



# Mapping Concurrent Wasting and Stunting Among Children Under Five in India: A Multilevel Analysis

Bikash Khura<sup>1†</sup>, Parimala Mohanty<sup>2†</sup>, Aravind P Gandhi<sup>3†</sup>, Lipilekha Patnaik<sup>2</sup>, Abhishek Mewara<sup>4</sup>, KeertiBhusan Pradhan<sup>5</sup> and Bijaya Kumar Padhi<sup>6</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>International Institute for Population Sciences, Mumbai, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Institute of Medical Sciences and SUM Hospital, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan Deemed to Be University, Bhubaneswar, India, <sup>3</sup>Department of Community Medicine, ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Hyderabad, India, <sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Parasitology, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India, <sup>5</sup>Public Health Management, Chitkara University, Chandigarh, Punjab, India, <sup>6</sup>Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Gabriel Gulis, University of Southern Denmark, Denmark

#### Reviewed by:

Mogan K. A., National Institute of Epidemiology (ICMR), India

> \***Correspondence:** Bijaya Kumar Padhi bkpadhi@gmail.com

This Original Article is part of the IJPH Special Issue "Public Health and Primary Care, is 1+1=1?"

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Received: 04 December 2022 Accepted: 05 May 2023 Published: 07 June 2023

#### Citation:

Khura B, Mohanty P, Gandhi AP, Patnaik L, Mewara A, Pradhan K and Padhi BK (2023) Mapping Concurrent Wasting and Stunting Among Children Under Five in India: A Multilevel Analysis. Int J Public Health 68:1605654. doi: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1605654 **Objectives:** The study aims to examine the coexisting forms, patterns, and predictors of concurrent wasting and stunting (WaSt) among children under five in India.

**Methods:** We used data from the National Family Health Survey to understand the trend and association of WaSt among children under five-year-old in India. Univariate analysis and cross-tabulations were performed for WaSt cases. The association was determined using multilevel binary logistic regression and multilevel regression, and the results were provided as adjusted odds ratios (aOR) with 95% confidence intervals at the significance level of p < 0.05.

**Results:** The prevalence of WaSt has decreased from 8.7% in 2005–06 to 5.2 percent in 2019–2020. The proportion of WaSt children grew rapidly from 6 to 18 months, peaked at 19 months (8%), then dropped after 24 months. The prevalence of concurrent wasting and stunting is higher among boys compared to girls. Compared to children of different birth orders, those in the higher birth order are 1.2 times more likely to be WaSt cases (aOR = 1.20, 95% CI = 1.09, 1.33). The education of the mother is strongly correlated with WaSt instances, and children of more educated mothers have a 47% lower chance of being WaSt cases (aOR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.57, 0.71). Children from wealthy families are 52% less likely to be WaSt cases (aOR = 0.43, 0.55).

**Conclusion:** This study emphasizes the importance of concurrent wasting and stunting and its relationship with socioeconomic factors among children under five in India.

Keywords: undernutrition, wasting, stunting, under-five children, India

## INTRODUCTION

Undernutrition is a global public health problem that disproportionately affects low- and middle-income countries. It has various aspects that include wasting, stunting, and underweight [1, 2]. These conditions are associated with a variety of negative health outcomes, including increased morbidity and mortality, impaired physical and cognitive development, poor learning capacity, and reduced educational attainment. It has been estimated that 149 million children and 45 million under 5 years of age are stunted and wasted, worldwide [1]. Among them, India, the second most populous country, accounts for 40% of stunted children, translating to 62 million and 60 million underweight children [3].

The disorders associated with growth and nutrition are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in young children [4, 5], accounting for 45% of the total deaths in children under five [6]. In India, the proportion of deaths attributed to malnutrition was higher (68.2%), translating to 706,000 deaths in 2017 [7]. Nutritional disorders have been shown to perpetuate poverty. Undernutrition affects the physical and cognitive functions of children, ultimately resulting in low productivity [8, 9]. This, in turn, affects the country's economy as population productivity directly impacts the gross domestic product (GDP). It has been estimated that a 1% loss of height in an adult due to stunting in childhood leads to a productivity loss of 2.4% at the individual level [10]. Extrapolating the productivity loss of individuals to the country level, it has been estimated to negatively impact the GDP by 2%-3%. Therefore, undernutrition has individual, social, and economic costs for the present and future [6].

Growth disorders do not always occur in isolated forms. Two or more aspects of undernutrition can occur in the same child during the same period of time. The concept of the simultaneous occurrence of various forms of growth disorders came up after 2014 [11]. Coexisting Forms of Malnutrition (CFM) have been reported to increase mortality and morbidity, compared with the isolated forms [12, 13]. Globally, simultaneous wasting and stunting (WaSt) was reported to be 3.5% among children under five. However, the burden has regional disparity, with Asia (5%) and Africa (2.9%) having a higher prevalence of the problem than European countries [11]. Few studies have reported a prevalence of coexisting WaSt of up to 12% in these regions [2]. McDonald et al. reported a prevalence of wasting, stunting, and underweight of 5.5% in their metaanalysis of 10 cohorts around the world [13]. Khan et al. in their multilevel analysis from India estimated the prevalence of coexisting wasting and underweight to be 8.1%, while coexisting wasting, stunting, and underweight was 6.5% based on the nationwide survey conducted 8 years ago [14]. The gender of the child was found to be a significant predictor [14]. With the most recent data on undernutrition released from the Indian nationwide survey in 2021, among children under five, 35.5% were stunted,

19.3% were wasted, and 32.1% were underweight. Although the proportion of general wasting, underweight, and stunting has positively improved, the status of severe wasting has worsened from 7.5% in 2015–16% to 7.7% in 2019–21 [15]. Therefore, the interaction between these facets of childhood growth disorders and demographic features might have changed, which needs exploration in the Indian context.

Therefore, the present study was conducted to estimate coexisting forms, patterns of undernutrition, and predictors of coexisting Wasting and Stunting (WaSt) among children in India.

## **METHODS**

### **Data Source**

The study used data from the latest round of the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), which was conducted in 2019-2021. The NFHS offers state and union territory-level data on India's population, health, and nutrition. The NFHS is carried out under the management of the Global Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). It is a cross-sectional survey carried out under the direction of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) (ICF, IIPS). The survey uses a two-stage stratified sampling procedure to represent the 36 Indian states and union territories; the methods and techniques for sampling are covered elsewhere. The primary goal of the NFHS is to provide data on indicators such as nutrition, family planning, domestic violence, maternity and child health, fertility, mortality, and women's empowerment. In this survey, 747,176 reproductive-age women (15-49) were questioned from 636,699 household samples.

This study aims to examine the pattern and determinant of concurrent stunting and wasting in India, and therefore only includes children under 5 years of age. The final analysis was performed on 199,682 children born within the 5 years prior to the survey after removing flagged observations (with atypical measurements and incomplete/missing information).

## **Statistical Analysis**

#### **Case Definition**

Concurrent stunting and wasting are cases of interaction between the two conditions and are defined as: WaSt = WHZ < -2.0 and HAZ < -2.0.

Composite index of WaSt z score: WaStZ = (WHZ + HAZ)/2 if WHZ < 0 and HAZ < 0; = 0 otherwise; the severity of WaSt: Z < -1 "mild", Z < -2 "moderate," Z < -3 "severe"

# The Degree of Overlap Between Stunting, Wasting, and Underweight

A Venn diagram was used to analyze the degree of overlap between stunting, wasting, and underweight among children aged 0-59 months in India.

This analysis aimed to define the magnitude of the set:



#### Stunted ∩ Wasted And: Stunted ∩ Wasted ∩ Underweight

Where  $\cap$  denotes intersection. Set  $A \cap B$  determines that all members of A who are also B (or *vice versa*) make up a set A B. The set-theory equivalent of the Boolean AND operator is the intersection.

### The Severity of Stunting and Wasting in WaSt Cases

The severity of concurrent stunting and wasting was calculated using the composite index defined above [12].

The explanatory factors were classified into three groups:

Age, sex, birth order, size at birth, recent fever, cough, and diarrhea were considered child characteristics. Mother's level of anemia, antenatal care, delivery place, and education were considered maternal characteristics. Household variables included drinking water sources, toilet facility, household size, frequency of reading newspapers, radio, and television, cooking fuel consumption, wealth index, place of residence, religion, and caste. All the aforementioned factors were selected based on their availability in the NFHS data and their literature-supported connection to child undernutrition [16].

Cross-tabulations were used to examine the factors associated with WaSt cases, and univariate chi-square analysis was fitted with the predictor variables. After examining all the factors, we used the method suggested by Lawless and Singhal [17] to select the best subset variables and applied the Stata command gyselect for variable selection. We applied a multilevel binary logistic regression to establish the association between the outcome and the predictor variables. We fitted four models to demonstrate the association between the explanatory and outcome variables.

Model 0 was fitted to show the variance in the outcome variable clustered at the primary sampling unit (PSU) level. Model I was fitted after adjusting for age and sex variables. Model II was fitted after considering all explanatory variables whose p-values were significant from the chi-square test, and the final model—model III was fitted by considering the subset variable suggested in the method of Lawless and Singhal [18].

AIC was used to test the fitness of the model and comparison. We used odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) to present the results of the regression analysis. All the analysis was done in STATA version 16.0.

# RESULTS

**Figure 1** shows the relationship between stunting, wasting, and underweight among children under five in India. The results show that children who are simultaneously stunted and wasted are also underweight. This meant that no child in the sample with stunting (WHZ < -2) and wasting (HAZ < -2) had a WAZ 2. The prevalence of WaSt (concurrently wasted and stunted) decreased from 8.7% in 2005–2006 to 5.2% in 2019–21.





### The Age Pattern of WaSt

**Figure 2** shows the age pattern of malnutrition among children under 5 years of age. The proportion of stunting in children increased from 6 to 18 months of age, decreased until the age of 24 months, and increased again until the 36th month. However, in the case of wasting, the prevalence decreased with age. The proportion of children who are simultaneously stunted and wasted expeditiously increased from 6 to 18 months of age, peaked at around 19 months (8%), then began to fall after 24 months. Therefore, age is a key factor in the malnutrition pattern dynamics of these children.

**Figure 3** further illustrates the sex differences among WaSt cases. The prevalence of concurrent stunting and wasting was higher among boys than among girls. It peaked around the age of 17 months (9%) among boys and 19 months (8%) among girls.

From the composite index described above, the severity of concurrent stunting and wasting was determined as follows: sum of the z score of height and weight divided by two; if both are negative, else is zero. The severity levels were classified as mild if Z score was less than -1, moderate if the Z score was between -1 and -3 and severe if the Z scores was less than -3. Under

30 months of age, but not beyond, the pattern of sex disparities was proportional to the severity of concurrent WaSt (Figure 4).

**Table 1** shows the bivariate analysis of WaSt among children under 5 years of age in India. Children in the 12–23-month age range were observed to have the highest prevalence (6.48%) of WaSt. Male children were found to be more concurrently stunted and wasted (5.82%) compared to female children. WaSt cases are more prevalent among higher birth order children than lower birth order. Compared to mothers with some education, those without education are more likely to have WaSt children. Children who used unimproved toilet facilities and drank water from unimproved sources have a higher prevalence of WaSt than those who used improved toilet facilities and water sources. Similarly, children living in rural areas and belonging to socially disadvantageous populations have a higher prevalence of WaSt.

The associations between the outcome (WaSt) and the explanatory variables are depicted in **Table 2**. The outcome of the model that incorporates all the explanatory factors chosen through gyselect (model III) indicates that female children have a



29% low risk of being a case of WaSt than male children (aOR = 0.71, 95% CI = 0.68, 0.74). When comparing children of different birth orders, those of the higher birth order are 1.2 times more likely to be WaSt cases (aOR = 1.20, 95% CI = 1.09, 1.33). Furthermore, compared to children who were larger at birth, smaller children have a 1.8-fold higher risk of becoming cases of WaSt (aOR = 1.81, 95% CI = 1.66, 1.97). Children who recently suffered from fever and diarrhea have significantly higher chances of being WaSt cases. Children of educated mothers have a lower chance of having WaSt, and this strongly correlates with the increased level of primary, secondary, and higher education of the mother; children of mothers with higher education have a 37% lower chance of being WaSt cases (aOR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.57, 0.71). Children with access to improved toilet facilities have a 17% lower chance of being WaSt (aOR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.82, 0.92). Furthermore, a strong correlation was observed between the wealth index and children's WaSt instances, where children from wealthy families are 52% less likely to be WaSt cases (aOR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.43, 0.55).

# DISCUSSION

In this multilevel analysis, we estimated the concurrent occurrence of WaSt among children under 5 years of age and its association with demographic characteristics in the Indian context. The results provide insight into the role of various socioeconomic factors in the development of these conditions and may be informative for the development of effective interventions to reduce WaSt. The prevalence of WaSt among children under five in India was 5.2%, and all children with WaSt were also underweight in the current analysis. Khara et al. reported a pooled prevalence rate of 3%, where they included data from 84 countries [19]. There was a decrease in the current estimates of WaSt (5.6%) compared to 2005-06 (8.7%) in India. The data from Brazil also show similar declining trends in WaSt rates [20]. Strategies such as nutrition intervention programs and integrated management of childhood illnesses might have caused this improved trend in WaSt prevalence. In the current analysis, the highest WaSt prevalence rate of 8% was found at approximately 19 months of age, with an earlier peak among boys (17 months) than among girls (19 months). A higher age (12–23 months aOR = 2.78) has been shown to be associated with a greater risk of WaSt in the present study, which is consistent with the findings of Khaliq et al. [21] A pooled analysis of previous studies reported a greater risk of WaSt among the children in 12–36 months of age [19]. However, Saaka et al. from Ghana reported findings similar to those in the present study, in which they found a strong association between WaSt in children of 12–23 months of age and with children aged 0–5 months [22].

Female children were found to have a 29% lower prevalence of WaSt than male children in the present study. Most studies from the past have shown that boys had the worst undernutrition indices: wasting, stunting, underweight [23], and WaSt [2, 19] when compared to girls. Although there is a significant history of gender bias against women in India, included food allocation at the household level [24], the lower prevalence of WaSt may be due to the effectiveness of government interventions to alleviate bias against girls or may be due to the inherent increased survival capacity of the female children compared to male children in India. An inquiry about the preference towards male child in the surveyed population could have brought about a better understanding of the reasons behind this finding. The finding gained importance in light of the absence of a truly genderequitable approach in nutrition programs, as boys seem to be more vulnerable to undernutrition. Although inequities in nutrition intake by women have been documented, the possible reasons for the male child's vulnerability to undernutrition remain to be explored [25]. Nutrition policies and programs targeting under-five-year-old children will benefit from this information on gender-specific differences in childhood growth and nutrition. Another possible reason could be a higher exposure of male children to outdoor environments when compared to female children, where they are potentially more exposed to the microbial infections such as soil transmitted helminths (STH) known to cause growth deficits and stunting; however, this needs to be explored with specific inquiries in future surveys. In the current analysis, while boys had a relatively higher proportion of mild and moderately severe WaSt than girls in the

Orbit of characteristics	Variables	Weighted N)	Weighted (%)	WaSt (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Age of the child	Child characteristics				
-dmonths17,779.069.3712-2338,6719.379.3712-2338,6719.224.4313-3039,6919.224.4315-3039,6919.224.4316-4040,9029.639.2316-6140,9026.639.2217-2361.635.29.21Male9.2634.374.341110,00265.926.570.012-410,00265.926.570.012-410,00265.926.570.012-410,00265.921.570.002-5104 do th th10.2615.170.0012-5104 do th th10.2611.590.002-510.4965.7265.105.170.0012-610.4965.7265.105.170.0012-610.4965.7265.105.170.0012-710.5465.105.170.0010.0012-710.541.590.0010.0010.0012-710.541.591.530.0010.0012-710.541.532.900.0010.0012-710.541.532.900.0010.0012-710.541.532.900.0010.0012-710.541.532.900.0010.0012-710.712.563.520.0010.0012-	Age of the child				< 0.001
6-1119,2099.799.7412-2388,7419.756.4624-7568,66120.276.4624-7630,26220.876.8648,67020.876.8340.00148,68048,6776.8340.001Famala94,68348,5774.8416 Hor old	<6 months	17,767	9.06	2.37	
12-2398,74419.756.4824-3698,87419.252.4638-4798,88520.316.8638-494.9,89520.316.86Bar Othe ditil01.2706.1436.90Bar Othe ditil01.27084.376.80Bar Othe ditil10.27081.834.83Bar Othe ditil76.37093.9444.83<0.001	6–11	19,209	9.79	3.67	
24-35 94-77 30.25 94.51 20.22 5.45 5.45 5.47 5.45 5.47 5.47 5.47 5.47	12–23	38,744	19.75	6.48	
34-798,82520.515.85Bec fire child20.875.1430.01Bec fire child0.2706.16.36.82Famaba94,80349.374.83Berth order	24–35	39,651	20.22	5.45	
44-5040,93620,675.14Mele101,27051,635.82Berth order	36–47	39,825	20.31	5.85	
Sace of the child	48–59	40,936	20.87	5.14	
Mais     101,270     11.83     5.82       Fermise	Sex of the child				< 0.001
Fenda (b)     94,863     49,37     4.88       Term order	Male	101,270	51.63	5.82	
Brinder	Female	94,863	48.37	4.58	
int     76,370     88,94     4,43       2-4     106,862     65,52     6,57       5 and those     5,362     6,57	Birth order				< 0.001
2-4100.88255.925.77Sand above100.815.147.30Size of the child at birh	1st	76,370	38.94	4.43	
5 and above     10,081     5,14     7,30       Large     66,673     18,69     4,85       Average     137,282     70,68     4,87       Smal     20,299     10,43     80,91       Smal     60,642     96,44     5,68       Had acough in the last 2 weeks     0,001     5,17       No     166,725     13,90     5,55       Had acough in the last 2 weeks     0,002     5,50       No     168,725     13,30     5,55       Had acough in the last 2 weeks     0,001     5,13     0,001       No     168,725     13,30     5,51     -0,001       No     168,725     13,30     5,51     -0,001       No     10,440     5,121     4,77     -0,001       Maternal actorscintor	2–4	109,682	55.92	5.57	
Size of the child at birth	5 and above	10,081	5.14	7.30	
Large     66.73     18.89     4.85     18.89       Average     17.262     70.68     4.87       Smal     20.269     10.43     8.06       Mad fore in the last 2 weeks     5.08     6.09       No     185.42     8.64     5.08       Had accurgh in the last 2 weeks     0.002     1.00       No     188.725     13.90     5.55       Had accurgh in the last 2 weeks     0.002     0.001       No     188.72     0.90     6.001       Yes     184.61     7.49     0.001       No     184.81     7.49     6.001       No     184.71     20.96     7.66       No     4.112     20.96     6.30       Secontary     10.040     5.12     4.77       Anternatic are	Size of the child at birth				< 0.001
Name     137     252     70.83     4.87       Senal     20.259     10.43     8.09       Had fever in the last 2 weeks		36.673	18.89	4.85	
Simulation     20,259     10.43     8.09       Had forw in the last 2 weeks     66,442     86,44     5.08       Yes     26,669     13.56     6.09       No     168,725     86,10     5.17       No     168,725     86,10     5.17       No     168,725     19.30     5.55       Had damba in the last 2 weeks	Average	137 252	70.68	4 87	
Late     Late     Late     Late     Late     Color     Color       No     166,442     96,443     96,444     5.08     6.09       Had sough in the last 2 weeks     0.002     No     168,725     96,10     5.17       Yee     27,236     13,30     5.65     0.001       No     181,271     92,51     5.13     0.001       Yee     14,0451     7.49     6.41     0.001       Maternal chrancteristics	Small	20 259	10.43	8.09	
No     169,442     86,44     5.08     10000       Yes     26,569     13.56     6.09     0.002       No     168,725     86,10     5.17     0.002       Yes     27,235     13.90     5.55     0.001       Had acough in the last 2 weaks	Had fever in the last 2 weeks	20,200	10.10	0.00	<0.001
No     No.     No.     Sol       Had sough in the last 2 weeks     0.002     0.002       No     188,725     86.10     5.75       Yes     2.7,355     3.390     5.55       No     181,271     92.51     6.13       No     181,271     92.51     6.13       Yes     14,881     7.49     6.41       Maternal characteristics	No	160 442	86.44	5.08	<0.001
Tas     Z0.689     0.300     0.009       No     168,725     68.10     5.17       Yes     27,235     13.30     5.55       Had acroup in the last 2 weeks	No	109,442	12 56	5.08	
No     168,725     66.10     5.17       Yes     27,235     13.90     5.55       No     181,271     32.51     5.13       No     11,271     32.51     5.13       No     11,271     32.51     5.13       No     11,271     32.51     5.13       Maternal characteristics	Hed a courde in the last 2 weeks	20,369	13.30	0.09	0.000
No     (bb, 22     06 (1)     5.17       Yes     27,235     13.90     5.55     .00       No     181,271     92,51     5.13     .00       Yes     1,481     7,49     6.41       Matemal characteristics	Had a cough in the last 2 weeks	100 705	96.10	E 17	0.002
Yes     27.23     13.90     5.85       No     181.271     22.51     5.13       No     181.271     22.51     5.13       Maternal characteristics	NO	108,725	80.10	5.17	
Head damma in the last 2 weeks     181,271     92,51     5.13       Yes     14,681     7.49     6.41       Matemal characteristics          Matemal characteristics           Matemal characteristics            Matemal characteristics	Yes	27,235	13.90	5.55	
No     1812/1     92.51     5.13       Maternal characteristics	Had diarrhea in the last 2 weeks				<0.001
Yes     14,81     7.49     6.41       Maternal characteristics </td <td>No</td> <td>181,271</td> <td>92.51</td> <td>5.13</td> <td></td>	No	181,271	92.51	5.13	
Maternal characteristics	Yes	14,681	7.49	6.41	
Maternal aducation     -0.001       No education     41,112     20.96     7.36       Primary     24,114     12.29     6.39       Secondary     100,440     51.21     4.77       Higher     30,467     15.33     2.89       Antenzial care	Maternal characteristics				
No education     41,112     20.96     7.36       Primary     24,114     12.29     6.39       Secondary     100,440     51.21     4.77       Higher     30,467     15.53     2.89       Antenatic care	Maternal education				<0.001
Primary     24,114     12.29     6.39       Secondary     100,440     51.21     4.77       Higher     30,467     15.53     2.89       Antenatal care	No education	41,112	20.96	7.36	
Secondary     100,440     51.21     4.77       Higher     30,467     15.53     2.89       Antenatic care      <0.001	Primary	24,114	12.29	6.39	
Higher     30,467     15.53     2.89       Antenatal care	Secondary	100,440	51.21	4.77	
Antential care	Higher	30,467	15.53	2.89	
None     8,820     6.00     6.90       1-3     51,071     34.36     5.55       4 or more     88.624     59.63     4.70       Place of delivery     21,599     11.01     7.32       Home     21,599     11.01     7.32       Other     393     0.20     4.64       Other's anemic level     4.870     2.27     6.39       Moderste     60.075     31.15     5.85       Mild     51.277     26.59     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       Household characteristics     0.479     0.479     0.479       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14     0.01       Improved     177,960     95.79     5.21     0.01       Unimproved     18,439     75.06     4.52     0.01       Unimproved     18,439     75.06     4.52     0.01       Unimproved     18,439     75.06     5.21     0.01       Unimproved     16,762     44.59	Antenatal care				< 0.001
1-3   51,071   34,36   55.5     4 or more   88,624   59.63   4.70     Place of delivery	None	8,920	6.00	6.90	
4 or more   88,624   59.63   4.70     Place of delivery   <0.001	1–3	51,071	34.36	5.55	
Place of delivery <t< td=""><td>4 or more</td><td>88,624</td><td>59.63</td><td>4.70</td><td></td></t<>	4 or more	88,624	59.63	4.70	
Home     21,599     11.01     7.32       Health facility     174,141     88.79     4.96       Other     393     0.20     4.64       Mother's anemic level          Severe     4,370     2.27     6.39       Moderate     60,075     31.15     5.85       Mild     51,277     26.59     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       House source     0.479     4.61     1000       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14     1000       Improved     7,813     4.21     6.14     1000       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14     1000       Unimproved     188,439     7.06     4.52     10001       Unimproved     188,439     7.06     4.52     10011       Household size     0.07     5.49     5.21     10011     10011       Small     903     0.46     5.57     10011     10011     10011     10	Place of delivery				<0.001
Health facility     174,141     88,79     4,96       Other     393     0.20     4,64       Mother's anemic level     4,370     2,27     6,39       Moderate     60,075     31,15     5,85       Mild     51,277     26,59     5,24       Not anemic     7,7142     40,00     4,66       Household characteristics     0.075     31,15     5,85       Drinking water source     0.479     4,21     6,14       Improved     7,813     4,21     6,14       Improved     177,960     9,579     5,21       Toilet facility     (0,007     24,94     7,45       Uhimproved     18,8439     75,06     4,52       Household size     0.051     5,57     0.051       Small     903     0.46     5,57     0.051       Medium     87,462     44,59     5,22     0.051       Large     107,79     5,495     5,22     0.051       Not at all     19,9,987     71,137     5,78	Home	21,599	11.01	7.32	
Other     393     0.20     4.64       Mother's anemic level	Health facility	174.141	88.79	4.96	
Mother's anemic level     <  <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <     <	Other	393	0.20	4 64	
Reverse     4,370     2.27     6.39       Moderate     60,075     31.15     5.85       Mild     51,277     26.59     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       Household characteristics     0.479     0.479       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14       Improved     7,813     4.21     6.14       Unimproved     177,960     95.79     5.21       Unimproved     188,439     75.06     4.52       Household size     0.051     5.71     0.051       Small     903     0.46     5.57       Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines      <0.001	Mother's anemic level				<0.001
Noderate     Noterate     Noterate     Noterate     Sec       Mild     51,277     26.59     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       Household characteristics     0.479     0.479       Drinking water source     0.479     0.479       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14       Improved     177,960     95.79     5.21       Toilet facility	Severe	4 370	2 27	6.39	
Indication     Instrume     Instrume     Instrume     Instrume       Mild     51,277     26,59     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       Household characteristics     0.479     0.479       Drinking water source     0.479     0.479       Unimproved     77,960     95.79     5.21       Toilet facility	Moderate	60.075	31 15	5.85	
Initial     51,217     20.35     5.24       Not anemic     77,142     40.00     4.66       Household characteristics	Mild	51 277	26.59	5.24	
Household characteristics   0.400   4.00   4.00     Household characteristics   0.479     Unimproved   7,813   4.21   6.14     Improved   177,960   95.79   5.21     Toilet facility	Net apomio	77 140	40.00	4.66	
Drinking water source     0.479       Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14       Improved     177,960     95.79     5.21       Toilet facility          Unimproved     46,007     24.94     7.45       Improved     138,439     75.06     4.52       Household size      0.051       Small     903     0.46     5.57       Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines          Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     35,333     18.01     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio     73,198     88.31     5.30	Household characteristics	11,142	40.00	4.00	
Unimproved     7,813     4.21     6.14       Improved     177,960     95.79     5.21       Toilet facility	Drinking water source				0.470
Online oved     1,813     4.21     6.14       Improved     177,960     95.79     5.21       Toilet facility          Unimproved     46,007     24.94     7.45       Improved     138,439     75.06     4.52       Household size      0.051       Small     903     0.46     5.57       Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines          Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     20,813     10.61     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio           Not at all     173,198     88.31     5.30	Difficing water source	7.010	4.01	614	0.479
Improved 177,960 95.79 5.21   Toilet facility      Unimproved 46,007 24.94 7.45   Improved 138,439 75.06 4.52   Household size   0.051   Small 903 0.46 5.57   Medium 87,462 44.59 5.21   Large 107,769 5.495 5.22   Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines      Not at all 139,987 71.37 5.78   Less than once a week 35,333 18.01 3.99   At least once a week 20,813 10.61 3.53   Frequency of listening to the radio      Not at all 173,198 88.31 5.30	Unimproved	7,013	4.21	6.14	
Toilet facility	Improved	177,960	95.79	5.21	0.001
Unimproved     46,007     24,94     7,45       Improved     138,439     75.06     4.52       Household size     0.051     0.051       Small     903     0.46     5.57       Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines          Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     35,333     18.01     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio     173,198     88.31     5.30	I OIIET TACIIITY	10.007	24.24	7.45	<0.001
Improved   138,439   75.06   4.52     Household size   0.051     Small   903   0.46   5.57     Medium   87,462   44.59   5.21     Large   107,769   54.95   5.22     Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines	Unimproved	46,007	24.94	7.45	
Household size   0.051     Small   903   0.46   5.57     Medium   87,462   44.59   5.21     Large   107,769   54.95   5.22     Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines        Not at all   139,987   71.37   5.78     Less than once a week   35,333   18.01   3.99     At least once a week   20,813   10.61   3.53     Frequency of listening to the radio        Not at all   173,198   88.31   5.30	Improved	138,439	75.06	4.52	
Small     903     0.46     5.57       Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines          Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     35,333     18.01     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio          Not at all     173,198     88.31     5.30	Household size				0.051
Medium     87,462     44.59     5.21       Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines          Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     35,333     18.01     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio	Small	903	0.46	5.57	
Large     107,769     54.95     5.22       Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines	Medium	87,462	44.59	5.21	
Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines<Not at all139,98771.375.78Less than once a week35,33318.013.99At least once a week20,81310.613.53Frequency of listening to the radioNot at all173,19888.315.30(Continued on following page)	Large	107,769	54.95	5.22	
Not at all     139,987     71.37     5.78       Less than once a week     35,333     18.01     3.99       At least once a week     20,813     10.61     3.53       Frequency of listening to the radio	Frequency of reading newspapers or magazines				<0.001
Less than once a week35,33318.013.99At least once a week20,81310.613.53Frequency of listening to the radioNot at all173,19888.315.30(Continued on following page)	Not at all	139,987	71.37	5.78	
At least once a week 20,813 10.61 3.53   Frequency of listening to the radio      Not at all 173,198 88.31 5.30   (Continued on following page)	Less than once a week	35,333	18.01	3.99	
Frequency of listening to the radio <0.001	At least once a week	20,813	10.61	3.53	
Not at all 173,198 88.31 5.30 (Continued on following page)	Frequency of listening to the radio	,			< 0.001
(Continued on following page)	Not at all	173,198	88.31	5.30	
				(Continued on	following page)

	TABLE 1	(Continued) Bivariate	analysis of concurrent st	unted and wasted (WaSt)	children with their sociodemograph	ic characteristics in India, 2019-21.
--	---------	-----------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Variables	Weighted N)	Weighted (%)	WaSt (%)	<i>p</i> -value
Less than once a week	16,572	8.45	4.78	
At least once a week	6,363	3.24	4.12	
Frequency of watching television				<0.001
Not at all	63,356	32.30	6.66	
Less than once a week	39,016	19.89	5.22	
At least once a week	93,761	47.80	4.24	
Cooking fuel				<0.001
Unclean	92,823	50.01	6.32	
Clean	92,790	49.99	4.17	
Wealth Index				< 0.001
Poorest	47,729	24.33	7.82	
Poorer	42,827	21.84	5.78	
Middle	38,689	19.73	4.72	
Richer	36,473	18.60	3.67	
Richest	30,414	15.51	2.84	
Place of residence				< 0.001
Urban	51,275	26.14	4.24	
Rural	144,858	73.86	5.57	
Religion				< 0.001
Hindu	156,221	79.65	5.34	
Muslim	31,238	15.93	4.89	
Christian	4,224	2.15	4.39	
Others	4,450	2.27	3.97	
Social group				< 0.001
Schedule caste	45,900	24.76	5.77	
Schedule tribe	19,414	10.47	7.31	
OBC	85,232	45.97	5.14	
None of them	34,842	18.79	3.63	

The p-values were obtained from the chi-square test.

age group below 30 months, girls had a higher rate of severe WaSt than boys between 30 and 59 months. The reason for such differential findings of WaSt prevalence and severity between sexes and age groups should be studied in the future by intersectional analysis.

Birth weight has been implicated as a significant factor in the nutritional status of children under 5 years of age. Although the average and smaller babies at birth were found to have a significantly higher rate of WaSt in the current analysis, Islam et al. from Bangladesh, in contrast, reported that average or large babies were at higher odds of undernutrition [26]. In addition to birth weight, the order of birth along with birth interval can also affect the nutritional status of children. A higher order of birth was found to have a significant association with the prevalence of WaSt in India, which is similar to a finding reported from Bangladesh [26]. It has been suggested that a higher order of birth, in combination with food insecurity, may lead to significant undernourishment in children [27, 28].

In India, there are multiple castes that are broadly grouped under Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), Other Backward Classes (OBC), and others. While SC/ST fared worse than the OBC and others in 2015–16 [14], the current data suggests that SC/ST along with OBCs suffered worse outcomes than the others. Caste is an important determinant of health, socioeconomic and political wellbeing in India and is being followed in the Indian subcontinent since ancient times. It is "the system of dividing people in a society into different social classes" [29] and is determined on the basis of birth in a particular family. In this social hierarchical system, the populace is grouped into the so-called "lower" and "upper" castes. It has been shown that people from the so-called lower castes consume a lesser quantity of fruits and vegetables than their counterparts [30]. Although the under-nutritional aspects of SC/ST have been brought out [31, 32], the poor nutritional status in the OBC population found in the present study and the determinants need further evaluation.

The incidence of fever and diarrhea in the last 2 weeks, maternal education below secondary level, inadequate toilet facilities, large household size, lower quintiles of wealth index, residing in an urban area, and followers of Hindu/Muslim religions were significantly associated with the higher prevalence of WaSt in India. Urban background, poverty, no education, and higher birth order were also reported as significant predictors from 2015 to 2016 in India [14]. Studies from Bangladesh and Ethiopia reported a significant impact of childhood infections on CFM [26, 33]. In contrast to our findings, the mother's education was not reported as a significant factor in stunted children from Bangladesh and Pakistan [21, 26]. The higher education status of the mother determines the health and nutrition behavior toward herself and the child. A lower socioeconomic status was a significant determinant of WaSt from other countries as well [21, 26]. Better income levels and socioeconomic status enables better access to safe water, healthy food, and improved sanitation practices. Thus, in a broad sense, socioeconomic factors also impact the other determinants of undernutrition. Khan et al.

TABLE 2 | Fixed and random effects result in the association of concurrently stunted and wasted children and stunting with their sociodemographic characteristics in India, 2019–21.

Variable	Model-0	Model-I aOR (95% CI)	Model-II aOR (95% CI)	Model III aOR (95% CI)
Child characteristics				
Age of the child				
<6 months		1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
6–11		1.40*** [1.24, 1.58]	1.49*** [1.30, 1.72]	1.46*** [1.27, 1.67]
12–23		2.62*** [2.36, 2.91]	2.91*** [2.58, 3.27]	2.78*** [2.47, 3.13]
24–35		2.07*** [1.86, 2.30]	2.34*** [2.07, 2.65]	2.16*** [1.92, 2.44]
36–47		2.17*** [1.95, 2.41]	2.41*** [2.13, 2.73]	2.25*** [2.00, 2.54]
48–59		1.90*** [1.71, 2.12]	2.07*** [1.82, 2.36]	1.98*** [1.75, 2.23]
Sex of the child				
Male		1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Female		0.72*** [0.69, 0.75]	0.70*** [0.67, 0.74]	0.71*** [0.68, 0.74]
Birth order				
1st			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
2–4			1.09** [1.02, 1.16]	1.13*** [1.07, 1.19]
5 and above			1.11 [0.99, 1.24]	1.20*** [1.09, 1.33]
Size of the child at birth				
Large			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Average			1.09* [1.02, 1.17]	1.07* [1.01, 1.14]
Small			1.85*** [1.68, 2.03]	1.81*** [1.66, 1.97]
Had fever in the last 2 weeks				
No			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Yes			1.06 [0.97, 1.16]	1.13*** [1.05, 1.21]
Had a cough in the last 2 weeks				[
No			1 [1 00 1 00]	
Yes			1 03 [0 95 1 13]	
Had diarrhea in the last 2 weeks				
No			1 [1 00 1 00]	1 [1 00 1 00]
Yes			1 14** [1 04 1 25]	1 15** [1 06 1 25]
Maternal characteristics			1.14 [1.04, 1.20]	1.10 [1.00, 1.20]
Maternal education				
No education			1 [1 00 1 00]	1 [1 00 1 00]
Priman			0.95 [0.87 1.04]	
Secondan			0.82*** [0.76, 0.89]	0.82*** [0.77_0.88]
Higher			0.62*** [0.56, 0.72]	0.62*** [0.57, 0.71]
Antenatal care			0.00 [0.00, 0.72]	0.00 [0.07, 0.71]
Nono			1 [1 00 1 00]	
1.2				
1-5 4 or more			1.07 [0.95, 1.17]	
Place of delivery			1.07 [0.33, 1.13]	
Homo			1 [1 00 1 00]	
Hoalth facility				
Othors				
Mather anomia loval			1.06 [0.03, 1.87]	
Soucro			1 [1 00 1 00]	1 [1 00 1 00]
Madarata				1 01 [0.97, 1.19]
Mild				
Not opomio			0.95 [0.79, 1.10]	
Not allernic			0.80 [0.73, 1.02]	0.9 [0.77, 1.04]
Drinking water source				1 [1 00 1 00]
Unimproved				
Tailet facility				1.11 [1.00, 1.22]
			1 [1 00 1 00]	1 [1 00 1 00]
Unimproved				
Improved			0.85^^^ [0.79, 0.90]	0.87 *** [0.82, 0.92]
HOUSENDIA SIZE				
Large			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Medium			1.34 [0.93, 1.94]	1.34 [0.93, 1.93]
Small			1.45* [1.00, 2.10]	1.42 [0.99, 2.05]
Frequency of reading newspapers of	or magazines			
Not at all			1 [1.00, 1.00]	
Less than once a week			0.96 [0.89, 1.05]	
At least once a week			1.04 [0.92, 1.16]	
			(C	Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 | (Continued) Fixed and random effects result in the association of concurrently stunted and wasted children and stunting with their sociodemographic characteristics in India, 2019–21.

Variable	Model-0	Model-I aOR (95% CI)	Model-II aOR (95% CI)	Model III aOR (95% CI)
Frequency of listening to the radio				
Not at all			1 [1.00, 1.00]	
Less than once a week			0.96 [0.87, 1.07]	
At least once a week			0.92 [0.78, 1.08]	
Frequency of watching television				
Not at all			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Less than once a week			1.01 [0.94, 1.09]	1.01 [0.95, 1.08]
At least once a week			0.95 [0.88, 1.02]	0.94 [0.88, 1.00]
Cooking fuel				
Unclean			1 [1.00, 1.00]	
Clean			1.01 [0.94, 1.08]	
Wealth Index				
Poorest			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Poorer			0.81*** [0.75, 0.87]	0.82*** [0.77, 0.88]
Middle			0.70*** [0.63, 0.77]	0.72*** [0.66, 0.78]
Richer			0.53*** [0.47, 0.60]	0.55*** [0.50, 0.61]
Richest			0.46*** [0.39, 0.53]	0.48*** [0.43, 0.55]
Place of residence				
Urban			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Rural			0.91* [0.84, 0.99]	0.89** [0.83, 0.96]
Religion				
Hindu			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Muslim			1.01 [0.92, 1.11]	0.98 [0.90, 1.06]
Christian			0.54*** [0.48, 0.62]	0.53*** [0.47, 0.61]
Other			0.67*** [0.57, 0.79]	0.64*** [0.55, 0.75]
Social group				
Schedule caste			1 [1.00, 1.00]	1 [1.00, 1.00]
Schedule tribe			1.14** [1.05, 1.24]	1.11** [1.03, 1.20]
OBC			1.02 [0.95, 1.10]	1 [0.94, 1.07]
None of them			0.79*** [0.72, 0.87]	0.77*** [0.71, 0.84]
Random effect model				
PSU variance (95% CI)	0.63	0.64	0.43	0.55
ICC	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.14
Wald chi-square	Reference	673.04	1881.46	2131.98
Model fitness				
Log-likelihood	-38309.93	-37944.86	-24350.15	-32342.34
AIC	76623.87	75905.72	48792.3	64758.69

\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001.

reported no significant association between sanitation and undernourished children with CFM [14], unlike our findings. Safe drinking water has been found to protect against WaSt [33]. Lastly, religion has also been shown to have a significant association with undernutrition according to the earlier rounds of the NFHS [32].

The strengths of the present analysis are that it is based on the analysis of a large dataset representative of India collected following a robust sample size, sampling technique, and adherence to standardized anthropometric and data collection methods. Multiple models were used to improve robustness to identify the independent and significant predictors of WaSt. However, the analysis is not devoid of limitations. First, considering the secondary nature of the data used, the more direct predictors such as the nutritional intake could not be assessed. A cross-sectional design of the data collection limits the temporal linkage between WaSt and the predictors such as education, wealth, residential status, and household size. Owing to the interview-based collection of data, with or without verification of the records, the biases of recall and social desirability cannot be ruled out. Lastly, India is a diverse country, and the WaSt rates and their predictors which may vary due to differences in the health pattern and profile of each state of India could not be brought out in the current analysis.

#### Conclusion

In general, children under five in India had a concurrent prevalence of wasting and stunting of 5.2%, with a declining trend from the past. Male children had a negative association with WaSt. Social factors such as caste (SC/ST/OBC), religion (Hindus and Muslims), maternal education levels, poor socio-economic status of the family, and poor sanitation were found to be significant predictors of WaSt in children under 5 years of age in India. National programs and customized strategies targeting these factors and social groups must be implemented to improve the nutritional status of children under 5 years of age in India. Furthermore, analytical and primary research must be conducted to evaluate the root cause and factors related to inequity against male children in undernutrition and the relationship between age, sex, and severity in determining WaSt prevalence in Indian settings.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available at the Demographic Health Survey (DHS) data repository through https://dhsprogram.com and could be accessed upon a data request subject to non-profit and academic interest only.

## ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethics approval was not required for this study, as it adopted secondary data analysis of existing survey data (NFHS) while

## REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. *Fact Sheets Malnutrition* (2022). Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malnutrition (Cited Dec 2, 2022).
- Khaliq A, Wraith D, Nambiar S, Miller Y. A Review of the Prevalence, Trends, and Determinants of Coexisting Forms of Malnutrition in Neonates, Infants, and Children. *BMC Public Health* (2022) 22(1):879–23. doi:10.1186/s12889-022-13098-9
- Bryce J, Coitinho D, Darnton-Hill I, Pelletier D, Pinstrup-Andersen PMaternal and Child Undernutrition Study Group. Maternal and Child Undernutrition: Effective Action at National Level. *Lancet* (2008) 371(9611):510–26. doi:10. 1016/S0140-6736(07)61694-8
- Black RE, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, De Onis M, et al. Maternal and Child Undernutrition and Overweight in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries. *Lancet* (2013) 382(9890):427–51. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(13)60937-X
- Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M, et al. Maternal and Child Undernutrition: Global and Regional Exposures and Health Consequences. *Lancet* (2008) 371(9608):243–60. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61690-0
- European Parliament. The Social and Economic Consequences of Malnutrition in ACP Countries (2022). Available from: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/ meetdocs/2009\_2014/documents/acp/dv/background\_/background\_en.pdf (cited Dec 2, 2022).
- Swaminathan S, Hemalatha R, Pandey A, Kassebaum NJ, Laxmaiah A, Longvah T, et al. The burden of Child and Maternal Malnutrition and Trends in its Indicators in the States of India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 1990–2017. *Lancet Child Adolesc Heal* (2019) 3(12):855–70. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(19)30273-1
- Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, Hallal PC, Martorell R, Richter L, et al. Maternal and Child Undernutrition: Consequences for Adult Health and Human Capital. *Lancet* (2008) 371(9609):340–57. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07) 61692-4
- 9. Hoddinott J, Behrman JR, Maluccio JA, Melgar P, Quisumbing AR, Ramirez-Zea M, et al. Adult Consequences of Growth Failure in Early Childhood. *Am J Clin Nutr* (2013) 98(5):1170-8. doi:10.3945/ajcn.113. 064584
- 10. Global Panel. *Cost of Malnutrition* (2022). Available from: https://www.glopan.org/cost-of-malnutrition/ (cited Dec 2, 2022).
- Global Nutrition Report. *The burden of Malnutrition* (2022). Available from: https://globalnutritionreport.org/reports/global-nutrition-report-2018/ burden-malnutrition/ (Cited Dec 2, 2022).
- Garenne M, Myatt M, Khara T, Dolan C, Briend A. Concurrent Wasting and Stunting Among Under-five Children in Niakhar, Senegal. *Matern Child Nutr* (2019) 15(2):e12736. doi:10.1111/mcn.12736

removing all identification information of the respondents. The nodal agency for collecting NFHS-5 data was the International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Mumbai, India. The protocol for the collection of NFHS-5 data was approved by institutional review boards of IIPS, India, and ORC Macro, USA.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

- McDonald CM, Olofin I, Flaxman S, Fawzi WW, Spiegelman D, Caulfield LE, et al. The Effect of Multiple Anthropometric Deficits on Child Mortality: Meta-Analysis of Individual Data in 10 Prospective Studies from Developing Countries. Am J Clin Nutr (2013) 97(4):896–901. doi:10.3945/ajcn.112.047639
- Khan J, Das SK. The burden of Anthropometric Failure and Child Mortality in India. Sci Rep (2020) 10(1):20991–16. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-76884-8
- 15. International Institute for Population Sciences. *National Family Health Survey* 5 2019-2021. Mumbai: IIPS (2021).
- Kumar C, PiyasaSaikia N. An Update on Explaining the Rural-Urban gap in Under-five Mortality in India. *BMC Public Health* (2022) 22(1):2093. doi:10. 1186/s12889-022-14436-7
- Lawless JF, Singhal K. Efficient screening of nonnormal regression models. Biometrics (1978) 34(2):318–27. doi:10.1186/s12889-022-14436-7
- Lawless JF, Singhal K. Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models. Biometrics (1978) 34:318–27. doi:10.2307/2530022
- Khara T, Mwangome M, Ngari M, Dolan C. Children Concurrently Wasted and Stunted: A Meta-Analysis of Prevalence Data of Children 6-59 Months from 84 Countries. *Matern Child Nutr* (2018) 14(2):e12516. doi:10.1111/mcn. 12516
- Ferreira HDS. Anthropometric Assessment of Children's Nutritional Status: a New Approach Based on an Adaptation of Waterlow's Classification. BMC Pediatr (2020) 20(1):65. doi:10.1186/s12887-020-1940-6
- Khaliq A, Wraith D, Miller Y, Nambiar-Mann S. Prevalence, Trends, and Socioeconomic Determinants of Coexisting Forms of Malnutrition Amongst Children under Five Years of Age in Pakistan. *Nutrients* (2021) 13(12):4566. doi:10.3390/nu13124566
- Saaka M, Galaa SZ. Relationships between Wasting and Stunting and Their Concurrent Occurrence in Ghanaian Preschool Children. J Nutr Metab (2016) 2016:4654920. doi:10.1155/2016/4654920
- 23. Thurstans S, Opondo C, Seal A, Wells J, Khara T, Dolan C, et al. Boys Are More Likely to Be Undernourished Than Girls: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Sex Differences in Undernutrition. *BMJ Glob Heal* (2020) 5(12): e004030. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-004030
- Marcoux A. Sex Differentials in Undernutrition: A Look at Survey Evidence. *Popul Dev Rev* (2002) 28(2):275–84. doi:10.1111/j.1728-4457. 2002.00275.x
- UN Women. The Gender Handbook for Humanitarian Action | Digital Library. New York, United States: UN Women (2022). Available from: https://www. unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2017/2/the-gender-handbookfor-humanitarian-action (cited Dec 3, 2022).
- Islam MS, Biswas T. Prevalence and Correlates of the Composite index of Anthropometric Failure Among Children under 5 Years Old in Bangladesh. Matern Child Nutr (2020) 16(2):e12930. doi:10.1111/mcn.12930
- Maitra C. A Review of Studies Examining the Link between Food Insecurity and Malnutrition. In: FAO Publications Catalogue 2022 (Rome: FAO) (2018). Available from: https://www.fao.org/publications/ card/es/c/CA1447EN/ (cited Dec 3, 2022).

- Behrman JR. Nutrition, Health, Birth Order and Seasonality: Intrahousehold Allocation Among Children in Rural India. J Dev Econ (1988) 28(1):43–62. doi:10.1016/0304-3878(88)90013-2
- 29. Caste definition and meaning. *Collins English Dictionary* (2022). Available from: https://www.collinsdictionary.com/us/dictionary/english/caste (cited Dec 3, 2022).
- Choudhury S, Shankar B, Aleksandrowicz L, Tak M, Dangour A. Caste-Based Inequality in Fruit and Vegetable Consumption inIndia. *Food Nutr Bull* (2021) 42(3):451–9. doi:10.1177/03795721211026807
- Thorat S, Sabharwal N. Discrimination and Children's Nutritional Status in India. *IDS Bull* (2009) 40:25–9. doi:10.1111/j.1759-5436.2009.00055.x
- Sabharwal NS. Caste, Religion and Malnutrition Linkages. Econ Polit Wkly (2011) 46(50), 16–18.
- 33. Roba AA, Assefa N, Dessie Y, Tolera A, Teji K, Elena H, et al. Prevalence and Determinants of Concurrent Wasting and Stunting and Other Indicators of Malnutrition Among Children 6-59 Months Old in Kersa, Ethiopia. *Matern Child Nutr* (2021) 17(3):e13172. doi:10.1111/ mcn.13172

Copyright © 2023 Khura, Mohanty, Gandhi, Patnaik, Mewara, Pradhan and Padhi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.