UNIVERSITY OF HOHENHEIM

FACULTY OF BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES



HOHENHEIM DISCUSSION PAPERS IN BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Institute of Economics

DISCUSSION PAPER 01-2016

NON-TRADING BEHAVIOUR IN CHOICE EXPERIMENTS

Michael Ahlheim

University of Hohenheim

Jan Neidhardt

University of Hohenheim

State: March 2016

www.wiso.uni-hohenheim.de

Non-Trading Behaviour in Choice Experiments

Michael Ahlheim, Jan Neidhardt

Download this Discussion Paper from our homepage: https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/papers

ISSN 2364-2076 (Printausgabe) ISSN 2364-2084 (Internetausgabe)

Die Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences dienen der schnellen Verbreitung von Forschungsarbeiten der Fakultät Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften. Die Beiträge liegen in alleiniger Verantwortung der Autoren und stellen nicht notwendigerweise die Meinung der Fakultät Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften dar.

Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences are intended to make results of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences research available to the public in order to encourage scientific discussion and suggestions for revisions. The authors are solely responsible for the contents which do not necessarily represent the opinion of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences.

Non-Trading Behaviour in Choice Experiments

Michael Ahlheim^{a,b}, Jan Neidhardt^c

- ^a Institute of Economics (520 F), University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany, E-mail address: <u>ahlheim@uni-hohenheim.de</u>
- ^b Corresponding author: Michael Ahlheim (<u>ahlheim@uni-hohenheim.de</u>)
- ^c Institute of Economics (520 F), University of Hohenheim, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany, E-mail address: jan.neidhardt@uni-hohenheim.de

Abstract: This paper addresses a methodological problem of choice experiments, namely the problem that respondents sometimes avoid the intellectual effort of thoroughly considering the trade-offs between different alternatives that are the essence of every choice experiment, and tick instead the next best alternative without the necessary deliberation. This kind of behaviour which is called "non-trading" in the respective literature calls into question the validity of choice experiments. In this paper, which is based on an online choice experiment concerned with consumer's tastes for table grapes with 1,000 participants, we suggest possibilities to identify potential non-traders not only by their answering behaviour but also by some general characteristics we found to be typical of this kind of respondent.

Keywords: Non-Trading Behaviour, Discrete Choice Experiment, Table Grapes

1. Introduction

In this study we try to identify and characterize respondents who do not take the pain of carefully considering and comparing each alternative offered in a choice experiment, but instead always choose the same alternative across different choice sets. As possible explanations of this kind of "non-trading" behaviour, as they call it, Hess et al. (2010, p. 406) suggest either an extreme preference for one single attribute so that always the alternative is chosen in which this attribute scores especially high or strategic behaviour to influence the outcome of the study towards a certain direction. Another possibility is that a respondent is too tired, too bored or too disinterested in the topic of the choice experiment to engage in considering seriously the different choice alternatives suggested. In our study we find that non-traders are more probable than the average respondent to be male, younger, lower educated and to show a protest attitude towards such surveys in general.

We study these problems using a choice experiment on the assessment of different sorts of table grapes. Besides the methodological goal of our study, i.e. the analysis of non-trading behaviour, we are also interested in the attributes of table grapes that are decisive for the purchasing decision of consumers and for their willingness to pay (WTP) for different sorts of table grapes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give a short description of our survey and of the general features of our choice experiment. In Section 3 we present our results and discuss their importance for future choice experiments facing the problem of non-trading behaviour of respondents. Section 4 contains our concluding remarks.

2. Material and Methods

For our study, we set up an online survey with a questionnaire asking questions with respect to respondents' socio-demographic characteristics, details of their preferences regarding various properties of table grapes, consumption habits, general attitudes towards environmental preservation, environmentally friendly behaviour, lifestyle, fear of future threats, satisfaction with several aspects of their lives etc. Further, a choice experiment^{*} was set up in order to assess respondents' WTP for several characteristics of table grapes. The survey was programmed using the UniPark Survey Software and operated as a selfadministered internet survey. To ensure representativeness of the sample for the adult German resident population with respect to gender, age, education and household income, we contracted an online panel provider, who recruited the participants for our survey.

All in all, 2418 participants started the survey. Of these, 1084 were screened out after the first four questions due to quota restrictions. The online panel provider then further reduced the sample by 334 participants. Thus, a data set with 1000 participants who completed the survey and who are representative of the adult German population with respect to the criteria mentioned above was created.

One half of the survey participants (50.9%) were female and 49.1% were male. The distribution of age, education and household income was as presented in table 1. This is representative of the German resident population according to data from the German Federal Statistical Office.

^{*} For details on the economics and econometric theory of choice experiments, see e.g. Bateman et al. (2002), Train (2007) or Hoyos (2010).

Age	Share of respondents	Highest educational degree	Share of respondents	Household Income	Share of Respondents
18-29	16.8%	Tertiary Education	14.6%	1299€or less	19.0%
30-39	14.2 %	High School	14.0%	1300€to 2599€	31.1%
40-49	19.0 %	10 years of schooling	30.2%	2600€to 3599€	18.6%
50-59	17.9%	8 or 9 years of schooling	37.2%	3600€to 4999€	16.1%
60 and older	32.1%	Dropped out of school	4.00%	5000 € and more	15.2%
0	stribution of ondents -		al degrees of dents -	responder	ibution of its' household come -

- Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents -

All respondents were asked a number of questions regarding their occupational status, their household size and their general fruit consumption at the beginning of the questionnaire. Afterwards, those respondents who answered that they never eat table grapes were screened out (7.1%) because we expected that they could hardly give substantial answers regarding the type of grapes they prefer or would choose in a choice experiment. Of the remaining 92.9% of the respondents that buy table grapes at least occasionally, 42.09% stated that they buy table grapes once a month or less often, while 57.91% stated that they eat table grapes more often that once a month.

Scarpa et al. (2005) also conduct a choice experiment on table grapes when looking for a "patriotic preference" (p. 334) of consumers in Italy. For grapes, they include as attributes, besides the price the packaging format, whether the product is from a farm employing an integrated pest management scheme or organically produced, if it comes with some sort of quality certification, whether the grape is red or white, whether it is over-ripe, scarcely ripe or normally ripe etc. Moreover, Scarpa et al. (ibid.) included the grape size and the presence or absence of seeds into their choice task but excluded these from their final model

specifications as they turned out to be insignificant. Especially the last result is in stark contrast to the experience of winemakers.

The already mentioned choice experiment in our study represented the core piece of the questionnaire. The grape attributes of the choice experiment were selected after discussions with consumers, oenologists and other experts. We decided then to characterize the different versions of table grapes in our choice cards by the attributes "thickness of skin", "grape size", "number of seeds", "level of resveratrol", "number of treatments with pesticides per year" and "price per kilo". With six different attributes, we are still within the scope that should be intellectually manageable by respondents (s. e.g. Ryan and Gerard 2003, p. 57). For each of these attributes different levels were defined. For "thickness of skin" for example we offered the levels "thin" and "thick", for "size of grape" we suggested the levels "small", "medium" and "large", and for the attribute "number of seeds" we offered the alternatives "zero", "one", "two" and "four".

Resveratrol is a stilbenoid naturally contained in grapes. It is sometimes claimed that the consumption of resveratrol affects human health in a beneficial way, although the scientific evidence on this is limited so far. Participants were informed about the potentially health improving effects of an increased level of resveratrol in the grape prior to the actual choice experiment. Although there is a connection between the level of resveratrol in a table grape and the number of seeds included, this was not explicitly mentioned in order to rule out interaction effects. The suggested levels of resveratrol were "none", "low" "medium" and "high".

The attribute "number of treatments with pesticides" was included to assess the nonuse value of a reduced use of pesticides. The pesticides used in viticulture are typically not hazardous to consumers' health but they do harm to the ecosystems in areas adjacent to vineyards (Geiger et al. 2010). Participants were also informed on these negative effects of pesticide use on biodiversity. As alternative levels of pesticide application "once", "thrice" and "five times" were included as these were described as realistic by oenology experts.

The attribute "price per kilo" was included with the levels $1.99 \in$, $2.99 \in$, $3.99 \in$, $4.99 \in$, $5.99 \in$ and $6.99 \in$. We had conducted market research before starting the survey and had found that these price levels appropriately reflect the range of prices that are charged per kilogram of table grapes in German supermarkets and by discounters.

In the choice experiment respondents were offered different (hypothetical) sorts of grapes, where each sort was described by different combinations of the six attributes mentioned above. To achieve D-Efficiency, the choice sets were created with Ngene software using priors from the pretest. Thus, 72 different hypothetical table grape varieties were generated. Based on this stock of different grape sorts our choice cards were designed, where each choice card contained three options to choose from, i.e. two different grape sorts and one "no-buy" option for cases where the respondent did not like any of the two grape sorts offered on the respective choice card (s. Table 2). This seems to be reasonable since in a supermarket, a

consumer who does not like any of the grapes actually available will typically resort to buying no grapes at all. In order to keep the number of different choices plausible and intellectually manageable for respondents we formed six different groups of respondents, where each participant was randomly allocated to one of these groups. Participants in each group were confronted successively with six different choice cards where each card offered two different grape sorts plus the no-buy option for choice.

Option 1		Option 2	2	Option 3
Thickness of skin	thin	Thickness of skin	thick	
Size of grape	medium	Size of grape	small	
Number of seeds	2	Number of seeds	0	
Content of resveratrol	small	Content of resveratrol	high	I would buy none
Number of pesticide treatments per year	1	Number of pesticide treatments per year	5	
Price per kilogram	1.99€	Price per kilogram	3.99€	

- Table 2: Example of a choice card -

3. Theory

Stated preference methods, such as discrete choice experiments and contingent valuation studies, necessarily require interviewees to make a choice in a hypothetical situation. This procedure is in danger of producing biased results if respondents do not behave as they are expected to. Bateman et al. (2002) argue that choice experiments are less prone to biasing behaviour than contingent valuation studies, e.g. that the pressure to behave in line with norms of social desirability (Börger 2012) is smaller and that ethical protesting is less common. However, some problems remain.

Like in real life it may happen that interviewees behave irrationally. This may be because they do not correctly understand the task they are given (Meyerhoff et al. 2014) or because they fail to anticipate their purchasing behaviour realistically in the hypothetical situation of the

interview. As a consequence, they might state wrong choices in the choice experiment, a phenomenon that is commonly described as "hypothetical bias" (Murphy et al. 2005, Loomis 2011). Further, it may happen that interviewees do not consider the trade-offs between different attributes as thoroughly as researchers want them to in a choice experiment, but instead always choose the same option. As already mentioned, this phenomenon is termed "non-trading" in the literature. Hess et al. (2010) argue that there are three possible reasons for non-trading: Firstly, non-trading might be the result of extreme preferences focussing exclusively on one specific attribute of the different choice options. They give an example of a labelled mode choice experiment regarding different transport options where some respondents will always choose the option that is labelled "car" or "fast". However, they agree that non-trading also exists in unlabelled choice experiments. Secondly, they argue that nontrading may be the result of "heuristic", non-utility-maximising behaviour of some respondents, e.g. because of boredom or fatigue. These respondents might aim at speeding up the choice experiment in order to get it over and done with as soon as possible. Hess et al. (2010) also argue that some respondents might reduce the number of attributes they consider in order to reduce the cognitive burden put upon them by the choice experiment. Another possibility is that respondents act strategically. Independently of its exact causes it is obvious that non-trading harms the validity of choice experiment results and therefore should be reduced as far as possible.

Before identifying non-traders we have to specify our understanding of non-trading. In our choice experiment, each respondent was confronted with altogether six choice cards where each choice card consisted of two alternative versions of grapes and one no-buy option, i.e. of three choice options altogether. We define as a "strong non-trader" a respondent who in all six choice cards always chooses the same option, e.g. the first one. Stochastically, under the assumption that all choices are equally likely to be chosen, the probability that a respondent selects a certain sequence of options if there are three options in each choice card and she is confronted with six choice cards is about 0,137%. Thus, with 929 participants, we would expect to find approximately four respondents who always choose the same option without being non-traders in the sense of Hess et al. (2010). In our survey, 52 non-traders were identified. Of these, three always chose the first option on the left, eight took the second option in the middle and the remaining 41 always selected the no-buy-option.

The interpretation of those always selecting the no-buy-option as being non-traders is problematic since this option is analogous to the zero-WTP statement in Contingent Valuation studies (which is the most frequently chosen option in most CVM studies) and for that choice there exist many different explanations which have nothing to do with non-trading. It is e.g. imaginable that respondents lacked information on certain attributes of the grapes that were not included in the choice set. Moreover, no-buy choices are not considered in the estimation model and thus cannot be a possible source of biased results. Therefore, we decided to identify as strong non-traders only those respondents who always chose one of the two grape options 1 or 2. Stochastically, again under the assumption that all choices are equally likely to

be chosen, we would expect around two to three respondents that qualify as strong non-traders. In our sample, however, there remain eleven respondents that can be identified as strong non-traders. This is more than four times the number that could be expected statistically.

We have three different hypotheses regarding the motivation of non-trading behaviour: Our first hypothesis is that respondents were not interested in the questionnaire but only participated for extrinsic reasons, e.g. to earn a reward, and therefore hurry through the questionnaire without deeper consideration. In a panel-administered survey such as ours, where respondents received a small incentive worth $1.50 \in$ for participating, this might be a problem. Our second hypothesis is that respondents might have found the hypothetical situation they were confronted with not worthwhile considering, because they think that such surveys are of no consequence, anyway. Especially panel-recruited participants, which regularly participate in surveys that deal with a large variety of different topics, might develop the feeling that these typically have little or no effect. Our third hypothesis is that respondents might find the many different versions of the same commodity (grapes) they are confronted with, unrealistic. This can be especially problematic in choice experiments where respondents are asked to repeatedly choose between options that differ in a relatively large number of attributes and that are typically more diversified than those they could buy in a store or market.

To test the first hypothesis, our tool is to look at the time respondents took to finish the survey: When respondents are not engaging with the questionnaire but instead just try to finish as quickly as possible to earn their reward, this should be reflected by the answering time they took. To test the remaining two hypothesis, we included two follow-up questions in the questionnaire that were presented to respondents immediately after they had completed the choice experiment. Respondents were asked whether they agree on two statements which express protest believes with respect to the usefulness of such surveys and the credibility of the various grape options offered in the choice experiment, respectively. To test the second hypothesis, respondents were presented a statement that can be translated into: "All those surveys, that are conducted, do not lead anywhere." ⁺ To test the third hypothesis, the statement was "I could choose from so many different grape varieties. In reality, these do not exist"[‡]. They could choose between "fully agree", "partly agree", "do not agree at all" and "do not know"[§].

⁺ In the German questionnaire this read: "Die vielen Befragungen, die dauernd durchgeführt werden, führen doch zu nichts".

[‡] In the German questionnaire this read: "So viele Traubensorten, wie ich hier zur Auswahl hatte, gibt es in Wirklichkeit doch gar nicht."

[§] In the German questionnaire this read: *"stimme vollständig zu", "teils teils", "stimme überhaupt nicht zu" and "weiß nicht".*

After having identified non-traders we want to learn more about their characteristic properties, i.e. about the determinants of non-trading. For this purpose we run a regression model with being a non-trader as dependent variable and several potential determinants of non-trading as independent variables. Kosenius (2013), in an article on the related topic of preference discontinuity in choice experiments, finds that female gender, young age, higher income and a less time spent on the survey as significant determinants. These variables will thus be included in our regression model, together with the education level of the respondents. Moreover, we include also "odd answers" as an independent variable. This is a dummy variable, which is equal to one if respondents give contradictory answers in other parts of the questionnaire and zero otherwise. The idea is that we can expect respondents who do not take the survey seriously to give also contradictory answers to questions outside the choice experiment.

Besides the case of strong non-traders who chose the same grape option in all six choice cards we define also a weak category of non-trading where respondents chose the same option over either six or five choice cards. This weakening of our definition increases the total number of non-traders to 55 and makes our analysis richer.

4. Results and Discussion

In this section we will first pursue the empirical goal of our study by analysing the attributes of grapes with respect to their effect of consumers' willingness to buy grapes with such properties. From the evaluation of our choice experiment we learn about the influence of the different attributes on consumers' WTP for a certain sort of grapes.

4.1 Which attributes of table grapes are appreciated most by consumers?

This question can be answered on the basis of our choice experiment. The choice data was subsequently analysed using mixed logit with Hole's Module for STATA (Hole, 2007) with 2000 Halton draws. Effects coding was used. Thus, a hypothetical standard table grape had to be defined: We selected as our standard a table grape that has thin skin, is small, has no seeds, a medium level of resveratrol and to which pesticides are applied five times a year. The results are shown in table 3.

As we expected, there is a significantly lower WTP for table grapes with a thick skin relative to those with a thin skin and for table grapes that have a positive number of seed relative to those that contain no seeds. Here, it is interesting that the coefficients for table grapes with one and two seeds are almost the same. Medium-sized and large grapes are preferred to small grapes, while their coefficients are not significantly different from each other. There is a significantly lower WTP for grapes with no resveratrol relative to those with medium resveratrol. The WTP is also lower for grapes with low resveratrol, but this is only significant in certain specifications of the model. The marginal willingness to pay for other positive levels of resveratrol is not significantly different from zero. Lastly, respondents prefer grapes with

lower pesticide use (once or three times instead of five times per year). Moreover, there is significant heterogeneity in consumers' appreciation of the resveratrol level of grapes, their size and for one-time use of pesticides.

4.2 Non-trading

Our main interest in this section is to identify the typical characteristics of strong as well as weak non-traders beyond the fact that they choose six or five times the same option in the choice experiment. This might be helpful in identifying true non-traders in future choice experiments and to distinguish them from "random" non-traders whose preferences are truthfully expressed by choosing the same option over all choice cards by hazard. As explained above we differentiate between strong and weak non-traders where strong non-traders chose the same option in all six choice cards while weak non-traders chose the same option in five or six choice cards, i.e. strong non-traders represent a subset of weak non-traders.

Variable	Parameter	Value	Std- Error
Dependend variable	e: decision to buy		
price	Mean	-0.4717***	0.0452
thick_skin	Mean	-0.5489***	0.0902
	Std. dev.	0.0618	0.7511
size_medium	Mean	0.7278***	0.1638
	Std. dev.	0.1065	0.4795
size_big	Mean	0.7813***	0.1248
	Std. dev.	0.9067***	0.1773
one_seed	Mean	-0.6270***	0.1782
	Std. dev.	0.3575	0.4496
two_seed	Mean	-0.6629***	0.1988
	Std. dev.	0.2887	0.7385
four_seed	Mean	-1.6348***	0.1783
	Std. dev.	1.1342***	0.1844
no_resveratrol	Mean	-0.4569***	0.1547
	Std. dev.	-0.8383***	0.3106
low_resveratrol	Mean	-0.3551*	0.2085
	Std. dev.	0.6908***	0.2460
high_resveratrol	Mean	-0.1713	0.1902
	Std. dev.	1.776***	0.22212

pesticides_once	Mean	2.0205***	0.1908
	Std. dev.	1.072***	0.1954
pesticides_thrice	Mean	0.6741***	0.1901
	Std. dev.	0.0289	0.3899
Likelihood ratio X ²		119.20	

- Table 3: Results of the model estimation with all participants -**

Our probit regression shows that strong non-traders are significantly younger and less educated than respondents who do not qualify as strong non-traders (cf. table 4). Moreover, our second hypothesis, i.e. that strong non-traders have significant doubts regarding the question whether surveys have any real effect, could be confirmed: respondents that agreed to the statement "All those surveys, that are conducted, do not lead anywhere" were significantly more likely to be strong non-traders than other respondents (variable name: "protest nopoint"). From table 4 it can be seen that we cannot confirm our first hypothesis according to which non-traders take less time to answer the questionnaire than other respondents for strong non-traders. The respective variable ("true duration") is not significant in table 4. The same holds for our third hypothesis, that strong non-traders do not believe in the existence of such a variety of different sorts of grapes as suggested in the choice experiment (statement: "I could choose from so many different grape varieties. In reality, these do not exist"). The respective variable ("protest_unrealistic") is not significant in table 4 too. Differently from Kosenius (2013), we do not find a significant effect of female gender or higher income. As expected, we find that respondents who give contradictory answers to our general questions regarding environmental attitudes and certain character traits, as indicated by the variable "answers_odd", are more likely to be strong non-traders.

^{**} Throughout this paper, significance levels are denoted as follows: * stands for 10% significance level, ** stands for 5% significance level, *** stands for 1% significance level.

	Coefficient	<i>t</i> -ratio	
Dependend variable: strong non-trading			
age	-0.7438***	-3.13	
	(0.2374)		
female	-0.3615	-1.05	
	(0.3448)		
education	-0.2513*	-1.84	
	(0.1365)		
income	-0.0400	-0.29	
	(0.1390)		
protest_unrealistic	-0.6256	-1.27	
	(0.4927)		
protest_nopoint	1.5617***	3.42	
	(0.4567)		
true_duration	0.00003	0.10	
	(0.0003)		
answers_odd	0.8899**	2.41	
	(0.3696)		
cons	0.5953	0.67	
	(0.8910)		
X ² =	0.0000		
Pseudo R ²	0.3830		

- Table 4: Determinants of strong non-trading -

In a next step we analyse the group of weak non-traders, i.e. we included also those respondents in our analysis who chose the same option in five choice cards in our choice experiment in addition to the strong non-traders. The regression results can be seen in table 5. We now find that younger (variable: "age") men (variable: "female") who do not belief in the effect of such surveys (variable: "protest_nopoint") and who give contradictory answers also to questions outside the choice experiment (variable: "answers_odd") tend to be weak non-traders. It also shown that weak non-traders take less time to answer the questionnaire (variable: "true_duration") which is immediately plausible. The divergent result in this last point relative to the strong non-traders may be due to the relatively small sample size in the latter case.

	Coefficient	<i>t</i> -ratio	
Dependend variable: weak non-trading			
age	-0.2746***	-5.06	
	(0.0543)		
female	-0.4894***	-3.19	
	(0.1534)		
education	-0.0824	-1.47	
	(0.0560)		
income	0.0820	1.36	
	(0.0602)		
protest_unrealistic	-1.1207	-0.59	
	(0.2048)		
protest_nopoint	0.5029*	1.79	
	(0.2803)		
true_duration	-0.0002*	-1.76	
	(0.0001)		
answers_odd	0.3603**	2.22	
	(0.1621)		
cons	-0.1375	-0.39	
	(0.3547)		
X ² =	0.0000		
Pseudo R ²	0.1302		

- Table 5: Determinants of weak non-trading -

If we eliminate these (strong or weak) non-traders from our survey sample we are able to improve the quality of our results as can be seen from tables 6 and 7. Table 6 shows a regression analysis analogous to the one in table 3, but after eliminating the strong non-traders from the sample. In table 7 we see the results of a regression analysis where all non-traders have been removed from the survey sample. Comparing the likelihood ratio chi-square values of tables 3, 6 and 7 we see that the fit of our regression model improves as the strong non-traders (table 6) and later the weak non-traders (table 7) are eliminated from the survey sample. This underlines the fact that it is useful to identify true non-traders in a choice experiment and to remove them from the survey sample in order to increase the significance of the regression results.

Variable	Parameter	Value	Std- Error
Dependend variable	: decision to buy		
price	Mean	-0.4986***	0.0479
thick_skin	Mean	-0.5552***	0.0940
	Std. dev.	-0.2980	0.3501
size_medium	Mean	0.7372***	0.1678
	Std. dev.	0.2086	0.5805
size_big	Mean	0.8005***	0.1271
	Std. dev.	0.9513***	0.1896
one_seed	Mean	-0.7155***	0.1829
	Std. dev.	0.2040	0.4231
two_seed	Mean	-0.7040***	0.2027
	Std. dev.	0.2523	0.5599
four_seed	Mean	-1.7596***	0.1875
	Std. dev.	1.1525***	0.1887
no_resveratrol	Mean	-0.4783***	0.1578
	Std. dev.	0.9122***	0.3174
low_resveratrol	Mean	-0.3423	0.2120
	Std. dev.	0.6392**	0.2680
high_resveratrol	Mean	-0.1894	0.1959
	Std. dev.	1.8551***	0.2272
pesticides_once	Mean	2.0674***	0.1918
	Std. dev.	1.064***	0.2002
pesticides_thrice	Mean	0.6910***	0.1210
_	Std. dev.	0.0159	0.4368
Likelihood ratio X ²		125.91	

- Table 6: Regression results without strong non-traders -

Variable	Parameter	Value	Std- Error
Dependend variable:	decision to buy		
price	Mean	-0.5152***	0.0503
thick_skin	Mean	-0.6233***	0.1000
	Std. dev.	-0.3244	0.3945
size_medium	Mean	0.7373***	0.1780
	Std. dev.	0.3680	0.4769
size_big	Mean	0.7618***	0.1316
	Std. dev.	0.9876***	0.2009
one_seed	Mean	-0.7693***	0.1950
	Std. dev.	-0.0078	0.2993
two_seed	Mean	-0.7374***	0.2140
	Std. dev.	0.0321	0.4127
four_seed	Mean	-1.8292***	0.1947
	Std. dev.	1.1444***	0.2010
no_resveratrol	Mean	-0.5114***	0.1633
	Std. dev.	0.7526**	0.3784
low_resveratrol	Mean	-0.3819*	0.2251
_	Std. dev.	0.5715*	0.3064
high_resveratrol	Mean	-0.2269	0.2094
	Std. dev.	1.9933***	0.2360
pesticides_once	Mean	2.2544***	0.2040
_	Std. dev.	1.750***	0.2123
pesticides_thrice	Mean	0.7503***	0.1284
· _	Std. dev.	-0.0003	0.4537
Likelihood ratio X ²		133.39	

- Table 7: Regression results without weak non-traders -

5. Concluding remarks

With the study presented in this paper we pursue two main goals, an empirical goal and a methodological one. The empirical goal of our study is to analyse consumers' preferences regarding the consumption of table. Based on a choice experiment we found that consumers prefer bigger or medium-sized grapes over smaller ones. They also appreciate seedless grapes with a thin skin and with a positive resveratrol content, which were treated with pesticides less frequently than a hypothetical standard grape.

The methodological objective of our study was to scrutinize the problem of non-trading in choice experiments. We tried to identify characteristic attributes of respondents who show a tendency of always choosing the same alternative in a series of choice cards they are confronted with, probably without considering thoroughly the implications of the different options they are offered in these choice cards. It is useful to identify such non-traders and to eliminate them from the sample of a choice experiment because their answers do not reflect their true preferences.

Since there is a certain probability that a respondent's true preferences lead her or him to always tick the same option in a series of choice cards, it is desirable to have additional indicators for non-trading behaviour. In our study we found that non-trading behaviour is more likely to occur among younger males with a low education level who show protest beliefs regarding the impact of surveys and who give contradictory answers also to questions outside the choice experiment. It also showed that they take less time to answer the questionnaire than the average respondent. These results help to distinguish non-traders from respondents whose true preferences lead them to always tick the same options in the choice cards. We ran the same regression model (for the identification of determinants of grape consumers' purchasing behaviour) over three different versions of our survey data set. The data set for the first regression analysis included all respondents of our survey, while for the second regression analysis the strong non-traders were removed from the survey sample, and for the third run of our regression model we eliminated the weak non-traders. A comparison of the likelihood ratio chi-square values of the three regression analyses showed that the significance of the regression results increased as the non-traders were eliminated from the survey sample. This underlines the usefulness of identifying non-traders in choice experiments.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge funding of the research project "The bitter taste of humaN health and physical well-being - the paradOxon of SEEDless table grapeS (NO SEEDS)" by the University of Hohenheim (2013-14).

7. References

Bateman, I., R. Carson, B. Day, M. Hanemann, N. Hanley, T. Hett, M. Jones-Lee, G. Loomes, S. Mourato, E. Özdemiroglu, D. Pearce OBE, R. Sudgen and J. Swanson (2002): Economic Valuation with Stated Preference Techniques, Cheltenham (UK).

Börger, T. (2012): Social Desirability and Environmental Valuation, Frankfurt.

Geiger, F., J. Bengtsson, F. Berendse, Wl. Weisser, M. Emmerson, M. Morales, P. Ceryngier, J. Liira, T. Tscharntke, C. Winqvist, S. Eggers, R. Bommarco, T. Pärt, V. Bretagnolle, M. Plantegenest, L. Clement, C. Dennis, C. Palmer, J. Oñate, I. Guerrero, V. Hawro, T. Aavik, C. Thies, A. Flohre, S. Hänke, C. Fischer. P. Goedhart and P. Inchausti (2010): *Persistent negative effects of pesticides on biodiversity and biological control potential on European farmland*, Basic and Applied Ecology, Vol. 11, pp. 97-105.

Hess, S., J. Rose and J. Polak (2010): *Non-trading, lexicographic and inconsistent behaviour in stated choice data*, Transportation Research Part D, Vol. 15, pp. 405-417.

Hole, A. (2007): *Fitting mixed logit models by using maximum simulated likelihood*, The Stata Journal, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 388-401.

Hoyos, D. (2010): *The state of the art of environmental valuation with discrete choice experiments*, Ecological Economics, Vol. 69, pp. 1595-1603.

Kosenius, A. (2013): *Preference discontinuity in choice experiment: Determinants and implications*, The Journal of Socio-Economics, Vol. 45, pp. 138-145.

Loomis, J. (2011): *What's to know about hypothetical bias in stated preference valuation studies?*, Journal of Economic Surveys, Vol. 25, No 2., pp. 363-370

Meyerhoff, J., M. Mørkbak and S. Olsen (2014): *A Meta-study Investigating the Sources of Protest Behaviour in Stated Preference Surveys*, Environmental and Resource Economics, Vol. 58, pp. 35-57.

Murphy, J., P. Allen, T. Stevens and D. Weatherhead (2005): *A Meta-Analysis of Hypothetical Bias in Stated Preference Valuation*, Environmental and Resource Economics, Vol. 30, pp. 313-325.

Ryan, M., Gerard, K. (2003), Using discrete choice experiments to value health care programmes: current practice and future research reflections, Applied Health Economics and Health Policy Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 55-64.

Scarpa, R., G. Philippidis, F. Spalatro (2005): Product-Country Images and Preference Heterogeneity for Mediterranean Food Products: A Discrete Choice Framework. Agribusiness, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 329-349.

Train, K. (2007): Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation, New York.

Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences

The Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences continues since 2015 the established "FZID Discussion Paper Series" of the "Centre for Research on Innovation and Services (FZID)" under the name "Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences".

Institutes

- 510 Institute of Financial Management
- 520 Institute of Economics
- 530 Institute of Health Care & Public Management
- 540 Institute of Communication Science
- 550 Institute of Law and Social Sciences
- 560 Institute of Economic and Business Education
- 570 Institute of Marketing & Management
- 580 Institute of Interorganisational Management & Performance

Download Hohenheim Discussion Papers in Business, Economics and Social Sciences from our homepage: https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/papers

Nr.	Autor	Titel	Inst.
01-2015	Thomas Beissinger, Philipp Baudy	THE IMPACT OF TEMPORARY AGENCY WORK ON TRADE UNION WAGE SETTING: A Theoretical Analysis	520
02-2015	Fabian Wahl	PARTICIPATIVE POLITICAL INSTITUTIONS AND CITY DEVELOPMENT 800-1800	520
03-2015	Tommaso Proietti, Martyna Marczak, Gianluigi Mazzi	EuroMIND-D: A DENSITY ESTIMATE OF MONTHLY GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT FOR THE EURO AREA	520
04-2015	Thomas Beissinger, Nathalie Chusseau, Joël Hellier	OFFSHORING AND LABOUR MARKET REFORMS: MODELLING THE GERMAN EXPERIENCE	520
05-2015	Matthias Mueller, Kristina Bogner, Tobias Buchmann, Muhamed Kudic	SIMULATING KNOWLEDGE DIFFUSION IN FOUR STRUCTURALLY DISTINCT NETWORKS – AN AGENT-BASED SIMULATION MODEL	520
06-2015	Martyna Marczak, Thomas Beissinger	BIDIRECTIONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INVESTOR SENTIMENT AND EXCESS RETURNS: NEW EVIDENCE FROM THE WAVELET PERSPECTIVE	520
07-2015	Peng Nie, Galit Nimrod, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	INTERNET USE AND SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING IN CHINA	530
08-2015	Fabian Wahl	THE LONG SHADOW OF HISTORY ROMAN LEGACY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – EVIDENCE FROM THE GERMAN LIMES	520
09-2015	Peng Nie, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	COMMUTE TIME AND SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING IN URBAN CHINA	530

Nr.	Autor	Titel	Inst.
10-2015	Kristina Bogner	THE EFFECT OF PROJECT FUNDING ON INNOVATIVE PERFORMANCE AN AGENT-BASED SIMULATION MODEL	520
11-2015	Bogang Jun, Tai-Yoo Kim	A NEO-SCHUMPETERIAN PERSPECTIVE ON THE ANALYTICAL MACROECONOMIC FRAMEWORK: THE EXPANDED REPRODUCTION SYSTEM	520
12-2015	Volker Grossmann Aderonke Osikominu Marius Osterfeld	ARE SOCIOCULTURAL FACTORS IMPORTANT FOR STUDYING A SCIENCE UNIVERSITY MAJOR?	520
13-2015	Martyna Marczak Tommaso Proietti Stefano Grassi	A DATA-CLEANING AUGMENTED KALMAN FILTER FOR ROBUST ESTIMATION OF STATE SPACE MODELS	520
14-2015	Carolina Castagnetti Luisa Rosti Marina Töpfer	THE REVERSAL OF THE GENDER PAY GAP AMONG PUBLIC-CONTEST SELECTED YOUNG EMPLOYEES	520
15-2015	Alexander Opitz	DEMOCRATIC PROSPECTS IN IMPERIAL RUSSIA: THE REVOLUTION OF 1905 AND THE POLITICAL STOCK MARKET	520
01-2016	Michael Ahlheim, Jan Neidhardt	NON-TRADING BEHAVIOUR IN CHOICE EXPERIMENTS	520

FZID Discussion Papers (published 2009-2014)

Competence Centers

IK	Innovation and Knowledge
ICT	Information Systems and Communication Systems
CRFM	Corporate Finance and Risk Management
HCM	Health Care Management
CM	Communication Management
MM	Marketing Management
ECO	Economics

Download FZID Discussion Papers from our homepage: https://wiso.uni-hohenheim.de/archiv_fzid_papers

Nr.	Autor	Titel	cc
01-2009	Julian P. Christ	NEW ECONOMIC GEOGRAPHY RELOADED: Localized Knowledge Spillovers and the Geography of Innovation	IK
02-2009	André P. Slowak	MARKET FIELD STRUCTURE & DYNAMICS IN INDUSTRIAL AUTOMATION	IK
03-2009	Pier Paolo Saviotti, Andreas Pyka	GENERALIZED BARRIERS TO ENTRY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	IK
04-2009	Uwe Focht, Andreas Richter and Jörg Schiller	INTERMEDIATION AND MATCHING IN INSURANCE MARKETS	HCM
05-2009	Julian P. Christ, André P. Slowak	WHY BLU-RAY VS. HD-DVD IS NOT VHS VS. BETAMAX: THE CO-EVOLUTION OF STANDARD-SETTING CONSORTIA	IK
06-2009	Gabriel Felbermayr, Mario Larch and Wolfgang Lechthaler	UNEMPLOYMENT IN AN INTERDEPENDENT WORLD	ECO
07-2009	Steffen Otterbach	MISMATCHES BETWEEN ACTUAL AND PREFERRED WORK TIME: Empirical Evidence of Hours Constraints in 21 Countries	НСМ
08-2009	Sven Wydra	PRODUCTION AND EMPLOYMENT IMPACTS OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES – ANALYSIS FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY	IK
09-2009	Ralf Richter, Jochen Streb	CATCHING-UP AND FALLING BEHIND KNOWLEDGE SPILLOVER FROM AMERICAN TO GERMAN MACHINE TOOL MAKERS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
10-2010	Rahel Aichele, Gabriel Felbermayr	KYOTO AND THE CARBON CONTENT OF TRADE	ECO
11-2010	David E. Bloom, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF LOW FERTILITY IN EUROPE	HCM
12-2010	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör	DRINKING AND PROTECTING – A MARKET APPROACH TO THE PRESERVATION OF CORK OAK LANDSCAPES	ECO
13-2010	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Antonia Heinke, Nguyen Minh Duc, and Pham Van Dinh	LABOUR AS A UTILITY MEASURE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES – HOW GOOD IS IT REALLY?	ECO
14-2010	Julian P. Christ	THE GEOGRAPHY AND CO-LOCATION OF EUROPEAN TECHNOLOGY-SPECIFIC CO-INVENTORSHIP NETWORKS	IK
15-2010	Harald Degner	WINDOWS OF TECHNOLOGICAL OPPORTUNITY DO TECHNOLOGICAL BOOMS INFLUENCE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FIRM SIZE AND INNOVATIVENESS?	IK
16-2010	Tobias A. Jopp	THE WELFARE STATE EVOLVES: GERMAN KNAPPSCHAFTEN, 1854-1923	HCM
17-2010	Stefan Kirn (Ed.)	PROCESS OF CHANGE IN ORGANISATIONS THROUGH eHEALTH	ICT
18-2010	Jörg Schiller	ÖKONOMISCHE ASPEKTE DER ENTLOHNUNG UND REGULIERUNG UNABHÄNGIGER VERSICHERUNGSVERMITTLER	HCM
19-2010	Frauke Lammers, Jörg Schiller	CONTRACT DESIGN AND INSURANCE FRAUD: AN EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION	HCM
20-2010	Martyna Marczak, Thomas Beissinger	REAL WAGES AND THE BUSINESS CYCLE IN GERMANY	ECO
21-2010	Harald Degner, Jochen Streb	FOREIGN PATENTING IN GERMANY, 1877-1932	IK
22-2010	Heiko Stüber, Thomas Beissinger	DOES DOWNWARD NOMINAL WAGE RIGIDITY DAMPEN WAGE INCREASES?	ECO
23-2010	Mark Spoerer, Jochen Streb	GUNS AND BUTTER – BUT NO MARGARINE: THE IMPACT OF NAZI ECONOMIC POLICIES ON GERMAN FOOD CONSUMPTION, 1933-38	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
24-2011	Dhammika Dharmapala, Nadine Riedel	EARNINGS SHOCKS AND TAX-MOTIVATED INCOME-SHIFTING: EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO
25-2011	Michael Schuele, Stefan Kirn	QUALITATIVES, RÄUMLICHES SCHLIEßEN ZUR KOLLISIONSERKENNUNG UND KOLLISIONSVERMEIDUNG AUTONOMER BDI-AGENTEN	ICT
26-2011	Marcus Müller, Guillaume Stern, Ansger Jacob and Stefan Kirn	VERHALTENSMODELLE FÜR SOFTWAREAGENTEN IM PUBLIC GOODS GAME	ICT
27-2011	Monnet Benoit, Patrick Gbakoua and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	ENGEL CURVES, SPATIAL VARIATION IN PRICES AND DEMAND FOR COMMODITIES IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE	ECO
28-2011	Nadine Riedel, Hannah Schildberg- Hörisch	ASYMMETRIC OBLIGATIONS	ECO
29-2011	Nicole Waidlein	CAUSES OF PERSISTENT PRODUCTIVITY DIFFERENCES IN THE WEST GERMAN STATES IN THE PERIOD FROM 1950 TO 1990	IK
30-2011	Dominik Hartmann, Atilio Arata	MEASURING SOCIAL CAPITAL AND INNOVATION IN POOR AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITIES. THE CASE OF CHÁPARRA - PERU	IK
31-2011	Peter Spahn	DIE WÄHRUNGSKRISENUNION DIE EURO-VERSCHULDUNG DER NATIONALSTAATEN ALS SCHWACHSTELLE DER EWU	ECO
32-2011	Fabian Wahl	DIE ENTWICKLUNG DES LEBENSSTANDARDS IM DRITTEN REICH – EINE GLÜCKSÖKONOMISCHE PERSPEKTIVE	ECO
33-2011	Giorgio Triulzi, Ramon Scholz and Andreas Pyka	R&D AND KNOWLEDGE DYNAMICS IN UNIVERSITY-INDUSTRY RELATIONSHIPS IN BIOTECH AND PHARMACEUTICALS: AN AGENT-BASED MODEL	IK
34-2011	Claus D. Müller- Hengstenberg, Stefan Kirn	ANWENDUNG DES ÖFFENTLICHEN VERGABERECHTS AUF MODERNE IT SOFTWAREENTWICKLUNGSVERFAHREN	ICT
35-2011	Andreas Pyka	AVOIDING EVOLUTIONARY INEFFICIENCIES IN INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
36-2011	David Bell, Steffen Otterbach and Alfonso Sousa-Poza	WORK HOURS CONSTRAINTS AND HEALTH	НСМ
37-2011	Lukas Scheffknecht, Felix Geiger	A BEHAVIORAL MACROECONOMIC MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS BOOM-BUST CYCLES AND LEVERAGE DYNAMICS	ECO
38-2011	Yin Krogmann, Ulrich Schwalbe	INTER-FIRM R&D NETWORKS IN THE GLOBAL PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY INDUSTRY DURING 1985–1998: A CONCEPTUAL AND EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
39-2011	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	RESPONDENT INCENTIVES IN CONTINGENT VALUATION: THE ROLE OF RECIPROCITY	ECO
40-2011	Tobias Börger	A DIRECT TEST OF SOCIALLY DESIRABLE RESPONDING IN CONTINGENT VALUATION INTERVIEWS	ECO
41-2011	Ralf Rukwid, Julian P. Christ	QUANTITATIVE CLUSTERIDENTIFIKATION AUF EBENE DER DEUTSCHEN STADT- UND LANDKREISE (1999-2008)	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
42-2012	Benjamin Schön, Andreas Pyka	A TAXONOMY OF INNOVATION NETWORKS	IK
43-2012	Dirk Foremny, Nadine Riedel	BUSINESS TAXES AND THE ELECTORAL CYCLE	ECO
44-2012	Gisela Di Meglio, Andreas Pyka and Luis Rubalcaba	VARIETIES OF SERVICE ECONOMIES IN EUROPE	IK
45-2012	Ralf Rukwid, Julian P. Christ	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: PRODUKTIONSCLUSTER IM BEREICH "METALL, ELEKTRO, IKT" UND REGIONALE VERFÜGBARKEIT AKADEMISCHER FACHKRÄFTE IN DEN MINT-FÄCHERN	IK
46-2012	Julian P. Christ, Ralf Rukwid	INNOVATIONSPOTENTIALE IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG: BRANCHENSPEZIFISCHE FORSCHUNGS- UND ENTWICKLUNGSAKTIVITÄT, REGIONALES PATENTAUFKOMMEN UND BESCHÄFTIGUNGSSTRUKTUR	IK
47-2012	Oliver Sauter	ASSESSING UNCERTAINTY IN EUROPE AND THE US - IS THERE A COMMON FACTOR?	ECO
48-2012	Dominik Hartmann	SEN MEETS SCHUMPETER. INTRODUCING STRUCTURAL AND DYNAMIC ELEMENTS INTO THE HUMAN CAPABILITY APPROACH	IK
49-2012	Harold Paredes- Frigolett, Andreas Pyka	DISTAL EMBEDDING AS A TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION NETWORK FORMATION STRATEGY	IK
50-2012	Martyna Marczak, Víctor Gómez	CYCLICALITY OF REAL WAGES IN THE USA AND GERMANY: NEW INSIGHTS FROM WAVELET ANALYSIS	ECO
51-2012	André P. Slowak	DIE DURCHSETZUNG VON SCHNITTSTELLEN IN DER STANDARDSETZUNG: FALLBEISPIEL LADESYSTEM ELEKTROMOBILITÄT	IK
52-2012	Fabian Wahl	WHY IT MATTERS WHAT PEOPLE THINK - BELIEFS, LEGAL ORIGINS AND THE DEEP ROOTS OF TRUST	ECO
53-2012	Dominik Hartmann, Micha Kaiser	STATISTISCHER ÜBERBLICK DER TÜRKISCHEN MIGRATION IN BADEN-WÜRTTEMBERG UND DEUTSCHLAND	IK
54-2012	Dominik Hartmann, Andreas Pyka, Seda Aydin, Lena Klauß, Fabian Stahl, Ali Santircioglu, Silvia Oberegelsbacher, Sheida Rashidi, Gaye Onan and Suna Erginkoç	IDENTIFIZIERUNG UND ANALYSE DEUTSCH-TÜRKISCHER INNOVATIONSNETZWERKE. ERSTE ERGEBNISSE DES TGIN- PROJEKTES	ΙK
55-2012	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	THE ECOLOGICAL PRICE OF GETTING RICH IN A GREEN DESERT: A CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDY IN RURAL SOUTHWEST CHINA	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
56-2012	Matthias Strifler Thomas Beissinger	FAIRNESS CONSIDERATIONS IN LABOR UNION WAGE SETTING – A THEORETICAL ANALYSIS	ECO
57-2012	Peter Spahn	INTEGRATION DURCH WÄHRUNGSUNION? DER FALL DER EURO-ZONE	ECO
58-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann	TAKING FIRMS TO THE STOCK MARKET: IPOS AND THE IMPORTANCE OF LARGE BANKS IN IMPERIAL GERMANY 1896-1913	ECO
59-2012	Sibylle H. Lehmann, Philipp Hauber and Alexander Opitz	POLITICAL RIGHTS, TAXATION, AND FIRM VALUATION – EVIDENCE FROM SAXONY AROUND 1900	ECO
60-2012	Martyna Marczak, Víctor Gómez	SPECTRAN, A SET OF MATLAB PROGRAMS FOR SPECTRAL ANALYSIS	ECO
61-2012	Theresa Lohse, Nadine Riedel	THE IMPACT OF TRANSFER PRICING REGULATIONS ON PROFIT SHIFTING WITHIN EUROPEAN MULTINATIONALS	ECO

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
62-2013	Heiko Stüber	REAL WAGE CYCLICALITY OF NEWLY HIRED WORKERS	ECO
63-2013	David E. Bloom, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	AGEING AND PRODUCTIVITY	НСМ
64-2013	Martyna Marczak, Víctor Gómez	MONTHLY US BUSINESS CYCLE INDICATORS: A NEW MULTIVARIATE APPROACH BASED ON A BAND-PASS FILTER	ECO
65-2013	Dominik Hartmann, Andreas Pyka	INNOVATION, ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT	IK
66-2013	Christof Ernst, Katharina Richter and Nadine Riedel	CORPORATE TAXATION AND THE QUALITY OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	ECO
67-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Jiang Tong, Luo Jing and Sonna Pelz	NONUSE VALUES OF CLIMATE POLICY - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN XINJIANG AND BEIJING	ECO
68-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Friedrich Schneider	CONSIDERING HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN CONTINGENT VALUATION STUDIES	ECO
69-2013	Fabio Bertoni, Tereza Tykvová	WHICH FORM OF VENTURE CAPITAL IS MOST SUPPORTIVE OF INNOVATION? EVIDENCE FROM EUROPEAN BIOTECHNOLOGY COMPANIES	CFRM
70-2013	Tobias Buchmann, Andreas Pyka	THE EVOLUTION OF INNOVATION NETWORKS: THE CASE OF A GERMAN AUTOMOTIVE NETWORK	IK
71-2013	B. Vermeulen, A. Pyka, J. A. La Poutré and A. G. de Kok	CAPABILITY-BASED GOVERNANCE PATTERNS OVER THE PRODUCT LIFE-CYCLE	IK
72-2013	Beatriz Fabiola López Ulloa, Valerie Møller and Alfonso Sousa- Poza	HOW DOES SUBJECTIVE WELL-BEING EVOLVE WITH AGE? A LITERATURE REVIEW	НСМ
73-2013	Wencke Gwozdz, Alfonso Sousa-Poza, Lucia A. Reisch, Wolfgang Ahrens, Stefaan De Henauw, Gabriele Eiben, Juan M. Fernández-Alvira, Charalampos Hadjigeorgiou, Eva Kovács, Fabio Lauria, Toomas Veidebaum, Garrath Williams, Karin Bammann	MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY – A EUROPEAN PERSPECTIVE	HCM

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
74-2013	Andreas Haas, Annette Hofmann	RISIKEN AUS CLOUD-COMPUTING-SERVICES: FRAGEN DES RISIKOMANAGEMENTS UND ASPEKTE DER VERSICHERBARKEIT	HCM
75-2013	Yin Krogmann, Nadine Riedel and Ulrich Schwalbe	INTER-FIRM R&D NETWORKS IN PHARMACEUTICAL BIOTECHNOLOGY: WHAT DETERMINES FIRM'S CENTRALITY-BASED PARTNERING CAPABILITY?	ECO, IK
76-2013	Peter Spahn	MACROECONOMIC STABILISATION AND BANK LENDING: A SIMPLE WORKHORSE MODEL	ECO
77-2013	Sheida Rashidi, Andreas Pyka	MIGRATION AND INNOVATION – A SURVEY	IK
78-2013	Benjamin Schön, Andreas Pyka	THE SUCCESS FACTORS OF TECHNOLOGY-SOURCING THROUGH MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS – AN INTUITIVE META- ANALYSIS	IK
79-2013	Irene Prostolupow, Andreas Pyka and Barbara Heller-Schuh	TURKISH-GERMAN INNOVATION NETWORKS IN THE EUROPEAN RESEARCH LANDSCAPE	IK
80-2013	Eva Schlenker, Kai D. Schmid	CAPITAL INCOME SHARES AND INCOME INEQUALITY IN THE EUROPEAN UNION	ECO
81-2013	Michael Ahlheim, Tobias Börger and Oliver Frör	THE INFLUENCE OF ETHNICITY AND CULTURE ON THE VALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS – RESULTS FROM A CVM STUDY IN SOUTHWEST CHINA –	ECO
82-2013	Fabian Wahl	DOES MEDIEVAL TRADE STILL MATTER? HISTORICAL TRADE CENTERS, AGGLOMERATION AND CONTEMPORARY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	ECO
83-2013	Peter Spahn	SUBPRIME AND EURO CRISIS: SHOULD WE BLAME THE ECONOMISTS?	ECO
84-2013	Daniel Guffarth, Michael J. Barber	THE EUROPEAN AEROSPACE R&D COLLABORATION NETWORK	IK
85-2013	Athanasios Saitis	KARTELLBEKÄMPFUNG UND INTERNE KARTELLSTRUKTUREN: EIN NETZWERKTHEORETISCHER ANSATZ	IK

Nr.	Autor	Titel	CC
86-2014	Stefan Kirn, Claus D. Müller-Hengstenberg	INTELLIGENTE (SOFTWARE-)AGENTEN: EINE NEUE HERAUSFORDERUNG FÜR DIE GESELLSCHAFT UND UNSER RECHTSSYSTEM?	ICT
87-2014	Peng Nie, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	MATERNAL EMPLOYMENT AND CHILDHOOD OBESITY IN CHINA: EVIDENCE FROM THE CHINA HEALTH AND NUTRITION SURVEY	НСМ
88-2014	Steffen Otterbach, Alfonso Sousa-Poza	JOB INSECURITY, EMPLOYABILITY, AND HEALTH: AN ANALYSIS FOR GERMANY ACROSS GENERATIONS	НСМ
89-2014	Carsten Burhop, Sibylle H. Lehmann- Hasemeyer	THE GEOGRAPHY OF STOCK EXCHANGES IN IMPERIAL GERMANY	ECO
90-2014	Martyna Marczak, Tommaso Proietti	OUTLIER DETECTION IN STRUCTURAL TIME SERIES MODELS: THE INDICATOR SATURATION APPROACH	ECO
91-2014	Sophie Urmetzer, Andreas Pyka	VARIETIES OF KNOWLEDGE-BASED BIOECONOMIES	IK
92-2014	Bogang Jun, Joongho Lee	THE TRADEOFF BETWEEN FERTILITY AND EDUCATION: EVIDENCE FROM THE KOREAN DEVELOPMENT PATH	IK
93-2014	Bogang Jun, Tai-Yoo Kim	NON-FINANCIAL HURDLES FOR HUMAN CAPITAL ACCUMULATION: LANDOWNERSHIP IN KOREA UNDER JAPANESE RULE	IK
94-2014	Michael Ahlheim, Oliver Frör, Gerhard Langenberger and Sonna Pelz	CHINESE URBANITES AND THE PRESERVATION OF RARE SPECIES IN REMOTE PARTS OF THE COUNTRY – THE EXAMPLE OF EAGLEWOOD	ECO
95-2014	Harold Paredes- Frigolett, Andreas Pyka, Javier Pereira and Luiz Flávio Autran Monteiro Gomes	RANKING THE PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL INNOVATION SYSTEMS IN THE IBERIAN PENINSULA AND LATIN AMERICA FROM A NEO-SCHUMPETERIAN ECONOMICS PERSPECTIVE	IK
96-2014	Daniel Guffarth, Michael J. Barber	NETWORK EVOLUTION, SUCCESS, AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE EUROPEAN AEROSPACE INDUSTRY	IK

University of Hohenheim Dean's Office of the Faculty of Business, Economics and Social Sciences Palace Hohenheim 1 B 70593 Stuttgart | Germany Fon +49 (0)711 459 22488 Fax +49 (0)711 459 22785 E-mail wiso@uni-hohenheim.de Web www.wiso.uni-hohenheim.de