# Health<sup>™</sup>

**Journal Articles** 

2015

## Expanded genetic screening panel for the Ashkenazi Jewish population

B. Baskovich

I. Peter

J. H. Cho

G. Atzmon

L. Clark

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications

Part of the Psychiatry Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Baskovich B, Peter I, Cho J, Atzmon G, Clark L, Yu J, Lencz T, Pe'er I, Ostrer H, Oddoux C, . Expanded genetic screening panel for the Ashkenazi Jewish population. . 2015 Jan 01; 18(5):Article 779 [ p.]. Available from: https://academicworks.medicine.hofstra.edu/publications/779. Free full text article.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine Academic Works. For more information, please contact academicworks@hofstra.edu.

#### Authors

B. Baskovich, I. Peter, J. H. Cho, G. Atzmon, L. Clark, J. Yu, T. Lencz, I. Pe'er, H. Ostrer, C. Oddoux, and +7 additional authors



### **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Genet Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Genet Med. 2016 May ; 18(5): 522-528. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.123.

### Expanded Genetic Screening Panel for the Ashkenazi Jewish Population

Brett Baskovich, MD<sup>1,\*</sup>, Susan Hiraki, MS, MPH, CGC<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, Kinnari Upadhyay, MS<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, Philip Meyer<sup>2</sup>, Shai Carmi, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Nir Barzilai, MD<sup>2</sup>, Ariel Darvasi, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Laurie Ozelius, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Inga Peter, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Judy H. Cho, MD<sup>5</sup>, Gil Atzmon, PhD<sup>2,7</sup>, Lorraine Clark, PhD<sup>6</sup>, Todd Lencz, PhD<sup>2</sup>, Itsik Pe'er, PhD<sup>8</sup>, Harry Ostrer, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, and Carole Oddoux, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Montefiore Medical Center, Department of Pathology, New York, NY

<sup>2</sup>Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University, New York, NY

<sup>3</sup>Columbia University, Department of Computer Science, New York, NY

<sup>4</sup>Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Department of Genetics, Givat Ram, Jerusalem

<sup>5</sup>Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Department of Genetics, New York, NY

<sup>6</sup>Columbia University, Department of Pathology, New York, NY

<sup>7</sup>University of Haifa, Faculty of Natural Science, Israel

<sup>8</sup>The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, NY

#### Abstract

**Purpose**—Carrier screening programs that identify the presence of known mutations have been effective for reducing the incidence of autosomal recessive conditions in the Ashkenazi Jewish population and other populations. Yet, these programs have not realized their full potential. Furthermore, many known autosomal recessive and dominant conditions are not screened for and the molecular basis of other conditions for which screening might be offered is unknown.

**Methods**—Through literature review and annotation of full sequenced genomes from healthy individuals, we expanded the list of mutations. Mutations were identified in a sample of 128 fully sequenced Ashkenazi Jewish genomes that were filtered through clinical databases and curated manually for clinical validity and utility using the American College of Medical Genetics scoring (ACMG) system. Other known mutations were identified through literature review.

**Results**—A panel of 203 mutations was identified for 92 autosomal recessive, 24 autosomal dominant, and 4 X-linked disorders.

Corresponding author: Harry Ostrer, MD, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Jack and Pearl Resnick Campus, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Ullmann Building, Room 817, Bronx, NY 10461, Tel: 718.430.8605, harry.ostrer@einstein.yu.edu. \*First author

<sup>\*\*</sup>Co-first authors

Supplementary information is available at the Genetics in Medicine website

**Conclusion**—Screening for a broader range of disorders could not only further reduce the incidence of autosomal recessive disorders, but could also offer the benefits of early or presymptomatic diagnosis.

#### Keywords

Ashkenazi Jews; genetic testing; carrier screening; whole genome sequencing; variant annotation

#### Introduction

Population-based genetic screening is a valuable application of genomic technology that can have significant clinical and public health benefits. Presymptomatic detection of disease and identification of increased disease risk provide the opportunity for early diagnosis, intervention or prevention. The identification of genetic carrier status provides the opportunity for reproductive counseling and family planning, allowing for the prevention of the birth of an affected child or for early diagnosis and intervention.<sup>1</sup> Many population-based screening programs target specific populations, such as newborns, particular ethnic groups, or those deemed to be at increased risk for certain diseases. With the advancement of genetic technologies, such as multiplex testing to conduct high-throughput genotyping of a multitude of variants, there has been an expansion in the number of diseases that can be screened for in the predisposition, presymptomatic, and carrier states. Selecting conditions to include on a population-based screening panel and even selecting the criteria to make this decision remain active questions.

Founder effects, in combination with endogamy and possible selection, have made some Mendelian conditions more prevalent in the Ashkenazi Jewish (AJ) population. Ethnicitybased carrier screening programs in this and other populations have been successful in decreasing the incidence of autosomal recessive conditions.<sup>1</sup> The best-known example is Tay-Sachs disease, a severe, progressive neurodegenerative disorder caused by a defect in hexosaminidase A enzyme activity. Beginning in 1970, Tay-Sachs carrier screening in AJ individuals was the first population-based public health initiative aimed at decreasing the incidence of a lethal genetic disease. This coordinated effort which included education, genetic counseling, and voluntary screening, was adopted throughout the United States as well as internationally. Within 30 years of its inception, the program was successful in decreasing the incidence of Tay-Sachs disease by over 90% in the AJ populations of the United States and Canada.<sup>1</sup> In addition to Tay-Sachs disease, there are many other recessive disorders that occur more commonly in the AJ population and many labs now offer genetic carrier panels aimed at those of AJ ethnicity. The American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) have set forth recommendations for conditions that should be included on an AJ panel.<sup>2</sup> Despite these recommendations, there are differences between what is recommended and what is offered by labs, as well as differences among the various labs. In a multi-ethnic study of screening 23,000 individuals for 400+ Mendelian variants among, including variants found among Ashkenazi Jews, this ethnic group was the most likely to have carriers of serious recessive disorders. Many of these disorders were not included on the ACMG or ACOG lists of recommendations.<sup>2</sup> In addition, the AJ community has generally been supportive of carrier

screening for severe disorders, and expansion beyond what is currently recommended. Therefore, expanding current AJ screening panels to include more conditions appears justified.

In addition to carrier screening panels, there are a growing number of predisposition genetic screening panels that identify those at increased risk of future disease. Currently, the targeted population tends to be those already deemed to be at increased risk due to their family history of disease. Cancer risk assessment represents an application of predisposition screening that provides the opportunity for prevention and early diagnosis to decrease risk.<sup>3</sup> One such example is *BRCA1/2* screening which serves as a paradigm for high-risk, population-based screening. With an increasing number of disease risk variants being discovered, genetic risk assessment for adult-onset conditions will continue to grow.<sup>4</sup> Some who might benefit from genetic risk assessment may escape attention due to incomplete penetrance, sex-limited expression, and lack of or limited personal and/or family history.<sup>5</sup> Extending predisposition screening beyond those who meet standard high-risk criteria should have clinical utility, especially when offered in multiplex format.

As the number of disease variants grows and technological advances improve ease and efficiency of detection, criteria are needed against which to judge the merits of adding new genetic tests. In 1968, Wilson and Jungner delineated the principles of population-based screening which require that the condition in question be an important public health problem, an effective treatment be available that might be applied in the latent phase of disease, a suitable test be available that is acceptable to the population, and cost-benefit be favorable.<sup>6</sup> Originally intended to be applied to newborn screening, these same criteria have been adapted to the assessment of population screening for risk of adult-onset conditions.<sup>4</sup> In the case of carrier screening, the targeted diseases have generally been severe with limited or no intervention available, with the intended goal of decreasing the incidence of such diseases. However, recent expansion of such screening has included diseases that are less severe and for which treatment or prevention is available.<sup>7</sup>

Studies aimed at assessing risk alleles in populations must also deal with interpreting variants with limited support for pathogenicity. The curation of genetic variants has recently received attention from medical societies including the American College of Medical Genetics (ACMG).<sup>8</sup> Factors considered by the ACMG and other groups include type of variant, support in the literature, number of cases and controls with the variant and odds ratio, similarity to known pathogenic variants, results of prediction algorithms, and functional studies. A recent study that performed whole-exome sequencing on 6,517 European and African Americans suggested that 45% of individuals carry at least one mutation in genes included on newborn screens.<sup>9</sup>

We recently sequenced 128 Ashkenazi Jewish individuals (The Ashkenazi Genome Consortium dataset, or "TAGC dataset") and established a list of previously known and new mutations.<sup>10</sup> Here we address the pathogenicity of the newly identified mutations and the clinical utility of screening for them.

#### Methods

DNA samples were collected from 128 individuals who were disease-free and were verified by Principal Component Analysis to have Ashkenazi Jewish origin, as we recently described.<sup>10</sup> DNA sequencing was carried out by Complete Genomics (CG) and annotated using the reference genome version hg19. <sup>10</sup>

ClinVar and OMIM, publicly available genetic databases that catalog genotypes and phenotypes, were scanned via a custom web crawler to identify variants associated with phenotypes.<sup>11</sup> Of the 2,434 variants that were identified from sequencing 128 AJ individuals, 80 variants were reported by ClinVar or OMIM as "pathogenic" or "possibly pathogenic." These variants were curated manually by at least two independent reviewers through literature review and assigned a score based on the American College of Medical Genetics scoring (ACMG) system. An additional 56 variants were scored as "pathogenic, very strong" using the ACMG system. Factors considered were number of patients, number of controls, association with other mutations, and functional studies (Table 1S). <sup>8</sup> Those determined to be pathogenic or likely pathogenic were then further reviewed for individual clinical utility and frequency of the variant.

Calculations of expected prevalence were performed for each variant based on Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using allele frequencies for our data and carrier frequencies for variants found in the literature (Table 2S). If available, literature frequencies were used as they should be based on a larger number of samples, but for new variants the frequencies from our study was used. However, if the rare mutations had other more common mutations for the same disease, or if they were well-established in the literature in the Ashkenazi population, they were not removed from the panel. Note that this method of estimation does not include consideration of prevalent Jewish/non-Jewish marriages, which would not impact allele frequencies in the current adult population, but would lessen impact to offspring of one non-Jewish parent.

We assessed the clinical utility of screening for each of the diseases. Factors including opportunity to provide reproductive counseling, diagnosis, early detection of disease, and the impact of early intervention on outcomes were all considered in our analysis for inclusion on the panel. Factors pertaining to personal utility, such as increasing knowledge and control, were also considered. Diseases considered to be benign biochemical traits or lacking clinical utility were removed from the panel. Mutations based on PubMed curation that we reported in a previous reviews.<sup>12,13</sup> and on lists from other laboratories that offer Ashkenazi Jewish carrier screening were assessed for clinical validity and clinical utility, then added if they met the same criteria as the variants identified from the sequenced genomes. The different Ashkenazi screening panels currently available are compared in Table 4S, along with the ACMG and ACOG recommendations.

The impact of the additional mutations in the expanded panel was calculated by comparison to the other panels (Table 3S). For new mutations being added, the number of impacted individuals was calculated based on the Hardy-Weinberg equations. To guarantee that our estimate is conservative, we did not consider mutations with frequency above 2% for

dominant mutations and 10% for recessive ones, unless well-established conditions, such as congenital adrenal hyperplasia and familial Mediterranean fever. For genes harboring multiple mutations, we assumed that their effects are independent, and that the effects of different genes are independent.

#### Results

The final panel included conditions that confer early age of onset risks to offspring and afford the opportunity for reproductive genetic counseling, as well as conditions tested for in the presymptomatic period that inform personal risk of future disease (Table and Table 2S). The 25 conditions that appear on at least one Ashkenazi Jewish panel currently being offered (Table 3S) are all included on this panel. An additional 60 conditions were included based on the criteria of a predicted frequency of at least 1 in 60,000 and clinical utility for the patient, resulting in a total of 203 mutations for 120 conditions. The panel included 92 autosomal recessive, 24 autosomal dominant, and 4 X-linked disorders (Table 3S). Fifteen variants for dominant and X-linked disorders only appeared once in our study samples, and thus require further data on allele frequency before final acceptance for implementation in a screening panel.

#### **Categories of disorders**

Many conditions that fall into the category of presymptomatic screening confer future risk of cancer, such as *BRCA1/2* and mismatch repair gene testing, but this group also includes diseases such as obesity and enchanced S cone dystrophy. Diseases that inform primarily risk to offspring are mostly autosomal recessive and range from very severe conditions, such as glycine encephalopathy and Leigh syndrome, to milder conditions, such as hyperoxaluria and icthyosis. Genetic testing may be diagnostic for affected children. Some of the dominant conditions, such as Brugada syndrome, adult-onset diabetes, and hypophosphatemic nephrolithiasis/osteoporosis 2, have variable expressivity, and a screening test would be diagnostic and may offer the opportunity for early diagnosis and intervention in affected offspring. There are also some X-linked conditions for which clinical utility may be offered in a variety of ways. Female carriers of *COL4A5* gene mutations which cause Alport syndrome, can gain information about risks to offspring as well as personal risk of future symptoms, if they are currently asymptomatic.<sup>1</sup> Cornelia de Lange syndrome, an X-linked dominant disorder, may have a mild presentation in parents who have been reported to have more severely affected children.<sup>20</sup>

#### Clinical utility

This panel was designed to include highly penetrant Mendelian disorders for which clinical utility may be derived from testing. Therefore, some conditions were removed from our clinical panel if they did not provide clinical utility. Cystathianuria and pentosuria are benign biochemical traits and therefore were not included. Cryptorchidism, a readily apparent and correctable trait, and familial candidiasis, typically quite mild for mutation carriers, were removed due to lack of clinical utility. Warfarin resistance was removed also due to the lack of demonstrable clinical utility. Indeed, the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) has recommended against population-based screening for warfarin

resistance due the current paucity of data supporting such screening.<sup>21</sup> Due to uncertain penetrance, APOE was removed.

Some previously reported conditions and variants that were not detected in the TAGC dataset were added to our panel. The c.1716+1G>A variant in the *F11* gene causing Factor XI deficiency is considered to be an AJ mutation.<sup>22</sup> Two galactosemia variants in the GALT gene were added, Q188R and K285N, due to their high frequency in Eastern European populations.<sup>23</sup> The E372X variant in the *BCKDHB* gene, which causes Maple Syrup Urine disease, was also added due to its high frequency in the AJ population.<sup>24</sup> Tyrosinemia was added to our panel because the P261L mutation in the *FAH* gene is known to be prevalent in the Ashkenazi Jewish population.<sup>25</sup> Deletion of exon 7 in the *SMN1* gene conferring Spinal Muscular Atrophy was added due to its prevalence in all populations, and the recommendation from the ACMG to screen all couples regardless of race or ethnicity.<sup>26</sup> In addition, the common mutations for congenital adrenal hyperplasia were added; p.P30L, IVS2 13C>G (IVS 2), p.I172N, exon 6 mutation cluster (p.I236N, p.V237E, p.M239K), p.V281L, p.Q318X, p.R356W, and an 8 bp deletion in exon 3.<sup>27</sup>

#### Varying risk depending on allelic status

Some recessive conditions that confer different phenotypes in the monoallelic and biallelic states can be considered to offer information pertaining to both personal risk as well as risk to offspring. One example is the *GBA* gene in which a mutation carrier has a risk to have a child affected with Gaucher disease if two mutations are inherited, and also has a personal risk of developing Parkinson disease.<sup>14</sup> Another example is the *ATM* gene in which a mutation carrier has a future risk of cancer as well as the risk to have a child with ataxia telangiectasia, if two mutations are inherited.<sup>15</sup> This screening test may also be diagnostic for recessive conditions in adults that can have atypical presentations or later onset. Examples include cystic fibrosis,<sup>16</sup> Gaucher disease,<sup>17</sup> and *GJB2* associated hearing loss.<sup>18</sup> For the newly identified mutations, frequencies may be revised once larger numbers of subjects are tested. Indeed, some of the low-frequency mutations may be private to the individuals sequenced and may not be found among other members of the AJ population.

A conservative estimate suggests that screening of all mutations in our expanded panel is expected to detect medically-relevant dominant mutations in 28% of patients (about 14,000 a year, assuming 50,000 tests a year). Prenatal screening for recessive conditions using our expanded panel is expected to affect 3–4% of the couples (1,800 a year, assuming 50,000 prenatal tests a year).

#### Discussion

Through our variant analysis we have identified a number of disease variants that are prevalent in the Ashkenazi Jewish population. These variants provide additional genotyping targets that can be included in an expanded AJ screening panel. Traditionally, the goal of carrier screening has been to decrease the occurrence of severe, untreatable genetic disorders, as evidenced in the case of Tay-Sachs. However, with our increasing ability to identify prevalent disease variants in certain populations, we need to reframe our goals of population screening and genetic counseling.

In assessing the utility of a genetic screening test, clinical endpoints such as reduction in morbidity and mortality have traditionally been used. However, there is a move towards broadening this definition of clinical utility to include informational, psychological, and social benefits of undergoing a genetic test.<sup>28</sup> Also referred to as personal utility, learning one's genetic carrier status or future risk of disease can alleviate anxiety, afford the opportunity for future life planning, and satisfy a need for information, which are all valuable benefits of genetic testing irrespective of clinical use or health outcomes.<sup>28</sup> For example, in individuals who chose to undergo susceptibility testing for Alzheimer disease, a disease for which there is no proven cure or prevention, information-seeking was an important motivator for pursuing genetic testing, as were logistical and altruistic factors such as future planning, preparing family members, and contributing to research, emphasizing the importance of considering these other endpoints as measures of the utility of genetic testing.

Gaucher disease serves as a paradigm for conditions that fall outside of the traditional screening criteria but are now part of many AJ panels. Several professional organizations have recommended against AJ population screening for Gaucher disease due to poor genotype/phenotype correlations and lack of data on the efficacy of treatment for mild disease.<sup>29</sup> Screening for Type 1 Gaucher disease, caused by the p.N370S mutation, has been particularly controversial due to its variable expressivity and reduced penetrance. About 90% of those homozygous for this mutation are mildly affected or completely asymptomatic, yet even symptomatic individuals are diagnosed only at the time of the screening test.<sup>7</sup> Conditions that do not have a clear-cut genotype/phenotype correlation present challenges for genetic counseling and prenatal decision-making. In addition, for conditions with reduced penetrance, carrier screening may actually uncover an unexpected diagnosis.<sup>7</sup> An analysis of the Israeli screening program for type 1 Gaucher disease between 1994 and 2005 revealed that most couples did not terminate pregnancies predicted to have mild or asymptomatic disease. In addition, termination was significantly less likely if the couple received genetic counseling or consulted with a Gaucher disease specialist, emphasizing the importance of genetic counseling as an integral part of any screening program.

Non-syndromic deafness is another condition that demonstrates a limited number of AJ mutations, but for which the clinical utility of screening may be minimal. It has been demonstrated that two mutations in the *GJB2* gene yield a carrier frequency of 4.76% among AJ individuals.<sup>30</sup> Although this frequency is similar to that of Gaucher disease and Tay-Sachs, *GJB2* screening is not routinely offered. The identification of carrier risk for hearing would aid in the early diagnosis of an affected child, and could identify cases that might be missed by newborn screening – especially because the hearing loss may not be present at birth. Early diagnosis can also facilitate early intervention which has been shown to be beneficial for language acquisition and learning among deaf and hard of hearing children.<sup>30</sup> Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF) is another condition that falls outside of traditional population screening criteria, but for which carrier screening is widely available.<sup>31</sup> Since the symptoms of FMF are generally not life-threatening, and over 90% of patients respond to colchicine treatment, FMF has generally not been recommended for population based carrier screening. However, like non-syndromic hearing loss, there are significant benefits from screening for early diagnosis and treatment which has been shown to be beneficial.<sup>32</sup> Non-

classical 21-hydroxylase deficiency, a mild form of congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), is a condition with variable expressivity and an estimated prevalence of 1/100 in the general population, making it the most common autosomal recessive condition. In the Ashkenazi Jewish population, the frequency is estimated to be even higher (1/27).<sup>33</sup> Partial 21hydroxylase deficiency can lead to precocious puberty, cystic acne, and advanced bone age. Affected males usually do not exhibit symptoms, but may have oligospermia and reduced fertility, whereas women more commonly suffer from menstrual and gonadal dysfunction that can lead to infertility. In both males and females, these symptoms may be reversed with hormonal treatment. Population genetic screening of this frequent yet largely undiagnosed condition, can identify affected individuals and offer the opportunity for treatment.<sup>34</sup>

One advantage to applying predisposition screening to a particular group is that ethnicitybased screening exhibits lower rates of variants of uncertain significance, favorably impacting clinical utility and cost-effectiveness. Expanded population-based *BRCA1/2* screening has been shown to be cost effective in the Ashkenazi Jewish population, because screening would lessen the risk of ovarian cancer.<sup>5</sup> As in the case of *BRCA1/2* screening, risk assessment for other cancer syndromes, such as Lynch syndrome and Cowden syndrome, provides the opportunity for early detection and intervention to reduce, prevent, or diagnose cancers early. Despite discussion about universal screening for Lynch syndrome among colon cancer patients, no such similar discussion of AJ population screening has taken place.<sup>35</sup> For other conditions for which there is no intervention available to decrease risk, such as Parkinson disease and various types of deafness, there may still be some utility in gaining the knowledge of one's risk for future life planning as well as informing risks for family members.

Opinions conflict about the benefits of expanding carrier screening for the AJ population. Some worry that the number of diseases on the panel precludes the ability to provide adequate counseling to ensure truly informed consent. Others have concerns about increasing anxiety in individuals who are screened for a multitude of conditions, and that given the low frequency of many of these conditions, this harm outweighs the potential benefits.<sup>36</sup> Modifications to the standard informed consent process for single gene tests should be considered when screening for multiple conditions. As providing a detailed discussion about each disease on the panel is not feasible, new models of consent will be needed to explain risks and benefits effectively and to assess patient understanding. Healthcare professionals beyond genetic counselors and medical geneticists should be trained to convey this information in order to meet the growing demand.<sup>37</sup> In a study of pregnant women and their partners regarding informed consent for genetic carrier screening. one significant finding was confusion about the purpose of genetic screening. Individuals associated this screening with gaining information about their offspring and not about themselves.<sup>38</sup> Nonetheless, when explained that personal risks could also be identified, most individuals in another study indicated a preference for screening.<sup>39</sup> Since the diseases on this panel impart both reproductive and personal risks, with some mutations conferring both, it will be important to emphasize the different types of information that may be gleaned from genetic screening. Patients vary in their preferences of a generic consent model, in which general principles of screening are explained with the details of each disease discussed only if the patient tests positive, versus a comprehensive consent model, in which details about

each disease being screened for are given prior to testing. This variability calls for a more personalized approach to the consent process to meet the patient's needs. Of course, with an extensive screening panel, even applying the comprehensive model of consent will have its limitations due to the sheer amount of information that would need to be conveyed for all of the diseases. In addition to a more personalized approach to the consent process, it would be beneficial to allow individuals to choose what they would like to be tested for due to the broad range of diseases offered on our panel and the varying implications for both personal and reproductive risk,. Such an approach will enhance autonomy for the patient by respecting his/her right *not* to know.<sup>40</sup>

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Eli Balshan and Michael Groden for assistance with annotation.

We acknowledge financial support from the Human Frontier Science Program (SC); NIH research grants AG042188 (GA), DK62429, DK062422, DK092235 (JHC), NS050487, NS060113 (LNC), AG021654, AG027734 (NB), MH089964, MH095458, MH084098 (TL), and CA121852 (computational infrastructure, IPe'er); NSF research grants 08929882 and 0845677 (IPe'er); Rachel and Lewis Rudin Foundation (HO); North Shore - LIJ Health System Foundation (TL); New York Crohn's Foundation (IPeter); Edwin and Caroline Levy and Joseph and Carol Reich (SB); the Parkinson's Disease Foundation (LNC); the Sharon Levine Corzine Cancer Research Fund (KO); and the Andrew Sabin Family Research Fund (KO).

#### References

- Kaback MM. Population-based genetic screening for reproductive counseling: the Tay-Sachs disease model. Eur J Pediatr. 2000; 159(Suppl 3):S192–195. [PubMed: 11216898]
- 2. Lazarin GA, et al. An empirical estimate of carrier frequencies for 400+ causal Mendelian variants: results from an ethnically diverse clinical sample of 23,453 individuals. Genet Med. 2013; 15:178–186. [PubMed: 22975760]
- 3. Khoury MJ, et al. The continuum of translation research in genomic medicine: how can we accelerate the appropriate integration of human genome discoveries into health care and disease prevention? Genetics in Medicine. 2007; 9:665–674. [PubMed: 18073579]
- Burke W, Coughlin SS, Lee NC, Weed DL, Khoury MJ. Application of population screening principles to genetic screening for adult-onset conditions. Genet Test. 2001; 5:201–211. [PubMed: 11788085]
- Rubinstein WS, Jiang H, Dellefave L, Rademaker AW. Cost-effectiveness of population-based BRCA1/2 testing and ovarian cancer prevention for Ashkenazi Jews: a call for dialogue. Genet Med. 2009; 11:629–639. [PubMed: 19606050]

6.

WHO\_PHP\_34.pdf - WHO\_PHP\_34.pdf. at <a href="http://whqlibdoc.who.int/php/WHO\_PHP\_34.pdf">http://whqlibdoc.who.int/php/WHO\_PHP\_34.pdf</a>

- Zuckerman S, et al. Carrier screening for Gaucher disease: lessons for low-penetrance, treatable diseases. JAMA. 2007; 298:1281–1290. [PubMed: 17878420]
- Richards S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015 Mar 5. Epub ahead of print. 10.1038/gim. 2015.30
- Tabor HK, et al. Pathogenic variants for Mendelian and complex traits in exomes of 6,517 European and African Americans: implications for the return of incidental results. Am J Hum Genet. 2014; 95:183–193. [PubMed: 25087612]

- Carmi S, et al. Sequencing an Ashkenazi reference panel supports population-targeted personal genomics and illuminates Jewish and European origins. Nat Commun. 2014; 5:4835. [PubMed: 25203624]
- 11. Introduction ClinVar NCBI. at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/intro/>
- Ostrer H. A genetic profile of contemporary Jewish populations. Nat Rev Genet. 2001; 2:891–898. [PubMed: 11715044]
- Ostrer H, Skorecki K. The population genetics of the Jewish people. Hum Genet. 2013; 132:119– 127. [PubMed: 23052947]
- Becker JG, et al. Parkinson's disease in patients and obligate carriers of Gaucher disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2013; 19:129–131. [PubMed: 22940477]
- Byrnes GB, Southey MC, Hopper JL. Are the so-called low penetrance breast cancer genes, ATM, BRIP1, PALB2 and CHEK2, high risk for women with strong family histories? Breast Cancer Res. 2008; 10:208. [PubMed: 18557994]
- Boyle MP. Nonclassic cystic fibrosis and CFTR-related diseases. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2003; 9:498–503. [PubMed: 14534402]
- Jain VV, Yelwatkar S. Unusual presentation of adult Gaucher's disease: A long and difficult road to diagnosis. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 15:224–226. [PubMed: 21897904]
- Tekin M, et al. Moderate hearing loss and pseudodominant inheritance due to L90P/35delG mutations in the GJB2 (connexin 26) gene. Genet Couns. 2003; 14:379–386. [PubMed: 14738110]
- 19. Dystrophinopathies GeneReviews® NCBI Bookshelf. at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1119/">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1119/></a>
- 20. Cornelia de Lange Syndrome GeneReviews® NCBI Bookshelf. at <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1104/">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1104/</a>
- 21. Flockhart DA, et al. Pharmacogenetic testing of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 alleles for warfarin. Genetics in Medicine. 2008; 10:139–150. [PubMed: 18281922]
- 22. Peretz H, et al. Type I mutation in the F11 gene is a third ancestral mutation which causes factor XI deficiency in Ashkenazi Jews. J Thromb Haemost. 2013; 11:724–730. [PubMed: 23332144]
- 23. Tyfield L, et al. Classical galactosemia and mutations at the galactose-1-phosphate uridyl transferase (GALT) gene. Hum Mutat. 1999; 13:417–430. [PubMed: 10408771]
- Edelmann L, et al. Maple syrup urine disease: identification and carrier-frequency determination of a novel founder mutation in the Ashkenazi Jewish population. Am J Hum Genet. 2001; 69:863– 868. [PubMed: 11509994]
- 25. Elpeleg ON, et al. Mutation analysis of the FAH gene in Israeli patients with tyrosinemia type I. Hum Mutat. 2002; 19:80–81. [PubMed: 11754109]
- Prior TW. Carrier screening for spinal muscular atrophy. Genetics in Medicine. 2008; 10:840–842. [PubMed: 18941424]
- Ba F, et al. CYP21A2 Gene Mutations in Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia: Genotype-phenotype correlation in Turkish children. Journal of Clinical Research in Pediatric Endocrinology. 2011; 1:116–128. [PubMed: 21274396]
- Grosse SD, Khoury MJ. What is the clinical utility of genetic testing? Genet Med. 2006; 8:448– 450. [PubMed: 16845278]
- Gaucher disease. Current issues in diagnosis and treatment. NIH Technology Assessment Panel on Gaucher Disease. JAMA. 1996; 275:548–553. [PubMed: 8606477]
- 30. Morell RJ, et al. Mutations in the connexin 26 gene (GJB2) among Ashkenazi Jews with nonsyndromic recessive deafness. N Engl J Med. 1998; 339:1500–1505. [PubMed: 9819448]
- 31. Familial Mediterranean Fever MEFV Select Exons Sequencing Genetic Testing Company | The DNA Diagnostic Experts | GeneDx. at <<u>https://www.genedx.com/test-catalog/disorders/familial-mediterranean-fever/></u>
- 32. Padeh S, et al. Familial Mediterranean Fever in the first two years of life: a unique phenotype of disease in evolution. J Pediatr. 2010; 156:985–989. [PubMed: 20227729]
- Speiser PW, et al. High frequency of nonclassical steroid 21-hydroxylase deficiency. Am J Hum Genet. 1985; 37:650–667. [PubMed: 9556656]

- Strom CM, et al. Molecular screening for diseases frequent in Ashkenazi Jews: Lessons learned from more than 100,000 tests performed in a commercial laboratory. Genetics in Medicine. 2004; 6:145–152. [PubMed: 15354333]
- 35. Hampel H, et al. Feasibility of screening for Lynch syndrome among patients with colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2008; 26:5783–5788. [PubMed: 18809606]
- Dolgin E. Jewish genetic screening grows despite questions about breadth. Nat Med. 2011; 17:639. [PubMed: 21647128]
- 37. Ormond KE, et al. Challenges in the clinical application of whole-genome sequencing. The Lancet. 2010; 375:1749–1751.
- Ormond KE, et al. What do patients prefer: informed consent models for genetic carrier testing. J Genet Couns. 2007; 16:539–550. [PubMed: 17492496]
- Hathaway F, Burns E, Ostrer H. Consumers' desire towards current and prospective reproductive genetic testing. J Genet Couns. 2009; 18:137–146. [PubMed: 19160030]
- Andorno R. The right not to know: an autonomy based approach. Journal of Medical Ethics. 2004; 30:435–439. [PubMed: 15467071]

Table

#### List of phenotypes by inheritance pattern

Adrenoc	cortical hyperplasia
Arrhyth	mogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy
Bone ma	arrow failure, telomere-related, 1
Breast/o	varian cancer predisposition
Brugada	syndrome 1
Carcino	id tumors/Cowden disease 3
Cerebra	l cavernous malformations
Colon ca	ancer predisposition
Corneal	dystrophy, hereditary polymorphous posterior
Diabetes	s mellitus, noninsulin-dependent
Enhance	ed S-cone syndrome
Familial	hypercholesterolemia
Hypergl	ycinuria
Hyperlij	poprotenemia, type III
Long Q	Γ syndrome 5
Lynch s	yndrome
Nephrol	ithiasis/osteoporosis, hypophosphatemic, 2
Obesity	
Paragan	glioma 5
Parkinso	on disease 8
Retinitis	s pigmentosa 17
Thyroid	carcinoma, familial medullary
Timothy	y syndrome
Vitellifo	rm macular dystrophy, adult onset
Von Wil	lebrand disease, type 2N
Autosor	nal Recessive (n=93)
Alpha th	nalassemia
Abetalip	poproteinemia
Acyl Co	A dehydrogenase deficiency
Albinisr	n, oculocutaneous, type IB
Amegak	aryocytic thrombocytopenia
Bartter s	syndrome type 3
Beta-ure	eidopropionase deficiency
Bloom s	syndrome
Bronchi	ectasis with or without elevated sweat chloride 2
Canavar	n disease

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, type 1A
Cockayne syndrome A
Combined hyperlipidemia, familial
Congenital adrenal hyperplasia
Congenital myasthenic syndrome
Cryptorchidism
Cystic Fibrosis
Cystinuria
Deafness, autosomal recessive 1A
Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency
Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase deficiency
Dyskeratosis congenita, autosomal recessive, 3
Early-onset myopathy, areflexia, respiratory distress, and dysphagia
Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, type II
Epidermolysis bullosa dystrophica
Factor XI deficiency (PTA)
Familial dysautonomia
Familial hyperinsulinism
Familial Mediterranean Fever
Fanconi anemia, complementation group C
Follicle-stimulating hormone deficiency
Fructose intolerance
Fucosyltransferase 6 deficiency
Galactosemia
Gaucher disease, type 1
Glutathione synthetase deficiency
Glycogen storage disease Ia
Grey platelet syndrome
Haemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, familial
Hemochromatosis
Hemolytic anemia, nonspherocytic, due to glucose phosphate isomerase deficience
Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome 1
Hermasky-Pudlak syndrome 3
Homocystinuria
Hyper IgD syndrome
Hyperoxaluria, primary, type 3
Hyperprolinemia, type I
Hypertrophic osteoarthropathy, primary
Hypocholinesterasaemia

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Ichth	yosis, congenital, autosomal recessive 1
Imm	unodeficiency, centromeric instability & facial anomalies syndrome
Joub	ert syndrome
Lebe	er congenital amaurosis 2
Leig	h Syndrome
Leuk	coencephalopathy, brain & spine involvement, lactate elevation
Man	dibuloacral dysplasia
Map	le syrup urine disease
Meg	alencephalic leukoencephalopathy with subcortical cysts
Meg	aloblastic anaemia, thiamine responsive
Mito	chondrial DNA depletion syndrome 1
Muc	olipidosis IV
Mus	cular dystrophy, limb girdle 2L
Myo	adenylate deaminase deficiency, myopathy due to
Nem	aline myopathy
Neu	ronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, infantile
Nien	nann-Pick disease, type A
Phos	phoglycerate dehydrogenase deficiency
Prek	allikrein deficiency
Prim	ary ciliary dyskinesia
Prop	ionic acidaemia
Prote	oporphyria, erythropoietic
Refs	um disease
Reti	nitis pigmentosa 1
Reti	nitis pigmentosa 28
Reti	nitis pigmentosa 59
Reti	nitis pigmentosa 62
Smit	h-Lemli-Opitz syndrome
Spas	tic paraplegia
Spin	al muscular atrophy 37
Spor	dylocostal dysostosis
Starg	gardt disease 1
Tay-	Sachs disease
Thro	mbotic thrombocytopenic purpura, familial
Thy	oid dyshormonogenesis 3
Ushe	er syndrome, type 1F
Ushe	er syndrome, type 3A
Vici	syndrome
\$\$7.11	xer-Warburg syndrome

eroderma pigmentosum, group C	
X-linked (n=4)	
Alport syndrome	
Fragile X syndrome	
Premature ovarian failure 2B	
Premature ovarian failure 4	

Author Manuscript