Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Muhammad Naveed, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Kailou Liu, Jiangxi Institute of Red Soil, China Peipei Li, Henan Agricultural University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Yu Li Vu liuu83110@163.com

RECEIVED 17 December 2022 ACCEPTED 19 May 2023 PUBLISHED 08 June 2023

CITATION

Liu Y, Zhang M, Xiong H, Li Y, Zhang Y, Huang X, Yang Y, Zhu H and Jiang T (2023) Influence of long-term fertilization on soil aggregates stability and organic carbon occurrence characteristics in karst yellow soil of Southwest China. *Front. Plant Sci.* 14:1126150. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1126150

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Zhang, Xiong, Li, Zhang, Huang, Yang, Zhu and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Influence of long-term fertilization on soil aggregates stability and organic carbon occurrence characteristics in karst yellow soil of Southwest China

Yanling Liu^{1,2}, Meng Zhang^{1,2}, Han Xiong^{1,2}, Yu Li^{1,2*}, Yarong Zhang^{1,2}, Xingcheng Huang^{1,2}, Yehua Yang^{1,2}, Huaqing Zhu^{1,2} and Taiming Jiang^{1,2}

¹Institute of Soil and Fertilizer, Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guiyang, Guizhou, China, ²Scientific Observing and Experimental Station of Arable Land Conservation and Agricultural Environment, Ministry of Agriculture, Guiyang, Guizhou, China

Current research has long focused on soil organic carbon and soil aggregates stability. However, the effects of different long-term fertilization on the composition of yellow soil aggregates and the characteristics of the occurrence of organic carbon in the karst region of Southwest China are still unclear. Based on a 25-year long-term located experiment on yellow soil, soil samples from the 0-20 cm soil layer were collected and treated with different fertilizers (CK: unfertilized control; NPK: chemical fertilizer; 1/4 M + 3/4 NP: 25% chemical fertilizer replaced by 25% organic fertilizer; 1/2 M + 1/2 NP: 50% chemical fertilizer replaced by organic fertilizer; and M: organic fertilizer). In water-stable aggregates, soil aggregates stability, total organic carbon (TOC), easily oxidized organic carbon (EOC), carbon preservation capacity (CPC), and carbon pool management index (CPMI) were analyzed. The findings demonstrated that the order of the average weight diameter (MWD), geometric mean diameter (GWD), and macro-aggregate content (R_{0.25}) of stable water aggregates was M > CK > 1/2M + 1/2NP > 1/4M + 3/4NP > NPK. The MWD, GWD, and R_{0.25} of NPK treatment significantly decreased by 32.6%, 43.2%, and 7.0 percentage points, respectively, compared to CK treatment. The order of TOC and EOC content in aggregates of different particle sizes was M > 1/2M +1/ 2NP > 1/4M +3/4NP> CK > NPK, and it increased as the rate of organic fertilizer increased. In macro-aggregates and bulk soil, the CPC of TOC (TOPC) and EOC (EOPC), as well as CPMI, were arranged as M > 1/2M +1/2NP > 1/4M +3/4NP> CK > NPK, but the opposite was true for micro-aggregates. In bulk soil treated with organic fertilizer, the TOPC, EOPC, and CPMI significantly increased by 27.4%-53.8%, 29.7%-78.1%, 29.7-82.2 percentage points, respectively, compared to NPK treatment. Redundancy analysis and stepwise regression analysis show that TOC was the main physical and chemical factor affecting the aggregates stability, and the TOPC in micro-aggregates has the most direct impact. In conclusion,

the primary cause of the decrease in SOC caused by the long-term application of chemical fertilizer was the loss of organic carbon in macro-aggregates. An essential method to increase soil nutrient supply and improve yellow soil productivity was to apply an organic fertilizer to increase aggregates stability, storage and activity of SOC in macro-aggregates.

KEYWORDS

long-term fertilization, yellow soil, aggregates stability, organic carbon, occurrence characteristics

1 Introduction

Soil organic carbon (SOC) is an important indicator of soil fertility, which is crucial for increasing soil productivity, stability, and ability to reduce the global greenhouse effect (Luan et al., 2021). Soil aggregates are the fundamental components of soil structure, and the quantity and distribution of water-stable aggregates can provide insight into the stability and anti-erosion abilities of a soil structure (Ayoubi et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2022). Additionally, soil aggregates play a significant role in the transformation and accumulation of SOC (Six et al., 2004; Bidisha et al., 2010). Soil aggregates can protect SOC, a crucial cementing component required to form aggregates (Kamran et al., 2021). Therefore, aggregation and the sequestration of SOC are strongly correlated.

The application of fertilizer is a significant factor in the formation, evolution, and characterization of soil aggregates and a major factor in SOC pool fluctuations (Mustafa et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021a). Several studies have demonstrated that applying organic fertilizers can improve soil aggregates stability and increase the SOC content in aggregates (Abiven et al., 2009; Guo et al., 2019; Luan et al., 2019). Additionally, it has been discovered that high dosages of organic fertilizers and organic-inorganic mixes can reduce macro-aggregates' stability and content (Zhang et al., 2020a). However, studies on the effects of chemical fertilizer application on soil aggregates and organic carbon content produced mixed results. According to some studies (Zhou et al., 2017), chemical fertilizer application decreased soil aggregates stability, but other studies claim that it increased soil aggregates stability and SOC stock (Adnan et al., 2020). Therefore, the findings addressing the effects of fertilizer treatment on the distribution and stability of soil aggregates and the distribution of SOC in aggregates vary according to the changes in soil types, climate, and crop (Possinger et al., 2020; Abiven et al., 2009).

Easily oxidized organic carbon (EOC) is an active organic carbon that may be directly used by soil organisms and affects the mineralization, migration, and degradation of exogenous carbon in the soil since it is the component of soil organic carbon that turns over most quickly (Ge et al., 2021). CPMI reflects the impact of external conditions on the changes in the quantity of EOC, and can comprehensively reflect the quality of SOC pool, the higher the CPMI, the higher the quality of SOC pool (Chaudhary et al., 2017; Ghosh et al., 2019). EOC and CPMI are more sensitive to changes in external environmental conditions such as land use transformation, fertilization management, agricultural field management than TOC (Wang et al., 2014). Therefore, understanding the EOC content and carbon pool management index (CPMI) variable characteristics in various particle size aggregates would help better understand SOC's stability mechanism. However, prior studies on the sequestration of organic carbon in soil aggregates mostly concentrated on the content of TOC (Liu et al., 2019; Qiu et al., 2022), and there was comparatively little research on the active organic carbon in aggregates.

In the karst region of Southwest China, yellow soil is one of the most prevalent soil types-the main limiting factors to its high yield are poor soil structure and low fertility. Previous studies have shown that soil aggregates and organic carbon were closely related to the productivity of yellow soil (Zhang et al., 2016). Whereas the research on organic carbon mainly focuses on organic carbon in bulk soil (Zhang et al., 2021b), there is still insufficient research on soil aggregates stability, their carbon sequestration capacity, and SOC quality, the distribution of aggregates and their interrelationships with SOC content and quality under long-term fertilization are still unclear. Therefore, this study was based on a 25-year long-term location experiment of yellow soil to investigate the effects of longterm application of chemical and organic fertilizers on the composition and stability of soil aggregates, analyze the SOC and EOC contents in soil aggregates, and finally assess the carbon sequestration capacity (CPC) and CPMI. It also explored the relationship between soil aggregates stability, SOC content, and quality, which can provide a reference basis for structural improvement and SOC enhancement of yellow soil.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site and materials

The experimental site was at the Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences in Guiyang, Guizhou province (106°07'E, 26°11'N). The test site was located at an altitude of 1071 m, with an average annual temperature of 15.3°C, annual sunshine of 1354 hours, relative humidity of 75.5%, a frost-free period of 270 days, and annual precipitation is 1100–1200 mm. The yellow soil type at this site was classified as Acrisol in the World Reference Base for

Soil Resources and was developed from the Triassic limestone and sand shale efflorescence. Prior to the long-term located experiment, which was started in 1995, the soil surface had the following physicochemical characteristics: a pH of 6.70, soil organic matter of 43.6 g·kg⁻¹, total nitrogen of 2.05 g·kg⁻¹, alkali-hydrolyzable nitrogen of 167.0 mg·kg⁻¹, available phosphorus of 17.0 mg·kg⁻¹, and available potassium of 109.0 mg·kg⁻¹.

2.2 Experimental design and management

The five fertilization treatments selected for this study are as follows: CK treatment (no fertilizer), NPK treatment (chemical fertilizer), 1/4M +3/4NPtreatment (25% organic fertilizer replacing 25% chemical nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer and all chemical potassium fertilizer), 1/2M +1/2NP treatment (50% organic fertilizer replacing 50% chemical nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer and all chemical potassium fertilizer), and M treatment (organic fertilizer). All treatments, except CK treatment, used the same amounts of nitrogen fertilizer (Table 1). The fertilizers used in the experiment included urea (N, 46%), calcium superphosphate (P₂O₅, 12%), and potassium chloride (K₂O, 60%). Cow manure was the organic fertilizer used in the experiment; it contained 104.0 g·kg ¹ of organic C, 2.7 g·kg⁻¹ of N, 1.3 g·kg⁻¹ of P₂O₅, and 6.0 g·kg⁻¹ of K₂O. Chemical nitrogen fertilizer was applied in two treatments during the seedling stage (40%) and the trumpet stage (60%), whereas phosphorus, potassium, and organic fertilizers were used as base fertilizers. The experiment used a maize monoculture that do not plant other crops after corn harvest. During the experiment, all agricultural activities were identical across all treatments except how fertilizer was applied.

2.3 Sampling and measurement

After the maize harvest in September 2019, soil samples were collected using a five-point sampling method from the 0-20 cm soil layer. Soil disturbance was minimized during soil sample collection to preserve the integrity of the soil structure. The soil samples were taken back to the laboratory, stripped of their roots, cut into small pieces along the natural structure, and finally air-dried for use. Bao's method determined the soil's chemical properties (Bao, 2000). A 1:2.5 extraction mixture (soil/water, w/v) was used to determine the soil's pH using a pH meter (FE20K, Mettler Toledo, Zurich, Switzerland). The potassium dichromate-external heating method determined the soil's total organic carbon (TOC). The Kjeldahl method was used for the total N. The ring knife method calculates the bulk density. The laser particle size analyzer (MS3000, Britain) was used to determine the clay content. The EOC content was determined using 333 mmol·L⁻¹ of the potassium permanganate oxidation method (Ge et al., 2021).

According to Li et al.'s (2020) method, soil mechanical-stable aggregates (MSAs) were performed. A vibrating sieve (GRINDER SS200) with an amplitude of 2.0 mm and a sieving time of 10 min was used to sieve a 400 g mixed soil sample with pore sizes of 5, 2, 1,

0.5, and 0.25 mm—the weight of the soil after sieving was determined for each pore size.

The soil's water-stable aggregates (WSAs) were identified using the wet-sieving method (Hong et al., 2021). In the water-stable aggregate instrument (Daiki DIK-2012), a 50 g air-dried soil sample was placed on top of the sieve set (5, 2, 1, 0.5, and 0.25 mm successively). The bucket was gradually filled with distilled water until the top of the sieve was submerged. It took 10 min of oscillation at a frequency of 30 times per minute and an amplitude of 40 mm to separate the soil WSAs. After carefully removing the sieves, the soil in each sieve was transferred into the aluminum box using small water streams. Calculations were done to determine the percentage content of the WSAs of various particle sizes after the separated samples were dried at 80°C and weighed.

2.4 Calculation formula

The dry sieving method's $R_{0.25}$ content and the wet sieving method's $R_{0.25}$ content were expressed as DR_{0.25} and WR_{0.25}, respectively (Sun et al., 2021). $R_{0.25}$, percentage of aggregate destruction (PAD), unstable aggregate index (E_{LT}), mean weight diameter (MWD), and geometric mean diameter (GWD) was calculated as follows:

$$R_{0.25} = Mr_{>0.25}/M_t \tag{1}$$

$$PAD = \frac{(DR_{0.25} - WR_{0.25})}{DR_{0.25}} \times 100\%$$
 (2)

$$E_{LT} = \frac{(M_t - WR_{0.25})}{M_t} \times 100\%$$
(3)

$$MWD = \sum (x_i \times \frac{M_i}{M_t})$$
 (4)

$$GMD = E_{xp} [\frac{(M_i \times \ln X_i)}{M_i}]$$
 (5)

where, $R_{0.25}$ denoted the content of aggregates bigger than 0.25 mm. Mt denoted the total weight of aggregates. Mr_{>0.25} denoted the weight of aggregates with particle size larger than 0.25 mm. X_i denoted mean diameter of soil aggregates (mm) and M_i denoted the weight of soil aggregates of each class (>5 mm, 2-5 mm, 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 mm, 0.25-0.5 mm).

According to Dixit et al. (2020), soil aggregate carbon sequestration capacity (CPC) and organic carbon pool management index (CPMI) were calculated. CPC, carbon pool index (CPI), carbon pool activity (CPA), carbon pool activity index (CPAI), and CPMI were calculated as follows:

Hardly oxidized organic carbon(HOC) = TOC - EOC (6)

$$CPC_i = SSAC_i \times W_i$$
 (7)

$$CPC_{bulk soil} = CPC_{macro-aggregates} + CPC_{micro-aggregates}$$
(8)

TABLE 1 Nutrient application rates for various fertilization treatments.

Treatments	Chemical fertilizer (kg·hm ⁻²)			Organic fertilizer (kg·hm ⁻²)			Total nutrients (kg∙hm ⁻²)			Organic C (t∙hm ⁻²)
	N	P_2O_5	K ₂ O	N	P_2O_5	K ₂ O	N	P_2O_5	K ₂ O	С
CK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NPK	165	82A.5	82.8	0	0	0	165	82.5	82.5	0
1/4M+3/4NP	123.8	62.7	0	41.2	19.8	91.6	165	82.5	91.6	1.58
1/2M+1/2NP	82.5	42.8	0	82.5	39.7	183.3	165	82.5	183.3	3.18
М	0	0	0	165	79.4	366.7	165	79.4	366.7	6.35

CK: unfertilized control; NPK: chemical fertilizer; 1/4M+3/4 NP: 25% chemical fertilizer replaced by 25% organic fertilizer; 1/2M+1/2NP: 50% chemical fertilizer replaced by 50% organic fertilizer; M: organic fertilizer.

where CPC_i denoted the carbon preservation capacity of Level i soil aggregates. $SSAC_i$ denoted the content of organic carbon (TOC, EOC, and HOC) in soil. W_i denoted the percentage of soil aggregates of each class (>5 mm, 2-5 mm, 1-2 mm, 0.5-1 mm, 0.25-0.5 mm). CPC _{bulk soil} denoted CPC in bulk soil, CPC _{macro-aggregates} denoted CPC in macro-aggregates, CPC _{micro-aggregates} denoted CPC in micro-aggregates.

$$CPI = (TOC in sample soil) / (TOC in reference soil)$$
(9)

$$CPA = EOC/NOC$$
 (10)

$$CPAI = (CPA \text{ of soil sample})/(CPA \text{ of reference soil})$$
 (11)

$$CPMI = CPI \times CPAI \times 100$$
(12)

In this study, the CK treatment soil was used as a reference soil for the calculation.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Excel 2010 was used to calculate the experimental data. The Canoco for Windows 4.5 program performed redundancy analysis (RDA). Statistical Package for Social Sciences was used for variance, correlation, and path analyses. One-way analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test (p< 0.05) were used to examine the differences between treatments.

3 Results

3.1 Soil physicochemical properties

NPK treatment significantly decreased soil organic matter by 15.5% compared to CK treatment (Table 2). Conversely, treatments with organic fertilizer significantly increased pH and organic matter by 7.5%–13.5% and 28.9%–52.1%, significantly decreased soil bulk density and clay content by 3.3%–10.0% and 1.7–3.7 percentage points, respectively.

3.2 Composition and soil aggregates stability

Figure 1 shows how MSAs and WSAs are distributed in yellow soil (Figure 1A). The proportion of aggregates gradually decreased with decreasing particle size in both MSAs and WSAs, which were predominate>5 mm aggregates. Interestingly, long-term fertilization had little effect on MSAs (Figure 1A) but significantly changed the distribution of WSAs (Figure 1B). In WSAs, the content of >5 mm aggregates of NPK treatment was significantly decreased by 21.6 percentage points compared to the CK treatment. In contrast, the content of 2–0.25 mm aggregates was significantly increased by 5.9–7.5 percentage points, and the content of<0.25 mm micro-aggregates was increased by 7.0 percentage points. Treatments with organic fertilizer significantly increased the content of >5 mm aggregates by 14.1–36.4 percentage points

TABLE 2 Soil physicochemical properties under long-term different fertilization treatments.

Treatments	рН	Organic matter/OM (g·kg ⁻¹)	Bulk density/BD (g·cm ⁻³)	Clay content/CC (%)
СК	$6.50 \pm 0.01 \text{ c}$	48.4 ± 0.33 c	1.23 ± 0.01 a	20.0 ± 1.60 a
NPK	6.50 ± 0.20 c	40.9 ± 2.56 d	1.20 ± 0.03 a	19.7 ± 0.51 a
1/4M+3/4NP	6.99 ± 0.10 b	56.3 ± 2.14 b	$1.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$	$18.0\pm0.89~b$
1/2M+1/2NP	7.25 ± 0.03 a	52.7 ± 3.56 b	$1.16 \pm 0.02 \text{ b}$	17.4 ± 0.68 b
М	7.38 ± 0.01 a	62.2 ± 3.65 a	1.08 ± 0.03 c	16.0 ± 1.82 c

Different lowercase letters in the same column indicated significant difference at p<0.05.



Effect of long-term fertilization on the distribution of mechanical-stable aggregates (MSAs, A) water-stable aggregate (WSAs, B). Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference at p<0.05.

compared to the NPK treatment, but they significantly decreased the content of 2–0.25 mm aggregates by 0.7–10.8 percentage points. The content of<0.25 mm micro-aggregates also significantly decreased by 5.2–8.9 percentage points.

Compared to CK treatment, the PAD and E_{LT} of NPK treatment significantly increased by 6.98 percentage points and 7.01 percentage points, respectively, whereas the MWD, GWD, and $WR_{0.25}$ significantly decreased by 32.6%, 43.2%, and 7.0 percentage points, respectively (Table 3). The MWD and GWD with 1/4M +3/

4NPand 1/2M +1/2NP treatments were significantly decreased when compared to CK treatment, whereas M treatment significantly increased them by 13.9% and 22.2%, respectively. Compared to NPK treatment, organic fertilizer treatments significantly decreased PAD and $E_{\rm LT}$ by 5.11–8.66 percentage points and 5.16–8.87 percentage points, respectively, whereas significantly increasing MWD, GWD, and WR_{0.25} by 28.7%– 69.1%, 39.9%–115.2%, and 5.2–86.9 percentage points. This indicated that long-term chemical fertilizers could decrease soil

TABLE 3	Soil aggregates	stability	under	long-term	different	fertilization	treatments.
---------	-----------------	-----------	-------	-----------	-----------	---------------	-------------

Treatments	Soil aggrega	nte	Soil water stable aggregates				
	PAD (%)	E _{LT} (%)	MWD (mm)	GMD (mm)	WR0.25 (%)		
СК	5.22 ± 0.57 bc	6.09 ± 0.57 bc	3.31 ± 0.08 b	2.43 ± 0.04 b	93.9 ± 1.52 ab		
NPK	12.2 ± 1.92 a	13.1 ± 1.93 a	$2.23 \pm 0.07 \text{ d}$	$1.38 \pm 0.07 \ e$	86.9 ± 1.58 c		
1/4M+3/4NP	7.09 ± 2.08 b	7.94 ± 1.87 b	2.87 ± 0.17 c	1.93 ± 0.17 d	92.1 ± 1.52 b		
1/2M+3/2NP	5.10 ± 1.50 bc	5.73 ± 1.43 bc	3.02 ± 0.10 c	2.17 ± 0.09 c	94.3 ± 1.17 ab		
М	3.54 ± 0.99 c	4.23 ± 1.20 c	3.77 ± 0.02 a	2.97 ± 0.12 a	95.8 ± 0.98 a		

Different lowercase letters in the same column indicated significant difference at p<0.05.

aggregates stability, whereas long-term application of organic fertilizers could increase soil aggregates stability.

3.3 Soil aggregate-associated TOC and EOC contents

In aggregates of various particle sizes, the contents of TOC (Figure 2A) and EOC (Figure 2B) were grouped in the following order: M > 1/2M + 1/2NP > 1/4M + 3/4NP > CK > NPK, which increased with the increase in organic fertilizer rates. The TOC content of the organic fertilizer treatments increased by 1.32%–31.2% in different particle sizes compared to the NPK treatment. The EOC content of the organic fertilizer treatments increased by 0.96%–56.4% in different particle sizes compared to the NPK treatment.

3.4 Water-stable aggregate-associated TOC stock

Long-term fertilization applications significantly affected the TOPC in soil water-stable aggregates (Table 4). When compared to CK treatment, the TOPC of NPK treatment was significantly

decreased by 22.4% in macro-aggregates (>0.25 mm), whereas TOPC was significantly increased by 69.7% in micro-aggregates (<0.25 mm). Moreover, compared to NPK treatment, the TOPC of treatments with organic fertilizer was significantly increased by 34.8%–69.6% in macro-aggregates but significantly decreased by 22.1%–50.4% in micro-aggregates. Additionally, the fluctuation pattern of TOPC in bulk soil was similar to that of macro-aggregates.

The TOC contribution rate (TOCR) in aggregates >5 mm was the highest (24.7%–60.7%) among all treatments (Figure 3). M treatment has the highest SOCR in aggregates >5 mm, NPK treatment has the lowest, and vice versa in aggregates<2 mm. NPK treatment significantly increased the TOCR in micro-aggregates by 6.78% compared to CK treatment. On the other hand, the TOCR in micro-aggregates of treatments with organic fertilizer significantly decreased by 5.07%–8.97% compared to NPK treatment, with the decline increasing with the rate of organic fertilizer.

3.5 Carbon pool composition of water-stable aggregates

Compared to the NPK treatment, organic fertilizer treatments significantly increased the CPC of EOC (EOPC) by 39.1%–97.8% in



Effect of long-term fertilization on the content of TOC (A) and EOC (B) in yellow soil. Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference at p<0.05.

Treatments		TOPC in bulk soil						
	>5 mm	5-2 mm	2-1 mm	1-0.5 mm	0.5-0.25 mm	<0.25 mm	>0.25 mm	(g⋅kg⁻¹)
СК	11.2 ± 0.56 b	5.45 ± 1.85 a	3.06 ± 0.56 b	2.60 ± 0.05 bc	1.35 ± 0.06 cd	1.65 ± 0.25 bc	23.7 ± 1.90 b	25.4 ± 2.14 bc
NPK	$5.26 \pm 0.55 c$	3.48 ± 0.68 a	3.86 ± 0.30 ab	3.44 ± 0.40 a	2.38 ± 0.19 a	2.80 ± 0.41 a	18.4 ± 1.81 c	21.2 ± 1.57 c
1/4M+3/4NP	10.3 ± 2.17 b	4.82 ± 0.77 a	4.03 ± 0.41 ab	$3.38 \pm 0.53 \text{ ab}$	2.27 ± 0.41 ab	2.19 ± 0.35 b	24.8 ± 3.36 b	27.0 ± 3.22 b
1/2M+1/2NP	10.7 ± 0.28 b	6.10 ± 1.03 a	4.98 ± 0.87 a	3.14 ± 0.67 ab	1.79 ± 0.27 bc	$1.72 \pm 0.30 \text{ bc}$	26.7 ± 2.67 ab	28.5 ± 2.60 ab
М	19.8 ± 0.46 a	5.26 ± 2.24 a	2.98 ± 0.71 b	2.06 ± 0.24 c	1.19 ± 0.30 d	1.39 ± 0.27 c	31.2 ± 2.56 a	32.6 ± 2.31 a

TABLE 4 Soil aggregate-associated total organic carbon preservation capacity under long-term different fertilization treatments.

TOPC represent capacity the CPC of TOC. Different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant difference (p<0.05).

macro-aggregates and 29.7%–78.1% in bulk soil, but decreased by 29.8%–48.9% in micro-aggregates (Table 5). Similarly, the CPC of HOC (HOPC) of treatments with organic fertilizer significantly increased by 34.0%–62.1% in macro-aggregates and 26.7%–47.7% in bulk soil, but decreased by 20.6%–50.6% in micro-aggregates. HOC comprised the majority of TOC, and the HOC/TOC ratio was >80%. For the M treatment, macro-aggregates, micro-aggregates, and bulk soil had the highest EOC/TOC, significantly increased by 3.1–4.7 percentage points compared to CK treatment.

3.6 Soil CPMI of water-stable aggregates

CPI of M treatment significantly increased by 70.5% in macroaggregates and 54.8% in bulk soil, but it decreased by 50.3% in micro-aggregates compared to NPK treatment (Table 6). The M treatment had the highest CPA and CPAI of all the treatments, but the CK treatment had the lowest CPA and CPAI. In macroaggregates and bulk soil, the CPMI was arranged in the following order: M> 1/2M + 1/2NP > 1/4M + 3/4NP> CK > NPK, but the opposite in micro-aggregates. The CPMI of the organic fertilizer treatment was significantly increased than that of the NPK treatment by 40.1%-104.8% in macro-aggregates and 30.6%-



84.5% in bulk soil, but it was significantly decreased by 32.7%-48.0% in micro-aggregates.

3.7 Relationship between aggregates stability and organic carbon

The redundancy analysis findings revealed significant differences among various treatments in soil aggregates' composition and stability characteristics. Similarities between CK and NPK, 1/2M + 1/2NP, 1/4 M + 3/4 NP, and M were found (Figure 4). The physicochemical properties of the soil could explain the composition and stability of water-stable aggregates. The overall explanation rate was 51.4%, with RDA1 accounting for 47.9% and RDA2 accounting for 2.9%. The main environmental factor influencing the composition and stability of water-stable aggregates was organic matter (OM). OM was positively correlated with the content of >5 mm aggregates, GMD, and WMD and negatively correlated with the content of<2 mm all particle size aggregates, PAD, and E_{LT} .

Soil aggregates stability and the organic carbon associated with aggregates were analyzed for association (Figure 5). The findings demonstrated that TOPC, EOPC, HOPC, and CMPI in the aggregates significantly or highly significantly correlated with soil aggregates stability. Moreover, soil aggregates stability positively correlated with CPC in macro-aggregates and bulk soil but negatively correlated with CPC in micro-aggregates. Additionally, MiTOPC also had the largest direct path coefficient for the soil aggregates stability index, which suggested that it had a significant direct impact on soil aggregates stability, according to the results of the stepwise regression analysis.

4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of long-term fertilization on the composition and soil aggregates stability

According to aggregate hierarchical theory, aggregates can be classified as macro-aggregates (>0.25 mm), micro-aggregates (<0.25–0.053 mm), and silt-clay particles (<0.053 mm) (Oades and Waters, 1991). Larger (>2 mm) and smaller (2–0.25 mm) macro-aggregates make up macro-aggregates (Brown et al., 2014).

Aggregate particle size	Treatments	EOPC (g∙kg⁻¹)	HOPC (g⋅kg⁻¹)	EOC/TOC (%)	HOC/TOC (%)
	СК	3.57 ± 0.25 d	20.1 ± 1.65 b	15.1 ± 0.18 b	84.9 ± 0.18 a
	NPK	3.12 ± 0.15 d	15.3 ± 1.96 c	17.1 ± 2.59 ab	82.9 ± 2.59 ab
Macro-aggregate	1/4M+3/4NP	4.34 ± 0.33 c	20.5 ± 3.04 b	17.6 ± 1.16 ab	82.4 ± 1.16 ab
	1/2M+1/2NP	5.00 ± 0.37 b	21.7 ± 2.31 ab	18.7 ± 0.53 a	81.3 ± 0.53 b
	М	6.17 ± 0.20 a	24.8 ± 2.41 a	19.8 ± 1.17 a	80.2 ± 1.17 b
	СК	0.23 ± 0.04 b	1.42 ± 0.25 bc	14.1 ± 2.81 b	85.9 ± 2.81 a
	NPK	0.47 ± 0.07 a	2.33 ± 0.35 a	16.9 ± 0.81 ab	83.1 ± 0.81 b
Micro-aggregate	1/4M+3/4NP	0.33 ± 0.05 b	1.85 ± 0.31 ab	15.2 ± 1.20 ab	84.8 ± 1.20 ab
	1/2M+1/2NP	0.26 ± 0.06 b	1.43 ± 0.24 bc	16.6 ± 0.66 ab	83.4 ± 0.66 ab
	М	$0.24\pm0.05~b$	$(g\cdot kg^{-1})$ $(\%)$ $(\%)$ 20.1 ± 1.65 b 15.1 ± 0.18 b 84.9 ± 0.18 a 15.3 ± 1.96 c 17.1 ± 2.59 ab 82.9 ± 2.59 ab 20.5 ± 3.04 b 17.6 ± 1.16 ab 82.4 ± 1.16 ab 21.7 ± 2.31 ab 18.7 ± 0.53 a 81.3 ± 0.53 b 24.8 ± 2.41 a 19.8 ± 1.17 a 80.2 ± 1.17 b 1.42 ± 0.25 bc 14.1 ± 2.81 b 85.9 ± 2.81 a 2.33 ± 0.35 a 16.9 ± 0.81 ab 83.1 ± 0.81 b 1.85 ± 0.31 ab 15.2 ± 1.20 ab 84.8 ± 1.20 ab 1.43 ± 0.24 bc 16.6 ± 0.66 ab 83.4 ± 0.66 ab 1.15 ± 0.22 c 17.2 ± 0.51 a 82.9 ± 2.13 ab 21.6 ± 1.90 bc 15.0 ± 0.36 c 85.0 ± 0.36 a 17.6 ± 1.74 c 17.1 ± 2.13 bc 82.9 ± 2.13 ab 22.3 ± 2.90 ab 17.4 ± 0.95 b 82.6 ± 0.95 b 23.2 ± 2.24 ab 18.6 ± 0.49 ab 81.4 ± 0.49 bc 26.0 ± 2.22 a 19.7 ± 1.12 a 80.0 ± 1.12 c		
	СК	3.80 ± 0.25 d	21.6 ± 1.90 bc	15.0 ± 0.36 c	85.0 ± 0.36 a
	NPK	3.60 ± 0.18 d	17.6 ± 1.74 c	17.1 ± 2.13 bc	82.9 ± 2.13 ab
Bulk soil	1/4M+3/4NP	4.67 ± 0.32 c	22.3 ± 2.90 ab	17.4 ± 0.95 b	82.6 ± 0.95 b
	1/2M+1/2NP	5.29 ± 0.37 b	23.2 ± 2.24 ab	18.6 ± 0.49 ab	81.4 ± 0.49 bc
	М	6.41 ± 0.16 a	26.0 ± 2.22 a	19.7 ± 1.12 a	80.0 ± 1.12 c

TABLE 5 Changes of soil organic carbon pool composition in aggregates under long-term different fertilization treatments.

EOPC represents the CPC of EOC. HOPC represents the CPC of HOC, EOC represents easily oxidized organic carbon. HOC represents hardly oxidized organic carbon. Different lowercase letters in the same column indicated significant difference at p<0.05.

The stability of soil aggregates was decreased in this study due to the long-term application of chemical fertilizer, which caused >5 mm larger macro-aggregates to decompose into smaller macro-aggregates and micro-aggregates. The reason may be related to

the significant decrease in soil organic matter content and the formation of organic binders following long-term chemical fertilizers application (Yu et al., 2012). However, the long-term application of organic fertilizers may promote the transformation of

Aggregate particle size	Treatments	СРІ	СРА	CPAI	CPMI
	СК	$1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ bc}$	$0.18 \pm 0.00 \text{ b}$	$1.00~\pm~0.00~b$	$100.0 \pm 0.0 c$
	NPK	$0.78 \pm 0.02 \text{ c}$	0.21 ± 0.04 a	1.17 ± 0.19 ab	89.9 ± 11.5 c
Macro-aggregate	1/4M+3/4NP	1.06 ± 0.14 abc	0.21 ± 0.02 ab	1.21 ± 0.12 ab	126.0 ± 6.7 b
	1/2M+1/2NP	1.13 ± 0.23 ab	0.23 ± 0.01 a	1.30 ± 0.09 a	147.0 ± 19.7 b
	М	1.33 ± 0.24 a	0.25 ± 0.02 a	1.40 ± 0.16 a	184.1 ± 12.1 a
	СК	$1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ c}$	0.16 ± 0.05 b	1.00 ± 0.00 a	100.0 ± 0.0 b
	NPK	1.69 ± 0.09 a	0.20 ± 0.01 a	1.29 ± 0.52 a	217.3 ± 77.0 a
Micro-aggregate	1/4M+3/4NP	1.33 ± 0.29 b	0.18 ± 0.01 ab	1.12 ± 0.28 a	146.2 ± 3.5 b
	1/2M+1/2NP	$1.04 \pm 0.04 \text{ c}$	0.20 ± 0.00 ab	1.26 ± 0.46 a	131.7 ± 53.6 b
	М	0.84 ± 0.13 c	0.21 ± 0.01 a	CPAICPAICPMI $\pm 0.00 \text{ b}$ $1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ b}$ $100.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ c}$ $\pm 0.04 \text{ a}$ $1.17 \pm 0.19 \text{ ab}$ $89.9 \pm 11.5 \text{ c}$ $\pm 0.02 \text{ ab}$ $1.21 \pm 0.12 \text{ ab}$ $126.0 \pm 6.7 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.30 \pm 0.09 \text{ a}$ $147.0 \pm 19.7 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.02 \text{ a}$ $1.40 \pm 0.16 \text{ a}$ $184.1 \pm 12.1 \text{ a}$ $\pm 0.05 \text{ b}$ $1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ a}$ $100.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.29 \pm 0.52 \text{ a}$ $217.3 \pm 77.0 \text{ a}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.29 \pm 0.52 \text{ a}$ $112.9 \pm 58.4 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.32 \pm 0.50 \text{ a}$ $112.9 \pm 58.4 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ b}$ $1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ c}$ $100.0 \pm 0.0 \text{ d}$ $\pm 0.03 \text{ a}$ $1.17 \pm 0.12 \text{ bc}$ $97.3 \pm 8.2 \text{ d}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ ab}$ $1.20 \pm 0.13 \text{ abc}$ $127.1 \pm 6.5 \text{ c}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.30 \pm 0.11 \text{ ab}$ $145.7 \pm 15.2 \text{ b}$ $\pm 0.01 \text{ a}$ $1.39 \pm 0.18 \text{ a}$ $179.5 \pm 6.8 \text{ a}$	
	СК	$1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ bc}$	$0.18 \pm 0.01 \text{ b}$	$1.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ c}$	100.0 ± 0.0 d
	NPK	$0.84 \pm 0.01 \text{ c}$	0.23 ± 0.03 a	1.17 ± 0.12 bc	97.3 ± 8.2 d
Bulk soil	1/4M+3/4NP	1.08 ± 0.15 abc	0.22 ± 0.01 ab	1.20 ± 0.13 abc	127.1 ± 6.5 c
	1/2M+1/2NP	1.13 ± 0.21 ab	0.24 ± 0.01 a	1.30 ± 0.11 ab	145.7 ± 15.2 b
	М	1.30 ± 0.21 a	0.25 ± 0.02 a	1.39 ± 0.18 a	179.5 ± 6.8 a

TABLE 6 Soil carbon pool management index of aggregates with different particle sizes under long-term different fertilization treatments.

Different lowercase letters in the same column indicate significant differences at p<0.05 in different treatments of the same aggregate particle size.



smaller macro-aggregates and micro-aggregates into >5 mm larger macro-aggregates, improving the stability of soil aggregates. For this reason, organic fertilizer applications could provide the soil with exogenous organic matter, increase soil microbial activity and plant organic residues, and provide the cementing material required for soil aggregates formation (Mustafa et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2022).

4.2 Effects of long-term fertilization on the composition of organic carbon pool in yellow soil aggregates

It has been extensively discovered that soil carbon conservation capacity and carbon inputs are positively correlated (Zhang et al., 2010). However, some studies have shown that the soil TOC content does not significantly increase with high carbon inputs, and there is a phenomenon of carbon saturation (Zhang et al., 2012). Results of other studies have shown that the TOC content was positively correlated with carbon input in macro-aggregates and bulk soil. In contrast, the TOC content was not insensitive to carbon input in micro-aggregate (Di et al., 2021). This study found that SOC had not yet reached saturation because the TOC content increased as the rate of organic fertilizer was increased in aggregates of different particle sizes and bulk soil. This indicates that the carbon sequestration potential of yellow soil was high.

EOC and CPMI can more accurately reflect changes in soil fertility and the transformation of the SOC pool. This study showed that long-term application of organic fertilizer could significantly increase EOPC, EOC/TOC, and CPMI in bulk soil. The reason could be that long-term application of organic fertilizer improves soil fertility, promotes the growth of above-ground plants, and increases the return of organic plant residues, which further promotes the accumulation of EOC and improves the quality of soil carbon pool (Chen et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2021). Applying chemical fertilizers improves the return of plant



FIGURE 5

Correlation coefficient and path coefficient between soil aggregate stability and soil organic carbon pool. MITOPC represents TOPC in micro-aggregate. MaTOPC represents TOPC in macro-aggregate. BTOPC represents TOPC represents EOPC in macro-aggregate. BEOPC represents EOPC in bulk soil. MiEOPC represents HOPC in macro-aggregate. BEOPC represents EOPC in bulk soil. MiHOPC represents HOPC in micro-aggregate. MAHOPC represents HOPC in macro-aggregate. BHOPC represents HOPC in bulk soil. MiCPMI represents CPMI in micro-aggregate. MACPMI represents CPMI in macro-aggregate. BCPMI represents CPMI in bulk soil. MiEOPC represents EOC/TOC in macro-aggregate. MACPMI represents CPMI in macro-aggregate. BCPMI represents CPMI in bulk soil. MiEOCP represents EOC/TOC in macro-aggregate. BEOCP represents EOC/TOC in macro-aggregate. BEOCP represents EOC/TOC in bulk soil. ** represents significant at p<0.01; ns, no significant. The values in parentheses represent the path coefficient.

organic residues by increasing plant biomass but also consumes active organic carbon in the soil for crop growth. Therefore, there is no significant impact on EOC compare to CK treatment (Sarker et al., 2018). According to some studies, the SOC pool is more unstable the higher the soil EOC, which decreases TOC (Yuan et al., 2021). In this study, there was a significant positive correlation between TOC and EOC, possibly due to the increase in both EOC and HOC caused by exogenous organic carbon input. This indicates that the application of organic fertilizers could improve the activity of SOC pool, and does not reduce its stability.

The contribution rate of macro-aggregates combined organic carbon to TOC in this study, under various fertilization modes, was >86.7%, indicating that macro-aggregates combined organic carbon was the predominant component of SOC. So the macro-aggregates associated with organic carbon were the predominant loss type when long-term application of chemical fertilizer, whereas it's the predominant storage type when long-term application of organic fertilizer. According to the theory of multistage aggregation (larger aggregates are composed of smaller aggregates and organic cementitious substances), the SOC sequestration law in macroaggregates of each treatment was consistent with the SOC sequestration law in bulk soil (Huang et al., 2022). Therefore, long-term chemical fertilizer application significantly increased micro-aggregate content, improving the TOPC in microaggregates and their contribution to TOC in bulk soil. The reason was that micro-aggregates have a higher specific surface area, which could increase aggregates' CPC (Zhang et al., 2020b). On the other hand, insufficient external organic carbon supply might promote the decomposition of SOC, which would then trigger the first decomposition of macro-aggregates combined organic carbon, lowering the TOPC in those macro-aggregates (Mustafa et al., 2021). Therefore, long-term application of organic fertilizer significantly improved the TOPC in macro-aggregates and its contribution rate to TOC in bulk soil because exogenous organic matter increased aggregate organic cement and promoted the transformation of small aggregates to large aggregates (Karami et al., 2012).

4.3 Relationship between soil aggregates stability and organic carbon pool

Agglomeration, which can protect organic carbon, and the preservation of soil organic carbon were closely associated, whereas the presence of organic carbon promoted the formation and stability of aggregates (Xu and Wang, 2017; Cao et al., 2021). According to the RDA findings in this study, the amount of soil organic matter (OM) had the greatest impact on the soil aggregates stability. Meanwhile, the stability of soil aggregates was positively correlated with CPC in macro-aggregates and bulk soil and negatively correlated with CPC in micro-aggregates. This suggested that macro-aggregates were primarily responsible for the physical protection of organic carbon provided by aggregates. Organic carbon increases the capacity for carbon fixation and aggregate stability by causing small particle aggregates to bond into large particle aggregates (Wen et al., 2020). Additionally, some studies have shown that soil active carbon can bind soil particles together and promote the formation of soil aggregates (Xu and Wang, 2017). The findings of this study indicated that the soil aggregates stability was significantly positively correlated with TOPC and EOPC, but had no significant correlation with EOC/ TOC. However, some study suggested that organic carbon addition affected soil aggregates stability by changing EOC/TOC, not by TOC (Wang et al., 2022).

The combined organic carbon in micro-aggregates primarily results from low-activity microbial turnover, which may impact soil nutrient availability and limit vegetation growth (Edwards and Bremner, 2010). While plant wastes are the primary source of combined organic carbon in macro-aggregates, which has a high activity and is easy for plants to absorb and use (Mizuta et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2021). Therefore, applying organic fertilizer, biochar (Islam et al., 2021), and straw (Ndzelu et al., 2020) to agricultural production might promote the formation of macroaggregates, increase the stability of soil aggregates, and their capacity to sequester carbon increase crop productivity (Mustafa et al., 2021).

Additionally, the formation of aggregates and the fixation of organic carbon are significantly influenced by soil microorganisms (Duan et al., 2021; Han et al., 2021). Therefore, it will be necessary to expand the study on the characteristics of soil microbial changes in different aggregates better to understand the protective mechanism of aggregates on organic carbon.

5 Conclusion

SOC was the most important factor affecting soil aggregates stability, and it was mainly stored in macro-aggregates. Long-term application of chemical fertilizer could reduce the soil aggregates stability, storage and activity of SOC in macro-aggregates. Whereas combined application of organic fertilizer could reduce the adverse effects of chemical fertilizer, and the higher the organic fertilizer rate, the better the effect. Therefore, to increase soil nutrient availability and productivity in yellow soil, long-term application of chemical fertilizer alone should be avoided in agricultural production and replaced with a combination of chemical and organic fertilizers.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

YLL designed the study and wrote the manuscript. YLL, YL, YZ, XH, YY, HZ, and TJ performed the experiments. YLL, MZ, and HX interpreted the results of the experiments and edited and revised the manuscript. YL approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32060302), the National Major Agricultural Science and Technology Projects (NK2022180303), the Science and Technology Innovation Special Project of Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. [2023]13), the Germplasm Resources Special Project of Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. [2023]12), the Youth Science and Technology Fund of Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. [2021]12 and [2022]20), the Technological Innovation of the Guizhou Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. [2022]09), and the Post subsidy project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. [2021]52).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/fpls.2023.1126150

References

Abiven, S., Menasseri, S., and Chenu, C. (2009). The effects of organic inputs over time on soil aggregate stability - a literature analysis. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41 (1), 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.09.015

Adnan, M., Xu, M. G., Syed, A. A. S., Muhammad, M. A., Sun, N., Wang, B. R., et al. (2020). Soil aggregation and soil aggregate stability regulate organic carbon and nitrogen storage in a red soil of southern China. *J. Environ. Manage.* 270, 110894. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110894

Ayoubi, S., Mirbagheri, Z., and Mosaddeghi, M. R. (2020). Soil organic carbon physical fractions and aggregate stability influenced by land use in humid region of northern Iran. *Int. Agrophys.* 34 (3), 343–353. doi: 10.31545/intagr/125620

Bao, S. D. (2000). Soil and agricultural chemistry analysis (Beijing: China Agricultural Press).

Bidisha, M., Joerg, R., and Yakov, K. (2010). Effects of aggregation processes on distribution of aggregate size fractions and organic c content of a long-term fertilized soil. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 46 (6), 365–370. doi: 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2010.08.001

Brown, K. H., Bach, E. M., Drijber, R. A., Hofmockel, K. S., Jeske, E. S., Sawyer, J. E., et al. (2014). A long-term nitrogen fertilizer gradient has little effect on soil organic matter in a high-intensity maize production system. *Global Change Biol.* 20, 1339–1350. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12519

Cao, S., Zhou, Y. Z., Zhou, Y. Y., Zhou, X., and Zhou, W. J. (2021). Soil organic carbon and soil aggregate stability associated with aggregate fractions in a chronosequence of citrus orchards plantations. *J. Environ. Manage.* 293, 112847. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112847

Chaudhary, S., Dheri, G. S., and Brar, B. S. (2017). Long-term effects of NPK fertilizers and organic manures on carbon stabilization and management index under rice-wheat cropping system. *Soil Tillage Res.* 166, 59–66. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2016.10.005

Chen, Y. J., Ren, K., Su, J. E., He, X., Zhao, G. K., Hu, B. B., et al. (2019). Rotation and organic fertilizers stabilize soil water-stable aggregates and their associated carbon and nitrogen in flue-cured tobacco production. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 20 (1), 192–205. doi: 10.1007/s42729-019-00118-8

Di, Y. J., Liu, X. F., Du, Z. L., Xiao, X. P., Yang, G. L., and Ren, T. S. (2021). Influences of long-term organic and chemical fertilization on soil aggregation and associated organic carbon fractions in a red paddy soil. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 22 (10), 1129–1138. doi: 10.13930/j.cnki.cjea.130121

Dixit, A. K., Rai, A. K., Prasad, M., Choudhary, M., Kumar, S., Srivastava, M. K., et al. (2020). Long-term fertilization effects on carbon pools and carbon management index of loamy soil under grass - forage legumes mixture in semi-arid environment. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci 66(10), 1373–1383. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2019.1670813

Duan, Y., Chen, L., Zhang, J. B., Li, D. M., Han, X. R., Zhu, B., et al. (2021). Longterm fertilisation reveals close associations between soil organic carbon composition and microbial traits at aggregate scales. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 306, 107169. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2020.107169

Edwards, A. P., and Bremner, J. M. (2010). Microaggregates in soils1. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 18 (1), 64–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.1967.tb01488.x

Ge, Z., Li, S. Y., Bol, R., Zhu, P., Peng, C., An, T. T., et al. (2021). Differential longterm fertilization alters residue-derived labile organic carbon fractions and microbial community during straw residue decomposition. *Soil Tillage Res.* 213, 105120. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2021.105120

Ghosh, B. N., Meena, V. S., Singh, R. J., Alam, N. M., Patra, S., Bhattacharyya, R., et al. (2019). Effects of fertilization on soil aggregation, carbon distribution and carbon management index of maize-wheat rotation in the north-western Indian Himalayas. *Ecol. Indic.* 105, 415–424. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.02.050

Guo, Z. C., Zhang, J. B., Fan, J., Yang, X. Y., Yi, Y. L., Han, X. R., et al. (2019). Does animal manure application improve soil aggregation? insights from nine long-term fertilization experiments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 660, 1029–1037. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.051

Kamran, M., Huang, L., Nie, J., Geng, M. J., Lu, Y. H., Liao, Y. L., et al. (2021). Effect of reduced mineral fertilization (NPK) combined with green manure on aggregate stability and soil organic carbon fractions in a fluvo-aquic paddy soil. *Soil Tillage Res* 211, 105005. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2021.105005

Han, S., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Luo, X. S., Liu, Y. R., Nostrand, J. D., Chen, W. L., et al. (2021). Soil aggregate size-dependent relationships between microbial functional diversity and multifunctionality. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 154, 10813. doi: 10.1016/ j.soilbio.2021.108143

Hong, Y., Zhao, D., Zhang, F., Shen, G., Yuan, Y., Gao, Y., et al. (2021). Soil waterstable aggregates and microbial community under long-term tillage in black soil of northern China. *Ecotoxicology* 30 (8), 1754–1768. doi: 10.1007/s10646-020-02317-x

Huang, X. L., Jia, Z. X., Jiao, X. Y., Wang, J. L., and Huang, X. F. (2022). Long-term manure applications to increase carbon sequestration and macroaggregate-stabilized carbon. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 174, 108827. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108827

Huang, R., Liu, J., Wang, Z. F., and Gao, M. (2019). Effects of different soil amendments application on soil aggregate stability and soil consistency under wetting and drying altered planting system. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 50 (18), 2263–2277. doi: 10.1080/00103624.2019.1659296

Islam, M. U., Jiang, F. H., Guo, Z. C., and Peng, X. H. (2021). Does biochar application improve soil aggregation? *A. meta-analysis Soil Tillage Res.* 209, 104926. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2020.104926

Karami, A., Homaee, M., Afzalinia, S., Ruhipour, H., and Basirat, S. (2012). Organic resource management: impacts on soil aggregate stability and other soil physico-chemical properties. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 148, 22–28. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2011.10.021

Li, T. T., Zhang, Y. L., Bei, S. K., Li, X. L., Reinsch, S., Zhang, H. Y., et al. (2020). Contrasting impacts of manure and inorganic fertilizer applications for nine years on soil organic carbon and its labile fractions in bulk soil and soil aggregates. *CATENA* 194, 104739. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2020.104739

Liu, K. L., Huang, J., Li, D. M., Yu, X. C., Ye, H. C., Hu, H. W., et al. (2019). Comparison of carbon preservation efficiency in soil aggregates between upland and paddy soils in a red soil region of China. *J. Integr. Agric.* 18, 1348–1359. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62076-3

Luan, H. A., Gao, W., Huang, S. W., Tang, J. W., Li, M. Y., Zhang, H. Z., et al. (2019). Partial substitution of chemical fertilizer with organic amendments affects soil organic carbon composition and stability in a greenhouse vegetable production system. *Soil Tillage Res.* 191, 185–196. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2019.04.009

Luan, H. A., Yuan, S., Gao, W., Tang, J. W., Li, R. N., Zhang, H. Z., et al. (2021). Changes in organic c stability within soil aggregates under different fertilization patterns in a greenhouse vegetable field. *J. Integr. Agric.* 20 (10), 2758–2771. doi: 10.1016/s2095-3119(21)63646-8

Ma, Y., Cheng, X. Q., Kang, F. F., and Han, H. R. (2022). Dynamic characteristics of soil aggregate stability and related carbon and nitrogen pools at different developmental stages of plantations in northern China. *J. Environ. Manage.* 316, 115283. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.115283

Mizuta, K., and Sato, S. (2015). Soil aggregate formation and stability induced by starch and cellulose. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 87, 90–96. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.04.011

Mustafa, A., Xu, H., Shah, S. A. A., Abrar, M. M., Maitlo, A. A., Kubar, K. A., et al. (2021). Long-term fertilization alters chemical composition and stability of aggregateassociated organic carbon in a Chinese red soil: evidence from aggregate fractionation, c mineralization, and 13C NMR analyses. *J. Soils Sediments* 21 (7), 2483–2496. doi: 10.1007/s11368-021-02944-9

Ndzelu, B. S., Dou, S., and Zhang, X. W. (2020). Corn straw return can increase labile soil organic carbon fractions and improve water-stable aggregates in haplic cambisol. *J. Arid. Land* 12 (6), 1018–1030. doi: 10.1007/s40333-020-0024-7

Oades, J. M., and Waters, A. G. (1991). Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 29, 815–828. doi: 10.1071/SR9910815

Possinger, A. R., Zachman, M. J., Enders, A., Levin, B. D.A., Muller, D. A., Kourkoutis, L. F., et al. (2020). Organo-organic and organo-mineral interfaces in soil at the nanometer scale. *Nat. Comm* 11(1), 6103. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19792-9

Qiu, S. J., Nie, J., Long, S. P., Lu, Y. H., Zhao, S. C., Xu, X. P., et al. (2022). Aggregate mass and carbon stocks in a paddy soil after long-term application of chemical or organic fertilizers. *Soil Use Manage*. 38, 1564–1577. doi: 10.1111/sum.12807

Sarker, T. C., Incerti, G., Spaccini, R., Piccolo, A., Mazzoleni, S., and Bonanomi, G. (2018). Linking organic matter chemistry with soil aggregate stability: insight from 13C NMR spectroscopy. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 117, 175–184. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.011

Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., and Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil Tillage Res.* 79 (1), 7–31. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2004.03.008

Sun, Q., Meng, J., Lan, Y., Shi, G. H., Yang, X., Cao, D. Y., et al. (2021). Long-term effects of biochar amendment on soil aggregate stability and biological binding agents in brown earth. *Catena* 205, 105460. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2021.105460

Tian, S. Y., Zhu, B. J., Yin, R., Wang, M. W., Jiang, Y. J., Zhang, C. Z., et al. (2021). Organic fertilization promotes crop productivity through changes in soil aggregation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 165, 1085333. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108533

Wang, C., Qiu, J. C., Li, J. H., Lu, Y., Li, B., Tang, X., et al. (2022). Cts of banana stem and its biochar on soil aggregates and carbon pool management in paddy soilcultivated for double cropping. *J. Agro-Environ. Sci.* 41 (3), 537–546. doi: 10.11654/jaes.2021-0766

Wang, Q., Wang, Y., Wang, Q., and Liu, J. (2014). Impacts of 9 years of a new conservational agricultural management on soil organic carbon fractions. *Soil Tillage Res.* 143, 1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2014.05.004

Wen, Y. J., Tang, Y. F., Wen, J., Wang, Q., Bai, L. Y., Wang, Y. N., et al. (2020). Variation of intra-aggregate organic carbon affects aggregate formation and stability during organic manure fertilization in a fluvo-aquic soil. *Soil Use Manage* 37, 151–163. doi: 10.1111/sum.12676

Xu, X. R., and Wang, J. K. (2017). A review on different stabilized mechanisms of soilaggregates and organic carbon. *Chin. J. Soil Sci.* 48 (6), 1523–1529. doi: 10.19336/j.cnki.trtb.2017.06.35

Yu, H. Y., Ding, W. X., Luo, J. F., Geng, R. L., and Cai, Z. C. (2012). Long-term application of organic manure and mineral fertilizers on aggregation and aggregate-associated carbon in a sandy loam soil. *Soil tillage Res.* 124, 170–177. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2012.06.011

Yuan, J. X., Yang, B. J., Hu, Q. L., Tang, H. Y., Li, S. J., and Huang, G. Q. (2021). Effects of paddy field cropping patterns on soil organic carbon and carbon pool management index in the middle reaches of the Yangtze river. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 29 (7), 1205–1214. doi: 10.13930/j.cnki.cjea.200972

Zhang, Y., E, S. Z., Wang, Y. N., Su, S. M., Bai, L. Y., Wu, C. X., et al. (2021a). Longterm manure application enhances the stability of aggregates and aggregate-associated carbon by regulating soil physicochemical characteristics. *Catena* 203, 105342. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2021.105342

Zhang, X. Z., Li, Q., Gao, H. J., Peng, C., Zhu, P., and Gao, Q. (2020a). Effects of longterm fertilization on the stability of black soil water stable aggregates and the distribution of organic carbon. *Scientia Agricultura Sin.* 53 (6), 1214–1223. doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2020.06.013

Zhang, Y. R., Li, Y., Liu, Y. L., Huang, X. C., Zhang, W. A., and Jiang, T. M. (2021b). Responses of soil labile organic carbon and carbon management index to different longterm fertilization treatments in a typical yellow soil region. *Eurasian Soil Sci.* 54 (4), 605–618. doi: 10.1134/S1064229321040189 Zhang, Y. R., Li, Y., Liu, Y. L., Zhang, W. A., and Jiang, T. M. (2016). Effects of longterm fertilization on soil organic carbon balance and maize yield in yellow soil. *Acta Pedologica Sin.* 53 (5), 1275–1285. doi: 10.11766/trxb201603300071

Zhang, Q., Wang, S. L., Wang, H., Liu, P. Z., Wang, X. M., Zhang, Y. H., et al. (2020b). Effects of subsoiling and no-tillage frequencies on soil aggregates and carbon pools in the loess plateau. *Scientia Agricultura Sin.* 53 (14), 2840–2851. doi: 10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2020.14.008

Zhang, W. J., Wang, X. J., Xu, M. G., Huang, S. M., Liu, H., and Peng, C. (2010). Soil organic carbon dynamics under long-term fertilizations in arable land of northern China. *Biogeosci. Discussions* 7 (2), 409–425. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-409-2010

Zhang, W. J., Xu, M. G., Wang, X. J., Huang, Q. H., Nie, J., Li, Z. Z., et al. (2012). Effects of organic amendments on soil carbon preservation in paddy fields of subtropical China. *J. Soils Sediments* 12 (4), 457–470. doi: 10.1007/s11368-011-0467-8

Zhou, H., Fang, H., Hu, C. S., Mooney, S. J., Dong, W. X., and Peng, X. H. (2017). Inorganic fertilization effects on the structure of a calcareous silt loam soil. *Agron. J.* 109 (6), 2871. doi: 10.2134/agronj2016.10.0590