S   💩 d   🚔 Y. E- 🗙 🛄 C   🐼 P   🖪 S   🕻	E G 🛛 👁 5 🛛 E S	A   E S   🛇 N   🔇 E   G	🖲 P   G T   🛞 J	🛛 S   🕲 A   🗑	J   🔽 F   🍥 V   X K   🛇 K   🕂	~ - 0 ×
→ C ▲ Not secure   epeneliti.lipi.go.id/	urnal?nama=JOURN	AL+OF+ANIMAL+AND+FEED+	SCIENCES&tahun=	2020	\$ <u>₹</u> 0, 6	3 🕁 🕒 🗯 🌑 :
Apps 🔇 Gmail 🖸 YouTube 🔇 Maps 🔇	3 🛎 6 6	🛇 🛇 🔇 😫 Rekapitulasi S	itudi   🔮 🖿	G 💶 👰 🕄	* © © © © X © 🖹 ©	🔇 🔹 🗄 Reading lis
E E-Peneliti 1.0						
REPUTASI JURNAL / PROSIDING						
Jurnal / Prosiding						
Indeksasi ini hanya memuat Jurnal ilmiah terindeks global be Data terbaru yang ada di ecoeneliti adalah data tahun 2020, in	reputasi tinggi, Jurnal iln Jeksasi setelah tahun ter	iah terindeks global bereputasi meneng sebut mengikuti indeksasi pada tahun 2	ah, Jurnal ilmiah terindek 020	as global bereputasi, Jurr	al ilmiah terindeks lainnya, dan Prosiding ilmiah terindeks	global bereputasi
Select an item		Tahun Terbit			٩	
Nama	Tahun	Reputasi	ISSN	E-ISSN	Penerbit	
JOURNAL OF ANIMAL AND FEED SCIENCES	2020	Jurnal Bereputasi Tinggi	1230-1388	1230-1388	KIELANOWSKI INST ANIMAL PHYSIOLOGY NUTRITI	ИС
		E-Peneliti Versi 1.0 Copyrigh	it © 2018 PUSBINDIKLAT	Peneliti LIPI		
					Activate Wir	ndows
contoh upload jurpdf					Go to Settings t	o activate Windows. Show all
✓ Type here to search	⊟ <del>i</del> (	) 📑 💼 💼 (	) T 🚱	😑 🗖	🚛 🛛 🦣 30°C 🔨 👰	□ <i>信</i> 句)) 20/12/2021 見



# "CONTRIBUTORSHIP" STATEMENT

Those who sign this letter state that this publication:

Title	:	Antimicrobial peptides as an additive in broiler chicken nutrition: a meta-
		analysis of bird performance, nutrient digestibility and serum metabolites
Author	:	M.M. Sholikin, S. Sadarman, A. Irawan, T.R. Prihambodo, N. Qomariyah,
		A.T. Wahyudi, J. Nomura, N. Nahrowi, A. Jayanegara
Published in	:	Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences, 30, 2021, 100-110
		https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/136400/2021

Bogor, 30<sup>th</sup> October 2021

was written by nine authors, namely:

No.	Name	Contributor	Signature
1.	Muhammad Miftakhus Sholikin	Main	br
2.	Sadarman	Member	Alinas
3.	Agung Irawan	Member	A A A
4.	Tri Rachmanto Prihambodo	Member	And in a
5.	Novia Qomariyah	Member	
6.	Aris Tri Wahyudi	Member	7 Mah
7.	Jun Nomura	Member	野村純
8.	Nahrowi	Member	
9.	Anuraga Jayanegara	Member	egente send

# **Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences**

ISSN: 1230-1388 eISSN: 2719-8448



# **Editorial Board**

Bagnicka Emilia Institute of Genetics and Animal Biotechnology Polish Academy of Sciences, Jastrzębiec, Poland

Barszcz Marcin The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland

Chwalibog André University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Czauderna Marian The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland

France James University of Guelph, Guelph, Canada

Gajewska Alina (co-editor) The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland

Gallo Antonio Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Piacenza, Italy

Hammon Harald M. Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Dummerstorf, Germany

Huhtanen Pekka Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences Umeå, Sweden

Jankowski Jan (co-editor) University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, Poland

Kikusato Motoi Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

Kowalski Zygmunt M. University of Agriculture in Krakow, Krakow, Poland

Koziec Krystyna University of Agriculture in Krakow, Krakow, Poland

Krawczyńska Agata (editor) The Kielanowski Institute of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, Polish Academy of Sciences, Jabłonna, Poland

Kreuzer Michael Institute of Agricultural Science, ETH, Zurich, Switzerland Marounek Milan Institute of Animal Science, Praha-Uhříněves, Czech Republic

McGee Mark Teagasc, Grange Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Dunsany, Ireland

Metges Cornelia C. Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology, Dummerstorf, Germany

Pinotti Luciano University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Scanes Colin G. Iowa State University, Ames, USA

Simon Ortwin Freie University, Berlin, Germany

Skwarło-Sońta Krystyna Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland

Szumacher Małgorzata (co-editor) Poznań University of Life Sciences, Poznań, Poland

Szwaczkowski Tomasz (statistical editor) Poznań University of Life Sciences, Poznań, Poland

Tauson Anne-Helene University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Twarużek Magdalena Kazimierz Wielki University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

Yao Gang College of Veterinary Medicine, Xinjiang Agricultural University, Xinjiang, PR. China

Zabielski Romuald Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW, Warsaw, Poland

Zduńczyk Zenon University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Olsztyn, Poland

Zentek Jürgen Freie University, Berlin, Germany



# Contents

Volume 30, No. 1-4, 2021

# Vol. 30, No. 1, 2021

# Review

Synergism of microorganisms and enzymes in solid-state fermentation of animal feed. A review Li W., P. Cheng, JB. Zhang, LM. Zhao, YB. Ma and K. Ding (Henan, China)	3	meal supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, faecal microbiota and blood profile in growing-finishing pigs Muhizi S. and I.H. Kim (Chungnam, Republic of Korea) Effect of pidotimod on growth performance immune func-	58
The effects of probiotics on the performance, egg quality and blood parameters of laying hens: A meta-analysis Sjofjan O., D.N. Adli (Malang, Indonesia; West Java,		tion, intestinal epithelial barriers and microbiota of piglets Zeng Z., L. Tang, Y. Zhou, B. Wang, Q. Wang, P. Zou, X. Zhan, L. Fu and W. Li (Hangzhou, China)	64
Indonesia), M.M. Sholikin, A. Jayanegara (West Java, Indonesia) and A. Irawan (Surakarta, Indonesia; West Java, Indonesia)	11	Short communications Modelling growth curve of Eastern spot-billed ducks ( <i>Anas zonorhyncha</i> ) raised in Vietnam Thinh N.H., B.H. Doan, P.K. Dang, N.X. Canh,	
Ruminants		N.T.C. Giang, L.Q. Minh (Hanoi, Vietnam) and D.N. Do (Danang Vietnam: Truro Canada)	76
Effects of dietary inclusion of longmai granule residue on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood biochemical parameters and rumen fermentation in sheep		Vol. 30, No. 2, 2021	10
Zhang P., S.W. Sun, W.G. Cheng, A. Abdallah, Z.W. Sun		Review	
and Q.Z. Zhong (Changchun, China) Intake, digestibility and rumen fermentation pattern in wethers fed total mixed ration silage containing dry or fresh apple pomace	19	Cow's whey proteins involvement in the development of the immunological system and gastrointestinal tract in calves. A review Medeńska W., A. Dratwa-Chałupnik and M. Ożgo (Szczecin, Poland)	91
Xia Gj. (Yanji, China) and Jc. Fang (Aomori, Japan) Performance, carcass and meat quality of Angus-Nellore heifers finished in integrated livestock forest systems de Oliveira Neves F., C. Andrighetto, P. Aparecida da Luz (Dracena, Brazil), G. Pavan Mateus (Andradina, Brazil), J. Mara de Freitas Santos, L. Pereira de Lima, B. Midori Souza Sekiya, L.H. Zanetti, G.C. Lupatini and N.C. Vieira (Dracena, Brazil)	26 33	Antimicrobial peptides as an additive in broiler chicken nutrition: a meta-analysis of bird performance, nutrient digestibility and serum metabolites Sholikin M.M. (Bogor, Indonesia), S. Sadarman (Pekanbaru, Indonesia), A. Irawan (Surakarta, Indonesia), T.R. Prihambodo (Bogor, Indonesia), N. Qomariyah (South Sulawesi, Indonesia), A.T. Wahyudi (Bogor, Indonesia), J. Nomura (Chiba, Japan), N. Nahrowi and A. Jayanegara (Bogor, Indonesia)	100
Diversity of lactic acid bacteria associated with raw yak ( <i>Bos grunniens</i> ) milk produced in Pakistan Qazalbash M.A., T. Masud, A. Ahmad, R. Hayat, M.S. Ibrahim, A. Mujtaba (Rawalpindi, Pakistan), A. Mumtaz (Islamabad, Pakistanand) and M.J. Asad (Rawalpindi, Pakistan)	42	<b>Ruminants</b> Effect of selected factors (body weight, age, parity, litter size and temperament) on the entrance order into the milking parlour of Lacaune ewes, and its relationship with milk production Libis-Márta K., P. Póti, I. Egerszegi, Á. Bodnár and F. Pajor (Gödöllő, Hungary)	111
The influence of lipoprotein lipase gene polymorphism in Czech East Friesian sheep on the fatty acid profile in milk and yoghurt drinks – a preliminary study Sztankoova Z., M. Borková, J. Rychtářová, J. Smolová, O. Elich, M. Švejcarová and M. Brzáková (Prague, Czech Republic)	52	Monogastrics Evaluation of <i>Boswellia serrata</i> enriched diet on cytokine gene expression and reactive oxygen metabolites in weaning piglets Pastorelli G., V. Serra (Lodi, Italy), G. Salvatori (Campobasso, Italy), V. Redaelli and L. Turin (Lodi, Italy)	119

# Monogastrics

	monogaotnoo	
	Effect of dietary poppy ( <i>Papaver somniferum</i> L.) seed meal supplementation on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, faecal microbiota and blood profile in growing-finishing pigs Muhizi S. and I.H. Kim (Chungnam, Republic of Korea)	58
3	Effect of pidotimod on growth performance, immune func- tion, intestinal epithelial barriers and microbiota of piglets Zeng Z., L. Tang, Y. Zhou, B. Wang, Q. Wang, P. Zou, X. Zhan, L. Fu and W. Li (Hangzhou, China)	64
1	Short communications Modelling growth curve of Eastern spot-billed ducks ( <i>Anas zonorhyncha</i> ) raised in Vietnam Thinh N.H., B.H. Doan, P.K. Dang, N.X. Canh, N.T.C. Giang, L.Q. Minh (Hanoi, Vietnam) and D.N. Do (Danang Vietnam; Truro, Canada)	76
	Vol. 30, No. 2, 2021	
	Review	
9	Cow's whey proteins involvement in the development of the immunological system and gastrointestinal tract in calves. A review Medeńska W., A. Dratwa-Chałupnik and M. Ożgo (Szczecin, Poland)	91
5	Antimicrobial peptides as an additive in broiler chicken nutrition: a meta-analysis of bird performance, nutrient digestibility and serum metabolites Sholikin M.M. (Bogor, Indonesia), S. Sadarman (Pekanbaru, Indonesia), A. Irawan (Surakarta, Indonesia), T.R. Prihambodo (Bogor, Indonesia), N. Qomariyah (South Sulawesi, Indonesia), A.T. Wahyudi (Bogor, Indonesia), J. Nomura (Chiba, Japan), N. Nahrowi and A. Jayanegara (Bogor, Indonesia)	100
2	(Bogor, Indonesia) <b>Ruminants</b> Effect of selected factors (body weight, age, parity, litter size and temperament) on the entrance order into the milking parlour of Lacaune ewes, and its relationship with milk production Libis-Márta K., P. Póti, I. Egerszegi, Á. Bodnár and F. Pajor (Gödöllő, Hungary)	100
	Monogastrics	
	Evaluation of <i>Boswellia serrata</i> enriched diet on cytokine gene expression and reactive oxygen metabolites in weaning piglets	

Others	
--------	--

Nutrient matter, fatty acids, *in vitro* gas production and digestion of herbage and silage quality of yellow sweet clover (*Melilotus officinalis* L.) at different phenological stages

Kara K. (Kayseri, Turkey)	128
Nutritional composition of herbage of different Jerusalem artichoke genotypes Pinar H., K. Kara, F. Hanci and M. Kaplan (Kayseri, Turkey)	141
Biofortification of maize fodder with zinc improves forage productivity and nutritive value for livestock Kumar B. and H. Ram (Ludhiana, Punjab, India)	149
Short communication	
Handling stress-induced ruminal microbiota changes reduce grass hay degradability in sheep Yoshihara Y. and Y. Ogawa (Tsu City, Japan)	159
Non-genetic and genetic effects related to birth and weaning weights of crossbred dairy goats Vázquez-Armijo J.F. (Toluca, México), A. Salvador-Cáceres (Caracas, Venezuela), N. Lopez-Villalobos (Toluca, México, Palmerston, New Zeland), J.B. Herrera-Ojeda (Morelia, México), A. García-Medina (Toluca, México), and G.M.	405
Parra-Bracamonten (Reynosa, México)	165
Effects of maternal vitamin D <sub>3</sub> status on meat quality and fatty acids composition in offspring pigs Guo L., Z. Miao, H. Ma (Xinxiang, China) and S. Melnychuk	470
(Sumy, Ukraine)	1/3

Vol. 30, No. 3, 2021

#### Review

Current trends of Chinese herbal medicines on meat quality of pigs. A review Cui Y., H. Lu, Z. Tian, D. Deng and X. Ma (Guangzhou, China; Maoming, China)	187
Ruminants	
Milkability differences based on lactation peak and parity in Holstein cattle	
Vrhel M., J. Ducháček, M. Gašparík, M. Vacek, R. Codl and J. Pytlík (Praha – Suchdol, Czech Republic)	206
Effects of extruded flaxseed and dietary rumen undegra- dable protein on reproductive traits and the blood metabo- lites in Baluchi ewes	
Ababakri R., O. Dayani, A. Khezri (Kerman, Iran) and A.A. Naserian (Mashhad , Iran)	214
Changes in the content and intensity of oxidation of lipid compounds in the kidney of lambs fed diets with rapeseed and fish oils – effect of antioxidant supplementation Białek M., M. Czauderna, K. Zaworski, M. Karpińska	
(Jabłonna, Poland) and M. Marounek (Praha-Uhříněves,	
Czech Republic)	223

## Monogastrics

Muscle fibre membrane lipid composition in <i>musculus biceps femoris</i> of pigs reared in indoor or outdoor systems Ali O., Z. Petrási, T. Donkó (Kaposvár, Hungary), H. Fébel (Herceghalom, Hungary), M. Mézes (Gödöllő, Hungary) and A. Szabó (Kaposvár, Hungary)	238
Comparative analysis of the rectal and caecal microbial community composition and function in adult Erhualian and Sushan pigs Li B., S. Ren, W. Zhao, X. Wang and F. Tu (Nanjing, China)	248
Effects of different selenium sources on sow reproduc- tive performance and piglet development: a meta-analysis Zhou S.Y., B.X. Wu, Z. Liu and T.J. Zhang (Yangzhou, China)	260
Dehydrated tomato pulp in rabbit feed: effects of incorporation rate on growth performance, carcass yield, meat quality and economic efficiency Mennani A., Y. Arbouche (Setif, Algeria), R. Aarbouche, F. Arbouche (Ghardaïa, Algeria) and L. Ouzzir (Setif, Algeria)	271
Response to dietary supplementation of mixtures of either selected synbiotic, organic acids or essential oils as growth promoters for growing Japanese quails Safwat A.M., M.O. Taher, M. Bahie El-Deen and M. Abd El-Naeem (Alexandria, Egypt)	279

#### Short communication

Effects of boron supplementation alone or in combination with different vitamin  $D_3$  levels on laying performance, eggshell quality, and mineral content and fatty acid composition of egg yolk in laying hens Sizmaz Ö. (Ankara, Turkey), B.H. Koksal (Aydin, Turkey), A. Tekeli (Von, Turkey) and G. Yildiz (Ankara, Turkey) ...... 288

# Vol. 30, No. 4, 2021

# Ruminants

Effect of energy and protein levels in supplemental diets on performance of Rayeni cashmere does and goat kids under natural grazing conditions Syeed Momen S.M., M.M. Sharifi Hosseini, R. Tahmasbi,

*In vitro* gas and short-chain fatty acid production from soybean meal treated with chestnut and quebracho wood extracts by using sheep rumen fluid

Lavrenčič A. and T. Pirman (Domžale, Slovenia) ...... 312

Brain-derived	neurotrophic	factor	(BDNF)	affects	
somatotrophic	axis activity in s	heep			
Przybył B.J., A. Wójcik-Gładysz, A. Gajewska and M. Szlis					
(Jabłonna, Pola	nd)				329

### Monogastrics

Effect of dietary Auricularia cornea culture supplementation on growth performance, serum biochemistry profile and meat quality in growing-finishing pigs Liu X., Y. Ju (Nanning, China), M. Liu (Siping, China), L. Huang, Y. Luo, L. Qi, J. Ye (Nanning, China), S. Zhang (Beijing, China), Y. Yan (Nanning, China) and Y. Li (Changchun, China) ...... 340 Growth performance, carcass traits, meat quality and composition in pigs fed diets supplemented with medicinal plants (Bindens pilosa L., Urena lobata L. and Ramulus cinnamomi) powder Oanh N.C. (Hanoi, Vietnam; Liège, Belgium), N.T. Huyen, P.K. Dang, V.D. Ton (Hanoi, Vietnam) and J.-L. Hornick (Hanoi, Vietnam; Liège, Belgium) ...... 350 Effect of maize replacement with different triticale levels on layers production performance, egg quality, yolk fatty acid profile and blood parameters Lim C.I., J. Poaty Ditengou, K.S. Ryu (Jeonju, Republic of Korea), J. H. Ku, M.R. Park (Suwon, Republic of Korea), I.M. Whiting and V. Pirgozliev (Shropshire, United 

Effect of hesperidin addition to quail diets on fattening performance and quality parameters, microbial load, lipid peroxidation and fatty acid profile of meat Özbilgin A. (Sivas, Turkey), K. Kara (Kayseri, Turkey) and S. Urçar Gelen (Erzurum, Turkey)	367
Comparison of dietary fibre, β-glucan, resistant and non- resistant starch and <i>in vitro</i> digestibility of commercial extruded dry food for adult dogs Kara K. (Kayseri, Turkey)	379
Other topics	
Chemical composition and antioxidant profile of snails ( <i>Cornu aspersum aspersum</i> ) fed diets with different protein sources under intensive rearing conditions Gogas A. (Tyrnavos, Greece), G.P. Laliotis (Athens, Corner), E. Ladadalata, (Landida, Corner), and	
V. Trachana (Larisa, Greece)	391
List of reviewers Authors index Contents of Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences	399 401
Volume 30	403



# Antimicrobial peptides as an additive in broiler chicken nutrition: a meta-analysis of bird performance, nutrient digestibility and serum metabolites

M.M. Sholikin<sup>1</sup>, S. Sadarman<sup>2</sup>, A. Irawan<sup>3</sup>, T.R. Prihambodo<sup>1</sup>, N. Qomariyah<sup>4</sup>, A.T. Wahyudi<sup>5</sup>, J. Nomura<sup>6</sup>, N. Nahrowi<sup>7</sup> and A. Jayanegara<sup>7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IPB University, Graduate School of Nutrition and Feed Science, Bogor, Indonesia <sup>2</sup> Sultan Svarif Kasim State Islamic University, Department of Animal Science, Pekanbaru 28293, Indonesia <sup>3</sup> Universitas Sebelas Maret, Vocational Program in Animal Husbandry, Surakarta 57126, Indonesia <sup>4</sup> Asessment Institute of Agriculture Technology, South Sulawesi, Indonesia <sup>5</sup> IPB University, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Department Biology, Bogor, Indonesia <sup>6</sup> Chiba University, Faculty of Education Chiba, Training Division for School Health Nursing (Yogo) Teachers, Japan <sup>7</sup> IPB University, Faculty of Animal Science, Department of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Bogor, Indonesiaa

**KEY WORDS:** antimicrobial peptide, broiler ABSTRACT. The present meta-analysis evaluated the effect of the level of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) on broiler chicken growth performance, chickens, growth performance, meta-analysis digestibility, small intestine morphology and blood serum parameters. The database was developed from 29 articles comprising 36 experiments. Data were analyzed using a mixed model methodology considering the levels of AMPs as fixed effects and different studies as random effects. It was shown that an increased AMPs addition level guadratically influenced body weight Received: 25 Februrary 2021 (BW), average daily gain (ADG) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) (P < 0.05). Revised: 26 April 2021 Simultaneously, it linearly reduced mortality (P < 0.05) both in the starter Accepted: 5 May 2021 and finisher periods. There was a linear increase in metabolizable energy (P < 0.05). Small intestine morphology in the duodenum, as indicated by villus height and villus height to crypt depth ratio linearly increased, while the crypt depth was linearly decreased (P < 0.05). The mucosa thickness was guadratically affected in the jejunum, while the crypt depth linearly decreased (P < 0.05). Categorical analysis showed that AMPs had a comparable effect with antibiotics on broiler performance (BW, ADG, FCR) (P > 0.05); however the improvement in comparasion with the non-supplemented group was stated (P < 0.01). In conclusion, it is evidenced that AMPs can be used as an effective replacer of antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) because they can improve growth performance, digestibility, small intestine morphology and blood serum parameters of broiler in all rearing periods. Also, the optimal doses of dietary AMPs addition at 337 and <sup>8</sup> Corresponding author: 359 mg/kg of diet for the starter and finisher phases, respectively are suggested.

e-mail: mail: anuraga.jayanegara@gmail.com

# Introduction

Feed-added antibiotics have been widely used in the poultry industry because of their high ability to increase feed efficiency. However, such usage of

antibiotics as growth promoters (AGP) may cause resistance and residue in broilers, and therefore many countries have banned the use of AGP (EFSA et al., 2019). Since then, searching for new AGP alternatives received substantial interest,

particularly in those that are originated or derived from nature such as antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) (Xiao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). AMPs are a divergent group of small proteins that are characterized as strong cationic and heat-resistant. They have molecular weights ranging from 2.5 kDa to no more than 10 kDa with no residues and no adverse effect on eukaryotic cells (Xiao et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017).

AMPs have germicidal properties against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, fungi, viruses, phages and endoparasites (Li et al., 2017). Their mode of action has been well-explained in the literature, mainly regarding their ability to effectively disintegrate the microbe cell surface through the destruction of both cell membrane and nutrient transport system into the cell. Also, AMPs can interfere with the process of DNA transcription, RNA translation, protein synthesis and cell-level oxidation of pathogenic microbes (Xiao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). AMPs provide an effective but not specific defence against infection (Wang et al., 2016). AMPs have been isolated from many natural sources such as mammals (e.g., defensin, colostrum and lactoferrin), amphibians (e.g., magainin), insects (e.g., cecropin and deptiricin), plants (e.g., thionin), microbes (e.g., gramicidin and nisin) and recombinant products (e.g., microcin J25, cecropinA (1-8)-magainin2 (1-12) and sarcotoxin IA) (Skosyrev et al., 2003; Xiao et al., 2015; Józefiak and Engberg, 2017). To date, more than 22,533 AMPs have been identified based on amino acid residues from various research databases (Zhao et al., 2013).

A growing number of studies have been conducted to assess the use of AMPs in broiler chickens nutrition whereas various degrees of effectiveness were found. The updated literature suggested that AMPs have a positive effect on the growth performance of broiler chickens both in the starter and finisher phases (Choi et al., 2013a,b). However, to date, there is no study attempting to quantitatively integrate empirical data regarding the use of AMPs in broiler chickens nutrition.

Meta-analysis is a statistical analysis aggregating results from scientific reports. It can produce a weighted average of the output, and the uncertainty value of the estimated equation can also be calculated (St-Pierre, 2001; Sauvant et al., 2008). A recent metaanalysis of antimicrobial additives has been reported by Vanrolleghem et al. (2019) and Xu et al. (2021) in swine feed. However, a meta-analysis of AMPs in broilers is not available. This study, therefore, aimed to (i) evaluate the effect of AMPs addition on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, small intestine morphology and blood serum parameters in broilers, and (ii) determine the optimal level of AMPs addition by employing a meta-analysis approach.

# Material and methods

## **Publication searching strategy**

Searching and collection of literature were carried out on the Internet databases as Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.com/) and Science Direct (https://www.sciencedirect.com/) by using various keywords such as 'antimicrobial peptide,' 'digestibility,' 'growth performance,' 'small intestine morphology,' 'blood serum,' and/or 'broiler'. There were 43 articles initially obtained using the previously mentioned keywords. To ensure the quality of the database, only articles from peer-reviewed journals were further assessed (Prihambodo et al., 2021). After strict evaluation of abstract and full texts, 29 articles comprising 36 experiments with a total of 111 data lines were used to develop the database as shown in Table 1.

In the database collected, the AMPs addition levels ranged between 0 (control) to 600 mg/kg of diet. The AMPs were derived from animal tissue purification (i.e. swine antibacterial peptides, lactoferrin and bee venom), recombinant products (i.e. microcin J25, AMP-A3 and AMP-P5) and plant-based protein extraction (i.e. canola, sesame and bioactive soyabean peptides). The broilers were reared in two phases, i.e. starter (1–21 days), finisher (22–42 days) and both periods. Broiler types involved in this meta-analysis varied: Arbor Acres, Cobb 500, Lingnan, Lohmann, Hubbard and ROSS 308.

The outcome variables included in the present study were: growth performance (e.g., body weight (BW), average daily gain (ADG), daily feed intake (DFI), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and mortality), nutrient digestibility, metabolism (e.g., dry matter digestibility (DMD), crude protein digestibility (CPD), apparent metabolizable energy (AME), crude fat digestibility (CFD)), small intestine morphology (e.g., mucosa thickness, villus height, crypt depth, villus height:crypt depth ratio (RVCD) in the duodenum, jejunum and ileum) and blood serum metabolites (e.g., total protein, albumin, globulin, albumin:globulin ratio (A:G ratio), cholesterol, triacylglycerol, creatinine and uric acid). The values of similar variables were converted into the same units to allow direct analysis within a particular variable. Only those variables with AMPs size greater than 5 (n > 5) were included in the analyses.

No	Ctudy	Source of AMD	Type of AMP		L Stroip	Cov	Period, days		
INU	Sludy			Level	Strain	Sex	starter	finisher	total
1	Jiang et al. (2009)	Glycine max	Soyabean bioactive peptides	0–200	Arbor Acres	NA	1–28	29–49	49
2	Wang et al. (2009)	Swine intestine	Swine antibacterial peptides	0–0.1	Lohmann	NA	-	-	42
3	Bao et al. (2009)	Swine intestine	Swine antibacterial peptides	0–200	Arbor Acres	Male	1–21	22–42	42
4	Ohh et al. (2009)	Solanum tuberosum L.	Refined potato protein	0–600	Ross 308	Male	1–21	22–42	42
5	Liu et al. (2010)	-	Lysozyme	0–40	Arbor Acres	Male	1–14	15–28	28
6	Han et al. (2010)	Apis mellifera L.	Bee venom	0–1	Arbor Acres	NA	1–28	-	28
7	Hu et al. (2010)	-	Glucagon-like peptide 2	0–0.33	Arbor Acres	NA	1–21	-	21
8	Zhang et al. (2010)	-	Lysozyme	0–200	Cobb 500	Male	1–28	-	28
9	Geier et al. (2011)	-	Bovine lactoferrin	0–500	Cobb 500	Male	1–24	25–32	32
10	Wen and He (2012)	Hyalophora cecropia	Cecropin AD-asparagin	0–8	Lingnan	Male	14–28	29–42	42
11	Choi et al. (2013a)	Helicobacter pylori	AMP-A3	0–90	Ross 308	NA	1–21	22–35	35
12	Choi et al. (2013b)	Analog of cecropin	AMP-P5	0–60	Ross 308	NA	1–21	22–35	35
13	Ali and Mohanny (2014)	Apis mellifera carnica	Bee venom	0–1.5	Ross 308	Mix	1–21	22–42	42
14	Aguirre et al. (2015)	-	Bovine lactoferrin	0–520	Cobb 500	NA	1–28	29–42	42
15	Wang et al. (2015)	Bacillus subtilis	Sublancin	0–11.52	Arbor Acres	NA	1–21	22–28	28
16	Karimzadeh et al. (2016)	Brassica spp.	Canola bioactive peptides	0–250	Ross 308	Male	1–28	29–42	42
17	Abdel-Latif et al. (2017)	-	Lysozyme	0–120	Ross 308	NA	1–21	22–35	35
18	Karimzadeh et al. (2017a)	-	Peptide	0–250	_	NA	1–28	29–42	42
19	Karimzadeh et al. (2017b)	Brassica spp.	Canola bioactive peptides	0–250	Ross 308	Male	1–28	29–42	42
20	Gong et al. (2017)	Egg white	Lysozyme	0–100	Ross 308	Male	1–24	25–35	35
21	Enany et al. (2017)	-	Lactoferrin	0–250	Hubbard	Mix	-	-	42
22	Kim et al. (2018)	Apis mellifera	Bee venom	0–0.5	Ross 308	Male	1–21	-	35
23	Torki et al. (2018)	Egg white	Lysozyme	0–40	Ross 308	Male	14–28	29–33	33
24	Ma et al. (2020)	Saprophytic ascomycete	Recombinant plectasin	0–200	Arbor Acres	Male	1–21	22–42	42
25	Daneshmand et al. (2020)	-	Camel lactoferrin chimera	0–20	Cobb 500	Male	1–10	11–24	24
26	Daneshmand et al. (2019)	-	Camel lactoferrin 36	0–20	Cobb 500	Male	1–22	-	22
27	Salavati et al. (2020)	Sesamum indicum	Sesame bioactive peptides	0–150	Ross 308	NA	1–24	25–35	35
28	Bai et al. (2019)	Bombyx mori	Cecropin	0–600	Arbor Acres	Mix	1–21	22–42	42
29	Wang et al. (2020)	-	Microcin J25	0–1	Arbor Acres	Male	1–21	22–42	42

Table 1. Studies included in the meta-analysis

AMP - antimicrobial peptide; NA - information is not available

### **Data analysis**

Data analyses were conducted by statistical meta-analysis approach based on the mixed model methodology (St-Pierre, 2001; Sauvant et al., 2008). Experiments were served as random effects, while the AMPs addition level was considered as fixed effects. The statistical models used were as follow:

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ Level}_{ij} + \text{ Experiment}_i + \text{ Experiment}_i \text{ Level}_{ij} + e_{ij} \qquad (1),$$
  

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ Level}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{ Level}_{ij}^{2+} \text{ Experiment}_i + \text{ Experiment}_i \text{ Level}_{ii} + e_{ii} \qquad (2)$$

Experiment<sub>i</sub> Level<sub>ij</sub> + e<sub>ij</sub> (2) where: (1) linear mixed model (LMM) mathematical model in the 1<sup>st</sup> order, (2) LMM mathematical model in the 2<sup>nd</sup> order,  $\beta_0 + \beta_1$  Level<sub>ij</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> order) and  $\beta_0 + \beta_1$  Level<sub>ij</sub> +  $\beta_2$  Level<sup>2</sup><sub>ij</sub> (2<sup>nd</sup> order) = fixed effect, Experiment<sub>i</sub> + Experiment<sub>i</sub> Level<sub>ij</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> order),  $\beta_0$  – overall intercept value across all experiments,  $\beta_1$  – linear regression coefficient of 1<sup>st</sup> order,  $\beta_2$  – linear regression coefficient of 2<sup>nd</sup> order, Level<sub>ij</sub> – additional level on the random effect, Experiment – experiment<sub>*i*</sub>,  $e_{ij}$  – unexplained residual errors.

The estimation of the coefficient followed the maximum likelihood method. The statistical models used were: P-values, root means square errors and Akaike information criterion (AIC). The results were declared to be significant at  $P \le 0.05$  and tended to be significant when the P-value was between 0.05 and 0.1. Initially, the model was assessed with the quadratic model and then altered to the linear model when the quadratic term was insignificant. For quadratic models, the optimum levels regarding AMPs concentration for the response variables were provided. We recognized that the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and titer information are important factors. However, there is little available information on titer and MIC in poultry. Data were analyzed in the R software version 3.6.3 equipped with a 'nlme' library (Pinheiro et al., 2020; R Core Team, 2020).

The LMM models were used because the data is continuous. In this present meta-analysis, we did not perform an analysis based on the type of AMPs used because there has been a very limited number of data to implement a proper analysis for each AMPs. However, to examine the effectiveness of AMPs as AGP replacers, we categorized the database as discrete data into three groups as previously conducted by Irawan et al. (2020): (1) broiler chickens without commercial antibiotics – a control group; (2) broiler chickens receiving AGPs – an AGP group; and (3) broiler chickens receiving diet supplemented with AMPs – an AMP group. The discrete data in this meta-analysis were analyzed using the following model:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{ii} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{S}_i + \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{ii} + \mathbf{e}_{ii},$$

where:  $Y_{ij}$  – predicted output for dependent variable Y,  $\mu$  – overall mean,  $S_i$  – random effect of *i* study,  $\tau_j$  – fixed effect of the *j* level,  $S\tau_{ij}$  – random interaction between *i* study and the *j* level, and  $e_{ij}$  – unexplained residual error. A significance among groups was declared at P < 0.05 using least-square means and adjusted with a Tukey's test (Irawan et al., 2021).

# **Results**

# Regression equation of the effect of antimicrobial peptides doses on broiler chickens

In all phases, the broiler growth performance parameters such as BW, ADG and FCR improved significantly with the AMPs addition level (P < 0.05; Table 2). The AMPs addition effect on growth performance followed a quadratic pattern. In the starter phase, the AMPs levels to produce optimum BW, ADG and FCR were 337, 346 and 337 mg/kg of diet, respectively, with the predicted productivity for about 960 g (BW), 40.6 g/h/day (ADG) and 1.43 (FCR). To produce the optimum BW, ADG and FCR in the finisher phase, the AMPs levels were 352, 360 and 359 mg/kg of diet, respectively, with the predicted productivity for about 2260 g (BW), 76.6 g/h/day (ADG) and 1.96 (FCR). In the total phase, the addition of AMPs levels as much as 351, 412 and 371 mg/kg of diet resulted in the optimum BW, ADG and FCR, respectively. The predicted productivity was 1935 g (BW),

Table 2. The regression equation of the antimicrobial peptide (AMPs) addition (mg/kg of diet) on broiler growth performance in total phase

Response	Madal	NI	Variable estimates				Model es		Optimum output			
variables	woder	IN	int.	SE int.	slope	SE slope	P-value	RMSE	AIC	trend	Х	Y
Growth performance in	the starte	r phas	e									
BW, g	Q	82	912	43.6	0.29	0.085	0.001	1.82	942	Max.	337	960
-	L				-0.000424	0.0002	0.007					
ADG, g/h/day	Q	82	38.5	1.90	0.0124	0.0043	0.006	1.99	446	Max.	346	40.6
	L				-1.80E-05	7.75E-06	0.025					
DFI, g/h/day	L	82	57.1	2.67	0.000392	0.0015	0.792	1.75	449	Pos.		
FCR	Q	82	1.52	0.04	-0.000546	0.0002	0.002	1.71	-118	Min	337	1.43
	L				1.00E-06	3.00E-07	0.01					
Growth performance in	the finishe	er pha	se									
BW, g	Q	73	2.102	98.4	0.899	0.146	<0.001	1.64	927	Max.	352	2.260
	L				-0.00128	0.0003	<0.001					
ADG, g/h/day	Q	73	70.7	2.61	0.0327	0.006	<0.001	1.31	442	Max.	360	76.6
	L				-4.50E-05	1.08E-05	<0.001					
DFI, g/h/day	L	73	150	4.27	0.0027	0.0029	0.357	1.68	486	Pos.		
FCR	Q	73	2.15	0.067	-0.00106	0.0002	<0.001	1.83	-47.1	Min.	359	1.96
	L				1.00E-06	4.40E-07	0.001					
Growth performance e	valuated in	all ph	ases									
BW, g	Q	101	1.752	115	1.04	0.145	<0.001	1.63	1.330	Max.	351	1.935
					-0.00148	0.0003	<0.001					
ADG, g/h/day	Q	106	54.4	4.46	0.0273	0.0039	<0.001	1.45	657	Max.	412	60
					-3.30E-05	7.37E-06	<0.001					
DFI, g/h/day	L	104	102	8.77	0.00279	0.0015	0.071	1.77	677	Pos.		
FCR	Q	104	1.9	0.0585	-0.000933	0.0002	<0.001	1.69	-107	Min.	371	1.73
					1.00E-06	2.90E-07	<0.001					
Mortality, %	L	17	12.2	4.56	-0.045	0.0157	0.017	1.08	123	Neg.		

ADG – average daily gain, AIC – Akaike information criterion, BW – body weight, DFI – daily feed intake, FCR – feed conversion ratio, int. – intercept, L – linear, Max. – maximum, Min. – minimum, N – number of data, Neg. – negative, Pos. – positive, Q – quadratic, RMSE – root mean square errors, SE – standard error, X – level (mg/kg of diet), Y – optimal value of response variables

60.0 g/h/day (ADG) and 1.73 (FCR). Also, in the total phase, the increased AMPs addition level significantly decreased mortality (P < 0.05) and tended to increase the DFI (P < 0.10). However, the increased AMPs addition level did not significantly increase the DFI in the starter and finisher phases.

Concerning the effect of AMPs on digestion and small intestine morphology, the digestibility of crude fat linearly increased (P < 0.05), but it affected quadratically the finisher phase (P < 0.05; Table 3). The AME significantly decreased in the finisher phase. The AMPs treatment did not affect DMD and CPD in the finisher phase. In the starter phase, levels of AMPs had no effect on AME and DMD; however, the tendency to decrease the CPD (P < 0.10) was observed. Several variables of small intestine morphology in the duodenum, such as villus height, crypt depth and RVCD, were significantly affected by the addition of AMPs (P < 0.05), except the mucosa thickness. In the jejunum, the mucosa thickness significantly increased (P < 0.05), while crypt depth tended to decrease (P < 0.10). Meanwhile, villus height and RVCD did not increase substantially. Also, there was no significant effect of AMPs addition on small intestine morphology in the ileum.

The effect of AMPs addition level on blood serum in broiler during starter, finisher, and total phases are presented in Table 4. In the starter period, the A:G ratio and triacylglycerol concentration were significantly decreased (linear; P < 0.05). In addition, globulin concentration tended to increase, while cholesterol concentration tended to decrease (P < 0.10). Total protein and albumin concentrations were not significantly affected by AMPs addition. In the finisher phase, the creatinine concentration changed quadratically due to the increase of AMPs inclusion (P < 0.05). Whereas total protein, albumin, globulin, cholesterol, triacylglycerol and uric acid concentrations and A:G ratio were not significantly affected by the increase of AMPs inclusion levels (P > 0.10).

Table 3. The regression equation of the antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) addition (mg/kg of diet) on digestibility and small intestine morphology of broiler

Deenenee verichlee	Model	Ν	Variable estimates				Model estimates			Interpretation		
Response variables			int	SE int	slope	SE slope	P-value	RMSE	AIC	trend	Х	Y
Digestibility and metabolizab	le energ	y in tl	ne starter p	ohase								
dry matter, % FM	L	10	77.3	0.864	0.000782	0.0022	0.733	1.00	40.5	Pos.		
crude protein, % DM	L	19	66	3.71	-0.0075	0.0042	0.0989	1.11	114	Neg.		
crude fat, % DM	L	5	86.4	0.0616	0.495	0.0126	<0.001	1.00	-5.67	Pos.		
AME, kcal/kg	L	9	2.882	2 157	-0.209	0.375	0.598	0.94	126	Neg.		
Digestibility and metabolizab	le energ	y in tl	he finisher	phase								
dry matter, % FM	L	15	74.4	1.39	0.000749	0.0038	0.847	1.27	76.1	Pos.		
crude protein, % DM	L	20	68.1	1.17	-0.00208	0.0062	0.742	1.26	121	Neg.		
crude fat, % DM	Q	10	81.2	9.03	-0.131	0.0472	0.032	0.93	65	Min.	106	74.3
	L				0.00062	0.0002	0.0149					
AME, kcal/kg	L	5	2.985	5 14.8	32.4	3.02	0.0017	1.00	49.1	Pos.		
Small intestine morphology in	n the du	odeni	um									
mucosa thickness, µm	L	6	708	52.2	0.271	0.506	0.63	1.02	77.6	Pos.		
villus height, µm	L	49	1048	120	0.562	0.188	0.0052	1.63	651	Pos.		
crypt depth, µm	L	43	229	49.5	-0.0921	0.0437	0.0436	1.90	454	Neg.		
RVCD	L	47	5.99	0.823	0.00472	0.0019	0.016	1.58	179	Pos.		
Small intestine morphology in	n the jeji	unum										
mucosa thickness, µm	Q	6	440	19.5	4.48	0.876	0.0362	0.97	64.2	Max.	102	668
	L				-0.022	0.0045	0.039					
villus height, µm	L	32	1138	324	1.23	3.93	0.757	2.40	566	Pos.		
crypt depth, µm	L	26	208	38.6	-0.126	0.0613	0.057	0.99	261	Neg.		
RVCD	L	30	6.87	2.1	0.000507	0.0249	0.984	2.30	225	Pos.		
Small intestine morphology in	n the ileu	um										
villus height, µm	L	30	678	138	0.304	0.209	0.16	1.64	394	Pos.		
crypt depth, µm	L	30	151	21	0.00962	0.0504	0.851	1.49	302	Pos.		
RVCD	L	34	4.6	0.773	0.00151	0.0025	0.547	1.73	135	Pos.		

AIC – Akaike information criterion, AME – apparent metabolizable energy, DM – dry matter, FM – fresh matter, Int. – intercept, L – linear, Max. – maximum, Min. – minimum, N – number of data, Neg. – negative, Pos. – positive, Q – quadratic, RMSE – root mean square errors, RVCD – ratio of villus height to crypt depth, SE – standard error, X – level (mg/kg of diet), Y – optimal value of response variables

	Model	N	Variable estimates				Model estimates					
Response variables			int.	SE int.	slope	SE slope	P-value	RMSE	AIC	trend	X	Y
Blood serum in the starter	phase					<b>!</b>						
total protein, g/dl	L	13	4.47	0.501	0.0018	0.0014	0.223	0.96	21.7	Pos.		
albumin, g/dl	L	8	3.23	0.258	-0.00189	0.0024	0.472	0.89	9.16	Neg.		
globulin, g/dl	L	8	1.68	0.66	0.00573	0.0025	0.072	0.94	12.7	Pos.		
A:G ratio	L	8	2.57	1.1	-0.0172	0.0022	<0.001	0.97	13.1	Neg.		
cholesterol, mg/dl	L	13	121	4.61	-0.0888	0.0396	0.052	1.30	106	Neg.		
triacylglycerol, mg/dl	L	9	90.1	40.1	-0.0639	0.0196	0.017	0.94	70.3	Neg.		
Blood serum in the finishe	r phase											
total protein, g/dl	L	18	17.8	13	-0.00414	0.0048	0.402	1.33	99.9	Neg.		
albumin, g/dl	L	13	6.13	4	9.90E-05	0.0033	0.977	1.14	50.1	Pos.		
globulin, g/dl	L	13	2.6	0.873	0.00272	0.0019	0.199	1.02	28.3	Pos.		
A:G ratio	L	13	1.75	0.622	-0.00265	0.0016	0.136	0.87	22	Neg.		
cholesterol, mg/dl	L	18	106	25.5	-0.0794	0.076	0.317	1.17	179	Neg.		
triacylglycerol, mg/dl	L	14	85.7	18.6	0.0232	0.0196	0.266	1.04	110	Pos.		
creatinine, mg/dl	Q	9	0.326	0.09	-0.000735	0.0002	0.031	1.00	-31.7	Min.	80.9	0.3
	L				5.00E-06	1.57E-6	0.045					
uric acid, mg/dl	L	9	6.68	0.416	-0.00382	0.0048	0.466	1.24	26.8	Neg.		

Table 4. The regression equation of the antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) addition (mg/kg of diet) on blood serum of broilers

A:G ratio – albumin-globulin ratio, AIC – Akaike information criterion, int – intercept, L – linear, Min. – minimum; N – number of data, Neg. – negative, Pos. – positive, Q – quadratic, RMSE – root mean square errors, SE – standard error, X – level (mg/kg of diet), Y – optimal value of response variables

Table 5. Meta-analysis of the effect of antibiotics as growth promoters (AGPs) vs antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) on growth performance and small intestine morphology of broiler chickens

Deenenee verichlee	AGPs vs AMPs	5	DMCE	Dycluo	
Response variables	Control	AGPs	AMPs	KINSE	P-value
Growth performance in starter pl	hases				
BW, g	905ª	1.069 <sup>b</sup>	895 <sup>ab</sup>	1.82	0.005
ADG, g/h/day	38.2ª	44.5 <sup>b</sup>	37.3ª	1.99	0.003
DFI, g/h/day	57.7ª	65.7 <sup>b</sup>	54.9ª	1.75	0.011
FCR	1.55 <sup>b</sup>	1.55 <sup>ab</sup>	1.50ª	1.71	<0.001
Growth performance in finisher p	phases				
BW, g	2.092ª	2.076 <sup>ab</sup>	2.096 <sup>b</sup>	1.64	<0.001
ADG, g/h/day	70ª	71.5 <sup>⊳</sup>	72.4 <sup>b</sup>	1.31	<0.001
DFI, g/h/day	150	146	148	1.68	0.99
FCR	2.18 <sup>b</sup>	2.07ª	2.05ª	1.83	<0.001
Growth performance in all phase	es				
BW, g	1.738ª	1.802 <sup>b</sup>	1.913 <sup>b</sup>	1.63	<0.001
ADG, g/h/day	49.7ª	53.9 <sup>b</sup>	57.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.45	<0.001
DFI, g/h/day	102	85.3	103	1.77	0.638
FCR	1.92 <sup>b</sup>	1.81ª	1.82ª	1.69	<0.001
mortality rate, %	16.6 <sup>b</sup>	9.40ª	5.07ª	1.08	0.001
Small intestine morphology in the	e duodenum				
mucosa thickness, µm	620ª	-	780 <sup>b</sup>	1.02	0.003
villus height, µm	1000ª	1107 <sup>ab</sup>	1425 <sup>b</sup>	1.63	<0.001
crypt depth, μm	234	345	212	1.90	0.074
RVCD	4.93ª	5.58 <sup>ab</sup>	6.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.58	0.003
Small intestine morphology in the	e jejunum				
villus height, µm	804	1138	1406	0.97	0.508
crypt depth, μm	210	282	209	2.40	0.09
RVCD	4.71	4.13	8.06	0.99	0.492
Small intestine morphology in the	e ileum				
villus height, µm	643	665	780	1.64	0.054
crypt depth, μm	159	210	143	1.49	0.386
RVCD	4.12	3.05	5.37	1.73	0.116

ADG – average daily gain, BW – body weight, DFI – daily feed intake, FCR – feed conversion ratio RMSE – root mean square errors, RVCD – ratio of villus height to crypt depth; ab – different superscript within the same row are significantly different at P < 0.05

# Effect of antimicrobial peptides compared to antibiotics growth promoter

The comparison between AGP and AMPs groups of broiler chickens is presented in Table 5. In the starter period, broiler chickens that received AMPs had significantly lower BW and ADG in comparison to birds treated with AGP (P < 0.01). In finisher period and in the slaughter age, no difference was observed on BW and ADG when compared with the AGP group (P > 0.05) but they were higher than that of the control group in finisher period (P < 0.01). There was no difference in FCR between AMPs and AGP groups, but the AMPs group had significantly lower FCR when compared to the control group in all phases (P < 0.01). In comparison to the AGP and control groups, AMPs successfully improved mortality rate (P < 0.01). In addition, there was also no substantial change in all small intestinal sections between birds receiving AGP and AMPs (P > 0.05).

# Discussion

Effect on growth performance of broiler chickens. In general, the addition of AMPs can improve broiler growth performance as indicated by the increase of BW and ADG, followed by the decrease of FCR and mortality, either in starter, finisher or total phases. A similar finding was proved by previous studies that used AMPs in the form of cecropin, AMP-A3 and AMP-P5 (Bai et al., 2019). The AMPs serves as an antimicrobial agent that could inhibit or even kill pathogenic microbes and improve the small intestine morphology so that digestion and nutrients absorption of broiler may be more optimal (Xiao et al., 2015; Józefiak and Engberg, 2017). In the study on another monogastric animal, such as pig, a similar response was shown (Yoon et al., 2013). This study also provided evidence that AMPs can be used as an AGP replacer as comparable effectivity to improve broiler chickens performance was noted. This is in agreement with recent studies using Microcin J25 and nisin (Kierończyk et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020).

It was suggested that AMPs originated from different sources, either plant or animal, show a positive effect on broiler growth performance. For instance, the insect-derived AMPs, such as cecropin (*Hyalophora cecropia*) showed positive effects on DFI, and ADG, and reduced the FCR of the broiler chickens (Wen and He, 2012). The animal tissuederived AMPs such as swine antibacterial peptide (200 mg/kg of diet) improved the broilers final body weight (Bao et al., 2009). In addition, plant-derived AMPs, such as canola meal bioactive peptide (200 mg/kg of diet), also positively increased ADG of broilers (Karimzadeh et al., 2017b).

Effect on digestibility and small intestine morphology of broiler chickens. The increase of CFD due to AMPs addition is an indirect effect of the improved small intestine morphology to optimize the nutrient absorption process (Feingold and Grunfeld, 2000). A tendency of the CPD decline by the addition of AMPs is highlighted in the present study. The decline of CPD was probably caused by the less specific AMPs action, since AMPs, mostly comprised of cationic charge, interacted with a negative charge of amino acids and formed chelating compounds (Selle et al., 2007). The decrease of CPD was also reported by Ohh et al. (2009) with added refined potato protein treatment. In contrast, Choi et al. (2013a) reported that the addition of AMP-A3 as much as 0-90 mg/kg linearly increased the CPD. The increase of AME was the indirect effect of AMPs addition due to improved health and small intestine morphology.

The positive effect of AMPs addition on small intestine morphology in the duodenum was supported by previous studies. Jin et al. (2008) reported that the addition of AMPs such as potato protein and lactoferrin showed a positive (linear) effect on villus height and RVCD, while the effect on crypt depth was negative (linear). Insect-derived AMPs, like cecropin, could inhibit pathogenic bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, coliform and the Micrococcus luteus. Thus, the inhibition effect increased the height of the villus and decreased crypt depth (Yi et al., 2014). Villus height was another factor, in addition to the number of villi that affected the area of villi in the small intestine. The increase of villus height in the duodenum had a beneficial effect on the contact of digestive enzymes with nutrients so that the nutrient degradation process and its distribution to the jejunum could be optimum (Svihus, 2014).

The positive effect of AMPs addition on small intestine morphology in the jejunum was slightly different according to Bao et al. (2009) who reported a significant increase of mucosa thickness and villus height with swine AMPs usage, and Wang et al. (2006) who observed that lactoferrin had significantly increased villus height and decreased crypt depth. In the jejunum, most fats, such as cholesterol, fatty acids and triacylglycerols are digested and then absorbed. The mucosa epithelium and villus serve an important role in this process (Svihus, 2014). The present finding showed that AMPs did not affect the small intestine morphology (e.g., villus height, crypt depth and their ratio) in the ileum. Xiao et al. (2013), who used composite AMPs in swine diet, reported the opposite results. Although it was not significant, the AMPs addition had a positive effect on small intestine morphology in the ileum and ileum function to re-absorb bile salts and B<sub>12</sub> vitamin (Svihus, 2014).

The AMPs served as an antimicrobial for pathogenic microbes through the damage of cell wall integrity and their intracellular activity (Xiao et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). Decreasing the pathogenic microbial population AMPs had a positive impact on beneficial bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria. Lactic acid bacteria in the digestive tract could improve the small intestine morphology by increasing the absorption area through the increased villus height. The number of villi could also decrease the crypt depth (Aliakbarpour et al., 2012). The improvement of small intestine morphology had a positive impact on the digestibility and metabolism of nutrients. However, there were still several variables that showed the decline pattern, such as the digestibility of crude protein and crude fat. This condition occurred because of the less specific AMPs action. Other nutrients (e.g., amino acids, fatty acids, vitamins and minerals) could be bound to form a complex compound (AMP-nutrients). A complex compound was more difficult to dissolve than a simple compound. Also, nutrient digestibility was influenced by various factors such as particle size, solubility, enzyme interaction, viscosity, temperature, acidity, digestive microbial composition and many other factors (Lesson and Summers, 2009).

Effect on blood serum of broiler chickens. It was stated that in the starter phase, the AMPs addition declined some blood serum variables such as total cholesterol, A:G ratio and triacylglycerol. A decrease in total cholesterol and triacylglycerol concentrations was also reported in the starter broiler phase due to the lysozyme addition at a dose of 90 mg/kg of diet. However, another study conducted on swine reported that the addition of zinc antibacterial peptide did not affect total cholesterol and triacylglycerol concnetrations (Abdel-Latif et al., 2017). Cholesterol and triacylglycerol are transported in the blood in the form of lipoproteins. The decrease of both components was likely due to their low proportion in lipoproteins since the high CFD in the starter phase was reported. Low-density lipoprotein, also called 'a bad fat,' is lipoprotein with a high cholesterol component (Bauer et al., 2005). The present finding was slightly different from the previous study that also displayed a significant effect on albumin and globulin concentrations and A:G ratio with a positive linear pattern (Xiao et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2018).

The addition of AMPs did not affect the entire blood serum of the finisher phase. However, there was a significant reduction of creatinine concentration with a quadratic pattern observed. The minimum creatinine (0.300 mg/dl) was noted when the AMPs level was about 80.93 mg/kg of diet. This finding was slightly higher in comparison to that of Kim et al. (2018) in which the range of creatinine concentration was about 0.210-0.239 mg/dl as the effect of AMPs addition in the form of bee venom at a dose of 0–0.5 mg/kg of diet in finisher broiler phase. Creatinine is mostly (95%) stored in muscle in the form of creatine phosphate, and then it is used as the main energy source during heavy work such as repairing damaged cells, increasing muscle mass and other working. The decrease of creatinine indicated a decline in creatinine use for those mentioned works. In opposite, the increased creatinine in blood serum indicates glomerular damage (Saks and Ventura-Clapier, 1994).

Furthermore, AMPs seem to have a positive effect on broiler blood serum metabolites in the starter phase and no effect in the finisher phase. This variation might correspond with the less specific action of AMPs or even other mechanisms. The significant reduction of creatinine concentration and a tendency to cholesterol concentration reduction as influenced by the increase of AMPs inclusion suggest that AMPs addition can improve the quality of livestock derived products.

Challenge and future direction. Despite sufficient and clear evidence of AMPs benefits on broiler chickens over conventional antibiotics, there are still challenges that need to be considered for the future direction. Nowadays, high production costs and the time consumed to produce AMPs in an industrial setting have become the drawbacks concerning that the demand for the use of AMPs is predicted to be continuously increased. To produce the AMPs from host-producing cells, it needs to kill the host (Tanhaiean et al., 2018; Tanhaieian et al., 2018). In particular, most of the AMPs reported in the studies compiled in the present meta-analysis were produced conventionally. Thus, the first takehome message is to develop and industrialize the most efficient production method. Regarding this, some studies can be acknowledged due to their successful strategy to produce and purify the AMPs more efficiently by using a bioengineered approach (Tanhaeian et al., 2018; Tanhaeian et al., 2018 Tanhaeian et al., 2020).

Recombinant or synthetic AMPs of the chimeric peptide have been successfully expressed from a simple method by using E. coli (Tanhaiean et al., 2018) and Lactococcus lactis (Tanhaieian et al., 2018) with considerable antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. Other methods to optimize the stability and biocompatibility, reduce toxicity and prolong the site-specific retention of AMP polymer are developed with a nanostructure approach. It was reported that chimeric peptide analogues using the nanostructure technique were effective to inhibit some pathogens such as Streptococcus mutans, Staphylococcus epidermidis and E. coli (Tanhaiean et al., 2018; Tanhaieian et al., 2018). In addition, there is also little known regarding the specific mechanism on how AMPs work especially in the preclinical area such as pharmacokinetics, absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (Magana et al., 2020). Therefore, a future study in this area is to be investigated in animal livestock.

# Conclusions

The present meta-analysis elucidates the positive effect of dietary antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) addition on broiler chickens growth performance, digestibility, small intestine morphology and blood serum parameters, which was observed on the starter and finisher phases. This study also recommends optimum AMPs dosage based on the feed conversion ratio either for starter or finisher periods at 337 and 359 mg/kg of diet, respectively.

# Acknowledgments

This study was fully funded by the Ministry of Education and Culture, the Republic of Indonesia, through the scholarship research scheme, namely 'Master Education Towards Doctor for Excellent Bachelor (PMDSU)' grant no. 2136/IT3.L1 PN/2021 in the 2021 fiscal year. This paper was also a part of the sandwich-like program (PKPI) grand number T/2134/D3.2/Kd.02.00/2019 at Chiba University, Japan in 2019.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# References

- Abdel-Latif M.A., El-Far A.H., Elbestawy A.R., Ghanem R., Mousa S.A., Abd El-Hamid H.S., 2017. Exogenous dietary lysozyme improves the growth performance and gut microbiota in broiler chickens targeting the antioxidant and non-specific immunity mRNA expression. PLoS ONE 12, e0185153, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185153
- Aguirre A.T.A., Acda S.P., Angeles A.A., Oliveros M.C.R., Merca F.E., Cruz F.A., 2015. Effect of bovine lactoferrin on growth performance and intestinal histologic features of broilers. Philipp. J. Vet. Anim. Sci. 41, 12–20
- Ali A.H.H., Mohanny K.M., 2014. Effect of injection with bee venom extract on productive performance and immune response of broiler chicks. J. Anim. Poult. Prod. 5, 237–246, https://doi. org/10.21608/jappmu.2014.69561
- Aliakbarpour H.R., Chamani M., Rahimi G., Sadeghi A.A., Qujeq D., 2012. The *Bacillus subtilis* and lactic acid bacteria probiotics influences intestinal mucin gene expression, histomorphology and growth performance in broilers. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 25, 1285–1293, https://doi. org/10.5713/ajas.2012.12110
- Bai J., Wang R., Yan L., Feng J., 2019. Co-supplementation of dietary seaweed powder and antibacterial peptides improves broiler growth performance and immune function. Braz. J. Poult. Sci. 21, eRBCA-2018-0826, https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2018-0826
- Bao H., She R., Liu T. et al., 2009. Effects of pig antibacterial peptides on growth performance and intestine mucosal immune of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 88, 291–297, https://doi. org/10.3382/ps.2008-00330
- Bauer E., Jakob S., Mosenthin R., 2005. Principles of physiology of lipid digestion. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 18, 282–295, https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2005.282
- Choi S.C., Ingale S.L., Kim J.S., Park Y.K., Kwon I.K., Chae B.J., 2013a. An antimicrobial peptide-A3: effects on growth performance, nutrient retention, intestinal and faecal microflora and intestinal morphology of broilers. Br. Poult. Sci. 54, 738–746, https://doi. org/10.1080/00071668.2013.838746
- Choi S.C., Ingale S.L., Kim J.S., Park Y.K., Kwon I.K., Chae B.J., 2013b. Effects of dietary supplementation with an antimicrobial peptide-P5 on growth performance, nutrient retention, excreta and intestinal microflora and intestinal morphology of broilers. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 185, 78–84, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2013.07.005
- Daneshmand A., Kermanshahi H., Sekhavati M.H., Javadmanesh A., Ahmadian M., 2019. Antimicrobial peptide, cLF36, affects performance and intestinal morphology, microflora, junctional proteins, and immune cells in broilers challenged with *E. coli.* Sci. Rep. 9, 14176, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-50511-7
- Daneshmand A., Kermanshahi H., Sekhavati M.H., Javadmanesh A., Ahmadian M., Alizadeh M., Aldavoodi A., 2020. Effects of cLFchimera on intestinal morphology, integrity, microbiota, and immune cells in broiler chickens challenged with necrotic enteritis. Sci. Rep. 10, 17704, https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-74754-x
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), Bronzwaer S., Kass G., Robinson T., Tarazona J., Verhagen H., Verloo D., Vrbos D., Hugas M., 2019. Editorial on food safety regulatory research needs 2030. EFSA J. 17, e170622, https://doi.org/10.2903/j. efsa.2019.e170622

- Enany M., El Gammal A.E.A., Solimane R., El Sissi A., Hebashy A., 2017. Evaluation of lactoferrin immunomodulatory effect on the immune response of broiler chickens. Suez Canal Vet. Med. J. 22, 135–146, https://doi.org/10.21608/scvmj.2017.62452
- Feingold K.R., Grunfeld C., 2000. Introduction to lipids and lipoproteins. In: Endotext. MDText.com, Inc., South Dartmouth, MA (USA)
- Geier M.S., Torok V.A., Guo P., Allison G.E., Boulianne M., Janardhana V., Bean A.G.D., Hughes R.J., 2011. The effects of lactoferrin on the intestinal environment of broiler chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 52, 564–572, https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2011.607429
- Gong M., Anderson D., Rathgeber B., MacIsaac J., 2017. The effect of dietary lysozyme with EDTA on growth performance and intestinal microbiota of broiler chickens in each period of the growth cycle. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 26, 1–8, https://doi. org/10.3382/japr/pfw041
- Han S.M., Lee K.G., Yeo J.H., Oh B.Y., Kim B.S., Lee W., Baek H.J., Kim S.T., Hwang S.J., Pak S.C., 2010. Effects of honeybee venom supplementation in drinking water on growth performance of broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 89, 2396–2400, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2010-00915
- Hu X.F., Guo Y.M., Huang B.Y., Bun S., Zhang L.B., Li J.H., Liu D., Long F.Y., Yang X., Jiao P., 2010. The effect of glucagonlike peptide 2 injection on performance, small intestinal morphology, and nutrient transporter expression of stressed broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 89, 1967–1974, https://doi. org/10.3382/ps.2009-00547
- Irawan A., Hidayat C., Jayanegara A., Ratriyanto A., 2020. Essential oils as growth-promoting additives on performance, nutrient digestibility, cecal microbes, and serum metabolites of broiler chickens: A meta-analysis. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.20.0668
- Irawan A., Sofyan A., Ridwan R., Hassim H.A., Respati A.N., Wardani W.W., Sadarman, Astuti W.D., Jayanegara A., 2021. Effects of different lactic acid bacteria groups and fibrolytic enzymes as additives on silage quality: A meta-analysis. Bioresour. Technol. Rep. 14, 100654, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2021.100654
- Jiang Y.B., Yin Q.Q., Yang Y.R., 2009. Effect of soybean peptides on growth performance, intestinal structure and mucosal immunity of broilers. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 93, 754–760, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0396.2008.00864.x
- Jin Z., Yang Y.X., Choi J.Y. et al., 2008. Effects of potato (Solanum tuberosum L. cv. Golden valley) protein having antimicrobial activity on the growth performance, and intestinal microflora and morphology in weanling pigs. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 140, 139–154, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007.12.006
- Józefiak A., Engberg R.M., 2017. Insect proteins as a potential source of antimicrobial peptides in livestock production. A review. J. Anim. Feed Sci. 26, 87–99, https://doi.org/10.22358/jafs/69998/2017
- Karimzadeh S., Rezaei M., Teimouri Yansari A., 2016. Effects of canola bioactive peptides on performance, digestive enzyme activities, nutrient digestibility, intestinal morphology and gut microflora in broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. J. 4, 27–36, https:// doi.org/10.22069/psj.2016.2969
- Karimzadeh S., Rezaei M., Teimouri-Yansari A., 2017a. Effect of canola peptides, antibiotic, probiotic and prebiotic on performance, digestive enzymes activity and some ileal aerobic bacteria in broiler chicks. Iran J. Anim. Sci. 48, 129–139, https://doi. org/10.22059/ijas.2017.221313.653481
- Karimzadeh S., Rezaei M., Yansari A.T., 2017b. Effects of different levels of canola meal peptides on growth performance and blood metabolites in broiler chickens. Livest. Sci. 203, 37–40, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2017.06.013

- Kierończyk B., Rawski M., Mikołajczak Z., Świątkiewicz S., Józefiak D., 2020. Nisin as a novel feed additive: The effects on gut microbial modulation and activity, histological parameters, and growth performance of broiler chickens. Animals 10, 101, https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10010101
- Kim D.H., Han S.M., Keum M.C., Lee S., An B.K., Lee S.-R., Lee K.-W., 2018. Evaluation of bee venom as a novel feed additive in fast-growing broilers. Br. Poult. Sci. 59, 435–442, https:// doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2018.1476675
- Leeson S., Summers J.D., 2009. Commercial Poultry Nutrition. Nottingham University Press. Nottingham (UK)
- Li Z., Mao R., Teng D., Hao Y., Chen H., Wang X., Wang X., Yang N., Wang J., 2017. Antibacterial and immunomodulatory activities of insect defensins-DLP2 and DLP4 against multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Sci. Rep. 7, 12124, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10839-4
- Liu D., Guo Y., Wang Z., Yuan J., 2010. Exogenous lysozyme influences *Clostridium perfringens* colonization and intestinal barrier function in broiler chickens. Avian Pathol. 39, 17–24, https://doi.org/10.1080/03079450903447404
- Ma J.L., Zhao L.H., Sun D.D., Zhang J., Guo Y.P., Zhang Z.Q., Ma Q.G., Ji C., Zhao L.H., 2020. Effects of dietary supplementation of recombinant plectasin on growth performance, intestinal health and innate immunity response in broilers. Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins 12, 214–223, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12602-019-9515-2
- Magana M., Pushpanathan M., Santos A.L. et al., 2020. The value of antimicrobial peptides in the age of resistance. Lancet Infect. Dis. 20, E216–E230, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30327-3
- Ohh S.H., Shinde P.L., Jin Z., Choi J.Y., Hahn T.-W., Lim H.T., Kim G.Y., Park Y., Hahm K.-S., Chae B.J., 2009. Potato (Solanum tuberosum L. cv. Gogu valley) protein as an antimicrobial agent in the diets of broilers. Poult. Sci. 88, 1227–1234, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2008-00491
- Pinheiro J., Bates D., DebRoy S. et al., 2020. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. https://cran.r-project.org/ web/packages/nlme
- Prihambodo T., Sholikin M.M., Qomariyah N. et al., 2021. Effects of dietary flavonoids on performance, blood constituents, carcass composition and small intestinal morphology of broilers: a meta-analysis. Anim. Biosci. 34, 434–442, https:// doi.org/10.5713/ajas.20.0379
- R Core Team, 2020. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna (Austria), http://www.r-project.org/index.html
- Saks V.A., Ventura-Clapier R. (Editors), 1994. Cellular Bioenergetics: Role of Coupled Creatine Kinases. Springer Science+ Business Media. Dordrecht (the Netherlands), https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2612-4
- Salavati M.E., Rezaeipour V., Abdullahpour R., Mousavi N., 2020. Effects of graded inclusion of bioactive peptides derived from sesame meal on the growth performance, internal organs, gut microbiota and intestinal morphology of broiler chickens. Int. J. Pept. Res. Ther. 26, 1541–1548, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10989-019-09947-8
- Sauvant D., Schmidely P., Daudin J.J., St-Pierre N.R., 2008. Metaanalyses of experimental data in animal nutrition. Animal 2, 1203–1214, https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731108002280
- Selle P.H., Ravindran V., Ravindran G., Bryden W.L., 2007. Effects of dietary lysine and microbial phytase on growth performance and nutrient utilisation of broiler chickens. Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci. 20, 1100–1107, https://doi.org/10.5713/ ajas.2007.1100

- Skosyrev V.S., Kulesskiy E.A., Yakhnin A.V., Temirov Y.V., Vinokurov L.M., 2003. Expression of the recombinant antibacterial peptide sarcotoxin IA in *Escherichia coli* cells. Protein Expr. Purif. 28, 350–356, https://doi.org/10.1016/s1046-5928(02)00697-6
- St-Pierre N.R., 2001. Invited review: integrating quantitative findings from multiple studies using mixed model methodology. J. Dairy Sci. 84, 741–755, https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74530-4
- Svihus B., 2014. Function of the digestive system. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 23, 306–314, https://doi.org/10.3382/japr.2014-00937
- Tanhaeian A., Mirzaii M., Pirkhezranian Z., Sekhavati M.H., 2020. Generation of an engineered food-grade *Lactococcus lactis* strain for production of an antimicrobial peptide: *in vitro* and *in silico* evaluation. BMC Biotechnol. 20, 19, https://doi. org/10.1186/s12896-020-00612-3
- Tanhaiean A., Azghandi M., Razmyar J., Mohammadi E., Sekhavati M.H., 2018. Recombinant production of a chimeric antimicrobial peptide in *E. coli* and assessment of its activity against some avian clinically isolated pathogens. Microb. Pathog. 122, 73–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.06.012
- Tanhaieian A., Sekhavati M.H., Ahmadi F.S., Mamarabadi M., 2018. Heterologous expression of a broad-spectrum chimeric antimicrobial peptide in *Lactococcus lactis*: Its safety and molecular modeling evaluation. Microb. Pathog. 125, 51–59, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.09.016
- Torki M., Schokker D., Duijster-Lensing M., Van Krimpen M.M., 2018. Effect of nutritional interventions with quercetin, oat hulls, β-glucans, lysozyme and fish oil on performance and health status related parameters of broilers chickens. Br. Poult. Sci. 59, 579–590, https://doi.org/10.1080/00071668.2018.1496402
- Vanrolleghem W., Tanghe S., Verstringe S., Bruggeman G., Papadopoulos D., Trevisi P., Zentek J., Sarrazin S., Dewulf J., 2019. Potential dietary feed additives with antibacterial effects and their impact on performance of weaned piglets: a meta-analysis. Vet. J. 249, 24–32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tvjl.2019.04.017
- Wang D., Ma W., She R., Sun Q., Liu Y., Hu Y., Liu L., Yang Y., Peng K., 2009. Effects of swine gut antimicrobial peptides on the intestinal mucosal immunity in specific-pathogen-free chickens. Poult. Sci. 88, 967–974, https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2008-00533
- Wang G., Song Q., Huang S., Wang Y., Cai S., Yu H., Ding X., Zeng X., Zhang J., 2020. Effect of antimicrobial peptide microcin J25 on growth performance, immune regulation, and intestinal microbiota in broiler chickens challenged with *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*. Animals 10, 345, https://doi.org/10.3390/ ani10020345

- Wang S., Zeng X.F., Wang Q.W. et al., 2015. The antimicrobial peptide sublancin ameliorates necrotic enteritis induced by *Clostridium perfringens* in broilers. J. Anim. Sci. 93, 4750–4760, https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2015-9284
- Wang S., Zeng X., Yang Q., Qiao S., 2016. Antimicrobial peptides as potential alternatives to antibiotics in food animal industry. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17, 603, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17050603
- Wang Y., Shan T., Xu Z., Liu J., Feng J., 2006. Effect of lactoferrin on the growth performance, intestinal morphology, and expression of PR-39 and protegrin-1 genes in weaned piglets. J. Anim. Sci. 84, 2636–2641, https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2005-544
- Wen L.-F., He J.-G., 2012. Dose-response effects of an antimicrobial peptide, a cecropin hybrid, on growth performance, nutrient utilisation, bacterial counts in the digesta and intestinal morphology in broilers. Br. J. Nutr. 108, 1756–1763, https://doi. org/10.1017/S0007114511007240
- Xiao H., Shao F., Wu M., Ren W., Xiong X., Tan B., Yin Y., 2015. The application of antimicrobial peptides as growth and health promoters for swine. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 6, 19, https://doi. org/10.1186/s40104-015-0018-z
- Xiao H., Tan B.E., Wu M.M., Lin Y.L., Li T.J., Yuan D.X, and Li L., 2013. Effects of composite antimicrobial peptides in weanling piglets challenged with deoxynivalenol: II. Intestinal morphology and function. J. Anim. Sci. 91, 4750–4756, https://doi.org/10.2527/ jas.2013-6427
- Xu B., Fu J., Zhu L., Li Z., Jin M., Wang Y., 2021. Overall assessment of antibiotic substitutes for pigs: a set of meta-analyses. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 12, 3, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-020-00534-2
- Yi H.-Y., Chowdhury M., Huang Y.-D., Yu X.-Q., 2014. Insect antimicrobial peptides and their applications. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 98, 5807–5822, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-5792-6
- Yoon J.H., Ingale S.L., Kim J.S., Kim K.H., Lohakare J., Park Y.K., Park J.C., Kwon I.K., Chae B.J., 2013. Effects of dietary supplementation with antimicrobial peptide-P5 on growth performance, apparent total tract digestibility, faecal and intestinal microflora and intestinal morphology of weanling pigs. J. Sci. Food Agric. 93, 587–592, https://doi.org/10.1002/ jsfa.5840
- Zhang G., Mathis G.F., Hofacre C.L., Yaghmaee P., Holley R.A., Duranc T.D., 2010. Effect of a radiant energy-treated lysozyme antimicrobial blend on the control of clostridial necrotic enteritis in broiler chickens. Avian Dis. 54, 1298–1300, https://doi.org/10.1637/9370-041410-ResNote.1
- Zhao X., Wu H., Lu H., Li G., Huang Q., 2013. LAMP: a database linking antimicrobial peptides. PLoS ONE 8, e66557, https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066557