

How to pursue the Whole Life Carbon vision: a method to assess buildings' Embodied Carbon.

Original

How to pursue the Whole Life Carbon vision: a method to assess buildings' Embodied Carbon / Giordano, Roberto; Andreotti, Jacopo. - ELETTRONICO. - (2022), pp. 197-202. (Intervento presentato al convegno 2nd edition of the BEYOND ALL LIMITS Conference tenutosi a Real Sito Borbonico di San Leucio (Caserta) nel 11-13 May, 2022).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2976151 since: 2023-02-17T12:06:10Z

Publisher:

Università della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

How to pursue the Whole Life Carbon vision: a method to assess buildings' Embodied Carbon

ANDREOTTI Jacopo ¹, GIORDANO* Roberto ²

¹ Roma Tre University, (Italy)

² Politecnico di Torino, (Italy) – *roberto.giordano@polito.it

Abstract

The design and construction of CO₂-neutral buildings by 2050 will be possible through many actions and strategies, including new metrics and indicators that would contribute to the accounting process for greenhouse gas emissions over the building's life cycle. Among the range of helpful indicators is Embodied Carbon (EC). Standards and references describe EC characteristics and possible uses both in the design stage and in other building life cycle stages, at the same time, they point out a need for methods of building CO₂-equivalent emissions calculation.

The paper deals with research titled "decarbonisation tools" aimed at providing common definitions and at developing an EC accounting method. The main phases of the investigation work are reported. Further, the paper describes some future developments and outlooks for making EC an indicator understandable to several stakeholders of the construction sector as well as user-friendly from the early stage of the design process.

Keywords (5)

Whole Life Carbon vision, Embodied Carbon, Carbon emissions, Global Warming Potential, Buildings' materials

1. Introduction

Global warming, due to the anthropogenic Green House Gas emissions (GHGs), has caused a mutation of the environment with huge consequences on ecosystems. Extreme natural phenomena such as heatwaves, flooding, rising sea level and more frequent storms have become commonplace and many of them are irreversible (IPCC, 2021).

For this reason - to avoid long-lasting effects - the global temperature rise must be limited to 1.5 degrees Celsius (°C) above pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2018). Albeit world governments have signed an agreement (UNFCCC, 2016) and a climate pact (UNFCCC, 2021) to not exceed this threshold and to reduce GHGs, studies on climate trends show an increase of 2.4 °C by the end of the century (WMO, 2021; Climate Council, 2021).

In this context, the Building and Construction (B&C) sector plays a key role in GHGs. It is responsible for 38% of global carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, 10% of which result from manufacturing building materials and the other 28% is due to building's operational stage (UNEP, 2020).

From the Paris Agreement's actions (UNFCCC, 2016), several strategic initiatives have been launched to support the sustainable transformation of the built environment. With regards to the B&C sector, it is worth mentioning the Global Alliance for Buildings and Construction that advocates mitigation and adaptation strategies for the buildings as well as provide every year reports on progress toward a zero-emission, efficient, and resilient sector (UNEP, 2021).

Furthermore, in the framework of the Paris Agreement, it should be remarked on the encouragement participatory approach by several stakeholders, who acting in the B&C sector. The Built Environment Declares - signed by international architectural studios - can be considered one of the most interesting. It sets up strategic actions to be taken in the next years. Some other local proposals deserve to be highlighted, such as the London Energy Transformation Initiative (LETI, 2020) and the Built for the environment report (RIBA, 2021). Both provide actions for a transition to a fair and sustainable built environment.

The common denominator of the several examples described is the necessity to have net-zero CO₂ emissions by 2050. In order to achieve such an ambitious goal, each of the mentioned references highlights the importance of the design stage as a key process to assessing the anthropogenic and biogenic impacts related to materials selection; to get at a Whole Life Carbon (WLC) vision.

WLC can be taken as a program in which operational impact, related to the building use, as well as embodied impact, from materials and construction stages, must be net-zero.

The importance given to embodied impact is twofold. On the one hand, there is a gradual decrease of CO₂ emissions due to lower energy needs for thermal and electrical uses, with a consequent redefinition of the ratio between operational and embodied impacts (Benjamin, 2017). On the other hand, in order to achieve high-performance buildings, the use stage requires more materials, components and services, which means that the embodied impact is higher than it used to be, as shown by some studies (IEA, 2019 and 2020; Zimmermann, 2020).

2. Embodied Carbon: reference standards, definition, and ongoing development

The assessment of anthropogenic and biogenic impacts of materials can be performed with Embodied Carbon (EC) (Pomponi, 2018). EC is an indicator able to assess emissions and removals of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂eq) by building materials and its extension by the whole building (Marsh, 2018). The CO₂eq is a unit of measurement that expresses the impact on global warming by a given quantity of GHGs (CO₂, methane, nitrous oxide, etc.) compared to an equivalent amount of CO₂; the conversion factors have been described by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2013).

The determination of potential material's CO₂eq emissions can be traced back to the following references: the methodological framework of the Life Cycle Assessment study (UNI EN ISO 14040-14044:2021); the regulation on Environmental Product Declarations (EPD) (UNI EN ISO 14025:2010; UNI EN 15804:2021); the calculation method provided for assessing the environmental performance of buildings (UNI EN 15978:2011).

Each of the mentioned references does not exactly define the EC indicator, although the methodological approach proposed allows the calculation of Global Warming Potential (GWP), which is a key component of the EC. GWP is - in fact - an impact category able to measure the CO₂eq by GHGs, generally calculated on a time reference established over 100 years (IPCC, 2013).

A more detailed definition of EC has been described by the International Energy Agency, within the technical report: Evaluation of Embodied Energy and CO₂eq for Building Constructions - Annex 57.

Concerning the CO₂eq emitted and removed by building materials, it has provided the following definition: EC is a widely-used term that usually describes a greenhouse gases accounting method over one or more life cycle stages of a product, other than the ones related to the use phase of the building (IEA, 2016).

Although it proposes this general establishment, it also specifies that there are still no clear and commonly accepted definitions or a calculation methodology. Furthermore, the report highlights that no method has been identified to account for CO₂ removals from mitigation strategies acting on the building's design.

3. The project “decarbonization tools”

Within the international framework – before mentioned – still featured by some uncertainties, Green Building Council Italia has taken part in a European-scale project called #BuildingLife (WGBC, 2021) and it has set up some working teams. The Department of Architecture and Design (DAD) of the Politecnico di Torino has been selected to lead one of them with the main objective to develop a framework for EC accounting in the B&C sector.

Particularly, the *Decarbonization tools* project is aimed at identifying: references, methods, and potential tools to assess the EC in the design stage. The research has been carried out through phases, hereafter described.

First, in agreement with the references, a specific EC definition has been defined. It has been established that EC is an indicator able to assess the amount of CO₂eq that can be emitted, stored, removed, offset, and uptake by a certain good (product or whole building) in one or more life cycle

stages. It means that the accounting identifies a given amount of GHGs and assesses its contribution as CO₂eq over the building life cycle.

The second phase has devoted to setting out the building stages to be included in the EC account and how to perform it stage by stage. Such stage has been developed according to a reference standard, the EN 15978:2011. Particularly the EC can be associated with the following stages: Production and Construction (A), Use (B), and End-of-Life (C). As expected in the EN standard, the operational impact has not been included.

Moreover, in order to encompass the potential benefits due to mitigation strategies, also the benefits and loads stage (D) has been included. Not overlooking that the D stage needs to be investigated independently from other stages (A to C).

The third phase has identified the methodology for EC accounting in each building life cycle stage. Table 1 summarises the developed method. The first and second columns show the building's stages and sub-stages analysed. The third column defines the unit(s) – or functional unit(s) – that could be considered in the calculation. Finally, the fourth column displays the basic information necessary for EC accounting. References and standards for the calculation are also mentioned.

Tab 1. Embodied Carbon accounting

Stage	Sub-stage	Functional unit (f.u.)	Method
Production and Construction (A)	Manufacturing (A1-A3)	CO ₂ eq/kg CO ₂ eq/m ³ CO ₂ eq/m ²	EC associated with A1-A3 is generally available as generic data from databases or as specific data such as EPD and Carbon Footprint. The EC of a material is obtained by multiplying the unit value of EC by the total quantity of material required in the design.
	Transport to the building site (A4)	CO ₂ eq/tkm	Distance and total amount transported in tonnes are multiplied by carbon emissions associated with transport mode (EN 16258:2013)
	Construction (A5)	CO ₂ eq/m ³ CO ₂ eq/kWh CO ₂ eq/MJ	The amount of diesel consumed by equipment is multiplied by its specific emission factors (e.g. SCAB, 2022) while the amount of electric energy is multiplied by other specific emission factors (e.g. ISPRA, 2021)
Use (B)	Use (B1)	/	Excluded as considered negligible
	Maintenance (B2)	/	Excluded unless specific information is given by the manufacturer
	Repair (B3)	CO ₂ eq/kg CO ₂ eq/m ³ CO ₂ eq/m ²	Can be assumed as 10% of the emissions from the materials used in the building throughout its life cycle
	Replacement (B4)	CO ₂ eq/kg CO ₂ eq/m ³ CO ₂ eq/m ²	Specific information should be provided by the manufacturer. The emissions of the replaced material are calculated by stages A1-A4
	Refurbishment (B5)	/	Excluded as out of expected life cycle
End of Life	Deconstruction (C1)	CO ₂ eq/kWh CO ₂ eq/MJ	Same method as <i>Construction (A5)</i>
	Transport to waste processing (C2)	CO ₂ eq/tkm	Same method as <i>Transport to the building site (A4)</i>
	Waste processing (C3)	CO ₂ eq/kWh CO ₂ eq/MJ	The energy needs by equipment, for disassembling and processing waste, is multiplied by its specific emission factor (e.g. ISPRA, 2021)
	Disposal (C4)	CO ₂ eq/kg	Emissions are estimated by adopting the environmental impact factor provided by US Environmental Protection Agency (e.g. EPA, 2020)

The fourth phase has focused on the normalisation process. It has summed up the single EC values accounted for each sub-stage. The functional unit (f.u.) may vary from building to building. For this reason, the working team has investigated some examples (e.g. the Swiss Minergie® Certification) in

which the embodied accounting has normalised. Minergie® splits up the EC into two independent calculations. The former accounts for the total kgCO₂eq (or tons) per square meter of heated (cooled) spaces. The latter accounts for the total kgCO₂eq (or tons) per square meter of un-heated (un-cooled) spaces.

Finally, the EC has to be referred to an expected building life (year) to calculate a result estimated in kgCO₂eq*m²*year.

The number of years - obviously - can be different, e.g., a temporary building has a short life while a permanent building has a longer lifetime (Grant, 2014; DGNB, 2020). The average value can be set at 50 years, since it is the one more frequently considered in the references.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

As described, the *Decarbonization tools* research has proposed an EC accounting method aimed at integrating the IEA report, the EN standards as well as other mentioned references.

Nevertheless, there are some specific issues that future research should cover. While the method is now developed, it will be necessary to define which data should be used for the calculation, making a distinction between data sources for designing and for building construction, materials replacement, etc. For instance, the early design stage may require generic data, while the other stages, can be analyzed through EPDs or Carbon Footprint studies.

Another important issue that should be studied is CO₂ compensation. At least two scenarios deserve to be considered: the uptake by concrete and cement products and the offsetting by trees and vegetation. Both may change - even significantly - the building's carbon balance.

Further work is also needed, such as a correlation study between operational and embodied impacts.

Finally, method validation is required. It would be useful for an investigation of several cases of studies. If the method was applied to a selection of buildings, it would be possible introducing threshold values to set out an EC rating system.

References

Benjamin, D. (2017). *Embodied Energy and Design: Making Architecture Between Metrics and Narratives*. Lars Muller Publisher.

Climate Council of Australia (2021). *Aim high, go fast: why emissions need to plummet this decade*. Climate Council of Australia.

DGNB System (2020). *New buildings criteria set – Environmental quality*. Copenhagen: Green Building Council Denmark. Available at: https://static.dgnb.de/fileadmin/dgnb-system/en/buildings/new-construction/criteria/02_ENV1.1_Building-life-cycle-assessment.pdf (last consulted: 10/02/2022).

EPA (2020). *Documentation for Greenhouse Gas Emission and Energy Factors Used in the Waste Reduction Model (WARM)*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/warm> (last consulted: 04/02/2022).

Grant, A., Ries, R., & Kibert, C. (2014). *Life cycle assessment and service life prediction*. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18(2), 187–200.

IEA (2016). *Evaluation of Embodied Energy and CO₂eq for Building Construction (Annex 57)*. Institute for Building Environment and Energy Conservation. Available at: <http://www.annex57.org> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

IEA (2019). *Material Efficiency in Clean Energy Transitions*. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/material-efficiency-in-clean-energy-transitions> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

IEA (2020). *Energy Technology Perspectives 2020*. Available at: <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-technology-perspectives-2020> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

IPCC (2013). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.

IPCC (2018). *Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty*. In press.

IPCC (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.

ISPRA (2021). *Indicatori di efficienza e decarbonizzazione del sistema energetico nazionale e del settore elettrico. Rapporto 343/2021*. Available at: <https://www.isprambiente.gov.it/files2021/pubblicazioni/rapporti/r343-2021.pdf> (last consulted: 10/02/2022).

Kjær Zimmermann, R. et al. (2020). *Klimapåvirkning fra 60 Bygninger* (in English: *Climate impact of 60 buildings*). Available at: <https://build.dk/Pages/Klimapaavirkning-fra-60-bygninger.aspx> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

LETI (2020). *Climate Emergency Design Guide: How new buildings can meet UK climate change targets*. Available at: <https://www.leti.london> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

Marsh, R. et al (2018). *Embodied Carbon Tools for Architects and Clients Early in the Design Process*. In *Embodied Carbon in Buildings*. Springer, Charm. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72796-7>

Pomponi, F. et al (2018). *Embodied Carbon in Buildings: Measurement, Management, and Mitigation*. Springer, Charm. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72796-7>

RIBA (2021). *Built for the environment: Addressing the climate and biodiversity emergency with a fair and sustainable built environment*. Available at: <https://www.architecture.com/knowledge-and-resources/resources-landing-page/built-for-the-environment-report#available-resources> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

SCAB (2022). *Off-Road - Model Mobile Source Emission Factors*. Available at: <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/rules-compliance/ceqa/air-quality-analysis-handbook/off-road-mobile-source-emission-factors> (last accessed: 05/04/2022).

UNEP (2021). *2021 Global status report for Buildings and Construction: towards a zero-emission, efficient and resilient Buildings and Construction Sector*. Nairobi

UNFCCC (2016). *The Paris Agreements*. Phoenix Design Aid. Available at: https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/parisagreement_publication.pdf (last accessed: 01/02/2022).

UNFCCC (2021). *Glasgow Climate Pact*. Available at: <https://unfccc.int/documents/310475> (last consulted: 01/02/2022).

UNI EN ISO 14025:2010. *Etichette e dichiarazioni ambientali - Dichiarazioni ambientali di Tipo III - Principi e procedure*.

UNI EN ISO 14040:2021. *Gestione ambientale - Valutazione del ciclo di vita - Principi e quadro di riferimento*.

UNI EN ISO 14044:2021. *Gestione ambientale - Valutazione del ciclo di vita - Requisiti e linee guida.*

UNI EN ISO 14064-2:2019. *Gas ad effetto serra - Parte 2: Specifiche e guida, al livello di progetto, per la quantificazione, il monitoraggio e la rendicontazione delle emissioni di gas ad effetto serra o dell'aumento della loro rimozione.*

UNI EN ISO 14067:2018. *Gas ad effetto serra - Impronta climatica dei prodotti (Carbon footprint dei prodotti) - Requisiti e linee guida per la quantificazione.*

UNI EN 15804:2021. *Sostenibilità delle costruzioni - Dichiarazioni ambientali di prodotto - Regole quadro di sviluppo per categoria di prodotto.*

UNI EN 15978:2011. *Sostenibilità delle costruzioni - Valutazione della prestazione ambientale degli edifici - Metodo di calcolo.*

UNI EN 16258:2013. *Metodologia per il calcolo e la dichiarazione del consumo di energia e di emissioni di gas ad effetto serra (GHG) dei servizi di trasporto (merci e passeggeri).*

WMO (2021). *State of Global Climate 2021: WMO Provisional report.* Available at: https://library.wmo.int/index.php?lvl=notice_display&id=21982#.YfIPCi9abys (last consulted: 01/02/2022).