## 1 Asian Honey Bee *Apis cerana* Foraging on Mushrooms

0	
•,	
4	

3	Jun-ichi Takahashi <sup>1*</sup> , Kentaro Hosaka <sup>2</sup> , Stephen J. Martin <sup>3</sup> , Akira Kawabe <sup>1</sup>
4	<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Life sciences, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto, Japan
5	<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, National Museum of Nature and Science, Amakubo 4-1-1,
6	Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
7	<sup>3</sup> School of Environment & Life Sciences University of Salford, Manchester UK
8	
9	
10	Corresponding author:
11	Jun-ichi Takahashi, Faculty of Life sciences, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto, Japan.
12	E-mail: jit@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp
13	
$14\\15$	

17 Introduction

18	Honey bees (Apis spp.) are well known for obtaining their nutrition from pollen and nectar
19	collected from a wide range of flowers (Winston, 1991). They also collect honeydew
20	secreted by aphids and scale insects as an additional source of carbohydrate. Many species
21	of bees use plant resins, which when mixed with their saliva produces propolis, a
22	sticky substance used to seal the hive and help combat pathogens and infections (Castro,
23	2001). In some honey bees e.g. <i>Apis florea</i> propolis can be used as an ant deterrent
24 25	(Duangphakdee, Koeniger, Koeniger, Wongsiri, & Deowanish, 2005). However, in Brazil the Africanized honey bee ( <i>Apis mellifera</i> ) workers gather mycelium and spores from
20	the Arreanized noney oce ( <i>Apis mettyeru</i> ) workers gatter hycenatin and spores from
26	Cladosporium sp. of fungi (Modro, Silva, & Luz, 2009) but are not known to visit the
27	fruiting body of fungi. Here we report for the first-time honey bee (A. mellifera) workers
28	apparently feeding on the fruiting body of fungi.

29

## 30 Material and Methods

The observational survey was conducted at the Kyoto Sangyo University campus in Kyoto City, Japan in autumn 2017. At the study site, 14 individual fruiting bodies of the same species where located within a 1 m<sup>2</sup> area. Continuous observations were made for at least two hours each day between September 26 and October 3, 2017. There were no

35	flowers to attract bees within a 50-m radius of the study site. Observations of fungi were
36	made by four researchers and recorded using a digital camera (Sony HXR-MC1),
37	resulting in total 48 hours of recordings. During the same period, control observations of
38	honey bee visiting goldenrod (Solidago canadensis) flowers around 200 m away from the
39	fungi using the same methodology resulted in a total of 21 hours of recordings. After that,
40	we measured the duration and frequency of visits by the honey bee workers to the
41	fruiting body used the video data.
42	
43	
44	Results and Discussion
44 45	Results and Discussion Although more colonies of <i>A. mellifera</i> than <i>A. cerana</i> were in the surrounding area, only
45	Although more colonies of <i>A. mellifera</i> than <i>A. cerana</i> were in the surrounding area, only
45 46	Although more colonies of <i>A. mellifera</i> than <i>A. cerana</i> were in the surrounding area, only <i>A. cerana</i> workers were found visiting the fruiting body at a rate of 3.2 times per hour
45 46 47	Although more colonies of <i>A. mellifera</i> than <i>A. cerana</i> were in the surrounding area, only <i>A. cerana</i> workers were found visiting the fruiting body at a rate of 3.2 times per hour spending an average of 28.2 seconds during each visit (Figure 1). <i>A. cerana</i> visited the
45 46 47 48	Although more colonies of <i>A. mellifera</i> than <i>A. cerana</i> were in the surrounding area, only <i>A. cerana</i> workers were found visiting the fruiting body at a rate of 3.2 times per hour spending an average of 28.2 seconds during each visit (Figure 1). <i>A. cerana</i> visited the goldenrod flowers at a similar rate of 3.1 times per hour and stayed for an average of 31.6

52 We observed A. cerana workers gnawing with their mandibles and extending their

53	tongues into the tubes (Figure 2, Video 1) of the fruiting body. The A. cerana workers
54	were also observed feeding on the decaying mushrooms later in the study. The fungi was
55	initially identified as Xerocomus spp. in the order Boletales (Basidiomycota, Fungi). This
56	identification was later confirmed via DNA sequence analysis, using the internal
57	transcribed spacer (ITS) primers, ITS5 and ITS4, with reaction conditions as described in
58	White, Bruns, Lee, & Taylor (1990). The resultant nucleotide sequence was registered
59	with the DDBJ DNA data bank (accession number LC333562) and had a 96% homology
60	with genus Xerocomus spp. as determined by BLAST of the resulting ITS sequence.
61	Additional DNA sequences (accession numbers MG650105 and MG650106) were
62	obtained from RPB1 and ATP6 genes, using the primer pairs bRPB2-6F/bRPB2-7.1R
63	(Matheny, 2005) and ATP6-3/APT6-2 (Kretzer & Bruns, 1999), respectively. These
64	sequences also confirmed Xerocomus spp.based BLAST searches.
65	

It remains unclear why this foraging behavior of *A. cerana* at the fruiting bodies was occurring. The orchid *Cymbidium floribundum* is known to trick *A. cerana* bees into pollinating them for no reward of nectar or pollen by mimicking honey bee secretions (Sasaki, Ono, Asada, & Yoshida, 1991). It is possible that the mushroom may release secretions, which are acting as an attractant or psychostimulant. It has been shown that

71	honey bees preferred solutions with low concentrations of drugs such as nicotine and
72	caffeine over a 20% sucrose control solution (Singaravelan, Nee'man, Inbar, & Izhaki,
73	2005) and several insects are known to become addicted to drugs (Boppre, 1999).
74	Furthermore, we found that some of the Xerocomus spp. fruiting bodies were parasitized
75	by Sepedonium spp., an ascomycetous mold (Figure 2), which was identified as either a
76	Sepedonium spp. (98% homology) or Hypomyces chrysospermus (97% homology) based
77	on the ITS sequence obtained using the primers ITS5 and ITS4 (White, Bruns, Lee, &
78	Taylor, 1990). These mold's are known to parasitize Boletaceae fruiting bodies, including
79	the genus Xerocomus (Arora, 1986). Hypomyces chrysospermus produces sepedonin,
80	which shows anti-fungal and -bacterial activities (Nagao, Yoshida, Iwai, Sakai, Tanaka,
81	& Miyahara, 2006) that are highly prized for their culinary value (Arora, 1986). So, it is
82	possible that A. cerana is selectively choosing parasitized Xerocomus as their food source.
83	However, these ideas remain highly speculative, until more data is obtained. It is well
84	established that some species of beetles and flies feed on the fruiting bodies of mushrooms
85	(van Emden, 2013), but such behavior in bees is hitherto unknown (Winston 1991; Sasaki,
86	2010).

87

88 In this study, we may have observed the mutualistic relationship between the honey bee and

89	fruiting body of a fungi. We hypothesize that A. cerana workers likely obtain some resources
90	from the mushrooms. Honey bees are most likely to obtain proteins like pollen from
91	fruiting body, but some extracts from the mycelium of amadou (Fomes) and reishi
92	(Ganoderma) fungi have been suggested to have antiviral effect against pathogenic
93	viruses (Stamets, Naeger, Evans, Han, Hopkins, Lopez, Moershel, Nally, Sumerlin,
94	Taylor, Carris, & Sheppard, 2018). It has also been suggested that A. mellifera nurse bees
95	prefers honey that has high antimicrobial activity (Gherman, Denner, Bobiş, Dezmirean,
96	Mărghitas, Schlüns, Moritz, & Erler, 2014). Mushrooms often release secretions from the
97	underside of their fruiting bodies, and the honey bees might be collecting that. In this
98	observation, the type of the substance that the honey bees obtained from the mushrooms
99	remains unknown.
100	
101	Acknowledgement
102	I thank Prof. Masaki Sasaki, Dr. Takuya Kiyoshi and Mr. Yuya Matsuo for helping with
103	this research. This work was supported by a grant from Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry
104	and Fisheries of Japan (Research project for Monitoring and enhancement of pollinators
105	for crop production).

- 107 References
- 108 Arora, D. (1986). Mushrooms Demystified. A Comprehensive Guide to the Fleshy Fungi.
- 109 Second Edition. Ten Speed Press, Berkeley.
- 110 Boppre, M. (1999). Drug-addicted insects in Africa. Metamorphosis 10,3–15.
- 111 Castro de, S.L. (2001). Propolis: biological and pharmacological activities. Therapeutic
- uses of this bee-product. Annual Review of Biomedical Sciences, 3, 49-83.
- 113 Duangphakdee, O., Koeniger, N., Koeniger, G., Wongsiri, S., & Deowanish, S. (2005).
- 114 Reinforcing a barrier a specific social defense of the dwarf honeybee (*Apis florea*)

released by the weaver ant (*Oecophylla smaragdina*). Apidologie, 36(4), 505-511.

- 116 Gherman, B.I., Denner, A., Bobiș, O., Dezmirean, D.S., Mărghitas, L.A., Schlüns, H.,
- 117 Moritz, R.F.A., & Erler, S. (2014). Pathogen-associated self-medication behavior in
- the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 68, 1777-1784.
- 119 Kretzer, A.M., & Bruns, T.D. (1999). Use of atp6 in fungal phylogenetics: an example
- 120 from the Boletales. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 13, 483-492.
- 121 Matheny, P.B. (2005). Improving phylogenetic inference of mushrooms with RPB1 and
- 122 RPB2 nucleotide sequences (*Inocybe*; Agaricales). Molecular Phylogenetics and
- 123 Evolution, 35, 1-20.
- 124 Modro, A.F.H., Silva, I.C., & Luz, C.F.P. (2009). Saprophytic fungus collection by

125

africanized bees in Brazil. Neotropical entomology, 38(3), 434-436.

- 126 Nagao, K., Yoshida, N., Iwai, K., Sakai, T., Tanaka, M., & Miyahara, T. (2006).
- 127 Production of sepedonin by *Sepedonium chrysospermum* NT-1 in submerged culture.
- 128 Environmental Sciences, 13(5), 251-256.
- 129 Sasaki, M., Ono, M., Asada, S., & Yoshida, T. (1991). Oriental orchid (Cymbidium
- 130 pumilum) attracts drones of the Japanese honeybee (Apis cerana japonica) as
- 131 pollinators. Experientia, 47, 1229-1231.
- 132 Sasaki, M. (2010). Bee's eye View of Flowering Plants: Nectar- and Pollen-source Plants
- and Related Honeybee Products. Kaiyusya, Tokyo. [In Japanese].
- 134 Singaravelan, N., Nee'man, G., Inbar, M., & Izhaki, I. (2005). Feeding responses of Free-
- 135 flying Honeybees to Secondary Compounds mimicking Floral Nectars. Journal of
- 136 Chemical Ecology, 31, 2791-2804.
- 137 Stamets, P.R., Naeger, N.L., Evans, J.D., Han, J.O., Hopkins, B.K., Lopez, D., Moershel,
- 138 H.M., Nally, R., Sumerlin, D., Taylor, A.W., Carris, L.M., & Sheppard, W.S. (2018).
- 139 Extracts of Polypore Mushroom Mycelia Reduce Viruses in Honey Bees. Scientific
- 140 Reports, 8, 13936.
- 141 Van Emden, H.F. (2013). Handbook of Agricultural Entomology. Wiley-Blackwell, New142 Jersey.

143	White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing
144	of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, in: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H.,
145	Sninsky, J.J., & White, T.J. (Eds.), PCR protocols, a guide to methods and
146	applications, Academic Press, pp.315-322. Academic Pres, Massachusetts.
147	Winston, M.L. (1991). The Biology of the Honey Bee. Harvard University Press,
148	Massachusetts.

- 149
- 150

## 151 Figures captions

152

154	Compa	arison of	(a)	) duration and (	(b	) visits (	$(\text{mean} \pm \text{s.e.})$	) in 1	the hon	ey bees	Apis	cerana a	and
-----	-------	-----------	-----	------------------	----	------------	---------------------------------	--------	---------	---------	------	----------	-----

155 Apis mellifera on the fungi Xerocomus cf. chrysenteron and goldenrod flowers Solidago

156 *canadensis*. N = The total number of honey bees observed.. Observations of fungi and

157 flower were made for 42 and 21 hours respectively.

158

159 Figure 2

160 Apis cerana worker foraging on the tubes of the mushroom Xerocomus cf. chrysenteron

- 161 (left) and biting the fruit body of the mushroom Xerocomus cf. chrysenteron parasitized
- 162 by Sepedonium sp. (white mycelia on cap surface) (right). Please click the image to play
- 163 Video 1.