

European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science

ISSN: 2501 - 1235 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1235 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

DOI: 10.46827/ejpe.v9i6.4767

Volume 9 | Issue 6 | 2023

PRE-COVID-19 PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS DOES NOT PROTECT AGAINST REDUCTIONS IN POST-COVID-19 SYMPTOMS: A CORRELATION RELATIVISTIC ANALYSIS DURING THE LOCKDOWN

Rebecca Owen, Mark A. Faghy, Ruth E. M. Ashton, Francesco V. Ferraroⁱ Biomedical Research Theme, School of Human Sciences, University of Derby, United Kingdom

Abstract:

People who exhibit unhealthy lifestyle behaviours are at greater risk of severe disease outcomes, risk of hospitalisation and mortality when infected with COVID-19. Accordingly, it is suggested that those with higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness and who engage in regular physical activity (PA) are associated with a reduced risk of adverse outcomes. Although improved physiological function may protect individuals against severe acute COVID-19 outcomes, it is unknown whether it offers protection against developing sustained symptom profile, known as post-acute COVID-19 syndrome or Long COVID. Affecting an estimated 2 million people in the UK and 144 million globally, Long COVID is challenging healthcare services with broader social and economic impacts. Accordingly, this project aimed to determine the impact of PA status on Long COVID. An online survey was developed Utilizing adapted versions of preexisting Patient Re-ports Outcome Measures (Qualtrics, Provo, Utah, United States). Participants self-reported PA status in line with the World Health Organisation guidelines and their pre- and post-COVID-19 health status and symptom profile. A Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyse between-group responses, and a Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used to analyse within-group responses. The survey was completed by 381 participants, of which n=253 reported meeting or exceeding the recommended guidelines of PA. A significant difference was found between pre- and post-COVID-19 health, whilst a Mann-Whitney U test concluded that there was no significant difference between PA groups and post-COVID-19 health status. According to the results, increased PA and cardiorespiratory fitness might offer protection against severe disease outcomes in the acute phase of

ⁱ Correspondence: email <u>m.faghy@derby.ac.uk</u>; <u>f.ferraro@derby.ac.uk</u>

infection but this does not offer full protection against developing a long-term symptom profile and increased mechanistic understanding of the physiological determinants is needed to restore the pre-COVID-19 status and assist in the development of multidisciplinary interventions.

Keywords: Long COVID; COVID-19; rehabilitation; physical activity; health and wellbeing; sports therapy

1. Introduction

Coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) is a highly transmissible viral infection that continues to impact communities and healthcare providers globally via sustained transmission and emerging mutations and variants of concern (Bedford et al., 2020). A plethora of patient factors (e.g., age, gender, ethnicity, immunological, biochemical, and radiographic status) have been identified as being associated with increased incidence of acute disease severity and reduced patient outcomes (Gallo Marin et al., 2021). Long COVID is a patient-made term that describes persistent symptoms and morbidity in the weeks, months and now years post-acute COVID-19 infection (Liu et al., 2020; Mo et al., 2021). During the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic, it was suggested that recovery from COVID-19 occurs within 7-10 days following mild disease, extending to 3-6 weeks for those experiencing severe and critical illness (Gomes, 2020). Patients were expected to experience uncomplicated recoveries, with few long-term consequences anticipated (Sigfrid et al., 2021). However, research highlights the time to recovery from COVID-19 exceeded 35 weeks in 91% patients, reporting an average of 56 ± 26 symptoms, across different organ systems (Prescott & Girard, 2020). The episodic nature of symptoms and functioning with Long COVID is reported to affect 86% of participants (CI, 84.8% to 87.0%) with symptoms triggered by exercise training, or physical or mental activity (Davis et al., 2021). To this day, the symptomology of long-term sequalae associated with COVID-19 remains an international priority and the basis of scientific investigation (Desai et al., 2022).

The most reported symptoms of Long COVID include fatigue, dyspnea, headache, chest heaviness and muscle aches (Alwan, 2021; Mark A. Faghy et al., 2022; Hayes et al., 2021). Current estimates highlight that there are 2 million people living with Long COVID in the UK, with severe symptom profiles adversely impacting the daily activities of 1.4 million people (Thompson et al., 2022). To date, the determinants of developing Long COVID remain uncertain, but acute COVID-19 infection severity, cardio-respiratory fitness, physical activity (PA), age, and co-morbidities have been suggested, but not confirmed predictors of long-standing symptom profiles (Blomberg et al., 2021; Crook et al., 2021; Humphreys et al., 2020; Prescott & Girard, 2020). Physical activity is important in the prevention and treatment of chronic diseases and viral infections via improved physiological and immune function (da Silveira et al., 2021). Physical activity acts as a modulator of the immune system due to pro-and anti-inflammatory cytokines released

during and after exercise, increasing lymphocyte circulation and cell recruitment (da Silveira et al., 2021). Thus, the incidence of acute infection symptom severity and mortality of common viral infections are decreased in individuals involved in regular PA (da Silveira et al., 2021). Research demonstrates that physical inactivity and adopting unhealthy lifestyle behaviors is a risk factor for acute COVID-19 infection, highlighting that patients who exhibit regular unhealthy lifestyle characteristics are at an increased risk of hospitalisation, admission to an intensive care unit, mechanical ventilation, and mortality and those who engage with regular PA are at a reduced risk of adverse outcomes (Arena & Lavie, 2021). Improved physiological function via regular PA may protect individuals against a severe acute COVID-19 infection (Lassalle et al., 2021), but at present, it is unknown whether regular PA protects against prolonged symptom persistence and the development of Long COVID. Accordingly sustained symptom profile following acute infection with COVID-19 has been reported amongst elite athletes, suggesting that fitness levels may not be a sole determinant of Long COVID (Hull et al., 2022; Rajpal et al., 2021). Accordingly, the following explorative study sought to determine the relationship between pre-COVID-19 PA status and post-COVID-19 health status (defined as health-behaviour that fosters not smoking, good nutritional practices and adequate physical activity (Zhang et al., 2021)) within the general population.

2. Material and Methods

An online web-based survey was developed incorporating adapted versions of preexisting Patient Reported Outcome Measures (PROMs; Qualtrics, Provo, Utah, United States), following institutional ethics approval (ETH2021-1452) from the Human Sciences Research Ethics Committee. The survey was distributed via social media platforms and was available for completion between September 2020 and May 2021. Before progressing to the survey, participants provided informed consent in line with ethical standards, and all responses were anonymised using a unique participant ID code.

Inclusion criteria consisted of participants displaying symptoms of and believing they have had COVID-19, or a confirmed positive test (lateral flow test or polymerase chain reaction test). A positive test was not essential due to the availability of community testing in 2020 and due to the limitations of testing methods (Greenhalgh et al., 2020). Participants were over 18 years of age, with the ability to understand written English. If participants did not provide informed consent or confirmed that they did not understand the study requirements, their participation was terminated before data was collected.

The survey consisted of 7 sections, with a total of 70 questions incorporating validated measures aiming to assess the impact of COVID-19 on patients in community settings. Sections consisted of 1) participant characteristics (18 questions: age, sex, comorbidities, lifestyle factors [smoking status and weekly alcohol consumption], demographics [country of residence and ethnicity] and socioeconomic factors [employment status and role, living situation, number of household occupants, and approximate income]); 2) COVID-19 symptoms (10 questions: symptom profiling) 3)

Quality of Life (QoL) (8 questions: RAND Short Form 36 [SF-36] (Ware & Sherbourne, 1992) and Health Related Quality of Life survey [HRQoL] (Moriarty et al., 2003)); 4) sleep quality (8 questions: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (Buysse et al., 1989); 5) breathlessness (4 questions: University of California, San Diego [UCSD] shortness-of-breath questionnaire (Eakin et al., 1998; Swigris et al., 2012), 6) PA (14 questions: International Physical Activity Questionnaire [IPAQ] (Craig et al., 2003) RAND SF-36 (Ware & Sherbourne, 1992), WHO (Organisation, 2020) PA guidelines); 7) mental health (6 questions: SF-36 (Ware & Sherbourne, 1992) and HRQoL (Moriarty et al., 2003)).

All questions were scored on frequency and Likert scales (Allen & Seaman, 2007). The survey was created as part of a collaboration between a wider research team assessing the impact of COVID-19 on patients discharged into community settings, with further data regarding QoL, sleep, and breathlessness previously published (Mark A. Faghy et al., 2022). Data collected within the demographics (age, BMI, sex, and comorbidities), PA and symptom profiling sections of the survey were most relevant to the aims of this study. Sections that were not used to measure to the impact of PA status on Long COVID symptom severity and duration were not used within data analysis (QoL, mental health, sleep, breathlessness).

A Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyse between group responses (physical activity levels and post-COVID-19 health status), and a Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used to analyse within group responses (pre- and post-COVID-19 health status) following previous reported statistical analysis with ordinal variable (Blair & Higgins, 1985; Meek et al., 2007; Nanna & Sawilowsky, 1998). Spearman's correlation was used to test the relationship between variables (physical activity levels and post-COVID-19 health, and physical activity levels and symptom severity). Patients self-reported PA levels by selecting whether they complete less than, or met/exceed the recommended guidelines of PA (Organisation, 2020), and pre and post-COVID-19 health status was rated using a 4-point Likert scale and rated accordingly; "Excellent" (1), "Good" (2), "Fair" (3), "Poor" (4). Symptom severity was measured from "Not at all" (1), "Mild" (2), "Moderate" (3), "Severe" (4), "Critical" (5). Additional variance inflation factor and condition indices (multicollinearity tests), eigenvalue collinearity and proportional odds analysis (>0.05) were completed with the results the regression analysis factors were not met (Liang & Zeger, 1993).

3. Results

3.1 Participant Characteristics and Comorbidities

The survey received 381 responses (n=316 were female), with a mean age of 42.5 ± 12.3 . The length of time from initial infection to completion of the survey was 6.7 ± 4.4 months. Within the sample, 71.1% of participants had been tested for COVID-19, and 28.9% had not. Of those who had been tested, 70% were positive, 26% were not and 4% were inconclusive. Most respondents lived in the United Kingdom (83.7%), followed by the United States (6.3%), and 94.2% of respondents were White. Additionally, 94.2% of

respondents reported that they did not smoke. No comorbidities were reported by 63% of respondents, and the most reported comorbidity was obesity (11.8%) followed by hypertension (7.1%). Other comorbidities not included within the pre-determined options were reported by 20.7%. These comorbidities include, but are not limited to, Asthma, Thyroid issues, Myalgic Encephalomyelitis, and irritable bowel syndrome. Full participant characteristics including country of living at time of completion, ethnicity, smoking history, and alcohol consumption are presented in Table 1.

Country they are currently living in (n = 381)	
England	n = 252 (66.1%)
Wales	n = 40 (10.5%)
Scotland	n = 25 (6.6%)
United States	n = 24 (6.3%)
Germany, Northern Ireland	n = 2 (0.5%)
Ireland	n = 6 (1.6%)
Netherlands	n = 3 (0.8%)
Spain, Switzerland	n = 5 (1.3%)
Denmark, France, Hungary, Norway, Poland, Romania, Sweden, Ukraine	n = 1 (0.3%)
Other	n = 9 (2.4%)
Ethnicity (n = 381)	
White British	n = 286 (75.1%)
White Irish	n = 15 (3.9%)
White Other	n = 58 (15.2%)
Mixed Other	n = 5 (1.3%)
Indian	n = 3 (0.8%)
Arabian	n = 2 (0.5%)
Mixed White/Black African, Mixed White/Asian, Chinese, Asian Other	n = 1 (0.3%)
Other	n = 8 (2.1%)
Smoke (n = 381)	
Yes	n = 11 (2.9%)
No	n = 359 (94.2%)
Sometimes	n = 11 (2.9%)
Alcohol Consumption (n = 381)	
Never	n = 83 (21.8%)
Less than once per month	n = 109 (28.6%)
1-2 times per month	n = 57 (15%)
3-4 times per month	n = 29 (7.6%)
Once a week	n = 41 (10.8%)
More than once a week	n = 62 (16.3%)
Comorbidities (n = 381)	
None	n = 240 (63%)
Hypertension	n = 27 (7.1%)
Cardiovascular Disease	n = 4 (1%)
Type 1 diabetes	n = 1 (0.3%)
Type 2 diabetes	n = 11 (2.9%)
Chronic Lung Disease	n = 12 (3.1%)

Table 1: Demographic profile of survey respondents

Rebecca Owen, Mark A. Faghy, Ruth E. M. Ashton, Francesco V. Ferraro PRE-COVID-19 PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS DOES NOT PROTECT AGAINST REDUCTIONS IN POST-COVID-19 SYMPTOMS: A CORRELATION RELATIVISTIC ANALYSIS DURING THE LOCKDOWN

Obesity	n = 45 (11.8%)
Hyperlipidemia	n = 3 (0.8%)
Renal Disease	n = 2 (0.5%)
Cancer	n = 2 (0.5%)
Other	n = 79 (20.7%)

Note: Countries respondent's ethnicity, smoking status, alcohol consumption and comorbidities of 381 participants that completed the survey.

3.2 Physical Activity Levels and Health Status

Within the sample, n=305 participants reported that they met or exceeded the recommended guidelines of PA, whilst n=65 participants did not and n=11 did not respond to this question. These 11 responses were excluded from statistical analysis. Mean post-COVID-19 health for those who complete the recommended guidelines of PA was $3.38 \pm .871$ (95% CI 3.29, 3.47) compared to $3.26 \pm .871$ (95% CI 3.05, 3.48) for those who do not. A Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test concluded that there was a significant difference in pre- and post-COVID-19 health (P<.001). However, a Mann-Whitney U test concluded that there was no significant difference in post-COVID-19 health between those who complete the recommended guidelines of PA and those who do not (p=.032). A Spearman's correlation was run to determine the relationship between pre-COVID-19 physical activity levels and pre- and post-COVID-19 health status. Table 2 shows that there was no correlation between pre-COVID-19 physical activity levels, and pre- and post-COVID-19 physical activity levels.

between pre-COVID-19 physical activity status, and pre- and post-COVID-19 health		
Pre-COVID-19 Health	153, .003	
Post-COVID-19 Health	.058, .270	

Table 2: Pearson's correlation showing correlation coefficient and sig (2-tailed) between pre-COVID-19 physical activity status, and pre- and post-COVID-19 health

Table 3 summaries the level of PA by age and sex. The analysis was carried out by different age groups based on the assumption that the frailer people are more likely to show severe symptoms (Starke et al., 2021; Thompson et al., 2022). Due to the severely reduced and unequal group sizes after splitting the groups, no further statistical analysis was completed for age and sex.

Age	Sex	Level of Physical Activity	Pre-COVID	Post-COVID
			Health	Health
18-24		High 2.22 ± .97		
	Male	(1.48, 2.97)	$1.67 \pm .866$	2.00 ± 1.118
	(n = 9)	Mod 2.22 ± .97	(1.00, 2.33)	(1.14, 2.86)
		(1.48, 2.97)		
	Female	High 2.00 ± .938	$1.73 \pm .667$	$2.96 \pm .999$
	(n = 26)		(1.46, 2.00)	(2.56, 3.37)

Table 3: Self-reported physical activity levels and

Rebecca Owen, Mark A. Faghy, Ruth E. M. Ashton, Francesco V. Ferraro PRE-COVID-19 PHYSICAL ACTIVITY STATUS DOES NOT PROTECT AGAINST REDUCTIONS IN POST-COVID-19 SYMPTOMS: A CORRELATION RELATIVISTIC ANALYSIS DURING THE LOCKDOWN

		(1.62, 2.38)		
		Mod 2.23 ± .815		
		(1.90, 2.56)		
		High 2.13 ± .891		
	Male	(1.89, 2.37)	$1.50 \pm .720$	$3.19 \pm .848$
	(n = 54)	Mod 2.26 ± .678	(1.30, 1.70)	(2.95, 3.42)
		(2.07, 2.44)		
23-64		High 1.72 ± .856		
	Female	(1.62, 1.83)	$1.71 \pm .658$	$3.47 \pm .721$
	(n = 268)	Mod 2.10 ± .765	(1.63, 1.78)	(3.38, 3.55)
		(2.01, 2.19)		
65-74	Male	N/A	N/A	N/A
	(n = 0)	- 1/		
		High 1.58 ± .793		
	Female	(1.08, 2.09)	$1.92 \pm .793$	$3.67 \pm .492$
	(n = 12)	Mod 2.42 ± .669	(1.41, 2.42)	(3.35, 3.98)
		(1.99, 2.84)		

3.3 Physical Activity Levels and Symptom Severity

A Spearman's correlation was run to determine the relationship between the severity of persisting symptoms, and pre-COVID-19 physical activity level. There was no correlation between physical activity levels and persisting symptom severity shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Pearson's correlation showing correlation coefficient and sig (2-tailed) between pre-COVID-19 physical activity status and persisting symptom severity

Symptom	Pre-COVID-19 Physical Activity Status
Breathlessness	.106, .043
Bodily Pain	.048, .364
Fatigue	.071, .175
Muscle Weakness	.020, .702
Chest Pain	.108, .040
Headaches	.091, .085
Sore Throat	.121, .023
Diarrhoea	041, .440
Memory Loss	.013, .799
Confusion	.063, .232
Eye Redness	.012, .818

5. Discussion

This explorative study sought to determine the existence of a relationship between pre-COVID-19 PA status and post-COVID-19 health status in the weeks and months following infection. The key findings show that there was no difference between those who complete and those who do not complete the recommended guidelines of PA, and their post-COVID-19 health status, in our cohort. There was also no correlation between pre-COVID-19 PA levels and post-COVID-19 health or symptom severity. This suggests that PA status may not influence or protect against a reduction in health following acute COVID-19 infection, or the potential to develop Long COVID.

Globally, physical inactivity is a growing burden, and along with maintaining other contributing healthy living behaviours such as a healthy diet, not smoking, and improved sleep quality, the risks of poor health outcomes associated with chronic disease are significantly reduced for those who are physically active (Arena et al., 2021). Additionally, in many health conditions, physical inactivity is a contributing factor to the increased susceptibility of fatigue and affects muscle metabolism (Bloomfield, 1997; Rimmer et al., 2012). Sustained PA results in enhanced muscle strength and function, and augments fatigue resistance in the muscle within healthy individuals (Bishop et al., 2011; Hurley et al., 2011). Therefore, in the context of Long COVID it would have been plausible to assume that those who meet or exceed the recommended guidelines of PA would experience better post-COVID-19 outcomes. However, the data presented here indicated no differences between those who complete and those who do not complete the recommended guidelines of PA, therefore suggesting that PA alone might not offer full protection from the development of a sustained and complex symptom profile. Accordingly, Hull et al. (2022) highlight that 14% of 147 Olympic level athletes (37% female, 25 paralympic) reported symptoms for >28 days, and whilst the issues reported in this study highlight lasting issues, there is no detail on the full time to recovery or return to training. Additionally, data suggests that mild, but persistent symptoms that may affect re-turn-to-play decisions and timing present in 8.3%-17% of athletes (Lemes et al., 2022). There are also a growing number of media reports that highlight the longstanding issues faced by athletes in attempt to return to training and competition activities (Mark A Faghy et al., 2022).

As previously highlighted, patients with COVID-19 who exhibit unhealthy lifestyle characteristics, or one or more comorbidities are at increased risk of mechanical ventilation, severe outcomes and mortality (Arena & Lavie, 2021). However, the development of chronic and episodic symptoms such as breathlessness has been reported amongst those living with Long COVID who were asymptomatic at the acute stage of infection, and those that not requiring hospital treatment and recovered in community settings (Raveendran et al., 2021). Therefore, the data presented in the current study offers a suggestion that PA habits and status may indeed not offer full protection from developing persisting symptoms.

Long COVID has been associated with increased comorbidities, severe clinical status at the acute phase of infection, advanced age, and being female (Cabrera Martimbianco et al., 2021). However, individuals at low risk of severe COVID-19 who are young with no underlying health conditions are also commonly reporting symptoms of Long COVID [46]. Similarly, one study reports that 40 out of 150 (32.7%) outpatients who experienced mild illness at the onset of acute infection, and 5 out of 16 (31.3%) previously hospitalized patients who experienced moderate or severe illness at the onset of acute infection, reported at least 1 persisting symptom following COVID-19 (Logue et al., 2021).

In response to growing prevalence, there is a demand and a need to devise bespoke interdisciplinary, holistic support pathways for patients living with Long COVID to improve quality of life and functional status (de Figueiredo & Larson, 2021; Faghy, Arena, et al., 2020; Faghy, Ashton, et al., 2020; Mark A. Faghy et al., 2022). Long COVID presents itself as a multifaceted condition affecting multiple organ systems, however, the medical implications are not yet completely understood [48]. The range of physical, cognitive, and psycho-logical symptoms reported by those living with Long COVID (Mark A. Faghy et al., 2022) encourages the need for an interdisciplinary approach to rehabilitation, to inform patient-centred care and improve Long COVID outcomes (Montani et al., 2021; Norton et al., 2021).

Hence physical interventions should be tailored with the specific needs of the patient. For example, recent studies have included dace-based approach as a potential rehabilitative strategy with Long COVID patients (Rąglewska & Demarin, 2021), or the use of inspiratory muscle training (Severin et al., 2022) and other intervention that can potentially mitigate patients' conditions (Chaabene et al., 2021). However, results have also showed that skilled adults had a decrement in balance following COVID-19 infection (Jaszczur-Nowicki et al., 2022) indicating that dance-based (or other form of dynamic) intervention might not be ideal as potently leading to a higher risk of falling.

The research attention should then focus on strategies that would allow practitioner to clearly define the level of holistic PA of Long COVID patients (i.e., considering the whole aspects psychological and physiological together rather than separate boxes of balance, mobility and strength).

6. Limitations

There are some factors that should be considered when interpreting the results of the current study. The sample size for both PA status groups varies, with 83% of participants reporting that they meet or exceed the recommended guidelines of PA compared to 17% who did not. However, this discrepancy may emphasise that physically active individuals are not protected against Long COVID. In addition, PA status was obtained using self-reported measures with intensity of exercise described using examples (e.g., cycling, swimming, team sports, resistance training and walking). The question also included 'how often did you participate in moderate or high intensity activities that cause in increase in breathing or heart rate for more than 10 minutes continuously' to ensure the participant understood what classed as PA. There is the possibility for participants to provide overestimations of PA levels. Whilst self-reported exercise assessment should be interpreted cautiously due to these over-estimations [56], the novelty and unforeseen circumstances of the pandemic and national lockdown meant that this was the most viable option to record PA levels.

Whilst primarily distributed widely through a variety of social media platforms, the survey was also shared between Long COVID support forums. Recruiting participants from such forums has likely resulted in potential selection bias towards those

with diagnosed Long COVID, leading to the study population not necessarily being representative of the general population. Additionally, the authors acknowledge that this study includes a fairly homogenous sample, consisting of females, non-smokers with few comorbidities. Thus, the study does not indicate the prevalence of Long COVID within the community and should be interpreted with caution. Furthermore, due to availability and accessibility of testing at the early stages of the pandemic (the survey started in September 2020), inclusion criteria consisted of participants who had COVID-19 or believed to have had COVID-19. As the availability of tests is uncertain again, with government support for testing now ending, future studies should have consideration of those who have not had a positive COVID-19 test. Finally, as the questionnaire was made of 70 questions the study might have some boredom or fatigue related bias, and we recommend including a minor number of questions in the future. It is then essential to consider the above study with a relativist approach considering the specific cohort and time frame in which the data were collected.

7. Conclusion

The explorative study aims to determine the relationship between pre-COVID PA status and the likelihood of developing Long COVID in the weeks and months post-infection. Results suggest that PA does not influence or protect against the potential to develop Long COVID. With 1 in 10 individuals experiencing Long COVID, further research should investigate specific interdisciplinary, holistic rehabilitation interventions and objective assessments to support clinicians' and patients' fight against Long COVID.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Authors

Dr. Mark Faghy, Associate Professor in Respiratory Physiology, Biomedical and Clinical Science Research Theme, University of Derby, United Kingdom.

orcid.org/0000-0002-8163-7032

Dr. Ruth Ashton, Lecturer in Exercise Physiology, Biomedical and Clinical Science Research Theme, University of Derby, United Kingdom.

orcid.org/0000-0001-6282-0352

Rebecca Owen, PhD Researcher, University of Derby, United Kingdom. <u>orcid.org/0000-0002-7510-2103</u>

Dr. Francesco V. Ferraro, Lecturer in Sports therapy and rehabilitation, Biomedical and Clinical Science Research Theme, University of Derby, United Kingdom. <u>orcid.org/0000-0002-4902-7760</u>

References

- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, C. A. (2007). Likert scales and data analyses. Quality progress, 40(7), 64-65.
- Alwan, N. A. (2021, 2021/07/12). The teachings of Long COVID. Communications Medicine, 1(1), 15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43856-021-00016-0</u>
- Arena, R., & Lavie, C. J. (2021, Jan-Feb). The global path forward Healthy Living for Pandemic Event Protection (HL - PIVOT). Prog Cardiovasc Dis, 64, 96-101. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.05.008</u>
- Arena, R., Myers, J., Kaminsky, L. A., Williams, M., Sabbahi, A., Popovic, D., Axtell, R., Faghy, M. A., Hills, A. P., & Olivares, S. L. O. (2021). Current activities centered on healthy living and recommendations for the future: a position statement from the HL-PIVOT network. Current problems in cardiology, 46(6), 100823.
- Bedford, J., Enria, D., Giesecke, J., Heymann, D. L., Ihekweazu, C., Kobinger, G., Lane, H. C., Memish, Z. A., Oh, M.-d., & Ungchusak, K. (2020). Living with the COVID-19 pandemic: act now with the tools we have. The Lancet, 396(10259), 1314-1316.
- Bishop, D., Girard, O., & Mendez-Villanueva, A. (2011). Repeated-sprint ability—part II: recommendations for training. Sports medicine, 41, 741-756.
- Blair, R. C., & Higgins, J. J. (1985). Comparison of the power of the paired samples t test to that of Wilcoxon's signed-ranks test under various population shapes. Psychological Bulletin, 97(1), 119.
- Blomberg, B., Mohn, K. G.-I., Brokstad, K. A., Zhou, F., Linchausen, D. W., Hansen, B.-A., Lartey, S., Onyango, T. B., Kuwelker, K., Sævik, M., Bartsch, H., Tøndel, C., Kittang, B. R., Madsen, A., Bredholt, G., Vahokoski, J., Fjelltveit, E. B., Bansal, A., Trieu, M. C., Ljostveit, S., Olofsson, J. S., Ertesvåg, N., Sandnes, H. H., Corydon, A., Søyland, H., Eidsheim, M., Jakobsen, K., Guldseth, N., Hauge, S., Cox, R. J., Langeland, N., & Bergen, C.-R. G. (2021, 2021/09/01). Long COVID in a prospective cohort of home-isolated patients. Nature Medicine, 27(9), 1607-1613. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01433-3
- Bloomfield, S. A. (1997). Changes in musculoskeletal structure and function with prolonged bed rest. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 29(2), 197-206.
- Buysse, D. J., Reynolds, C. F., 3rd, Monk, T. H., Berman, S. R., & Kupfer, D. J. (1989, May). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res, 28(2), 193-213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4</u>
- Cabrera Martimbianco, A. L., Pacheco, R. L., Bagattini, Â. M., & Riera, R. (2021). Frequency, signs and symptoms, and criteria adopted for long COVID-19: A systematic review. International journal of clinical practice, 75(10), e14357.
- Chaabene, H., Prieske, O., Herz, M., Moran, J., Höhne, J., Kliegl, R., Ramirez-Campillo,
 R., Behm, D., Hortobágyi, T., & Granacher, U. (2021). Home-based exercise programmes improve physical fitness of healthy older adults: A PRISMA-

compliant systematic review and meta-analysis with relevance for COVID-19. Ageing research reviews, 67, 101265.

- Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M. L., Ainsworth, B. E., Pratt, M., Ekelund, U., Yngve, A., Sallis, J. F., & Oja, P. (2003, Aug). International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc, 35(8), 1381-1395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/01.Mss.0000078924.61453.Fb</u>
- Crook, H., Raza, S., Nowell, J., Young, M., & Edison, P. (2021). Long covid—mechanisms, risk factors, and management. Bmj, 374.
- da Silveira, M. P., da Silva Fagundes, K. K., Bizuti, M. R., Starck, É., Rossi, R. C., & de Resende E Silva, D. T. (2021, 2021/02//). Physical exercise as a tool to help the immune system against COVID-19: an integrative review of the current literature. Clinical and experimental medicine, 21(1), 15-28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-020-00650-3</u>
- Davis, H. E., Assaf, G. S., McCorkell, L., Wei, H., Low, R. J., Re'em, Y., Redfield, S., Austin, J. P., & Akrami, A. (2021, Aug). Characterizing long COVID in an international cohort: 7 months of symptoms and their impact. EClinicalMedicine, 38, 101019. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101019</u>
- de Figueiredo, A., & Larson, H. J. (2021). Exploratory study of the global intent to accept COVID-19 vaccinations. Communications medicine, 1(1), 30.
- Desai, A. D., Lavelle, M., Boursiquot, B. C., & Wan, E. Y. (2022, Jan 1). Long-term complications of COVID-19. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 322(1), C1-c11. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00375.2021
- Eakin, E. G., Resnikoff, P. M., Prewitt, L. M., Ries, A. L., & Kaplan, R. M. (1998, Mar). Validation of a new dyspnea measure: the UCSD Shortness of Breath Questionnaire. University of California, San Diego. Chest, 113(3), 619-624. <u>https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.113.3.619</u>
- Faghy, M. A., Arena, R., Stoner, L., Haraf, R. H., Josephson, R., Hills, A. P., Dixit, S., Popovic, D., Smith, A., & Myers, J. (2020). The Need for Exercise Sciences and an Integrated Response to COVID-19: A Position. JPMA The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association, 70(5), S131-S135.
- Faghy, M. A., Ashton, R. E., Maden-Wilkinson, T. M., Copeland, R. J., Bewick, T., Smith, A., & Loosemore, M. (2020). Integrated sports and respiratory medicine in the aftermath of COVID-19. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine, 8(9), 852.
- Faghy, M. A., Ashton, R. E., Parizher, G., Smith, A., Arena, R., Gough, L. A., & Emery, M. S. (2022). COVID-19 and elite sport: Cardiovascular implications and return-to-play. Progress in cardiovascular diseases.
- Faghy, M. A., Maden-Wilkinson, T., Arena, R., Copeland, R. J., Owen, R., Hodgkins, H., & Willmott, A. (2022). COVID-19 patients require multi-disciplinary rehabilitation approaches to address persisting symptom profiles and restore pre-COVID quality of life. Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine, 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2022.2063843

- Gallo Marin, B., Aghagoli, G., Lavine, K., Yang, L., Siff, E. J., Chiang, S. S., Salazar-Mather, T. P., Dumenco, L., Savaria, M. C., Aung, S. N., Flanigan, T., & Michelow, I. C. (2021, Jan). Predictors of COVID-19 severity: A literature review. Rev Med Virol, 31(1), 1-10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2146</u>
- Gomes, C. (2020, 03/24). Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Brazilian Journal of Implantology and Health Sciences, 2(3). https://bjihs.emnuvens.com.br/bjihs/article/view/172
- Greenhalgh, T., Knight, M., A'Court, C., Buxton, M., & Husain, L. (2020, Aug 11). Management of post-acute covid-19 in primary care. Bmj, 370, m3026. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3026</u>
- Hayes, L. D., Ingram, J., & Sculthorpe, N. F. (2021). More Than 100 Persistent Symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 (Long COVID): A Scoping Review. Front Med (Lausanne), 8, 750378. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.750378</u>
- Hull, J. H., Wootten, M., Moghal, M., Heron, N., Martin, R., Walsted, E. S., Biswas, A., Loosemore, M., Elliott, N., & Ranson, C. (2022). Clinical patterns, recovery time and prolonged impact of COVID-19 illness in international athletes: the UK experience. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 56(1), 4-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2021-104392</u>
- Humphreys, H., Kilby, L., Kudiersky, N., & Copeland, R. (2020). Long Covid and the role of physical activity: a qualitative study. medRxiv, 2020.2012.2003.20243345. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.03.20243345</u>
- Hurley, B. F., Hanson, E. D., & Sheaff, A. K. (2011). Strength training as a countermeasure to aging muscle and chronic disease. Sports medicine, 41, 289-306.
- Jaszczur-Nowicki, J., Niźnikowski, T., Makaruk, H., Mastalerz, A., Porter, J., Biegajło, M., Niźnikowska, E., Markwell, L., Nogal, M., & Łuba-Arnista, W. (2022). Effect of Coronavirus 19 on Maintaining Balance in Skilled Athletes. International journal of environmental research and public health, 19(17), 10470.
- Lassalle, P. P., Meyer, M. L., Conners, R., Zieff, G., Rojas, J., Faghy, M. A., Arena, R., Vermeesch, A., Joseph, R. P., & Stoner, L. (2021). Targeting Sedentary Behavior in Minority Populations as a Feasible Health Strategy during and beyond COVID-19: On Behalf of ACSM-EIM and HL-PIVOT. Translational Journal of the American College of Sports Medicine, 6(4), e000174. <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/tjx.00000000000174</u>
- Lemes, I. R., Smaira, F. I., Ribeiro, W. J., Favero, N. K., Matos, L. D. N. J., de Sá Pinto, A. L., Dolan, E., & Gualano, B. (2022). Acute and post-acute COVID-19 presentations in athletes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 56(16), 941-947.
- Liang, K.-Y., & Zeger, S. L. (1993). Regression analysis for correlated data. Annual review of public health, 14(1), 43-68.
- Liu, C., Ye, L., Xia, R., Zheng, X., Yuan, C., Wang, Z., Lin, R., Shi, D., Gao, Y., Yao, J., Sun, Q., Wang, X., & Jin, M. (2020, Oct). Chest Computed Tomography and Clinical Follow-Up of Discharged Patients with COVID-19 in Wenzhou City, Zhejiang,

 China.
 Ann
 Am
 Thorac
 Soc,
 17(10),
 1231-1237.

 https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.202004-324OC

- Logue, J. K., Franko, N. M., McCulloch, D. J., McDonald, D., Magedson, A., Wolf, C. R., & Chu, H. Y. (2021). Sequelae in adults at 6 months after COVID-19 infection. JAMA network open, 4(2), e210830-e210830.
- Meek, G. E., Ozgur, C., & Dunning, K. (2007). Comparison of the t vs. Wilcoxon signedrank test for Likert scale data and small samples. Journal of modern applied statistical methods, 6(1), 10.
- Mo, P., Xing, Y., Xiao, Y., Deng, L., Zhao, Q., Wang, H., Xiong, Y., Cheng, Z., Gao, S., Liang, K., Luo, M., Chen, T., Song, S., Ma, Z., Chen, X., Zheng, R., Cao, Q., Wang, F., & Zhang, Y. (2021, Dec 6). Clinical Characteristics of Refractory Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis, 73(11), e4208-e4213. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa270</u>
- Moriarty, D. G., Zack, M. M., & Kobau, R. (2003, 2003/09/02). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Healthy Days Measures – Population tracking of perceived physical and mental health over time. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 1(1), 37. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-1-37</u>
- Nanna, M. J., & Sawilowsky, S. S. (1998). Analysis of Likert scale data in disability and medical rehabilitation research. Psychological Methods, 3(1), 55.
- Norton, A., Olliaro, P., Sigfrid, L., Carson, G., Paparella, G., Hastie, C., Kaushic, C., Boily-Larouche, G., Suett, J. C., & O'Hara, M. (2021). Long COVID: tackling a multifaceted condition requires a multidisciplinary approach. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 21(5), 601-602.
- Organisation, W. H. (2020, 26/11/2020). Physical Activity <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity</u>
- Prescott, H. C., & Girard, T. D. (2020). Recovery From Severe COVID-19: Leveraging the Lessons of Survival from Sepsis. JAMA, 324(8), 739-740. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.14103</u>
- Rąglewska, p., & Demarin, V. (2021). Dancing as non-pharmacological treatment for healthy aging in the COVID-19 era; a gerontological perspective. Trends in Sport Sciences, 28(3).
- Rajpal, S., Tong, M. S., Borchers, J., Zareba, K. M., Obarski, T. P., Simonetti, O. P., & Daniels, C. J. (2021). Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Findings in Competitive Athletes Recovering From COVID-19 Infection. JAMA Cardiology, 6(1), 116-118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2020.4916</u>
- Raveendran, A., Jayadevan, R., & Sashidharan, S. (2021). Long COVID: an overview. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews, 15(3), 869-875.
- Rimmer, J. H., Schiller, W., & Chen, M.-D. (2012). Effects of disability-associated low energy expenditure deconditioning syndrome. Exercise and sport sciences reviews, 40(1), 22-29.
- Severin, R., Franz, C. K., Farr, E., Meirelles, C., Arena, R., Phillips, S. A., Bond, S., Ferraro, F., & Faghy, M. (2022). The effects of COVID-19 on respiratory muscle

performance: making the case for respiratory muscle testing and training. European Respiratory Review, 31(166).

- Sigfrid, L., Cevik, M., Jesudason, E., Lim, W., Rello, J., Amuasi, J., Bozza, F., Palmieri, C., Munblit, D., Holter, J., Kildal, A., Reyes, L., Russell, C., Ho, A., Turtle, L., Drake, T., Beltrame, A., Hann, K., Bangura, I., Fowler, R., Lakoh, S., Berry, C., Lowe, D., McPeake, J., Hashmi, M., Dyrhol-Riise, A., Donohue, C., Plotkin, D., Hardwick, H., Elkheir, N., Lone, N., Docherty, A., Harrison, E., Baille, J., Carson, G., Semple, M., & Scott, J. (2021). What is the recovery rate and risk of long-term consequences following a diagnosis of COVID-19? A harmonised, global longitudinal observational study protocol. BMJ Open, 11(3).
- Starke, K. R., Reissig, D., Petereit-Haack, G., Schmauder, S., Nienhaus, A., & Seidler, A. (2021). The isolated effect of age on the risk of COVID-19 severe outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ global health, 6(12), e006434.
- Swigris, J. J., Han, M., Vij, R., Noth, I., Eisenstein, E. L., Anstrom, K. J., Brown, K. K., & Fairclough, D. (2012). The UCSD shortness of breath questionnaire has longitudinal construct validity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respiratory medicine, 106(10), 1447-1455. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2012.06.018</u>
- Thompson, E. J., Williams, D. M., Walker, A. J., Mitchell, R. E., Niedzwiedz, C. L., Yang, T. C., Huggins, C. F., Kwong, A. S., Silverwood, R. J., & Di Gessa, G. (2022). Long COVID burden and risk factors in 10 UK longitudinal studies and electronic health records. Nature Communications.
- Ware, J. E., Jr., & Sherbourne, C. D. (1992, Jun). The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care, 30(6), 473-483.
- Zhang, X., Oluyomi, A., Woodard, L., Raza, S. A., Adel Fahmideh, M., El-Mubasher, O., Byun, J., Han, Y., Amos, C. I., & Badr, H. (2021). Individual-level determinants of lifestyle behavioral changes during covid-19 lockdown in the United States: results of an online survey. International journal of environmental research and public health, 18(8), 4364.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a <u>Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)</u>.