

## University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

---

State Humanities Committees (1979-1982)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and  
Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996)

---

1979

# State Humanities Committees (1979-1982): Correspondence 18

Ribert G. Walrond

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_II\\_68](http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_68)


---

### Recommended Citation

Walrond, Ribert G., "State Humanities Committees (1979-1982): Correspondence 18" (1979). *State Humanities Committees (1979-1982)*. Paper 8.  
[http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_II\\_68/8](http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_68/8)[http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell\\_neh\\_II\\_68/8](http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_II_68/8)

This Correspondence is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files II (1962-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in State Humanities Committees (1979-1982) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu).

JUL 25 1979



the Missouri  
Committee  
for the  
Humanities, Inc.

6920 Millbrook Boulevard  
Saint Louis, Missouri 63130  
314-889-5940

July 23, 1979

Betsy K. Mc Creight  
Vice Chair  
Federation Executive Committee  
Federation of Public Programs in the  
Humanities  
15 South 5th Street, Suite 720  
Minneapolis, Minn. 55402

Dear Betsy,

The Missouri Committee for the Humanities is in favor of the present funding formula based on population. We are opposed to any change which would give NEH authority to judge the quality of state programs. This would in our opinion be very disruptive of the relationship between NEH and state humanities committees. Further it would work to lessen the freedom granted in the 1976 legislation. This would be a step backwards. We are also opposed to any formula which provides increases to states based upon the state obtaining funds from the state legislature or from any other source. Practically these states able to raise additional cash from non-federal sources should need less federal tax dollars not more. We feel that this may also be a back door approach to turning the committees into state agencies.

On procedure, we would suggest that the Federation Executive Committee poll the membership by mail ballot before announcing a Federation position to Congress.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Bob Walrond".

Robert G. Walrond  
Executive Director

RGW:nl

*The above views express the opinion of MCH and are made with concurrence of the MCH Chairman, Robert K. Smith*