


RESEARCH

Open Access



# Serum zinc levels and in vivo beta-amyloid deposition in the human brain

Jee Wook Kim<sup>1,2</sup>, Min Soo Byun<sup>3</sup>, Dahyun Yi<sup>4</sup>, Jun Ho Lee<sup>5</sup>, Min Jung Kim<sup>6</sup>, Gijung Jung<sup>6</sup>, Jun-Young Lee<sup>7</sup>, Koungh Mi Kang<sup>8</sup>, Chul-Ho Sohn<sup>8</sup>, Yun-Sang Lee<sup>9</sup>, Yu Kyeong Kim<sup>10</sup> and Dong Young Lee<sup>4,6,11\*</sup>  for the KBASE Research Group

## Abstract

**Background:** Despite the known associations between zinc levels and Alzheimer's disease (AD) dementia and related cognitive impairment, the underlying neuropathological links remain poorly understood. We tested the hypothesis that serum zinc level is associated with cerebral beta-amyloid protein (A $\beta$ ) deposition. Additionally, we explored associations between serum zinc levels and other AD pathologies [i.e., tau deposition and AD-signature cerebral glucose metabolism (AD-CM)] and white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), which are measures of cerebrovascular injury.

**Methods:** A total of 241 cognitively normal older adults between 55 and 90 years of age were enrolled. All the participants underwent comprehensive clinical assessments, serum zinc level measurement, and multimodal brain imaging, including Pittsburgh compound B-positron emission tomography (PET), AV-1451 PET, fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET, and magnetic resonance imaging. Zinc levels were stratified into three categories: < 80  $\mu$ g/dL (low), 80 to 90  $\mu$ g/dL (medium), and > 90  $\mu$ g/dL (high).

**Results:** A low serum zinc level was significantly associated with increased A $\beta$  retention. In addition, apolipoprotein E  $\epsilon$ 4 allele (APOE4) status moderated the association: the relationship between low zinc level and A $\beta$  retention was significant only in APOE4 carriers. Although a low zinc level appeared to reduce AD-CM, the relationship became insignificant on sensitivity analysis including only individuals with no nutritional deficiency. The serum zinc level was associated with neither tau deposition nor the WMH volume.

**Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that decreased serum zinc levels are associated with elevation of brain amyloid deposition. In terms of AD prevention, more attention needs to be paid to the role of zinc.

**Keywords:** Zinc, A $\beta$ , Alzheimer's disease, APOE4

## Background

Zinc is the most abundant trace metal in the brain [1]. Disruption of zinc homeostasis may play a critical role in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1–3]. Pre-clinical studies using an AD mouse model revealed that brain zinc bound to beta-amyloid protein (A $\beta$ ) plaques

and that the levels remained high therein [4] and that zinc treatment increased amyloid precursor protein (APP) expression, enhanced amyloidogenic APP cleavage and A $\beta$  deposition, and impaired spatial learning and memory [5]. A postmortem human brain study revealed that brain zinc accumulation was a prominent feature of AD, linked to brain A $\beta$  accumulation and dementia severity [3]. Serum zinc concentrations from 12 sisters who died in the Nun Study, determined approximately 1 year before death, also showed inverse correlations with

\*Correspondence: selfpsy@snu.ac.kr

<sup>11</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea  
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

senile plaque counts in the brain [6]. Additionally, many human studies have found that serum zinc levels were decreased in AD dementia compared to healthy controls [7–11]. Low serum zinc levels were also associated with rapid progression of AD dementia [2] and poorer cognitive performance [12].

Despite the associations between serum zinc and clinical AD dementia as well as the associations between zinc and A $\beta$  deposition observed in preclinical and postmortem studies, as of yet, no study has investigated the relationship between serum zinc levels and A $\beta$  deposition or other AD-related brain pathologies in the living human brain.

Thus, we aimed to test the hypothesis that serum zinc level is associated with brain A $\beta$  deposition in cognitively normal (CN) older adults. Additionally, we explored the associations between serum zinc level and other AD pathologies (i.e., tau deposition and AD-signature neurodegeneration) and white matter hyperintensities (WMHs), which are measures of cerebrovascular injury.

## Methods

### Participants

This study was part of the Korean Brain Aging Study for Early Diagnosis and Prediction of Alzheimer's Disease (KBASE), which is an ongoing prospective cohort study [13]. As of February 2017, a total of 241 CN older adults between 55 and 90 years of age were enrolled. The CN group consisted of participants with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [14] score of 0 and no diagnosis of mild cognitive disorder or dementia. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a major psychiatric illness, (2) a significant neurological (e.g., cerebrovascular) disease or any medical condition that could affect mental function, (3) contra-indications for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (e.g., a pacemaker or claustrophobia), (4) illiteracy, (5) the presence of significant visual/hearing difficulties and/or severe communication or behavioral problems that would render clinical examinations or brain scans difficult, and (6) use of an investigational drug. Exclusion criteria were sought by research clinicians who examined laboratory data, MRI results, clinical data collected by trained nurses during systematic interviews of participants, and reliable informants to whom we spoke during screening. More detailed information on recruitment was presented in our previous report [13].

### Clinical assessments

All participants underwent comprehensive clinical and neuropsychological assessments administered by trained psychiatrists and neuropsychologists based on the KBASE assessment protocol [13]. This incorporates

the Korean version of the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) neuropsychological battery [15–17]. Vascular risk factors (e.g., hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, coronary heart disease, transient ischemic attack, and stroke) were assessed based on data collected by trained nurses during systematic interviews of participants and their family members. A vascular risk score (VRS) was calculated based on the number of vascular risk factors present and reported as a percentage [18]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight in kilograms by the square of the height in meters. The Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) was used to measure the severity of depressive symptoms [19, 20]. Annual income was evaluated and categorized into three groups [below the minimum cost of living (MCL), more than the MCL but below twice the MCL, and twice the MCL or more] (<http://www.law.go.kr>). The MCL was determined according to the administrative data published by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea, in November 2012. The MCL was 572,168 Korean Won (KRW) [equivalent to 507.9 US dollars (USD)] per month for single-person households with an additional 286,840 KRW (equivalent to 254.6 USD) per month for each additional housemate. To ensure that the information was accurate, reliable informants were also interviewed.

### Measurement of serum zinc levels and other blood biomarkers

After an overnight fasting, blood samples were obtained via venipuncture in the morning (8–9 a.m.). We measured serum zinc and copper levels using an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (model 820-MS; Bruker, Australia). We also measured serum copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin levels because they could confound the relationship between zinc levels and brain changes [21]. We measured blood hemoglobin, albumin, and total cholesterol levels to evaluate anemia and nutritional deficiency. Calcium, iron, albumin, and total cholesterol levels were measured using a colorimetric method (ADVIA 1800 Auto analyzer, Siemens, USA). Transferrin and ceruloplasmin were measured employing immunoturbidimetric assays (Cobas Integra 800, Roche Diagnostics). Albumin levels were automatically determined (Advia 1800; Siemens, USA). Hemoglobin levels were determined by flow cytometry (Advia 2120i; Siemens, USA). Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood and apolipoprotein E (apoE) genotyping performed as previously described [22]. ApoE  $\epsilon$ 4 allele (APOE4) positivity was defined as the presence of at least one  $\epsilon$ 4 allele.

### Measurement of cerebral A $\beta$ deposition

All participants underwent contemporaneous three-dimensional (3D) [ $^{11}\text{C}$ ] Pittsburgh compound B (PiB)-positron emission tomography (PET) and 3D T1-weighted MRI scanning using a 3.0-T Biograph mMR (PET-MR) platform (Siemens, USA), in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. The PiB-PET imaging acquisition and preprocessing details were described previously [23]. An automatic anatomical labeling algorithm and a region-combining method [24] were applied to determine regions of interest (ROIs) for characterization of PiB retention levels in the frontal, lateral parietal, posterior cingulate-precuneus, and lateral temporal regions. Standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) values for each ROI were calculated by dividing the mean value for all voxels within each ROI by the mean cerebellar uptake value in the same image. A global cortical ROI consisting of the four ROIs was defined, and a global A $\beta$  retention value was generated by dividing the mean value for all voxels of the global cortical ROI by the mean cerebellar uptake value in the same image [24, 25].

### Measurement of cerebral tau deposition

A subset of subjects ( $n=58$ ) underwent [ $^{18}\text{F}$ ] AV-1451 PET scans using a Biograph True Point 40 PET/CT platform (Siemens, USA), in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. Although all the other neuroimaging scans were performed during the baseline visit, AV-1451 PET imaging was performed at an average of 2.55 (standard deviation=0.26) years after that visit. The details of AV-1451 PET imaging acquisition and preprocessing have been described previously [23]. To estimate cerebral tau deposition, we quantified the AV-1451 SUVR of an a priori ROI of the "AD-signature region" of tau accumulation, which was a size-weighted average of the partial volume-corrected uptakes by the entorhinal, amygdala, parahippocampal, fusiform, inferior temporal, and middle temporal ROIs, in line with a published method [26]. The AV-1451 SUVR of this ROI served as the outcome variable for cerebral tau deposition.

### Measurement of AD-signature neurodegeneration

All participants underwent [ $^{18}\text{F}$ ] fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-PET imaging using the above-described PET-MR platform; the details of FDG-PET image acquisition and preprocessing have been described previously [23]. AD-signature FDG ROIs that are sensitive to the changes associated with AD, such as the angular gyri, posterior cingulate cortex, and inferior temporal gyri [27], were determined. AD-signature cerebral glucose metabolism

(AD-CM) was defined as the voxel-weighted mean SUVR extracted from the AD-signature FDG ROIs.

### Measurement of WMH

All participants underwent MRI including T1-weighted images and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging using the abovementioned 3.0-T PET-MR platform. WMH volume was measured using a validated automatic procedure that has been previously reported [28]. Briefly, the procedure consists of 11 steps: spatial co-registration of T1 and FLAIR images, fusion of T1 and FLAIR images, segmentation of T1 images, acquisition of transformation parameters, deformation and acquisition of the white matter mask, acquisition of FLAIR within the white matter mask, intensity normalization of the masked FLAIR, nomination of candidate WMH with a designated threshold, creation of a junction map, and elimination of the junction. There were two modifications in the current processing procedure relative to that used in the original study: (a) an optimal threshold of 70 was applied, as it was more suitable for our data than the threshold of 65 that was used in the original study; and, (b) given that individuals with acute cerebral infarcts were not enrolled in our sample, we did not use diffusion-weighted imaging in the current automated procedure. Using the final WMH candidate image, WMH volume was extracted in the native space in each subject.

### Statistical analysis

To examine the relationships between the serum zinc level and neuroimaging biomarkers, multiple linear regression analyses were performed as appropriate. The zinc level, which was an independent variable in each analysis, was entered as a stratified categorical variable (three categories: low <80  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ , medium 80 to 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ , and high >90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ) by reference to the criteria for zinc deficiency (deficient <60  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ , marginal deficiency  $\geq 60$  to <80  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ , and normal  $\geq 80$   $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ) [29]. On statistical analysis, deficiency and marginal deficiency were combined into the low category, because only one participant exhibited a deficiency. Within the normal zinc range, the median value (i.e., 90  $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}$ ) served as the cutoff between the low-normal (medium) and high-normal (high) levels. To analyze the associations between serum zinc levels and neuroimaging biomarkers, two models were used for stepwise control of potential confounders. The first model did not include any covariate and the second model included all potential covariates (i.e., age, sex, educational level, APOE4 positivity status, the VRS, the BMI, the GDS score, annual income status, and albumin, copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin levels) that might confound the relationship between the zinc level and

brain change [21]. On multiple linear regression analyses, global A $\beta$  retention and WMH values were subjected to natural log-transformations to achieve normal distributions. In all analyses, a high zinc level served as the reference (i.e., high zinc vs. medium zinc, or high zinc vs. low zinc). Sensitivity analyses were performed for the participants with no nutritional deficiencies (i.e., serum albumin <3.5 g/dL and total cholesterol <150 mg/dL) [30, 31] to reduce the influence of a general nutritional deficiency on the association between serum zinc level and brain changes. To explore the influence of age, sex, APOE4 positivity, VRS, and the copper, calcium, and iron levels on the associations

between serum zinc levels and the biomarkers that were significant in the analyses described above, the regression analyses were repeated but now including two-way interaction terms between serum zinc levels and the biomarkers as additional independent variables. All statistical analyses were performed with the aid of IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 27, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

## Results

### Participant characteristics

The demographic and clinical characteristics of all participants are presented in Table 1. Of the total of 241

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of cognitively normal participants by the categories of serum zinc

Characteristic	Overall	Categorized zinc level			P
		Low	Medium	High	
N	241	70	80	91	
Age, y	69.73 (8.00)	70.14 (7.13)	71.04 (8.41)	68.26 (8.11)	0.067 <sup>a</sup>
Female, n (%)	114 (47.30)	36 (51.43)	39 (48.75)	39 (42.86)	0.531 <sup>b</sup>
Education, y	11.61 (4.76)	11.00 (4.84)	11.39 (4.92)	12.27 (4.50)	0.212 <sup>a</sup>
APOE4 positivity, n (%)	44 (18.26)	14 (20.00)	15 (18.75)	15 (16.48)	0.841 <sup>b</sup>
VRS, %	17.57 (16.47)	16.90 (14.33)	18.54 (17.18)	17.22 (17.47)	0.806 <sup>a</sup>
MMSE	26.82 (2.67)	26.34 (2.44)	26.93 (3.29)	27.10 (2.18)	0.189 <sup>a</sup>
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.18 (2.92)	24.29 (3.06)	24.00 (2.80)	24.25 (2.94)	0.793 <sup>a</sup>
GDS score	4.93 (5.09)	5.04 (5.24)	5.63 (5.26)	4.22 (4.76)	0.192 <sup>a</sup>
Annual income status					0.887 <sup>c</sup>
<MCL, n (%)	15 (6.22)	3 (4.29)	5 (6.25)	7 (7.69)	
≥MCL, <2 × MCL, n (%)	115 (47.72)	32 (45.71)	40 (50.00)	43 (47.25)	
≥2 × MCL, n (%)	111 (46.06)	35 (50.00)	35 (43.75)	41 (45.05)	
Zinc, μg/dL	86.85 (11.79)	73.36 (4.90)	85.04 (2.85)	98.80 (7.50)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Albumin, g/dL	4.49 (0.25)	4.38 (0.23)	4.53 (0.21)	4.53 (0.27)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Copper, μg/dL	102.32 (17.78)	100.73 (20.25)	101.91 (15.62)	103.91 (17.60)	0.515 <sup>a</sup>
Calcium, mg/dL	9.45 (0.31)	9.35 (0.30)	9.46 (0.29)	9.50 (0.32)	0.010 <sup>a</sup>
Iron, μg/dL	122.61 (40.75)	111.59 (41.13)	116.84 (38.35)	136.18 (39.13)	<0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Transferrin, mg/dL	271.15 (39.38)	262.74 (37.04)	271.26 (38.67)	277.51 (40.92)	0.061 <sup>a</sup>
Ceruloplasmin, mg/dL	24.14 (5.08)	23.91 (5.78)	24.87 (4.41)	23.67 (5.04)	0.276 <sup>a</sup>
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	183.57 (31.49)	181.50 (27.66)	180.75 (28.86)	187.64 (36.05)	0.293 <sup>a</sup>
Nutritional deficiency	36 (14.94)	10 (14.29)	13 (16.25)	13 (14.29)	0.922 <sup>b</sup>
Neuroimage biomarkers					
Cerebral A $\beta$ deposition					
A $\beta$ retention, SUVR	1.20 (0.23)	1.26 (0.34)	1.20 (0.21)	1.16 (0.12)	0.021 <sup>a</sup>
Cerebral tau deposition (n = 58)					
AV-1451, SUVR	1.34 (0.26)	1.36 (0.30)	1.29 (0.21)	1.38 (0.24)	0.575 <sup>a</sup>
AD-CM, SUVR	1.42 (0.12)	1.39 (0.13)	1.41 (0.12)	1.44 (0.12)	0.077 <sup>a</sup>
WMH volume, cm <sup>3</sup>	12.29 (11.68)	11.14 (10.13)	12.91 (12.37)	12.65 (12.25)	0.625 <sup>a</sup>

Abbreviations: MMSE Mini-Mental State Examination, APOE4 apolipoprotein E  $\epsilon$ 4 allele, VRS vascular risk score, BMI body mass index, GDS geriatric depression scale, MCL minimum cost of living, A $\beta$  beta-amyloid, AD Alzheimer's disease, AD-CM Alzheimer's disease signature cerebral glucose metabolism, SUVR standardized uptake value ratio

Data are expressed as mean (standard deviation), unless otherwise indicated

<sup>a</sup> By one-way analysis of variance

<sup>b</sup> By chi-square test

<sup>c</sup> By Fisher exact test

participants, 70 had low zinc levels, 80 had medium zinc levels, and 91 had high zinc levels.

**Association of serum zinc level with cerebral Aβ deposition**

Low serum zinc was associated with significantly increased global Aβ retention compared to the high serum zinc (Table 2 and Fig. 1). Additional analyses for the association between serum zinc and regional Aβ retention showed similar results (Table 3), indicating no

regional specificity of the association. Sensitivity analysis of participants with no nutritional deficiencies yielded similar results (Table 4).

**Association of the zinc level with other brain pathologies**


No differences in terms of tau deposition or WMH were observed between the serum zinc categories (Tables 2 and 4). A reduced AD-CM was related to a low serum

**Table 2** The results of multiple linear regression analyses assessing the relationships between the serum zinc strata and the Aβ, AV-1451, AD-CM, and WMH status of cognitively normal older adults

	Stratified zinc level				High
	Low		Medium		
	<i>B</i> (95% <i>CI</i> )	<i>P</i>	<i>B</i> (95% <i>CI</i> )	<i>P</i>	
Aβ retention, SUVR					
Model 1	0.064 (0.015 to 0.113)	0.011	0.025 (−0.022 to 0.073)	0.291	Reference
Model 2	0.072 (0.022 to 0.123)	0.005	0.020 (−0.027 to 0.068)	0.398	Reference
AV-1451, SUVR					
Model 1	−0.020 (−0.195 to 0.154)	0.817	−0.090 (−0.277 to 0.097)	0.340	Reference
Model 2	0.023 (−0.179 to 0.225)	0.819	−0.019 (−0.233 to 0.195)	0.859	Reference
AD-CM, SUVR					
Model 1	−0.045 (−0.083 to −0.006)	0.025	−0.024 (−0.061 to 0.014)	0.213	Reference
Model 2	−0.048 (−0.091 to −0.006)	0.024	−0.020 (−0.059 to 0.019)	0.317	Reference
WMH, cm <sup>3</sup>					
Model 1	−0.070 (−0.381 to 0.241)	0.656	−0.012 (−0.317 to 0.293)	0.939	Reference
Model 2	−0.177 (−0.504 to 0.149)	0.285	−0.089 (−0.394 to 0.216)	0.567	Reference

Abbreviations: Aβ beta-amyloid, AD-CM Alzheimer's disease signature cerebral glucose metabolism, *CI* confidence interval, APOE4 apolipoprotein E ε4 allele, VRS vascular risk score, BMI body mass index, GDS geriatric depression scale

The results of multiple linear regression analyses are presented with *B* coefficient values, 95% *CI*, and *P* value. Global Aβ retention and WMH values were used after natural log-transformation to achieve normal distribution. Model 1 did not include any covariates and model 2 included all potential covariates, including age, sex, education, APOE4 positivity, VRS, BMI, GDS score, annual income status, albumin, copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin



**Table 3** Results of multiple linear regression analyses for assessing the relationship between stratified zinc level and sub-regional Aβ deposition in cognitively normal individuals

	Frontal region		PC-PRC region		Lt. parietal region		Lt. temporal region	
	B (95% CI)	P	B (95% CI)	P	B (95% CI)	P	B (95% CI)	P
Model 1 <sup>a</sup>								
Low	0.100 (0.028 to 0.173)	0.007	0.128 (0.034 to 0.221)	0.008	0.1145 (0.035 to 0.192)	0.005	0.095 (0.028 to 0.161)	0.005
Medium	0.035 (−0.035 to 0.105)	0.326	0.050 (−0.040 to 0.141)	0.275	0.043 (−0.032 to 0.119)	0.260	0.038 (−0.026 to 0.102)	0.243
High	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	
Model 2 <sup>b</sup>								
Low	0.116 (0.041 to 0.192)	0.003	0.148 (0.050 to 0.246)	0.003	0.131 (0.049 to 0.212)	0.002	0.112 (0.043 to 0.181)	0.002
Medium	0.031 (−0.040 to 0.101)	0.392	0.044 (−0.048 to 0.135)	0.350	0.041 (−0.036 to 0.117)	0.297	0.037 (−0.027 to 0.101)	0.260
High	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference	

Abbreviations: Aβ beta-amyloid, CI confidence interval, APOE4 apolipoprotein E ε4 allele, VRS vascular risk score, BMI body mass index, GDS geriatric depression scale. The results of multiple linear regression analyses are presented with B coefficient values, 95% CI, and P value. Global Aβ retention was used after natural log-transformation to achieve normal distribution. Model 1 did not include any covariates and model 2 included all potential covariates, including age, sex, education, APOE4 positivity, VRS, BMI, GDS score, annual income status, albumin, copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin

**Table 4** The results of multiple linear regression analyses assessing the relationships between the serum zinc strata and the Aβ, AV-1451, AD-CM, and WMH status of cognitively normal older adults with no nutritional deficiencies

	Stratified zinc level				
	Low		Medium		High
	B (95% CI)	P	B (95% CI)	P	
Aβ retention, SUVR					
Model 1	0.065 (0.011 to 0.120)	0.020	0.027 (−0.026 to 0.081)	0.310	Reference
Model 2	0.082 (0.025 to 0.138)	0.005	0.034 (−0.020 to 0.089)	0.217	Reference
AV-1451, SUVR					
Model 1	−0.021 (−0.213 to 0.171)	0.827	−0.085 (0.0296 to 0.127)	0.425	Reference
Model 2	0.010 (−0.221 to 0.240)	0.933	−0.050 (−0.313 to 0.212)	0.699	Reference
AD-CM, SUVR					
Model 1	−0.032 (−0.074 to 0.010)	0.134	−0.016 (−0.057 to 0.025)	0.436	Reference
Model 2	−0.033 (−0.078 to 0.013)	0.162	−0.010 (−0.054 to 0.034)	0.668	Reference
WMH, cm <sup>3</sup>					
Model 1	−0.078 (−0.426 to 0.271)	0.660	−0.067 (−0.408 to 0.275)	0.701	Reference
Model 2	−0.215 (−0.585 to 0.156)	0.254	−0.148 (−0.503 to 0.207)	0.411	Reference

Abbreviations: Aβ beta-amyloid, AD-CM Alzheimer's disease signature cerebral glucose metabolism, CI confidence interval, APOE4 apolipoprotein E ε4 allele, VRS vascular risk score, BMI body mass index, GDS geriatric depression scale. The results of multiple linear regression analyses are presented with B coefficient values, 95% CI, and P value. Global Aβ retention and WMH values were used after natural log-transformation to achieve normal distribution. Model 1 did not include any covariates and model 2 included all potential covariates, including age, sex, education, APOE4 positivity, VRS, BMI, GDS score, annual income status, albumin, copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin

zinc level (Table 2), but the relationship became insignificant on sensitivity analysis of only individuals with no nutritional deficiency (Table 4).

**Moderation of the association between the serum zinc level and cerebral Aβ deposition**

The serum zinc × APOE4 positivity interaction was significant in terms of Aβ retention, indicating that APOE4 positivity moderates the association between the serum zinc level and cerebral Aβ deposition (Table 5). Further subgroup analyses showed that low serum zinc was

significantly associated with higher Aβ deposition in the APOE4-positive but not APOE4-negative subgroup (Table 6 and Fig. 1). The interactions between the serum zinc level and other variables, including sex, the VRS, and copper, calcium, and iron levels, were not significant (Table 5).

**Discussion**

In older individuals lacking cognitive impairment, low serum zinc was associated with increased in vivo global Aβ retention, supporting the hypothesis that low serum

**Table 5** The results of multiple linear regression analyses including interaction terms between the serum zinc strata and age (or sex, or APOE4 positivity, or the VRS, or the copper level) in terms of predicting A $\beta$  retention

	<b>B (95% CI)<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>P</b>
Low zinc	0.056 (−0.176 to 0.288)	0.636
Medium zinc	0.119 (−0.061 to 0.299)	0.193
Age	0.006 (0.003 to 0.009)	<0.001
Low zinc × age	<0.001 (−0.003 to 0.004)	0.913
Medium zinc × age	−0.001 (−0.004 to 0.001)	0.250
Low zinc	0.047 (−0.020 to 0.114)	0.170
Medium zinc	0.029 (−0.035 to 0.093)	0.374
Sex	0.002 (−0.063 to 0.067)	0.953
Low zinc × sex	0.055 (−0.038 to 0.149)	0.247
Medium zinc × sex	−0.021 (−0.111 to 0.068)	0.637
Low zinc	0.040 (−0.015 to 0.096)	0.152
Medium zinc	0.016 (−0.036 to 0.067)	0.553
APOE4 positivity	−0.002 (−0.086 to 0.082)	0.958
Low zinc × APOE4 positivity	0.162 (0.040 to 0.284)	0.010
Medium zinc × APOE4 positivity	0.030 (−0.089 to 0.148)	0.624
Low zinc	0.101 (0.029 to 0.174)	0.006
Medium zinc	0.051 (−0.015 to 0.117)	0.130
VRS	0.008 (−0.023 to 0.040)	0.607
Low zinc × VRS	−0.029 (−0.080 to 0.022)	0.260
Medium zinc × VRS	−0.028 (−0.071 to 0.015)	0.197
Low zinc	0.060 (−0.128 to 0.249)	0.530
Medium zinc	0.049 (−0.111 to 0.209)	0.547
Copper	<0.001 (−0.002 to 0.002)	0.912
Low zinc × copper	<0.001 (−0.002 to 0.002)	0.904
Medium zinc × copper	<0.001 (−0.002 to 0.001)	0.699
Low zinc	0.049 (−0.222 to 0.320)	0.719
Medium zinc	0.112 (−0.101 to 0.324)	0.300
Calcium	0.050 (−0.022 to 0.122)	0.175
Low zinc × calcium	0.002 (−0.027 to 0.031)	0.877
Medium zinc × calcium	−0.010 (−0.033 to 0.012)	0.365
Low zinc	0.019 (−0.120 to 0.158)	0.788
Medium zinc	0.059 (−0.066 to 0.184)	0.351
Iron	<0.001 (<0.001 to 0.001)	0.445
Low zinc × iron	<0.001 (−0.001 to 0.002)	0.390
Medium zinc × iron	<0.001 (−0.001 to 0.001)	0.451

Abbreviations: APOE4 apolipoprotein  $\epsilon$ 4, VRS vascular risk score, A $\beta$  beta-amyloid, CI confidence interval, APOE4 apolipoprotein E  $\epsilon$ 4 allele, VRS vascular risk score

<sup>a</sup> Multiple linear regression model included zinc, age (or sex or APOE4 positivity or VRS or copper or calcium or iron), and the interaction between zinc and age (or sex or APOE4 positivity or VRS or copper or calcium or iron) treated as the independent variables; for all potential confound factors were treated as covariates; and A $\beta$  treated as the dependent variable

zinc is associated with high brain amyloid deposition, in line with the inverse correlation between serum zinc levels determined about 1 year before death and the post-mortem senile plaque counts in 12 individuals of the Nun Study [6]. Many clinical studies have also reported

associations between low serum zinc levels and clinical AD dementia or poor cognitive performance.

Regarding the mechanism underlying the relationship between lower serum zinc and higher brain A $\beta$  deposition, lower serum zinc may reflect sequestration of zinc in the brain due to its binding to A $\beta$  and depletion in other body compartments such as blood [6, 32]. Zinc was bound to A $\beta$  plaques and remained high in such plaques in an AD mouse model [4], and senile plaques were markedly enriched with zinc in the human brain [33, 34]. A preclinical study also demonstrated that human A $\beta$  peptide specifically bound zinc [35]. Although the consequence of zinc sequestration by A $\beta$  peptides and its impact on AD pathogenesis is not clearly understood yet, recent evidences suggest that decreased zinc levels in the synaptic cleft can alter glutamatergic excitotoxic neurotransmission and promote synaptic failure and neuronal death [32, 35–37]. Alternatively, lower serum zinc level caused by dietary zinc deficiency might result in more A $\beta$  deposition, as has been demonstrated in an animal model study [38]. However, this possibility seems not so high given that the sensitivity analysis for individuals with no nutritional deficiency revealed similar results.

We also found that APOE4 status moderated the relationship between the serum zinc level and amyloid deposition. We found a significant negative association between the zinc level and A $\beta$  deposition in participants with APOE4, but not those without APOE4. This may reflect associative interactions between zinc, the apoE4 isoform, and A $\beta$  deposition [39]. ApoE4 binds to A $\beta$  and facilitates A $\beta$  fibrillation more easily than do the apoE2 and apoE3 isoforms [40, 41]. Additionally, as the sulfhydryl groups of cysteine residues are responsible for zinc binding [42, 43], the arginine substitutions in apoE4 restrict its ability to control zinc homeostasis and zinc-dependent molecular changes in the AD brain [44].

We additionally found a significant association between a low serum zinc level and decreased AD-CM. In contrast, we found no association between the serum zinc level and either tau deposition or WMH, indicating that zinc-related hypometabolism is possibly not mediated by brain tau deposition or cerebrovascular injury. The interaction of zinc with A $\beta$  within A $\beta$  plaques in the AD brain may trigger a neuronal zinc imbalance at the glutamatergic synapse level, further exacerbating synaptic dysfunction and the associated cerebral hypometabolism [1, 11, 45, 46]. Another possible explanation for the association between a low serum zinc level and brain hypometabolism is that the association may be confounded by nutritional status; a nutritional deficiency is associated with both low serum zinc levels and reduced brain metabolism [47]. Sensitivity analysis of only participants lacking nutritional deficiencies revealed that the association

**Table 6** The results of the multiple linear regression analyses of the relationships between the serum zinc strata and A $\beta$  retention in terms of APOE4 positivity

	Stratified zinc level				Reference
	Low		Medium		
	<i>B</i> (95% <i>CI</i> )	<i>P</i>	<i>B</i> (95% <i>CI</i> )	<i>P</i>	
APOE4-positive					
Model 1	0.184 (0.031 to 0.337)	0.020	0.021 (−0.130 to 0.171)	0.783	Reference
Model 2	0.242 (0.079 to 0.406)	0.005	0.027 (−0.128 to 0.182)	0.721	Reference
APOE4-negative					
Model 1	0.032 (−0.016 to 0.080)	0.193	0.026 (−0.021 to 0.072)	0.274	Reference
Model 2	0.040 (−0.010 to 0.091)	0.117	0.014 (−0.033 to 0.061)	0.551	Reference

Abbreviations: A $\beta$  beta-amyloid, APOE4 apolipoprotein  $\epsilon$ 4, *CI* confidence interval, APOE4 apolipoprotein E  $\epsilon$ 4 allele, *VRS* vascular risk score, *BMI* body mass index, *GDS* geriatric depression scale

The results of multiple linear regression analyses are presented with *B* coefficient values, 95% *CI*, and *P* value. Global A $\beta$  retention values were used after natural log-transformation to achieve normal distribution. Model 1 did not include any covariates and model 2 included all potential covariates, including age, sex, education, APOE4 positivity, *VRS*, clinical diagnosis, *BMI*, *GDS* score, annual income status, albumin, copper, calcium, iron, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin

between the serum zinc level and AD-CM was no longer significant, supporting the above explanation.

### Limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to show an association between the serum zinc level and brain A $\beta$  accumulation in living humans. Even after controlling for all potential confounders, the findings did not change. The results were confirmed by sensitivity analysis performed after excluding participants with nutritional deficiencies. However, our study had a couple of limitations. First, as this was a cross-sectional work, causal relationships cannot be inferred. Long-term prospective studies are needed. Second, tau PET was performed at an average of 2.55 years (standard deviation 0.26 years) after the baseline visit; the other neuroimaging scans were performed at baseline. This temporal gap may have influenced the association between zinc and tau. When we controlled for the temporal gap as an additional covariate, however, the results did not change. In addition, only a subset of participants ( $n = 58$ ) underwent tau PET, whereas all participants underwent all other imaging modalities. The lower tau PET sample size may have decreased the statistical power and thus contributed to the null result for the relationship between zinc level and tau deposition. A study with a larger sample size is required.

### Conclusion

The present findings suggest that a decreased serum zinc level is associated with elevation of brain amyloid deposition, particularly in APOE4 carriers. In terms of AD prevention, more attention needs to be paid to the role of zinc.

### Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-021-00931-3>.

**Additional file 1:** Supplementary material including authors list for the KBASE group.

### Acknowledgements

We thank all the members of the KBASE Research Group for their contribution. Members of the KBASE Research Group are listed elsewhere (<http://kbase.kr>). We sincerely thank the subjects for their participation in this study. The precursor of [18F] AV-1451 was provided by AVID Radiopharmaceuticals.

### Authors' contributions

JWK and DYL conceived and designed the study. MSB, DY, JHL, MJK, GJ, J-YL, KMK, C-HS, Y-SL, YKK, and DYL were involved in the acquisition or analysis and interpretation of the data and helped to draft the manuscript. JWK, MSB, DY, JHL, and DYL were major contributors in writing the manuscript and critically revising the manuscript for intellectual content. DYL served as the principal investigator and supervised the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea (grant No: NRF-2014M3C7A1046042 & NRF-2020R1G1A1099652), a grant from the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (HI18C0630 & HI19C0149), a grant from the Seoul National University Hospital, Republic of Korea (No. 3020200030) and a grant from the National Institute of Aging, United States of America (U01AG072177). The funding source had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the manuscript, or decision to submit it for publication.

### Availability of data and materials

The data of the current study are not freely accessible because the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Seoul National University Hospital prohibits public data-sharing for privacy reasons. However, the data may be available from the independent data-sharing committee of the KBASE research group on reasonable request, after approval by the IRB. Requests for data access can be submitted to the administrative coordinator of the KBASE group by e-mail ([kbasecohort@gmail.com](mailto:kbasecohort@gmail.com)); the coordinator is independent of the authors.



## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Seoul National University Hospital (SNUH) (C-1401-027-547) and Seoul Metropolitan Government-Seoul National University (SMG-SNU) Boramae Medical Center (26–2015-60), Seoul, South Korea, and was conducted in accordance with the recommendations in the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki. The subjects or their legal representatives gave written informed consent.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuropsychiatry, Hallym University Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital, Hwaseong, Gyeonggi 18450, Republic of Korea. <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon, Gangwan 24252, Republic of Korea. <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Pusan National University Yangsan Hospital, Yangsan 50612, Republic of Korea. <sup>4</sup>Institute of Human Behavioral Medicine, Medical Research Center Seoul National University, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea. <sup>5</sup>Department of Geriatric Psychiatry, National Center for Mental Health, Seoul 04933, Republic of Korea. <sup>6</sup>Department of Neuropsychiatry, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea. <sup>7</sup>Department of Neuropsychiatry, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, Seoul 07061, Republic of Korea. <sup>8</sup>Department of Radiology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea. <sup>9</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea. <sup>10</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, Seoul 07061, Republic of Korea. <sup>11</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Seoul National University College of Medicine, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Republic of Korea.

Received: 13 July 2021 Accepted: 2 November 2021

Published online: 19 November 2021

## References

- Watt NT, Whitehouse IJ, Hooper NM. The role of zinc in Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Alzheimers Dis*. 2010;2011:971021.
- Dong J, Robertson JD, Markesbery WR, Lovell MA. Serum zinc in the progression of Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2008;15:443–50.
- Religa D, Strozzyk D, Cherny RA, Volitakis I, Haroutunian V, Winblad B, et al. Elevated cortical zinc in Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2006;67:69–75.
- James SA, Churches QI, de Jonge MD, Birchall IE, Streltsov V, McColl G, et al. Iron, copper, and zinc concentration in Abeta plaques in the APP/PS1 mouse model of Alzheimer's disease correlates with metal levels in the surrounding neuropil. *ACS Chem Neurosci*. 2017;8:629–37.
- Wang CY, Wang T, Zheng W, Zhao BL, Danscher G, Chen YH, et al. Zinc overload enhances APP cleavage and Abeta deposition in the Alzheimer mouse brain. *PLoS One*. 2010;5:e15349.
- Tully CL, Snowdon DA, Markesbery WR. Serum zinc, senile plaques, and neurofibrillary tangles: findings from the Nun study. *Neuroreport*. 1995;6:2105–8.
- Brewer GJ, Kanzer SH, Zimmerman EA, Molho ES, Celmins DF, Heckman SM, et al. Subclinical zinc deficiency in Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Dement*. 2010;25:572–5.
- Baum L, Chan IH, Cheung SK, Goggins WB, Mok V, Lam L, et al. Serum zinc is decreased in Alzheimer's disease and serum arsenic correlates positively with cognitive ability. *Biomaterials*. 2010;23:173–9.
- Li DD, Zhang W, Wang ZY, Zhao P. Serum copper, zinc, and iron levels in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2017;9:300.
- Wang ZX, Tan L, Wang HF, Ma J, Liu J, Tan MS, et al. Serum iron, zinc, and copper levels in patients with Alzheimer's disease: a replication study and meta-analyses. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;47:565–81.
- Ventriglia M, Brewer GJ, Simonelli I, Mariani S, Siotto M, Bucossi S, et al. Zinc in Alzheimer's disease: a meta-analysis of serum, plasma, and cerebrospinal fluid studies. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;46:75–87.
- Markiewicz-Zukowska R, Gutowska A, Borawska MH. Serum zinc concentrations correlate with mental and physical status of nursing home residents. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0117257.
- Byun MS, Yi D, Lee JH, Choe YM, Sohn BK, Lee JY, et al. Korean brain aging study for the early diagnosis and prediction of Alzheimer's disease: methodology and baseline sample characteristics. *Psychiatry Investig*. 2017;14:851–63.
- Morris JC. The clinical dementia rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology*. 1993;43:2412–4.
- Morris JC, Heyman A, Mohs RC, Hughes JP, van Belle G, Fillenbaum G, et al. The consortium to establish a registry for Alzheimer's disease (CERAD). Part I. clinical and neuropsychological assessment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 1989;39:1159–65.
- Lee JH, Lee KU, Lee DY, Kim KW, Jhoo JH, Kim JH, et al. Development of the Korean version of the consortium to establish a registry for Alzheimer's disease assessment packet (CERAD-K): clinical and neuropsychological assessment batteries. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2002;57:P47–53.
- Lee DY, Lee KU, Lee JH, Kim KW, Jhoo JH, Kim SY, et al. A normative study of the CERAD neuropsychological assessment battery in the Korean elderly. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2004;10:72–81.
- DeCarli C, Mungas D, Harvey D, Reed B, Weiner M, Chui H, et al. Memory impairment, but not cerebrovascular disease, predicts progression of MCI to dementia. *Neurology*. 2004;63:220–7.
- Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, Lum O, Huang V, Adey M, et al. Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1982;17:37–49.
- Kim JY, Park JH, Lee JJ, Huh Y, Lee SB, Han SK, et al. Standardization of the Korean version of the geriatric depression scale: reliability, validity, and factor structure. *Psychiatry Investig*. 2008;5:232–8.
- Armstrong C, Leong W, Lees GJ. Comparative effects of metal chelating agents on the neuronal cytotoxicity induced by copper (Cu<sup>2+</sup>), iron (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) and zinc in the hippocampus. *Brain Res*. 2001;892:51–62.
- Wenham PR, Price WH, Blandell G. Apolipoprotein E genotyping by one-stage PCR. *Lancet*. 1991;337:1158–9.
- Park JC, Han SH, Yi D, Byun MS, Lee JH, Jang S, et al. Plasma tau/amyloid-beta1-42 ratio predicts brain tau deposition and neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*. 2019;142:771–86.
- Reiman EM, Chen K, Liu X, Bandy D, Yu M, Lee W, et al. Fibrillar amyloid-beta burden in cognitively normal people at 3 levels of genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:6820–5.
- Choe YM, Sohn BK, Choi HJ, Byun MS, Seo EH, Han JY, et al. Association of homocysteine with hippocampal volume independent of cerebral amyloid and vascular burden. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35:1519–25.
- Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Therneau TM, Lowe VJ, Knopman DS, et al. Defining imaging biomarker cut points for brain aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13:205–16.
- Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Rocca WA, Knopman DS, Mielke MM, et al. Age-specific population frequencies of cerebral beta-amyloidosis and neurodegeneration among people with normal cognitive function aged 50–89 years: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol*. 2014;13:997–1005.
- Tsai JZ, Peng SJ, Chen YW, Wang KW, Li CH, Wang JY, et al. Automated segmentation and quantification of white matter hyperintensities in acute ischemic stroke patients with cerebral infarction. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e104011.
- Yokokawa H, Fukuda H, Saita M, Miyagami T, Takahashi Y, Hisaoka T, et al. Serum zinc concentrations and characteristics of zinc deficiency/marginal deficiency among Japanese subjects. *J Gen Fam Med*. 2020;21:248–55.
- Kuzuya M, Kanda S, Koike T, Suzuki Y, Satake S, Iguchi A. Evaluation of Mini-nutritional assessment for Japanese frail elderly. *Nutrition*. 2005;21:498–503.
- Omran ML, Morley JE. Assessment of protein energy malnutrition in older persons, part II: laboratory evaluation. *Nutrition*. 2000;16:131–40.
- Sensi SL, Granzotto A, Siotto M, Squitti R. Copper and zinc dysregulation in Alzheimer's disease. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2018;39:1049–63.

33. Lovell MA, Robertson JD, Teesdale WJ, Campbell JL, Markesbery WR. Copper, iron and zinc in Alzheimer's disease senile plaques. *J Neurol Sci.* 1998;158:47–52.
34. Cherny RA, Legg JT, McLean CA, Fairlie DP, Huang X, Atwood CS, et al. Aqueous dissolution of Alzheimer's disease Abeta amyloid deposits by biometal depletion. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274:23223–8.
35. Bush AI, Pettingell WH, Multhaup G, d Paradis M, Vonsattel JP, Gusella JF, Beyreuther K, Masters CL, Tanzi RE: rapid induction of Alzheimer a beta amyloid formation by zinc. *Science.* 1994;265:1464–7.
36. Bush AI, Tanzi RE. Therapeutics for Alzheimer's disease based on the metal hypothesis. *Neurotherapeutics.* 2008;5:421–32.
37. Sensi SL, Paoletti P, Bush AI, Sekler I. Zinc in the physiology and pathology of the CNS. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2009;10:780–91.
38. Stoltenberg M, Bush AI, Bach G, Smidt K, Larsen A, Rungby J, et al. Amyloid plaques arise from zinc-enriched cortical layers in APP/PS1 transgenic mice and are paradoxically enlarged with dietary zinc deficiency. *Neuroscience.* 2007;150:357–69.
39. Oh SB, Kim JA, Park S, Lee JY. Associative interactions among zinc, apolipoprotein E, and amyloid-beta in the amyloid pathology. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21.
40. Wisniewski T, Castano EM, Golabek A, Vogel T, Frangione B. Acceleration of Alzheimer's fibril formation by apolipoprotein E in vitro. *Am J Pathol.* 1994;145:1030–5.
41. Sanan DA, Weisgraber KH, Russell SJ, Mahley RW, Huang D, Saunders A, et al. Apolipoprotein E associates with beta amyloid peptide of Alzheimer's disease to form novel monofibrils. Isoform apoE4 associates more efficiently than apoE3. *J Clin Invest.* 1994;94:860–9.
42. Borden KL. RING fingers and B-boxes: zinc-binding protein-protein interaction domains. *Biochem Cell Biol.* 1998;76:351–8.
43. Klug A, Schwabe JW. Protein motifs 5. Zinc fingers *FASEB J.* 1995;9:597–604.
44. Moir RD, Atwood CS, Romano DM, Laurans MH, Huang X, Bush AI, et al. Differential effects of apolipoprotein E isoforms on metal-induced aggregation of a beta using physiological concentrations. *Biochemistry.* 1999;38:4595–603.
45. Capasso M, Jeng JM, Malavolta M, Mocchegiani E, Sensi SL. Zinc dyshomeostasis: a key modulator of neuronal injury. *J Alzheimers Dis.* 2005;8:93–108 discussion 209–115.
46. Kato T, Inui Y, Nakamura A, Ito K. Brain fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET in dementia. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2016;30:73–84.
47. Delvenne V, Lotstra F, Goldman S, Biver F, De Maertelaer V, Appelboom-Fondu J, et al. Brain hypometabolism of glucose in anorexia nervosa: a PET scan study. *Biol Psychiatry.* 1995;37:161–9.

### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

