THE UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications

Natural Resources Science

2015

Episodic Disturbance from Boat Anchoring Is a Major Contributor to, but Does Not Alter the Trajectory of, Long-Term Coral Reef Decline

Graham E. Forrester University of Rhode Island, gforrester@uri.edu

Rebecca L. Flynn University of Rhode Island

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/nrs_facpubs

Terms of Use All rights reserved under copyright.

Citation/Publisher Attribution

Forrester GE, Flynn RL, Forrester LM, Jarecki LL (2015) Episodic Disturbance from Boat Anchoring Is a Major Contributor to, but Does Not Alter the Trajectory of, Long-Term Coral Reef Decline. PLoS ONE 10(12): e0144498. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144498

Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144498

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Natural Resources Science at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Natural Resources Science Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

Authors

Graham E. Forrester, Rebecca L. Flynn, Linda M. Forrester, and Lianna L. Jarecki

CrossMark click for updates

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Forrester GE, Flynn RL, Forrester LM, Jarecki LL (2015) Episodic Disturbance from Boat Anchoring Is a Major Contributor to, but Does Not Alter the Trajectory of, Long-Term Coral Reef Decline. PLoS ONE 10(12): e0144498. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0144498

Editor: Sebastian C. A. Ferse, Leibniz Center for Tropical Marine Ecology, GERMANY

Received: July 21, 2015

Accepted: November 19, 2015

Published: December 30, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Forrester et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the Dryad digital repository (<u>http://datadryad.org/</u>) (doi:10.5061/dryad.11bn8).

Funding: This work was supported by the Falconwood Foundation, The Nature Conservancy's Global Marine Initiative (<u>http://www.nature.org/</u> <u>ourinitiatives/habitats/oceanscoasts</u>/), US National Science Foundation (<u>http://www.nsf.gov/</u>) grant # HSD 0527304. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Episodic Disturbance from Boat Anchoring Is a Major Contributor to, but Does Not Alter the Trajectory of, Long-Term Coral Reef Decline

Graham E. Forrester¹*, Rebecca L. Flynn¹, Linda M. Forrester², Lianna L. Jarecki¹

1 Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, 1 Greenhouse Road, Kingston, Rhode Island, 02881, United States of America, **2** Department of Biological Sciences, University of Rhode Island, 120 Flagg Road, Kingston, Rhode Island, 02881, United States of America

* gforrester@uri.edu

Abstract

Isolating the relative effects of episodic disturbances and chronic stressors on long-term community change is challenging. We assessed the impact of an episodic disturbance associated with human visitation (boat anchoring) relative to other drivers of long-term change on coral reefs. A one-time anchoring event at Crab Cove, British Virgin Islands, in 2004 caused rapid losses of coral and reef structural complexity that were equal to the cumulative decline over 23 years observed at an adjacent site. The abundance of small site-attached reef fishes dropped by approximately one quarter after the anchoring event, but this drop was not immediate and only fully apparent two years after the anchoring event. There was no obvious recovery from the impact, and no evidence that this episodic impact accelerated or retarded subsequent declines from other causes. This apparent lack of synergism between the effect of this episodic human impact and other chronic stressors is consistent with the few other long-term studies of episodic impacts, and suggests that action to mitigate anchor damage should yield predictable benefits.

Introduction

Many ecological communities have exhibited progressive shifts in composition over the past half century. Declines in terrestrial, marine, and freshwater ecosystems are well documented, but isolating the relative influence of the various factors that cause these declines remains a challenging problem [1, 2]. One major difficulty is separating the potential causes of decline from their effects [3, 4]. Coral reef habitats have the highest biodiversity of any marine habitat, and perform key ecosystem services for many coastal communities [5], but corals are declining globally [6, 7], and reefs are losing three-dimensional complexity [8]. Both diminishing coral cover and reef complexity negatively impact reef fishes, many of which utilize the reef structure as refuge [9, 10]. As with declines in most habitats, reef degradation appears to be caused by the integrative effects of natural disturbances (e.g. hurricanes) and anthropogenic stressors



Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

[11–13]. Anthropogenic stressors are mix of local and global factors [14], including overfishing, coastal pollution and development, tourism, climate change and introduced species [12, 15–19]. Disentangling the combined effect of multiple stressors is challenging. In the simplest case they may act independently so that their combined effects are additive. Alternatively, they may interact either synergistically, whereby their combined effect is greater than the sum of their isolated effects, or antagonistically, in which case their combined effect is less than the sum of the individual effects [20–22]. In this study, we isolate the cause of an episodic human impact and quantify its long-term impact on a coral reef community relative to the combined effect of all other stressors [23, 24].

Impacts from overfishing [25, 26], and more recently from climate change [27], have often been viewed as the major drivers of reef decline. More recently, an alternate hypothesis proposes that a suite of local drivers collectively associated with coastal development, pollution, and tourism have been understudied and perhaps underestimated [19]. Some support for this hypothesis is provided by spatial surveys that show negative correlations between human population density and reef community composition across Pacific Islands [28] and Caribbean sites [18]. Although their impact is not easily separated from the overall human footprint on coral reefs, recreational visitors often form a major fraction of the total human population around coral reefs and visitor density is also negatively correlated with coral abundance across the Caribbean [19]. We focused on one consequence of increased visitation by tourists, anchor damage from recreational boats. As a consequence of a rise in tourism, recreational boat traffic is increasing rapidly in many areas [29, 30]. Boat anchoring has long been acknowledged as a source of damage to coral reefs [31], but, compared to other human impacts, its effects have received virtually no formal study [32].

In this study, our objectives were (i) to test the effect of a single anchoring event on a coral reef community and (ii) assess its contribution to long-term change at the site. This opportunity arose unexpectedly when a large (50 m) vessel anchored overnight on a reef in the British Virgin Islands (BVI) in 2004 and damaged part of it. Because the reef has been surveyed annually from 1992 to the present, we could isolate the impact of the anchoring event by testing for a divergence between the impacted and unimpacted parts of the site that coincided with the event (using a Before-After-Control-Impact [BACI] design [33]). Of greatest conceptual interest was whether the impact from the anchoring event altered the subsequent trajectory of change at the site, by either magnifying or diminishing the subsequent effects of other stressors (Fig 1, see also [24]). We focused our analysis on three important aspects of the coral reef community state: (1) the abundance of scleractinian corals—the key foundation species [34] in this ecosystem, (2) reef structural complexity–an index of the quality of habitat for many fishes and invertebrates [8], and (3) the density of fishes–important consumers on reefs and the main source of reef-derived food for people.

Methods

Study Area

Tourism is the second largest contributor to the BVI economy (27% of gross domestic product in 2013), and the territory hosted over 355,000 overnight visitors in 2013 [35]. Most tourism income in the BVI is generated by the yacht chartering industry [36]. Currently, there are 1100–1500 charter yachts (12–16 m length), plus a growing number of "mega-yachts" (>45 m in length), operating in the territory's 150 km² of coastal waters (Janet Oliver, BVI Charter Yacht Society, personal communication). In an effort to reduce the need for anchoring, > 200 yacht moorings have been installed throughout the BVI (Nancy Pascoe, National Parks Trust of the Virgin Islands, personal communication).



Fig 1. Three potential trajectories of community change after an episodic disturbance (Impacted site), against a backdrop of gradual decline due in response to chronic stressors (Control site) [24]. An antagonistic effect of the episodic impact is indicated by recovery before eventual resumption of the preimpact decline (ant), an additive effect is indicated by an almost immediate continuation of decline at the preimpact rate (add), and synergism is revealed by resumption of community decline but at an accelerated rate (syn).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.g001

Long-term coral reef monitoring

Our analysis isolated the impact of a single severe anchoring event at Crab Cove, near Guana Island, BVI (Fig 2), an approximately 1 ha site that has been monitored annually from 1992 to the present [37]. All reef monitoring was done on SCUBA. Each year, four to eight 30 m transects were placed at haphazard locations within the site. Fish were counted using 30 x 1.5 m belt transects, using a T-shaped bar to delineate the transect width. We counted all small-to-medium sized diurnal species that were relatively site-attached, excluding some cryptic benthic gobies and blennies that are hard to census visually. Ninety one fish species were counted, but the 17 most common species made up 60% of the total number of fish counted (S1 Table). To estimate the cover (%) of scleractinian corals, we used the linear point-intercept method, in which a diver swam along the transect and identified the material under the transect at 0.25 m intervals (n = 120 points per transect) [38]. To estimate reef structural complexity, we used a variant of the consecutive height difference method, which has been shown to perform effectively in field comparisons with other methods [39]. The transect tape was stretched tight across the reef surface, and we measured the distance in cm perpendicular from the tape to the reef surface every meter for the first 10 m (n = 10 height measurements per transect). Structural complexity was calculated as the square root of the sum of the squared differences between successive height measurements [39].

Ethics statement for field studies

This research was conducted with the approval of the BVI Department of Conservation and Fisheries, and fish counts were approved by the URI Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol AN13-04-016).



Fig 2. A map of the Crab Cove study site near Guana Island, British Virgin Islands. The image of Crab Cove shows the portion of the site damaged by the one-off anchoring event in 2004, and the three zones within the site that are used to account for the offshore gradient in reef structure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.g002

Documenting a single anchoring event by a 50 m vessel

On 7 July 2004, a 50 m vessel, the Holo-Kai, anchored overnight on part of the site (S1 Fig). On the following day, the reef was assessed and mapped by divers. It appeared that heavy chains from the three anchors deployed had damaged roughly 1.5 ha of reef, including about half of the monitoring site (Fig 2). This survey of the area revealed symptoms of apparent recent anchor damage: newly overturned, broken, and scarred coral colonies, plus recently bent and broken soft corals (S2 Fig). To assess the extent of damage symptoms, and check that the symptoms reflected anchor damage, rather than other unknown impacts, we placed 30 m transects at haphazardly selected locations inside (n = 6) and outside (n = 6) the damaged area. Divers used the linear point-intercept method to estimate the cover (%) of the aforementioned damage symptoms. Surveys were conducted at Crab Cove in late July and October 2004. In October, we surveyed an additional five reef sites around the perimeter of Guana Island (n = 3 transects per site) as a broader check of the site-specificity of damage symptoms.

Analysis of the anchoring impact

The timing and location of the anchoring event created the unexpected opportunity to assess its effect as a BACI design, although this approach is more commonly used to analyze planned environmental impacts [33]. We mapped transect locations each year, so comparing the maps of transect location to the map of the damaged area allowed us to retrospectively classify all transects as lying outside (control) or inside (impact) the anchor-damaged area. There was also a visually obvious spatial gradient of increasing coral cover with increasing distance from shore throughout the study (S2 Table), so we divided the site into three zones (Fig 2) and used the maps of transect placement to retrospectively classify each transect by zone. Because transect placement was made independent of anchor-damage and zone, the number of transects per zone in the control and impact areas varied each year and was zero in some years.

Various statistical models have been used to analyze BACI designs, and we used two of these models to ensure that the results were not influenced by the specifics of any given model (analyses were performed using SPSS v. 22, IBM Corporation, and SAS v. 9.3, SAS Systems Inc.). The first method was one of the simplest BACI approaches [33]. For each date when transects were sampled in the control and impact parts of the site, and both transects were also in the same zone, we calculated the difference between control and impact measurements. The before sample of differences was then compared to the after sample using a *t*-test [33]. The second method used to isolate the effect of the anchoring event was a linear mixed model, which accounts for more sources of variation in the data. Zones within the site (1, 2 or 3) were treated as spatial replicates (i.e. subjects), to account for the spatial gradient in coral cover. For this analysis, a replicate is thus the mean of the transects within a given zone in a given year (the number of transects from which each mean was derived is shown in S3 Table). A fixed categorical factor accounted for anchor damage (whether transects were inside or outside the anchordamaged part of the site). There were two repeated factors: (i) year of sampling and (ii) before vs. after the anchoring event (i.e. years were classified into two groups-those before and those after the anchor damage). We checked data for normality, and examined different temporal covariance structures and the most appropriate was the first order autoregressive covariance structure (AR1), which has homogeneous variances and correlations that decline across years exponentially. Our main interest was the interaction between the "before vs. after" and "control vs. impact" effects, which tests the impact of the 2004 anchoring event [33].

We used both models to estimate the magnitude of the anchoring impact (Tables 1 and 2). From the linear mixed model, the marginal mean within the damaged area before the anchoring event (B_{in}) minus the mean after (A_{in}) is a crude but simple estimate of the loss from the



Table 1. Three measures of community state (coral cover, reef structural complexity, and fish density) before and after the 2004 anchoring event, in the damaged and undamaged part of the site. Shown are marginal means (\pm SE) from the linear mixed model, which are adjusted for other variables in the model. Calculation of the anchoring effect is explained in the text.

a) Absolute o	oral cover (%)							
		Before		After		Change (After —Before)	Anchoring effect	
	Damaged	24.1	(±3.8)	12.0	(±4.0)	-12.1	11 5	
	Undamaged	21.5	(±4.1)	20.9	(±4.0)	-0.6	-11.5	
b) Index of reef structural complexity (cm)								
		Before		After		Change (After —Before)	Anchoring effect	
	Damaged	37.8	(±6.3)	26.6	(±6.2)	-11.3	0.7	
	Undamaged	37.1	(±6.4)	34.5	(±6.3)	-2.6	-0.7	
c) Fish density (# per 45m ²)								
		Before		After		Change (After —Before)	Anchoring effect	
	Damaged	60.4	(±6.0)	51.2	(±5.9)	-9.2	-18.0	
	Undamaged	64.8	(±6.1)	73.6	(±6.3)	+8.9		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.t001

anchoring event (Table 1). With the gradual decline (year effect) accounted for, the difference between the before (B_{out}) and after (A_{out}) means in the control area measures the background level of change. To estimate the anchoring effect we subtracted the change in the control area $(B_{out}-A_{out})$ from that in the damaged area $(B_{in}-A_{in})$ (Table 1). From the *t*-test, we estimated the size of the anchoring effect by subtracting the mean of control-impact differences before the anchoring event from the mean difference after the event (Table 2).

Results

Damage symptoms

Just after the anchoring event in late July 2004, symptoms of recent coral damage (S3 Fig) were ten times more common in the affected part of Crab Cove than in the unaffected part (S3 Fig). This difference was still apparent in October, at which time symptoms were also far more common in the impacted part of Crab Cove than in five other nearby sites (S4 Fig). The fact that damage symptoms were effectively restricted to the area where anchoring occurred strongly suggests that the anchoring event caused the coral damage.

Coral cover

Within the area damaged by the Holo-Kai, there was an abrupt loss of coral that occurred directly after the anchoring event, which did not occur outside of the area affected by the Holo-Kai (Fig 3). In both parts of the site, there was also a gradual decline in coral cover from

Table 2. Control-impact differences before and after the anchoring event. The raw data for this comparison were simultaneous measurements in the anchor damaged part of the site (impact) and the undamaged area (control). Displayed are means (±SE) of the difference between the paired measurements. Calculation of the anchoring effect is explained in the text.

Control-impact difference	Be	Before		After		
Absolute coral cover (%)	4.3	(±1.4)	-7.3	(±1.2)	-11.6	
Reef structural complexity (cm)	0.4	(±1.6)	-6.8	(±1.6)	-7.2	
Fish density (# per 45m ²)	-3.2	(±5.3)	-17.4	(±5.7)	-14.2	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.t002



Fig 3. Mean absolute coral cover (%) over time at Crab Cove, showing changes inside and outside the area damaged by the 2004 anchoring event. The dotted vertical line indicates the timing of the 2004 anchoring event.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.g003

1992-present (Fig 3). A significant impact of the anchoring event was supported by the results of both the BACI analysis and the linear mixed model. Support from the linear mixed model was provided by a significant interaction between the "control versus impact" and "before versus after" effects ($F_{1,64} = 63.4$, p = 0.012). The *t*-test supported an anchoring impact because the control-impact differences were greater after the anchoring event than before ($t_{14} = 5.98$, p = 0.0004).

Both statistical models also produce similar estimates of the magnitude of the anchoring impact—a drop in absolute coral cover of 11–12% (Tables <u>1</u> and <u>2</u>). To put the anchoring impact in context, from 1992-present absolute coral cover declined from approximately 33% to 8% in the anchor-damaged area (Fig <u>3</u>), which suggests that almost half of the overall long-term decline was attributable to the one-time anchoring event in 2004.

Topographic relief

The qualitative pattern of change in reef structural complexity was very similar to that described for coral cover. In the area damaged by the Holo-Kai, there was a rapid drop in structural complexity that was not observed outside of the anchor-damaged area (Fig 4). A significant impact of the anchoring event was supported by the results of both the *t*-test ($t_9 = 3.85$, p = 0.006) and the linear mixed model (interaction between "inside versus outside" and "before



Fig 4. Mean reef structural complexity over time at Crab Cove, showing changes inside and outside the area damaged by the 2004 anchoring event. The dotted vertical line indicates the timing of the 2004 anchoring event.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.g004

versus after" effects; $F_{1,33} = 10.8$, p = 0.002). Throughout the site, there also appeared to be a gradual decline in structural complexity from 1992-present (Fig 4).

The linear mixed model and *t*-test yielded similar estimates of the magnitude of the anchoring impact; a drop in the mean structural complexity index of 7.2 and 8.6 cm respectively (Tables <u>1</u> and <u>2</u>). Within the damaged part of the site, mean structural complexity dropped by 16.6 cm over the course of the study (1992 mean = 40.2 cm and 2014 mean = 23.6 cm; Fig <u>4</u>). Comparing these estimates suggests that close to half (\approx 7.2–8.6 cm) of the long-term decline in topographic relief (\approx 16.6 cm) was attributable to the anchoring event in 2004.

Fish density

Fish densities also appear to be reduced by the anchoring event, but the effect was less pronounced than the effect on corals and topographic relief (Fig 5). Both the *t*-test ($t_{13} = 3.27$, p = 0.006) and the linear mixed model (interaction between "inside versus outside" and "before versus after" effects; $F_{1,58} = 5.03$, p = 0.03) suggested a significant impact of the anchoring event. Inspection of the temporal trends suggests that, unlike the steady long-term decline in coral cover and topographic relief, fish densities appeared to fluctuate around a relatively

PLOS



Fig 5. Mean adult fish density over time at Crab Cove, showing changes inside and outside the area damaged by the 2004 anchoring event. The dotted vertical line indicates the timing of the 2004 anchoring event.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144498.g005

constant long-term average (Fig 5). Nonetheless, from 2006 onward, densities were generally lower in the anchor-damaged part of the site than the unimpacted area (Fig 5). The lack of a distinct break-point immediately after the anchoring event reduces the accuracy of the beforeafter comparison as an estimate of the anchoring impact. With this caveat in mind, using the same calculations described for coral and topographic relief suggests that fish density dropped by a quarter after the anchoring event (down by \approx 14–18 fish per 45 m² from the pre-anchoring mean of 63 fish per 45 m²).

Discussion

This study provided a rare opportunity to make a clear causal connection between an episodic human impact, subsequent damage symptoms and the long-term community impact. Pin-pointing the contribution of different factors to widespread reef declines is challenging [1, 2], in part because it is difficult to track and quantify the potential causes of decline independent from their effects [4]. By isolating the impact of the Holo Kai anchoring event, we established that the amount of coral mortality inflicted in one night by a large vessel approximately equaled the cumulative loss over 23 years caused by all other factors combined. The drop in absolute

PLOS ONE

coral cover attributed to the Holo-Kai in one night (12%) was also substantial relative to the total change in coral cover over 23 years at seven other BVI sites, where the mean absolute cover declined from 30% in 1992 to 18% in 2013 [37]. Moreover, the Holo-Kai impact was also substantial relative to the most recent Caribbean-wide estimate of long-term coral decline, a reduction in absolute cover of 19% in 40 years [19].

Our results also indicate a strong direct effect of the anchoring event on reef structure, and an indirect effect on fish populations. The proportional impacts on reef structural complexity and fish density attributable to the anchoring event are of substantial magnitude relative to long-term region-wide declines in these variables [8, 40, 41]. The relative timing of the impacts, the rapid coincident loss of coral and structural complexity followed two years later by a reduction in fish density is also consistent with the relative timing of region-wide declines of these variables [40, 41]. The causal connection between them–corals create the structural complexity that provides shelter for fish–is well-established by experimental habitat manipulations [42-46] and cross-site comparisons [47-50].

Our most significant finding was that there was no apparent recovery from the anchoring impacts, and no evidence that the impacts accelerated or retarded subsequent declines from other causes. Factorial experiments provide the simplest and most reliable tests for interactive effects of environmental stressors [20] and recent reviews of experimental studies conclude that additive, antagonistic and synergistic effects are relatively equal in likelihood [21, 22, 51-53]. Virtually all studies reviewed, however, address short-term behavioral, physiological or demographic responses. To inform conservation actions, it is critical to determine whether similar generalizations apply to long-term community change. Our long-term analysis revealed no evidence of synergism or antagonism, which would be indicated in the BACI context by an obvious post-anchoring change in trajectory that occurred in the impact area but not the control area, assuming that other unidentified stressors affected the control and impact area equally (Fig 1 and see also [24]). Using a different approach to ours, the long-term impact on coral cover of another episodic human impact (bleaching attributed to climate change) was also shown to be additive or weakly antagonistic when assessed against that of a chronic stressor (fishing impacts inferred from protection in reserves) [54]. We know of no other controlled assessments of long-term anchoring impacts on coral communities, but the immediate symptoms (scarred, broken and overturned corals) are superficially similar to those produced by hurricanes [23, 24]. A meta-analysis of post-1980 hurricane impacts in the Caribbean revealed a pattern of additive impacts, in which coral cover declined by almost one fifth on average in the year after a storm, but thereafter simply continued to steadily decline at the prestorm rate [24]. This pattern of impacts is remarkably similar to the anchoring impact we detected, and suggests the general hypothesis that episodic disturbances tend to have additive long-term effects.

The apparent lack of synergism between the long-term effect of this episodic human impact and other chronic stressors is important for conservation as human activity shifts the natural disturbance regime in ecosystems, adds new disturbances, and transforms previously irregular events into more common and persistent stresses [55]. A companion study, based on a synoptic survey of 25 reefs in 2014, showed that anchor damage is now spatially widespread in the BVI and that coral cover, structural complexity, and fish density are reduced by half at regularly anchored sites [56]. Actions to manage local stressors like boat anchoring are thus important in their own right, but they are also increasingly motivated by the goal of compensating for the effects of global stressors such as climate change [14, 57, 58]. This approach assumes that stressors interact additively or synergistically, so that their combined effect is equal to, or greater than, the sum of their isolated effects [54]. Additive effects can be quantified and prioritized for action relatively simply [59], and our results suggest that action to mitigate anchor damage should yield predictable benefits. Synergisms and antagonisms are, in contrast, more likely to yield ecological "surprises" [60-62]. Dealing with antagonistic interactions is problematic conceptually, but local stressors that have synergistic interactions are potential priorities for management because they magnify the influence of other stressors, and so minimizing their effects may have the greatest net benefit in the long-term [60]. A priority for future research is understanding the ecological mechanisms that dictate whether and how the effects of stressors interact to create differing trajectories of long-term change [4].

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. The Holo Kai at anchor in Crab Cove on 07 July 2004. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Recently overturned and scarred corals considered symptoms of damage from the Holo Kai's anchors and anchor chain. Photos taken in late July 2004. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Percent cover of recent coral-damage symptoms at Crab Cove a few days after the anchoring event (July) and 3 months later (October). (TIF)

S4 Fig. Percent cover of recent coral-damage symptoms 3 months after the anchoring event. Damage symptoms in Crab Cove are shown alongside symptoms at 5 other sites around Guana Island.

(TIF)

S1 Table. A list of the 17 most common fish species surveyed. Sixty percent of fish counted belonged to these 17 species. (PDF)

S2 Table. Time-averaged mean coral cover in the three zones within the Crab Cove site. (PDF)

S3 Table. Sample sizes for the linear mixed model. The number of transects sampled within each zone in each year. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

Sincerest thanks to Patricia Baily, Rachel Finley, Elizabeth Kintzing, and Chau Tran for help with fieldwork. Thanks to the Guana Island Staff, Dive BVI, and UBS Divers for logistical support.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: GF. Performed the experiments: GF LF LJ. Analyzed the data: GF RF. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GF LJ. Wrote the paper: GF.

References

- 1. Vitousek PM, Mooney HA, Lubchenco J, Melillo JM. Human domination of Earth's ecosystems. Science. 1997; 277(5325):494-9. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5325.494 PMID: WOS:A1997XM86700030.
- Wackernagel M, Schulz NB, Deumling D, Linares AC, Jenkins M, Kapos V, et al. Tracking the ecologi-2. cal overshoot of the human economy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2002; 99 (14):9266–71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.142033699

- Odum EP. Trends expected in stressed ecosystems. Bioscience. 1985; 35(7):419–22. doi: <u>10.2307/</u> <u>1310021</u> PMID: <u>WOS:A1985AME6800014</u>.
- Hughes TP, Graham NAJ, Jackson JBC, Mumby PJ, Steneck RS. Rising to the challenge of sustaining coral reef resilience. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2010; 25(11):633–42. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> tree.2010.07.011.
- Burke L, Reytar K, Spalding M, Perry A. Reefs at risk revisited. Washington D.C., USA: World Resources Institute; 2011. 114 p.
- Gardner TA, Cote IM, Gill JA, Grant A, Watkinson AR. Long-term region-wide declines in Caribbean corals. Science. 2003; 301(5635):958–60. PMID: <u>12869698</u>
- Schutte VGW, Selig ER, Bruno JF. Regional spatio-temporal trends in Caribbean coral reef benthic communities. Marine Ecology-Progress Series. 2010; 402:115–22. doi: <u>10.3354/meps08438</u> PMID: WOS:000276021700009.
- Alvarez-Filip L, Dulvy NK, Gill JA, Cote IM, Watkinson AR. Flattening of Caribbean coral reefs: regionwide declines in architectural complexity. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences. 2009; 276(1669):3019–25. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2009.0339 PMID: WOS:000267881500020.
- Lewis AR. Effects of experimental coral disturbance on the population dynamics of fishes on large patch reefs. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 1998; 230(1):91–110. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(98)00087-2</u>.
- Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Pratchett MS, Polunin NVC, Spalding MD. Coral mortality versus structural collapse as drivers of corallivorous butterflyfish decline. Biodiversity and Conservation. 2009; 18 (12):3325–36. doi: 10.1007/s10531-009-9633-3 PMID: WOS:000270868700018.
- 11. Wilkinson CR. Status of coral reefs of the world: 2000. Cape Ferguson, Australia: Australian Institue of Marine Science; 2000. 363 p.
- Wilkinson CR. Status of Coral Reefs of the World: 2008. Townsville, Australia: Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network and Reef and Rainforest Research Center; 2008. 296 p.
- Halpern BS, Selkoe KA, Micheli F, Kappel CV. Evaluating and Ranking the Vulnerability of Global Marine Ecosystems to Anthropogenic Threats. Conservation Biology. 2007; 21(5):1301–15. doi: <u>10.</u> 1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00752.x PMID: 17883495
- Brown CJ, Saunders MI, Possingham HP, Richardson AJ. Managing for Interactions between Local and Global Stressors of Ecosystems. Plos One. 2013; 8(6). doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0065765</u> PMID: WOS:000320322400051.
- Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR, Card M, Connolly SR, Folke C, et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs. Science. 2003; 301(5635):929–33. PMID: <u>12920289</u>
- Wilkinson CR, Souter D. Status of Caribbean coral reefs after bleaching and hurricanes in 2005. Townsville, Qld: Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network, and Reef and Rainforest Research Centre; 2008. 1–152 p.
- Pandolfi JM, Jackson JBC, Baron N, Bradbury RH, Guzman HM, Hughes TP, et al. Ecology—Are US coral reefs on the slippery slope to slime? Science. 2005; 307(5716):1725–6. doi: <u>10.1126/science.</u> 1104258 PMID: WOS:000227883900028.
- Mora C. A clear human footprint in the coral reefs of the Caribbean. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences. 2008; 275(1636):767–73. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.2007.1472</u> PMID: ISI:000253166300003.
- Jackson J, Donovan M, Cramer K, Lam V. Status and trends of Caribbean coral reefs: 1970–2012. Report. Washington, D.C.: 2014.
- Folt CL, Chen CY, Moore MV, Burnaford J. Synergism and antagonism among multiple stressors. Limnology and Oceanography. 1999; 44(3):864–77. PMID: <u>WOS:000080326600011</u>.
- Crain CM, Kroeker K, Halpern BS. Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. Ecology Letters. 2008; 11(12):1304–15. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01253.x</u> PMID: WOS:000260729600005.
- Piggott JJ, Townsend CR, Matthaei CD. Reconceptualizing synergism and antagonism among multiple stressors. Ecology and Evolution. 2015; 5(7):1538–47. doi: <u>10.1002/ece3.1465</u> PMID: WOS:000352560000016.
- 23. Hughes TP, Connell JH. Multiple stressors on coral reefs: A long-term perspective. Limnology and Oceanography. 1999; 44(3):932–40.
- Gardner TA, Cote IM, Gill JA, Grant A, Watkinson AR. Hurricanes and Caribbean coral reefs: Impacts, recovery patterns, and role in long-term decline. Ecology. 2005; 86(1):174–84. doi: <u>10.1890/04-0141</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000226791700018</u>.

- Jackson JBC, Kirby MX, Berger WH, Bjorndal KA, Botsford LW, Bourque BJ, et al. Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. Science. 2001; 293(5530):629–38. doi: <u>10.1126/ science.1059199</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000170204600040</u>.
- Hawkins JP, Roberts CM. Effects of Artisanal Fishing on Caribbean Coral Reefs. Conservation Biology. 2004; 18(1):215–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00328.x
- Pandolfi JM, Connolly SR, Marshall DJ, Cohen AL. Projecting Coral Reef Futures Under Global Warming and Ocean Acidification. Science. 2011; 333(6041):418–22. doi: <u>10.1126/science.1204794</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000292959600035</u>.
- Sandin SA, Smith JE, Demartini EE, Dinsdale EA, Donner SD, Friedlander AM, et al. Baselines and degradation of coral reefs in the Northern Line Islands. PLoS One. 2008; 3(2):e1548. doi: <u>10.1371/</u> journal.pone.0001548 PMID: <u>18301734</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2244711.
- Burgin S, Hardiman N. The direct physical, chemical and biotic impacts on Australian coastal waters due to recreational boating. Biodiversity and Conservation. 2011; 20(4):683–701. doi: <u>10.1007/s10531-011-0003-6</u> PMID: WOS:000288556000001.
- Davenport J, Davenport JL. The impact of tourism and personal leisure transport on coastal environments: A review. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 2006; 67(1–2):280–92. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.11.026</u>.
- Goenaga C. The state of coral reefs in the wider Caribbean. Interciencia. 1991; 16(1):12–20. PMID: WOS:A1991ET07600003.
- Johnstone RW, Muhando CA, Francis J. The status of the coral reefs of zanzibar: One example of a regional predicament. Ambio. 1998; 27(8):700–7. PMID: WOS:000078071500017.
- Stewart-Oaten A, Bence JR. Temporal and spatial variation in environmental impact assessment. Ecological Monographs. 2001; 71(2):305–39. doi: <u>10.1890/0012-9615(2001)071[0305:tasvie]2.0.co;2</u> PMID: WOS:000168695600006.
- Dayton PK, editor Toward an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of enrichments to the benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. Colloquium on Conservation Problems in Antarctica; 1972. Lawrence, Kansas: Allen Press; 1972.
- Council WTaT. Travel and Tourism Economic Impact 2014: British Virgin Islands. London, United Kingdom: 2014.
- Everitt J. Chasing Twenty-first Century smokestacks: tourism research in the British Virgin Islands. Prairie Perspectives: Geographical Essays. 2007; 10:89–112.
- Forrester G, Baily P, Conetta D, Forrester L, Kintzing E, Jarecki L. Comparing monitoring data collected by volunteers and professionals shows that citizen scientists can detect long-term change on coral reefs. Journal for Nature Conservation. 2015; 24(0):1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jnc.2015.01.002
- Ohlhorst SL, Liddell WD, Taylor RJ, Taylor JM. Evaluation of reef census techniques. In: Choat JH, Barnes D, Borowitzka MA, Coll JC, Davies PJ, Flood P, et al., editors. Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium. 2. Townsville, Australia1988. p. 319–24.
- McCormick MI. Comparison of field methods for measuring surface topography and their associations with a tropical reef fish assemblage. Marine Ecology-Progress Series. 1994; 112(1–2):87–96. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>3354/meps112087 PMID: WOS:A1994PG73100008</u>.
- Paddack MJ, Reynolds JD, Aguilar C, Appeldoorn RS, Beets J, Burkett EW, et al. Recent Region-wide Declines in Caribbean Reef Fish Abundance. Curr Biol. 2009; 19(7):590–5. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.</u> 041 PMID: <u>ISI:000265266900029</u>.
- Alvarez-Filip L, Cote IM, Gill JA, Watkinson AR, Dulvy NK. Region-wide temporal and spatial variation in Caribbean reef architecture: is coral cover the whole story? Global Change Biology. 2011; 17 (7):2470–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02385.x PMID: WOS:000291221000016.
- Syms C, Jones GP. Disturbance, habitat structure, and the dynamics of a coral-reef fish community. Ecology. 2000; 81(10):2714–29. doi: <u>10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[2714:dhsatd]2.0.co;2</u> PMID: WOS:000089970400006.
- Bonin MC, Almany GR, Jones GP. Contrasting effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on coral-associated reef fishes. Ecology. 2011; 92(7):1503–12. doi: <u>10.1890/10-0627.1</u> PMID: WOS:000292814300014.
- Coker DJ, Graham NAJ, Pratchett MS. Interactive effects of live coral and structural complexity on the recruitment of reef fishes. Coral reefs. 2012; 31(4):919–27. doi: <u>10.1007/s00338-012-0920-1</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000310999300001</u>.
- 45. McCormick MI. Lethal effects of habitat degradation on fishes through changing competitive advantage. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2012; 279(1744):3899–904. doi: <u>10.1098/</u> <u>rspb.2012.0854</u> PMID: <u>22810432</u>

- 46. Boström-Einarsson L, Bonin MC, Munday PL, Jones GP. Strong intraspecific competition and habitat selectivity influence abundance of a coral-dwelling damselfish. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 2013; 448(0):85–92. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.06.017</u>.
- Luckhurst BE, Luckhurst K. Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. Marine Biology. 1978; 49(4):317–23. doi: <u>10.1007/bf00455026</u> PMID: <u>WOS:A1978GB27300004</u>.
- Ault TR, Johnson CR. Spatially and temporally predictable fish communities on coral reefs. Ecological Monographs. 1998; 68(1):25–50.
- Holbrook SJ, Forrester GE, Schmitt RJ. Spatial patterns in abundance of a damselfish reflect availability of suitable habitat. Oecologia. 2000; 122(1):109–20.
- Caley MJ, St John J. Refuge availability structures assemblages of tropical reef fishes. Journal of Animal Ecology. 1996; 65(4):414–28.
- Darling ES, Côté IM. Quantifying the evidence for ecological synergies. Ecology Letters. 2008; 11 (12):1278–86. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01243.x</u> PMID: <u>18785986</u>
- Ban SS, Graham NAJ, Connolly SR. Evidence for multiple stressor interactions and effects on coral reefs. Global Change Biology. 2014; 20(3):681–97. PMID: WOS:000331203500001.
- Holmstrup M, Bindesbøl A-M, Oostingh GJ, Duschl A, Scheil V, Köhler H- R, et al. Interactions between effects of environmental chemicals and natural stressors: A review. Science of The Total Environment. 2010; 408(18):3746–62. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.10.067</u>. doi: <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.</u> 2009.10.067 PMID: 19922980
- Darling ES, McClanahan TR, Côté IM. Combined effects of two stressors on Kenyan coral reefs are additive or antagonistic, not synergistic. Conservation Letters. 2010; 3(2):122–30. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-263X.2009.00089.x</u>
- Nyström M, Folke C, Moberg F. Coral reef disturbance and resilience in a human-dominated environment. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2000; 15(10):413–7. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)</u> 01948-0.
- 56. Flynn RL. Boat Anchoring Contributes to Coral Reef Degradation in the British Virgin Islands. Kingston, Rhode Island, USA: University of Rhode Island; 2015.
- Folke C, Carpenter S, Walker B, Scheffer M, Elmqvist T, Gunderson L, et al. Regime shifts, resilience, and biodiversity in ecosystem management. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics. 2004; 35:557–81. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.35.021103.105711</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000226244100020</u>.
- Didham RK, Tylianakis JM, Gemmell NJ, Rand TA, Ewers RM. Interactive effects of habitat modification and species invasion on native species decline. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2007; 22(9):489– 96. doi: <u>10.1016/j.tree.2007.07.001</u> PMID: <u>WOS:000249249100010</u>.
- Halpern BS, McLeod KL, Rosenberg AA, Crowder LB. Managing for cumulative impacts in ecosystembased management through ocean zoning. Ocean & Coastal Management. 2008; 51(3):203–11. doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2007.08.002 PMID: WOS:000254938200001.
- Paine RT, Tegner MJ, Johnson EA. Compounded Perturbations Yield Ecological Surprises. Ecosystems. 1998; 1(6):535–45. doi: 10.1007/s100219900049
- Doak DF, Estes JA, Halpern BS, Jacob U, Lindberg DR, Lovvorn J, et al. Understanding and predicting ecological dynamics: are major surprises inevitable? Ecology. 2008; 89(4):952–61. doi: <u>10.1890/07-0965.1</u> PMID: 18481520
- Lindenmayer DB, Likens GE, Krebs CJ, Hobbs RJ. Improved probability of detection of ecological "surprises". Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010; 107(51):21957–62. doi: <u>10.1073/pnas.1015696107</u>