# Predictive Value of Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels in Anti-NMDA Receptor Encephalitis

Juliette Brenner, MD,\* Sara Mariotto, MD, PhD,\* Anna E.M. Bastiaansen, MD,\* Manuela Paunovic, PhD, Sergio Ferrari, MD, Daniela Alberti, BSc, Marienke A.A.M. de Bruijn, MD, PhD, Yvette S. Crijnen, MD, Marco W.J. Schreurs, PhD, Rinze F. Neuteboom, MD, PhD, Jan G.M.C. Damoiseaux, PhD, Juna M. de Vries, MD, PhD, and Maarten J. Titulaer, MD, PhD

Neurology® 2023;100:e2204-e2213. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000207221

# Abstract

#### **Background and Objectives**

Determinants of disease activity and prognosis are limited in anti-NMDA receptor (NMDAR) encephalitis. Neurofilament light chains (NfL) are markers of axonal damage and have been identified as valuable biomarkers for neurodegenerative and other neuroinflammatory disorders. We aimed to investigate serum NfL levels in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis as a biomarker for disease severity and outcome.

#### Methods

In this retrospective study, NfL values were measured in all available pretreatment serum and paired CSF samples of the nationwide anti-NMDAR encephalitis cohort. The values were analyzed in duplicate using single-molecule array and compared with measurements in healthy references. Follow-up sera were tested to analyze longitudinal responsiveness, if at least available from 2 time points after diagnosis. Serum NfL levels were compared with data on disease activity (seizures, MRI, and CSF findings), severity (modified Rankin Scale [mRS] score, admission days, and intensive care unit admission), and outcome (mRS score and relapses), using regression analysis.

#### Results

We have included 71 patients (75% female; mean age 31.4 years, range 0–85 years) of whom pretreatment serum samples were analyzed. Paired CSF samples were available of 33 patients, follow-up serum samples of 20 patients. Serum NfL levels at diagnosis were higher in patients (mean 19.5 pg/mL, 95% CI 13.7–27.7) than in references (mean 6.4 pg/mL, 95% CI 5.8–7.2, p < 0.0001). We observed a good correlation between serum and CSF NfL values (R = 0.84, p < 0.0001). Serum NfL levels and age correlated in patients (Pearson R = 0.57, p < 0.0001) and references (R = 0.62, p < 0.0001). Increased NfL values were detected in patients post–herpes simplex virus 1 encephalitis (mean 248.8 vs 14.1 pg/mL, p < 0.0001) and in patients with brain MRI lesions (mean 27.3 vs 11.1 pg/mL, p = 0.019). NfL levels did relate to the long-term follow-up (mRS score at 12 months;  $\beta_{NfL} = 0.55$ , p = 0.013), although largely explained by the effect of age on NfL levels and prognosis. In serial samples, NfL values did roughly follow clinical disease activity, albeit with delay.

#### Discussion

Increased serum NfL levels reflect neuroaxonal damage in anti-NMDAR encephalitis. No relationship was identified with disease severity, whereas the association with outcome was confounded by age. The implied role of sampling timing on NfL levels also limits the applicability of NfL as a prognostic marker.

Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

Dr. Titulaer m.titulaer@erasmusmc.nl

Correspondence

## RELATED ARTICLE

**Editorial** Can Neurofilament Light Chain Be Utilized as a Biomarker of Disease Severity, Activity, and Outcomes in Anti-NMDA Receptor Encephalitis?

Page 991

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work as first authors.

From the Department of Neurology (J.B., A.E.M.B., M.P., M.A.A.M.d.B., Y.S.C., R.F.N., J.M.d.V., M.J.T.), Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands; Neurology Unit (S.M., S.F., D.A.), Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Italy; Department of Immunology (M.W.J.S.), Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam; and Central Diagnostic Laboratory (J.G.M.C.D.), Maastricht UMC+, the Netherlands.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by Erasmus University.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Glossary

**CoV** = coefficient of variation; **HSV** = herpes simplex virus; **ICU** = intensive care unit; **mRS** = modified Rankin Scale; **NfL** = neurofilament light chain; **NMDAR** = NMDA receptor.

Anti-NMDA receptor (NMDAR) encephalitis is a complex immune-mediated disorder characterized by antibodies in the CSF against the ionotropic glutamate receptor type 1 subunit of the NMDAR. Clinical features include behavioral changes, cognitive impairment, seizures, language disorders, movement disorders, and autonomic dysfunctions. Anti-NMDAR encephalitis can occur as a paraneoplastic phenomenon (most often associated with ovarian teratomas), postinfectious after herpes simplex virus (HSV) encephalitis or sporadically.<sup>1</sup> The disease is treatable by removing the trigger (if paraneoplastic) and administering immunotherapy. Still, patients might require admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) during the acute stage. Many patients experience persisting neurologic deficits, and 12% of cases relapse within 2 years.<sup>2</sup> The outcome of anti-NMDAR encephalitis has previously been related to clinical factors like the requirement of ICU admission, treatment delay, and a lack of response to first-line immunotherapy.<sup>2,3</sup> CSF leukocyte count and antibody titers correlate with outcome and clinical relapses.<sup>3,4</sup> However, titers do not consistently reflect disease activity.5 Treatment decisions are currently based on clinical assessment since, despite several attempts, biomarkers for disease severity and prognosis are very limited.<sup>6</sup>

Neurofilaments, and in particular the light chain subunit, are released from axons after acute damage. Neurofilament light chain (NfL) levels have therewith been identified as a useful biomarker for disease activity and prognosis in different neuro-inflammatory and degenerative neurologic disorders.<sup>7</sup> The strong correlation between CSF and serum NfL values and the high sensitivity of novel diagnostic techniques, allowing to quantify the lower levels detectable in serum, seem to expand the applicability of serum NfL as a biomarker.<sup>8</sup> The preanalytical stability of NfL values (i.e., to delayed freezing and repeated thawing/freezing cycles) additionally raises the potential to investigate NfL as a biomarker.<sup>9</sup> In this study, we investigate serum NfL levels at diagnosis and follow-up in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis to evaluate whether this biomarker of ongoing axonal damage correlates with disease severity and long-term outcome.

# Methods

#### **Study Participants and Sample Selection**

As the national referral center for autoimmune encephalitis of the Netherlands, accredited as the European Reference Network site (European Reference Network for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases Network), we take note of all nationwide diagnoses of anti-NMDAR encephalitis. We have targeted all Dutch patients complying with the criteria for definite anti-NMDAR encephalitis,<sup>10</sup> based on (1) the availability of a sufficient amount of serum from the time of diagnosis, (2) serum drawn before the start of immunotherapy, and (3) relevant

clinical data of at least 4 months after diagnosis (eFigure 1, links. lww.com/WNL/C731). All eligible patients had previously consented to be in the nationwide anti-NMDAR encephalitis cohort and have been phenotyped clinically well (eTable 1).<sup>11</sup> We compared the data with a healthy reference group (n = 61; 70% female; mean age 41.9 years, range 25–67 years) and with previously suggested age-based cutoff values.<sup>12–14</sup> To correlate serum with CSF, we tested all available pretreatment CSF samples drawn within 48 hours from the serum sample. To investigate NfL longitudinally, we selected those patients of whom we had sufficient amounts of sera from at least 2 different time points after diagnosis.

#### **Clinical Parameters**

Extensive clinical data had been collected as part of our nationwide study.<sup>11</sup> Age at onset, preceding HSV encephalitis,

 Table 1 Patient Characteristics of the Included Patients

 With Anti-NMDAR Encephalitis

Variable	Included patients (n = 71)
Sex, female, n (%)	53 (75)
Age, y, mean (IQR; range)	32 (18-41; 0.7-86)
Tumor, n (%)	20/69 (29)
Preceding HSV infection, n (%)	8 (11)
MRI abnormalities, n (%)	26 (38)
Mesiotemporal hyperintensity	15 (58)
Mesiotemporal atrophy	2 (8)
Thalamic lesions	4 (15)
Multifocal white matter lesions	3 (12)
Brainstem lesions	2 (8)
Baseline mRS score, median (IQR; range) <sup>a</sup>	0 (0-0; 0-4)
mRS score at onset, median (IQR; range)	3 (2–3; 2–5)
Maximum mRS score, median (IQR; range)	4 (3–5; 3–5)
Hospital admission days, mean (IQR; range)	80 (28–93; 3–632)
ICU admission, n (%)	32 (45)
mRS score after 12 mo, median (IQR; range)	2 (1-2; 0-6)
Time to mRS2, mo, mean (IQR; range)	5.6 (2–10; 1–not achieved)
Last mRS score, median (IQR; range)	2 (1-3; 0-6)
Follow-up time, mo, median (IQR; range)	35 (14–45; 3–180)

Abbreviations: HSV = herpes simplex virus; ICU = intensive care unit; IQR = interquartile range; mo = months; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NMDAR = NMDA receptor; y = years.

<sup>a</sup> Six patients had an mRS score >2.





NfL levels in serum correlate positively with age (A) and CSF (B). NfL = neurofilament light chain; NMDARE = NMDA receptor encephalitis.

concomitant tumors, the presence of seizures or movement disorders, cerebral MRI abnormalities, and antibody titers were considered potentially relevant covariates for NfL levels. Maximum modified Rankin Scale (mRS) scores, duration of hospital admission, and the need for ICU admission were used as measures for disease severity. Short- and long-term outcomes were quantified as the mRS score at 4 and 12 months after diagnosis, respectively. A relapse was defined as the (re)emergence or worsening of clinical symptoms fitting the diagnostic criteria for anti-NMDAR encephalitis, after a period of at least 2 months of improvement or stabilization, combined with the confirmation of anti-NMDAR antibodies in CSF.<sup>2,11</sup>

#### Procedures for NMDAR Antibody and NfL Measurements

Anti-NMDAR antibodies were detected using cell-based assays (Euroimmun, AG, Lübeck, Germany) in CSF, and confirmed by immunohistochemistry, as described before.<sup>11</sup> All patients had antibodies in CSF. NfL concentration in serum and CSF was measured in duplicate using single-molecule array NfL-light kit with SR-X immunoassay analyzer (Quanterix Corp., Billerica, MA), as previously described,<sup>15</sup> by investigators blinded to clinical data. A Comparison was made with sera from 61 healthy controls. The mean intra-assay coefficient of variation (CoV) of duplicates and interassay CoV were 6.7% and 6.4%, respectively. Samples with CoV above 20% were reanalyzed.

#### Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

This retrospective study was waived and declared noncomplicit to the Medical Research Involving Humans Subjects Act by the Institutional Review Board of Erasmus MC. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

#### **Statistics**

The data on NfL values in serum and CSF were logarithmically transformed to adjust for skewness of the distribution. The descriptive statistics provided in this paper are centered around the geometric means. The correlation between NfL levels in serum and CSF was investigated by calculating Pearson correlation

Figure 2 Serum NfL Related to Radiologic Findings



Patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis with MRI abnormalities had higher NfL levels in serum (p = 0.019; geographic means of patients with and without MRI abnormalities are represented by the black horizontal lines). Patients with a preceding HSV1 encephalitis (depicted in blue; all with MRI abnormalities) had even higher NfL levels in serum compared with patients without preceding a preceding HSV1 encephalitis (p < 0.0001; the geographic means of patients with and without a preceding HSV1 encephalitis are represented by the blue and red dotted horizontal lines, respectively). HSV = herpes simplex virus; NfL = neurofilament light chain; NMDAR = NMDA receptor.

coefficient. A good correlation allowed serum NfL to be used as a surrogate biomarker. The serum NfL levels of the patients were compared with healthy adult references, as well as with age-based cutoff values from the literature, also including pediatric references.<sup>12–14</sup> The known influence of age on NfL levels was confirmed by fitting a linear regression model. The rest of the analyses were corrected for this effect by the addition of age as a covariate. As the less extensively investigated effect of age on NfL in children does not seem strictly linear in the lowest age range, and the included healthy references were adults, we also performed all analyses in the subgroup of adult patients.

The relationship between the independent variables tumor, preceding HSV1 infections, and visible MRI abnormalities and the dependent variable serum NfL and the relationship between serum NfL levels (independent variable) and duration of hospital admission were tested with variants of linear regression models, univariable and multivariable with age as a covariate. Because of the reported effect of an HSV1 encephalitis on both NfL levels and prognosis of anti-NMDAR encephalitis,<sup>16,17</sup> we have left these patients out of the analyses to determine the prognostic value of serum NfL in anti-NMDAR encephalitis (eFigure 1, links.lww.com/WNL/C731). Logistic regression analysis was applied to investigate the relationship between serum NfL at diagnosis and the need for ICU admission, as measures of disease severity. The predictive value of early NfL levels for maximum disease severity (maximum mRS score), outcome (mRS score at 4 and 12 months after disease onset), and time to recovery (improving to an mRS score  $\leq 2$ ) was explored with ordinal regression analysis. Patients with an mRS score >2 before disease onset were excluded from the latter analyses as we would not be able to determine the outcome specifically related to the anti-NMDAR encephalitis (eFigure 1).

#### **Data Availability**

Any data not published within this article are available at the Erasmus University Medical Center. Patient-related data will be shared on reasonable request from any qualified investigator, maintaining anonymity of the individual patients.

# Results

We included 71 patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis (75% female; mean age 31.4 years, range 0–85 years; Table 1), representative of the complete national cohort (eTable 1, links.lww.com/WNL/C731).

#### **NfL Levels and Associated Clinical Factors**

The serum NfL concentration at diagnosis was higher in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis (mean 19.5 pg/mL, 95% CI 13.7–27.7) than in healthy controls (mean 6.4 pg/mL, 95% CI 5.8–7.2, p < 0.0001). Serum NfL values increased with increasing age at sampling in both patients (Pearson R = 0.57, p < 0.0001) and healthy controls (R = 0.62, p < 0.0001; Figure 1A). Serum and CSF NfL levels (n = 33) showed a good correlation (Pearson R = 0.84, p < 0.0001; Figure 1B). Patients with post-HSV1 anti-NMDAR encephalitis had higher serum NfL values than those without a preceding infection (mean 248.8 vs 14.1 pg/mL, p < 0.0001; Figure 2). Serum NfL levels were significantly higher in patients with cerebral MRI lesions compared

Table 2 Analyses With and Without Age Correction

Independent variables (NfL as a dependent variable)	Without age correction	With age as a covariate
Age <sup>a</sup>	β <sub>Age</sub> = 0.037, <i>p</i> < <b>0.0001</b>	Not applicable
Tumor (with vs without) <sup>b</sup>	Mean 14.7 vs 22.0 pg/mL, <i>p</i> = 0.24	β <sub>Tumor</sub> = -0.57, <i>p</i> = 0.12
Preceding HSV infection (with vs without) <sup>b,c</sup>	Mean 248.8 vs 14.1 pg/mL, <b><i>p</i> &lt; 0.0001</b>	β <sub>HSV</sub> = 2.7, <b><i>p</i> &lt; 0.0001</b>
Seizures (with vs without) <sup>b</sup>	Mean 11.5 vs 20.2 pg/mL, <i>p</i> = 0.097	$\beta_{\text{Seizures}} = -0.25, p = 0.36$
Movement disorders (with vs without) <sup>b</sup>	Mean 13.1 vs 16.6 pg/mL, <i>p</i> = 0.47	$\beta_{Movement} = -0.12, p = 0.66$
MRI abnormalities (mean with vs without) <sup>b</sup>	Mean 27.3 vs 11.1 pg/mL, <b><i>p</i> = 0.019</b>	β <sub>MRI</sub> = 0.70, <b><i>p</i> = 0.012</b>
Time from onset to sample drawing <sup>a</sup>	β <sub>Delay</sub> = -0.0006, <i>p</i> = 0.59	$\beta_{\text{Delay}} = -0.0006, p = 0.64$
Serum antibody titer <sup>a</sup>	β <sub>Titer</sub> = -0.044, <i>p</i> = 0.56	β <sub>Titer</sub> = -0.014, <i>p</i> = 0.82
CSF antibody titer <sup>a</sup>	β <sub>Titer</sub> = 0.037, <i>p</i> = 0.51	β <sub>Titer</sub> = 0.006, <i>p</i> = 0.90
mRS score at onset <sup>d</sup>	$\beta_{mRS}$ = -0.044, $p$ = 0.87	β <sub>mRS</sub> = 0.22, <i>p</i> = 0.34
Dependent variables (NfL as an independent variable) <sup>e</sup>		
Maximum disease severity (mRS score) <sup>f</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = 0.18, <i>p</i> = 0.38	$\beta_{\rm NfL}$ = 0.21, <i>p</i> = 0.23
ICU admission (yes vs no) <sup>g</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = 0.10, <i>p</i> = 0.65	$\beta_{\rm NfL}$ = 0.17, <i>p</i> = 0.55
Duration of hospital admission (d) <sup>d</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = -0.086, <i>p</i> = 0.44	$\beta_{\rm NfL}$ = -0.070, <i>p</i> = 0.61
Disability (mRS score) after 4 mo <sup>f</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = 0.23, <i>p</i> = 0.28	$\beta_{\rm NfL}$ = 0.10, <i>p</i> = 0.69
Disability (mRS score) after 12 mo <sup>f</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = 0.55, <b><i>p</i> = 0.013</b>	$\beta_{\text{NfL}} = 0.38, p = 0.14$ $\beta_{\text{Age}} = 0.018, p = 0.26$
Time to recovery (mRS2) <sup>d</sup>	β <sub>NfL</sub> = 0.31, <b><i>ρ</i> = 0.050</b>	$\beta_{NfL} = 0.18, p = 0.31$ $\beta_{Age} = 0.020, p = 0.15$

Abbreviations: HSV = herpes simplex virus; ICU = intensive care unit; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NfL = neurofilament light chain. Significant *p* values are provided in bold.

<sup>a</sup> Continuous independent variable, tested with linear regression.

<sup>b</sup> Dichotomous independent variable, tested with mean regression

<sup>c</sup> Because of this known effect, we have excluded patients with post-HSV encephalitis from the rest of the analyses.

<sup>d</sup> Continuous dependent variable, tested by linear regression.

<sup>e</sup> Patients with a premorbid mRS score >2 were excluded from these analyses.

<sup>f</sup> Ordinal dependent variable, tested by ordinal logistic regression.

<sup>g</sup> Dichotomous dependent variable, tested by binomial logistic regression.

with patients without (mean 27.3 vs 11.1 pg/mL, p = 0.019, patients with post-HSV1 encephalitis were not included in this analysis; Figure 2). These effects were similar when age was added to the analysis as a covariable ( $\beta_{\rm HSV} = 2.7$ , p < 0.0001,  $\beta_{\rm MRI} = 0.70$ , p = 0.012; Table 2). Analyzing these results in a slightly different way, using dichotomous age-based cutoff values, confirmed these results: patients with increased serum NfL levels (n = 39 [55%]) more frequently had a preceding HSV1 encephalitis (21% vs 0%, p = 0.019) and more frequently had MRI abnormalities (54% vs 16%, p = 0.002), compared with patients with serum NfL levels below the cutoff (eTable 2, links. lww.com/WNL/C731).

The presence of concomitant tumors, seizures, and movement disorders, the delay between symptom onset and sample drawing, and serum and CSF antibody titers did not significantly relate to NfL levels, with or without age as covariable (Table 2 and eFigures 2–4, links.lww.com/WNL/ C731). A subgroup analysis of only the adult patients (n =59), to account for different behavior of NfL as serum

results in a **Severity and Outcome** I cutoff val- NfL levels at diagnosis did not associate with markers for

(eTable 3, links.lww.com/WNL/C731).

disease severity: it did not significantly differ between patients who needed ICU admission or not and did not relate to the maximum mRS score over the course of the disease (eFigure 5, links.lww.com/WNL/C731) nor the duration of hospital admission (eFigure 6). Similarly, no relation was noted between NfL levels at diagnosis and disability (mRS score) 4 months after disease onset (eFigure 7).

biomarker in children, did not provide different results

The Prognostic Value of NfL for Disease

In univariable analysis, NfL serum levels at diagnosis were related to the outcome after 12 months ( $\beta_{\text{NfL}} = 0.55$ , p = 0.013) and the time until recovery (to an mRS score  $\leq 2$ ;  $\beta_{\text{NfL}} = 0.31$ , p = 0.050), although this seemed largely attributed to the effect of age at disease onset ( $\beta_{\text{NfL}} = 0.38$ , p = 0.14 and  $\beta_{\text{Age}} = 0.018$ , p = 0.26 for outcome after 12 months, Figure 3A;  $\beta_{\text{NfL}} = 0.18$ , p = 0.31 and  $\beta_{\text{Age}} = 0.020$ ,

Figure 3 NfL, Age, and Long-term Outcome



Higher NfL levels in serum were correlated with a worse outcome (higher mRS score) after 12 months (A) and a longer time to recovery (B). As can be seen by the colored dots, this was largely influenced by the age at onset. Correction for age at onset negated the significant association. mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NfL = neurofilament light chain.

p = 0.15 for recovery time, Figure 3B; Table 2). These findings were confirmed when applying dichotomous age-based cutoff values (p = 0.069 for outcome after 12 months, p = 0.14 for recovery time; eTable 2, links.lww.com/WNL/C731), and a subgroup analysis of the adult patients showed no different results either (eTable 3).

#### NfL in Longitudinal Follow-up Sera

We included a total of 58 follow-up samples of 20 patients, of whom 10 had had at least 1 relapse of encephalitis (Figure 4A), and 10 had a monophasic course. When monitoring NfL levels over time, we noted that NfL values often increased considerably in the weeks after onset, especially while on the ICU, and had a subsequent decrease over time, more pronounced in patients discharged from the ICU (Figure 4, B and C, eFigures 8 and 9, links.lww.com/WNL/C731). Of interest, in an illustrative patient with a relapse, the main increase of

NfL was seen only after the onset of symptoms (both in the initial episode and at relapse; Figure 4B). The suggestion of increase at the moment of onset of the relapse was similar to another patient who did not experience a relapse (Figure 4C). When focusing on the repeated serum measurements within the first months after disease onset, we see an increase of NfL levels up to 4–6 weeks (Figure 5A). This is in line with the observation that the majority of serum NfL measurements within the first weeks fall within the range of the healthy references, as opposed to the measurements after 2–4 weeks (Figure 5B).

## Discussion

In this study, we have investigated serum NfL as a biomarker in a large cohort of well-characterized patients with

Figure 4 Longitudinal NfL Levels in Serum



In all patients with a relapse (A), marked by the arrowheads. In 2 exemplary patients (B and C), we see an increase in NfL while admitted to the ICU (ICU admission annotated in red). The increase measured at the moment of relapse in patient B is similar to the one in the still-improving patient (C), without a relapse. The considerable increase is only seen later during the relapse. The treatment regime is represented by the colored squares at the top of the figure; IV methylprednisolone courses in light blue, immunoglobulins in dark blue, rituximab in light green, and cyclophosphamide in dark green. ICU = intensive care unit; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NfL = neurofilament light chain.

anti-NMDAR encephalitis. We demonstrate several important aspects: (1) although serum NfL levels are increased in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis, these do not provide independent prognostic value at diagnosis, neither for maximum severity nor for long-term outcome, and (2) serum NfL can be used to monitor the activity of disease in the chronic phase. However, the timing of serum NfL sampling has an influence on the values found, complicating the use as a biomarker to identify relapses early.

We have first established that serum NfL levels are increased in patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis compared with the general population. Identified associations between NfL levels and age, a preceding HSV1 encephalitis, and radiologic signs of tissue damage are all in line with what we would expect, NfL being a marker of tissue injury associated with neuroaxonal damage.<sup>8,17</sup>

We identified no association between NfL levels at diagnosis and measures of maximum disease severity. In serial samples of patients admitted to the ICU, NfL levels increased within the first weeks; however, the initial values at diagnosis had no predictive value for ICU admission. Using univariable analysis, an association between serum NfL values and outcome after a year seemed to be present. As we and others have identified age as a factor associated both with higher NfL levels and with longer time to recovery, correction for age at onset was warranted.<sup>11</sup> This explained at least the larger part

Figure 5 Details on Timing of NfL Measurements



In all patients with multiple serum samples in the first 2 months after diagnosis, we see that the second measurements, starting at 28 days after diagnosis, exceed the normal range (A). The majority of all samples taken within the first 2 weeks after onset fall in the range of the healthy references (annotated with the green square; B). NfL = neurofilament light chain.

of the difference in NfL levels, and no independent relationship between NfL and outcome at 12 months was identified.

These findings correspond partly with the literature. Whereas other studies also negate the association between initial NfL levels, albeit in CSF, and disease severity,<sup>15,18</sup> 2 studies do associate NfL levels with disease severity (i.e., ICU admission).<sup>19,20</sup> The referred samples in one were of the moment of determining severity and did not precede or predict disease severity (i.e., at diagnosis).<sup>19</sup> Two of the mentioned studies, in homogeneous cohorts of patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis, also described no applicability of NfL levels in CSF or serum as a biomarker for outcome.<sup>18,20</sup> Two other studies found a correlation between NfL levels in diagnostic CSF samples and long-term outcome, even after (partial) correction for age, albeit in heterogeneous cohorts of patients with autoimmune encephalitis or paraneoplastic syndromes with diverse pathophysiologic mechanisms (not limited to anti-NMDAR encephalitis).<sup>21,22</sup>

The observed NfL increase in the weeks after symptom onset was previously observed in a cohort of patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis.<sup>19</sup> This might suggest that axonal damage is not a hyperacute initial feature of the disease causing clinical symptoms; rather, serum NfL levels likely reflect an integral measure of antecedent and ongoing neuronal damage. This additionally discourages the deployment of NfL as a biomarker, as the timing of sampling largely affects the values found. Although the longitudinal data are limited, we provide some data to suggest that the same delay in increase hampers the use of serum NfL as a marker to predict relapses. As serum levels do often increase, a delayed NfL measurement may be used as a marker to differentiate between a relapse, pseudorelapse (i.e., due to infection), or persisting neurologic symptoms. As serum NMDAR antibodies are not very reliable,<sup>4</sup> and CSF NMDAR antibody titers at remission are often not available, this could still be very valuable to decide on escalation of treatment or installment of maintenance immunotherapy.

Our study has limitations, mainly related to the sample size and retrospective design. Although we have included all available pretreatment samples of our nationwide cohort, anti-NMDAR encephalitis is a rare disease, and the consequentially moderate sample size limits the power of our analyses. The retrospective study design did not allow us to monitor NfL values at regulated time points, and the longitudinal analysis is based on a limited subgroup only. In addition, follow-up was relatively short, and we did not perform regular imaging at consistent intervals, so we were unable to correlate NfL levels with lesion load and brain volume loss over time. Last, we used the mRS to quantify disability and outcome, which, despite being the most commonly used scale, is crude and not specific for this condition. More sensitive (cognitive) measures might yield different results correlating NfL values and disability. Prospective, structured follow-up could solve the majority of these limitations in the future.

In conclusion, axonal damage is a feature of active anti-NMDAR encephalitis, and measuring serum NfL might prove helpful in clinical practice to identify active disease and monitor recovery. NfL levels are no independent predictors for disease severity or outcome. As the timing of sampling seems to have a large effect on NfL values, the use of single values in prediction of disease severity, outcome, or relapses is complicated.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors thank all patients for their participation and all referring physicians. They thank Suzanne Franken, Mariska Nagtzaam, and Sanae Boukhrissi for their technical assistance. M.W.J. Schreurs, R.F. Neuteboom, P.A.E. Sillevis Smitt, J.M. de Vries, and M.J. Titulaer of this publication are members of the European Reference Network for Rare Immunodeficiency, Autoinflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases—Project ID No. 739543 (ERN-RITA).

#### **Study Funding**

This study has received funding from Dioraphte (2001 0403) and is supported by ZonMw (Memorabel program).

#### Disclosure

J. Brenner reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. S. Mariotto has received support for attending scientific meetings by Merck and Euroimmun and received speaker honoraria from Biogen and Novartis. A.E.M. Bastiaansen and M. Paunovic report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. S. Ferrari received support for attending scientific meetings by Shire, Sanofi Genzyme, and Euroimmun and received a speaker honorarium from Lundbeck. D. Alberti, M.A.A.M. de Bruijn, Y.S. Crijnen, M.W.J. Schreurs, R.F. Neuteboom, J.G.M.C. Damoiseaux, and J.M. de Vries report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. M.J. Titulaer has received research funds for serving on a scientific advisory board of MedImmune LLC and UCB, has filed a patent for methods and devices for typing neurologic disorders and cancer, and has received research funds for consultation at Guidepoint Global LLC and unrestricted research grants from CSL Behring and Euroimmun AG. M.J. Titulaer is supported by an Interlaken Leadership Award, an E-RARE 3 grant (UltraAIE), and the Dutch Epilepsy Foundation (NEF 14-19 and 19-08). Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

#### **Publication History**

Received by *Neurology* June 30, 2022. Accepted in final form February 9, 2023. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editors were Brad Worrall, MD, MSc, FAAN, and Amy Kunchok, MBBS, MMed, FRACP.

#### Appendix Authors

Juliette Department of Neurology, Dr Brenner, MD Erasmus University Medical m. Center, Rotterdam, the ind Netherlands fo	<u></u>
stu an int	afting/revision of the anuscript for content, luding medical writing content; major role in e acquisition of data; idy concept or design; d analysis or erpretation of data

Name	Location	Contribution
Sara Mariotto, MD, PhD	Neurology Unit, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data
Anna E.M. Bastiaansen, MD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; and analysis or interpretation of data
Manuela Paunovic, PhD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Sergio Ferrari, MD	Neurology Unit, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Daniela Alberti, BSc	Neurology Unit, Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, Italy	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Marienke A.A.M. de Bruijn, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and major role in the acquisition of data
Yvette S. Crijnen, MD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Marco W.J. Schreurs, PhD	Department of Immunology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Rinze F. Neuteboom, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Jan G.M.C. Damoiseaux, PhD	Central Diagnostic Laboratory, Maastricht UMC+, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Juna M. de Vries, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Maarten J. Titulaer, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content, and study concept or design

#### References

- Dalmau J, Armangué T, Planagumà J, et al. An update on anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis for neurologists and psychiatrists: mechanisms and models. *Lancet Neurol.* 2019;18(11):1045-1057. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30244-3
- Titulaer MJ, McCracken L, Gabilondo I, et al. Treatment and prognostic factors for long-term outcome in patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: an observational cohort study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12(2):157-165. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70310-1
- Balu R, McCracken L, Lancaster E, Graus F, Dalmau J, Titulaer MJ. A score that predicts 1-year functional status in patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. *Neurology*. 2019;92(3):e244-e252. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000006783
- Gresa-Arribas N, Titulaer MJ, Torrents A, et al. Antibody titres at diagnosis and during follow-up of anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: a retrospective study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2014;13(2):167-177. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70282-5
- Hansen HC, Klingbeil C, Dalmau J, Li W, Weißbrich B, Wandinger KP. Persistent intrathecal antibody synthesis 15 years after recovering from anti-N-methyl-Daspartate receptor encephalitis. JAMA Neurol. 2013;70(1):117-119. doi:10.1001/ jamaneurol.2013.585
- Leypoldt F, Höftberger R, Titulaer MJ, et al. Investigations on CXCL13 in anti-Nmethyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: a potential biomarker of treatment response. JAMA Neurol. 2015;72(2):180-186. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.2956
- Khalil M, Teunissen CE, Otto M, et al. Neurofilaments as biomarkers in neurological disorders. Nat Rev Neurol. 2018;14(10):577-589. doi:10.1038/s41582-018-0058-z
- Mariotto S, Sechi E, Ferrari S. Serum neurofilament light chain studies in neurological disorders, hints for interpretation. J Neurol Sci. 2020;416:116986. doi:10.1016/ j.jns.2020.116986
- Altmann P, Leutmezer F, Zach H, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain withstands delayed freezing and repeated thawing. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):19982. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-77098-8
- Graus F, Titulaer MJ, Balu R, et al. A clinical approach to diagnosis of autoimmune encephalitis. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15(4):391-404. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00401-9
- Bastiaansen AEM, de Bruijn MAAM, Schuller SL, et al. Anti-NMDAR encephalitis in the Netherlands, focusing on late-onset patients and antibody test accuracy. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm*. 2021;9(2):e1127. doi:10.1212/NXL000000000001127

- Valentino P, Marnetto F, Martire S, et al. Serum neurofilament light chain levels in healthy individuals: a proposal of cut-off values for use in multiple sclerosis clinical practice. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. 2021;54:103090. doi:10.1016/ j.msard.2021.103090
- Hviid CVB, Knudsen CS, Parkner T. Reference interval and preanalytical properties of serum neurofilament light chain in Scandinavian adults. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 2020;80(4):291-295. doi:10.1080/00365513.2020.1730434
- Jin J, Cui Y, Hong Y, et al. Reference values for plasma neurofilament light chain in healthy Chinese children. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2021;60(1):e10-e12. doi:10.1515/cclm-2021-0804
- Mariotto S, Gajofatto A, Zuliani L, et al. Serum and CSF neurofilament light chain levels in antibody-mediated encephalitis. J Neurol. 2019;266(7):1643-1648. doi: 10.1007/s00415-019-09306-z
- Armangue T, Leypoldt F, Málaga I, et al. Herpes simplex virus encephalitis is a trigger of brain autoimmunity. Ann Neurol. 2014;75(2):317-323. doi:10.1002/ana.24083
- Westman G, Aurelius E, Ahlm C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers of brain injury, inflammation and synaptic autoimmunity predict long-term neurocognitive outcome in herpes simplex encephalitis. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2021;27(8):1131-1136. doi:10.1016/ j.cmi.2020.09.031
- Day GS, Yarbrough MY, Körtvelyessy PM, et al. Prospective quantification of CSF biomarkers in antibody-mediated encephalitis. *Neurology*. 2021;96(20):e2546-e2557. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000011937. Erratum in: Neurology. 2021;97(16):795.
- Macher S, Zrzavy T, Höftberger R, et al. Longitudinal measurement of cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light in anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis. *Eur J Neurol.* 2021;28(4):1401-1405. doi:10.1111/ene.14631
- Guasp M, Martín-Aguilar L, Sabater L, et al. Neurofilament light chain levels in anti-NMDAR encephalitis and primary psychiatric psychosis. *Neurology*. 2022;98(14): e1489-e1498. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000200021
- Constantinescu R, Krýsl D, Andrén K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid markers of neuronal and glial cell damage in patients with autoimmune neurologic syndromes with and without underlying malignancies. J Neuroimmunol. 2017;306:25-30. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2017.02.018
- Nissen MS, Ryding M, Nilsson AC, et al. CSF-neurofilament light chain levels in NMDAR and LGI1 encephalitis: a national cohort study. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12: 719432. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.719432



## Predictive Value of Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels in Anti-NMDA Receptor Encephalitis

Juliette Brenner, Sara Mariotto, Anna E.M. Bastiaansen, et al. Neurology 2023;100;e2204-e2213 Published Online before print April 4, 2023 DOI 10.1212/WNL.000000000207221

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/100/21/e2204.full
References	This article cites 22 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/100/21/e2204.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 1 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/100/21/e2204.full##otherarticles
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Encephalitis http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/encephalitis Prognosis http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/prognosis
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

## This information is current as of April 4, 2023

*Neurology* <sup>®</sup> is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

