

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vittorio Gebbia, University of Palermo, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Debora Basile, San Giovanni Di Dio Hospital, Italy Antonio Di Meglio, Institut Gustave Roussy, France

*CORRESPONDENCE Grazia Arpino grazia.arpino@unina.it

RECEIVED 12 March 2023 ACCEPTED 19 May 2023 PUBLISHED 08 June 2023

CITATION

Di Costanzo F, Carrano S, lengo G, Cefaliello A, Cossiga V, Morisco F, Giuliano M, De Angelis C and Arpino G (2023) Ribociclib in newly diagnosed hepatitis B infection: A case report. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1184952. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1184952

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Di Costanzo, Carrano, lengo, Cefaliello, Cossiga, Morisco, Giuliano, De Angelis and Arpino. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ribociclib in newly diagnosed hepatitis B infection: A case report

Fabrizio Di Costanzo¹, Simone Carrano¹, Gennaro Iengo¹, Amedeo Cefaliello¹, Valentina Cossiga², Filomena Morisco², Mario Giuliano¹, Carmine De Angelis¹ and Grazia Arpino^{1*}

¹Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ²Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, Diseases of the Liver and Biliary System Unit, University of Naples "Federico II", Naples, Italy

Breast cancer is the most frequently diagnosed cancer in women worldwide. Actually CDK4/6 inhibitor Ribociclib is approved for the treatment of metastatic hormone-positive and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER 2)negative breast cancer, but comorbidities like infectious or cardiovascular diseases may limit its use.

Case report: A 45-year-old woman was diagnosed with metastatic breast cancer in September 2021; also, her hepatitis screening resulted positive for hepatitis B infection. Patient assumed eradicative therapy for hepatitis and bit after started oncological therapy with Ribociclib.

Outcome: Frequent check of hepatological function was observed since start of eradicative therapy; liver transaminases and bilirubin kept to not rise despite start of oncological treatment with Ribociclib. Patient's Performance Status was also not compromised and revaluation at 4, 9 and 13 months showed partial response and then stable disease.

Discussion: hepatotoxicity of Ribociclib is reported as a possible side effect, and often positivity for hepatitis is cause of exclusion from therapy; in our case, no hepatotoxicity was noted and patient obtained response in terms of control of both infectious and oncological diseases.

KEYWORDS

Ribociclib, hepatitis B, luminal breast cancer, CDK4/6 inhibitors, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

Introduction

Female breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide; in Europe more than 400.000 women are affected every year (1), and more than 130.000 deaths due to metastatic breast cancer were reported in 2018 (2).

Prognosis and mortality are tightly linked to patient-dependent factors and to the molecular biology of the tumor itself; assessing the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PgR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Erbb2, formerly HER2) expression profile is the first step to classifying the patient's disease into prognostic and histological subtypes. The majority of patients - approximately 70% - are HR-positive and HER2-negative, with an incidence of triple positive, triple negative and HER2-enriched disease of 11%, 12% and 4% respectively (3).

The present first-line treatment involves association of CDK4/6 inhibitors and endocrine therapy as the standard of care for ER and PgR positive, HER2 negative MBC (2). Improvements in efficacy endpoints shown by these drugs were also accompanied by favorable toxicity and safety profiles, especially when compared to traditional chemotherapy (4-10); most recent data shows that, after a 53.5 median follow up, Cdk4/6 inhibitor Ribociclib is associated to significant improvements in Overall survival and Progression-free survival when administered with goserelin plus nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (NSAI) or tamoxifen (median OS 58.7 months with ribociclib versus 48.0 months with placebo; mPFS 27.5 months with Ribociclib versus 13.8 months, MONALEESA-7 trial (11)); Abemaciclib plus fulvestrant also prolonged Progression free survival versus placebo/fulvestrant (mPFS, 16.4 vs 9.3 months); and overall survival (OS, 46.7 vs 37.3 months; MONARCH-2 trial (12);) or when associated with NSAI (OS 67.1 months with abemaciclib plus NSAI versus 54.5 months with placebo and a NSAI; mPFS 28.2 vs 14.8 months, MONARCH-3 trial (13);). Last updates from PALOMA trial series, studying Palbociclib, seem to not show a clear advantage of the cdk4/6 inhibitor plus fulvestrant in overall survival, and the observed difference in this case was not statistically significant.

No less important, secondary publications reported that Healthrelated Quality of life assessment was satisfactory in patients receiving ribociclib, abemaciclib or palbociclib + ET versus placebo + ET (14–16).

Although their action and structure mechanisms are similar, differences in their toxicity profiles were nevertheless reported; Abemaciclib showed a minor rate of hematopoietic toxicity compared to Ribociclib and Palbociclib, but a major rate of diarrhea and fatigue (17, 18).

Among them, Ribociclib can induce QT prolongation and requires a periodic check of cardiac electrophysiology.

Moreover, MONALEESA series trials reported a significant rate of liver toxicity in patients treated with Ribociclib vs placebo, evidence confirmed by real life experiences; liver injury included grade 3/4 hypertransaminasemia (affecting up to 8% of patients and often enduring for many weeks despite discontinuation of therapy) (19, 20) to fulminant hepatitis (21).

This data led to their approval in combination with AI or fulvestrant in therapy for metastatic luminal breast cancer as firstline treatment or after failure of previous ET, while a first-line chemotherapy is usually reserved for patients unable to assume oral therapies or at risk of imminent organ failure (2) - though recent evidence shows relevant efficacy of cdk4/6 inhibitors even in these cases (22).

Among other patient-related prognostic factors in the treatment of MBC, infectious diseases are comorbidities that often affect treatment effectiveness and intensity; of these, one of the most common infective agents is infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV), still an important endemic infection with significant morbidity and mortality (23).

Despite vaccination programs, the spread of HBV infection and related disease is sustained by migrants and refugees with high HBsAg prevalence rates, that favor the diffusion in low endemic countries in Europe (like Italy, Germany, United Kingdom etc.) (24, 25).

In clinical practice, the presence of a preexistent unknown HBV infection or an infection not under surveillance in patients with newly diagnosed cancer is a real possibility. A recent work reports that on above 3000 newly diagnosed oncological patients screened for HBV, the observed rate for previous infection was 6.5%, and for chronic HBV 0.6% (26); an HBV screening is clearly necessary, but it can also represent cause of delay in starting oncological therapy.

In fact the prophylactic or therapeutic use of antivirals agents is able to prevent HBV replication or reactivation in the different serological categories related to HBV status during immunosuppressive or chemotherapy treatment. At moment very few data are available for patients with actively replicating HBV infection and oncological treatment; in many trial series involving cdk4/6 inhibitors their inclusion was demanded on clinician judgement (27, 28), or excluded at all (29); hence, the need to assess safety of these drugs in particular cohorts of patient, like the HBV-infected ones, whose clinical management is underreported.

Case presentation

A 45-year-old, no smoker Caucasian woman was diagnosed with metastatic breast cancer in September 2021. In August 2021, she had undergone a right breast core biopsy, and histological examination diagnosed invasive ductal breast cancer: hormonal receptor status (ER and PgR) was positive, HER2 was not overexpressed, Ki-67 was 60%.

In September 2021, staging with 18FDG PET/CT detected breast disease, axillary and mediastinal lymph node metastases, humerus, iliac and ischium bone metastases; contrast-enhanced breast MRI and bone scintigraphy both confirmed metastatic disease.

Combination therapy with Ribociclib 600mg/die for 21 days with 28-days cycle plus Letrozole 2.5mg/day plus Triptorelin 3.75mg every four weeks was adopted as first-line treatment for this pre-menopausal, hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative MBC. Patient had no other comorbidities and did not assume drugs before starting therapy.

Before proceeding with treatment, we evaluated infectious markers, and found hepatitis B serology positive for infection as reported below: T0 -September 2021.

- HBsAg positive
- HBV DNA 4383 IU/mL
- HBsAb Negative
- HBcAb IgG Positive
- HBcAb IgM Negative
- HBeAg Negative
- Normal transaminases and liver function tests; no HDV coinfection.

The assessment of hepatic fibrosis by a transient elastography (fibroscan), reported a value of hepatic stiffness of 3.3 kPa and of CAP (Controlled Attenuation Parameter) of 199dB/m, indicative of absence of fibrosis and steatosis.

Following the hepatologist's recommendations, the patient started treatment with Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 245 mg/day for her diagnosis of hepatitis B HBeAb positive with the recommendation to check hepatitis B status (quantitative HBV-DNA) and liver function weekly, especially during the first month of treatment with Ribociclib.

As such, during the first cycle of treatment with Ribociclib in September 2021, we carried out weekly evaluations of HBV DNA levels, which significantly decreased (28 UI/ml) and subsequently negativized (<10 UI/ml) (Figure 1).

After three cycles of treatment with Ribociclib, in January 2022, 18FDG PET plus contrast-enhanced CT and breast MRI were repeated (Figure 2). The patient achieved a complete metabolic response and a partial response of disease (PR), according to Response Evaluation Criteria for Solid Tumors [RECIST1.1 (30)].

Compared to September 2021, there were no areas of uptake at the 18FDG PET and there was a significant reduction of the breast site, lymph node and bone metastases in the contrast-enhanced CT and breast MRI; revaluations were performed in May 2022, when 18FDG PET, contrast-enhanced CT and breast MRI confirmed disease stability (Figure 3), and in September 2022 (stable disease).

Both times, HBV DNA levels continued to be undetectable.

Most importantly, treatment was well tolerated - with hematological toxicity not more than grade 2 according to CTCAE criteria and no need for dose reduction. No febrile neutropenia or QTc prolongation were reported; no liver toxicity emerged and the patient did not experience episodes of fatigue. Moreover, despite undergoing such an intense treatment, patient's mood was constantly good; she did not ask or manifest need of psychological support and, to the date, patient shows a positive thinking and feelings of gratitude (Figure 4).

Discussion

Female breast cancer is the leading diagnosed tumor worldwide; prognosis and treatments are related to tumor stage at time of diagnosis, and for women with non-metastatic disease (almost 65% (31, 32), therapeutic goals are tumor eradication and preventing recurrence.

Metastatic breast cancer is still an incurable disease; nevertheless, outcomes are constantly improving and new drugs are challenging this statement.

In the choice of treatment, patient status and comorbidities play a key role; infectious diseases like hepatitis often lead to the discontinuation of treatment for patients undergoing cytotoxic therapy, and many chemotherapy regimens - like anthracyclinebased therapy - have been proven to cause HBV reactivation in patients with solid organ malignancies (33-35).

In our case, the first choice we had was which cdk4/6 inhibitor pick for the patient; even if a direct head-to-head comparison is not available, no clear differences in terms of efficacy between the three molecules emerge from clinical practice and clinician's choice is



FIGURE 1

Five month-follow up of HBV DNA and liver function parameters: while HBV DNA decreased after Tenofovir, no signs of toxicity occurred after combination of Ribociclib + FT + Tenofovir



usually based on patient's age and comorbidities and on the slightly different specter of toxicities, however the switch among inhibitors is allowed if the patient develops severe side effects from one of those (20).

In our case, possible interactions with antivirals agents were a major factor to evaluate.

In order to avoid drug-induced excessive toxicities and further liver injury in the context of HBV infection, a discussion with hepatologist was hold and pharmacokinetics of all three cdk4/6 inhibitors were considered, as no clear contraindication emerged from a first analysis of literature.

Ribociclib is well known as a strong CYP3A4/5 time-dependent inhibitor, especially when administered at a 600 mg dose, and the

FDA leaflet recommends to avoid the concomitant use of strong CYP3A inhibitors (e.g., clarithromycin, protease inhibitor for HIV and HCV, itraconazole, ketoconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole, ritonavir, saquinavir) because of the increase in the recorded CDKis plasma exposure that may lead to increased toxicity (36). Clinical decision about choosing Ribociclib for our patient was based on efficacy data showed by MONALEESA-7 trial, the only available study enrolling premenopausal MBC patient exclusively, and on the favorable manageability profile reported in patients with impairment of hepatic or renal function (37, 38).

As our hepatologist did not find any contraindication for use of Ribociclib in this patient and considered Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate a valid option to further protect from HBV reactivation during



FIGURE 3

(A) Breast MRI of September 2021: multiple lesions occupying an area of 90x33x60mm in the right breast, below, 18-FDG PET scan of September 2021 showing contrast enhancement in right breast (B). (C) Breast MRI of January 2022: subcutaneous nodules at infero-external and infero-internal right quadrants, with no 18- FDG uptake (see below, D). (E) Breast MRI of May 2022: subcutaneous nodules at infero-external and infero-internal right quadrants, with no 18- FDG uptake (see below, F).



oncological treatment, we assessed this association as a reasonably low risk therapy for both oncological and infective diseases.

To the best of our knowledge, no case of concomitant Cdk 4/6 inhibitor + ET and anti HBV infection therapy were previously reported; the decision to treat this patient is supported by the good safety profile showed by Ribociclib both in the MONALEESA trial series and in the clinical practice and noticing the patient's good Performance Status.

Conclusions

We observed that it is possible to treat Hepatitis B-infection and Luminal metastatic breast cancer with both eradicative and oncological therapies; the result obtained in terms of any grade toxicity, the liver functionality remaining unaffected, the maintained response and the control over HBV infection are an encouraging outcome for treatment of patients with luminal breast cancer and hepatitis B infection.

Clearly, a risk-benefit assessment is always necessary for every patient; Authors' proposition is that the report can be useful to clinicians when treating patients with important comorbidities like hepatitis B infection.

We also believe that this case strengthens the importance of a multidisciplinary approach. After discussion with hepatologist we were able to choose adequate therapy and, importantly, our young patient was supported from a dedicated nutritionist and, if needed, psycho-oncologist in order to fully address any potential need; this kind of integrated management allow to assess patient-tailored therapies that generally grant a prompt support and care of adverse events.

However we recognize that this integrated approach is not always feasible in all institutions and, eventually, collaboration among smaller and larger institutions should be implemented in order to deliver the same standard of care to all patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the participant for the publication of this case report.

Author contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of interest

MG reports consulting fees for Consulting or advisory Role: Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, AstraZeneca; Speaker's Bureau: Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Eisai, Roche, AstraZeneca, Daichii Sankyo, MSD; Travel, accommodation, expenses: Novartis, Pfizer, Roche. CD reports personal fees from Roche, AstraZeneca, Lilly, GSK, Novartis, Seagen and Pfizer, Advisory Board for Roche, AstraZeneca, Lilly, GSK, Novartis, Seagen and Pfizer, support for attending meetings and/or travel Roche, AstraZeneca, Lilly, GSK, Novartis, Celgene and Pfizer, grants from Novartis. GA reports personal fees from Novartis; personal fees from Lilly, grants and personal fees from Roche, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Pfizer, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Daichi, outside the submitted work.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Dafni U, Tsourti Z, Alatsathianos I. Breast cancer statistics in the European union: incidence and survival across European countries. *Breast Care (Basel)*. (2019) 14 (6):344–53. doi: 10.1159/000503219

2. ESMO clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, staging and treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32(12):1475--95. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.09.019

3. American Cancer society. breast cancer facts & figures 2019-2020. Atlanta: American Cancer Society, Inc (2019).

4. Turner NC, Slamon DJ, Ro J, Bondarenko I, Im SA, Masuda N, et al. Overall survival with palbociclib and fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 379:1926–36. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1810527

5. Im SA, Lu YS, Bardia A, Harbeck N, Colleoni M, Franke F, et al. Overall survival with ribociclib plus endocrine therapy in breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381 (4):307–16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1903765

6. Tripathy D, Im SA, Colleoni M, Franke F, Bardia A, Harbeck N, et al. Ribociclib plus endocrine therapy for premenopausal women with hormone-receptor-positive, advanced breast cancer (MONALEESA-7): a randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2018) 19(7):904–15. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30292-4

7. Finn RS, Martin M, Rugo HS, Jones S, Im SA, Gelmon K, et al. Palbociclib and letrozole in advanced breast cancer – PALOMA 2 clinical trial. *N Engl J Med* (2016) 375 (20):1925–36. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a1607303

8. Zhang QY, Sun T, Yin YM, Li HP, Yan M, Tong ZS, et al. MONARCH plus: abemaciclib plus endocrine therapy in women with HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer: the multinational randomized phase III study. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* (2020) 12:1758835920963925. doi: 10.1177/1758835920963925

9. Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, Yap YS, Sonke GS, Hart L, et al. LBA17_PRd; overall survival (OS) results from the phase III MONALEESA-2 (ML-2) trial of postmenopausal patients (pts) with hormone receptor positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 negative (HR+/HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC) treated with endocrine therapy (ET) + ribocidib (RIB). Ann Oncol (2021) 32 (suppl 5):S1283-346. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.08.2090

10. Ribociclib plus letrozole versus chemotherapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, luminal b breast cancer (CORALLEEN): an open-label, multicentre, randomised, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2020) 21(1):33–43. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30786-7

11. Lu YS, Im SA, Colleoni M, Franke F, Bardia A, Cardoso F, et al. Updated overall survival of ribociclib plus endocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone in pre- and perimenopausal patients with HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer in MONALEESA-7: a phase III randomized clinical trial. *Clin Cancer Res* (2022) 28(5):851–9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-3032

 Sledge GWJr, Toi M, Neven P, Sohn J, Inoue K, Pivot X, et al. MONARCH 2: abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant in women with HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer who had progressed while receiving endocrine therapy. J Clin Oncol (2017) 35(25):2875-84. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.73.7885

13. Goetz MP, Toi M, Campone M, Sohn J, Paluch-Shimon S, Huober J, et al. A. MONARCH 3: abemaciclib as initial therapy for advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* (2017) 35(32):3638–46. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.75.6155

14. Harbeck N, Franke F, Villanueva-Vazquez R, Lu YS, Tripathy D, Chow L, et al. Health-related quality of life in premenopausal women with hormone-receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer treated with ribociclib plus endocrine therapy: results from a phase III randomized clinical trial (MONALEESA-7). *Ther Adv Med Oncol* (2020) 12:1758835920943065. doi: 10.1177/1758835920943065

15. Goetz MP, Martin M, Tokunaga E, Park IH, Huober J, Toi M, et al. Healthrelated quality of life in MONARCH 3: abemaciclib plus an aromatase inhibitor as initial therapy in HR+, HER2- advanced breast cancer. *Oncologist* (2020) 25(9):e1346– 54. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2020-0084

16. Rugo HS, Diéras V, Gelmon KA, Finn RS, Slamon DJ, Martin M, et al. Impact of palbociclib plus letrozole on patient-reported health-related quality of life: results from the PALOMA-2 trial. *Ann Oncol* (2018) 29(4):888–94. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy012

17. Onesti CE, Jerusalem G. CDK4/6 inhibitors in breast cancer: differences in toxicity profiles and impact on agent choice. *A systematic Rev meta-analysis. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* (2021) 21(3):283–98. doi: 10.1080/14737140.2021.1852934

18. Slamon DJ, Neven P, Chia S, Fasching PA, De Laurentiis M, Im SA, et al. Overall survival with ribociclib plus fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 382:514–24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1911149

19. Fuentes-Antrás J, de Luna A, López de Sá A, Ocaña A, García-Sáenz JÁ, Moreno F. Safety and efficacy of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor rechallenge following ribociclib-induced limiting hypertransaminasemia. *Breast* (2020) 54:160–3. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2020.10.007

20. Meynard L, Grellety T. CDK 4/6 inhibitor successful rechallenge after limiting hepatic toxicity. Breast J (2020) 26(2):255–7. doi: 10.1111/tbj.13532

21. Topcu A, Yasin AI, Shbair AT, Besiroglu M, Simsek M, Sucuoglu Z, et al. A case report of fulminant hepatitis due to ribociclib with confirmed by liver biopsy in breast cancer. J Oncol Pharm Pract (2022) 28(1):242–6. doi: 10.1177/10781552211027931

22. Lu Y-S, Mahidin EIBM, Azim H, Eralp Y, Yap YS, Seock-Ah I, et al. Primary results from the randomized phase II RIGHT choice trial of premenopausal patients with aggressive HR+/HER2- advanced breast cancer treated with ribociclib + endocrine therapy vs physician's choice combination chemotherapy. *Presented at SABCS* (2022) 6-10:2022. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445

23. Schweitzer A, Horn J, Mikolajczyk RT, Krause G, Ott JJ. Estimations of worldwide prevalence of chronic hepatitis b virus infection: a systematic review of data published between 1965 and 2013. *Lancet* (2015) 386:1546–55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61412-X

24. Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, Aboyans V, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. *Lancet* (2012) 380:2095–128. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61728-0

25. European Association for the Study of the LiverEASL 2017 clinical practice guidelines on the management of hepatitis b virus infection. *Lancet Oncol* (2020) 21 (1):33–43. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.021

26. Ramsey SD, Unger JM, Baker LH, Little RF, Loomba R, Hwang JP, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis b virus, hepatitis c virus, and HIV infection among patients with newly diagnosed cancer from academic and community oncology practices. *JAMA Oncol* (2019) 5(4):497–505. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.6437

27. Available at: https://www.nejm.org/doi/suppl/10.1056/NEJMoa1903765/suppl_file/nejmoa1903765_protocol.pdf.

28. Available at: https://www.nejm.org/doi/suppl/10.1056/NEJMoa1607303/suppl_file/nejmoa1607303_protocol.pdf.

29. Available at: https://ascopubs.org/doi/suppl/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.6155/suppl_file/protocol_2017.756155.pdf.

30. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. "New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1).". *Eur J Cancer* (2009) 45(2):228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026

31. Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours. *Nat* (2012) 490(7418):61–70. doi: 10.1038/nature11412

32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Incidence and relative survival by stage at diagnosis for common cancers. USCS data brief, no. 25.* (2021) Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

33. Perrillo RP, Martin P, Lok AS. Preventing hepatitis b reactivation due to immunosuppressive drug treatments. *JAMA* (2015) 313:1617. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.2571

34. Di Bisceglie AM, Lok AS, Martin P, Terrault N, Perrillo RP, Hoofnagle JH. Recent US food and drug administration warnings on hepatitis b reactivation with immune-suppressing and anticancer drugs: just the tip of the iceberg? *Hepatology* (2015) 61:703–11. doi: 10.1002/hep.27609

35. Yeo W, Zee B, Zhong S, Chan PK, Wong WL, Ho WM, et al. Comprehensive analysis of risk factors associating with hepatitis b virus (HBV) reactivation in cancer patients undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* (2004) 90:1306–11. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601699

36. Roncato R, Angelini J, Pani A, Cecchin E, Sartore-Bianchi A, Siena S, et al. CDK4/6 inhibitors in breast cancer treatment: potential interactions with drug, gene, and pathophysiological conditions. *Int J Mol Sci* (2020) 21:6350. doi: 10.3390/ jims21176350

37. Samant S, Yang S, Miller M, Ji Y. Pharmacokinetics of ribociclib in subjects with hepatic impairment;. J Clin Pharmacol (2021) 61(8):1001–9. doi: 10.1002/jcph.182

38. Price GL, Sudharshan L, Ryan P, Rajkumar J, Sheffield KM, Nash Smyth E, et al. Real world incidence and management of adverse events in patients with HR+, HER2metastatic breast cancer receiving CDK4 and 6 inhibitors in a united states community setting. *Curr Med Res Opin* (2022) 13:1–13. doi: 10.1080/03007995.2022.2073122