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#### Abstract

In this paper we give a characterization of the optimal cost of a stopping time problem as the maximum solution of a variational inequality without coercivity. Some properties of continuity for the optimal cost are also given.


Introduction. Summary of main results. This article develops the proofs of results obtained in Note [12].
A. Bensoussan and J. L. Lions [3] have introduced the variational inequality approach in order to solve the optimal stopping time problem in the case of nondegenerate diffusions. A. Friedman [8] treated the same case, M. Robin [18] the optimal stopping time problem for Feller processes, and J. M. Bismut [4] the same problem for a class of more general processes. C. Bardos [1] studied partial differential equations of first order, M. I. Freidlin [7] degenerate elliptic equations, and N. V. Krylov [9] nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations.

In [14] and [17] the variational inequality associated with the deterministic optimal stopping time problem is considered, and in [11] the degenerate nonlinear variational equalities are also studied.

In this paper, the case of degenerate variational inequality associated with the optimal stopping time problem for diffusion processes is developed combining analytic and probabilistic methods.

Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ be a probability space and $\left\{\mathscr{F}^{t}\right\}_{t \geqq 0}$ be a nondecreasing rightcontinuous family of completed sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\mathscr{F}$.

Now let $y(t)=y_{x}(t, \omega), t \geqq 0, \omega \in \Omega$ be the diffusion on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with Lipschitz continuous coefficients $g(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$, starting at $x$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and that $\tau=\tau_{x}(\omega)$ is the first exit time of process $y(t)$ from $\bar{O}$.

Next, let $f(\cdot), \psi(\cdot)$ be real bounded measurable functions on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, and $\theta$ be any stopping time. The cost functional $J_{x}(\theta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x}(\theta)=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\theta \wedge \tau} f(y(t)) e^{-\alpha t} d t+1_{\theta<\tau} \psi(y(\theta)) e^{-\alpha \theta}\right\} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive constant.
Our purpose is to characterize the optimal cost

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(x)=\inf \left\{J_{x}(\theta) / \theta \text { stopping time }\right\}, \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to obtain an optimal stopping time.
We denote by $\boldsymbol{A}_{0}$ the second order differential operator associated with the Ito equation ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma \sigma^{*} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x}\right)-g \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{A}_{0}+\alpha$.

[^1]We define $\Gamma_{0}$ as the set of regular points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{x \in \partial \mathscr{O} / P\left(\tau_{x}>0\right)=0\right\} \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we give the following integral formulation of the operator $A$, inspired by D . W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19], for any real bounded measurable function on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}, u$ and $v$.
$A u \leqq v$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ if the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau} v(y(s)) e^{-\alpha s} d s+u(y(t \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(t \wedge \tau)} \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a strong submartingale for each $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.
Finally, we introduce the problem: To find a real bounded measurable function on $\bar{O}, u(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{0} \\
u \leqq \psi & \text { in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}  \tag{0.6}\\
A u \leqq f & \text { in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

We obtain the following characterization.
Theorem 0.1. Assume that $g, \sigma$ are Lipschitz continuous and that $f, \psi$ are Borel measurable and bounded. Suppose also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \geqq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0}, \quad \psi \text { upper semicontinuous. } \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the problem (0.6) has a maximum solution $\hat{u}$ given explicitly by (0.2). Moreover, if $\psi$ is continuous, the stopping time $\hat{\theta}=\hat{\theta}_{x}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}=\inf \{t \in[0, \tau] / \hat{u}(y(t))=\psi(y(t))\} \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is optimal.
We have also the following regularity result.
Theorem 0.2. Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 0.1. Suppose that
$\Gamma_{0}$ is a closed set.
Then if the functions fand $\psi$ are upper semicontinuous (or continuous) the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ is also upper semicontinuous (or continuous).

Now in order to use the variational inequality approach, we assume that the open set $\mathcal{O}$ is bounded, with smooth boundary $\Gamma$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\{x \in \Gamma /|\sigma(x) n(x)|>0\} \cup\left\{x \in \Gamma / 2 g(x) n(x)<-\operatorname{tr}\left[\sigma \sigma^{*}(x)\right]\right\} \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(x)$ denotes the inner normal. We remark that ( 0.10 ) implies $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$ (cf. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19]).

Denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the duality between $H^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\mathcal{O})$, and by $A$ the differential operator (0.3).

Let us consider the following degenerate variational inequality associated with the stopping time problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in H_{0}^{1}(u), \quad u \leqq \psi \\
(A u, v-u) \geqq(f, v-u) \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\mathcal{O}), \quad v \geqq \psi . \tag{0.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^2]We have
Theorem 0.3. Let the assumptions be as in Theorem 0.1. Suppose that $f, \psi$ are Lipschitz continuous, and that conditions (0.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied. ${ }^{3}$ Then, there exists one and only one solution $u$ of the variational inequality (0.11) which is given as the optimal cost (0.2). Moreover, the solution $u$ is Lipschitz continuous and verifies (0.12).

Remark 0.1 . A weak formulation of the variational inequality ( 0.11 ) is also considered, and the case of an unbounded domain $\mathscr{O}$ is studied.

This work is divided into four sections. The first section gives some useful lemmas. In § 2 we study the penalized problem, and in § 3 we solve the initial problem. Finally, in the last section, we treat the variational inequality.

1. Preliminary lemmas. Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$ be a probability space, $\left\{\mathscr{F}^{t}\right\}_{t \geqq 0}$ be a nondecreasing right continuous family of completed sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\mathscr{F}$, and $w(t)$ be a Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with respect to $\mathscr{F}^{t}$.

Suppose we are given two Lipschitz continuous functions $g(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{N}$ respectively, $g=\left(g_{i}\right), \sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}, \frac{\partial \sigma_{i j}}{\partial x_{k}} \in B\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad i, j, k=1, \cdots, N . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the diffusion $y(t)=y_{x}(t, \omega), t \geqq 0, \omega \in \Omega$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ described by the Ito equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& d y(t)=g(y(t)) d t+\sigma(y(t)) d w(t), \quad t \geqq 0  \tag{1.2}\\
& y(0)=x
\end{align*}
$$

We have
Lemma 1.1. Suppose (1.1), and let $\theta$ be any stopping time with respect to $\mathscr{F}^{t}$. Then there exists a constant $\gamma$ depending only on the Lipschitz constants of $g$ and $\sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|y_{x}(\theta)-y_{x^{\prime}}(\theta)\right|^{2} e^{-\gamma \theta}\right\} \leqq\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2} \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma=\sup \{\operatorname{tr} & {\left[\frac{\left(\sigma(x)-\sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\sigma(x)-\sigma\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right] }  \tag{1.4}\\
& \left.+\frac{2\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\left(g(x)-g\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}} / x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Then Ito's formula applied to the function $|x|^{2} e^{-\gamma t}$ and the process $y_{x}(t)-y_{x^{\prime}}(t)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y_{x}(t)-y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right|^{2} e^{-\gamma t} \leqq\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} & \left(y_{x}(s)-y_{x^{\prime}}(s)\right) \\
\cdot & {\left[\sigma\left(y_{x}(s)\right)-\sigma\left(y_{x^{\prime}}(s)\right)\right] e^{-\gamma s} d w(s) . } \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (1.3) follows.

[^3]Remark 1.1. Using the martingale inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\sup _{t \geqq 0}\left|\int_{0}^{t} \phi(s) d w(s)\right|\right\} \leqq 3 E\left\{\sqrt{\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{2}(s) d s}\right\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the same technique as in Lemma 1.1, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\sup _{t \geqq 0}\left|y_{x}(t)-y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right|^{k} e^{-\gamma t}\right\} \leqq C\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{k} \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $\gamma$ and $C$ depend only on $k>0$ and on the Lipschitz constants of $g(x)$ and $\sigma(x)$.

Now let $\tau=\tau_{x}(\omega)$ and $\tau_{x}^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime}(\omega)$ be the first exit time of the process $y(t)$ from the closed set $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and the open set $\mathscr{O}$ respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\inf \{t \geqq 0 / y(t) \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}}\}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a similar definition for $\tau^{\prime}$ with $\mathcal{O}$ instead of $\overline{\mathscr{O}}$.
We have
Lemma 1.2. Suppose (1.1). Then, for any constant $T>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{z \rightarrow x} E\left\{\left(T \wedge \tau_{x}^{\prime}-T \wedge \tau_{z}^{\prime}\right)^{+}\right\}=0  \tag{1.9}\\
& \lim _{z \rightarrow x} E\left\{\left(T \wedge \tau_{z}-T \wedge \tau_{x}\right)^{+}\right\}=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $z_{n}$ be a sequence, $z_{n} \rightarrow x$, and let us consider the diffusions $y_{n}(t), y(t)$ starting respectively at $z_{n}, x$. Using Lemma 1.1 , we can assume that

$$
\limsup _{0 \leqq t \leq T}\left|y_{n}(t)-y(t)\right|=0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

In order to obtain (1.9), we will prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\lim } \tau_{n}^{\prime} \geqq \tau^{\prime} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume $\omega \in \Omega$ fixed. Then, if $\tau^{\prime}=0,(1.11)$ is clearly verified, and so we can suppose $0<\delta<\tau^{\prime}$ and define the set $K_{\omega}=\{y(t) / t \in[0, \delta]\}$ which is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$. Hence for $n$ large enough, $n \geqq N_{\omega}$,

$$
\left\{y_{n}(t) / t \in[0, \delta]\right\} \subset \mathcal{O} .
$$

Thus $\tau_{n}^{\prime} \geqq \delta$ and taking the limit,

$$
\underline{\lim } \tau_{n}^{\prime} \geqq \delta ;
$$

since $\delta$ is arbitrary, we deduce (1.11).
Now we are going to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\lim } \tau_{n} \leqq \tau \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (1.10) holds.
We assume $\omega \in \Omega$ fixed. Then, if $\tau=\infty$, (1.12) is clearly verified, so we can assume $\delta>\tau$. Hence, there exists $s<\delta$ such that $y(s) \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Thus for $n$ large enough, $y_{n}(s) \notin \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, so $\tau_{n} \leqq s<\delta$, and taking the limit

$$
\underline{\lim } \tau_{n} \leqq \delta ;
$$

since $\delta$ is arbitrary, we deduce (1.12).

[^4]Remark 1.2. From E. B. Dynkin [6, Theorem 10.2, p. 302] it follows that either the process $y_{x}(t)$ stopped at the exit of $\mathcal{O}$, or $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a strong Markov process. Also observe that $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are stopping times with respect to the family $\mathscr{F}^{t}$.
D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19] proved Lemma 1.2 in a different way.

Remark 1.3. We recall the following martingale property: Let $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ be measurable adapted and bounded processes, such that

$$
M_{t}=a(t)+\int_{0}^{t} b(s) d s \quad \text { is a martingale }
$$

Then, for any arbitrary measurable adapted and bounded process $c(t)$, the process

$$
a(t) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} c(s) d s\right)+\int_{0}^{t}(b(s)+c(s) a(s)) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} c(r) d r\right) d s
$$

is the martingale

$$
M_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s} c(r) d r\right) d M_{s}
$$

Now, we define the set $\Gamma_{0}$ of regular points (cf. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19]), $\Gamma=\partial \mathscr{O}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{x \in \Gamma / P\left(\tau_{x}>0\right)=0\right\} . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
Lemma 1.3. Assume (1.1) and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{0} \text { is a closed set. } \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any constant $T>0$, and $x \in \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow x} E\left\{\left|T \wedge \tau_{z}-T \wedge \tau_{x}\right|\right\}=0, \quad z \in \bar{O} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\tau}=\tilde{\tau}_{x}(\omega)$ be the first exit time from $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ of the process $y(t)$. From the strong Markov property of the process $y(t)$ stopped at the exit of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, we easily deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\tau \neq \tilde{\tau})=0 \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later on, we will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow x} E\left\{\left(T \wedge \tilde{\tau}_{x}-T \wedge \tilde{\tau}_{z}\right)^{+}\right\}=0, \quad z \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we assume $\omega \in \Omega$ fixed and the notations of Lemma 1.2 with $\tilde{\tau}$ instead of $\tau^{\prime}$. Then, without loss of generality, we suppose $0<\delta<\tilde{\tau}$, and we define the set $K_{\omega}=$ $\{y(t) / t \in[0, \delta]\}$, which is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}$ such that $K_{\omega} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\varnothing$. Because of (1.14), for $n$ sufficiently large, $n \geqq N_{\omega}$, we have

$$
\left\{y_{n}(t) / t \in[0, \delta]\right\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0} .
$$

Thus $\tilde{\tau}_{n} \geqq \delta$, and taking the limit we obtain

$$
\underline{\lim } \tilde{\tau}_{n} \geqq \tilde{\tau} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

So, (1.17) follows.
Finally, by combining (1.16), (1.17) and (1.10) the lemma is proved.

Remark 1.4. In D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19] it is proved that, assuming (1.14), we have $\tau_{x}=\tau_{x}^{\prime}$ a.s. for each $x \in \mathcal{O} \cup \Gamma_{0}$. Then we deduce Lemma 1.3 for the particular case $x \in \mathscr{O} \cup \Gamma_{0}$. Notice that Lemma 1.3 implies that the process $y(t \wedge \tau)$ is Feller continuous on the whole domain $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$.

Let us consider the differential operator $A$ given by ( 0.3 ) where $\alpha$ is a constant large enough, $2 \alpha \geqq \gamma$, defined in Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.4. Suppose (1.1). Let $f(x), \psi(x)$, and $\bar{u}(x)$ be continuous real function on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u} \in C(\bar{O}), \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{O}), \quad i=1, \cdots, N, \\
& \bar{u} \leqq \psi \text { in } \mathcal{O}, \quad \bar{u}(x)=\mathcal{O} \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0},  \tag{1.18}\\
& A \bar{u} \leqq-|f| \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathbb{O}) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then for any nonnegative, bounded and adapted process $\delta(t)=\delta(t, \omega)$, the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left\{\int_{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}^{\tau_{x}}\left(\left|f\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right|-\delta(t) \psi\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}(\alpha-\delta(s)) d s\right) d t\right\}  \tag{1.19}\\
& \quad \leqq\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x}\right\|\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\|\partial \bar{u} / \partial x\|$ denotes the smallest Lipschitz continuous constant of the function $\bar{u}$.
Proof. First suppose $\bar{u} \in C^{2}(\mathcal{O})$. Ito's formula applied to the function $\bar{u}(x)$ and the process $y_{x}(t)$ gives

$$
E\left\{\bar{u}\left(y_{x}\left(\tau_{x}\right)\right) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\tau_{x}}(\alpha+\delta(t)) d t\right)-\bar{u}\left(y_{x}\left(\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\cdot \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}(\alpha+\delta(t)) d t\right)\right\}  \tag{1.20}\\
=-E\left\{\int_{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}^{\tau_{x}}\left[(A \bar{u})\left(y_{x}(t)\right)+\delta(t) \bar{u}\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right] \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\tau}(\alpha+\delta(s)) d s\right) d t\right\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Using

$$
\bar{u}\left(y_{x}\left(\tau_{x}\right)\right)=0=\bar{u}\left(y_{x^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right) \quad \text { a.s. in }\left[\tau_{x^{\prime}} \leqq \tau_{x}<\infty\right],
$$

from (1.20) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left\{\int_{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}^{\tau_{x}}\left(|f(y(t))|-\delta(t) \psi\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}(\alpha+\delta(s)) d s\right) d t\right\} \\
& \leqq E\left\{\left|\bar{u}\left(y_{x}\left(\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right)-\bar{u}\left(y_{x^{\prime}}\left(\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right| e^{-\alpha\left(\tau_{x^{\wedge}} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right.}\right\} . \tag{1.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, choosing $\theta=\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}$ in Lemma 1.1, we deduce from (1.21) the estimate (1.19).
Finally, if $\bar{u} \notin C^{2}(\mathcal{O})$, by approximating $\bar{u}$ by regular functions the lemma is proved.

Remark 1.5. Clearly, Lemma 1.4 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left|e^{-\alpha \tau_{x}}-e^{-\alpha \tau_{x^{\prime}}}\right|\right\} \leqq 2 \alpha\left\|\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}\right\|\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|, \quad x, x^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $2 \alpha \geqq \gamma$ and $u_{0}$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\overline{0}$, vanishing on $\Gamma_{0}$, such that $A u_{0} \leqq-1$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathcal{O})$.

Remark 1.6. For instance, suppose $\mathcal{O}$ is a bounded domain given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{O}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} / \phi(x)<0\right\}, & \phi \in C^{2}, \\
\Gamma=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} / \phi(x)=0\right\}, \quad|\nabla \phi(x)| \geqq 1 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma, \tag{1.23}
\end{array}
$$

and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} \phi \leqq-1 \quad \text { on } \Gamma . \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any continuous functions $f$ and $\psi$ on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \psi \in C^{2}(\bar{O}), \psi \geqq 0$ on $\Gamma$; we can take $\bar{u}=\lambda \phi$, which verifies (1.18) for $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ large enough. Clearly, applying Ito's formula to the function $\bar{u}$ and process $y(t)$ between $\tau^{\prime}$ and $\tau$, we deduce $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$.

Now, some sufficient conditions for the existence of a Lipschitz continuous subsolution are given using barrier functions as in [11].

Lemma 1.5. Assume (1.1). Suppose also that $\mathbb{O}$ is bounded, has the uniform exterior sphere property ${ }^{7}$

There exist $\rho>0$ such that for each point $\xi \in \Gamma$ there is a ball $B=B\left(\xi^{*}, \rho\right)$ of radius $\rho$ and center $\xi^{*}$ verifying $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap B=\{\xi\}$,
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma=\{x \in \Gamma /|\sigma(x) n(x)|>0\} \cup\left\{x \in \Gamma / 2 g(x) n(x)<-\operatorname{tr}\left[\sigma \sigma^{*}(x)\right]\right\},  \tag{1.26}\\
& n(x) \text { is the inner normal of modulus } \rho .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\Gamma_{0}=\Gamma$, and there exists a Lipschitz continuous subsolution $u_{0}(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0} \in C(\bar{O}), \quad \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}(\bar{O}), \quad i=1, \cdots, N, \\
& A u_{0} \leqq-1 \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathscr{O}), \quad u_{0}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma . \tag{1.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is necessary to prove only (1.27).
Introducing the barrier functions $k>0, \xi \in \Gamma, x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$,

$$
v(x, \xi)=\exp \left(-k\left|x-\xi^{*}\right|^{2}\right)-\exp \left(-k \rho^{2}\right)
$$

we have from (1.26) $A_{0} v(x, \xi) \leqq-2 \beta<0$, if $x=\xi$ and $k$ is sufficiently large independent of $\xi$. Hence, by continuity, we have for some $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} v(x, \xi) \leqq-\beta<0 \quad \forall x \in U_{\xi}=\{x \in \mathcal{O} /|x-\xi|<\delta\} ; \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

now using the fact that $v(x, \xi) \leqq-\gamma<0 \forall x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash U_{\xi}$, er deduce, for $\alpha$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A v(x, \xi) \leqq-\beta<0 \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, remarking that $v(x, \xi)$ are equi-Lipschitz continuous in $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{\beta} \sup \{v(x, \xi) / \xi \in \Gamma\} . \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $A u_{0} \leqq-1$ in the martingale sense ( 0.5 ) and in the distribution sense.
Remark 1.7. Suppose $u_{0}$ given as in Lemma 1.5. Then for any $f, \psi \in C(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \geqq 0 \text { on } \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad A \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathscr{O}), \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking $\bar{u}=\lambda u_{0}$, where $\lambda \geqq\|f\|+\|A \psi\|,{ }^{8}$ we deduce (1.18).

[^5]Remark 1.8. Clearly, using other barrier functions, different sufficient conditions for the existence of a Lipschitz continuous subsolutions may be obtained.
2. Penalized problem. Before studying the stopping time problem we will start with an intermediate stochastic control problem.

We call an admissible control $\nu$ a scalar measurable adapted process such that $0 \leqq \nu(t ; \omega) \leqq 1, t \geqq 0$.

Let $f(x), \psi(x)$ be functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f, \psi \in B(\bar{O}), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\alpha$ be a positive constant. We define the functional $J_{x}^{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu)=E\left\{\left[\int_{0}^{\tau} f(y(t))+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(t) \psi(y(t))\right] \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(s)\right) d s\right) d t\right\}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we wish to characterize the optimal penalized cost,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\inf \left\{J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu) / \nu \text { any admissible control }\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral formulation of operator $A$ (cf. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19]) is given for $u, v \in B(\bar{O})$ by

$$
A u=v \text { in } \bar{O} \backslash \Gamma_{0} \quad \text { if the process }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau} v(y(s)) e^{-\alpha s} d s+u(y(t \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(t \wedge \tau)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a martingale for each $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.
We remark that if $A u=v$ in the sense of (2.4), then we also have $A u=v$ in the distribution in $\mathcal{O}$ for $\sigma$ smooth.

Next, the following problem is considered: To find a function $u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \in B(\bar{O}), \quad u_{\varepsilon}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u_{\varepsilon}=f-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+} \quad \text { in } \bar{O} \backslash \Gamma_{0}[\text { in the martingale sense }] . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. Let $\Phi(t)$ be the semigroup in $B(\bar{O})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t) h=E\left\{h(y(t \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(t \wedge \tau)}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathscr{X}$ be the characteristic function of the set $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid \Gamma_{0}$.
Then, using the strong Markov property of process $y(t)$ stopped at the exit of $\mathscr{O}$, we show that (2.5) and (2.6) and the condition $u_{\varepsilon} \in B(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} \Phi(s)\left(f \mathscr{X}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+} \mathscr{X}\right) d s+\Phi(t)\left(u_{\varepsilon} \mathscr{X}\right) \quad \forall t \geqq 0, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are equivalent. Moreover, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(t)\left(f \mathscr{X}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+} \mathscr{X}\right) d t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also equivalent to (2.8).
Remark 2.2. The semigroup formulation (2.8) is used by A. Bensoussan [2] for the nondegenerate case. Here, if we assume that the set of regular points $\Gamma_{0}$ is closed, the
stopping process is Feller continuous (because of Lemma 1.3). Then, a semigroup formulation can also be studied as in M. Robin [18].

This section is divided in three parts. First we solve problem (2.5), (2.6). Nexl, we consider the case where the set of regular points $\Gamma_{0}$ is closed. Finally, we give some complementary results.

### 2.1. Existence and semicontinuity results. We have

Theorem 2.1. Assume (1.1) and (2.1). Then problem (2.5), (2.6) has one and only one solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ which is given by (2.3).

Proof. First we prove that problem (2.5), (2.6) has one and only one solution $w_{\varepsilon}(x)$. Indeed, from the equality

$$
-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}=-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon} \wedge \psi\right)
$$

and applying Remark 1.3 for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(t)=w_{\varepsilon}(y(\tau \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(t \wedge \tau)}, \quad c(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \\
& b(t)= \begin{cases}f(y(t)) e^{-\alpha t} & \text { if } t \leq \tau, \\
0 & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce that the conditions (2.5), (2.6) are equivalent to (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) w_{\varepsilon}=f+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon} \wedge \psi\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, using the strong Markov property, we only need to find a unique solution of the equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\varepsilon}=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[f(y(t))+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon} \wedge \psi\right)(y(t))\right] \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we define the operator $T_{\varepsilon}$ in $B(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon} w=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[f(y(t))+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(w \wedge \psi)(y(t))\right] \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have ${ }^{10}$

$$
\left\|T_{\varepsilon} v-T_{\varepsilon} w\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{1+\alpha \varepsilon}\|v-w\| .
$$

Hence, $T_{\varepsilon}$ is a contraction in $B(\bar{O})$ and so the equation (2.11) has one and only one solution.

Next, we are going to show that the solution of problem (2.5), (2.6) is given by (2.3). Indeed, let $w_{\varepsilon}$ be the solution for (2.5), (2.6). Then using Remark 1.3 with $\delta(t)=$ $(1 / \varepsilon) \nu(t), \nu(t)$ any admissible control, we obtain

$$
w_{\varepsilon}=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[f-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(w_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(t) w_{\varepsilon}\right](y(t)) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(s)\right) d s\right) d t\right\} .
$$

[^6]Since

$$
-\left(w_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}+\nu w_{\varepsilon} \leqq \nu \psi \quad \text { if } 0 \leqq \nu \leqq 1,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\varepsilon}(x) \leqq J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu), \quad \nu \text { any admissible control, } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\nu}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } w_{\varepsilon}(y(t))>\psi(y(t)), \\
0 & \text { if } w_{\varepsilon}(y(t)) \leqq \psi(y(t)), \\
w_{\varepsilon}(x)=J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\hat{\nu}) .
\end{array} .\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, (2.13) and (2.14) give $w_{\varepsilon}=u_{\varepsilon}$.
Remark 2.3. If $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}$ denote the functions given by (2.3) with $f, \psi$ and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{\psi}$ respectively, the following estimate is true,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{u}_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|f-\tilde{f}\|+\|\psi-\tilde{\psi}\| \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of supremum over $\overline{0}$.
It is possible to consider the case with $\tau^{\prime}$ instead of $\tau$ and to obtain analogous results.

Now we study properties of continuity on $u_{\varepsilon}$. We have
Theorem 2.2. Let the conditions (1.1), (2.1) hold. Then if fand $\psi$ are nonnegative upper semicontinuous on $\bar{O}$, so is $u_{\varepsilon}$ defined by (2.3).

Proof. Letting $T$ be a positive constant, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu, T)=E\left\{\int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau}[f(y)(t))+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(t) \psi(y(t))\right] \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu(s)\right) d s\right) d t\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(x)=\inf \left\{J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu, T) / \nu \text { any admissible control }\right\} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the estumate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{T}-u_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leqq\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\|f\|+\|\psi\|\right) e^{-\alpha T} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So it is sufficient to consider $u_{\varepsilon}^{T}$ instead of $u_{\varepsilon}$.
Then, we start with

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(z)-u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(x) \leqq \sup \left\{\left[J_{z}^{\varepsilon}(\nu, T)-J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu, T)\right] / \nu \text { any admissible control }\right\} .
$$

Next it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(z)-u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(x) \leqq & \left(\|f\|+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\psi\|\right) E\left\{\left(T \wedge \tau_{z}-T \wedge \tau_{x}\right)^{+}\right\} \\
& +E\left\{\int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{z} \wedge \tau_{x}}\left[f\left(y_{z}(t)\right)-f\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right]^{+} e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\}  \tag{2.19}\\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} E\left\{\int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{z} \wedge \tau_{x}}\left[\psi\left(y_{z}(t)\right)-\psi\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right]^{+} e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus taking the limit in (2.19) and using (1.3), (1.10), the theorem is proved.

Remark 2.4. Let $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(x)$ be the optimal cost in the open set $\mathcal{O}$; that is, $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is defined by (2.3) with $\tau^{\prime}$ instead of $\tau$. Then a similar theorem of regularity is proved: If $f$ and $\psi$ are nonnegative lower semicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, so is $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$. Notice that the function $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is the solution of (2.5), (2.6) with $\Gamma, \tau^{\prime}$ instead of $\Gamma_{0}, \tau$.
2.2. Regular case. In this part we assume that
$\Gamma_{0}$ given by (1.13) is a closed set,
so we have
Theorem 2.3. Suppose (1.1), (2.1), and (2.20) hold. Then if $f$ and $\psi$ are upper (lower) semicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, so is $u_{\varepsilon}$ given by (2.3).

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 2.2 from

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(z)-u_{\varepsilon}^{T}(x) \leqq & \left\{\|f\|+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\psi\|\right\} E\left\{\left|T \wedge \tau_{z}-T \wedge \tau_{x}\right|\right\} \\
& +E\left\{\int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{z} \wedge \tau_{x}}\left[f\left(y_{z}(t)\right)-f\left(y_{x}(t)\right)\right]^{+} e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon} E\left\{\int_{0}^{T \wedge \tau_{z} \wedge \tau_{x}}\left[\psi\left(y_{z}(t)\right)-\psi\left(y_{z}(t)\right)\right]^{+} e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

using (1.3) and (1.15) gives the result.
Remark 2.5. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be smooth and $n(x)$ be the inner normal of boundary $\Gamma=\partial \mathscr{O}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma(x)=0 & \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{O},  \tag{2.21}\\
g(x) n(x) \geqq 0 & \forall x \in \Gamma ;
\end{array}
$$

then $\Gamma_{0}=\varnothing$, so (2.20) is true. Clearly, if $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N},(2.20)$ can be removed.
Now we are going to obtain some a priori estimates.
Theorem 2.4. Assume (1.1), (2.1), $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, N . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{\varepsilon}$ is Lipschitz continuous and verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha-\gamma_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\| . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $T_{\varepsilon}$ be the operator defined by (2.12). From Theorem 2.1, $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the fixed point of the contraction $T_{\varepsilon}$. Suppose $w$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and denote $\alpha_{0}=\alpha-\frac{1}{2} \gamma>0$; then from (1.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial T_{\varepsilon} w}{\partial x}\right\| \leqq \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon \alpha_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|+\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \alpha_{0}}\left(\left.\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right\| \vee \right\rvert\, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \|\right) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (2.24) implies

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial T_{\varepsilon}^{k} w}{\partial x}\right\| \leqq \varepsilon\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\| \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(1+\varepsilon \alpha_{0}\right)^{-i}+\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon \alpha_{0}}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right\| \vee\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|\right)
$$

[^7]Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial T_{\varepsilon}^{k} w}{\partial x}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|+\left(\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right\| v\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking $w=0$ and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.25) we prove (2.23).
Theorem 2.5. Let the assumptions (1.1) and (2.1) hold. Suppose that there exists a Lipschitz continuous subsolution, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u} \in C(\overline{\mathscr{O}}), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{O}), \quad i=1, \cdots, N, \\
& \bar{u} \leqq \psi \text { in } \mathscr{O}, \quad \bar{u}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0},  \tag{2.26}\\
& A \bar{u} \leqq-|f| \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathscr{O}),
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{O}), \quad i=1, \cdots, N . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{\varepsilon}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, and verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon}}{\partial x}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha-\gamma}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x}\right\| . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Starting at

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sup _{\nu^{\prime}} \inf _{\nu}\left[J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu)-J_{x^{\prime}}^{\varepsilon}(\nu)\right],
$$

and taking

$$
\nu(t)= \begin{cases}\nu^{\prime}(t) & \text { if } t \in\left[0, \tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}\right] \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqq E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}\left|f\left(y_{x}(t)\right)-f\left(y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right)\right| e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\} \\
+\sup _{\nu^{\prime}} E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\nu^{\prime}(t)\left|\psi\left(y_{x}(t)\right)-\psi\left(y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right)\right|}{}\right. \\
\quad \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right) d t \\
\quad+\int_{\tau_{x^{\prime}} \wedge \tau_{x^{\prime}}}^{\tau_{x^{\prime}}}\left[f^{-}\left(y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right)-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu^{\prime}(t) \psi\left(y_{x^{\prime}}(t)\right)\right] \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right) d t \\
\left.\quad+\int_{\tau_{x} \wedge \tau_{x}}^{\tau_{x}} f^{+}\left(y_{x}(t)\right) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nu^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right) d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, using Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leqq\left[\frac{1}{\alpha-\gamma_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x}\right\|\right]\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, from (2.29) the theorem is proved.

Remark 2.6. Notice that condition (2.26) implies (2.20). Indeed, from Remark 1.5, the function $x \rightarrow E\left\{\exp \left(-\alpha \tau_{x}\right)\right\}$ is continuous on $\bar{O}$. Then, using the fact that $\Gamma_{0}=$ $\left\{x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} / E\left\{\exp \left(-\alpha \tau_{x}\right)\right\}=1\right\}$, we reach our conclusions.
2.3. Complementary results. Now, we consider $u_{\varepsilon}$ as a distribution in $\mathcal{O}$.

Let $A$ be the differential operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma \sigma^{*} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)-g \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\alpha . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \sigma \sigma^{*}}{\partial x^{2}} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}(\mathcal{O}) . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can define $A u$ for $u \in B(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$, as a distribution in $\mathcal{O}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A u, \phi\rangle=\int_{\mathscr{O}} u A^{*} \phi d x \quad \forall \phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{O}), \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{*}$ is the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*} \phi=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \sigma \sigma^{*} \phi}{\partial x}\right)+g \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+\alpha \phi . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
Theorem 2.6. Let the conditions (1.1), (2.1), and (2.3) hold. Suppose that the boundary $\Gamma$ is smooth. Then the optimal cost $u_{\varepsilon}$ given by (2.3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}=f \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathbb{O}) . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if
$(2.35)^{13} \quad$ there exists $w \in B(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ such that $A \psi=w$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \geqq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0}, \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following estimate is true.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{A} u_{\varepsilon}\right\| \leqq\|f\|+\left\|(f-\boldsymbol{A} \psi)^{+}\right\| \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Equation (2.34) is obtained by regularization, or as in D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19] using an argument of monotone class. In order to get (2.37) we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}\right\| \leqq \varepsilon\left\|(f-A \psi)^{+}\right\| . \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, from (2.35) and Remark 1.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi= & E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[A \psi(y(t))+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \psi(y(t))\right] \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} \\
& +E\left\{1_{\tau<\infty} \psi(y(\tau)) \exp \left(-\alpha \tau-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tau\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^8]Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon}-\psi=E & \left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}[f(y(t))-A \psi(y(t))] \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} \\
& -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[\psi(y(t))-u_{\varepsilon}(y(t))\right]^{+} \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} \\
& -E\left\{1_{\tau<\infty} \psi(y(\tau)) \exp \left(-\alpha \tau-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \tau\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and because $y(\tau) \in \Gamma_{0}$ a.s. if $\tau<\infty$, we obtain

$$
u_{\varepsilon}-\psi \leqq\left\|(f-A \psi)^{+}\right\| E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau} \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} .
$$

Hence, (2.38) follows.
Remark 2.7. Notice that (2.38) remains true even if $\Gamma$ is not smooth. Also, if, for instance, $\psi \in C\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ and $A \psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{O})$, then from D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19] the assumption (2.35) is satisfied.

Remark 2.8. A result analogous to Theorem 2.6 can be proved for the optimal cost $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in the open set $\mathcal{O}$.

We also have monotonicity in $\varepsilon$.
Theorem 2.7. Assume (1.1) and (2.1). Then if $0<\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon} \leqq u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $T_{\varepsilon}$ be the operator introduced in Theorem 2.1 by (2.12). First, we are going to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \leqq u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \quad \text { if } 0<\varepsilon \leqq \varepsilon^{\prime} . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, as in Theorem 2.6, we obtain for any $u \in B(\bar{O})$ which satisfies $(2.35)^{14}$ and vanishes on $\Gamma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon} u-u=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\tau}\left[f-A u-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(u-\psi)^{+}\right](y(t)) \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} t\right) d t\right\} . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

So using the equality

$$
f-A u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-\psi\right)^{+}=\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}-\psi\right)^{+},
$$

and taking $u=u_{\varepsilon}$ in (2.41), we deduce (2.40).
Further, knowing that $T_{\varepsilon}$ has the monotone property (if $u \leqq u^{\prime}$ then $T_{\varepsilon} u \leqq T_{\varepsilon} u^{\prime}$ ), from (2.40) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\varepsilon}^{k} u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \leqq u_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, taking the limit in (2.42) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we prove (2.39).
Remark 2.8. As for Theorem 2.7, an analogous property is obtained for the optimal cost $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in the open case.

Remark 2.9. Approximating $u_{\varepsilon}$ by regular functions (cf. D. W. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19, Coroll. 8.1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow u_{\varepsilon}(y(t \wedge \tau)) \text { is a.s. continuous. } \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same argument holds for functions $\psi$ satisfying (2.35).

[^9]Also, using the semigroup associated with the process $y(t)$ stopped at the exit from the open set $\mathcal{O}$, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \rightarrow u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(y\left(t \wedge \tau^{\prime}\right)\right) \text { is a.s. right continuous, } \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ denote the optimal cost in the open case.
3. Integral formulation. Recall that $\Gamma_{0}$ denotes the set of regular points given by (0.4) and that if $u, v \in B(\bar{O})$ we set

$$
A u \leqq v \text { in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0} \text { if the process }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{t}=\int_{0}^{\tau \wedge \tau} v(y(s)) e^{-\alpha s} d s+u(y(\tau \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(\tau \wedge \tau}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a strong submartingale ${ }^{15}$ for each $x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.
The following problem is considered: Find $u(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in B(\bar{O}), \quad u(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u \leqq f \quad \text { in } \bar{O} \backslash \Gamma_{0}[\text { in the martingale sense (3.1) }], \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \leqq \psi \quad \text { in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to find solutions of problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) which have some continuity property, it is necessary to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \geqq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma_{0} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This section is divided into three parts. First, we consider the case where $\psi$ is regular. Next, we extend the results for $\psi$ continuous or upper semicontinuous. Finally, we give some complementary results.

### 3.1. Regular case. We have

Theorem 3.1. Let the conditions (1.1), (2.1), (3.5) hold. We also assume that
(3.6) there exists $w \in B(\bar{O})$ such that $A \psi=w$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$ [martingale sense].

Then the problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) admits a maximum solution $u$ which is given by the decreasing limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u_{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \forall x \in \bar{O}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of problem (2.5), (2.6).
Proof. Using Theorem 2.7 we can define a function $u(x)$ by the limit (3.7).
First we are going to prove that $u$, given by (3.7), is a solution or problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4). Indeed, assertion (3.2) is trival from (2.5) and Remark 2.1. Condition (3.3) is obtained taking the limit in the martingale expression of (2.6), and (3.4) follows from the estimate (2.38).

Next, in order to show that $u$ is the maximum solution, it is only necessary to prove that each solution $v$ of problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leqq u_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \bar{O} \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, as in Theorem 2.7, (3.8) follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leqq T_{\varepsilon} v \quad \text { in } \overline{\mathcal{O}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^10]Thus, using Remark 1.3 as in Theorem 2.7, we obtain (3.9), and so the theorem is proved.

Now, the optimal stopping time problem is considered.
Theorem 3.2. Under assumptions (1.1), (2.1), (3.5), and (3.6) the maximum solution $\hat{u}$ of problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) is also given as the optimal cost (0.2), and the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\hat{u}\right\| \leqq \varepsilon\left\|(f-A \psi)^{+}\right\| \quad \forall \varepsilon>0, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Moreover, the stopping time $\hat{\theta}=\hat{\theta}_{x}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}=\inf \{t \in[0, \tau] / \hat{u}(y(t))=\psi(y(t))\} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is optimal; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(x)=J_{x}(\hat{\theta}) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Denote by $\hat{u}$ the optimal cost (0.2), and by $u_{\varepsilon}$ the solution of the penalized problem (2.5), (2.6).

First we are going to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon} \geqq \hat{u} \quad \forall \varepsilon>0,  \tag{3.13}\\
& \hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=\inf \left\{t \in[0, \tau] / u_{\varepsilon}(y(t)) \geqq \psi(y(t))\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1_{\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon} \wedge \tau<\infty} u_{\varepsilon}\left(y\left(\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon} \wedge \tau\right)\right)=1_{\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}<\tau} \psi\left(y\left(\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right)\right), \\
& u_{\varepsilon}(y(t))<\psi(y(t)) \quad \text { if } t \in\left[0, \hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right] . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that (2.6) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\theta \wedge \tau}\left[f-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}\right](y(t)) e^{-\alpha t} d t+1_{\theta \wedge \tau<\infty} u_{\varepsilon}(y(\theta \wedge \tau)) e^{-\alpha(\theta \wedge \tau)}\right\}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any stopping time $\theta$. Thus, taking $\theta=\hat{\theta}_{\varepsilon}$ in (3.16) and regarding (3.15), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=J_{x}\left(\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (3.13) follows.
Next we are going to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}-\hat{u} \leqq \varepsilon\left\|(f-A \psi)^{+}\right\| \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, starting at

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}(x)-\hat{u}(x)=\sup _{\theta} \inf _{\nu}\left[J_{x}^{\varepsilon}(\nu)-J_{x}(\theta)\right], \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and setting

$$
\nu_{\theta}(s)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } s>\theta, \\ 0 & \text { if } s \leqq \theta\end{cases}
$$

[^11]we deduce, as in Theorem 2.6,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{x}^{\varepsilon}\left(\nu_{\theta}\right)-J_{x}(\theta)= & -E\left\{1_{\tau<\infty} 1_{\theta<\tau} \psi(y(\tau)) \exp \left(-\alpha \tau-\frac{\tau-\theta}{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \\
& +E\left\{\int_{\theta_{\wedge} \tau}^{\tau}(f-A \psi)(y(t)) \exp \left(-\alpha t-\frac{t-\theta \wedge \tau}{\varepsilon}\right) d t\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Hence, using (3.5) from (3.20) and (3.19), we have (3.18).
Clearly, (3.18) and (3.13) imply (3.10). So we obtain from (2.43),
$t \rightarrow \hat{u}(y(t \wedge \tau))$ is a.s. continuous.
Further, from Theorem 2.7, (3.21), and estimate (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=\hat{\theta} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limit is increasing.
Finally, choosing $\theta=\hat{\theta}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}, \varepsilon^{\prime}>\varepsilon>0$ in (3.16), and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and then $\varepsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$, and using the convergence (3.10), (3.22) we establish (3.12).
3.2. Nonregular case. Now, we relax the regularity assumptions on $\psi$. Without assuming (3.6), $\psi$ will be only continuous or upper semicontinuous. We have

Theorem 3.3. Under assumptions (1.1), (2.1), (3.5), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \text { is uniformly continuous on } \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

the problem (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4) admits a maximum solution $\hat{u}$ which is given as the optimal cost (0.2). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \downarrow 0}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}-\hat{u}\right\|=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the relation (3.12) is true.
Proof. First we remark that if $u_{i}$ denotes the optimal cost (0.2) corresponding to $f_{i}, \psi_{i}$ for $i=1$, 2, we immediately obtain the estimate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{u}_{1}-\hat{u}_{2}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|f_{1}-f_{2}\right\|+\left\|\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right\| . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, notice that in Theorem 3.1 the assumption (3.6) was used only in order to prove (3.4). Also, the same arguments as in Theorem 3.2 show that provided (3.25) and (3.24) hold, we can deduce (3.12). So, using the fact that $\hat{u}$ defined by ( 0.2 ) satisfies (3.4), we just need to prove the convergence (3.24). Then, approximating $\psi$ by a sequence of smooth functions and using the estimates (3.25) and (2.15) the convergence (3.24) is established.

Remark 3.1. If the obstacle $\psi$ is only continuous, the assertions of Theorem 3.3 remain true but the convergence ( 3.24 ) holds only on compact sets of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$,

Theorem 3.4. Let the conditions (1.1), (2.1), (3.5), and

## $\psi$ upper semicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$

hold. The problem (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) admits a maximum solution $\hat{u}$ which is given as the optimal cost (0.2). Moreover, given any constant $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a function $\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}: \overline{\mathcal{O}} \times \Omega \rightarrow[0, \infty] \text { is measurable, } \\
& \forall x \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}, \quad \tilde{\theta}^{\varepsilon}(x) \text { is a stopping time } \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(x)+\varepsilon \geqq J_{x}\left(\tilde{\theta}^{\varepsilon}(x) \quad \forall x \in \bar{O} .\right. \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\psi$ is bounded and upper semicontinuous on $\overline{\mathscr{O}}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{\psi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of bounded and continuous functions on $\bar{O}$ decreasing to $\psi$ (cf. Bourbaki [5, p. 30]). Let $\hat{u}$ and $\hat{u}_{k}$ be the optimal costs according to $\psi$ and $\psi_{k}$ respectively; then clearly, $\hat{u}_{k}$ is decreasing to $\hat{u}$.

Next, from Theorem 3.4 and Remark 3.1, the functions $\hat{u}_{k}$ verify (3.2), (3.3), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{k} \leqq \psi_{k} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, if we let $k \rightarrow \infty$, the function $\hat{u}$ satisfies (3.4). Moreover, from monotonicity, $\hat{u}$ is the maximum solution of (3.2), (3.3), (3.4).

Finally, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\varepsilon}(x)=\inf \left\{k \geqq 1 / \hat{u}_{k}(x) \leqq \hat{u}(x)+\varepsilon\right\}, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=\inf \left\{t \in[0, \tau] / \hat{u}_{k_{\varepsilon}}(y(t))=\psi_{k_{\varepsilon}}(y(t))\right\} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $\tilde{\theta}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (3.27), (3.28), and the proof is completed.
Now, using Theorem 3.4, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain
Corollary 3.1. Let the conditions (1.1), (2.1), and (3.5) hold. Then if $f$ and $\psi$ are nonnegative upper semicontinuous on $\overline{0}$, so is the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ defined by (0.2).

Next, using Remark 3.1, Theorem 3.4, and Theorem 2.3, we obtain
Corollary 3.2. Assume (1.1), (2.1), (2.20), and (3.5). Then if $f$ and $\psi$ are upper semicontinuous or (continuous) on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, so is the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ defined by (0.2).

Remark 3.2. With suitable modification in the proofs, results similar to Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.1 are obtained for the optimal cost $u^{\prime}$ in the case of the open set $\mathcal{O}$.
3.3. Complementary results. A relation between the two problems, in the closed set $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and in the open set $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, is given by

Theorem 3.5. Let the conditions (1.1) and (2.1) hold. Then the following estimates hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\hat{u}^{\prime}-\hat{u}\right)^{+}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|f^{-}\right\|+\left\|\psi^{-}\right\|,  \tag{3.32}\\
& \left\|\left(\hat{u}^{\prime}-\hat{u}\right)^{-}\right\| \leqq\left\|1_{\Gamma\left\lceil\Gamma_{0}\right.} \psi^{+}\right\|, \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{u}$ denote the optimal cost corresponding to the problem in the open subset $\mathcal{O}$ and closed set $\bar{O}$ respectively.

Proof. Recall that $\tau^{\prime}$ denotes the first exit time of process $y(t)$ from the open subset $\mathcal{O}$, and $J_{x}^{\prime}(\theta)$ the functional cost given by (0.1) with $\tau^{\prime}$ instead of $\tau$.

Starting at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}(x)-\hat{u}(x)=\sup _{\theta} \inf _{\theta^{\prime}}\left[J_{x}^{\prime}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)-J_{x}(\theta)\right], \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and choosing for the infimum $\theta^{\prime}=\theta$ in (3.34), we deduce

$$
\hat{u}^{\prime}(x)-\hat{u}(x) \leqq E\left\{\int_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau} f^{-}\left(y(t) e^{-\alpha t} d t\right\}+\sup _{\theta} E\left\{1_{\tau^{\prime} \leqq \theta<\tau_{\tau}} \psi^{-}(y(\theta)) e^{-\alpha \theta}\right\},\right.
$$

and (3.32) follows.

Further, taking from the supremum $\theta=\theta^{\prime} \wedge \tau^{\prime}$ in (3.34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}^{\prime}(x)-\hat{u}(x) \geqq-E\left\{1_{\tau^{\prime}<\tau} \psi^{+}\left(y\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{-\alpha \tau^{\prime}}\right\} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (3.33) is proved.
Next, combining Theorem 3.5, Corollary 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we obtain
Corollary 3.3. Assume (1.1), (2.1), (3.5), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then iffand $\psi$ are nonnegative continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, the two optimal costs $\hat{u}^{\prime}$ and $\hat{u}$ coincide. It follows from Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.4 that the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ given by (0.2) is continuous on $\overline{0}$.

Now, $\hat{u}$ is regarded as a distribution in $\mathcal{O}$. Recalling that $A$ represents the differential operator given by (2.30), we have

Theorem 3.6. Suppose that the boundary $\Gamma$ is smooth and the conditions (1.1), (2.1), (2.31), (3.5), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \text { continuous on } \bar{O} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A \hat{u} \leqq f & \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}(\mathcal{O}),  \tag{3.39}\\
A \hat{u}=f & \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}([\hat{u}<\psi]) .
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, if $\psi$ verifies (3.6), the following estimate is true

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{A} \hat{u}\| \leqq\|f\|+\left\|(f-\boldsymbol{A} \psi)^{+}\right\| . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

So $A \hat{u} \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$.
Proof. First we recall that the condition (3.40) has meaning if the subset $[\hat{u}<\psi]$ is open. Using Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 this fact can be deduced.

Next the conditions (3.39) and (3.41) are immediate from Theorems 3.4 and 2.6.
Finally, if $\phi \in \mathscr{D}([\hat{u} \in \psi])$, using the uniform convergence (3.24) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{u}_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+} \phi=0 \quad \text { if } \varepsilon \text { is small enough. } \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.42) and (2.34) the equality (3.40) is proved.
Remark 3.3. Let $U$ be the subset of $\mathcal{O}$ where $\sigma(x)$ is nondegenerate. Suppose that $\hat{u}$ is continuous (see Corollary 3.2). Then, from (3.41), $\hat{u}$ can be regarded as the unique solution of a Dirichlet problem on $U$. This fact leads to a $W_{\text {loc }}^{2, p}(U), 1<p<\infty$, regularity for the optimal cost $\hat{u}$ given by (0.2).

Remark 3.4. All these results can be extended for $f$ and $\psi$ with polynomial growth.
Remark 3.5. It is possible to consider a more general case of a cost functional $J_{x}(\theta)$, exchanging the term $\exp (-\alpha t)$ with

$$
\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\tau} c(y(s)) d s\right)
$$

and adding a final cost

$$
1_{\tau<\infty} 1_{\theta \geqq \tau} h(y(\tau)) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\tau} c(y(t)) d t\right),
$$

provided $c(y) \geqq \alpha_{0}>0$.

[^12]Remark 3.6. A result analogous to Theorem 3.6 is given for the problem in the open set $\mathbb{O}$.

Remark 3.7. All these results can be extended to the parabolic case.
4. Variational inequality. Let $a_{i j}(x), a_{i}(x)$ be functions for $i, j=1, \cdots, N$, such that $\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i j}$ is a nonnegative symmetric matrix and

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i j} \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \frac{\partial^{2} a_{i j}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{l}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \forall i, j, k, l=1, \cdots, N,  \tag{4.1}\\
& a_{i} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \frac{\partial a_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \forall i, k=1, \cdots, N . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the following differential operator $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=-\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+\alpha, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive constant.
We always identify $g$ and $\sigma$ given by (1.1) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{i j}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma \sigma^{*}  \tag{4.4}\\
& a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial x_{j}}-g_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\beta_{0}(x)$ and $\beta_{1}(x)$ be the weight functions $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-(\lambda+1) / 2}$ and $\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-\lambda / 2}$, $\lambda>N / 2$, respectively. Introduce the following Hilbert spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\left\{v / \beta_{0} v \in L^{2}(\mathbb{O})\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=\int_{\odot}\left(\beta_{0} u\right)\left(\beta_{0} v\right) d x \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the norm $|\cdot|$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left\{v \in H / \beta_{1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}} \in L^{2}(\mathcal{O}), \forall k=1, \cdots, N\right\}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|=\left(|v|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{O}\left|\beta_{1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{k}}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V^{\prime}$ denotes the dual space of $V$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ the duality between $V^{\prime}$ and $V$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V \subset H \subset V^{\prime} ; L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) \subset H  \tag{4.9}\\
& \left\{v / \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{O}) \forall i=1, \cdots, N\right\} \subset V .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the bilinear form associated to the operator $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \int_{\overparen{C}} \tilde{a}_{i j}\left(\beta_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\left(\beta_{1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}}\right) d x+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{C} \tilde{a}_{i}\left(\beta_{1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\left(\beta_{0} v\right) d x+\alpha(u, v) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i j}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1} a_{i j}(x),  \tag{4.11}\\
& \tilde{a}_{i}(x)=\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} a_{i}(x)-2(\lambda+1)\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-3 / 2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(x) x_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that $a_{i j}, a_{i}$ are not supposed to be bounded, but $a_{i j}$ is at most of quadratic growth, and $a_{i}$ of linear growth. Then $\tilde{a}_{i j}, \tilde{a}_{i}$ in (4.11) are bounded.

This section is divided into three parts. First, we consider the case where $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Next, we give a weak formulation. Finally, we study the general case.
4.1. Case $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{N}}$. Assume $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. After some computation we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(u, v)=(A u, v) \quad \forall u, v \in V, A u \in H,  \tag{4.12}\\
& |a(u, v)| \leqq C\|u\|\|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in V, \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and if $\alpha$ is large enough there exists $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, u) \geqq \alpha_{0}(u, u) \quad \forall u \in V \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, from (4.12) and (4.13) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\langle A u, v\rangle, \quad u, v \in V \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $K$ be the following closed cone in $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{v \in V / v(x) \leqq \psi(x) \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}\right\}, \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let us consider the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } u \in K \text { such that } a(u, v-u) \geqq(f, v-u) \quad \forall v \in K . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling the cost functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{x}(\theta)=E\left\{\int_{0}^{\theta} f(y(t)) e^{-\alpha t} d t+1_{\theta<\infty} \psi(y(\theta)) e^{-\alpha \theta}\right\} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have
Theorem 4.1. Let the assumptions (4.1), (4.2), and ${ }^{20}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k}}, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{k}} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad k=1, \cdots, N \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then there exists one and only one solution $u$ of the variational inequality (4.17). This solution $u$ is given as the optimal cost,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\inf \left\{J_{x}(\theta) / \theta \text { is a stopping time }\right\} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the following estimate is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha-\gamma_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}+\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}, \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\partial u / \partial x\|_{L_{\infty}}$ denotes the smallest Lipschitz constant of the function $u .^{21}$

[^13]Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f, \psi$ are bounded (Remark 3.4). From (4.14) the uniqueness of the variational inequality (4.17) is obtained by classic methods (cf. A. Bensoussan and J. L. Lions [3]).

Using Theorem 2.6, we have for the optimal penalized cost $u_{\varepsilon}$ given by (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u_{\varepsilon}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}-\psi\right)^{+}=f \quad \text { in } \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from the convergence (3.24) and the estimate (2.23), we can take limits when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.22) for the weak convergence in $V$, and using the monotonicity of operator $A$, we obtain (4.17); so the theorem is proved.
4.2. Weak formulation. In order to give a weak formulation of the variational inequality (4.17) we introduce the Hilbert space $D_{A}$ which is the closure of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{v \in V / A v \in H\} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the graph norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{D_{A}}=\left(|v|^{2}+|A v|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using density arguments we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u, u) \geqq \alpha_{0}(u, u) \quad \forall u \in D_{A} . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following problem is considered,
Find $u \in D_{A}$ such that $u \leqq \psi$ a.e., and

$$
(A u, v-u) \geqq(f, v-u) \quad \forall v \in D_{A}, v \leqq \psi \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Theorem 4.2. Assume (4.1), (4.2) and ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f, \psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),  \tag{4.27}\\
& A \psi \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Then problem (4.26) has one and only one solution $u$ which is given as the optimal cost (4.20). Moreover, the function $u$ is bounded and continuous, and the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{A} u\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqq\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|(f-\boldsymbol{A} \psi)^{+}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Notice that (4.27) and (4.28) imply (Remark 2.7) that
$(4.30)^{24}$ There exists $w \in B\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $A \psi=w$ in the martingale sense.
So, using Theorem 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqq\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|(f-A \psi)^{+}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}, \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also (Remark 2.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}+\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we take limits when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.22) as in Theorem 4.1, and the proof is complete.

[^14]Remark 4.1. Under assumption (4.30), Theorem 4.2 remains true for $f$ and $\psi$ upper semicontinuous and bounded instead of (4.27).

Remark 4.2. The problem (4.26) can be interpreted as

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in D_{A}, \quad u \leqq \psi \quad \text { a.e., } \\
& A u \leqq f \quad \text { a.e., }  \tag{4.33}\\
& (A u-f)(u-\psi)=0 \quad \text { a.e., }
\end{align*}
$$

using standard methods. Clearly, under assumptions (4.28), (4.19), the weak formulation (4.26) implies the strong formulation (4.17).
4.3. General case. We come back to the general case. Now, $\mathscr{O}$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with boundary $\Gamma$ smooth enough. Recalling that the subset of regular point $\Gamma_{0}$ is given by (0.4), we have (cf. D. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan [19, p. 686]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}(x) n_{i}(x) \leqq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}, \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(x)=\left(n_{i}(x)\right)$ is the inner normal of $\mathscr{O}$.
Next, define the closed subspace of $V$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=\left\{v \in V / v=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{0}\right\} . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as in the case $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$, if $\alpha$ is large enough, using (4.34) it is possible to find a constant $\alpha_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, u) \geqq \alpha_{0}(u, u) \quad \forall u \in V_{0} . \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i j}(x) n_{i}(x)=0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma_{0}, \quad j=1, \cdots, N \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v)=\langle A u, v\rangle \quad \forall u, v \in V_{0} . \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.3. If we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} a_{i j}(x) n_{i}(x) n_{j}(x)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}(x) n_{i}(x)\right)^{+}>0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma, \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

the condition (4.37) is true and $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0}$.
Setting $K_{0}$ the closed cone in $V_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=\left\{v \in V_{0} / v(x) \leqq \psi(x) \text { a.e. in } \mathscr{O}\right\}, \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we consider the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } u \in K_{0} \text { such that } a(u, v-u) \geqq(f, v-u) \quad \forall v \in K_{0} . \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.3. Under assumptions (4.1), (4.2), (2.26), and (2.27) ${ }^{25}$ the variational inequality (4.41) has exactly one solution $u$ which is given as the optimal cost (0.2).

[^15]Moreover, the function $u$ is Lipschitz continuous and verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqq \frac{1}{\alpha-\gamma_{0}}\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}+\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}, \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|(\partial u / \partial x)\|_{L^{\infty}}$ denotes the smallest Lipschitz constant of $u$.
Proof. We just need to use the estimate (2.28) and the technique of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.4. Clearly, combining Lemma 1.5 and Remark 1.7, we obtain a sufficient condition in order to have a Lipschitz continuous subsolution $u$, i.e., assumption (2.26).

Remark 4.5. Provided (4.37) holds, a weak formulation of the variational inequality (4.41) as (4.26) also can be considered.

Remark 4.6. All these results can be extended for $f$ and $\psi$ with polynomial growth, and we can also consider a function $a_{0}(x)$ instead of the constant $\alpha$ for the definition of operator $A$. Using the same technique, we can treat the parabolic case.

Remark 4.7. An application to the optimal stopping time problem with partial information is given in [16].

Remark 4.8. In the particular case, where the operator $A=A_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+A_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$, $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ with $A_{1}$ coercive and $A_{2}$ of first order, a weak formulation (4.41) is obtained using only analytic methods (cf. M. Langlais [10]).

Final Remark. In a separate article in this issue [15], a degenerate quasi-variational inequality corresponding to the impulse control problem is studied (cf. [13]).
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    ${ }^{1}$ If $B$ is a matrix, then $B^{*}$ denotes the transpose of $B$ and $\operatorname{tr}(B)$ the trace of $B$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} t \wedge \tau$ denotes the minimum between $t$ and $\tau$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{We}$ also assume $\alpha$ large enough, $\mathcal{O}$ bounded, and $\Gamma$ smooth.
    ${ }^{4} B\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the set of all Borel measurable and bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ taking values in $\mathbb{R}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5} \tau=+\infty$ if $y(t) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \forall t \geqq 0$.
    ${ }^{6}$ If $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $a^{+}$the maximum between $a$ and zero.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ The constant $\alpha$ is supposed large enough.
    ${ }^{8}\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^{\infty}$-norm in $\mathcal{O}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9} w_{\varepsilon}$ instead of $u_{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{10}\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm in $\overline{0}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11} \gamma$ is given by (1.4), and $\|\partial f / \partial x\|$ denotes the smallest Lipschitz of $f$.
    ${ }^{12}$ If $a, b \in R$, then $a \vee b$ denotes the maximum between $a$ and $b$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ In the martingale sense of (2.4).

[^9]:    ${ }^{14}$ Clearly, with $u$ instead of $\psi$.

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ That is, $X_{t}$ satisfies the Doob optional sampling theorem.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ With $\hat{\theta}=\tau$ or $\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}=\tau$ if the corresponding set is empty.
    ${ }^{17} 1_{a<b}$ denotes the function $=1$ if $a<b$ and $=0$ otherwise.

[^12]:    ${ }^{18}[\hat{u}<\psi]$ denotes the subset of points $x \in \bar{O}$ such that $\hat{u}(x)<\psi(x)$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{19} C$ denotes a constant.
    ${ }^{20} \alpha$ is assumed large enough, and $f, \psi$ are not necessarily bounded.
    ${ }^{21}$ There exists also an optimal stopping time (Theorem 3.2).

[^14]:    ${ }^{22} A$ denotes the differential operator (4.3).
    ${ }^{23} \alpha$ is assumed large enough in order to have (4.25).
    ${ }^{24}$ In the sense of (2.4), $\mathscr{O}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{25} \alpha$ is assumed large enough.

