# Barriers to Screening for Diabetic Retinopathy: A Scoping Review Lajerica Bates, RN, BSN, Julie Bridges, RN, BSN, Amy Cooper, RN, BSN Faculty Advisor: Laura Reed, DNP, FNP-BC College of Nursing - The University of Tennessee Health Science Center - Memphis, TN

## Purpose

The purpose of this DNP project is to determine what barriers exist to receiving annual diabetic retinopathy screenings.

## Specific Aims

- Identify existing barriers that correlate with receiving annual diabetic retinopathy screening
- Provide solutions to overcome existing barriers
- Educate the public at an appropriate literacy level regarding the importance of receiving annual screening

## Background

- Diabetes mellitus (DM) is the 7<sup>th</sup> leading cause of death in America. If uncontrolled through lifestyle and medication, diabetes can lead to severe organ damage in the kidneys, eyes, nerves, heart, and feet.
- Diabetic retinopathy (DR), a complication of diabetes, is the leading cause of new cases of blindness among adults 18-64 years.
- Risk factors for type two diabetes include being overweight, > 45 years old, family history, sedentary lifestyle, history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, and being a person of African, Hispanic, Indian, or Alaskan Native descent.
- DR may not have symptoms until it has progressed to advanced stages. Once advanced, patients may experience blind spots, flashes, and blurring.
- Designing a DR screening program with appropriate and timely referral to facilities with trained eye care professionals can prevent vision loss.

#### Methods

- Scoping review: Three databases were searched-(PubMed, CINAHL, & Medline)
- Eligibility:
  - •Articles published between 2013-2020
  - •Level of evidence
  - Published in a reputable medical journal
  - Project was IRB approved
- Critical appraisal results: 15 articles met criteria for inclusion

#### Levels of Evidence Synthesis Table

₽																
	X (copy symbol as needed)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Level I: Systematic review or meta-analysis							x								
	Level II: Randomized controlled trial				x									x		
	Level III: Controlled trial without randomization															
	Level IV: Case- control or cohort study					x	x		x	х		x			x	x
	Level V: Systematic review of qualitative or descriptive studies		x	x							x					
	Level VI: Qualitative or descriptive study, CPG, Lit Review, QI or EBP project	x											x			
	Level VII: Expert opinion															

#### LEGEND

1= Fairless E, et al.; 2= Eppley S, et al.; 3= Paksin-Hall A, et al.; 4= Litaker, J, et al.; 5= Moditahedi, B, et al.; 6= Benoit S, et al.; 7= Taylor-Phillips S, et al.; 8= Ribeiro L, et al.; 9= Kirkizlar E, et al.; 10= Sheppler CR, et al.; 11= Vijan S, et al.; 12= Wong TY, et al.; 13= Mansberger SL et al.; 14= Moinul P et al.; 15= Hatef E. et al.

## Common variables included: Age; Insurance; Employment; Income; Education level

### Results

- for diabetic retinopathy:
  - Insurance
  - Cost
  - Education

Existing barriers to receiving annual screenings

## **Implications for Practice**

patient outcomes.

### References

https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/data/statistics-report/index.html.

10.1080/09286586.2020.1746360.

doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2018.6912.

173. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X18804749</u>.

# THE UNIVERSITY OF FENNESSEE LTH SCIENCE CENTER

## COLLEGE OF NURSING

- •Providing patient education on retinopathy through brochures and patient teach back during appointments can improve patient outcomes
- Increasing referrals to ophthalmology can lead to earlier diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy and better
- •Referral to social work or case management to help the patient find insurance options or financial help to be able to make treatment affordable
- •Referring patients to ophthalmology is an essential pillar for the treatment plan of those diagnosed with diabetes.
- •Following up with the patient after the ophthalmology appointment can also be beneficial

- Benoit, S. R., Swenor, B., Geiss, L. S., Gregg, E. W., & Saaddine, J. B. (2019). Eye care utilization among insured people with diabetes in the U.S., 2010-2014. Diabetes Care 2019, 42(1), 427-433. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-0828.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020, August 28). National diabetes statistics report.
- Eppley, S., Mansberger, S. L., Ramanathan, S., & Lowry, E. A. (2019). Characteristics associated with adherence to annual dilated eye examinations among US patients with diagnosed diabetes. Ophthalmology, 126(11), 1492-1499. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2019.05.033.
- Fairless, E. & Nwanyanwu, K. (2019). Barriers to and facilitators of diabetic retinopathy screening utilization in a high-risk population. *The* Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities, 6(6), 1244-1249. doi: 10.1007/s40615-019-00627-3.
- Hatef, E., Vanderver, B., Fagan, P., Albert, M., & Alexander, M. (2015). Annual diabetic eye examinations in a managed care medicaid population. *The American Journal of Managed Care*, 21(5), 297-302.
- Kirkizlar, E., Serban, N., Jennifer, A., Sisson, J. A., Swann, J. L., Barnes, C. S., & Williams, M. D. (2013). Evaluation of telemedicine for screening of diabetic retinopathy in the veteran's health administration. Ophthalmology, 120(12), 2604-2610.
- Litaker, J. R., Tamez, N., Palma, C. B., Durkalski, W., & Taylor, R. (2017). A randomized controlled trial to increase diabetic retinopathy screening by a community-based health insurance plan in central Texas – 2017. Ophthalmic Epidemiology, 27(5), 376-383. doi:
- Modjtahedi, B. S., Theophanous, C., Chiu, S., Luong, T. Q., Nguyen, N., & Fong, D. S. (2019). Two-year incidence of retinal intervention in patients with minimal or no diabetic retinopathy on telemedicine screening. JAMA Ophthalmology, 137(4), 445-448.
- Moinul, P., Barbosa, J., Qian, J., Chen, M. L., Mohaghegh, M., Kaur, H., Holmes, J., Radman, H., Robinson, T., & Chaudhary, V. (2020). Does patient education improve compliance to routine diabetic retinopathy screening? Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare, 26(3), 161-
- Paksin-Hall, A., Dent, M. L., Dong, F., & Ablah, E. (2013). Factors contributing to diabetes patients not receiving annual dilated eye examinations. Ophthalmic Epidemiology, 20(5), 281-287. doi: 10.3109/09286586.2013.789531.
- Ribeiro, L., Bandello, F., Tejerina, A. N., Vujosevic, S., Varano, M., Egan, C., Sivaprasad, S., Menon, G., Massin, P., Verbraak, F. D., Lund-Anderson, H., Martinez, J. P., Jürgens, I., Smets, E., Coriat, C., Wiedemann, P., Ágoas, V., Querques, G., Holz, F. G... & Cunha-Vaz, J. (2015). Characterization of retinal disease progression in a 1-13 year longitudinal study of eyes with mild non-proliferative retinopathy in diabetes type 2. IOVS, 56(9), 5698-5705. doi:10.1167/ iovs.15-16708.