Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Huan Cai, Zhongshan People's Hospital (ZSPH), China

REVIEWED BY Shiyuan Gu, Yixing People's Hospital, China Huatuo Huang, Affiliated Hospital of Youjiang Medical University for Nationalities, China Peng Huang, Nanjing Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fafa Tian ⊠ tianff40w@163.com Xia Huang ⊠ 19hx27@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 19 January 2023 ACCEPTED 11 May 2023 PUBLISHED 02 June 2023

CITATION

Sun D, Song M, Zeng C, Chen H, Zhang J, Liu F, Luo S, Liao Q, Xiao Y, Xu W, Zeng D, Tan Z, Tian F and Huang X (2023) Associations of vitamin D-related single nucleotide polymorphisms with post-stroke depression among ischemic stroke population. *Front. Psychiatry* 14:1148047. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1148047

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sun, Song, Zeng, Chen, Zhang, Liu, Luo, Liao, Xiao, Xu, Zeng, Tan, Tian and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Associations of vitamin D-related single nucleotide polymorphisms with post-stroke depression among ischemic stroke population

Dongren Sun^{1,2}, Mingyu Song^{1,2}, Chang Zeng³, Hengshu Chen^{1,2}, Jingyuan Zhang^{1,2}, Fan Liu^{1,2}, Shihang Luo^{1,2}, Qiao Liao^{1,2}, Yeqing Xiao⁴, Weiye Xu⁵, Danfeng Zeng⁶, Zheren Tan^{1,2}, Fafa Tian^{1,2*†} and Xia Huang^{7*†}

¹Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ²National Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Disorders, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ³Health Management Center, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ⁴Department of Neurology, Hengyang Central Hospital, Hengyang, Hunan, China, ⁵Department of Human Anatomy and Neurobiology, School of Basic Medicine, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan, China, ⁶Department of Neurology, Xiangtan Central Hospital, Xiangtan, Hunan, China, ⁷Department of Critical Care Medicine, The First People's Hospital of Huaihua, Huaihua, Hunan, China

Objective: To investigate the relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) related to vitamin D (VitD) metabolism and post-stroke depression (PSD) in patients with ischemic stroke.

Methods: A total of 210 patients with ischemic stroke were enrolled at the Department of Neurology in Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, from July 2019 to August 2021. SNPs in the VitD metabolic pathway (*VDR*, *CYP2R1*, *CYP24A1*, and *CYP27B1*) were genotyped using the SNPscan[™] multiplex SNP typing kit. Demographic and clinical data were collected using a standardized questionnaire. Multiple genetic models including dominant, recessive, and overdominant models were utilized to analyze the associations between SNPs and PSD.

Results: In the dominant, recessive, and over-dominant models, no significant association was observed between the selected SNPs in the *CYP24A1* and *CYP2R1* genes and PSD. However, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the *CYP27B1* rs10877012 G/G genotype was associated with a decreased risk of PSD (OR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.18–0.92, p=0.030 and OR: 0.42, 95% CI: 0.18–0.98, p=0.040, respectively). Furthermore, haplotype association analysis indicated that rs11568820-rs1544410-rs2228570-rs7975232-rs731236 CCGAA haplotype in the *VDR* gene was associated with a reduced risk of PSD (OR: 0.14, 95% CI: 0.03–0.65, p=0.010), whereas no significant association was observed between haplotypes in the *CYP2R1* and *CYP24A1* genes and PSD.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that the polymorphisms of VitD metabolic pathway genes *VDR* and *CYP27B1* may be associated with PSD in patients with ischemic stroke.

KEYWORDS

vitamin D, post-stroke depression, VDR, CYP2R1, CYP24A1, CYP27B1

Introduction

Post-stroke depression (PSD) is a prevalent complication of stroke events, affecting approximately one-third of stroke survivors at any given time after a stroke. Individuals with PSD have a poor prognosis, with prolonged hospital stays, impaired neurological recovery, recurrent vascular events, poor quality of life, and increased mortality, leading to serious negative consequences for individuals, families, and society (1, 2). Identifying susceptibility factors for PSD is crucial. Accumulating evidence suggests that PSD development is influenced by various factors, including biological and psychosocial factors (2). Additionally, genetic links have been established between PSD and the apolipoprotein E gene, inflammatory cytokine genes, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene, and brain-derived neurotrophic factor polymorphisms (3-6).

Vitamin D (VitD) is involved in many of the physiological activities of the brain, including the coordination of neurotrophic factors, immune regulation of the nervous system and neuroprotection, among other processes (7). During VitD metabolism, sunlight acts on 7-dehydrocholesterol in the skin epidermis, producing vitamin D3. The liver primarily produces 25 (OH) D through hydroxylation by the hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP2R1. The second hydroxylation to the active form 1,25 (OH)2D in the kidney is catalyzed by the cytochrome P450 enzyme CYP27B1 (8, 9). Most of the biological effects of VitD are achieved through VDR-mediated regulation. VitD binds to VDR and acts on target genes to regulate various metabolic processes, cell adhesion, tissue differentiation, development, and angiogenesis (10-12). Several studies have confirmed the association of VitD levels with PSD development. For instance, Gu et al. found that low serum VitD levels were independently associated with PSD (13, 14), consistent with previous studies (15–17). Bahrami et al. also suggested that genetic susceptibility accounts for a considerable proportion of the heterogeneity in VitD levels (8). Hence, genetic variations in the relevant genes may alter enzymatic activity in the VitD metabolic pathway, leading to altered VitD concentrations.

To the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined the association between VitD-related gene polymorphisms and PSD. Given the crucial role that VitD plays in depression pathogenesis, we selected SNP loci that may affect VitD metabolism, especially those involving exonic and 5'-flanking regions. This study aimed to investigate the association between selected SNPs in *VDR* (rs11568820, rs1544410, rs2228570, rs7975232, rs731236), *CYP2R1* (rs12794714, rs10741657, rs7129781), *CYP24A1* (rs2296241, rs2248137, rs2248359, rs2762939, rs2296239, rs2274133), *CYP27B1* (rs10877012), and PSD to identify genetic susceptibility loci for PSD and further explore its pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Participants

We conducted a cross-sectional study at the Department of Neurology in Xiangya Hospital, Central South University from July 2019 to August 2021. The study included patients who had experienced an ischemic stroke. A standardized questionnaire was used to collect patient demographic and clinical characteristics such as age, gender, body mass index (BMI), hypertensive disorders, diabetes, smoking habits, history of alcohol consumption, and stroke location (anterior, posterior or both). Of note, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score was used to quantify the cognitive status of the enrolled patients. The Hamilton Depression Scale-17 (HAMD-17) is used to determine a patient's depressive status. The questionnaire was administered by researchers who received uniform training. BMI was calculated by dividing body weight (in kilograms) by the square of height (in meters). PSD was defined as a HAMD-17 score of >7 at 2 weeks after stroke onset, and the scale's reliability and validity in Chinese populations have been previously demonstrated (18-20). Inclusion criteria were: (1) patients not older than 80 years; (2) patients with cerebral infarction onset within 14 days; (3) acute ischemic stroke event confirmed by intracranial CT or/and MRI; and (4) subjects able to complete the scale assessment normally. Exclusion criteria were: (1) difficulty in cooperating due to their understanding; (2) presence of other depression-related neurological disorders, including but not limited to Parkinson's disease, Lewy body dementia, multiple system atrophy; and (3) past diagnosis of depression, bipolar disorder and other psychiatric disorders, regardless of whether the subject is taking anti-anxiety or antidepressant medication.

This study was approved by the Ethical Investigation and Review Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal guardians prior to enrollment.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms selection and genotyping

We have selected 15 SNPs (minor allele frequency>5%) from the VDR, CYP2R1, CYP27B1, and CYP24A1 genes or their expression that have previously been reported to be associated with stroke or depression, based on the National Center for Biotechnology Information SNP database¹ (Supplementary Table S1). After an 8-h fasting period, 3-5 mL of blood was collected from each subject using ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulation tubes. The plasma and blood cells were separated by centrifugation at a low temperature (4°C) and stored separately at -80°C until DNA extraction. Genotyping was performed using the high specificity of the ligase ligation reaction to identify alleles at the SNP. This was followed by the introduction of non-specific sequences of different lengths at the end of the ligation probe and by the ligase addition reaction to obtain ligation products of different lengths corresponding to the SNP, PCR amplification of the ligation products using fluorescently labeled universal primers, and electrophoretic separation of the amplified products by fluorescence capillary electrophoresis. Raw data collected on the ABI3730XL sequencer were analyzed using GeneMapper 4.1 software (AppliedBiosystems, USA). The SNPscanTM multiplex SNP typing kit was used for genotyping with technical support from the Center for Genetic and Genomic Analysis, Genesky Biotechnologies (Inc., Shanghai, China). This technique has been previously reported in the literature

¹ http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP

(21, 22). The quality control analysis included a random selection of samples for reproducibility assessment and negative controls. Only individuals with an SNP detection rate of >99.5% were included in the final study.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 26.0, R software version 4.2.1 with the gtsummary package version 1.6.2 and pwr package version 1.3.0. Continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was assessed using the chi-square test. Categorical variables and genotype frequencies were analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables that followed a normal distribution were analyzed using Student's *t*-test, and those that did not follow a normal distribution were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test. The risk assessment was performed using univariate logistic regression models, with the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) being reported as the outcome measures. Entries with *p*-values at borderline significance in the univariate analysis (p < 0.1) were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. We investigated the gene-phenotype associations using dominant, recessive, and over-dominant models. The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and haplotype analyses were performed using SNPstat software (23). Power calculations for the current study were performed using R software. A power value greater than 0.8 was considered to indicate sufficient statistical power. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Multiple comparisons were corrected by the simple Bonferroni method (24, 25). The threshold of significance was set at p < 0.05/15 = 0.003, with p < 0.05 and p > 0.003 considered nominally significant. For SNPs that reach significant or nominally significant levels, we use the GWAS4D online tool for functional annotation (26).

Results

Clinical features and statistical power

A total of 210 patients in the acute phase of ischemic stroke were ultimately included in this study. Table 1 presents the baseline clinical data between the PSD and non-post-stroke depression (NPSD) groups. Of the participants, 109 (51.9%) were PSD and 101 (48.1%) were NPSD. There were more male patients in the NPSD group (52.4%) compared to the PSD group (47.6%), while more female patients were in the PSD group (61.5%) compared to the NPSD group (38.5%). Although the NPSD group had a higher proportion of smokers and drinkers (53.0 and 51.4%, respectively) and the PSD group had a higher proportion of patients with hypertension and diabetes than the NPSD group (50.7 and 61.9%, respectively), these demographic and clinical characteristics did not differ significantly between the PSD and NPSD groups. Despite no significant difference in stroke location between the two groups, the PSD group had lower MMSE scores compared to the NPSD group (23.82±4.54 vs. 25.46 ± 4.33 , p = 0.002). The calculated power value using the pwr package of R software was 1, indicating sufficient statistical power in our study.

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants.

	PSD	NPSD	P-value
Age/years	58.08 ± 9.58	56.54 ± 11.96	0.590
Female, n%	40 (61.5%)	25 (38.5%)	0.060
Male, n%	69 (47.6%)	76 (52.4%)	
Body mass index	23.58 ± 2.66	23.82 ± 2.92	0.850
Smoking, n%			
Yes	55 (47.0%)	62 (53.0%)	0.110
No	54 (58.1%)	39 (41.9%)	
Drinking, n%			
Yes	52 (48.6%)	55 (51.4%)	0.330
No	57 (55.3%)	46 (44.7%)	
Hypertension, n%	74 (50.7%)	72 (49.3%)	0.590
Diabetes, n%	39 (61.9%)	24 (38.1%)	0.060
Stroke location			
Anterior	62 (61.4%)	76 (69.7%)	0.400
Both	6 (5.9%)	4 (3.7%)	
Posterior	33 (32.7%)	29 (26.6%)	
MMSE	23.82 ± 4.54	25.46 ± 4.33	0.002

The data are listed as the mean \pm SD or numbers of patients when appropriate. Stroke location represents either anterior or posterior circulation or both.

SNP's characteristics

All 15 SNPs were found to be in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p > 0.05). Table 2 presents the results of the analysis of genotype and allele distribution, dominant, recessive, and over-dominant models for all 15 SNPs. The study found no significant differences in the genotypes and allele frequencies of the selected VDR, CYP24A1, CYP2R1, and CYP27B1 genes between the PSD and NPSD groups (p > 0.05). In addition, no significant differences were observed in the three gene models for the VDR, CYP24A1, and CYP2R1 genes between the two groups. No significant differences were found in the dominant and over-dominant models for rs10877012. However, univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses showed a protective effect of CYP27B1 rs10877012 G/G compared to rs10877012 T/T-G/T for PSD in the recessive model (OR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.18–0.92, p = 0.030 and OR: 0.42, 95% CI: 0.18–0.98, p = 0.040, respectively). The functional annotation analysis performed by GWAS4D revealed that the CYP27B1 rs10877012 variant is involved in encoding a transcript intron (Supplementary Table S2).

Haplotype association analysis

In the Supplementary materials, we presented the results of the linkage disequilibrium analysis in Supplementary Table S3 and Supplementary Figures S1, S2. The haplotype analysis results were provided in Table 3 and Supplementary Tables S4, S5. Our analysis indicates that the haplotypes of *CYP2R1* and *CYP24A1* genes are not significantly associated with PSD. However, we observed a significant association between the *VDR* rs11568820-rs1544410-rs2228570-rs7975232-rs731236 CCGAA haplotype and a reduced risk of PSD (OR: 0.14, 95% CI: 0.03–0.65, p=0.010).

TABLE 2 Genetic models of vitamin D metabolic pathway genes of the study participants.

SNP (Gene)	Analyze model	Genotype	PSD	NPSD	OR (95% Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% Cl)*	P-value*
	Alleles	С	116 (53.0%)	112 (55.0%)	1.00	0.646	1.00	0.660
	meres	Т	102 (47.0%)	90 (45.0%)	1.09 (0.75–1.61)	0.040	1.10 (0.73–1.64)	0.000
		C/C	30 (27.5%)	32 (31.7%)	1.00		1.00	
	Genotypes	C/T	56 (51.4%)	48 (47.5%)	1.24 (0.66–2.34)	0.790	1.11 (0.57–2.16)	0.910
		T/T	23 (21.1%)	21 (20.8%)	1.17 (0.54–2.53)		1.20 (0.53–2.69)	
rs11568820 (VDR)	Dominant	C/C	30 (27.5%)	32 (31.7%)	1.00	0.510	1.00	0.690
	Dominant	C/T-T/T	79 (72.5%)	69 (68.3%)	1.22 (0.67–2.21)	0.510	1.13 (0.61–2.12)	0.090
	Pacassiva	C/C-C/T	86 (78.9%)	80 (79.2%)	1.00	0.960	1.00	0.740
	Recessive	T/T	23 (21.1%)	21 (20.8%)	1.02 (0.52–1.98)	0.900	1.13 (0.56–2.28)	0.740
	Overdominant	C/C-T/T	53 (48.6%)	53 (52.5%)	1.00	0.580	1.00	0.020
	Overuonninant	C/T	56 (51.4%)	48 (47.5%)	1.17 (0.68–2.01)	0.380	1.03 (0.57–1.83)	0.930
	Allalaa	С	204 (94.0%)	194 (96.0%)	1.00	0.259	1.00	0.280
	Alleles	Т	14 (6.0%)	8 (4.0%)	1.66 (0.68-4.06)	0.238	1.49 (0.61–3.66)	0.380
		C/C	96 (88.1%)	93 (92.1%)	1.00		1.00	
	Genotypes	C/T	12 (11%)	8 (7.9%)	1.45 (0.57–3.72)	0.380	1.19 (0.44–3.18)	0.330
		T/T	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)		NA (0.00-NA)	
rs1544410 (VDR)	D : /	C/C	96 (88.1%)	93 (92.1%)	1.00	0.330	1.00	0.500
	Dominant	C/T-T/T	13 (11.9%)	8 (7.9%)	1.57 (0.62–3.97)		1.36 (0.52–3.58)	0.530
	Recessive	C/C-C/T	108 (99.1%)	101 (100%)	1.00	0.250	1.00	0.150
		T/T	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)	0.250	NA (0.00-NA)	0.150
	Overdominant	C/C-T/T	97 (89%)	93 (92.1%)	1.00	0.440	1.00	
		C/T	12 (11%)	8 (7.9%)	1.44 (0.56-3.68)	0.440	1.18 (0.44-3.14)	0.740
	Alleles	А	118 (54.0%)	96 (48.0%)	1.00	0.176	1.00	0.300
		G	100 (46.0%)	106 (52.0%)	0.77 (0.52–1.13)		0.82 (0.56-1.20)	
	Genotypes	A/A	35 (32.1%)	25 (24.8%)	1.00	0.430	1.00	0.580
		A/G	48 (44%)	46 (45.5%)	0.75 (0.39–1.43)		0.78 (0.40-1.55)	
		G/G	26 (23.9%)	30 (29.7%)	0.62 (0.30-1.29)		0.67 (0.31-1.43)	
rs2228570 (VDR)	Dominant	A/A	35 (32.1%)	25 (24.8%)	1.00		1.00	0.340
		A/G-G/G	74 (67.9%)	76 (75.2%)	0.70 (0.38-1.27)	0.240	0.74 (0.39–1.38)	
	D	A/A-A/G	83 (76.2%)	71 (70.3%)	1.00	0.040	1.00	0.440
	Recessive	G/G	26 (23.9%)	30 (29.7%)	0.74 (0.40-1.37)	0.340	0.78 (0.41-1.47)	0.440
	0.1.1.1	A/A-G/G	61 (56%)	55 (54.5%)	1.00	0.000	1.00	
	Overdominant	A/G	48 (44%)	46 (45.5%)	0.94 (0.55-1.62)	0.830	0.95 (0.54-1.68)	0.870
	Alleles	С	144 (66.0%)	139 (69.0%)	1.00	0.547	1.00	0.370
		А	74 (34.0%)	63 (31.0%)	1.13 (0.75–1.71)		1.22 (0.79–1.90)	
rs7975232 (VDR)	Genotypes	C/C	45 (41.3%)	49 (48.5%)	1.00	0.430	1.00	0.240
		C/A	54 (49.5%)	41 (40.6%)	1.43 (0.81–2.54)		1.65 (0.90-3.01)	
		A/A	10 (9.2%)	11 (10.9%)	0.99 (0.38-2.55)		1.04 (0.38-2.86)	
	Dominant	C/C	45 (41.3%)	49 (48.5%)	1.00	0.290	1.00	0.150
		C/A-A/A	64 (58.7%)	52 (51.5%)	1.34 (0.78–2.31)		1.52 (0.86–2.71)	
	Recessive	C/C-C/A	99 (90.8%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.680	1.00	0.650
		A/A	10 (9.2%)	11 (10.9%)	0.83 (0.34-2.04)		0.80 (0.31-2.09)	
	Overdominant	C/C-A/A	55 (50.5%)	60 (59.4%)	1.00	0.190	1.00	0.090
		C/A	54 (49.5%)	41 (40.6%)	1.44 (0.83–2.48)		1.64 (0.92–2.91)	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SNP (Gene)	Analyze model	Genotype	PSD	NPSD	OR (95% Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% Cl)*	<i>P</i> -value*
rs731236 (VDR)	Alleles	А	205 (94.0%)	191 (95.0%)	1.00	0.819	1.00	0.780
		G	13 (6.0%)	11 (5.0%)	1.10 (0.48–2.52)		1.13 (0.48–2.65)	
	Genotypes	A/A	97 (89%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.510	1.00	0.340
		A/G	11 (10.1%)	11 (10.9%)	0.93 (0.38-2.25)		0.88 (0.34-2.23)	
		G/G	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)		NA (0.00-NA)	
	Dominant	A/A	97 (89%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.980	1.00	1.000
		A/G-G/G	12 (11%)	11 (10.9%)	1.01 (0.43-2.41)		1.00 (0.40-2.49)	
	Recessive	A/A-A/G	108 (99.1%)	101 (100%)	1.00	0.250	1.00	0.150
		G/G	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)		NA (0.00-NA)	
	Overdominant	A/A-G/G	98 (89.9%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.850	1.00	0.760
		A/G	11 (10.1%)	11 (10.9%)	0.92 (0.38-2.22)		0.86 (0.34-2.19)	
rs12794714	Alleles	G	135 (62.0%)	131 (65.0%)	1.00	0.534	1.00	0.570
(CYP2R1)		A	83 (38.0%)	71 (35.0%)	1.13 (0.76–1.69)		1.13 (0.74–1.71)	
	Genotypes	G/G	41 (37.6%)	43 (42.6%)	1.00	0.760	1.00	0.830
		G/A	53 (48.6%)	45 (44.5%)	1.24 (0.69–2.21)		1.19 (0.64–2.19)	
		A/A	15 (13.8%)	13 (12.9%)	1.21 (0.51-2.85)		1.22 (0.50-3.00)	
	Dominant	G/G	41 (37.6%)	43 (42.6%)	1.00	0.460	1.00	0.550
		G/A-A/A	68 (62.4%)	58 (57.4%)	1.23 (0.71-2.14)		1.20 (0.67-2.13)	
	Recessive	G/G-G/A	94 (86.2%)	88 (87.1%)	1.00	0.850	1.00	0.800
		A/A	15 (13.8%)	13 (12.9%)	1.08 (0.49-2.40)		1.11 (0.48–2.57)	
	Overdominant	G/G-A/A	56 (51.4%)	56 (55.5%)	1.00	0.550	1.00	0.670
		G/A	53 (48.6%)	45 (44.5%)	1.18 (0.68-2.03)		1.13 (0.64-2.00)	
rs10741657	Alleles	G	153 (70.0%)	139 (69.0%)	1.00	0.760	1.00	0.550
(CYP2R1)		A	65 (30.0%)	63 (31.0%)	0.94 (0.62-1.42)		0.88 (0.58-1.34)	
	Genotypes	G/G	54 (49.5%)	49 (48.5%)	1.00	0.920	1.00	0.710
		A/G	45 (41.3%)	41 (40.6%)	1.00 (0.56-1.77)		1.00 (0.55-1.82)	
		A/A	10 (9.2%)	11 (10.9%)	0.82 (0.32-2.11)		0.67 (0.26-1.77)	
	Dominant	G/G	54 (49.5%)	49 (48.5%)	1.00	0.880	1.00	0.770
		A/G-A/A	55 (50.5%)	52 (51.5%)	0.96 (0.56-1.65)		0.92 (0.52-1.62)	
	Recessive	G/G-A/G	99 (90.8%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.680	1.00	0.410
		A/A	10 (9.2%)	11 (10.9%)	0.83 (0.34-2.04)		0.67 (0.27-1.71)	
	Overdominant	G/G-A/A	64 (58.7%)	60 (59.4%)	1.00	0.920	1.00	0.830
		A/G	45 (41.3%)	41 (40.6%)	1.03 (0.59–1.78)		1.07 (0.60-1.90)	
rs7129781	Alleles	Т	187 (86.0%)	166 (82.0%)	1.00	0.314	1.00	0.520
(CYP2R1)		С	31 (14.0%)	36 (18.0%)	0.76 (0.45-1.29)		0.84 (0.48-1.44)	
	Genotypes	T/T	80 (73.4%)	69 (68.3%)	1.00	0.550	1.00	0.760
		T/C	27 (24.8%)	28 (27.7%)	0.83 (0.45-1.54)		0.89 (0.46-1.70)	
		C/C	2 (1.8%)	4 (4%)	0.43 (0.08-2.43)		0.55 (0.09-3.22)	
	Dominant	T/T	80 (73.4%)	69 (68.3%)	1.00	0.420	1.00	0.610
		T/C-C/C	29 (26.6%)	32 (31.7%)	0.78 (0.43-1.42)		0.85 (0.45–1.59)	
	Recessive	T/T-T/C	107 (98.2%)	97 (96%)	1.00	0.350	1.00	0.520
		C/C	2 (1.8%)	4 (4%)	0.45 (0.08-2.53)		0.57 (0.10-3.30)	
	Overdominant	T/T-C/C	82 (75.2%)	73 (72.3%)	1.00	0.630	1.00	0.780
		T/C	27 (24.8%)	28 (27.7%)	0.86 (0.46-1.59)		0.91 (0.48–1.74)	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

<table-container><table-container>NAME NAME NAME NAMEIndex<t< th=""><th>SNP (Gene)</th><th>Analyze model</th><th>Genotype</th><th>PSD</th><th>NPSD</th><th>OR (95% CI)</th><th><i>P</i>-value</th><th>OR (95% CI)*</th><th><i>P</i>-value*</th></t<></table-container></table-container>	SNP (Gene)	Analyze model	Genotype	PSD	NPSD	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% CI)*	<i>P</i> -value*
(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)N(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)N(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)(N12)N(N12) <t< td=""><td>rs2296241</td><td>Alleles</td><td>G</td><td>127 (58.0%)</td><td>125 (62.0%)</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.449</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.280</td></t<>	rs2296241	Alleles	G	127 (58.0%)	125 (62.0%)	1.00	0.449	1.00	0.280
Respand Control Sector Sect	(CYP24A1)		А	91 (42.0%)	77 (38.0%)	1.16 (0.79–1.72)	1.26 (0.82–1.93)		
 		Genotypes	G/G	38 (34.9%)	35 (34.6%)	1.00	0.270	1.00	0.420
Image: state			G/A	51 (46.8%)	55 (54.5%)	0.85 (0.47-1.55)		1.05 (0.56–1.97)	
<table-container><math><table-container><table-container>ਮਮਮ</table-container></table-container></math></table-container>			A/A	20 (18.4%)	11 (10.9%)	1.67 (0.70-3.99)		1.76 (0.72-4.31)	
Image in the state i		Dominant	G/G	38 (34.9%)	35 (34.6%)	1.00	0.970	1.00	0.590
Resample Gends Gends <thgends< th=""> Gends Gends</thgends<>			G/A-A/A	71 (65.1%)	66 (65.3%)	0.99 (0.56–1.75)		1.18 (0.65–2.16)	
<table-container> Image: state state</table-container>		Recessive	G/G-G/A	89 (81.7%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.130	1.00	0.190
<table-container> Partner Gendmin <</table-container>			A/A	20 (18.4%)	11 (10.9%)	1.84 (0.83-4.06)		1.71 (0.76–3.86)	
<table-container>Image regards (YP24)Image regards (YP24)Image regards (YP24)Image regards (YP24)Image regards (YP24)Image regards<br< td=""><td></td><td>Overdominant</td><td>G/G-A/A</td><td>58 (53.2%)</td><td>46 (45.5%)</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.270</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.670</td></br<></br></table-container>		Overdominant	G/G-A/A	58 (53.2%)	46 (45.5%)	1.00	0.270	1.00	0.670
<table-container> Index <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>G/A</td><td>51 (46.8%)</td><td>55 (54.5%)</td><td>0.74 (0.43-1.27)</td><td></td><td>0.88 (0.50-1.56)</td><td></td></t<></table-container>			G/A	51 (46.8%)	55 (54.5%)	0.74 (0.43-1.27)		0.88 (0.50-1.56)	
Image with transition of the series of the	rs2248137	Alleles	С	129 (59.0%)	121 (60.0%)	1.00	0.880	1.00	0.720
Renyme CC 9(3.8) 2(3.9) 1(1) 0,1(1) 1(1) 0,1(1) 0,1(1) 0,1(1)	(CYP24A1)		G	89 (41.0%)	81 (40.0%)	1.03 (0.70-1.52)		1.08 (0.71-1.64)	
<table-container> Image: state state</table-container>		Genotypes	C/C	39 (35.8%)	32 (31.7%)	1.00	0.310	1.00	0.550
Image: space			C/G	51 (46.8%)	57 (56.4%)	0.73 (0.40-1.34)		0.84 (0.45-1.58)	
Image beam beam beam beam beam beam beam bea			G/G	19 (17.4%)	12 (11.9%)	1.30 (0.55–3.07)		1.33 (0.55-3.22)	
Image: state		Dominant	C/C	39 (35.8%)	32 (31.7%)	1.00	0.530	1.00	0.820
<table-container> Reside Cr O O So <ths< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>C/G-G/G</td><td>70 (64.2%)</td><td>69 (68.3%)</td><td>0.83 (0.47-1.48)</td><td></td><td>0.93 (0.51-1.70)</td><td></td></ths<></table-container>			C/G-G/G	70 (64.2%)	69 (68.3%)	0.83 (0.47-1.48)		0.93 (0.51-1.70)	
Index Index Index Index Index Network Index I		Recessive	C/C-C/G	90 (82.6%)	89 (88.1%)	1.00	0.260	1.00	0.340
<table-container> Nerdeminant Presentation Code (Code) Second (Code) <!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td>G/G</td><td>19 (17.4%)</td><td>12 (11.9%)</td><td>1.57 (0.72-3.42)</td><td></td><td>1.47 (0.66-3.28)</td><td></td></table-container>			G/G	19 (17.4%)	12 (11.9%)	1.57 (0.72-3.42)		1.47 (0.66-3.28)	
Index Index Index Index Index Index R2433 (YP24A) Alles Ca 10 10 0 <td></td> <td>Overdominant</td> <td>C/C-G/G</td> <td>58 (53.2%)</td> <td>44 (43.6%)</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.160</td> <td>1.00</td> <td>0.370</td>		Overdominant	C/C-G/G	58 (53.2%)	44 (43.6%)	1.00	0.160	1.00	0.370
Interpretation (CP244) Allels C 1426500 1316500 100 100 100 100 100 VCP244) F 763000 763000 90906-143 90106-143 10000 1000 1000			C/G	51 (46.8%)	57 (56.4%)	0.68 (0.39-1.17)		0.77 (0.44-1.36)	
ICP2441)IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexAgentyseC45(1.3%)41(0.6%)0.000.09100100.00100.00IndexS2(7.3%)49(4.5%)0.90(4.5.3%)0.90(4.5.3%)100.00100.000.000.00Index12(1.0%)12(1.0%)10(1.0%)0.90(0.5.3%)0	rs2248359	Alleles	С	142 (65.0%)	131 (65.0%)	1.00	0.951	1.00	0.960
Rentype C/C 54(1.3) 41(0.6%) 10.0 0.904 110(0.0-2.0) VI 12(11) 12(10.0) 10(10.0) 09(0.0-2.0)	(CYP24A1)		Т	76 (35.0%)	71 (35.0%)	0.99 (0.66-1.48)		1.01 (0.66-1.55)	
Fractional Sector Sec		Genotypes	C/C	45 (41.3%)	41 (40.6%)	1.00	0.990	1.00	0.920
Image: height state in the s			C/T	52 (47.7%)	49 (48.5%)	0.97 (0.54-1.72)		1.10 (0.60-2.02)	
Pomiant C/C 45 (41.3%) 41 (40.6%) 100 P020 100 P0200			T/T	12 (11%)	11 (10.9%)	0.99 (0.40-2.50)		0.94 (0.37-2.42)	
Index Index <th< td=""><td></td><td>Dominant</td><td>C/C</td><td>45 (41.3%)</td><td>41 (40.6%)</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.920</td><td>1.00</td><td>0.820</td></th<>		Dominant	C/C	45 (41.3%)	41 (40.6%)	1.00	0.920	1.00	0.820
Recsive C/C/T 97(89%) 90(89.1%) 1.00 9080 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(80.7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7) 1.00 90(7)			C/T-T/T	64 (58.7%)	60 (59.4%)	0.97 (0.56-1.69)		1.07 (0.60-1.90)	
Image: height state in the state i		Recessive	C/C-C/T	97 (89%)	90 (89.1%)	1.00	0.980	1.00	0.800
Overdominant $C/C-T/T$ $57\ (52.3\%)$ $52\ (51.5\%)$ 1.00 0.910 1.00 1.00 0.700 $R2762939$ C/T $52\ (47.7\%)$ $49\ (48.5\%)$ $0.97\ (0.56-1.66)$ 0.650 $1.00\ (0.53-1.07)$ $0.90\ (0.51-1.66)$			T/T	12 (11%)	11 (10.9%)	1.01 (0.43-2.41)		0.89 (0.37-2.17)	
Image: condition of the section of the sec		Overdominant	C/C-T/T	57 (52.3%)	52 (51.5%)	1.00	0.910	1.00	0.700
rs2762939 (CYP24A1) Alleles G 165 (76.0%) 149 (74.0%) 1.00 0.650 1.00 0.90 (0.51-1.60) C(YP24A1) C 53 (24.0%) 53 (26.0%) 0.90 (0.58-1.40) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.61-1.60) 0.99 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.95 (0.53-1.70) 0.96 (0.55-1.69)			C/T	52 (47.7%)	49 (48.5%)	0.97 (0.56-1.66)		1.12 (0.63–1.97)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c } \hline C & 53 (24.0\%) & 53 (26.0\%) & 0.90 (0.58-1.40) & 0.99 (0.61-1.60) & 0.99 (0.51-1.60) & 0.99 (0.51$	rs2762939	Alleles	G	165 (76.0%)	149 (74.0%)	1.00	0.650	1.00	0.960
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline Genotypes & G/G & 61 (56\%) & 53 (52.5\%) & 1.00 & 0.880 & 1.00 & 0.970 & 0.970 & 0.970 & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.95 (0.53-1.70) & 0.970 $	(CYP24A1)		С	53 (24.0%)	53 (26.0%)	0.90 (0.58-1.40)		0.99 (0.61-1.60)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline & G/C & 43 (39.5\%) & 43 (42.6\%) & 0.87 (0.50-1.52) \\ \hline & G/C & 5 (4.6\%) & 5 (5\%) & 0.87 (0.24-3.17) \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Genotypes	G/G	61 (56%)	53 (52.5%)	1.00	0.880	1.00	0.970
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline C/C & 5(4.6\%) & 5(5\%) & 0.87(0.24-3.17) & 1.10(0.29-4.19) \\ \hline Dominant & G/G & 61(56\%) & 53(52.5\%) & 1.00 & 0.610 & 1.00 & 0.890 \\ \hline G/C-C/C & 48(44\%) & 48(47.5\%) & 0.87(0.50-1.50) & 0.96(0.55-1.69) \\ \hline Recessive & G/G-G/C & 104(95.4\%) & 96(95\%) & 1.00 & 0.900 & 1.00 & 0.860 \\ \hline C/C & 5(4.6\%) & 5(5\%) & 0.92(0.26-3.29) & 1.13(0.30-4.18) & 0.830 \\ \hline Overdominant & G/G-C/C & 66(60.5\%) & 58(57.4\%) & 1.00 & 0.650 & 1.00 & 0.830 \\ \hline C/C & 12(20.5\%) & 12(22.6\%) & 0.80(0.51+1.50) & 0.610 & 1.00 & 0.830 \\ \hline \end{array} $			G/C	43 (39.5%)	43 (42.6%)	0.87 (0.50-1.52)		0.95 (0.53-1.70)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline Dominant & G/G & G1 (56\%) & 53 (52.5\%) & 1.00 & 0.610 & 1.00 & 0.890 \\ \hline G/C-C/C & 48 (44\%) & 48 (47.5\%) & 0.87 (0.50-1.50) & 0.96 (0.55-1.69) \\ \hline C/C & 5 (4.6\%) & 5 (5\%) & 0.92 (0.26-3.29) & 1.00 & 0.900 & 1.00 & 0.860 \\ \hline C/C & 5 (4.6\%) & 5 (5\%) & 0.92 (0.26-3.29) & 1.13 (0.30-4.18) & 0.830 \\ \hline C/C & 66 (60.5\%) & 58 (57.4\%) & 1.00 & 0.650 & 1.00 & 0.830 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 & 0.051 \\ \hline C/C & 104 (20.5\%) & 12 (22.5\%) & 0.90 (0.51+1.57) & 0.051 & $			C/C	5 (4.6%)	5 (5%)	0.87 (0.24-3.17)		1.10 (0.29-4.19)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Dominant	G/G	61 (56%)	53 (52.5%)	1.00	0.610	1.00	0.890
$\frac{\text{Recessive}}{\text{C/C}} = \frac{G/G-G/C}{G/G-G/C} = \frac{104 (95.4\%)}{5 (4.6\%)} = \frac{96 (95\%)}{96 (95\%)} = \frac{1.00}{1.00} = \frac{0.900}{1.13 (0.30-4.18)} = \frac{1.00}{0.860} = \frac{0.860}{0.860} = \frac{0.860}{0.$			G/C-C/C	48 (44%)	48 (47.5%)	0.87 (0.50-1.50)		0.96 (0.55-1.69)	
C/C 5 (4.6%) 5 (5%) 0.92 (0.26-3.29) 1.13 (0.30-4.18) Overdominant G/G-C/C 66 (60.5%) 58 (57.4%) 1.00 0.650 1.00 0.830		Recessive	G/G-G/C	104 (95.4%)	96 (95%)	1.00	0.900	1.00	0.860
Overdominant G/G-C/C 66 (60.5%) 58 (57.4%) 1.00 0.650 1.00 0.830 G/G <td></td> <td></td> <td>C/C</td> <td>5 (4.6%)</td> <td>5 (5%)</td> <td>0.92 (0.26-3 29)</td> <td></td> <td>1.13 (0.30-4 18)</td> <td></td>			C/C	5 (4.6%)	5 (5%)	0.92 (0.26-3 29)		1.13 (0.30-4 18)	
		Overdominant	G/G-C/C	66 (60 5%)	58 (57 4%)	1 00	0.650	1.00	0.830
43(39.5%) = 43(42.6%) = 0.88(0.51-1.52) = 0.94(0.53-1.66)			G/C	43 (39.5%)	43 (42.6%)	0.88 (0.51-1.52)		0.94 (0.53–1.66)	

(Continued)

TABLE 2 (Continued)

SNP (Gene)	Analyze model	Genotype	PSD	NPSD	OR (95% Cl)	<i>P</i> -value	OR (95% Cl)*	<i>P</i> -value*
rs2296239	Alleles	Т	126 (58.0%)	121 (60.0%)	1.00	0.662	1.00	0.520
(CYP24A1)		С	92 (42.0%)	81 (40.0%)	1.09 (0.74–1.61)		1.14 (0.76–1.71)	
	Genotypes	T/T	35 (32.1%)	39 (38.6%)	1.00	0.440	1.00	0.210
		C/T	56 (51.4%)	43 (42.6%)	1.45 (0.79–2.66)		1.72 (0.91–3.26)	
		C/C	18 (16.5%)	19 (18.8%)	1.06 (0.48-2.33)		1.10 (0.48–2.53)	
	Dominant	T/T	35 (32.1%)	39 (38.6%)	1.00	0.320	1.00	0.160
		C/T-C/C	74 (67.9%)	62 (61.4%)	1.33 (0.75–2.35)		1.52 (0.84–2.77)	
	Recessive	T/T-C/T	91 (83.5%)	82 (81.2%)	1.00	0.660	1.00	0.580
		C/C	18 (16.5%)	19 (18.8%)	0.85 (0.42–1.74)		0.81 (0.39–1.70)	
	Overdominant	T/T-C/C	53 (48.6%)	58 (57.4%)	1.00	0.200	1.00	0.079
		C/T	56 (51.4%)	43 (42.6%)	1.43 (0.83–2.46)		1.67 (0.94–2.96)	
rs2274133	Alleles	А	187 (86.0%)	175 (87.0%)	1.00	0.800	1.00	0.740
(CYP24A1)		G	31 (14.0%)	27 (13.0%)	1.07 (0.62–1.87)		1.11 (0.60–2.06)	
	Genotypes	A/A	79 (72.5%)	74 (73.3%)	1.00	0.520	1.00	0.410
		A/G	29 (26.6%)	27 (26.7%)	1.01 (0.55–1.86)		1.00 (0.53–1.90)	
		G/G	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)		NA (0.00-NA)	
	Dominant	A/A	79 (72.5%)	74 (73.3%)	1.00	0.900	1.00	0.880
		A/G-G/G	30 (27.5%)	27 (26.7%)	1.04 (0.57–1.91)		1.05 (0.56–1.99)	
	Recessive	A/A-A/G	108 (99.1%)	101 (100%)	1.00	0.250	1.00	0.180
		G/G	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	NA (0.00-NA)		NA (0.00-NA)	
	Overdominant	A/A-G/G	80 (73.4%)	74 (73.3%)	1.00	0.980	1.00	0.970
		A/G	29 (26.6%)	27 (26.7%)	0.99 (0.54–1.83)		0.99 (0.52–1.88)	
rs10877012	Alleles	Т	145 (67.0%)	123 (61.0%)	1.00	0.231	1.00	0.230
(CYP27B1)		G	73 (33.0%)	79 (39.0%)	0.78 (0.53-1.17)		0.78 (0.52–1.17)	
	Genotypes	T/T	46 (42.2%)	42 (41.6%)	1.00	0.067	1.00	0.110
		G/T	53 (48.6%)	39 (38.6%)	1.24 (0.69–2.23)		1.16 (0.63–2.15)	
		G/G	10 (9.2%)	20 (19.8%)	0.46 (0.19–1.09)		0.45 (0.18–1.12)	
	Dominant	T/T	46 (42.2%)	42 (41.6%)	1.00	0.930	1.00	0.790
		G/T-G/G	63 (57.8%)	59 (58.4%)	0.97 (0.56-1.69)		0.93 (0.52–1.64)	
	Recessive	T/T-G/T	99 (90.8%)	81 (80.2%)	1.00	0.027	1.00	0.040
		G/G	10 (9.2%)	20 (19.8%)	0.41 (0.18-		0.42 (0.18-	
					0.92)		0.98)	
	Overdominant	T/T-G/G	56 (51.4%)	62 (61.4%)	1.00	0.140	1.00	0.230
		G/T	53 (48.6%)	39 (38.6%)	1.50 (0.87–2.61)		1.41 (0.80–2.50)	

95% CI, 95% confidence interval; OR, odds-ratio. +-value < 0.003 was statistically significant. P < 0.05 and P > 0.003 was considered nominally significant. *Adjusted model: Adjusted for gender, diabetes and MMSE. MMSE: the Mini-Mental State Examination. Bolded means the value is significant.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, our study was the first to investigate the potential association between VitD-related gene polymorphisms and PSD in the Chinese population with acute ischemic stroke. We conducted multiple genetic models to analyze the selected genetic polymorphisms of *CYP24A1*, *CYP2R1*, and PSD, but did not observe any significant association. However, we observed that the *CYP27B1* rs10877012 G/G genotype was associated with a reduced risk of PSD. Furthermore, we found that the

rs11568820-rs1544410-rs2228570-rs7975232-rs731236 CCGAA haplotype of the *VDR* gene was associated with a reduced risk of PSD, indicating an 0.86-fold protective effect against PSD. These findings provide important insights into the potential genetic risk factors for PSD in stroke patients.

Numerous studies have investigated the association between five SNPs in the *VDR* gene and depression. For instance, Kuningas et al. demonstrated that the *VDR* BsmI (C/T) and TaqI (A/G) genotypes could influence cognitive function and depressive symptoms (27). Similarly, other researchers have explored the role of TaqI, ApaI, and

VDR	rs11568820	rs1544410	rs2228570	rs7975232	rs731236	Freq	OR (95% CI)	P-value
1	С	С	А	С	А	0.228	1	-
2	Т	С	А	С	А	0.1591	0.85 (0.35-2.03)	0.710
3	С	С	G	С	А	0.1568	0.92 (0.39–2.13)	0.840
4	Т	С	G	С	А	0.1299	0.54 (0.24–1.24)	0.150
5	Т	С	G	А	А	0.083	3.71 (0.90-15.24)	0.070
6	С	С	G	А	А	0.0751	0.14 (0.03-0.65)	0.013
7	Т	С	А	А	А	0.0658	0.73 (0.21–2.53)	0.610
8	С	С	А	А	А	0.0403	6.18 (0.71-53.79)	0.100
9	С	Т	G	А	G	0.0218	0.80 (0.18-3.60)	0.770
10	Т	Т	G	А	G	0.0157	1.31 (0.11–15.05)	0.830
Rare	*	*	*	*	*	0.0245	2.54 (0.53-12.19)	0.250

TABLE 3 Haplotype analysis result of VDR.

Freq: haplotype frequency. Bolded means the value is significant. *Reference to rare haplotypes as there is no symbol to identify the group.

BsmI polymorphisms of the VDR gene in different contexts. A study analyzing 748 study participants found that TaqI C/C or C/T genotype was associated with emotional response and that patients with autoimmune hepatitis carrying TaqI C/C, BsmI A/A, and ApaI A/A had worse physical, social, emotional, and psychological functioning (28). Another study of survival and health effects in people over 90 years old showed that carriers of VDR rs2228570 A/A and/or G/A had little effect on longevity but may affect a variety of pathophysiologically relevant functions, including a significantly lower prevalence of depression (29). However, some researchers have reached opposing conclusions. For example, Groot et al. investigated the association between VitD levels, selected VitD synthesis-related genes, and depressive symptoms. They found that low serum 25 (OH) D levels were associated with higher depressive symptom scores, but no association was found between selected VitD loci and depression (30). A matched case-control study conducted by Lye et al. in Kuala Lumpur and Selangor included 600 participants genotyping three adjacent SNPs (BsmI, ApaI, and TaqI) of the VDR gene. They found no statistical association between the nine genotypes of BsmI, ApaI, and TaqI and the risk of developing major depression, but the BsmI-ApaI-TaqI TAC (BAt) haplotype of the VDR gene increased susceptibility to major depression (31). Our study also investigated the association between VDR gene polymorphisms and PSD in a Chinese acute ischemic stroke population. Interestingly, we found that the CCGAA haplotype of the VDR gene was associated with a reduced risk of PSD. It is important to note that the study by Lye et al. was conducted in a major depression population, which is notably inconsistent with a stroke population.

To the best of our knowledge, there have been no studies investigating the relationship between the *CYP2R1* gene and depression in the context of acute ischaemic stroke. However, a meta-analysis conducted in 2018 reported that the rs10741657 A/A genotype of the *CYP2R1* gene influences 25 (OH) D levels and is associated with VitD deficiency (32). Previous genome-wide association studies have identified a robust association between *CYP2R1* A/G and *CYP24A1* T/C genotypes and VitD levels (33). Adalı et al. found that genotype frequencies and polymorphic allele frequencies of *CYP24A1* rs927650 and *CYP2R1* rs10741657 were not associated with ischaemic stroke risk in the Turkish population (34). It is important to note that our study did not find an association between the genotype and allele frequencies

of *CYP2R1* rs7129781, rs10741657, and rs12794714 and PSD in the Chinese acute ischaemic stroke population. However, it should be acknowledged that the association between *CYP2R1* and PSD in patients with onset beyond 2 weeks still requires further clarification, as our study did not conduct longer follow-up assessments.

CYP24A1 is an important regulator of blood circulation and intracellular VitD levels, playing a critical role in activating and regulating various cellular pathways (10). The CYP24A1 rs1570669 A/G genotype reduced susceptibility to ischemic stroke in both female and male patients, while the rs2296241 A/G genotype reduced risk only in male patients. Conversely, the rs6068816 T/C and rs2762934 A/G genotypes were associated with an increased risk of ischemic stroke (35). Rats exhibiting depression-like symptoms demonstrated increased expression of CYP27B1, CYP24A1 and VDR in the hippocampus and elevated levels of 1,25 (OH)2D (36). However, another animal study showed no change in CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 expression in the kidneys of depressed rats (37). In a post-mortem study of suicide conducted by Postolache et al. increased expression of the VDR gene and regular expression of the CYP27B1 or CYP24A1 genes were observed in the brains of depressed patients (38). Unfortunately, our study did not reveal any statistical association between the selected CYP24A1 locus and the development of PSD, suggesting that this relationship may need to be explored in future studies with larger sample populations.

CYP27B1, which is essential for the VitD metabolic pathway, serves as the 1- α -hydroxylase in humans (39). Although no studies have investigated the relationship between CYP27B1 and PSD, animal studies have revealed that increased CYP27B1 expression is associated with depression (36). Moreover, previous research has demonstrated that inactivation or deletion of CYP27B1 due to mutations causes VitD-dependent rickets type 1 (10, 40). Fam et al. reported that the CYP24A1 rs2762939 G/G genotype was significantly associated with stroke-like vascular acute events, also known as acute coronary syndrome, while the genotypes of CYP24A1 rs4809960 and CYP27B1 rs703842 were not associated with the incidence of acute coronary syndrome (41). In our study, we found a protective effect of the CYP27B1 rs10877012 G/G genotype compared to the rs10877012 T/T-G/T genotypes in relation to PSD (OR: 0.41, 95% CI: 0.18–0.92, p=0.030), indicating that genes involved in the VitD metabolic pathway may play a role in the development of PSD. Although the functional annotation analysis suggests a potential association of the *CYP27B1* rs10877012 variant with encoding a transcript intron, the underlying mechanisms of its impact on PSD remain unknown. Further investigations using animal models will be necessary to explore the current findings.

In addition, an authoritative meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of early-onset PSD seems to be higher than the one-third reported in most studies, at around 48% (42), which is consistent with our study findings. We used HAMD-17 to identify PSD, while some previous PSD studies have used DSM-5, the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire, the Center of Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale, and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (43–45). Although these tools have been proven to be useful in stroke and are suitable for interviewers to manage depression symptoms discovery or screening tools in busy clinical environments, the heterogeneity between different scales may have led to inconsistent prevalence rates of post-stroke depression.

Our study provides important insights into the genetic pathogenesis of PSD by exploring the association between VitDrelated genes and PSD. However, there are several limitations that need to be acknowledged. Firstly, the current study is limited by a small sample size, which is partly due to the heavy clinical workload during the COVID-19 pandemic and China's zero-clearance policy, which restricted the enrollment of additional eligible patients. Our research, as a preliminary discovery, needs to be validated in a larger sample size to confirm the reliability of our findings. Secondly, our study was conducted primarily in the Chinese Han population, and ethnic differences in PSD may limit the generalizability of our results. Research on other populations in the future remains necessary. Finally, the occurrence of PSD is influenced by multiple factors, including environmental factors, which were not comprehensively assessed in our study. Therefore, further investigations are needed to examine the role of environmental factors and their interactions with genetic factors in the development of PSD.

In conclusion, our study suggests that the *CYP27B1* rs10877012 G/G genotype may act as a genetic protective factor for PSD, while no association was found between the selected *CYP24A1* and *CYP2R1* gene polymorphisms and PSD. Moreover, the *VDR* rs11568820rs1544410-rs2228570-rs7975232-rs731236 CCGAA haplotype was found to be associated with a reduced risk of PSD. These findings indicate that the polymorphisms of VitD metabolic pathway genes *VDR* and *CYP27B1* may be involved in the development of PSD in the Chinese ischemic stroke population. Further research is needed to verify these findings.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

References

1. Paolucci S, Grasso MG, Antonucci G, Troisi E, Morelli D, Coiro P, et al. One-year follow-up in stroke patients discharged from rehabilitation hospital. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2000) 10:25–32. doi: 10.1159/000016021

2. Towfighi A, Ovbiagele B, El Husseini N, Hackett ML, Jorge RE, Kissela BM, et al. Poststroke depression: a scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethical Investigation and Review Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Central South University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FT and XH conceived, designed the overall research, and provided important comments on the revision of the article. DS wrote the first manuscript. All authors were involved in the collection, monitoring of the data, and revision of the article, and reviewed and agreed to the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the China International Medical Foundation (Z-2016-20-2101-03), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFC1310003), and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, China (2021JJ41018).

Acknowledgments

All the authors thank everyone for their contributions to this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1148047/ full#supplementary-material

American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* (2017) 48:e30–43. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000113

3. Li XB, Wang J, Xu AD, Huang JM, Meng LQ, Huang RY, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms increase the risk of post-stroke depression. *Neural Regen Res.* (2016) 11:1790–6. doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.194748

4. Kim JM, Stewart R, Kim SW, Shin IS, Kim JT, Park MS, et al. Associations of cytokine gene polymorphisms with post-stroke depression. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. (2012) 13:579–87. doi: 10.3109/15622975.2011.588247

5. Mei F, Wu Y, Ding G, Pan F, Chen L, Wu J. Association of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene 677C>T polymorphism with post-stroke depression risk and antidepressant treatment response in Han Chinese. J Pak Med Assoc. (2018) 68:888–92.

6. Kim JM, Stewart R, Bae KY, Kim SW, Kang HJ, Shin IS, et al. Serotonergic and BDNF genes and risk of depression after stroke. *J Affect Disord*. (2012) 136:833–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.09.029

7. Makariou SE, Michel P, Tzoufi MS, Challa A, Milionis HJ. Vitamin D and stroke: promise for prevention and better outcome. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol.* (2014) 12:117–24. doi: 10.2174/15701611113119990119

8. Bahrami A, Sadeghnia HR, Tabatabaeizadeh SA, Bahrami-Taghanaki H, Behboodi N, Esmaeili H, et al. Genetic and epigenetic factors influencing vitamin D status. *J Cell Physiol.* (2018) 233:4033–43. doi: 10.1002/jcp.26216

9. Hasan M, Oster M, Reyer H, Ponsuksili S, Murani E, Wolf P, et al. Tissue-wide expression of genes related to vitamin D metabolism and FGF23 signaling following variable phosphorus intake in pigs. *Meta.* (2022) 12:12. doi: 10.3390/metabo12080729

10. Christakos S, Dhawan P, Verstuyf A, Verlinden L, Carmeliet G. Vitamin D: metabolism, molecular mechanism of action, and pleiotropic effects. *Physiol Rev.* (2016) 96:365–408. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00014.2015

11. Ding N, Yu RT, Subramaniam N, Sherman MH, Wilson C, Rao R, et al. A vitamin D receptor/SMAD genomic circuit gates hepatic fibrotic response. *Cells.* (2013) 153:601–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.028

12. Saccone D, Asani F, Bornman L. Regulation of the vitamin D receptor gene by environment, genetics and epigenetics. *Gene.* (2015) 561:171–80. doi: 10.1016/j. gene.2015.02.024

13. Gu Y, Zhu Z, Luan X, He J. Vitamin D status and its association with season, depression in stroke. *Neurosci Lett.* (2019) 690:99–105. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2018.09.046

14. Park KY, Chung PW, Kim YB, Moon HS, Suh BC, Won YS, et al. Serum vitamin D status as a predictor of prognosis in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2015) 40:73–80. doi: 10.1159/000434691

15. Yue W, Xiang L, Zhang YJ, Ji Y, Li X. Association of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D with symptoms of depression after 6 months in stroke patients. *Neurochem Res.* (2014) 39:2218–24. doi: 10.1007/s11064-014-1423-y

16. Gu Y, Luan X, Ren W, Zhu L, He J. Impact of seasons on stroke-related depression, mediated by vitamin D status. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2018) 18:359. doi: 10.1186/s12888-018-1944-z

17. Han B, Lyu Y, Sun H, Wei Y, He J. Low serum levels of vitamin D are associated with post-stroke depression. *Eur J Neurol.* (2015) 22:1269–74. doi: 10.1111/ene.12607

18. Cheng JH, Wang QZ, Luan XQ, Zhu J, Feng WQ, Huang GQ, et al. The association between admission anemia and poststroke depression. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* (2021) 209:421–5. doi: 10.1097/NMD.00000000001314

19. Zeng YY, Cheng HR, Cheng L, Huang G, Chen YB, Tang WJ, et al. Comparison of poststroke depression between acute ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke patients. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. (2021) 36:493–9. doi: 10.1002/gps.5444

20. Zhao C, Cai H, Wang H, Ge Z. Correlation between serum renin-angiotensin system (RAS) level and depression and anxiety symptoms in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* (2021) 28:2146–54. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.02.029

21. Lin H, Zhu X, Long J, Chen Y, Xie Y, Liao M, et al. HIPK2 polymorphisms rs 2058265, rs6464214, and rs7456421 were associated with kidney stone disease in Chinese males not females. *Gene*. (2018) 653:51–6. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.02.020

22. Wang Y, Zhang MM, Huang WW, Wu SQ, Wang MG, Tang XY, et al. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor 10 and tuberculosis susceptibility: evidence from three independent series. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:309. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00309

23. Solé X, Guinó E, Valls J, Iniesta R, Moreno V. SNPStats: a web tool for the analysis of association studies. *Bioinformatics*. (2006) 22:1928–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btl268

24. Curtin F, Schulz P. Multiple correlations and Bonferroni's correction. *Biol Psychiatry*. (1998) 44:775–7. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3223(98)00043-2

25. Sun D, Wang R, Shi Z, Chen H, Zhou H. Causal relationship of COVID-19 on Alzheimer's disease: an updated Mendelian randomization study. *Neurol Sci.* (2023):1–2. doi: 10.1007/s10072-023-06807-8

26. Huang D, Yi X, Zhang S, Zheng Z, Wang P, Xuan C, et al. GWAS4D: multidimensional analysis of context-specific regulatory variant for human complex diseases and traits. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2018) 46:W114–20. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky407

27. Kuningas M, Mooijaart SP, Jolles J, Slagboom PE, Westendorp RG, van Heemst D. VDR gene variants associate with cognitive function and depressive symptoms in old age. *Neurobiol Aging*. (2009) 30:466–73. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007. 07.001

28. Kempinska-Podhorodecka A, Adamowicz M, Chmielarz M, Janik MK, Milkiewicz P, Milkiewicz M. Vitamin-D receptor-gene polymorphisms affect quality of life in patients with autoimmune liver diseases. *Nutrients.* (2020) 12:2244. doi: 10.3390/ nu12082244

29. Glocke M, Lang F, Schaeffeler E, Lang T, Schwab M, Lang UE. Impact of vitamin D receptor VDR rs 2228570 polymorphism in oldest old. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* (2013) 37:311–22. doi: 10.1159/000350159

30. Brouwer-Brolsma EM, Dhonukshe-Rutten RA, van Wijngaarden JP, van der Zwaluw NL, Sohl E, In't Veld PH, et al. Low vitamin D status is associated with more depressive symptoms in Dutch older adults. *Eur J Nutr.* (2016) 55:1525–34. doi: 10.1007/s00394-015-0970-6

31. Lye MS, Tor YS, Tey YY, Shahabudin A, Loh SP, Ibrahim N, et al. BsmI-ApaI-TaqI TAC (BAt) haplotype of vitamin D receptor gene is associated with increased risk of major depressive disorder. *J Mol Neurosci.* (2021) 71:981–90. doi: 10.1007/s12031-020-01719-0

32. Duan L, Xue Z, Ji H, Zhang D, Wang Y. Effects of CYP2R1 gene variants on vitamin D levels and status: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gene*. (2018) 678:361–9. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.08.056

33. Jiang X, O'Reilly PF, Aschard H, Hsu YH, Richards JB, Dupuis J, et al. Genomewide association study in 79,366 European-ancestry individuals informs the genetic architecture of 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:260. doi: 10.1038/ s41467-017-02662-2

34. Türkanoğlu Özçelik A, Öner T, Can Demirdöğen B, Bek VS, Demirkaya Ş, Adalı O. Genetic polymorphisms of vitamin D3 metabolizing *CYP24A1* and CYP2R1 enzymes in Turkish patients with ischemic stroke. *Neurol Res.* (2018) 40:364–71. doi: 10.1080/01616412.2018.1446281

35. Yang W, Ma F, Wang L, He X, Zhang H, Zheng J, et al. The association analysis between *CYP24A1* genetic polymorphisms and the risk of ischemic stroke in Chinese Han population. *Brain Behav.* (2020) 10:e01503. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1503

36. Jiang P, Zhang WY, Li HD, Cai HL, Liu YP, Chen LY. Stress and vitamin D: altered vitamin D metabolism in both the hippocampus and myocardium of chronic unpredictable mild stress exposed rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. (2013) 38:2091–8. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2013.03.017

37. Jiang P, Zhang L, Zhu W, Li H, Dang R, Tang M. Chronic stress causes neuroendocrine-immune disturbances without affecting renal vitamin D metabolism in rats. *J Endocrinol Investig.* (2014) 37:1109–16. doi: 10.1007/ s40618-014-0191-5

38. Postolache TT, Akram F, Lee EE, Lowry CA, Stiller JW, Brenner LA, et al. Increased brain vitamin D receptor expression and decreased expression of cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide in individuals who died by suicide. *J Psychiatr Res.* (2020) 125:75–84. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.02.027

39. Fu GK, Lin D, Zhang MY, Bikle DD, Shackleton CH, Miller WL, et al. Cloning of human 25-hydroxyvitamin D-1 alpha-hydroxylase and mutations causing vitamin D-dependent rickets type 1. *Mol Endocrinol.* (1997) 11:1961–70.

40. Kitanaka S, Takeyama K, Murayama A, Sato T, Okumura K, Nogami M, et al. Inactivating mutations in the 25-hydroxyvitamin D3 lalpha-hydroxylase gene in patients with pseudovitamin D-deficiency rickets. *N Engl J Med.* (1998) 338:653–62. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199803053381004

41. Fam MS, Hassanein SI, Abdel Rahman MF, Assal RA, Hanafi RS, Gad MZ. Contribution of CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 genetic variations to the incidence of acute coronary syndrome and to vitamin D serum level. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol.* (2019) 97:1152–8. doi: 10.1139/cjpp-2019-0258

42. Ayerbe L, Ayis S, Wolfe CD, Rudd AG. Natural history, predictors and outcomes of depression after stroke: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry J Ment Sci.* (2013) 202:14–21. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.111.107664

43. Qiu X, Miao J, Lan Y, Sun W, Chen Y, Cao Z, et al. Association of cerebral artery stenosis with post-stroke depression at discharge and 3 months after ischemic stroke onset. *Front Psych.* (2020) 11:585201. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.585201

44. Aben I, Verhey F, Lousberg R, Lodder J, Honig A. Validity of the beck depression inventory, hospital anxiety and depression scale, SCL-90, and Hamilton depression rating scale as screening instruments for depression in stroke patients. *Psychosomatics*. (2002) 43:386–93. doi: 10.1176/appi.psy.43.5.386

45. Hackett ML, Köhler S, O'Brien JT, Mead GE. Neuropsychiatric outcomes of stroke. *Lancet Neurol.* (2014) 13:525–34. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70016-X