

This is a repository copy of Direct observation of dynamic lithium diffusion behavior in nickel-rich, LiNi0.8Mn0.1Co0.1O2 (NMC811) cathodes using operando muon spectroscopy.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/199675/</u>

Version: Published Version

# Article:

McClelland, I., Booth, S.G. orcid.org/0000-0001-7643-4196, Anthonisamy, N.N. et al. (5 more authors) (2023) Direct observation of dynamic lithium diffusion behavior in nickel-rich, LiNi0.8Mn0.1Co0.1O2 (NMC811) cathodes using operando muon spectroscopy. Chemistry of Materials. ISSN 0897-4756

https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03834

### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.





particle

# Direct Observation of Dynamic Lithium Diffusion Behavior in Nickel-Rich, LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (NMC811) Cathodes Using *Operando* Muon Spectroscopy

Innes McClelland, Samuel G. Booth, Nirmalesh N. Anthonisamy, Laurence A. Middlemiss, Gabriel E. Pérez, Edmund J. Cussen, Peter J. Baker, and Serena A. Cussen\*



Å-length scale  $Li^+$  ion diffusion in NMC811 during its first cycle and how this can be compared to electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and the galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT). Volume-averaged muon implantation enables measurements that are largely unaffected by interface/surface effects, thus providing a specific characterization of the fundamental bulk properties to complement surface-dominated electrochemical methods. First cycle measurements show that the bulk  $Li^+$  mobility is less affected than the surface  $Li^+$  mobility at full depth of discharge, indicating that sluggish surface diffusion is the likely cause of first cycle irreversible capacity loss. Additionally, we demonstrate that trends in the nuclear field distribution width of the implanted muons during cycling correlate with those observed in differential capacity, suggesting the sensitivity of this  $\mu$ SR parameter to structural changes during cycling.

~ Å

### INTRODUCTION

The promise of high capacity offered by the Ni-rich layered oxide cathode composition LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (NMC811, practical capacity >200 mAh  $g^{-1}$ )<sup>1</sup> is somewhat dampened by significant and irreversible capacity loss over the first cycle. While there are numerous material degradation processes that exist for NMC811, including increased Li/Ni mixing,<sup>2,3</sup> surface layer reconstruction,<sup>4,5</sup> particle cracking,<sup>6</sup> and decomposition reactions with the electrolyte,<sup>7,8</sup> a major cause of the first cycle irreversible capacity loss has been shown to be poor Li<sup>+</sup> ion mobility at a low state of charge.<sup>9-11</sup> Whittingham et al. have shown that this capacity loss, present across all NMC compositions, can be reduced by 80% by simply increasing the battery operating temperature.<sup>12</sup> Kinetic limitations within cathode materials are pertinent;<sup>9,13</sup> sluggish Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion has also been proposed as a cause of overpotential growth, resulting in reduced cathode utilization.<sup>14,15</sup> Rate capability is increasingly paramount for fast charging battery applications such as electric vehicles, and such mobility problems inevitably lead to a poor rate capability of the cathode. High rate performance depends on many cell characteristics,<sup>16</sup> including mass transport, percolating Li<sup>+</sup> pathways, adhesion to the current collector, and solid-electrolyte interface formation, but the fundamental performance limitations rely on the

capacity loss in future materials design. Here, we report on the

development of *operando* muon spectroscopy ( $\mu$ SR) to probe the

lithium diffusion coefficients ( $D_{\rm Li}$ ) within the electrode materials.<sup>17</sup>

~ μm

It is important to consider, and distinguish, the differing Li<sup>+</sup> ion mobility properties within both the bulk and surface regions in NMC811.<sup>4,18</sup> A reconstructed rock-salt layer, formed at the primary particle surface through the aggressive reduction of Ni<sup>4+</sup> to Ni<sup>3+</sup> by the electrolyte at high voltage,<sup>19,20</sup> has been found to display significantly lowered Li<sup>+</sup> ion diffusion compared to the bulk,<sup>21</sup> and although the surface layer is limited in thickness, this can result in a bottleneck for Li<sup>+</sup> transport between primary particles. Furthermore, lattice mismatch between the bulk and the surface rock-salt at high SOC (because of the well-established lattice contraction above 4.2 V) has been reported as a primary cause of fatigue degradation in the bulk.<sup>4</sup> While much interest rightly focuses on the harsh degradation processes that occur at high voltage

Received: December 31, 2022 Revised: April 24, 2023 Published: May 8, 2023

In the second se

in NMCs,<sup>5,19</sup> the fundamental kinetic limitations during discharge that cause the first cycle irreversible capacity loss,<sup>12</sup> still present in restricted voltage windows,<sup>11</sup> are less well understood.

To uncover the limiting factors for ionic mobility, a direct characterization of the electrochemical phenomena, which occur during cycling (i.e., an operando measurement), is highly desirable, as some subtle effects may be hidden for ex situ measurements in relaxed cells.<sup>22,23</sup> Although for many techniques, this is nontrivial,<sup>24</sup> it is a logical next step to afford an improved understanding of battery operation under realistic conditions. Previous studies of NMC811 have employed the enhanced capabilities of operando techniques to study structural,<sup>25</sup> diffusional,<sup>13</sup> and spectroscopic<sup>26</sup> properties to excellent effect. To this end, the comparison between multiple operando techniques, which probe slightly different Li<sup>+</sup> ion mobility properties, is beneficial for completeness. Muon spectroscopy ( $\mu$ SR) has become a well-established local probe of diffusion properties, suitable for mobile ions including Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Mg<sup>2+,27-30</sup> To date, most  $\mu$ SR studies of ionic diffusion have focused on pristine, as-prepared materials, such as for NMC811.<sup>31</sup> Such studies provide useful fundamental properties although, importantly, they often measure fully lithiated cathode compounds, where ionic hopping occurs via interstitial sites or neighboring defects. While one study has recently reported operando µSR on a LiCoO<sub>2</sub> half-cell, a comprehensive comparison with electrochemical techniques remains lacking.<sup>32</sup>

The ionic mobility properties during cycling depend heavily on the vacancies within available pathways, site blocking, surface layers, and layer spacing. While impedance spectroscopy (EIS) or galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) methods can provide the chemical diffusion coefficient as a function of SOC, such measurements cover the entire cell and are not direct measurements of fundamental diffusion within a material, as they also account for factors such as surface layers and the morphology/size of primary particles. The development of an *operando* approach to  $\mu$ SR, as reported here, enables non-invasive characterization of the variation in diffusion properties within an electrode material during operation.

Focusing on the first charge/discharge cycle, this study provides a thorough characterization of the Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion properties of the cathode material LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (NMC811) within a cycling battery cell. Complimentary *operando* X-ray diffraction, EIS, and GITT are used to understand the variation in structure/property relationships in NMC811 during electrochemical cycling for comparison with  $\mu$ SR. Our data provides generally good agreement between EIS, GITT, and  $\mu$ SR, with the key observation that the trends in Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion rate deviate at depth of discharge, indicating that the sluggish kinetics associated with first cycle capacity loss is not a property of the bulk material.

#### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

**Materials and Synthesis.** The hydroxide precursor  $Ni_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}(OH)_2$  was prepared using a co-precipitation method inside a controlled stirred tank reactor at pH 11. Stoichiometric quantities of  $NiSO_4$ · $6H_2O$  (>98%, Sigma),  $MnSO_4$ · $xH_2O$  (>98%, Sigma), and  $CoSO_4$ · $7H_2O$  (>99%, Sigma) were weighed and dissolved in a solution of  $NH_4OH$  and deoxygenated water. The reaction was completed overnight under continuous agitation and  $N_2$  gas flow inside the vessel, which was held at 60 °C. The mixture was allowed to settle before being washed using deoxygenated water. This

process was repeated multiple times before the mixture was dried in an oven to yield the brown precursor powder. To lithiate the hydroxide precursor,  $Ni_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}(OH)_2$  and  $LiOH \cdot H_2O$  were weighed and ground together thoroughly for 30 min in an agate mortar. A 10% weight excess of  $LiOH \cdot H_2O$  was used to account for losses during calcination. Calcination was performed in two steps: first 450 °C for 12 h followed by 30 min of grinding in an Ar-filled glovebox and then 850 °C for 12 h before immediate transfer to the glovebox while hot to minimize air exposure. Both steps were completed in a tube furnace under an  $O_2$  atmosphere with a ramp rate of 4 °C/min.

**Characterization.** X-ray diffraction measurements were performed using a Rigaku Miniflex with a Cu K $\alpha$  X-ray source with wavelength 1.5406 Å. *Operando* X-ray diffraction was performed using an ECC-Opto-Std test cell (EL-cell) at a rate of C/50 (based on a practical capacity of 200 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>) using a 5 × 10 mm Kapton window, which was transparent to X-rays. The EL-Cell was assembled using a freshly cut lithium disk covered by a glass fiber separator and then a NMC-811 composite cathode deposited on a mesh aluminum current collector. Electrolyte (LiPF<sub>6</sub> in ethylene carbonate:ethyl methyl carbonate [50:50 v/v] with 2% vinylene carbonate additive, Solvionic, France) was added using a syringe. Sequential Pawley fits were employed to determine lattice parameters. SEM measurements were conducted with an FEI Inspect F50 high-resolution electron microscope using an accelerating voltage of 5 kV.

Electrochemical measurements for EIS and  $\mu$ SR were conducted in half cells *vs* lithium metal anodes (16 mm diameter and 0.25 mm thick, PI-KEM, UK), using a liquid electrolyte. The active material, NMC811, was mixed thoroughly in an agate mortar with conductive carbon and PTFE binder in an ABC wt % ratio of 70:20:10, respectively. *In situ* impedance measurements were collected using a Biologic VMP-300 potentiostat, while *operando* electrochemistry was conducted using a Biologic VSP potentiostat. For the *in situ* EIS experiment, a powder cathode with a 12 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> active material loading was used in a Swagelok type cell and cycled at a rate of C/20. Galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) measurements were performed on NMC811lLi in a 2032 coin cell (CES) using a thin film electrode assembled with an ABC ratio of 91.5:4.5:4.0. Galvanostatic current pulses of 5 mA g<sup>-1</sup> were applied for 30 min before a 2 h relaxation step. Cells were cycled between 3.0 and 4.5 V.

Muon spin relaxation measurements were conducted on the EMU spectrometer at the ISIS Neutron and Muon Source<sup>33</sup> in a specifically designed BAM (Battery Analysis by Muon) cell.<sup>34</sup> A description of ionic diffusion using  $\mu$ SR has been given previously by our group.<sup>30</sup> The current collectors used were 100  $\mu$ m-thick 306 L grade austenitic steel. This grade is non-magnetic and thin enough to not interfere significantly with the muon signal. Pre-cut Li discs were used as the anode material, with approximately 400  $\mu$ L of electrolyte. A thick cathode was necessary to ensure adequate muon implantation in the region of interest. Therefore, each cell was prepared with an active material loading of around 70 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> (area of  $\sim 2$  cm<sup>2</sup>), with additional separators and electrolyte used to ensure appropriate wetting. Continuous  $\mu$ SR measurements were taken to 20 million positron detection events in the order of 0, 10, and 20 Gauss longitudinally applied fields during (de)lithiation. These three field measurements were grouped to form one distinct measurement in this experiment, allowing the collection of 71 points over the first cycle. A rate of C/20 was used (current density of 10 mA  $g^{-1}$ ), and two pauses were necessary due to muon beam outages. All measurements were conducted at 300 K. For operando measurements, the electric potential applied to the cell is much smaller than the local fields the muon will experience at its stopping site in the NMC811 structure, meaning the applied electric field will not induce muon motion. Mantid was used for all  $\mu$ SR data analysis.<sup>3</sup>

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**NMC811 Synthesis and Characterization.** A pH-controlled stirred tank reaction was used to produce a hydroxide precursor, which was then thoroughly mixed with



**Figure 1.** (a) X-ray diffraction pattern of synthesized  $\text{LiNi}_{0.8}\text{Mn}_{0.1}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{O}_2$  (NMC811) for which a Rietveld refinement can be found in Figure S1. The layered Li and transition metal (TM) structure is shown, with the unit cell outlined. (b) Scanning electron micrograph showing spherical secondary particles. (c) First cycle of a NMC811Li half-cell at C/20 between 3.0 and 4.5 V, showing the irreversible first cycle capacity loss.

LiOH·H<sub>2</sub>O and calcined under oxygen flow to yield the cathode material, LiNi<sub>0.8</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (NMC811). An X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the resultant NMC811 is shown in Figure 1a, confirming a single phase  $R\overline{3}m$  structure with a (003)/(104) peak ratio of ~1.7, indicating low cation mixing.<sup>36</sup> Rietveld refinement (Figure S1) was performed to validate the quality of the sample and determine lattice parameters of a = b = 2.87376 (8) and c = 14.2099 (3) Å. A scanning electron micrograph is displayed in Figure 1b, which depicts the NMC811 material with spherical secondary particles between 5 and 10  $\mu$ m consisting of primary particles several hundred nanometers in diameter. This is typical of polycrystalline NMC811 synthesized via the co-precipitation method. Figure 1c provides the first galvanostatic charge/ discharge cycle for polycrystalline NMC811 at a C/20 rate, with a first charge capacity of 243 mAh  $g^{-1}$  and a first discharge capacity of 207 mAh g<sup>-1</sup>, illustrating an irreversible first cycle capacity loss of 14.8%. A loss of capacity within the first charge/discharge cycle has been observed for all NMC-type compositions.<sup>12</sup> To understand how the Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion properties within different parts of the material during the first cycle may influence this irreversible capacity loss, an operando approach to  $\mu$ SR was developed and compared to electrochemical characterization techniques EIS and GITT.

**Operando**  $\mu$ SR – Experimental Details. To understand the local properties Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion within the bulk during cycling, we have developed an *operando* method to measure muon spectroscopy ( $\mu$ SR) as a function of state of charge. These measurements provide a local measurement of the site-site Li<sup>+</sup> hopping rate by utilizing implanted, spin-polarized, muons.<sup>29,30</sup>  $\mu$ SR measurements are therefore representative of the ionic mobility in the bulk, independent of electrode-electrolyte surface area, and less sensitive to cathode-electrolyte interface formation or surface reconstructions. Usefully, the technique allows the isolation of Li<sup>+</sup> transport in the active material from that within the electrolyte since diffusion rates in liquid electrolytes ( $\sim 10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>37</sup> are outside the motional range probed by the muon lifetime ( $\sim 10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-13}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>30</sup>

The experiment was enabled by the development of a custom electrochemical cell. Figure 2a-c pictures the



Figure 2. (a, b) Pictures showing the BAM (Battery Analysis by Muon) cell. (c) Cell fixed on the EMU spectrometer at the ISIS Neutron and Muon Source. (d) Experimental schematic showing the beam penetration into the cathode. (e) Charge/discharge cycle of a LilNMC811 configuration in the BAM cell, recorded during the *operando*  $\mu$ SR experiment.

assembled BAM (Battery Analysis by Muon) cell, which possesses a stainless steel inspection window of diameter 18 mm to allow an ample beam penetration area. The cell components are expanded to show the experimental set up in Figure 2d. Figure 2e displays the first cycle of the LilNMC811 cell on the beamline. The BAM cell is found to display very similar electrochemical performance to other cell types, although we note that the high mass loading required for the  $\mu$ SR experiment (~70 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) induces a small overpotential due to an unavoidable increase in internal resistance over more commonly used mass loadings (Figure S2). Further details on cell assembly are given in the Supporting Information.

Utilizing the operando cell, we achieved a first charge capacity of 222 mAh  $g^{-1}$  and first discharge capacity of 198 mAh  $g^{-1}$  (Figure 2e). The muon stopping profile in the cathode was determined from the thickness and density of the components; it was also simulated using the stopping range of ions in matter (SRIM) program,<sup>38</sup> with the result shown in Figure S3. The range curve on the EMU beamline implants muons between 100 and 200 mg  $cm^{-2}$  as an areal density. The 100  $\mu$ m-thick steel current collector provides an areal density of around 80 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. Therefore, the muon ensemble will pass through the current collector and implant into the mixture of cathode (~100 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) and electrolyte (~165 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Although a large cathode mass was used, muons implant into everything they encounter within the cell. Consequently, the relaxing signal coming from the cathode active material,  $A_{\rm KT}$ , accounts for  $\sim 1/6$ th of the measured initial amplitude,  $A_0$  (eq 1). Li<sup>+</sup> transport via the electrolyte is too fast to be detectable within the muon lifetime<sup>39</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> surface sorption through the carbon additive is far too low in volume fraction to significantly influence the signal, meaning any contribution from this to the muon spin relaxation is minimal. An exponential relaxation,  $P_{exp}$ , was added to the fitting function to account for muon stopping sites inside non-active battery components (i.e., carbon/binder). All muons will likely be stopped before reaching the separator, agreeing with the SRIM simulation. Therefore,  $A_{\rm KT}$  can be confidently assumed to arise from Li<sup>+</sup> transport within the NMC811 crystal structure. Any inhomogeneities across the thick electrode are averaged out in the obtained signal.

Continuous zero and longitudinal field measurements were collected to follow the Li<sup>+</sup> dynamics during the first charge/ discharge cycle. The data were fit in the time domain (t) 0.1– 25  $\mu$ s using a composite function containing a flat background, a dynamic Kubo–Toyabe function, and an exponential relaxation described as

$$A_{0}P(t) = A_{\rm bg} + A_{\rm KT} \ G_{\rm KT}(\Delta, \nu, t) + A_{\rm exp} \ P_{\rm exp}(\lambda, t)$$
(1)

The component amplitudes (A) and the relaxation rate ( $\lambda$ ) were held as global parameters (given in Table S2), which were fixed to the average amplitude value across all runs. The field fluctuation rate ( $\nu$ ) and the static field distribution width ( $\Delta$ ) were allowed to fluctuate.  $G_{\rm KT}$  is the dynamic Kubo–Toyabe function, which in the static, zero-field limit is of the form

$$P_{\rm KT}^{s} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(1 - \Delta^{2}t^{2})\exp(-\Delta^{2}t^{2}/2)$$
(2)

This can be related to  $G_{\rm KT}$  as

$$G_{\rm KT} = P_{\rm KT}^{s} \exp(-\nu t) + \nu \int_{0}^{t} P_{z}(t-t') P_{z}^{s}(t') \exp(-\nu t') dt'$$
(3)

where t - t' is the time between collisions in the strong collision model. CCCV (constant current, constant voltage) cycling was applied to compensate for the kinetic limitations expected when using a high mass loading<sup>40</sup> and to provide sufficient time for a measurement at 4.4 V.

**Operando**  $\mu$ **SR** – Li<sup>+</sup> **Mobility.** To illustrate how the muon signal changes during the first cycle, three zero-field measurements at selected voltages in the time range 0–12  $\mu$ s are given for both the charge and discharge in Figure 3a,b, respectively. In general, the form of the relaxation will vary



**Figure 3.** (a, b) Zero-field  $\mu$ SR spectra in the time range 0–12  $\mu$ s at different voltages of the LilNMC811 *operando* cell. (c) Fit curves for 0, 10, and 20 G longitudinal fields at the beginning (3.6 V, navy), (d) the top (4.4 V, orange), and (e) the end (3.5 V, light blue) of the first charge/discharge cycle. Data and fits for all voltage points can be found in Figure S4.

depending on the ratio  $\nu/\Delta$ ;<sup>41</sup> Figure 3 therefore indicates faster Li<sup>+</sup> ion diffusion at high SOC. Although there is a high background fraction that changes slightly with SOC, there is a noticeable decrease in relaxation of the zero-field data at the top of charge, before it increases again, to a lesser extent, during discharge. To compare all three applied longitudinal fields (0, 10, and 20 G), which are grouped together during fitting, plots are given at the beginning of the first charge (3.6 V), the top of the first charge (4.4 V), and the end of the first discharge (3.5 V) in Figure 3c-e, respectively. The form of the asymmetry does not return to its initial state after discharge (at 3.5 V), with the deviation clearer at longer timescales ( $\geq 6 \mu s$ ). Fitted  $\mu$ SR plots at 0.1 V intervals across the first cycle are presented in Figure S4. A comparison of the zero-field fit curves, given in Figure S5, indicates that the rate of Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion tends to increase over the first charge until high SOC, where it slows down. The reverse trend occurs over the course of the discharge cycle, with a large change evident below 3.7 V, indicating poor Li<sup>+</sup> ion dynamics in this lower voltage region.

Fitting eq 1 to the data collected during cycling tracks the evolution of two important parameters: the field fluctuation rate ( $\nu$ ) and the static field distribution width ( $\Delta$ ). As a Li<sup>+</sup> ion diffuses past an implanted muon, its nuclear moment causes a change in local magnetic field, which acts to flip the muon spin. As such, the field fluctuation rate is analogous to the ionic hopping (Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion) rate;<sup>29</sup> to analyze the variation in Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion during cycling,  $\nu$  was plotted against voltage (Figure 4a). The diffusion rate coefficient,  $D_{\text{Li}}$ , was determined using eq 4:

$$D_{\mathrm{Li}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{N_i}\right) Z_{\nu,i} s_i^2 \nu_{\mathrm{Li}} \tag{4}$$

 $N_i$  is the number of possible Li sites for diffusion in the *i*th path, Z is defined as the vacancy fraction (i.e., 1 - Li



**Figure 4.** (a) Field fluctuation rate,  $\nu$ , which is analogous to the ionic hopping (Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion) rate in NMC811, during the first charge/discharge of a NMC811Li cell. (b) Projection looking down the *c* axis to visualize the two possible Li hops: direct site and interstitial hopping. (c) Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion coefficient,  $D_{L\nu}$  as a function of Li content in NMC811 from  $\mu$ SR. This can be obtained using  $\nu$  and the hopping pathways depicted in panel (b).

occupancy) for the *i*th site,  $s_i$  is the site-site hopping distance, and  $\nu$  is the field fluctuation rate obtained *via*  $\mu$ SR data fitting. Values of Z were found using a Coulomb counting method, assuming minimal side reactions during cycling, and the sitesite hopping distances were found from the lattice parameters deduced from operando X-ray diffraction, shown in Figures S6 and S7. In NMC811, there are two available pathways for Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion: directly from 3a to 3a sites, or through interstitial sites (Figure 4b). At full Li site occupancy (i.e., 0% SOC), the 3a to 3a pathway is unavailable as there are no vacant sites available for diffusion, and hence the interstitial pathway is dominant. As charging begins and Li<sup>+</sup> is extracted from the cathode structure, 3a vacancies appear and 3a-to-3a site-site hopping becomes increasingly influential. At OCV, with close to full Li occupancy in the cathode,  $D_{Li}$  is at its lowest due to the difficulty of  $Li^+$  ion diffusion to a vacant site (Figure 4c). The value of  $D_{\rm Li}$  found before cycling of  $\sim 3.4 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> compares well to that found by a previous  $\mu$ SR study of NMC811 (reported as  $2.9 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>31</sup>

 $D_{\rm Li}$  is observed to rise rapidly to above  $5 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the beginning of the first charge as the voltage rises to ~3.6 V and starts to plateau. The beginning of charge sees the fastest rate of increase, agreeing with NMR,<sup>26</sup> GITT,<sup>42</sup> and EIS<sup>43</sup> reported in the literature. As the battery continues to charge with further Li<sup>+</sup> ions leaving the cathode,  $D_{\rm Li}$  increases steadily to around  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at x = 0.4 (x in  $Li_{1-x}Ni_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}O_2$ ). The change is due to the gradual increase in the Li-layer spacing, which acts to decrease the activation energy for ionic hopping,<sup>42,44</sup> and the increasing vacancy fraction on the 3a site.  $D_{Li}$  continues to climb steadily at a slower pace until around x = 0.75, where it reaches a maximum and begins to fall, correlating with the high voltage event seen in the dQ/dV plot at 4.2 V. This is a consequence of the collapse in the Li-layer spacing, causing the reduction in unit cell volume as observed by X-ray diffraction, shown in Figure 5c. This tightening of diffusion pathways during the unit cell contraction will counteract the activation energy decrease for Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion from the increasing vacancy fraction. Upon discharge, shown in Figure 4c, the trend is largely reversed: the hopping rate and consequently  $D_{Li}$  increase slightly at the beginning of discharge as the *c* axis rapidly expands again, upon initial re-intercalation of Li<sup>+</sup>, reaching a maximum at  $\sim x =$ 0.75. As Li<sup>+</sup> is re-inserted, the vacancy fraction decreases and acts to reduce the available pathways for diffusion.  $D_{Li}$  then reduces steadily throughout the discharge, reaching a value slightly higher than that found at the beginning of charge. This measured trend for NMC811 appears to be in line with the trend seen in another operando  $\mu$ SR study on LiCoO<sub>2</sub><sup>32</sup> with both studies showing reversibility in D<sub>Li</sub>. However, without parallel electrochemical performance between the studies, it is difficult to draw detailed comparisons.

Interestingly, although the reduction in Li<sup>+</sup> mobility toward depth of discharge has been reported for NMC compositions,<sup>9–12</sup> this is not apparent in the  $\mu$ SR data. This discrepancy motivates the usage of further characterization techniques to determine the root cause of the sluggish kinetics at depth of discharger comparison.

EIS Measurements. After evaluating the dynamic lithium diffusion behavior of the material at a local level, in situ impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) were employed as probes of bulk diffusion across the full cathode material. This approach was designed to address the observed differences in Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion dynamics reported for layered materials using different techniques.<sup>42,44</sup> Matching our operando µSR methodology, for EIS and GITT, a NMC811|Li half-cell was prepared and cycled at a rate of C/20. EIS measurements were taken at regular intervals (Figure 5d, Figure S7). We note that when comparing cells between characterization techniques, there is expected to be small differences in the voltage point at which structural and electron transfer phenomena occur during cycling due to the inherent differences between the cycling programs and cell configuration required for each method (as seen in Figure S2). The collected spectra were fit using an equivalent circuit consisting of two [RQ] elements (a resistor, R, and constant phase element, Q, in parallel) in series with another resistor and a Warburg element. This model is very similar to that previously used for NMC cells<sup>42-45</sup> and described the data well (Figure S9). The first resistor represents the solution resistance, while the first RQ element, visible as the first semi-circle in Figure S8b, describes the cathode-electrolyte interface (CEI) effects present in the cell. The third component is assigned to the resistance of charge transfer through the NMC particles themselves  $(R_{CT})$ . Figure S8b shows the change in the Nyquist plot over Li<sup>+</sup> (de)intercalation, while Figure 5d displays the trend in the fit values of the two resistance components.

 $R_{\text{CEI}}$  is found to initially drop as charging begins, likely due to the removal of adventitious lithium carbonate impur-



**Figure 5.** Dataset comparison for the first charge and discharge cycle of various LilNMC811 half cells displaying (a) the first cycle of the BAM cell during the *operando*  $\mu$ SR experiment, alongside the differential capacity plot, (b) the change in the self-diffusion coefficient,  $D_{Li}$ , and the static field distribution width,  $\Delta$ . Further data and experiment details for each technique can be found in the Supporting Information. (c) *Operando* X-ray diffraction experiment showing the change in lattice parameters during charging and discharging, (d) the change in charge transfer resistance ( $R_{CT}$ ) and cathode electrolyte interface resistance ( $R_{CEI}$ ) measured by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, and (e) the change in surface-independent chemical diffusion coefficient for Li<sup>+</sup> measured using the galvanostatic intermittent titration technique.

ities,<sup>43,44</sup> before reducing steadily during charge. The reverse is true upon discharge, although it does not climb back to its initial value. This may be caused by an increase of the electrode-electrolyte surface area as a result of cracking in the secondary particles at high potential, allowing electrolyte infiltration to increase the contact area.<sup>6</sup> R<sub>CT</sub> reaches a minimum around x = 0.5 where the second semicircle at lower frequency disappears, which agrees well with other electrochemical analyses of NMC811 by GITT and EIS.<sup>42,46</sup> Above x = 0.7,  $R_{CT}$  rises rapidly, and the second semicircle reappears in the Nyquist plot: this effect is well correlated with the contraction of the c axis measured by *operando* X-ray diffraction (Figure 5c).<sup>18,44</sup> Indeed, interfacial mismatch between the bulk and surface rock-salt phases as a result of lattice expansion and contraction during cycling has been described as a primary cause of fatigue degradation in the bulk,<sup>4,18,25</sup> which evidently increases  $R_{\rm CT}$ . At the end of the first cycle,  $R_{CT}$  returns to a high value, which is less than at x =0 (before charge).

GITT and Technique Comparison. The trend in Li<sup>+</sup> mobility was also evaluated via the galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT). Figure S10a displays the cycling profile for the GITT experiment, which involved a 30 min current pulse followed by a 2 h relaxation period (inset). Since an accurate surface area for the porous electrode is difficult to measure, the surface independent diffusion coefficient (S<sup>2</sup>D<sub>s</sub>) was determined and is displayed in Figure 5e. The methodology for determining S<sup>2</sup>D<sub>s</sub> is given in the Supporting Information. Since the charge transfer resistance estimated by EIS is inversely proportional to the diffusion coefficient, the trend in  $R_{\rm CT}$  with SOC appears to correlate well with S<sup>2</sup>D<sub>s</sub> found via GITT and with the  $D_{\rm Li}$  obtained through operando  $\mu$ SR measurements. In the GITT experiment, the first points

collected tend to be an overestimate since the cell does not fully relax before the next current pulse is applied.<sup>44</sup> The trends in  $S^2D_s$  and  $R_{CT}$  therefore agree as the mobility of Li<sup>+</sup> ions improve as charging begins and site vacancies are formed. A minimum in  $R_{CT}$  and a maximum in  $S^2D_s$  are reached during charging at  $\sim x = 0.5$ , where Li<sup>+</sup> mobility is at its highest due to the availability of appropriate pathways and wide interlayer spacings. This trend in Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion during charge largely compares well with that determined by  $\mu$ SR and EIS, with the slowest rates at low SOC and an increasing Li<sup>+</sup> mobility as the charging progresses. There is a small decrease observed for  $S^2D_s$  at x between 0.7 and 0.8, which correlates with the high voltage event in the dQ/dV profile seen in Figure 5a.<sup>44</sup> All three techniques ( $\mu$ SR, EIS, and GITT) display a decrease in  $Li^+$  mobility above x = 0.7, where surface layer formation, in combination with the lattice contraction (observed by X-ray diffraction in Figure 5c), acts to restrict transport properties. Above x = 0.7, the Li<sup>+</sup> mobility determined by  $\mu$ SR displays a smaller reduction than that observed by electrochemical techniques (Figure 5b,d,e). This may indicate that surface layer formation, or interfacial mismatch, which has a much higher influence over the electrochemical methods, will affect the overall diffusion rate to a greater extent than the lattice contraction does for the bulk.

The EIS data given in Figure 5d demonstrate that  $R_{\rm CT}$  does not return to its initial value after one cycle. This apparent reduction in  $R_{\rm CT}$  at the end of the discharge cycle has been observed and explained by Janek and co-workers<sup>6</sup> as a consequence of an increase in electrode–electrolyte surface area via the infiltration of liquid electrolyte into cracks in secondary particles, which can occur at high potentials. The electrode surface area is assumed to be constant for the electrochemical determination of the Li<sup>+</sup> ion diffusion



**Figure 6.** Static field distribution width,  $\Delta$ , of the muon as a function of lithiation state of NMC811 during the first cycle. (b) Map of  $\Delta$  values in the unit cell at full Li occupancy as predicted by dipolar field calculations. Visually evident is the greater influence of Li on  $\Delta$  than the transition metals. The muon stopping site is likely in the transition metal layer.<sup>48</sup> The black regions at atomic sites correspond to  $\Delta$  values  $\gg 2 \ \mu s^{-1}$ .

coefficient; thus, the measured values during discharge may be considered to be an overestimate. Curiously, the discrepancy between diffusion rates at depth of discharge observed by EIS is reversed for GITT, which displays a large drop in Li<sup>+</sup> mobility below x = 0.3. This trend has been observed in other studies,<sup>42</sup> and while it is poorly understood, it is given as a major cause of the first cycle irreversible capacity loss for NMC compositions.<sup>9–12</sup> At low SOC during discharge,  $S^2D_s$  is around an order of magnitude lower than the minimum during the charging step, while for EIS,  $R_{\rm CT}$  is lower at the end of discharge than at the beginning of the first charge. The inherent assumption made here is that both electrochemical techniques (GITT, EIS) are expected to have a similar dependence on the cathode surface area; this assumption requires further attention.

 $\mu$ SR is found to measure very similar values of Li<sup>+</sup> mobility during the charge and discharge, showing no evidence for hysteresis (Figure S11). Interestingly, the reversibility seen for  $\mu$ SR is not reflected by GITT results at depth of discharge, indicating differences in diffusion properties across parts of the material. In particular, bulk Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion, measured by  $\mu$ SR, remains at a higher level at low SOC on discharge, comparable to the data collected during charge. The independence of  $\mu$ SR measurements to the active material surface area allows us to confidently assign the trends in diffusion rate seen primarily to the bulk. However, in the GITT data, below x = 0.25 on discharge the diffusion rate is found to drop rapidly. When analyzing the properties of both techniques, these results indicate that surface Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion effects are dominating the trend seen by GITT. The comparison of such techniques, both for fundamental and functional material properties, is thus important to understand the regions of ionic mobility limitations during cycling.

The significant (~2 orders of magnitude) drop in  $D_{\rm Li}$  in the discharged state observed by GITT is not reflected in the  $\mu$ SR data, indicating that it is not a fundamental property of the bulk material but is instead related to processes occurring at the cathode particle surface. It is important to note that the chemical diffusion coefficient from electrochemical techniques

is related to the diffusion coefficient from  $\mu$ SR via a thermodynamic factor, which is not constant over cycling.<sup>47</sup> Nonetheless, the differences between the trends in diffusion are inherent to the technique used; muon implantation is volume averaged, meaning that limiting factors for overall ionic migration such as large resistances at particle surfaces<sup>5</sup> are not influential. The  $\mu$ SR data here describes the diffusion coefficient from a local level (i.e., site-site hopping) within the bulk NMC811 particles, while electrochemical techniques probe longer-range transport properties. The difference in results between characterization techniques therefore suggests that there is a difference in diffusion properties between the particle bulk and surface during the first cycle. Significantly hindered Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion is not observed in the particle bulk (by  $\mu$ SR) at full depth of discharge, indicating that surface effects are dominating the overall trend seen by GITT. This highlights the importance of combining both surface and bulk sensitive techniques for effective characterization of these underpinning properties.

**Operando**  $\mu$ **SR** – **Muon Environment.** In addition to the field fluctuation rate ( $\nu$ ), the static field distribution width ( $\Delta$ ) was obtained via  $\mu$ SR and represents the proximity and strength of nuclear magnetic moments near the muon stopping site (nuclear moments and abundances of the relevant isotopes for NMC811 are given in Table S5.) Figure 6a displays graphically the variation in  $\Delta$  during the first charge/discharge process. Muons prefer to reside near the electronegative oxygen ions, forming a weak  $O-\mu$  bond of length ~1 Å,<sup>30</sup> and  $\Delta$  provides a sense of the local environment near this site. For NMC811, the effect of Ni and O on  $\Delta$  is negligible. In contrast, Li, Co, and Mn have a much greater influence on  $\Delta$ , although Co and Mn have a lower occupancy (see Table S5). Given this,  $\Delta$  would be expected to decline over the first charge as Li<sup>+</sup> leaves the cathode structure. Curiously, this effect is not seen experimentally, and  $\Delta$  appears dependent on the lithiation state. Such dependence may indicate that the change in TM-O bond length during battery operation alters the average muon position within the structure, causing muon to experience an altered distribution of nuclear magnetic

moments. To validate these results, the stopping site of the muon must be understood (see Figure 6b and Figure S12).

To narrow down the possible muon sites, dipolar field calculations (eq S2) were performed at varying SOC and compared to experimental values, which is discussed in the Supporting Information. While many muon sites are plausible at full Li occupancy, to match with the experimentally determined  $\Delta$ , our calculations strongly suggest that the muon prefers to reside in the transition metal (TM) layer. This explains the lack of reduction in  $\Delta$  during the charge process (Figure 6a); although it may be expected that the reduced Li occupancy would lower the field distribution width experienced by the muon, the preferred muon site indicates a stronger sensitivity to changes within the TM layer. Indeed, Forslund et al. used DFT calculations to determine the muon site in NaNiO<sub>2</sub> as being within the NiO<sub>2</sub> plane and subsequently extended the applicability of this result to other layered oxide materials.48

The static field distribution,  $\Delta$ , is seen to resemble a clear trend with the lithiation state of the cathode, indicating a sensitivity of this parameter to the structural changes occurring within the material. Curiously, the features in  $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$  are reminiscent of peaks in the dQ/dV plot, with a broad feature observed during charging at  $\sim$ 30% SOC, and a sharp increase in  $\Delta$  above 70% SOC, which aligns with the high voltage event also seen in dQ/dV (Figure S12). While  $\Delta$  is dependent on various parameters such as Li content, lattice parameters, and the muon stopping site, this correlation between the trend in dQ/dV and  $\Delta$  warrants further future investigation to assign features in the experimental  $\Delta$  values to specific structural events. The work we present here is a starting point for operando muon investigations to be applied in conjunction with complementary experimental and computational techniques to explore how the muon may be used as a structural probe in operating electrochemical systems.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Operando µSR measurements of ionic diffusion correlate structural and diffusional changes in the NMC811 cathode during cycling, made possible through the design of a custombuilt cell now available for the community to use. The Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion coefficient rate in bulk NMC811 increases rapidly between 0% and 30% SOC, before slowing to a steady increase during delithiation and finally dropping off after 75% SOC. This reduction in diffusion at higher states of charge correlates with the collapse of the Li interlayer spacing as observed by XRD. On discharge, this trend in diffusion rate is reversed as Li site occupancy increases. At depth of discharge, the Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion rate does not decrease fully to the level observed for the pristine NMC811. We observe that for electrochemical probes of Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion, a variation at depth of discharge, with EIS R<sub>CT</sub> values lower than for the initial pristine state and GITT measurements, shows a significant drop off in  $D_{\text{Li}}$ . By comparison,  $\mu$ SR is independent of long range and surface effects within the cell, providing a short-range, volumeaveraged measurement of the site-site hopping within the bulk material. The elevated  $D_{\rm Li}$  values at depth of discharge, relating to the reduced Li site occupancy vs the pristine material, reveal that sluggish Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion is not inherent to the bulk material but more likely linked to changes at the surface of the cathode during the first cycle. As such, doping and coating processes, focusing on stabilizing the surface of the cathode material, are likely to be most effective at retaining the capacity

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03834.

Rietveld refinement results, impedance fitting example and parameters, *operando* X-ray diffraction data, electrochemical comparison between cell types, simulated muon stopping profile, GITT methodology, raw  $\mu$ SR data figures and fitting parameter table,  $\mu$ SR fitting parameter comparison with dQ/dV, dipolar field calculations and muon site discussion (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Serena A. Cussen – Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-9303-4220; Email: s.cussen@sheffield.ac.uk

#### Authors

- Innes McClelland Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom; ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, United Kingdom
- Samuel G. Booth Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0001-7643-4196
- Nirmalesh N. Anthonisamy Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom
- Laurence A. Middlemiss Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom
- Gabriel E. Pérez The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 ORA, United Kingdom; ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, United Kingdom; ◎ orcid.org/0000-0003-3150-8467
- Edmund J. Cussen Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom; The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom; Orcid.org/0000-0002-2899-6888
- Peter J. Baker The Faraday Institution, Quad One, Didcot OX11 0RA, United Kingdom; ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, United Kingdom;
   orcid.org/0000-0002-2306-2648

Complete contact information is available at:

#### https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.2c03834

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the ISIS Neutron and Muon Source and the Facility Access Panel for the award of beamtime (10.5286/ ISIS.E.RB2010364). S.C., P.B., and I.M. gratefully acknowledge the ISIS Neutron and Muon facility for a Facility Development Studentship awarded to develop *operando* battery capability. We acknowledge the valuable contributions of Jamie Nutter, Colin Offer, Maksim Schastny, and Jason Chandler: the STFC Engineering team. This project was also supported through the Faraday Institution projects FutureCat (FIRG017), Degradation (FIRG001), and Nextrode (FIRG015). Dexmet is thanked for the donation of the aluminum mesh current collectors. We gratefully acknowledge the technical support of Josie Mortimer and Heather Grievson at the University of Sheffield.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Jung, R.; Metzger, M.; Maglia, F.; Stinner, C.; Gasteiger, H. A. Oxygen Release and Its Effect on the Cycling Stability of  $LiNi_xMn_yCo_2O_2$  (NMC) Cathode Materials for Li-Ion Batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc. **2017**, 164, A1361–A1377.

(2) Gu, Y. J.; Zhang, Q. G.; Chen, Y. B.; Liu, H. Q.; Ding, J. X.; Wang, Y. M.; Wang, H. F.; Chen, L.; Wang, M.; Fan, S. W.; Zang, Q. F.; Yang, X. L. Reduction of the lithium and nickel site substitution in  $Li_{1+x}Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.2}Mn_{0.3}O_2$  with Li excess as a cathode electrode material for Li-ion batteries. J. Alloys Compd. **2015**, 630, 316–322.

(3) Chebiam, R. V.; Prado, F.; Manthiram, A. Structural Instability of Delithiated  $Li_{1-x}Ni_{1-y}Co_yO_2$  Cathodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2001, 148, A49.

(4) Xu, C.; Märker, K.; Lee, J.; Mahadevegowda, A.; Reeves, P. J.; Day, S. J.; Groh, M. F.; Emge, S. P.; Ducati, C.; Layla Mehdi, B.; Tang, C. C.; Grey, C. P. Bulk fatigue induced by surface reconstruction in layered Ni-rich cathodes for Li-ion batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **2021**, *20*, 84–92.

(5) Ghosh, A.; Foster, J. M.; Offer, G.; Marinescu, M. A Shrinking-Core Model for the Degradation of High-Nickel Cathodes (NMC811) in Li-Ion Batteries: Passivation Layer Growth and Oxygen Evolution. J. Electrochem. Soc. **2021**, *168*, No. 020509.

(6) Ruess, R.; Schweidler, S.; Hemmelmann, H.; Conforto, G.; Bielefeld, A.; Weber, D. A.; Sann, J.; Elm, M. T.; Janek, J. Influence of NCM Particle Cracking on Kinetics of Lithium-Ion Batteries with Liquid or Solid Electrolyte. J. Electrochem. Soc. **2020**, *167*, 100532.

(7) Huang, Q.; Ma, L.; Liu, A.; Ma, X.; Li, J.; Wang, J.; Dahn, J. R. The reactivity of charged positive  $Li_{1-n}[Ni_xMn_yCo_2]O_2$  electrodes with electrolyte at elevated temperatures using accelerating rate calorimetry. *J. Power Sources* **2018**, *390*, 78–86.

(8) Rong, H.; Xu, M.; Xing, L.; Li, W. Enhanced cyclability of  $\text{LiNi}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{1.5}\text{O}_4$  cathode in carbonate-based electrolyte with incorporation of tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphate (TMSP). *J. Power Sources* **2014**, 261, 148–155.

(9) Kang, S. H.; Abraham, D. P.; Yoon, W. S.; Nam, K. W.; Yang, X. Q. First-cycle irreversibility of layered Li-Ni-Co-Mn oxide cathode in Li-ion batteries. *Electrochim. Acta* **2008**, *54*, 684–689.

(10) Li, Z.; Ban, C.; Chernova, N. A.; Wu, Z.; Upreti, S.; Dillon, A.; Whittingham, M. S. Towards understanding the rate capability of layered transition metal oxides  $LiNi_yMn_yCo_{1-2y}O_2$ . J. Power Sources **2014**, 268, 106–112.

(11) Kasnatscheew, J.; Evertz, M.; Streipert, B.; Wagner, R.; Klöpsch, R.; Vortmann, B.; Hahn, H.; Nowak, S.; Amereller, M.; Gentschev, A. C.; Lamp, P.; Winter, M. The truth about the 1st cycle Coulombic efficiency of  $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$  (NCM) cathodes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 3956–3965.

(12) Zhou, H.; Xin, F.; Pei, B.; Whittingham, M. S. What Limits the Capacity of Layered Oxide Cathodes in Lithium Batteries? *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 1902–1906.

(13) Merryweather, A. J.; Schnedermann, C.; Jacquet, Q.; Grey, C. P.; Rao, A. Operando optical tracking of single-particle ion dynamics in batteries. *Nature* **2021**, *594*, 522–528.

(14) Radin, M. D.; Hy, S.; Sina, M.; Fang, C.; Liu, H.; Vinckeviciute, J.; Zhang, M.; Whittingham, M. S.; Meng, Y. S.; Van der Ven, A. Narrowing the Gap between Theoretical and Practical Capacities in Li-Ion Layered Oxide Cathode Materials. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, *7*, 1602888.

(15) Trevisanello, E.; Ruess, R.; Conforto, G.; Richter, F. H.; Janek, J. Polycrystalline and Single Crystalline NCM Cathode Materials-Quantifying Particle Cracking, Active Surface Area, and Lithium Diffusion. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2021**, *11*, 2003400.

(16) Wu, S.-L.; Zhang, W.; Song, X.; Shukla, A. K.; Liu, G.; Battaglia, V.; Srinivasan, V. High Rate Capability of  $\text{Li}(\text{Ni}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{1/3})\text{O}_2$ Electrode for Li-Ion Batteries. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2012**, *159*, A438–A444.

(17) Wang, S.; Yan, M.; Li, Y.; Vinado, C.; Yang, J. Separating electronic and ionic conductivity in mix-conducting layered lithium transition-metal oxides. *J. Power Sources* **2018**, *393*, 75–82.

(18) Ryu, H. H.; Park, K. J.; Yoon, C. S.; Sun, Y. K. Capacity Fading of Ni-Rich Li[Ni<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>y</sub>Mn<sub>1-x-y</sub>]O<sub>2</sub> ( $0.6 \le x \le 0.95$ ) Cathodes for High-Energy-Density Lithium-Ion Batteries: Bulk or Surface Degradation? *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30*, 1155–1163.

(19) Lin, F.; Markus, I. M.; Nordlund, D.; Weng, T. C.; Asta, M. D.; Xin, H. L.; Doeff, M. M. Surface reconstruction and chemical evolution of stoichiometric layered cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 1–9.

(20) Xiao, P.; Shi, T.; Huang, W.; Ceder, G. Understanding Surface Densified Phases in Ni-Rich Layered Compounds. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2019**, *4*, 811–818.

(21) Zhang, S. S. Problems and their origins of Ni-rich layered oxide cathode materials. *Energy Storage Mater.* **2020**, *24*, 247–254.

(22) Borkiewicz, O. J.; Wiaderek, K. M.; Chupas, P. J.; Chapman, K. W. Best Practices for Operando Battery Experiments: Influences of Xray Experiment Design on Observed Electrochemical Reactivity. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 2081–2085.

(23) Famprikis, T.; Canepa, P.; Dawson, J. A.; Islam, M. S.; Masquelier, C. Fundamentals of inorganic solid-state electrolytes for batteries. *Nat. Mater.* **2019**, *18*, 1278–1291.

(24) Harks, P. P. R. M. L.; Mulder, F. M.; Notten, P. H. L. *In situ* methods for Li-ion battery research: A review of recent developments. *J. Power Sources* **2015**, *288*, 92–105.

(25) Xu, C.; Reeves, P. J.; Jacquet, Q.; Grey, C. P. Phase Behavior during Electrochemical Cycling of Ni-Rich Cathode Materials for Li-Ion Batteries. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2021**, *11*, 2003404.

(26) Märker, K.; Xu, C.; Grey, C. P. Operando NMR of NMC811/ Graphite Lithium-Ion Batteries: Structure, Dynamics, and Lithium Metal Deposition. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2020**, *142*, 17447–17456.

(27) Amores, M.; Ashton, T. E.; Baker, P. J.; Cussen, E. J.; Corr, S. A. Fast microwave-assisted synthesis of Li-stuffed garnets and insights into Li diffusion from muon spin spectroscopy. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, 1729–1736.

(28) Johnson, I. D.; Ashton, T. E.; Blagovidova, E.; Smales, G. J.; Lübke, M.; Baker, P. J.; Corr, S. A.; Darr, J. A. Mechanistic insights of Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion within doped LiFePO<sub>4</sub> from Muon Spectroscopy. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 4114.

(29) Sugiyama, J.; Mukai, K.; Ikedo, Y.; Nozaki, H.; Månsson, M.; Watanabe, I. Li Diffusion in  $Li_xCoO_2$  Probed by Muon-Spin Spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2009**, *103*, No. 147601.

(30) McClelland, I.; Johnston, B.; Baker, P. J.; Amores, M.; Cussen, E. J.; Corr, S. A. Muon Spectroscopy for Investigating Diffusion in Energy Storage Materials. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci.* **2020**, *50*, 371–393. (31) Ashton, T. E.; Baker, P. J.; Sotelo-Vazquez, C.; Footer, C. J. M.; Kojima, K. M.; Matsukawa, T.; Kamiyama, T.; Darr, J. A. Stoichiometrically driven disorder and local diffusion in NMC cathodes. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2021**, *9*, 10477.

(32) Ohishi, K.; Igarashi, D.; Tatara, R.; Umegaki, I.; Koda, A.; Komaba, S.; Sugiyama, J. Operando Muon Spin Rotation and Relaxation Measurement on  $LiCoO_2$  Half-Cell. ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 2022, 5, 12538–12544.

(33) Giblin, S. R.; Cottrell, S. P.; King, P. J. C.; Tomlinson, S.; Jago, S. J. S.; Randall, L. J.; Roberts, M. J.; Norris, J.; Howarth, S.; Mutamba, Q. B.; Rhodes, N. J.; Akeroyd, F. A. Optimising a muon spectrometer for measurements at the ISIS pulsed muon source. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* **2014**, *751*, 70–78.

(34) Booth, S. G.; Nedoma, A. J.; Anthonisamy, N. N.; Baker, P. J.; Boston, R.; Bronstein, H.; Clarke, S. J.; Cussen, E. J.; Daramalla, V.; De Volder, M.; Dutton, S. E.; Falkowski, V.; Fleck, N. A.; Geddes, H. S.; Gollapally, N.; Goodwin, A. L.; Griffin, J. M.; Haworth, A. R.; Hayward, M. A.; Hull, S.; Inkson, B. J.; Johnston, B. J.; Lu, Z.; MacManus-Driscoll, J. L.; Martínez De Irujo, X.; McClelland, I.; McCombie, K.; Murdock, B.; Nayak, D.; Park, S.; Pérez, G. E.; Pickard, C. J.; Piper, L. F. J.; Playford, H. Y.; Price, S.; Scanlon, D. O.; Stallard, J. C.; Tapia-Ruiz, N.; West, A. R.; Wheatcroft, L.; Wilson, M.; Zhang, L.; Zhi, X.; Zhu, B.; Cussen, S. A. Perspectives for next generation lithium-ion battery cathode materials. *APL Mater.* **2021**, *9*, 109201.

(35) Arnold, O.; Bilheux, J. C.; Borreguero, J. M.; Buts, A.; Campbell, S. I.; Chapon, L.; Doucet, M.; Draper, N.; Ferraz Leal, R.; Gigg, M. A.; Lynch, V. E.; Markvardsen, A.; Mikkelson, D. J.; Mikkelson, R. L.; Miller, R.; Palmen, K.; Parker, P.; Passos, G.; Perring, T. G.; Peterson, P. F.; Ren, S.; Reuter, M. A.; Savici, A. T.; Taylor, J. W.; Taylor, R. J.; Tolchenov, R.; Zhou, W.; Zikovsky, J. Mantid - Data Analysis and Visualization Package for Neutron Scattering and  $\mu$ SR Experiments. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A* 2014, 764, 156–166.

(36) Ohzuku, T.; Ueda, A.; Nagayama, M.; Iwakoshi, Y.; Komori, H. Comparative study of LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, LiNi<sub>12</sub>Co<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and LiNiO<sub>2</sub> for 4 volt secondary lithium cells. *Electrochim. Acta* **1993**, *38*, 1159–1167.

(37) Yang, L.; Xiao, A.; Lucht, B. L. Investigation of solvation in lithium ion battery electrolytes by NMR spectroscopy. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2010**, *154*, 131–133.

(38) Ziegler, J. F.; Ziegler, M. D.; Biersack, J. P. SRIM – The stopping and range of ions in matter (2010). *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B* **2010**, *268*, 1818–1823.

(39) Månsson, M.; Sugiyama, J. Muon-spin relaxation study on Liand Na-diffusion in solids. *Phys. Scr.* **2013**, *88*, No. 068509.

(40) Liu, H.; Kazemiabnavi, S.; Grenier, A.; Vaughan, G.; Di Michiel, M.; Polzin, B. J.; Thornton, K.; Chapman, K. W.; Chupas, P. J. Quantifying Reaction and Rate Heterogeneity in Battery Electrodes in 3D through Operando X-ray Diffraction Computed Tomography. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 18386–18394.

(41) Blundell, S. J. Spin-polarized muons in condensed matter physics. *Contemp. Phys.* **1999**, *40*, 175–192.

(42) Hong, C.; Leng, Q.; Zhu, J.; Zheng, S.; He, H.; Li, Y.; Liu, R.; Wan, J.; Yang, Y. Revealing the correlation between structural evolution and Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion kinetics of nickel-rich cathode materials in Li-ion batteries. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2020**, *8*, 8540–8547.

(43) Charbonneau, V.; Lasia, A.; Brisard, G. Impedance studies of Li<sup>+</sup> diffusion in nickel manganese cobalt oxide (NMC) during charge/ discharge cycles. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2020**, *875*, No. 113944.

(44) Märker, K.; Reeves, P. J.; Xu, C.; Griffith, K. J.; Grey, C. P. Evolution of Structure and Lithium Dynamics in  $LiNi_{0.8}Mn_{0.1}Co_{0.1}O_2$  (NMC811) Cathodes during Electrochemical Cycling. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 2545–2554.

(45) Li, T.; Yuan, X. Z.; Zhang, L.; Song, D.; Shi, K.; Bock, C. Degradation Mechanisms and Mitigation Strategies of Nickel-Rich

pubs.acs.org/cm

NMC-Based Lithium-Ion Batteries. Electrochem. Energy Rev. 2020, 3,

43–80. (46) Noh, H. J.; Youn, S.; Yoon, C. S.; Sun, Y. K. Comparison of the structural and electrochemical properties of layered  $\text{Li}[\text{Ni}_x\text{Co}_y\text{Mn}_z]\text{O}_2$ (x = 1/3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and 0.85) cathode material for lithium-ion batteries. *J. Power Sources* **2013**, 233, 121–130.

(47) Sugiyama, J.; Mukai, K.; Harada, M.; Nozaki, H.; Miwa, K.; Shiotsuki, T.; Shindo, Y.; Giblin, S. R.; Lord, J. S. Reactive surface area of the  $\text{Li}_x(\text{Co}_{1/3}\text{Ni}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3})\text{O}_2$  electrode determined by  $\mu^+$ SR and electrochemical measurements. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *15*, 10402–10412.

(48) Forslund, O. K.; Ohta, H.; Kamazawa, K.; Stubbs, S. L.; Ofer, O.; Månsson, M.; Michioka, C.; Yoshimura, K.; Hitti, B.; Arseneau, D.; Morris, G. D.; Ansaldo, E. J.; Brewer, J. H.; Sugiyama, J. Revisiting the A-type antiferromagnet NaNiO<sub>2</sub> with muon spin rotation measurements and density functional theory calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **2020**, *102*, No. 184412.

#### NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This paper was published ASAP on May 8, 2023, with errors in Reference 28. The corrected version was reposted on May 16, 2023.

# **Recommended by ACS**

#### Li<sub>s</sub>NCl<sub>2</sub>: A Fully-Reduced, Highly-Disordered Nitride-Halide Electrolyte for Solid-State Batteries with Lithium-Metal Anodes

Victor Landgraf, Marnix Wagemaker, et al. JANUARY 27, 2023 ACS APPLIED ENERGY MATERIALS

READ 🗹

Slug Flow Coprecipitation Synthesis of Uniformly-Sized Oxalate Precursor Microparticles for Improved Reproducibility and Tap Density of Li(Ni<sub>0.8</sub>Co<sub>0.1</sub>Mn<sub>0.1</sub>)...

Mingyao Mou, Mo Jiang, et al.	
MARCH 06, 2023	
ACS APPLIED ENERGY MATERIALS	READ 🖸

Effects of Ball Milling on the Electrochemical Capacity and Interfacial Stability of Li<sub>2</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub> Cathode Materials

Jianan Xu, Pulickel M. Ajayan, et al. APRIL 19, 2023 ACS APPLIED ENERGY MATERIALS

	READ 🗹
--	--------

# Evaluation of Chemical and Structural Homogeneity in Single Particles of $Li_{1-x}Ni_{0,33}Mn_{0,33}Co_{0,33}O_2$

William J. Judge, Jordi Cabana, et al. SEPTEMBER 19, 2022 THE JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY C

READ 🗹

Get More Suggestions >