Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Akshara Raghavendra, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY K. Shilo, The Ohio State University, United States Lorenzo Belluomini, University of Verona, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Anne-Marie C. Dingemans a.dingemans@erasmusmc.nl

RECEIVED 15 January 2023 ACCEPTED 21 April 2023 PUBLISHED 05 May 2023

CITATION

Brouns AJWM, Hendriks LEL, Robbesom-van den Berge IJ, Driessen AJHM, Roemen GMJM, van Herpen BLJ, Dekkers Z, Heitzer B, Leunissen DJG, Moonen L, Lunde R, Westenend M, van Driel M, Speel E-JM and Dingemans A-MC (2023) Association of RANKL and EGFR gene expression with bone metastases in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 13:1145001. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1145001

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Brouns, Hendriks, Robbesom-van den Berge, Driessen, Roemen, van Herpen, Dekkers, Heitzer, Leunissen, Moonen, Lunde, Westenend, van Driel, Speel and Dingemans. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of RANKL and EGFR gene expression with bone metastases in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer

Anita J.W.M. Brouns^{1,2,3}, Lizza E.L. Hendriks^{2,3}, Iris J. Robbesom-van den Berge⁴, Annemariek J.H.M. Driessen⁵, Guido M.J.M. Roemen⁶, Britt L.J. van Herpen⁶, Zoë Dekkers⁶, Bas Heitzer⁶, Daphne J.G. Leunissen⁶, Laura Moonen⁶, Ragnar Lunde⁷, Marcel Westenend⁸, Marjolein van Driel⁴, Ernst-Jan M. Speel⁶ and Anne-Marie C. Dingemans^{2,3,9*}

¹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Zuyderland, Geleen, Netherlands, ²Department of Respiratory Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, Netherlands, ³Department of Pulmonary Diseases, GROW - School for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, Netherlands, ⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands, ⁵Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Toxicology, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, Netherlands, ⁶Department of Pathology, GROW-School for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht University Medical Center+, Maastricht, Netherlands, ⁷Department of Respiratory Medicine, Laurentius Hospital, Roermond, Netherlands, ⁸Department of Respiratory Medicine, Viecuri Medical Center, Venlo, Netherlands, ⁹Department of Respiratory Medicine, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, University Medical Centerter, Notherlands

Introduction: Bone metastases are frequent in patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The receptor activator of Nuclear Factor κB (RANK)/RANK ligand (RANKL)/osteoprotegerin (OPG) pathway is important in bone metastases development. Furthermore, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling promotes osteoclast formation and stimulation. The understanding of the biological mechanism of bone metastases development might have implications for treatment strategies. Therefore, we studied whether there is an association between EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expression in the tumor and presence of bone metastases in patients with NSCLC.

Methods: From an updated multicenter study, including patients with *EGFR* mutated (*EGFR*+), Kirsten rat sarcoma (*KRAS*+) and *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype metastatic NSCLC, all patients with available formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor samples were selected. Ribonucleic Acid (RNA) was isolated from these samples and gene expressions of EGFR, RANKL, OPG and RANKL were determined *via* quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR). Data on demographics, histology and molecular subtyping, sample origin, presence of bone metastasis, SREs and bone progression were collected. Primary endpoint was relation between EGFR, RANK, RANKL, OPG gene expression, RANKL: OPG ratio and bone metastases.

Results: In 73/335 (32% *EGFR+*, 49% *KRAS+*, 19% *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype) samples from unique patients, gene expression analysis could be performed. Of these 73 patients, 46 (63%) had bone metastases at diagnosis or developed bone metastases during the disease course. No association was found between EGFR expression and presence of bone metastases. Patients with bone metastases had a significantly higher RANKL expression and RANKL: OPG ratio compared to those without. An increased RANKL: OPG ratio resulted in a 1.65x increased risk to develop bone metastases, especially in the first 450 days after diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC.

Conclusion: Increased RANKL gene expression and RANKL: OPG ratio, but not EGFR expression, was associated with presence of bone metastases. Additionally, an increased RANKL: OPG gene ratio was associated with a higher incidence of bone metastases development.

KEYWORDS

bone metastases, receptor activator of nuclear factor κ b ligand, epidermal growth factor expression, osteoprotegerin, lung adenocarcinoma, epidermal growth factor mutation

1 Introduction

The skeleton is a common site for tumor metastases of several malignancies. For example, 30-60% of patients with metastatic lung cancer develop bone metastases (1, 2). In patients with bone metastases, bone turnover is disturbed. Normal bone remodeling requires a perfect balance between osteoblasts, osteoclasts and numerous signaling pathways, growth factors and control mechanisms. An important role is reserved for the Receptor activator of Nuclear Factor κ B (RANK)/RANK ligand (RANKL)/ osteoprotegerin (OPG, the decoy receptor and antagonist of RANKL) pathway in bone development (3). By binding of RANKL to RANK, an ongoing cascade is set in motion, in which cancer cells stimulate osteoclasts, which in turn degrade the bone. During osteoclastogenic bone resorption different growth factors and cytokines are released from the bone, which stimulate the cancer cells to expansive growth (4).

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling is involved in the proliferation of osteoclast precursors. Signaling *via* EGFR promotes osteoclast formation and stimulation by inhibition of OPG expression and by increasing monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1; which induces osteoclast fusion and activity), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MSCF) and RANKL expression (5, 6). An *in vitro* study showed that the addition of EGFR-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs) completely blocked RANKL-dependent osteoclast formation and led to apoptosis in matured osteoclasts. These observations suggest an essential role for EGFR signaling in RANKL-mediated osteoclast differentiation and survival (7).

EGFR protein expression, determined by immunohistochemistry, in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is up-regulated in 40-80% of the tumors (8, 9). Conflicting results exist regarding the association of EGFR protein expression and *EGFR* mutations in NSCLC: some studies showed a higher EGFR protein expression in tumor samples (n=133-970) of patients with *EGFR* mutated (*EGFR*+) NSCLC (10, 11), while others (n=102-159) showed no association (12, 13). The upregulated EGFR protein expression in the tumor (which possibly results in increased EGFR signaling) that was observed in some studies evaluating *EGFR*+ NSCLC, could be an explanation for our previously reported higher incidence of bone metastases in *EGFR*+ NSCLC compared with Kirsten rat sarcoma (*KRAS*+) and *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype NSCLC (14).

To the best of our knowledge, it has never been studied in a clinical setting whether there is an association between EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expression in the tumor and presence of bone metastases in patients with NSCLC. In this study, we tried answering this question since understanding the biological mechanism of bone metastases development might have implications for adequate bone metastasis screening and (prophylactic) treatment decisions.

2 Materials and methods

Data from a study of patients with metastatic NSCLC were used (1). In this case-control study, for every patient with *EGFR*+ NSCLC (i.e., exon 19 deletion or exon 21 point mutation), the consecutive patients with a *KRAS*+ and *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype NSCLC were included as a case-control group. Wildtype was defined as *EGFR* and *KRAS* mutation negative NSCLC, as extensive molecular testing was not standard of care at that time. The established database covered the period from 01-10-2008 to 01-08-2012 and was updated (additional patients as well as updated data) till 01-09-2017 (1). For the current study all patients with available formalin-

fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue samples were selected. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Maastricht UMC+ (METC 2017-0318) and the need for informed consent was waived.

2.1 Data collection

The in-and outpatient medical records of all patients were retrieved. Eligible patients were patients with metastatic NSCLC, with data regarding molecular analysis and follow-up and sufficient FFPE tumor tissue available. The following data were collected: demographics, date of diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC, smoking status, histology, mutation status, site of biopsy l (e.g. pathology obtained from bone, lung, lymph node, adrenal lesion), baseline bone metastasis, development of bone metastases during treatment, treatment, skeletal related events (SREs) and time of death. SREs were defined as pathological fracture, spinal cord compression, necessity for radiation to bone (for pain or impending fracture) or surgery to bone (15).

2.2 Measurement of EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expression

EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG expression was measured by reverse transcriptase quantitative real time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR) on ribonucleic acid (RNA) extracted from FFPE tissue. Data were presented as relative mRNA levels calculated by the equation 2⁻ delta cycling time (Ct). Delta CT is CT of target gene minus CT of housekeeping gene. Data were expressed on a logarithmic scale. See Supplementary Material for a more detailed explanation of the measurement of gene expression.

2.3 Statistics

Statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS (v20; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) and SAS 9.4. Descriptive statistics of demographic and clinical variables were obtained. Categorical variables were compared using chi-square tests and continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U Test or the Kruskal-Wallis test. Reverse Kaplan-Meier was used for calculating median followup time. Due to small sample sizes, bone metastases at baseline or development of bone metastases during disease were grouped together and classified as "bone metastases present". EGFR gene expression was represented in quartiles, as there is no standard cutoff for high or low EGFR gene expression.

Competing risk analysis was used for the association between RANKL: OPG ratio and time to development of bone metastases for patients without bone metastases. The proportional hazards assumption was tested using time-dependent Cox regression analyses with interaction between RANKL: OPG ratio and time. Due to violation of this assumption the analysis was separated in two time intervals and the -2LogLikelihood was compared between models with different time-cut-off points to identify the best cut-off (i.e., the model with the lowest -2LogLikelihood). The relation of EGFR, RANK, RANKL, OPG gene expression, RANKL: OPG ratio and bone metastases was the primary endpoint of this study. Secondary endpoints were 1) Association between sample origin (primary site, non-bone metastasis, metastasis in general except bone, bone) and expression of EGFR, RANK, RANKL and OPG and RANKL: OPG ratio, 2) Expression of EGFR, RANK, RANKL and OPG and RANKL: OPG ratio in different molecular subgroups (*EGFR*+, *KRAS*+, *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype) in relation to bone metastases.

3 Results

3.1 Patient characteristics

From 169 patients (50%) of the total group of 335 patients, FFPE tumor samples were available. Ultimately, sufficient RNA could be extracted from 73 samples (Flowchart in Figure 1). In 52 out of 73 patients (81%), the pathology samples were obtained at diagnosis of metastatic disease. The other 21 patients were primarily diagnosed with early-stage NSCLC and had a median time to detection of metastatic disease of 550 days (range 87-2196 days). Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Median follow-up from diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC was 58.5 months (95% confidence interval (CI): 34.8-82.2 months).

3.2 EGFR, RANKL, RANK, OPG gene expression

EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expressions were nonnormally distributed (data not shown). The median EGFR expression was 0.84 (interquartile range (IQR) 1.67), the median RANKL expression was 0.02 (IQR 0.05), the median OPG expression was 0.09 (IQR 0.10) and the median RANK expression was 0.02 (IQR 0.03) (Figure 2).



Flowchart of pathology sample selection. The flowchart showed the process of sample selection and reasons for exclusion of samples. n, number; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; RNA, Ribonucleic acid.

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics.

Characteristics	Total n=73
Female n (%)	46 (63)
Never smoker n (%)	8 (11)
Mean age at diagnosis metastatic NSCLC, years (range)	62.8 (32-84)
Molecular subgroup n (%)	
EGFR+ KRAS+ EGFR/KRAS wildtype	23 (32) 36 (49) 14 (19)
Tumor origin n (%)	
Lung (primary tumor) Bone Other metastasis	29 (40) 9 (12) 35 (48)
Metastatic disease at diagnosis n (%)	47 (64)
Bone metastases at diagnosis stage IV n (%)	27 (37)
Bone metastases at diagnosis or during course of disease n (%)	46 (63)
SRE n (%)*	26 (57)
Type of SRE n (%) [#]	
Radiotherapy Pathologic fracture Surgery Spinal cord compression BTA use in all patients n (%) ^{\$}	25 (96) 4 (15) 6 (23) 2 (8)
Denosumab Bisphosphonate	1 (1) 8 (11)

n, number; EGFR+, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor mutation; KRAS+, Kirsten rat sarcoma mutation; SRE, skeletal related event; BTA, bone targeted agent.

*Percentages were calculated by group of patients with bone metastases.

^{\pm}Percentages were calculated by subgroup of all pts with SREs (n=26). Some patients experienced more than one SRE.

^{\$}Denosumab was used in one patient without bone metastases, all patients who used bisphosphonates had bone metastases.

3.3 Association between EGFR gene expression and RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expression or RANKL: OPG ratio and presence of bone metastases

EGFR expression was similar for patients with and without bone metastases (p=0.479). The percentage of patients with and without bone metastases was comparable between all EGFR quartiles (p=0.174, Figure 3A). Patients with bone metastases had an increased tumor RANKL expression and increased RANKL: OPG ratio, compared to those without bone metastases (p=0.002and p=0.026 respectively).

Subdividing patients based on EGFR quartiles showed that RANKL gene expression was numerically higher in all EGFR quartiles for patients with bone metastases and statistically higher in the second and third EGFR quartile (Figure 3C). In the different EGFR quartiles, no significant differences for OPG, RANK gene expressions and RANKL: OPG ratio and presence of bone metastases were observed (Figures 3B, D, E).

3.4 EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG gene expression or RANKL: OPG ratio in primary tumors and metastases

The obtained tumor samples were subdivided based on site of origin: primary tumor (n=29), non-bone metastases (e.g., lymph node, liver, adrenal grand, parietal pleura, brain, other; n=35) and bone metastases (n=9). No difference was found for the percentage of tumor cells in the pathology sample and RANKL gene expression (data not shown). For the whole population of patients with and without bone metastases, significantly higher RANKL gene expression was observed in bone samples than in samples derived from the primary tumor (p=0.025). Pathology samples of both nonbone as well as bone metastases had a significant higher RANKL: OPG ratio in comparison to samples of the primary tumor (p=0.004 and p=0.028). The OPG gene expression was significantly lower in samples of bone metastases compared to non-bone metastases (p=0.043). RANK gene expression was significantly higher in samples of non-bone metastases in comparison to the primary



FIGURE 2

EGFR, RANKL, OPG, RANK gene expression in all patients. This figure shows the relative EGFR, RANKL, OPG and RANK gene expression measured on pathology samples of all patients. EGFR, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor; RANKL, Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor κ B ligand; OPG, osteoprotegerin; RANK, Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor κ B.

tumor (p=0.047). Figure 4 shows the different gene expression of the pathology samples. In the group of patients with bone metastases, no significant differences were observed between the various sample origins, only a trend to significance for OPG gene expression and RANKL: OPG ratio (p=0.072, p=0.079).

3.5 Gene expression of EGFR, RANKL, RANK and OPG or RANKL: OPG ratio in different NSCLC molecular subgroups in relation to bone metastases

Independent of the presence of bone metastases, patients with an *EGFR* mutation had a significantly higher EGFR expression, compared to patients with a *KRAS*+ or *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype NSCLC (p<0.001) (Supplementary Material, Figure 1A).

Patients with KRAS+ NSCLC and bone metastases had a significantly higher RANKL expression and higher RANKL: OPG ratio (p=0.002) compared to patients with KRAS+ NSCLC without bone metastases (p=0.017). This was not found for the other molecular subgroups. The OPG expression was significantly higher for patients with bone metastases in the subgroup of patients with *EGFR*+ and *EGFR/KRAS* wildtype NSCLC (p=0.021 and p=0.028) (Supplementary Material, Figures 1B-E). No significant difference was observed between the different

expression levels and presence of SREs in patients with bone metastases (data not shown).

3.6 Association between RANKL: OPG ratio and time to development of bone metastases

The RANKL: OPG ratio in relation to bone metastases development violated the proportional hazards assumption, therefore an early and late effect was determined. The hazard ratio (HR) of the RANKL: OPG ratio in the first 450 days after diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC was 1.65 (95% CI: 0.66-4.12) and decreased to 0.17 (95% CI: 0.03-0.95) thereafter.

4 Discussion

Previously, we showed that bone metastases were more frequent in patients with EGFR+ metastatic NSCLC than in patients with KRAS+ or EGFR/KRAS wildtype NSCLC, and that post bone metastases survival was significantly longer in patients with EGFR + NSCLC (1, 14). Based on preclinical data, showing that EGFR expression inhibits OPG expression and increases RANKL expression (5, 6), we hypothesized that the earlier observed increased EGFR gene expression in EGFR+ NSCLC (8, 9) may lead to an altered shift of RANKL expression or RANKL: OPG ratio and thereby promote bone metastases in EGFR+ NSCLC. In the current study, we indeed found that EGFR+ NSCLC had a significantly higher EGFR gene expression as compared to KRAS+ or EGFR/KRAS wildtype NSCLC. We could not demonstrate any association between EGFR gene expression level and the presence of bone metastases. However, patients with bone metastases had a significantly higher RANKL expression and RANKL: OPG ratio compared to those without bone metastases; possibly because the bone microenvironment in those with bone metastases released cytokines or growth factors which induced RANKL expression also in the tumor. This increased RANKL and RANKL: OPG ratio is in line with observations in an in vitro study in three human NSCLC cell lines and in 127 NSCLC tumor samples (52 primary tumors and 75 bone metastasis samples) in which the expression of RANKL, RANK and OPG was estimated by RT-PCR in cell lines and by immunohistochemistry (IHC) on tumor tissue (16). In addition, both in vitro and in vivo an increased RANKL expression and elevated RANKL: OPG ratio was associated with an enhanced potential of NSCLC to metastasize to the bone (16). Our data confirmed that patients with NSCLC with a higher RANKL: OPG ratio more often developed bone metastases, primarily in the first 450 days after diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC. Various studies have been performed to investigate biomarkers related to bone metastases or skeletal related event development. Examples are bone specific alkaline phosphatase in serum, urine N-terminal telopeptide in urine and C-X-C- Motif Chemokine Receptor 4 on the tumor (17). However, most of these are not used in daily practice as there is no recognized standard because of inconsistent study results. We showed an increased RANKL expression



(A-E) EGFR, OPG, RANKL gene expression, RANKL: OPG ratio and RANK gene expression in relation to presence of bone metastases. Patients were subdivided in groups by EGFR expression. The first quartile is the lowest and the fourth quartile is the highest EGFR gene expression. (A) EGFR gene expression, (B) OPG gene expression, (C) RANKL gene expression, (D) RANKL: OPG ratio, (E) RANK gene expression. An asterisk denotes a significant difference between groups. EGFR, Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor; OPG, osteoprotegerin; RANKL, Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor κB ligand; RANK, Receptor Activator of Nuclear Factor κB .

especially in patients with *KRAS*+ NSCLC and bone metastases. As far as we know, no data about RANKL expression in this subgroup exists. Human lung adenocarcinoma data sets only showed that RANKL expression was significantly higher in *KRAS*+ lung

adenocarcinoma compared to *KRAS* wildtype lung adenocarcinoma (18).

As previously reported in breast or renal cell carcinoma, RANKL triggers the migration and metastasis of RANK



expressing cancer cells (19, 20). A retrospective analysis in patients with non-metastatic breast cancer (n=509) showed a positive association between higher RANKL serum levels (measured by enzyme linked immune sorbent assay [ELISA]) and presence of disseminated tumor cells in the bone marrow and also with the development of bone metastases (21). Moreover, patients within the highest quartile of RANKL had a 4.6 increased risk for developing bone metastases compared to those within the lowest quartile (21). This is in line with our observation that patients with bone metastases had higher RANKL expression, especially in *KRAS* +NSCLC. It is not known whether the effect of RANKL inhibition (e.g., denosumab) on bone metastases related outcomes in patients with high versus low RANKL expression is different. In the Splendour trial no survival benefit was found when denosumab was added to first-line chemotherapy in patients with metastatic

NSCLC (2). However, these patients were unselected for the presence of bone metastases and bone related outcomes were not reported. It would be of interest to explore the outcomes in patients with bone metastases and evaluate whether there is a relation between bone metastases related outcomes and RANKL expression (tumor or serum) as well as RANKL/OPG ratio (2).

In the current study, we could not find an explanation for our previously observed higher incidence of bone metastases in patients with EGFR+ NSCLC (14). Although EGFR gene expression was higher, no association with a higher RANKL gene expression or RANKL: OPG ratio in tumor samples was observed. It could be that the tumor tissue is not the correct place to measure these values. Nowadays, more and more studies point on the role of extracellular vesicles (EVs) in bone metastases development in multiple types of cancer (22–24). An *in vitro* study

showed that CRL-2868 NSCLC cells containing an *EGFR* 19 deletion, secrete exosomes containing EGFR ligand and Amphiregulin. These EVs were able to induce *in vitro* osteoclast differentiation of murine RAW264.7 cells by activation of EGFR phosphorylation and induction of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase expression. These results were confirmed *ex vivo* by the finding that patient derived EVs were able to modulate osteoclastogenesis in human osteoclast precursors (23). Therefore, future studies should also focus on EVs in patients with (*EGFR*+) NSCLC to unravel the biological mechanism of bone metastases formation.

This study has its limitations. First, due to unavailability of tumor samples or impossibility to perform the gene expression analysis, the sample size was not large enough to have sufficient power for subgroup analysis. A second limitation is the different origin of the pathological samples, which could create bias in expression analysis as, by nature, RANKL expression in bone is higher than in lung tissue (25). However, as we had only nine bone samples in our analysis, we think this did not significantly affected our results. Third, not all patients underwent a 2-deoxy-2-[fluorine-18] fluoro-D-glucose positron emission tomography-computer tomography scan (FDG-PET-CT scan) or bone scintigraphy, therefore it could be that presence of bone metastases is underestimated as not all asymptomatic bone metastases will have been diagnosed by regular computed tomography of the chest and upper abdomen. Finally, patients with bone metastases and development of bone metastases during disease were grouped together and in doing so, one can ask whether the biological behavior of the tumor is the same in both groups. However, when analyzing both groups separately, the results remained similar (data not shown).

In conclusion, our study showed no association between EGFR gene expression and presence of bone metastases in patients with NSCLC; however, patients with bone metastases had a higher RANKL gene expression and RANKL: OPG ratio. An elevated RANKL: OPG ratio was associated with a higher incidence of bone metastases development, especially in the first year after diagnosis of metastatic NSCLC.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Medisch-ethische toetsingscommissie Maastricht. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AB: conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing-original draft, writing - review & editing, visualization, funding acquisition. LH: conceptualization, methodology, writing - review & editing, supervision, funding acquisition. IR-VB: conceptualization, methodology, validation, formal analysis, investigation, writing - review & editing. AD: formal analysis, writing - review & editing. GR: conceptualization, methodology, resources, writing - review & editing. BLJH: investigation, methodology, writing - review & editing. ZD: investigation, writing - review & editing. BH: investigation, methodology, writing - review & editing. DL: methodology, writing - review & editing. LM: methodology, writing - review & editing. RL: resources, writing - review & editing. MW: recourses, writing review & editing. MD: conceptualization, methodology, validation, writing - review & editing, supervision. E-JS: conceptualization, writing - review & editing. A-MD: conceptualization, writing review & editing, supervision, funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was funded by a grant (no number) from the Five4Five Foundation, Cancer Research Fund Limburg (2018). Data were presented at ELCC 2023 as a poster (number 72p).

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts of interest related to this manuscript. Outside this manuscript: Author AB: participation on advisory board of Jansen. Author LH: research funding Roche Genentech. AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda, Beigene under negotiation all payments were paid to the institution. Speaker's fee from MSD, Lilly. Fees for educational webinars: Benecke, Medtalks, VJOncology self, high5oncology institution. Support for attending meetings and/or travel: Roche Genentech. Advisory board BMS, Eli Lilly, Roche Genentech, Pfizer, Takeda, MSD, Merck, Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Amgen, Janssen. Mentorship program with key opinion leaders: funded by AstraZeneca. Interview sessions funded by Roche Genentech, Bayer, Lilly. Local PI of clinical trials: AstraZeneca, Novartis, BMS, MSD/Merck, GSK, Takeda, Blueprint Medicines, Roche Genentech, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Mirati, Abbvie, Gilead. Author A-MD: Roche: Advisory Board, Steering Committee Eli Lilly: Honorarium Boehringer Ingelheim: Advisory Board Astra Zeneca: Honorarium, Advisory Board Jansen: Honorarium industry sponsored symposium Chiesi: Honorarium Amgen: Advisory Board, research support Pfizer: Honorarium Bayer: Advisory Board Takeda: Honorarium Pharmamar: Advisory Board Sanofi: Advisory Boar.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

References

1. Hendriks LE, Smit EF, Vosse BA, Mellema WW, Heideman DA, Bootsma GP, et al. EGFR mutated non-small cell lung cancer patients: more prone to development of bone and brain metastases? *Lung Cancer* (2014) 84(1):86–91. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2014.01.006

2. Peters S, Danson S, Hasan B, Dafni U, Reinmuth N, Majem M, et al. A randomized open-label phase III trial evaluating the addition of denosumab to standard first-line treatment in advanced NSCLC: the European thoracic oncology platform (ETOP) and European organisation for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC) SPLENDOUR trial. *J Thorac Oncol* (2020) 10:1647–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2020.06.011

3. Weilbaecher KN, Guise TA, McCauley LK. Cancer to bone: a fatal attraction. Nat Rev Cancer (2011) 11(6):411–25. doi: 10.1038/nrc3055

4. Pantano F, Clezardin P, Santini D. RANK/RANKL pathway in cancer: biological activity beyond bone? J Bone Oncol (2012) 1(3):67–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2012.11.001

5. Schneider MR, Sibilia M, Erben RG. The EGFR network in bone biology and pathology. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* (2009) 20(10):517-24. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2009.06.008

6. Kang Y. Dissecting tumor-stromal interactions in breast cancer bone metastasis. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) (2016) 31(2):206-12. doi: 10.3803/EnM.2016.31.2.206

7. Yi T, Lee HL, Cha JH, Ko SI, Kim HJ, Shin HI, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor regulates osteoclast differentiation and survival through cross-talking with RANK signaling. *J Cell Physiol* (2008) 217(2):409–22. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21511

8. Hirsch FR, Varella-Garcia M, Cappuzzo F. Predictive value of EGFR and HER2 overexpression in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncogene* (2009) 28 Suppl 1: S32–7. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.199

9. Hirsch FR, Varella-Garcia M, Bunn PAJr., Di Maria MV, Veve R, Bremmes RM, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor in non-small-cell lung carcinomas: correlation between gene copy number and protein expression and impact on prognosis. *J Clin Oncol* (2003) 21(20):3798–807. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2003.11.069

10. Douillard JY, Pirker R, O'Byrne KJ, Kerr KM, Storkel S, von Heydebreck A, et al. Relationship between EGFR expression, EGFR mutation status, and the efficacy of chemotherapy plus cetuximab in FLEX study patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* (2014) 9(5):717–24. doi: 10.1097/JTO.000000000000141

11. Liang Z, Zhang J, Zeng X, Gao J, Wu S, Liu T. Relationship between EGFR expression, copy number and mutation in lung adenocarcinomas. *BMC Cancer* (2010) 10:376. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-10-376

12. Cappuzzo F, Hirsch FR, Rossi E, Bartolini S, Ceresoli GL, Bemis L, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor gene and protein and gefitinib sensitivity in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2005) 97(9):643–55. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dji112

13. Tsao AS, Tang XM, Sabloff B, Xiao L, Shigematsu H, Roth J, et al. Clinicopathologic characteristics of the EGFR gene mutation in non-small cell

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2023.1145001/ full#supplementary-material

lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol (2006) 1(3):231-9. doi: 10.1016/S1556-0864(15) 31573-2

14. Kuijpers C, Hendriks LEL, Derks JL, Dingemans AC, van Lindert ASR, van den Heuvel MM, et al. Association of molecular status and metastatic organs at diagnosis in patients with stage IV non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer* (2018) 121:76–81. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2018.05.006

15. Kuchuk M, Addison CL, Clemons M, Kuchuk I, Wheatley-Price P. Incidence and consequences of bone metastases in lung cancer patients. *J Bone Oncol* (2013) 2 (1):22–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2012.12.004

16. Peng X, Guo W, Ren T, Lou Z, Lu X, Zhang S, et al. Differential expression of the RANKL/RANK/OPG system is associated with bone metastasis in human non-small cell lung cancer. *PloS One* (2013) 8(3):e58361. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058361

17. Chai X, Yinwang E, Wang Z, Wang Z, Xue Y, Li B, et al. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers for lung cancer bone metastasis and their therapeutic value. *Front Oncol* (2021) 11:692788. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.692788

18. Faget J, Contat C, Zangger N, Peters S, Meylan E. RANKL signaling sustains primary tumor growth in genetically engineered mouse models of lung adenocarcinoma. J Thorac Oncol (2018) 13(3):387–98. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2017.11.121

19. Jones DH, Nakashima T, Sanchez OH, Kozieradzki I, Komarova SV, Sarosi I, et al. Regulation of cancer cell migration and bone metastasis by RANKL. *Nature* (2006) 440(7084):692–6. doi: 10.1038/nature04524

20. Mikami S, Katsube K, Oya M, Ishida M, Kosaka T, Mizuno R, et al. Increased RANKL expression is related to tumour migration and metastasis of renal cell carcinomas. *J Pathol* (2009) 218(4):530–9. doi: 10.1002/path.2567

 Rachner TD, Kasimir-Bauer S, Gobel A, Erdmann K, Hoffmann O, Browne A, et al. Prognostic value of RANKL/OPG serum levels and disseminated tumor cells in nonmetastatic breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 25(4):1369–78. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-2482

22. Rossi M, Battafarano G, D'Agostini M, Del Fattore A. The role of extracellular vesicles in bone metastasis. *Int J Mol Sci* (2018) 19(4):1136–48. doi: 10.3390/ ijms19041136

23. Taverna S, Pucci M, Giallombardo M, Di Bella MA, Santarpia M, Reclusa P, et al. Amphiregulin contained in NSCLC-exosomes induces osteoclast differentiation through the activation of EGFR pathway. *Sci Rep* (2017) 7(1):3170. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03460-y

24. Urabe F, Patil K, Ramm GA, Ochiya T, Soekmadji C. Extracellular vesicles in the development of organ-specific metastasis. *J Extracell Vesicles* (2021) 10(9): e12125. doi: 10.1002/jev2.12125

25. Renema N, Navet B, Heymann MF, Lezot F, Heymann D. RANK-RANKL signalling in cancer. *Biosci Rep* (2016) 36(4):36–52. doi: 10.1042/BSR20160150