreas. 2009; 38:876–889. [PubMed: 19855234]
- Stridsberg M. The use of chromogranin, synaptophysin and islet amyloid polypeptide as markers for neuroendocrine tumours. Ups J Med Sci. 1995; 100:169–199. [PubMed: 8808182]
- Stridsberg M, Oberg K, Li Q, et al. Measurements of chromogranin A, chromogranin B (secretogranin I), chromogranin C (secretogranin II) and pancreastatin in plasma and urine from patients with carcinoid tumours and endocrine pancreatic tumours. J Endocrinol. 1995; 144:49–59. [PubMed: 7891024]
- Rindi G, Kloppel G, Couvelard A, et al. TNM staging of midgut and hindgut (neuro) endocrine tumors: a consensus proposal including a grading system. Virchows Arch. 2007; 451:757–762. [PubMed: 17674042]
- Edge SB, Compton CC. The American Joint Committee on Cancer: the 7th edition of the AJCC cancer staging manual and the future of TNM. Ann Surg Oncol. 2010; 17:1471–1474. [PubMed: 20180029]
- Jiao Y, Shi C, Edil BH, et al. DAXX/ATRX, MEN1, and mTOR pathway genes are frequently altered in pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Science. 2011; 331:1199–1203. [PubMed: 21252315]
- 12. Missiaglia E, Dalai I, Barbi S, et al. Pancreatic endocrine tumors: expression profiling evidences a role for AKT-mTOR pathway. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28:245–255. [PubMed: 19917848]
- Yao JC, Shah MH, Ito T, et al. Everolimus for advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med. 2011; 364:514–523. [PubMed: 21306238]
- Pavel ME, Hainsworth JD, Baudin E, et al. Everolimus plus octreotide long-acting repeatable for the treatment of advanced neuroendocrine tumours associated with carcinoid syndrome (RADIANT-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet. 2011; 378:2005–2012. [PubMed: 22119496]
- Gloesenkamp CR, Nitzsche B, Ocker M, et al. AKT inhibition by triciribine alone or as combination therapy for growth control of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Int J Oncol. 2012; 40:876–888. [PubMed: 22075556]
- Hopfner M, Schuppan D, Scherubl H. Treatment of gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumors with inhibitors of growth factor receptors and their signaling pathways: recent advances and future perspectives. World J Gastroenterol. 2008; 14:2461–2473. [PubMed: 18442192]
- Yao JC, Phan A, Hoff PM, et al. Targeting vascular endothelial growth factor in advanced carcinoid tumor: a random assignment phase II study of depot octreotide with bevacizumab and pegylated interferon alpha-2b. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:1316–1323. [PubMed: 18323556]
- Ye F, Che Y, McMillen E, et al. The effect of Scutellaria baicalensis on the signaling network in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Nutr Cancer. 2009; 61:530–537. [PubMed: 19838925]
- Zhang DY, Wu J, Ye F, et al. Inhibition of cancer cell proliferation and prostaglandin E2 synthesis by Scutellaria baicalensis. Cancer Res. 2003; 63:4037–4043. [PubMed: 12874003]

Pancreas. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

Kim et al.

- Boutros R, Lobjois V, Ducommun B. CDC25 phosphatases in cancer cells: key players? Good targets? Nat Rev Cancer. 2007; 7:495–507. [PubMed: 17568790]
- 21. Chiarle R, Pagano M, Inghirami G. The cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor p27 and its prognostic role in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2001; 3:91–94. [PubMed: 11250752]
- 22. Roux PP, Blenis J. ERK and p38 MAPK-activated protein kinases: a family of protein kinases with diverse biological functions. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2004; 68:320–344. [PubMed: 15187187]
- Marinoni I, Kurrer AS, Vassella E, et al. Loss of DAXX and ATRX are associated with chromosome instability and reduced survival of patients with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Gastroenterology. 2014; 146:453–460. e455. [PubMed: 24148618]
- 24. Kim HS, Lee HS, Nam KH, et al. p27 Loss Is Associated with Poor Prognosis in Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumors. Cancer Res Treat. 2014; 46:383–392. [PubMed: 25036575]
- Liu W, Wu Y, Wang L, et al. Protein signature for non-small cell lung cancer prognosis. Am J Cancer Res. 2014; 4:256–269. [PubMed: 24959380]

Kim et al.



Figure 1.

Immunohistochemical stain for p38 shows increased nuclear and cytoplasmic expression in a primary small intestinal NET (A) compared with the metastatic liver tumor (B) from the same patient (magnification X 400).

Table 1

Selected proteins/phosphoproteins used in Protein Pathway Array

Angiogenesis: FGF, EPO, VEGF, VEGFR, NRP-1, Ang1, PDGF, PDGFR, TGF-beta, TGF-beta Receptor, Endoglin, VE-cadherin, CD31, NOS, COX-2, Id1/Id3, AC133, Angiopoietin, Laminin, Stabilin, Neuropilin, KDR, FLT1, IL8, Prokineticin, TNF alpha, IFN, IL6, COX1, MMP2, MMP9

Apoptosis/Autophagy: Bax, FAS, BAD, BCL2, BIK, BID, BAK, cleaved Caspase 3, cleaved Casepase 7, cleaved Caspase 8, cleaved Caspase 9, TRAF, FADD, TRADD, p53, CD27, BRAF, PARP, XIAP, NFKB, IKB, TAK1, RIP1, Bcl-xL, FLIP, Smac, Cytochrome C, Apaf-1, LC-3I, LC-3II, Raptor, VPS15, Beclin1, TNF

Cell signaling: ERK1/2, p-ERK1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204), Akt, p-AKT (Ser473), HGF, HGFR, pHGFR (Y1234/Y1235), IGF, IGFR, TGFR, TGFR, Notch 4, Notch 1, p38, p-p38 (Thr180/Tyr182), JNK, p-JNK (Thr183/Tyr185), FGFR, p-FGFR (Tyr653/654), VEGFR, p-VEGFR (Tyr951), PKC, p-PKCalpha (Ser657), p-PKCalpha/beta (Thr638/641), PTEN, p-PTEN (Ser380), P13K, Ras, Raf, EGFR, p-EGFR (Tyr1068), p-EGFR (Tyr1148), p-EGFR (Tyr1173), Her2, p-Her2 (Tyr1221/1222), PDK1, p-PDK1 (Ser241), mTor, p-mTor (Ser2448), HSP90, NF-kB, IKB, c-Kit, c-Kit (Tyr719), PDGFR, GSK3, beta-catenin, p-beta-catenin (Ser33/37/Thr41), stat3, p-stat3 (Ser727), stat5, p-stat5 (Tyr694), smad, p-smad (Ser463/465), CREB, p-CREB (Ser133)

Cell Growth/Cell Proliferation: Rb, P21, P27, P15, P16, P18, P19, CHK1, CHK2, DP-1, MDM2, BRCA1, BRCA2, GADD45, 14-3-3, Myt1, IL18,

Cell cycle: CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, CDC2p34, CDC25A, CDC25B, CDC25C, CyclinA, Cyclin B, Cyclin D, Cyclin E, Rb, CyclinA, Cyclin B, Cyclin D, Cyclin E, CDK7

DNA repair: 53BP1, Ape1, ATM, ATR, BLM, Brg1, CK1, CK2, REDD1, Claspin, ERCC1, ERCC2, P53, Rad1, Rad9, Rad50, Rad51, Rad52, Mre11, MSH2, XRCC1

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition/Adhesion: CD44, ICAM1, VCAM1, E-Cadherin, Collagen, ECM1, HAS1, Catenin, Integrin, Laminin, MMP1, MMP10, Selectin E, Selectin L, Thrombospondin,

Invasion/metastasis: uPA, uPAR, NF-kappaB, AP-1, MMP1, MMP2, MMP9, MMP13, CDH1, CDH2, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, ICAM-1, laminin-5, CD44, osteopontin, VEGF, Connexin 43 (Cx43), Slit2, Robo1, Cas, NM23, MKK4, CCR7, CXCR4

Transcription factor: AR, MYC, CEBF, DR1, E2F, EGR1, ELK1, ETS, FOXA2, FOXO1, ESR1, FOS, GATA, Jun, JunB, JunD, MYB, STAT, TBP, SMAD, PPAR, RB1, REL, E2F1, ER, PR

Table 2

Differentially expressed proteins in SI-NET primary and liver metastases as determined by PPA

A. SI-NET primary vs normal small intestine					
Protein	Ratio: Tumor/Normal (fold change)	SAM (q%)			
p-PDK1	+3.41	4.44			
PTEN	+21.47	4.44			
Cdk4	+8.53	0			
MetRS	+8.53	0			
P27	+11.95	0			
XIAP	+9.75	0			
P38	+9.66	0			
Stat 3	+10.38	0			
Alpha-tubulin	+11.90	0			
Cyclin E	-6.67	6.67			
B. SI-NET liver metastasis vs normal liver					
p-ERK	+5.27	0			
P27	+9.61	0			
Cdk2	-1.78	0			
Cdc25B	-50.00	0			
C. SI-NET liver metastasis vs SI-NET primary					
Cyclin E	+3.48	0			

SI-NET: Small intestinal neuroendocrine tumor; PPA: Protein Pathway Array SAM: Significant Analysis of Microarray

Table 3

Protein expression patterns determined by TMA

A. SI-NET primary vs normal small bowel				
Protein	Number (%) in SI-NET	Number (%) in Normal SI	р	
P38 nuclear	20/27 (74.1)	17/19 (89.5)	0.27	
P38 cytoplasmic	18/27 (66.7)	2/19 (10.5)	0.0002	
Cdc25b nuclear	10/26 (38.4)	19/19 (100)	< 0.0001	
Cdc25b cytoplasmic	5/26 (10.2)	8/19 (42.1)	0.11	
P27 nuclear	22/27 (81.5)	15/19 (78.9)	1.00	
Cyclin E	27/27 (100)	19/19 (100)	1.00	
Cdk4	12/27 (44.4)	19/19 (100)	< 0.0001	

B. SI-NET liver metastasis vs normal liver					
Protein	Number (%) in Liver Met	Number (%) in Normal Liver	р		
P38 nuclear	8/25 (32.0)	1/21 (4.8)	0.03		
P38 cytoplasmic	7/25 (28.0)	0/21 (0)	0.01		
Cdc25b cytoplasmic	12/25 (48)	21/21 (100)	0.03		
P27 nuclear	20/25 (80)	4/21 (19)	< 0.0001		
Cyclin E	25/25 (100)	13/21 (62)	0.0008		
Cdk4	12/25 (48)	0/21 (0)	0.0001		

C. SI-NET vs SI-NET liver metastasis					
Protein	Number (%) in SI-NET	Number (%) in Liver Met	р		
P38 nuclear	20/27 (74.1)	8/25 (32)	0.0002		
P38 cytoplasmic	18/27 (66.7)	7/25 (28)	0.0005		
Cdc25b cytoplasmic	5/26 (19.2)	12/25 (48)	0.03		
P27 nuclear	22/27 (81.5)	20/25 (80)	1.00		
Cyclin E	27/27 (100)	25/25 (100)	1.00		
Cdk4	12/27 (44.4)	12/25 (48)	1.00		

TMA: Tissue Microarray; SI-NET: Small intestinal neuroendocrine tumor; SI: small intestine