Right Ventricular Assessment by Real-time Three-dimensional Echocardiography in Congenital Heart Disease

Heleen B. van der Zwaan



ISBN: 978-94-6169-077-7

Text cover: Louisa Young, in The Heart 2007. Layout and printing: Optima Grafische Communicatie, Rotterdam.

#### Copyright 2011 H.B. van der Zwaan

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted by any form or by any means, without written permission of the holder of the copyright. Several chapters of this thesis are based on published papers, which are reproduced with permission of the co-authors and the publishers. Copyright of these papers remains with the publishers.

### Right Ventricular Assessment by Real-time Three-dimensional Echocardiography in Congenital Heart Disease

# Rechter ventrikel analyse middels real-time driedimensionale echocardiografie bij congenitale hartziekten

#### Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor aan de Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam op gezag van de rector magnificus

Prof.dr. H.G. Schmidt

en volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties.

De openbare verdediging zal plaatsvinden op woensdag 15 juni 2011 om 11:30 uur

> door Heleen Berdina van der Zwaan geboren te Alkmaar

ERASMUS UNIVERSITEIT ROTTERDAM

#### PROMOTIECOMMISSIE

Prof.dr. J.W. Roos-Hesselink
Prof.dr. W.A. Helbing
Prof.dr. M.L. Simoons
Prof.dr. L. Mertens
Prof.dr.ir. A.F.W. van der Steen
Dr. F.J. Meijboom

Financial support by the Netherlands Heart Foundation for the publication of this thesis is gratefully acknowledged.

Aan de liefste moeder die ik ooit ken

Aan Seb, Gijs en Len

#### CONTENTS

### PART 1. ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE

Chapter 1.	General introduction and outline of the thesis	13
Chapter 2.	Right ventricular assessment in congenital heart disease: a system- atic review on conventional and new echocardiographic techniques	29
Chapter 3.	Three-dimensional echocardiography in adult congenital heart disease	51
PART 2.	RIGHT VENTRICULAR ACQUISITION, ANALYSIS, AND CLINICAL APPLICATIONS	
Chapter 4.	Right ventricular quantification in clinical practice: two-dimensional versus three-dimensional echocardiography compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging	85
Chapter 5.	Test-retest variability of volumetric right ventricular measurements using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography	103
Chapter 6.	Clinical value of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography for right ventricular quantification in congenital heart disease: valida- tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging	119
Chapter 7.	Usefulness of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease	137

### PART 3. TROUBLESHOOTING FOR RIGHT VENTRICULAR ASSESSMENT

- Chapter 8. Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimation: 155 real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with tetralogy of Fallot
- Chapter 9. Right ventricular visualization and quantification using contrastenhanced real-time three-dimensional echocardiography 171

#### PART 4. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Chapter 10.	General discussion	189
Chapter 11.	Summary - Samenvatting	213
List of publicat	ions	225
PhD portfolio		231
About the autl	nor	237
Dankwoord		237



# Part 1

stale preserve as

---- Winness Jones

an and a second and a second a

at an energy of age

encode aparage la

many dell' will be adore an

to be stall parties of

and the second providence of the second provid

hormony in

# Echocardiography in congenital heart disease



# Chapter 1

a) 'see [] en tran vers all see ( a)

L'artable louf desenants

na Pizza

# General introduction and outline of the thesis

apping here of all a series if it

despersoners allowangers address The test mendulary maringed to attend allo another mentals

and bearing on a frank

The remarkable improvement in survival of patients with congenital heart disease has led to a growing number of adult patients. In particular, patients with more complex disease showed 2. favorable outcomes in the last decades.<sup>1-3</sup> In addition, some defects (e.g. atrial septal defect, 3. Ebstein's anomaly, and congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries) may be 4. diagnosed for the first time in adult life. A wide range of birth prevalence estimates has been reported, and therefore complicates the evaluation of the number of patients with congenital heart disease.<sup>4</sup> In the Netherlands, every year approximately 1400 children are born with a congenital heart defect. At present, it is estimated that there are over 40.000 adults with con-8. genital heart disease<sup>5</sup> and this group is annually growing with  $\sim$ 5%. Besides, there are about 9. 25.000 children with a congenital heart defect. The 32<sup>nd</sup> Bethesda Conference report in 2000<sup>6</sup> estimated that there were ~2800 adults with congenital heart disease per 1 million population in the United States, with more than half of them having moderate or high complexity of their 12. 13. defect.<sup>7</sup> The reported birth prevalence of congenital heart disease varied from four to fifty per 1000 live births.8 14. The prognosis of patients with congenital heart disease has increased over the last decades, because of improved surgical techniques and pediatric care. Now that operative mortality of 16. the early repair has fallen to low levels, attention has turned to improvement of longer-term 17. 18. outcomes and preservation of cardiac function. A substantial proportion of infants and adults with congenital heart disease develop ventricular dysfunction and clinical symptoms of heart 19. failure.<sup>9</sup> Patients with a single or systemic right ventricle are particularly at risk.<sup>2, 10</sup> Up to 40% of adult patients who underwent a Fontan procedure and > 20% of those who underwent a 21. Mustard repair for transposition of the great arteries were estimated to develop moderate to severe heart failure at young adult age.<sup>10, 11</sup> Heart failure in patients with congenital heart disease is often predominantly a problem of the right ventricle and can be caused by pressure 24. or volume overload, ischemia, intrinsic myocardial disease, or pericardial constraint.<sup>12</sup> Because 25. of the unique hemodynamics associated with right ventricular (RV) dysfunction, its impact and overt failure may only become apparent clinically after decades of follow-up.<sup>13</sup> The timing of 27. re-interventions or the initiation of medical therapy is difficult and is preferably done before RV 28. failure is manifest. Therefore, regular assessment of RV function in these patients is essential for clinical management. Accurate and accessible tools are needed to monitor RV function which may lead to a better timing of surgical re-interventions and medical therapy with ultimately a better survival and guality of life. Since the future is based on the past, in this chapter we will provide an overview on the knowledge gained over the years on RV anatomy and function. Various imaging modalities 34 that are, and were, used for RV assessment will be discussed. Finally, the outline of this thesis will be presented.

- 37.
- 38.
- 39.

#### THE RIGHT VENTRICLE THROUGH THE YEARS

Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519) was the first to correctly describe the heart as a four-chambered 3. structure.<sup>14</sup> He illustrated the moderator band, and rightly ascribed it as a muscular bridge 4. between the walls of the right ventricle (Figure 1). Characteristic of the ideas of Da Vinci was the 5. inseparable combination of structure and function: he believed that every structure in nature 6. is as it is for a reason. While Da Vinci's description of cardiac function remained rooted in the 7. Galenic tradition, his drawings of the right ventricle and the tricuspid valve were accurate.<sup>15</sup> 8. Da Vinci's drawings were hidden for centuries and therefore, did not cause a revolutionary 9. anatomical breakthrough in his time. 10.

12. 14. 16. 18. AN A: CA MANTEN NNO Der anto state olna ritherme all' AMNARTS : I ( Ner me nelet " W Set F. Wang ( was + and we HINK on rains priming and in NA'N Sin.

 Figure 1 Dissection of the heart by Leonardo da Vinci displaying the right atrium and the right ventricle
 23.

 with the moderator band.
 24.

25

1. 2.

Until the 1950s, the interest in RV hemodynamics was centered mainly in the laboratories of 26. small groups of investigators, who were intrigued by the hypothesis that the human circulation 27. could work without a functional right ventricle. For cardiac surgeons in the 1950s, RV function 28. became important, because they evaluated procedures to palliate congenital heart defects 29. associated with right heart hypoplasia. Partial RV venous bypass was successfully implemented 30. by anastomosis of the superior caval vein to the right pulmonary artery.<sup>16</sup> Dr. William Glenn 31. at Yale, for whom the shunt was later named, reported the first clinical application in 1958.<sup>17</sup> 32. After diversion of approximately one third of the venous blood directly to the right pulmonary 33. circulation, superior vena caval pressure rose on average to 10 mmHg, which was well tolerated. Severely cyanotic infants benefited from more fully oxygenated blood. This first successful technique for partial venous bypass of the right side of the heart is still employed today for the palliation of cyanotic congenital heart disease.<sup>18</sup> 37.

In the early 1970s, surgeons began to perform more sophisticated procedures to repair rightsided congenital heart defects. In 1971, Fontan and Bandet reported the surgical correction 39.

of tricuspid atresia by full RV venous bypass.<sup>19</sup> They first constructed a Glenn shunt and then inserted an allograft conduit to shunt flow from the right atrium to the left pulmonary circula-2. tion. After RV bypass, systemic venous pressures stabilized between 10 and 15 mmHg. Since then, continuous updates<sup>20</sup> and changes in the surgical techniques have been carried out with 4 good long-term outcome. A rise in publications followed, addressing problems of RV hemodynamics. Meanwhile, pul-6. 7. monologists and cardiologists acquired new tools to explore old problems of RV dysfunction in pulmonary disease<sup>21</sup> and to address new problems of right-sided cardiac dysfunction during 8. treatment of adult respiratory distress syndrome with positive airway mechanical ventilation.<sup>22,</sup> 9 <sup>23</sup> Even up to this very day, new techniques are developed to investigate RV dysfunction, especially in patients with pulmonary hypertension, congenital heart defects, coronary artery disease, and left-sided heart failure, or valvular heart disease.<sup>12</sup> 12. 13. 14. 16. 17. 18. 19. 21. Outflow portion Inflow portion 24. 26. Apical portion 27. 28. 31. Figure 2 The right ventricle consists of three regions, being the inflow, apical, and outflow portions. 34. **RIGHT VENTRICULAR ANATOMY** 

37. The right ventricle has a complex geometric shape that is composed of three basic regions38. referred to as the inlet-, apical- and outflow portion (Figure 2). The inlet portion consists of the39. tricuspid valve, chordae tendineae, and papillary muscles. The apical myocardium is coarsely

trabeculated. The outflow portion, or the infundibulum, is composed of smooth walled
 myocardium and contains the pulmonary valve. Whereas the left ventricular inlet and outlet
 portions are directly in continuity with each other, in the right ventricle these two tracts are
 actually separated by a muscular band which is referred to as the crista supraventricularis. This
 crista is made up of the infundibular septum and the parietal band. Two other muscular bands
 are present in the right ventricle: the septal band, and the moderator band. The septal band
 extends apically to become continuous with the moderator band.<sup>24</sup> The moderator band is an
 important landmark of the right ventricle that is well visible by echocardiography.

When ventricular function and loading conditions are normal, the right ventricle is trian-9.gular when viewed from the side and crescent-shaped when viewed in cross section (Figure 10.10.3). The left ventricle is ellipsoidal in shape and the interventricular septum bows into the right 11.11.ventricle throughout the cardiac cycle. Multiple muscle layers encircle both ventricles in a 12.12.complex interlacing fashion. The RV wall is composed primarily of superficial and deep muscle 13.13.layers. The superficial layer is arranged circumferentially and is continuous with the superficial 14.14.myofibers of the left ventricle. The deep layer of muscle fibers runs longitudinally from base to 15.15.apex and is continuous of those of the interventricular septum.<sup>25</sup>16.

The blood supply of the right ventricle is derived predominantly from the right coronary 17. artery. In less than 10% of hearts, posterolateral branches from the left circumflex coronary 18. artery supply a segment of the posterior right ventricle. The posterior part of the right ventricle 19. receives blood from the posterior descending coronary artery, usually a branch of the right 20. coronary artery. The moderator band artery, a branch of the first septal perforator of the left 21. anterior descending artery, and the conus artery, a branch of the right coronary artery or arising 22. as a separate ostium from the right sinus of Valsalva (40%), supply the anterior wall of the right 23. ventricle. Acute marginal branches of the right coronary artery supply the lateral wall of the RV.<sup>24</sup> 24.

The atrioventricular node is located on the right atrial wall within the triangle of Koch, 25. defined by the coronary sinus posteriorly, the tricuspid valve annulus inferiorly, and the tendon 26. of Todero superiorly. The tendon of Todero is the continuation of the Eustachian valve (valve of 27. the inferior vena cava) that runs to the central fibrous body near the membranous septum.<sup>24</sup> 28.

#### **RIGHT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION**

30.

31.

32

The right ventricle is essential for the maintenance of normal cardiovascular function. However, 33. the importance and precise role of the right ventricle in normal cardiovascular physiology 34. has been debated. Because the right ventricle is positioned between the systemic venous 35. circulation and the pulmonary circulation, the most obvious function is the delivery of oxygen 36. deficient venous blood to the gas exchange membranes of the pulmonary circulation. The 37. right ventricle carries out this function under widely varying states of cardiovascular stress.<sup>18</sup> 38. Another function of the right ventricle is to maintain low systemic venous pressures.<sup>26</sup> 39.



<sup>35.</sup> **Figure 3** An apical four-chamber view and a short-axis view derived from two-dimensional

36. echocardiography. The upper panel shows the triangular shape of the right ventricle when viewed from37. the side. The lower panel shows the crescent shape when viewed in cross section.

- 38.
- 39.

The right ventricle contracts in a peristaltic like manner, beginning with contraction of the1.inlet portion, followed by the apex, and ending with contraction of the infundibulum. With2.contraction of the circumferential fibers, the RV wall moves inward and causes the short-axis to3.shorten. The contraction of the longitudinal fibers causes the long-axis to shorten. In between4.the RV free wall and the interventricular septum serves a contractile strut that, with left ven-5.tricular contraction, further reduces the septal-to-free-wall distance.276.

RV function is dependent on several intrinsic and extrinsic factors. The most important 7. intrinsic factor is the contractile state of the RV myocardium. The extrinsic determinants of RV performance include heart rate, preload, afterload, ventricular interaction, and neurohormonal 9. influences. Because the right ventricle is connected in series with the left ventricle, it pumps on 10. average the same stroke volume in steady state conditions. The right ventricle generates only 11.  $\sim$ 25% of the stroke work, because the impedance in the pulmonary circulation is approximately 12. one tenth that of the systemic circulation, and a 5 mmHg perfusion gradient is sufficient to 13. drive blood across the pulmonary circuit.<sup>18</sup> As a result, the muscle mass of the right ventricle 14. is one sixth that of the left ventricle and it makes the right ventricle also more compliant. On 15. the other hand, the right ventricle has much less contractile reserve than the left ventricle and 16. is, therefore, much more sensitive to increases in afterload. The functional unit of contraction, 17. the sarcomere, is the same for both ventricles; the difference in ventricular performance results 18. from differences in muscle mass as well as the chamber geometry and the orientation of muscle 19. fibers.<sup>28</sup> The RV free wall fibers are oriented transversely and simply squeeze blood by circum-20. ferential compression. In contrast, the left ventricular free wall and septum have predominantly 21. obliquely oriented fibers, which twist and shorten to eject blood and, in doing so, generate an 22. ejection fraction (EF) greater than for the right ventricle.<sup>28, 29</sup> 23.



Figure 4 Figure showing the normal human left and right ventricular pressure–volume relationships. 39.

The dissimilarity in ventricular afterload is responsible for the difference in ventricular
 pressure-volume loops between the two ventricles (Figure 4). The left ventricle has a square shaped pressure-volume loop, whereas the RV pressure-volume loop is triangular in shape.
 The triangular shape depicts the shorter pre-ejection period that is caused by the RV systolic
 pressure that rapidly exceeds pulmonary artery diastolic pressure. If RV afterload increases, the
 RV pressure-volume loop becomes more square-shaped. In addition, RV volumes and pressures
 increase, altering the orientation of the interventricular septum and changing the geometry of
 the ventricles. In cross section, the RV now appears spherical in shape whereas the left ventricle
 becomes crescent-shaped in appearance when RV pressure exceeds the left ventricular pressure.

12. Right ventricular-left ventricular interaction

As mentioned before, besides contractility, heart rate, and loading conditions, the interdependence of both ventricles is a determinant of ventricular performance. Ventricular interaction 14. was first noted at the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century when observations in isolated hearts suggested that alteration in left ventricular geometry (i.e. ventricular hypertrophy and dilatation) could decrease RV function which was referred to as the "Bernheim effect".<sup>18</sup> Ventricular 17. 18. interdependence is a result of the close anatomic association between the two ventricles: they are encircled by common epicardial muscle fibers, share a common interventricular septum, 19. and are enclosed within a pericardial sac. Interdependence can be distinguished into three 21. different components which include direct (or parallel-) interaction via the interventricular septum, indirect (or series-) interaction via the pulmonary artery and systemic circulation, and finally the effect of the pericardium.<sup>18</sup> Ventricular interaction is present on a beat-to-beat basis and influences both the diastolic and systolic part of the cardiac cycle. In addition, ventricular 24. interaction occurs in both directions, although it has been reported that this interaction during 25. diastole appears to be of lesser magnitude in left-to-right direction compared with right-to-left direction.<sup>27</sup> So, the size, shape and function of one ventricle can directly influence the normal 27. function of the other and is mainly affected by loading conditions.

29.

#### **31. IMAGING THE RIGHT VENTRICLE**

32.

33. The ideal measure of RV function would be a load independent measure of contractility, 34. assessing both global and regional function independent of the cardiac shape or mass, easy 35. and safe to apply and proven to be useful in clinical practice.<sup>30</sup> In addition, the ideal measure 36. of RV function should be accurate to assess the complex RV geometry and contraction pattern, 37. be reproducible, and not expensive. Adequate RV function assessment is more difficult than 38. that of the left ventricle. While the ellipsoid shape of the left ventricle lends itself to geometric 39. assumptions and mathematical interpretation, the shape, geometry, and anatomical location of the right ventricle all make precise assessment difficult. Various imaging modalities are or1.have been used for RV assessment, with echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance2.(CMR) imaging being the most frequently applied techniques in clinical practice.3.

#### Cardiac catheterization

Cardiac catherization using single- or bi-plane geometric algorithms, is no longer applied in<br/>everyday practice for the calculation of RV volumes. This technique is not only invasive, but6.also time consuming, and conceptually imperfect and inaccurate.<sup>13</sup> Thus, diagnostic right<br/>heart catherization in clinical practice used for the assessment of hemodynamics (e.g., RV end-<br/>diastolic pressure or complex gradients), the initial evaluation of pulmonary hypertension, or<br/>increasingly frequent, as an introduction to trans-catheter therapy of structural abnormalities<br/>(e.g., atrial septal defect closure, relieve of a pulmonary artery stenosis). For dynamic RV volume<br/>tassessment, conductance catheter assessment can be considered state of the art although it<br/>tas nexperimental tool. The strength of the technique is its ability to measure beat-to-<br/>the beat changes in pressure-volume relationships during interventions. It is only with such mea-<br/>ts only with such mea-<br/>ts.16.load-dependent changes that are seen in adults after repair of congenital heart lesions.17.

#### Radionuclide studies

Equilibrium and first past radio-nuclide assessments of RV volumes and EF have been used for 20. many years. Radionuclide techniques have the advantage of relying on a count-based method 21. that is independent of RV geometry. Three methods are available: first-pass radionuclide angi-22. ography, gated equilibrium radionuclide angiocardiography, and gated first-pass techniques. 23. Each technique had certain advantages as well as limitations. First-pass radionuclide angiogra-24. phy allows a good separation of the right ventricle from the other cardiac structures, at the cost 25. of less activity and thus the need of newer-generation cameras or multicrystal cameras. Gated 26. equilibrium radionuclide angiography does not allow a clear distinction of the pulmonary valve 27. plane or of the right ventricle from the other cardiac structures, particularly the right atrium. 28. The gating of gated first-pass radionuclide angiography allows the summation of data from 29. several cycles and can be done with standard cameras.<sup>31</sup> The choice of any method largely 30. depended on the clinical setting and the availability of specific instrumentation.<sup>32</sup> The validity 31. of such techniques has been established in the normal biventricular heart.<sup>13</sup> With the introduc- 32. tion of accurate measurements based on echocardiography and CMR imaging, radio-nuclide 33. studies are now less often used. 34.

#### ~ ~

4.

18.

19.

#### Echocardiography

The right ventricle is less approachable for echocardiography than the left ventricle, because 37. of its crescent shape, retrosternal position, and thin, coarsely trabeculated wall.<sup>33</sup> Neverthe-38. less, echocardiography is the most used technique in clinical practice for RV size and function 39.

assessment. Both gualitative and guantitative indices of RV size and function can be obtained. Various imaging planes should be used to measure dimensions, areas, and the tricuspid annu-2. lar plane systolic excursion.<sup>34</sup> There is no single M-mode-derived of two-dimensional echocar-3. diography-derived measurement of RV dimension that adequately describes overall RV size. 4 Individual measurements of the RV inflow and outflow dimensions and RV areas can be derived from apical four-chamber views and parasternal short-axis views, respectively.<sup>34</sup> The accuracy of these M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiography-derived measurements has been investigated compared with CMR imaging,<sup>35-37</sup> and resulted in moderate to poor agreement. It 8. has been suggested that two-dimensional echocardiography-derived measurements were less 9. accurate in patients with congenital heart disease and enlarged right ventricles than in healthy controls.<sup>38</sup> Up to now, no accurate, simple geometric models based on two-dimensional echocardiography have been found to calculate RV volumes and EF, because they were impossible 12. to fit onto the complex shaped right ventricle.<sup>39</sup> These measurements may be used for serial follow-up, although their accuracy as compared with RV volumes or EF is limited.<sup>38</sup> 14. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging 16. CMR techniques are established as an important diagnostic modality in adults with congenital 18. heart disease. CMR imaging is considered the clinical standard for the assessment of RV volumes, and volume-based indices of function. The calculation of RV volumes and EF is based on the 19. disc summation method (Figure 5). A stack of short-axis images are acquired from the tricuspid 21. valve down to the apex. Manual tracing of contours in each end-diastolic and end-systolic slice, results in end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes from which EF can be calculated. Besides RV volumes and EF. flow and shunt measurements can be obtained. All these measurements are unaffected by the geometric and spatial constraints of the other imaging techniques, and are 24. reproducible<sup>40, 41</sup> making them applicable for sequential assessment of longitudinal change. 25. While much of the enthusiasm for CMR imaging is justified, because this technique is the most 27. accurate of the different techniques currently available to quantify RV volumes and mass,<sup>42</sup> there are some caveats. CMR imaging has is limited accessible, expensive, and time-consuming 28. for RV analysis and acquisition. Furthermore, claustrophobia, poor patient compliance and pacemakers or cardioverterdefibrillators restrict the use of CMR imaging. CMR imaging is only

reliable when adequately standardized.<sup>40</sup> An alternative imaging modality has been searched
 for to overcome the aforementioned limitations and has resulted in increased attention for

33. using three-dimensional echocardiography.

34.

#### **36. OUTLINE OF THE THESIS**

37.

38. The ideal RV imaging modality should be easily applicable, real-time and a three-dimensional

39. assessment of RV volumes.<sup>13</sup> This has come on the horizon with the availability of real-time



**Figure 5** The disc summation method is used for the calculation of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

three-dimensional echocardiography (real-time 3D echo) combined with dedicated software,33.the four-dimensional RV Function program. The aim of this thesis was to investigate the clini-34.cal use of real-time 3D echo for RV assessment in patients with congenital heart disease and35.healthy controls. The thesis is divided into three parts.36.

37.

31.

- 38.
- 39.

- 1. Part 1: Echocardiography in congenital heart disease
- 2. An overview on echocardiographic techniques and measurements used for RV quantification
- 3. is provided. A systematic review of the clinical value of these echocardiographic modalities in
- 4. patients with congenital heart disease is presented. In addition, current applications of real-
- 5. time 3D echo in adult congenital heart disease are discussed. Not only using real-time 3D echo
- 6. for RV volume and EF assessment is outlined, but also the added value of real-time 3D echo for
- 7. cardiac morphology.
- 8.
- 9. Part 2: Right ventricular acquisition, analysis, and clinical applications
- 10. The supplementary value of real-time 3D echo versus two-dimensional echocardiography for
- 11. RV assessment is studied. The acquisition related variability test-retest variability of real-time
- 12. 3D echo-derived RV volumes and EF is examined. Furthermore, the clinical value of real-time
- 13. 3D echo for RV assessment is explored in terms of feasibility, accuracy, reproducibility, and time
- 14. consumption. The usefulness of real-time 3D echo to identify RV dysfunction in patients with
- 15. congenital heart disease is described.
- 16.
- 17. Part 3: Troubleshooting for right ventricular assessment
- 18. Proper endocardial border definition is a prerequisite for reliable RV assessment using real-time
- 19. 3D echo. The sources of RV volume differences between real-time 3D echo and CMR imaging
- 20. are described. Furthermore, the value of using contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo on both
- 21. RV visualization and quantification of RV volumes and EF is presented.
- 22.
- 23. By reading this thesis, we hope that the reader will appreciate the unique features that real-

24. time 3D echo offers for the assessment of RV volumes and EF in patients with congenital heart

- 25. defects.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- JZ.
- 34.
- 25
- 24
- 27
- 38.
- ----

1.	Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ, Spitaels SE, van Domburg R, van Rijen EH, Utens EM, et al. Decline in ventricular function and clinical condition after Mustard repair for transposition of the great arteries (a prepactive study of 22.20 years). Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1264.70	1.
2	(a prospective study of 22-29 years). Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1204-70.	۷.
2.	come in congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries: a multi-institutional study. I Am	3.
	Coll Cardiol. 2000:36:255-61.	4.
3.	Murphy JG, Gersh BJ, Mair DD, Fuster V, McGoon MD, Ilstrup DM, et al. Long-term outcome in patients	5.
	undergoing surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:593-9.	6.
4.	van der Bom T, Zomer AC, Zwinderman AH, Meijboom FJ, Bouma BJ, Mulder BJ. The changing epide-	7
	miology of congenital heart disease. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2011;8:50-60.	
5.	Meijboom F, Mulder B. Problems in the organization of care for patients with adult congenital heart	8.
	disease. Arch Cardiovasc Dis. 2010;103:411-5.	9.
6.	Warnes CA, Liberthson R, Danielson GK, Dore A, Harris L, Hoffman JI, et al. Task force 1: the changing	10.
7	profile of congenital heart disease in adult life. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;37:11/0-5.	11.
7.	Baumgariner H, Bonnoeller P, De Groot NW, de Haan F, Deanlied JE, Galle N, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital beart disease (new version 2010). Eur Heart L 2010;31:2015-	12
	57	12.
8.	Hoffman JI, Kaplan S. The incidence of congenital heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39:1890-900.	15.
9.	Kantor PF, Redington AN. Pathophysiology and management of heart failure in repaired congenital	14.
	heart disease. Heart Fail Clin. 2010;6:497-506, ix.	15.
10.	Norozi K, Wessel A, Alpers V, Arnhold JO, Geyer S, Zoege M, et al. Incidence and risk distribution of	16.
	heart failure in adolescents and adults with congenital heart disease after cardiac surgery. Am J	17.
11	Cardiol. 2006;97:1238-43.	18.
11.	Piran S, Veidtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with single or systemic right ventricles. Circulation 2002;105:1189-04	19
12.	Haddad F. Dovle R. Murphy DJ. Hunt SA. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease, part	20
	II: pathophysiology, clinical importance, and management of right ventricular failure. Circulation.	20.
	2008;117:1717-31.	21.
13.	Redington AN. Right ventricular function. Cardiol Clin. 2002;20:341-9, v.	22.
14.	Keele KD. Leonardo da Vinci, and the movement of the heart. Proc R Soc Med. 1951;44:209-13.	23.
15.	Wells F. The renaissance heart, the drawings of Leonardo da Vinci. In: Peto J, ed. The Heart. Vol 1. New	24.
10	Haven and London: Yale University Press; 2007:70-94.	25.
10.	Surg 1951:16:1-11	26
17.	Glenn WW. Circulatory bypass of the right side of the heart. IV. Shunt between superior yena cava and	20.
	distal right pulmonary artery; report of clinical application. N Engl J Med. 1958;259:117-20.	27.
18.	Lee FA. Hemodynamics of the right ventricle in normal and disease states. Cardiol Clin. 1992;10:59-67.	28.
19.	Fontan F, Baudet E. Surgical repair of tricuspid atresia. Thorax. 1971;26:240-8.	29.
20.	Fixler DE, Monroe GA, Wheeler JM. Hemodynamic alterations during septal or right ventricular isch-	30.
24	emia in dogs. Am Heart J. 1977;93:210-5.	31.
21.	with quantitative radionuclide applocardiography: right ventricular election fraction with reference	32.
	to findings in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Cardiol. 1978;41:897-905.	33.
22.	Fewell JE, Abendschein DR, Carlson CJ, Murray JF, Rapaport E. Continuous positive-pressure ventila-	27
	tion decreases right and left ventricular end-diastolic volumes in the dog. Circ Res. 1980;46:125-32.	25
23.	Jardin F, Farcot JC, Boisante L, Curien N, Margairaz A, Bourdarias JP. Influence of positive end-expiratory	35.
	pressure on left ventricular performance. N Engl J Med. 1981;304:387-92.	36.
24.	Farb A, Burke AP, Virmani R. Anatomy and pathology of the right ventricle (including acquired tricus-	37.
	pid and pulmonic valve disease). Cardiol Clin. 1992;10:1-21.	38.
		39.

Chapter 1

1.	25.	Bronicki RA, Baden HP. Pathophysiology of right ventricular failure in pulmonary hypertension. Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2010;11:S15-22.
2.	26.	Furey SA, 3rd, Zieske HA, Levy MN. The essential function of the right ventricle. Am Heart J.
3.	~-	1984;10/:404-10.
4.	27.	Haddad F, Hunt SA, Rosenthal DN, Murphy DJ. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease,
5		part I: Anatomy, physiology, aging, and functional assessment of the right ventricle. Circulation.
5.	20	2008;117:1450-48.
6.	28.	Anderson RH, Sanchez-Quintana D, Niederer P, Lunkenneimer PP. Structural-functional correlates of
7.		Cure 2009/126/10 9
8.	20	Salah S. Liakapaulas O.I. Ruckharg GD. The sental mator of hiventricular function. Fur I Cardiotherac
0	29.	Sura 2006-20 Suppl 1:S126-38
2.	30	Carabello BA Evolution of the study of left ventricular function: everything old is new again Circula-
10.	50.	tion 2002·105·2701-3
11.	31	Schulman DS Assessment of the right ventricle with radionuclide techniques. I Nucl Cardiol
12.	51.	1996:3:253-64
12	32.	Jain D. Zaret BL. Assessment of right ventricular function. Role of nuclear imaging techniques. Cardiol
15.		Clin. 1992:10:23-39.
14.	33.	Jaffe CC, Weltin G. Echocardiography of the right side of the heart. Cardiol Clin. 1992;10:41-57.
15.	34.	Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, et al. Guidelines for the
16.		echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of
17		Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch
17.		of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc
18.		Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685-713; quiz 86-8.
19.	35.	Hui W, Abd El Rahman MY, Dsebissowa F, Gutberlet M, Alexi-Meskishvili V, Hetzer R, et al. Comparison
20.		of modified short axis view and apical four chamber view in evaluating right ventricular function after
21		repair of tetralogy of Fallot. Int J Cardiol. 2005;105:256-61.
22	36.	Koestenberger M, Nagel B, Ravekes W, Everett AD, Stueger HP, Heinzl B, et al. Systolic right ventricular
<i>∠</i> ∠.		function in pediatric and adolescent patients with tetralogy of Fallot: echocardiography versus
23.	~-	magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2011;24:45-52.
24.	37.	Puchalski MD, Williams RV, Askovich B, Minich LL, Mart C, Tani LY. Assessment of right ventricular size and function: echo versus magnetic resonance imaging. Congenit Heart Dis. 2007;2:27-31.
23.	38.	Lai WW, Gauvreau K, Rivera ES, Saleeb S, Powell AJ, Geva T. Accuracy of guideline recommendations
26.		for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imag-
27.		ing. 2008;24:691-8.
28.	39.	Jiang L, Levine RA, Weyman AE. Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and Function. Echocardiography. 1997;14:189-206.
29.	40.	Beerbaum P, Barth P, Kropf S, Sarikouch S, Kelter-Kloepping A, Franke D, et al. Cardiac function by MRI
30.		in congenital heart disease: impact of consensus training on interinstitutional variance. J Magn Reson
31.		Imaging. 2009;30:956-66.
32	41.	Luijnenburg SE, Robbers-Visser D, Moelker A, Vliegen HW, Mulder BJ, Helbing WA. Intra-observer
JZ.		and interobserver variability of biventricular function, volumes and mass in patients with congenital
33.		heart disease measured by CMR imaging. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;26:57-64.
34.	42.	Mertens LL, Friedberg MK. Imaging the right ventricle-current state of the art. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010.
35.		
36.		
37.		
38.		
39.		



# Chapter 2

Right ventricular quantification in congenital heart disease: a systematic review on conventional and new echocardiographic techniques

道

diffici of the later

Tella .....

manuffactory.

and's finite at here

mark. St.

he parties

12.575

. .....

H.B. van der Zwaan J.W. Roos-Hesselink E. Boersma W.A. Helbing O.I.I. Soliman M.L. Geleijnse F.J. Meijboom

12.8

Submitted for publication

#### ABSTRACT

Background. Repeated assessment of right ventricular (RV) size and function is essential for 3.
 clinical management of patients with congenital heart disease (CHD). For quantification of RV 4.
 volumes and ejection fraction, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is considered the 5.
 reference technique. Yet, various new echocardiographic techniques are available and their 6.
 value in clinical practice remains to be established. We systematically reviewed articles on the clinical value of echocardiographic techniques for RV function assessment in patients with CHD.

Methods. We executed a search of PubMed and Embase databases and included 34 studies10.(in total 1114 patients with CHD and 478 healthy controls) in which RV size and/ or function11.were assessed by tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), two-dimensional (2D)12.echocardiography, three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography, Doppler- or speckle tracking13.echocardiography. Data on accuracy of echocardiography compared with CMR imaging and/14.or data on reproducibility of echocardiography were extracted.15.

16.

1. 2.

9.

Results. 3D echocardiography-derived measurements correlated better with CMR imaging for17.RV quantification (R 0.73-0.99) than conventional 2D echocardiography-derived measurements18.(R 0.33-0.87) in patients with tetralogy of Fallot, atrial septal defect, or systemic right ventricles.19.Doppler-based techniques measuring regional RV wall motion velocities, strain, and strain rate20.showed a wide range of correlations (R 0.29 - 0.87) compared with RV ejection fraction by CMR21.imaging.22.

Conclusions. Besides the by guidelines recommended RV echocardiographic measurements, 24.the accumulated data in this review indicate that 3D echocardiography should be used for25.serial follow-up in patients with CHD. In case of poor acoustic windows or if deterioration of RV26.function is suspected based on echocardiographic measurements, CMR imaging remains the27.indicated technique.28.

23.

29.

34.

39.

#### INTRODUCTION

2.

Repeated assessment of right ventricular (RV) size and function represents a crucial step in the initiation and guiding of therapy, follow-up and prediction of outcome in patients with 4. certain congenital heart diseases (CHD).<sup>1-6</sup> Therefore, accurate and reproducible RV measurements are mandatory. The right ventricle has a complex geometric shape that consists of three basic regions referred to as the inlet, apex and outflow. For clinicians, an ideal parameter for RV quantification should be simple, accurate to assess its complex geometry and contraction 8. 9 pattern, reproducible and not expensive. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is the reference technique to assess RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF) due to its high accuracy and reproducibility. On the other hand echocardiography is the most widely used tool for RV assessment due to high versatility and the ease 12. of use. Latest guidelines on echocardiographic RV guantification addressed the use of tricuspid annular systolic excursion (TAPSE), 2-dimensional echocardiography (2D echo) and Doppler 14. imaging.<sup>7</sup> The accuracy of 2D- and Doppler echo for RV assessment is limited, either due to the need of geometric assumptions <sup>8,9</sup> or because these techniques only assess a limited region 16. of function which cannot be extrapolated to global RV function. More recently, RV assessment 18. using 3-dimensional echocardiography (3D echo), tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) and speckle tracking echocardiography has been investigated in several studies that included various 19. patient populations.<sup>10-12</sup> The additional value of the latter techniques above conventional RV measurements in terms of accuracy and reproducibility in patients with CHD is unknown. 21. The purpose of this paper was to provide an overview of the conventional and newer echocardiographic techniques and variables used for RV quantification based on a systematic review of published literature in children and adults with CHD. 24.

25.

26.

#### 27. METHODS

28.

29. Search strategy, selection criteria, and data extraction

30. We conducted a search of Medline (source PubMed, 1966 to 1<sup>st</sup> December 2010) and Embase 31. (1980 to December 2010). The following combination of keywords was used: "echocardiogra-32. phy", "right ventricle" and "CHD". We repeated the search substituting "CHD" with specific CHD 33. such as "tetralogy of Fallot", "atrial septal defect", "transposition of the great arteries", "systemic 34. right ventricle" or "Fontan". The search strategy was limited to articles concerning human sub-35. jects that were published in the English language and accompanied by an abstract. By screen-36. ing of titles and abstracts we identified potentially relevant studies. In addition, we searched 37. the reference lists of the included studies and review articles on RV function assessment to 38. identify studies missed by the search strategy applied.

39

The following selection criteria were used. The only assessment of methodology quality used was the study design. The study's main purpose was 1) the validation of echocardiography 2. against the CMR reference to quantify RV dimensions or function in patients with CHD; 2) to 3. study the reproducibility of echocardiography for RV assessment in these patients. Articles 4. solely reporting on RV pressures or right sided valvular function, i.e. not measuring RV function, were excluded. We extracted data on the type of CHD studied, the echo parameters measured, the feasibility, the agreement with CMR imaging, the reproducibility of the parameters and the 7. authors' conclusion concerning agreement between both techniques and applicability in clini-8. cal practice. Unless otherwise indicated by the authors, we concluded that the patient numbers 9. studied for reproducibility were equal to the total number studied.

All potentially relevant articles were independently reviewed by two investigators (H.B.Z., 11. J.W.R.H.) to establish eligibility. Disagreements (n = 5) were resolved by discussion. 12.

14.

16.

24

#### RESULTS

The electronic search resulted in 643 potentially applicable references (Figure 1). We included17.34 in this review, whereas most articles were excluded because echocardiography was not the18.main topic. In 9 articles a reference technique different from CMR imaging was used (biplane19.cine angiography,<sup>13, 14</sup> volumes obtained by conventional angiography,<sup>15-17</sup> radionuclide20.angiography <sup>18-20</sup> and intra-operative RV volumes (Figure 1).<sup>21</sup> These 9 articles were excluded21.for analysis of accuracy, because CMR imaging was not used as the reference technique. In 522.included articles data were collected retrospectively.<sup>22-26</sup>23.

Table 1. Echocardiographic measurements used for right ventricular assessment				
Right ventricular dimensions	Right ventricular function	26		
Qualitative assessment	Qualitative assessment	20.		
2D eyeballing: size relative to left ventricle	2D eyeballing: contractility	27.		
		28.		
Quantitative assessment	Quantitative assessment	29.		
2D diameters in AP4C and PSAX view	Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion	30		
2D areas in end-diastole and end-systole	2D fractional area change / ejection fraction	21		
Volumes: 2D biplane	Myocardial performance index	31.		
3D	Myocardial velocities	32.		
	Myocardial strain and strain rate	33.		
	3D ejection fraction	34.		
		0 11		

2D denotes 2-dimensional, AP4C apical four-chamber, PSAX parasternal short-axis, 3D 3-dimensional.

The total number of patients with CHD studied for accuracy was 1114 and the total num- 37. ber of healthy controls 478. The 12 echocardiographic measurements which were used by 38. articles included in this review, either on RV size or function, are summarized in Table 1. These 39.



33



**Figure 2** Tricuspid annular systolic plane excursion by M-mode at the lateral tricuspid valve annulus to obtain information on the longitudinal right ventricular function from an apparently healthy 37 years-old female.



Figure 3Inlet and longitudinal right ventricular dimensions measured onto a 2-dimensional34.echocardiographic apical four-chamber view from a 14 years-old male with a pulmonary auto<br/>transplantation according to Ross (a). Dimensions of the right ventricular outflow tract from a 22 years-old<br/>male with a tetralogy of Fallot (b). Right ventricular end-diastolic area (c) and end-systolic area (d) from<br/>which the area change can be measured as the end-diastolic area minus the end-systolic area divided by<br/>the end-diastolic area, from an apparently healthy 35 years-old male.35.38.38.

39

14.



Figure 4 Right ventricular full-volume acquisition by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography from
 an apparently healthy 29 years-old female (left) and the surface geometry obtained from analysis of the
 dataset (right).



Figure 5 Regional right ventricular function measured by color Doppler Imaging of the basal
 right ventricular free wall from an apparently healthy 37 years-old female (left) and speckle tracking
 echocardiography from an apparently healthy 35 years-old male (right) measuring deformation.

27.

14.

28. area-length method was as accurate as more complex methods (Table 2). The best agreement 29. between 3D echo and CMR imaging was obtained by Niemann et al<sup>30</sup> who used a prototype 30. software package that enables analysis of both real-time 3D echo and CMR images with the 31. same rotational approach. Despite good to excellent correlations between 3D echo and CMR 32. imaging in all studies, significant biases in RV volumes by 3D echo and CMR imaging have been 33. found (Table 3, Figure 6). Concerning reproducibility, Lytrivi et al<sup>26</sup> studied 27 patients and 34. found low inter-observer values (0.3 ± 0.4 and -0.1 ± 0.2) for velocities based on color tissue 35. Doppler imaging (Table 5).

36

#### 37. Tetralogy of Fallot

38. We identified 14 articles, <sup>22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 33, 36, 38-40, 44-47</sup> in which RV size and/or function were judged

39. in patients with ToF. In the largest study, TAPSE was assessed in 88 pediatric and adolescent

6 Chapter 2

agreement Limits of ± 25 ± 19 ± 12 ± 16 ± 27 ± 7 5 + echo, ml CMR, ml difference, -14 (15) -30 (29) 4 (12) -11 (17) -13 (30) Mean -3 (7) 0) 0 ٣,  $102 \pm 44$  $44 \pm 25$ 65 ± 8 57 ± 10 154 ± 42 157 ± 51 92 ± 27 33 ± 13 Mean value  $46 \pm 13$ or % 43 ± 10 72 ± 29 32 ± 14 72 ± 39 32 ± 24 57 ± 11 Mean value or % , 0.54/ 0.70/ 0.39\* 0.33/ 0.52/ 0.51\* 0.35 - 0.84 0.87 0.63 0.39 0.60 0.63 0.47 0.86 0.82 0.66 0.71 0.51 \_ . inter-technique Kendall's tau mean of the Spearman's Spearman's correlation correlation correlation correlation correlation correlation Systematic difference: difference **Bland and** difference **Bland and** Feasibility in Statistical <sup>D</sup>earson's Pearson's Pearson's method Altman Altman paired consecutive patients 100% 52% RV fractional area change RV fractional area change **RV EDV based on model RV** function Eyeballing: RV function **RV** basal dimension **RV basal dimension** Eyeballing: RV size Echo parameters **RV dimensions Bi-plane EDV** <3 monthsBi-plane ESV **Bi-plane EDV** <2 hours Bi-plane ESV TAPSE <48 hours TAPSE ᇤ Ш months months  $49 \pm 54$ 76 ± 44  $66 \pm 51$ 0 - 0 Time interval days 0 - 0 days days , 21 (4-57) 14 (0-60) Healthy ctrls 16 (4-46) 12 ± 3 Age (y) 32 ± 10 33 ± 12 21 ± 10 25 ± 5 11 ± 3 0 - 28 11 ± 2 17 ± 7 Healthy ctrls Various CHD Various CHD Systemic RV Systemic RV Patients Fontan ASD ТоF ΤoF ToF ToF 16 23 124 Ň. 52 18 118 31 33 30 88 67 Koestenberger<sup>45</sup> Margossian<sup>28</sup> Greutmann<sup>44</sup> Puchalski<sup>23</sup> Salehian<sup>24</sup> Helbing<sup>9</sup> Lissin<sup>48</sup> Author Hui<sup>27</sup> Lai<sup>22</sup>

Table 2. Right ventricular size and function by M-mode and two-dimensional echocardiography

Accuracy of echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging-derived volumes and/ or ejection fraction.

as mean ± standard deviation or median with (range). CHD indicates congenital heart disease, RV right ventricle, ToF tetralogy of Fallot, ASD atrial septal defect, ctrls \* R-value for tetralogy of Fallot patients, atrial septal defect patients and healthy controls respectively. No. indicates number of participants; age is either indicated controls, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, EDV end-diastolic volume, ESV end-systolic volume, EF ejection fraction.

1. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 13. 14. 16. 17. 18. 19. 21. 24. 27. 28. 34. 38. 39.
| <ol> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>39.</li> </ol> | 34.      | 31.<br>32.<br>33.            | 29.<br>30.    | 26.<br>27.<br>28. | 24.<br>25.             | 20.<br>21.<br>22.<br>23                                | 17.<br>18.<br>19.                         | 13.<br>14.<br>15.<br>16.                               | 12.                  | 9.<br>10.                                  | 7.<br>8.                                  | 4.<br>5.<br>6.                 | 1.<br>2.<br>3.             |
|---|----------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Table 3. Right vent   | :riculă  | ır assessment k              | by three-     | dimension         | al echocard            | iography   |   |  |                      |  |   |                                |                            |
| Author  | No.      | Patients                     | Age (y)       | Time<br>interval  | 3D echo<br>technique   | Echo<br>parameters                                     | Feasibility in<br>consecutive<br>patients | Statistical<br>method<br>inter-technique<br>difference | -                    | Mean<br>value<br>echo, ml<br>or %          | Mean<br>value<br>CMR, ml<br>or %          | Mean<br>difference,<br>ml (%)  | Limits of<br>agreement, ml |
| Vogel <sup>32</sup>   | 13<br>3  | Various CHD<br>Healthy ctrls | 10±6          | <24 hours         | Rotational<br>scanning | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 100%                                      | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.95<br>0.87<br>-    | ı  | I   | ,                              | + + +<br>5 5               |
| Papavassiliou <sup>31</sup>   | 13       | Various CHD                  | 6±3           | <1 hour           | Rotational<br>scanning | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 88%                                       | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.95<br>0.95<br>0.80 | 58 ± 27<br>36 ± 22<br>42 ± 12              | 68 ± 39<br>40 ± 33<br>46 ± 12             | -10 (15)<br>-4 (10)<br>-4 (9)  | ± 16<br>± 14<br>± 7        |
| Abd el Rahman <sup>46</sup>   | 21       | ToF                          | 14<br>(3-44)  | <24 hours         | Rotational<br>scanning | EDV <sub>indexed</sub><br>ESV <sub>indexed</sub><br>EF | 100%                                      | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.95<br>0.93<br>-    | 80 (20)<br>38 (14)<br>53 (11)              | ı.  |                                | ± 28<br>± 21<br>-          |
| Niemann <sup>30</sup>   | 14<br>16 | Various CHD<br>Healthy ctrls | 9±6<br>39±22  | Same visit        | Real-time              | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 100%                                      | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.99<br>0.98<br>0.97 | $71 \pm 15$<br>$40 \pm 10$<br>$44 \pm 7$   | $71 \pm 15$<br>$39 \pm 10$<br>$44 \pm 8$  | -1 (1)<br>-1 (3)<br>0 (0)      | + 1<br>+ 2<br>+ 3          |
| lriart <sup>29</sup>  | 20<br>14 | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls         | 31 ± 14       | <24 hours         | Real-time              | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 92%                                       | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.93<br>0.92<br>0.73 | $144 \pm 52$<br>77 ± 36<br>48 ± 8          | $163 \pm 55$<br>$86 \pm 38$<br>$48 \pm 7$ | -19 (12)<br>-9 (11)<br>0 (0)   | ± 21<br>± 15<br>± 6        |
| Khoo <sup>42</sup>  | 28       | Various CHD                  | 17<br>(12-25) | <2 hours          | Real-time              | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 52%                                       | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.91<br>0.89<br>0.78 | 182 ± 71<br>96 ± 49<br>49 ± 9              | 237 ± 95<br>128 ± 70<br>48 ± 10           | -55 (23)<br>-32 (25)<br>1 (7)  |                            |
| Grewal <sup>33</sup>  | 25       | ToF                          | 35 ± 14       | <24 hours         | Real-time              | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 86%                                       | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.88<br>0.89<br>0.89 | $249 \pm 66$<br>$147 \pm 50$<br>$42 \pm 8$ | 274 ± 82<br>159 ± 60<br>44 ± 7            | -25 (9)<br>-14 (9)<br>-2 (5)   |                            |
| Van der Zwaan <sup>10</sup>   | 50       | Various CHD                  | 27 ± 10       | <2 hours          | Real-time              | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF                                       | 81%                                       | Bland and<br>Altman                                    | 0.93<br>0.91<br>0.74 | 185 ± 71<br>103 ± 48<br>46 ± 8             | 219 ± 86<br>114 ± 62<br>49 ± 10           | -34 (16)<br>-11 (10)<br>-4 (8) | ± 32<br>± 27<br>± 6        |

|                | -               |          | NS                           | 0.73                         | 0.40                         | 0.82                         | 0.29                                     | 0.37                                       | 0.25  | 0.33                         | 200                   | 10.0                 | 0.35                | 0.62                 |             | 0.82                    |  |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|--|
| Feasibility in | consecutive     | patients | ı                            | ı                            | ı                            | ı                            | 100%                                     | 91%  | 97%   |                              | 7000                  | 02.00                |                     | 1                    |             | ŗ                       |  |
|                | Echo parameters |          | Myocardial performance index | Myocardial performance index | Myocardial performance index | Myocardial performance index | Peak systolic tricuspid annular velocity | Acceleration during isovolumic contraction | Peak velocity during isovolumic contraction | Myocardial performance index | nicational station    | congruential straint | Longitudinal strain | Strain rate          |             | Circumferential strain  |  |
|                | Echo technique  |          | Spectral Doppler             | Spectral Doppler             | Spectral tissue Doppler      | Spectral tissue Doppler      |  | Color ticcuo Donalor                       | color lissue Doppier                        |                              | Color tirring Donalor | בטוטו נואאש שאנגוו   | 20 sockto to clina  | דת אאבראוב וומראוווא |             | Color tissue Doppler    |  |
|                | Time interval   |          | <48 hours                    | <6 months                    | ı                            | $66 \pm 51 \text{ days}$     |  | 2000 4 60 1                                | < 24 nours                                  |                              | 2010410               |                      |                     | I                    |             | I                       |  |
|                | Age (y)         |          | $25 \pm 5$                   | 37 ± 12                      | $16 \pm 3$                   | 32±10                        |  | (07 2) 31                                  | (7 <del>7</del> -0) CI                      |                              | C + L L               | -+-<br>              | $21 \pm 4$          | $19 \pm 4$           | 18±1        | $18 \pm 5$              |  |
|                | Patients        |          | Systemic RV                  | ToF                          | ToF                          | Systemic RV                  |  |  |   |                              | Systemic RV           | Healthy ctrls        | Systemic RV         | Healthy ctrls        | Systemic RV | Other CHD               |  |
|                | No.             |          | 18                           | 57                           | 30                           | 29                           |  | 36   | 5   |                              | 20                    | 30                   | 26                  | 27                   | 14          | 14                      |  |
|                | Author          |          | Lissin <sup>48</sup>         | Schwerzmann <sup>25</sup>    | Cheung <sup>47</sup>         | Salehian <sup>37</sup>       |  |  |   |                              | Eveloped3             | clipycd              | Chow/11             |                      |             | Pettersen <sup>12</sup> |  |

Table 4. Right ventricular function by Doppler and speckle tracking echocardiography

Abbreviations see Table 2.

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38.

39.

8 Chapter 2



Figure 6The right ventricular volume differences measured by 2-dimensional echocardiography and14.3-dimensional echocardiography compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

15.

16. patients with ToF and compared with CMR imaging resulting in modest correlations.<sup>45</sup> Grewal

et al<sup>33</sup> compared 3D echo-derived volumes and EF with CMR imaging and found correlation
 coefficients ranging from 0.88-0.89 with mean differences between 5 to 9% (Table 3). Iriart et

19. al<sup>29</sup> studied 34 patients and healthy controls for reproducibility and reported high correlation

20. coefficients, 0.86 to 0.99, for RV volumes with small mean differences, 0.1  $\pm$  4 to 0.4  $\pm$  14 ml

21. (Table 5).

22.

23. Atrial septal defect

24. Lai et al<sup>22</sup> performed a retrospective study in 33 patients with ASD, 31 with ToF and 23 healthy 25. controls. They found the RV basal dimension to correlate with a coefficient of 0.52 with CMR-26. derived end-diastolic volume, and the RV fractional area change with a coefficient of 0.70 with 27. CMR-derived EF. They concluded that patients with CHD had worse correlations compared with 28. healthy controls (Table 2). In 5 articles data on reproducibility were reported, the largest group 29. being performed by Jategaonkar et al<sup>41</sup> in 33 ASD patients by 2D speckle tracking. They found 30. inter- and intra-observer values of respectively  $5 \pm 3\%$  and  $6 \pm 4\%$  for longitudinal strain and 7 31.  $\pm 4\%$  and  $10 \pm 8\%$  for strain rate (Table 5).

33. Systemic right ventricle

In 8 articles accuracy and reproducibility data on patients with systemic right ventricles were
given.<sup>11, 12, 20, 24, 34, 35, 43, 48</sup> TAPSE was compared with CMR-derived EF and resulted in a correlation coefficient of 0.63 (Table 2).<sup>48</sup> Interestingly, accuracy of the myocardial performance index
ranged from a non-significant correlation up to a correlation coefficient of 0.82 in comparable
patient populations. Pettersen et al<sup>12</sup> were the only ones to give a mean difference between
circumferential strain measured by color tissue Doppler imaging and the reference technique

| Chapter 2 |  |
|-----------|--|
| 40        |  |

| Author   | Patients                     | Age (y)                | Echo technique     | Echo parameters                         | No.      | Intra-ok     | oserver values | Inter-obs    | erver values                |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
|  |                              |                        |                    |   |          | -            | Difference     | -            | Difference                  |
| Puchalski <sup>23</sup>                                | Various CHD                  | 17 ± 7                 | 2D echo            | Eyeballing: global RV function          | 22*      | ı.           | I              | 0.07         | ı.                          |
| Lai <sup>22</sup>                                      | ToF<br>ASD                   | 21 (4-57)<br>14 (0-60) | 2D echo            | RV basal dimension<br>RV diastolic area | 10       |              | ı              |              | 0.1-0.5<br>0.2-4            |
|  | Healthy ctrls                | 16 (4-46)              |                    | RV systolic area                        | 10       |              |                |              | 0.3-2                       |
| Hui <sup>27</sup>                                      | ToF                          | 21 ± 10                | 2D echo            | RV diastolic area<br>RV systolic area   | 10       |              |                |              | 6 ± 3%<br>6 ± 4%            |
|  | L                            |                        | -                  | RV fractional area change               | ,        |              |                |              | 4 ± 3%                      |
| Koestenberger <sup>12</sup><br>Greutmann <sup>44</sup> | ToF                          | 0 - 28<br>33 ± 12      | 2D echo<br>2D echo | IAPSE<br>2D echo EDV based on model     | 16<br>20 |              | 1 ± 4          |              | -<br>0.1 ± 4                |
|  |                              |                        |                    | Bi-plane EDV                            |          | 0.88 #       | 14 (20%)°      | 0.85 #       | 20 (26%)°                   |
| Margossian <sup>28</sup>                               | Fontan                       | 12±3                   | 2D echo            | Bi-plane ESV                            | 46       | 0.86 #       | 7 (21%)°       | 0.82 #       | 10 (28%)°                   |
|  |                              |                        |                    | EF                                      |          | 0.35 #       | 9 (15%)°       | 0.43 #       | 8 (15%)°                    |
| Wilson <sup>20</sup>                                   | Systemic RV                  | 19 (11-29)             | 2D echo            | Single-plane EF                         | 22       | 0.81         | ,              | 0.73         |                             |
| Vogel <sup>32</sup>                                    | Various CHD<br>Healthy ctrls | $10\pm 6$              | Rotational 3D echo | EDV<br>ESV                              | 5        | ,            | I              | ı.           | 4%<br>5%                    |
| Papavassiliou <sup>31</sup>                            | Various CHD                  | 6 ± 3                  | Rotational 3D echo | EDV                                     | 12       | ı            | ı              | 0.96         | $1 \pm 9$                   |
| Grison <sup>21</sup>                                   | ASD                          | 18+5                   | Rotational 3D echo | EDV<br>ESV                              | 14       | 66.0         | 0.03^<br>0.03^ | ,            | ï                           |
| Niemann <sup>30</sup>                                  | Various CHD<br>Healthy ctrls | $9\pm 6$<br>$39\pm 22$ | Real-time 3D echo  | ,                                       | 14<br>16 | ,            | 3%             | ı            | < 10%                       |
| lriart <sup>29</sup>                                   | ToF<br>Licolthic state       | 26 (17-54)             | Real-time 3D echo  | EDV<br>ESV                              | 34       | 0.99<br>0.98 | 1 ± 8<br>1 ± 8 | 0.96<br>0.98 | $0.4 \pm 14$<br>$0.5 \pm 7$ |
|  |                              | (++-77) 70             |                    | EF                                      |          | 0.86         | $0.1 \pm 4$    | 0.87         | $0.2 \pm 4$                 |
|  |                              | 17                     |                    | EDV                                     |          |              |                | 0.84         | 21 ± 27                     |
| Khoo <sup>42</sup>                                     | Various CHD                  | (12-25)                | Real-time 3D echo  | ESV                                     | 10       |              | •              | 0.58         | 16 ± 18                     |
|  |                              | 124 4 - 1              |                    |   |          |              |                |              |                             |

Table 5. Reproducibility of echocardiographic techniques for right ventricular size and function assessment

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39.

4 ± 3

ï

出

| Table 5. Continued          | •                                   |                    | •                          | •   | •   | •             |                       | •            |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|-----|---------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| Author                      | Patients                            | Age (y)            | Echo technique             | Echo parameters   | No. | Intra-observe | er values             | Inter-obs    | erver values                                 |
|                             |                                     |                    |                            |   |     | r Dif         | ference               | -            | Difference                                   |
| Grewal <sup>33</sup>        | ToF                                 | 35 ± 14            | Real-time 3D echo          | EDV<br>EF   | 15  |               | 8.7%<br>5.4%          |              | 16%<br>10%                                   |
| Van der Zwaan <sup>10</sup> | Various CHD                         | 27 ± 10            | Real-time 3D echo          | EDV<br>ESV<br>EF  | 25  | - 7 - 6       | ±12%<br>± 14%<br>± 9% | ,            | $1 \pm 15\%$<br>$6 \pm 17\%$<br>$8 \pm 13\%$ |
| Ishii <sup>34</sup>         | ASD<br>Systemic RV<br>Healthy ctrls | 8±6<br>13±2<br>5±6 | Spectral Doppler           | Myocardial performance index  |     | ,             | ,                     | 0.97         | 0 ± 0.01                                     |
| Norozi <sup>35</sup>        | Systemic RV Shunt<br>defects        | 23 ± 7<br>22 ±7    | Spectral Doppler           | Myocardial performance index  | 20  | ı             | ı.                    | ,            | 0 ± 0.1                                      |
| Salehian <sup>37</sup>      | Systemic RV                         | 32 ± 10            | Spectral tissue<br>Doppler | Myocardial performance index  | 10  | ۳<br>۱        | ± 2%                  | 0.70         | 6 ± 3%                                       |
| Yasuoka <sup>38</sup>       | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls                | 6 ± 2<br>7 ± 3     | Spectral tissue<br>Doppler | Peak systolic tricuspid annular<br>velocity<br>Myocardial performance index   | 10  | v v           | ±3%<br>±4%            | 0.92<br>0.96 | 5 ± 3%<br>6 ± 3%                             |
| Harada <sup>36</sup>        | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls                | 10±3<br>11±2       | Spectral tissue<br>Doppler | Peak systolic tricuspid annular<br>velocity   | 18  | 0.94 -0       | <b>).1 ± 1</b>        | 0.94         | 0.2 ± 1                                      |
| Salehian <sup>37</sup>      | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls                | 36 ± 13<br>Matched | Spectral tissue<br>Doppler | Peak systolic tricuspid annular<br>velocity<br>Peak velocity during isovolumic<br>contraction Acceleration during<br>isovolumic contraction | 10  | - 2 2         | ± 2%<br>± 2%<br>± 2%  |              | 3 ± 2%<br>3 ± 2%<br>5 ± 3%                   |

Systematic review on RV quantification in congenital heart disease 41

| Chapter 2 |
|-----------|
| 42        |

Table 5. Continued

| Author                    | Patients                     | Age (y)                       | Echo technique             | Echo parameters   | No.          | Intra-ob:    | server values               | Inter-obs    | erver values                 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
|                           |                              |                               |                            |   |              | r            | Difference                  | r            | Difference                   |
| Toyona <sup>40</sup>      | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls         | 8 ± 3<br>9 ± 3                | Spectral tissue<br>Doppler | Peak velocity during isovolumic<br>contraction<br>Acceleration during isovolumic<br>contraction | 10           | ı            | 6 ± 4%<br>6 ± 3%            | 0.94<br>0.97 | 5 ± 3%<br>6 ± 3%             |
| Lytrivi <sup>26</sup>     | Various CHD                  | 15 (6-42)                     | Color tissue Doppler       | Peak systolic tricuspid annular<br>velocity<br>Acceleration during isovolumic<br>contraction    | 14, 27**     | 0.97<br>0.85 | 0.3 ± 0.4<br>-0.1 ± 0.2     | 0.95<br>0.93 | 0.1 ± 1<br>0.01 ± 0.2        |
| Eyskens <sup>43</sup>     | ASD<br>Healthy ctrls         | 8 ± 5<br>9 ± 7                | Color tissue Doppler       | Longitudinal strain<br>Longitudinal strain rate   | 51           | I.           | $11 \pm 5\%$<br>$9 \pm 6\%$ | I            | $12 \pm 5\%$<br>$14 \pm 6\%$ |
| Solarz <sup>39</sup>      | ToF<br>Healthy ctrls         | 7 ± 4 (2-15)<br>10 ± 5 (3-16) | Color tissue Doppler       | Longitudinal strain<br>Longitudinal strain rate   | 40           | I.           | 16%<br>20%                  | I            | 14%<br>15%                   |
| Chow <sup>11</sup>        | Systemic RV<br>Healthy ctrls | 21 (4)<br>19 (4)              | 2D speckle<br>tracking     | Longitudinal strain   | 20           | I.           | 0.1 (1%)                    | I            | 0.2 (2%)                     |
| Jategaonkar <sup>41</sup> | ASD<br>Healthy ctrls         | 45 ± 19                       | 2D speckle tracking        | Longitudinal strain<br>Longitudinal strain rate   | 33<br>34     |              | $5 \pm 3\%$ $7 \pm 4\%$     |              | 6 ± 4%<br>10 ± 8%            |
| * Four raters iudged t    | he images. # Flei            | ss Kappa coe                  | fficient: non significar   | nt. # Intraclass correlation coefficient  | s. ° Within- | subject SD   | (% mean). ^ Co              | efficient o  |                              |

variability.<sup>1</sup> Data expressed by Cohen's K correlation.<sup>\*\*</sup> 14 patients were studied for intra-observer values and 27 for inter-observer values. Abbreviations see Table 2.

|   | 1      | • |
|---|--------|---|
|   | 2      | • |
|   | 3      | • |
|   | 4      |   |
|   | 5      | • |
|   | 6      |   |
|   | 7      | • |
|   | 8      |   |
|   | 9      |   |
| 1 | 0      |   |
| 1 | 1      | • |
| 1 | 2      | • |
| 1 | 3      | • |
| 1 | 4      |   |
| 1 | 5      | • |
| 1 | 6      |   |
| 1 | 7      | • |
| 1 | 8      |   |
| 1 | 9      |   |
| 2 | 0      |   |
| 2 | 1      | • |
| 2 | 2      | • |
| 2 | 3      | • |
| 2 | 4      |   |
| 2 | 5      | • |
| 2 | 6      |   |
| 2 | 7      | • |
| 2 | 8      |   |
| 2 | 9      |   |
| 3 | 0      |   |
| 3 | 1      | • |
| 3 | 2      | • |
| 3 | 3      | • |
| 3 | 4      |   |
| 3 | 5      | • |
| 3 | 0      |   |
| 3 | /      | • |
| 3 | d<br>c | • |
| С | J      |   |

1. of choice, CMR tagging. They found no difference between the two techniques (Table 4). Chow

2. et al<sup>11</sup> found low inter- and intra-observer values of 0.1 (1%) to 0.2 (2%) for longitudinal strain

- 3. measurements based on 2D speckle tracking (Table 5).
- 4.

#### 5

### 6. DISCUSSION

7.

In this systematic review we compared data on the accuracy and reproducibility of echocar-8. diography with CMR imaging, for RV assessment in patients with CHD. A first surprising finding of this review was that only a few studies tested the agreement between echocardiography and CMR imaging and the sample sizes of all studies were rather small. A second finding was that newer echocardiographic techniques such as 3D echo were more accurate for RV quantification 12. than the conventional 2D echo-derived measurements. Doppler based techniques measuring regional RV wall motion velocities, strain, and strain rate showed a wide range of correlations 14. compared with RV EF by CMR imaging. The differences in agreements seen between both techniques between the various studies may firstly be caused by the time-interval between echocardiography and CMR imaging. Measurements on RV volumes and EF are load dependent, so 17. 18. time intervals of more than a few hours or days may be a potential confounder in the volume differences found between the two techniques.<sup>49</sup> Secondly, the earliest study that we included, 19. dates from 1995 when multiphase gradient echo CMR imaging was applied. Volumes and EF 21. estimated by this CMR technique are underestimated compared with the currently used cine steady-state free precession sequences due to the difference in endocardial border definition.<sup>50,</sup> <sup>51</sup> Thirdly, RV assessment by CMR imaging is effected by the choice of slices used for analysis, and the inclusion or exclusion of trabeculae that will affect the calculation of RV volumes. The 24. choice of the basal slice containing the tricuspid valve and the choice to take into account the 25. RV outflow tract will significantly influence RV volumes. Fourthly, different ultrasound systems 27. and at last the differences in the underlying pathologies studied may account for the variation 28. in agreements. The comparison of reproducibility data was complex, since various statistical methods were used. Nevertheless, several studies concluded that 2D- and 3D echo based volumes and color TDI-derived velocities have shown a reproducibility that is considered acceptable for clinical practice.<sup>26, 28, 29</sup> The best way to consider reproducibility is the test-retest variability, which has not been studied by any of the included studies. Test-retest variability comprises not only analysis-based differences but also differences that are caused by different acquisitions. There-34 fore it more closely reflects the everyday clinical use of a technique.<sup>52</sup>

36. The differences in agreement between the various studies using 3D echo, may be caused by 37. the following factors. RV size will influence the ability to include the entire endocardial surface: 38. the larger the right ventricle is, the more difficult it will be to include both the apex and the RV 39. outflow tract into one dataset. In addition, previous cardiac surgery, age, and gender all influence image quality, and image quality is an essential element for accurate measurements. Surprisingly,
 3D echo was found to be applicable in a high percentage of consecutive patients in all studies
 included in this review. It is unlikely that these results obtained by very experienced centres can
 directly be translated into everyday clinical practice; initially a lower feasibility may be expected.
 4.

Validation of Doppler- and speckle tracking-based measurements is complicated, because the most accurate reference technique, CMR tagging, is difficult to apply onto the thin RV wall. In normal hearts, a good regional function may reflect the function of the entire right venricle, 7. but in abnormal hearts, e.g. with non-contracting patch material in the interventricular septum 8. and RV outflow tract (tetralogy of Fallot), a good regional function of the inlet of the right 9. ventricle can co-exist with a poor EF. It is debatable what a better reflector of RV function is in 10. this respect. The RV myocardial performance index (Tei index) has been used as a measurement 11. for RV function<sup>25</sup> but it is only in the systemic right ventricle that a good correlation with CMR 12. imaging was described.<sup>24</sup> The index is much debated, since it includes an isovolumic contrac- 13. tion and relaxation time which on the pressure-volume analysis of the normal subpulmonary 14. right ventricle are not present,<sup>53, 54</sup> and consequently, the relevance of the index is unclear. 15. Specific use of this index in the subpulmonary right ventricle is not recommended based on 16. the findings of this literature review.

TDI measuring velocities can be used to assess RV longitudinal motion, but this measure is 18. affected by the overall heart motion. Therefore, strain and strain rate imaging methods were 19. developed, measuring the degree of myocardial deformation (strain) or rate of deformation 20. over time (strain rate). Measurement of myocardial acceleration during isovolumic contraction 21. is a load-independent index of systolic function, in contrast with the aforementioned measure-22. ments that are load-dependent.<sup>55</sup> The integration of TDI and speckle tracking in clinical practice 23. remains limited so far, partly due to the complicated off-line analysis and partly because the 24. role in clinical decision making remains to be delineated. The current ease of using speckle 25. tracking echocardiography will result in limited post-processing times. 26.

#### **Clinical implications**

27. 28.

The recently published guidelines on the management of grown-up CHD by the European 29. Society of Cardiology state that, despite the fact that CMR imaging is considered superior to 30. conventional echocardiography for the quantification of RV volumes and EF, echocardiography 31. remains the first-line investigation and continues to evolve, with improved functional assessment using 3D echo.<sup>56</sup> The data from the studies included in this review indicate that centres 33. following patients with congenital heart defects should use 3D echo, provided the technique 34. has been mastered after an appropriate learning period for acquisition and analysis. Using 3D echo will result in accurate estimations of RV volumes and EF. Because 3D echo has practical 36. advantages over CMR imaging, being its ease of use, patient comfort, portability, speed, and 37. relative inexpensiveness, it may become the technique of choice for serial follow-up. Systematic different RV volumes will be found using 3D echo compared with CMR imaging, but normal 39.

values have been defined for 3D echo.<sup>57, 58</sup> If patients have poor acoustic windows, CMR imaging remains the indicated imaging technique. In case of contra-indications for CMR imaging, such 2. as implanted pacemakers or defibrillators, computed tomography provides an alternative.<sup>59</sup> 4. Ventricular size and function can be assessed by computed tomography with inferior temporal resolution compared with CMR imaging. Moreover, the ionizing radiation used in computed tomography makes serial use unattractive.<sup>60</sup> In the articles included in this review three main patient groups have been studied: ToF. ASD, and systemic right ventricles. In accordance with the guidelines,<sup>7, 56</sup> we would suggest 8. 9. using areas by 2D echo, TAPSE, tissue Doppler imaging of the RV lateral wall, and on top of this 3D echo in patients with ToF or ASD. The first two measurements can be applied because of their simplicity and reproducibility, but as their accuracy is limited, multiple views must be used. In addition, in patients with systemic right ventricles, the RV myocardial performance 12. index may be used instead of TAPSE, since the function of these right ventricles is shifted from longitudinal towards circumferential.<sup>12</sup> CMR imaging should be applied in case RV dysfunction 14. is suspected in patients with CHD with RV pressure- and/ or volume-overload or in case of suboptimal acoustic windows.<sup>59</sup> If significant changes in echocardiographic measurements are found over time, CMR imaging is the preferred method to confirm the echocardiographic data. 18. Study limitations 19 Most of the included studies, except the study of Lai et al.<sup>22</sup> did not report on agreement or 21. reproducibility in the various patient groups versus healthy controls, so we could not answer the guestion whether certain echo based measurements are accurate in the normal right ventricle,

but not in patients with CHD. Possible differences in agreement or reproducibility between
 abnormal and normal right ventricles remain undetected if they are analysed together. This
 may also have influenced the strength of correlations and mean differences in many studies
 and therefore the overall conclusion.

The sample size or the rank or impact factor of the journal were not used to assess the methodology quality of the included articles, since mostly smaller studies were performed. Most
articles published on echocardiography of the right ventricle in congenital heart disease were
published in imaging journals.

31.

32

#### 33. CONCLUSIONS

34.

Besides the by guidelines recommended RV echocardiographic measurements, the accumu lated data in this review indicate that 3D echocardiography should be used for serial follow-up
 in patients with CHD. In case of poor acoustic windows or if deterioration of RV function is
 suspected based on echocardiographic measurements, CMR imaging remains the indicated

39. technique.

| 1.  | Davlouros PA, Niwa K, Webb G, Gatzoulis MA. The right ventricle in congenital heart disease. Heart. 2006:92 Suppl 1:i27-38.                              | 1.       |
|-----|--|----------|
| 2.  | Voelkel NF, Quaife RA, Leinwand LA, Barst RJ, McGoon MD, Meldrum DR, et al. Right ventricular func-  | 2.       |
|     | tion and failure: report of a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute working group on cellular and  | 3.       |
|     | molecular mechanisms of right heart failure. Circulation. 2006;114:1883-91.  | 4        |
| 3.  | Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, Landzberg MJ, Walsh EP, Lock JE, et al. Ventricular size and function  | -T.      |
|     | assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair.   | 5.       |
| 4   | Heart, 2008;94:211-6.  | 6.       |
| 4.  | single or systemic right ventricles. Circulation. 2002;105:1189-94.  | 7.       |
| 5.  | Rutledge JM, Nihill MR, Fraser CD, Smith OE, McMahon CJ, Bezold LI. Outcome of 121 patients with   | 8.       |
| _   | congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries. Pediatr Cardiol. 2002;23:137-45.   | 9.       |
| 6.  | van Wolferen SA, Marcus JT, Boonstra A, Marques KM, Bronzwaer JG, Spreeuwenberg MD, et al.   | 10.      |
|     | Prognostic value of right ventricular mass, volume, and function in idiopathic pulmonary arterial  | 11.      |
| 7   | nypertension. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:1230-7.<br>Rudski I.G. Lai WW. Afilalo I. Hua I. Handschumacher MD. Chandrasekaran K. et al. Guidelines for the       | 12       |
| 7.  | echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of   | 12       |
|     | Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch   | 15.      |
|     | of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc  | 14.      |
|     | Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685-713; quiz 86-8.  | 15.      |
| 8.  | Jiang L, Levine RA, Weyman AE. Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and  | 16.      |
| 0   | Function. Echocardiography. 1997;14:189-206.   | 17.      |
| 9.  | echocardiographic methods with magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of right ventricular  | 18.      |
|     | function in children. Am J Cardiol. 1995:76:589-94.  | 19.      |
| 10. | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al.   | 20       |
|     | Clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification  | 20.      |
|     | in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-   | ΖΙ,      |
|     | diogr. 2010;23:134-40.   | 22.      |
| 11. | Chow PC, Liang XC, Cheung EW, Lam WW, Cheung YF. New two-dimensional global longitudinal strain  | 23.      |
|     | and strain rate imaging for assessment of systemic right ventricular function. Heart. 2008;94:855-9.   | 24.      |
| 12. | Pettersen E, Helle-Valle I, Edvardsen I, Lindberg H, Smith HJ, Smevik B, et al. Contraction pattern of   | 25.      |
|     | ventricular torsion. I Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49:2450-6   | 26       |
| 13. | Hiraishi S. DiSessa TG. Jarmakani JM. Nakanishi T, Isabel-Jones JB, Friedman WF. Two-dimensional   | 27       |
|     | echocardiographic assessment of right ventricular volume in children with congenital heart disease.  | 27.      |
|     | Am J Cardiol. 1982;50:1368-75.   | 28.      |
| 14. | Watanabe T, Katsume H, Matsukubo H, Furukawa K, Ijichi H. Estimation of right ventricular volume   | 29.      |
|     | with two dimensional echocardiography. Am J Cardiol. 1982;49:1946-53.  | 30.      |
| 15. | Noori NM, Mehralizadeh S, Khaje A. Assessment of right ventricular function in children with congeni-  | 31.      |
|     | tal heart disease. Doppler tissue imaging. Saudi Med J. 2008;29:1168-72.   | 32       |
| 16. | Vogel M, Derrick G, White PA, Cullen S, Aichner H, Deanfield J, et al. Systemic ventricular function in  | 22.      |
|     | patients with transposition of the great arteries after atrial repair: a tissue Doppler and conductance cathoter study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:100-6 | 55.      |
| 17  | Watanabe M. Ono S. Tomomasa T. Okada Y. Kohavashi T. Suzuki T. et al. Measurement of tricusnid   | 34.      |
|     | annular diastolic velocities by Doppler tissue imaging to assess right ventricular function in patients  | 35.      |
|     | with congenital heart disease. Pediatr Cardiol. 2003;24:463-7.   | 36.      |
| 18. | Boneva R, Milanesi O, Zucchetta P, Moreolo GS, Secchieri S, Gregianin M, et al. Comparison between   | 37.      |
|     | echocardiographic subtraction method and first-pass radionuclide ventriculography for measuring  | 38       |
|     |  | <u> </u> |

39.

Systematic review on RV quantification in congenital heart disease right ventricular volume after operative "repair" of patients with tetralogy of Fallot. Am J Cardiol. 1998:81:1258-62. 19. Hoffman P. Szymanski P. Lubiszewska B. Rozanski J. Echocardiographic evaluation of the systemic ventricle after atrial switch procedure. The usefulness of subcostal imaging. Cardiol J. 2008;15:156-61. 20. Wilson NJ, Neutze JM, Rutland MD, Ramage MC. Transthoracic echocardiography for right ventricular 4. function late after the Mustard operation. Am Heart J. 1996;131:360-7. 21. Grison A, Maschietto N, Reffo E, Stellin G, Padalino M, Vida V, et al. Three-dimensional echocardiographic evaluation of right ventricular volume and function in pediatric patients: validation of the 6. technique. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:921-9. 22. Lai WW, Gauvreau K, Rivera ES, Saleeb S, Powell AJ, Geva T. Accuracy of guideline recommendations 8. for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imag-9. ing. 2008;24:691-8. 23. Puchalski MD, Williams RV, Askovich B, Minich LL, Mart C, Tani LY. Assessment of right ventricular size and function: echo versus magnetic resonance imaging. Congenit Heart Dis. 2007;2:27-31. 24. Salehian O, Schwerzmann M, Merchant N, Webb GD, Siu SC, Therrien J. Assessment of systemic right 12. ventricular function in patients with transposition of the great arteries using the myocardial performance index: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Circulation. 2004;110:3229-33. 13. Schwerzmann M, Samman AM, Salehian O, Holm J, Provost Y, Webb GD, et al. Comparison of echocar-25. 14. diographic and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for assessing right ventricular function in adults with repaired tetralogy of fallot. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99:1593-7. Lytrivi ID, Lai WW, Ko HH, Nielsen JC, Parness IA, Srivastava S. Color Doppler tissue imaging for 16. 26. evaluation of right ventricular systolic function in patients with congenital heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2005;18:1099-104. 18. 27. Hui W, Abd El Rahman MY, Dsebissowa F, Gutberlet M, Alexi-Meskishvili V, Hetzer R, et al. Comparison 19. of modified short axis view and apical four chamber view in evaluating right ventricular function after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. Int J Cardiol. 2005;105:256-61. 28. Margossian R, Schwartz ML, Prakash A, Wruck L, Colan SD, Atz AM, et al. Comparison of echocar-21. diographic and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging measurements of functional single ventricular volumes, mass, and ejection fraction (from the Pediatric Heart Network Fontan Cross-Sectional Study). Am J Cardiol. 2009;104:419-28. Iriart X, Montaudon M, Lafitte S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach T, et al. Right ventricle three-dimen-29. 24. sional echography in corrected tetralogy of fallot: accuracy and variability. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009. 30. Niemann PS, Pinho L, Balbach T, Galuschky C, Blankenhagen M, Silberbach M, et al. Anatomically oriented right ventricular volume measurements with dynamic three-dimensional echocardiography validated by 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1668-76. 27. Papavassiliou DP, Parks WJ, Hopkins KL, Fyfe DA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic measure-31. 28. ment of right ventricular volume in children with congenital heart disease validated by magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1998;11:770-7. 32. Vogel M, Gutberlet M, Dittrich S, Hosten N, Lange PE. Comparison of transthoracic three dimensional echocardiography with magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of right ventricular volume 31. and mass. Heart. 1997;78:127-30. 33. Grewal J, Majdalany D, Syed I, Pellikka P, Warnes CA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of right ventricular volume and function in adult patients with congenital heart disease: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:127-33. 34. 34. Ishii M, Eto G, Tei C, Tsutsumi T, Hashino K, Sugahara Y, et al. Quantitation of the global right ventricular function in children with normal heart and congenital heart disease: a right ventricular myocardial performance index. Pediatr Cardiol. 2000;21:416-21. 35. Norozi K, Buchhorn R, Alpers V, Arnhold JO, Schoof S, Zoege M, et al. Relation of systemic ventricular

- function quantified by myocardial performance index (Tei) to cardiopulmonary exercise capacity in adults after Mustard procedure for transposition of the great arteries. Am J Cardiol. 2005;96:1721-5.

- 36. Harada K, Toyono M, Yamamoto F. Assessment of right ventricular function during exercise with quantitative Doppler tissue imaging in children late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Echo-cardiogr. 2004;17:863-9.
   37. Salehian O, Burwash IG, Chan KL, Beauchesne LM. Tricuspid annular systolic velocity predicts maximal 3.
- Salenian O, Burwash IG, Chan KE, Beaucheshe LM. Throuspid annular systolic velocity predicts maximal oxygen consumption during exercise in adult patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:342-6.
- Yasuoka K, Harada K, Toyono M, Tamura M, Yamamoto F. Tei index determined by tissue Doppler imaging in patients with pulmonary regurgitation after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. Pediatr Cardiol. 6. 2004;25:131-6.
   7.
- Solarz DE, Witt SA, Glascock BJ, Jones FD, Khoury PR, Kimball TR. Right ventricular strain rate and strain analysis in patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: possible interventricular septal compensation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2004;17:338-44.
- 40. Toyono M, Harada K, Tamura M, Yamamoto F, Takada G. Myocardial acceleration during isovolumic contraction as a new index of right ventricular contractile function and its relation to pulmonary regurgitation in patients after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2004;17:332-7.
- Jategaonkar SR, Scholtz W, Butz T, Bogunovic N, Faber L, Horstkotte D. Two-dimensional strain and 12. strain rate imaging of the right ventricle in adult patients before and after percutaneous closure of 13. atrial septal defects. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10:499-502.
   14.
- 42. Khoo NS, Young A, Occleshaw C, Cowan B, Zeng IS, Gentles TL. Assessments of right ventricular volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocar- 16. diogr. 2009;22:1279-88.
   17.
- 43. Eyskens B, Weidemann F, Kowalski M, Bogaert J, Dymarkowski S, Bijnens B, et al. Regional right and left ventricular function after the Senning operation: an ultrasonic study of strain rate and strain.
   18. Cardiol Young. 2004;14:255-64.
- Greutmann M, Tobler D, Biaggi P, Mah ML, Crean A, Oechslin EN, et al. Echocardiography for assessment of right ventricular volumes revisited: a cardiac magnetic resonance comparison study in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:905-11.
- Koestenberger M, Ravekes W, Everett AD, Stueger HP, Heinzl B, Gamillscheg A, et al. Right ventricular
   function in infants, children and adolescents: reference values of the tricuspid annular plane systolic
   excursion (TAPSE) in 640 healthy patients and calculation of z score values. J Am Soc Echocardiogr.
   2009;22:715-9.
- 46. Abd El Rahman MY, Abdul-Khaliq H, Vogel M, Alexi-Meskishvili V, Gutberlet M, Lange PE. Relation <sup>25.</sup> between right ventricular enlargement, QRS duration, and right ventricular function in patients with tetralogy of Fallot and pulmonary regurgitation after surgical repair. Heart. 2000;84:416-20. 27.
- Cheung EW, Lam WW, Cheung SC, Cheung YF. Functional implications of the right ventricular myocardial performance index in patients after surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. Heart Vessels. 2008;23:112-7.
- 48. Lissin LW, Li W, Murphy DJ, Jr., Hornung T, Swan L, Mullen M, et al. Comparison of transthoracic echocardiography versus cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of ventricular function in adults after atrial switch procedures for complete transposition of the great arteries. Am J Cardiol. 2004;93:654-7.
   30.
- Carabello BA. Evolution of the study of left ventricular function: everything old is new again. Circulation. 2002;105:2701-3.
   34.
- Alfakih K, Plein S, Thiele H, Jones T, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MU. Normal human left and right ventricular dimensions for MRI as assessed by turbo gradient echo and steady-state free precession imaging sequences. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2003;17:323-9.
- Alfakih K, Thiele H, Plein S, Bainbridge GJ, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MU. Comparison of right ventricular volume measurement between segmented k-space gradient-echo and steady-state free precession magnetic resonance imaging. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2002;16:253-8.
   39.

| 1   | 52. | Jenkins C, Chan J, Bricknell K, Strudwick M, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of right ventricular volumes   |
|-----|-----|---|
| 1.  |     | and ejection fraction using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac       |
| 2.  | 52  | MRI. Chest. 2007;131:1844-51.   |
| 3.  | 55. | the right ventricle when its loading conditions are modified. Br Heart J. 1990:63:45-9.                 |
| 4.  | 54. | Yoshifuku S, Otsuji Y, Takasaki K, Yuge K, Kisanuki A, Toyonaga K, et al. Pseudonormalized Doppler      |
| 5.  |     | total ejection isovolume (Tei) index in patients with right ventricular acute myocardial infarction. Am |
| 6.  |     | J Cardiol. 2003;91:527-31.  |
| 7.  | 55. | Mertens LL, Friedberg MK. Imaging the right ventricle-current state of the art. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010.  |
| 8.  | 50. | boeffer P De Groot NM de Haan E et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital         |
| 9.  |     | heart disease (new version 2010): The Task Force on the Management of Grown-up Congenital Heart         |
| 10. |     | Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2010.                                 |
| 11  | 57. | Tamborini G, Marsan NA, Gripari P, Maffessanti F, Brusoni D, Muratori M, et al. Reference values for    |
| 12  |     | right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction with real-time three-dimensional echocardiography:      |
| 12. | 58. | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Boersma E, Geleiinse ML, McGhie JS, Soliman OI, et al. Usefulness of      |
| 17. |     | real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients      |
| 14. |     | with congenital heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:843-50.   |
| 15. | 59. | Kilner PJ, Geva T, Kaemmerer H, Trindade PT, Schwitter J, Webb GD. Recommendations for cardio-          |
| 17  |     | groups of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:794-805.                             |
| 17. | 60. | Savino G, Zwerner P, Herzog C, Politi M, Bonomo L, Costello P, et al. CT of cardiac function. J Thorac  |
| 10. |     | Imaging. 2007;22:86-100.  |
| 19. |     |   |
| 20. |     |   |
| 21. |     |   |
| 22. |     |   |
| 23. |     |   |
| 24. |     |   |
| 25. |     |   |
| 26. |     |   |
| 27. |     |   |
| 28. |     |   |
| 29. |     |   |
| 30. |     |   |
| 31. |     |   |
| 32. |     |   |
| 33. |     |   |
| 34. |     |   |
| 35. |     |   |
| 36. |     |   |
| 37. |     |   |
| 38. |     |   |
| 39. |     |   |



# Chapter 3

Three-dimensional echocardiography in adult congenital heart disease

F.J. Meijboom H.B. van der Zwaan J.S. McGhie

In: Buck T, Franke A, Monaghan M, ed. Three-dimensional Echocardiography. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin and Heidelberg GmbH & Co, November 2010. p175-199.

83

latera of which is storing

and and the second states

hen beef haven

manufalitary Alice and the

adding a married and

100

alua di

10.00

1 and the second

400

Due to the success of cardiac surgery in infancy and childhood, starting some 40 years ago and improving ever since, the survival for patients with congenital heart disease has improved dra-2. matically. Over 85% of all patients born with a congenital cardiac defect now survive beyond childhood, often well into adult life. Many of these patients have residual abnormalities, which 4. may be morphological, functional or (most often) both, and these residua tend to change with time. As an example, the patient with repaired tetralogy of Fallot will require long-term monitoring of problems such as pulmonary regurgitation with consequent volume loading of 8. the right ventricle, ventricular dysfunction, and associated arrhythmias. Patients with repaired 9. coarctation will require monitoring of associated lesions, such as bicuspid aortic valve, aortic valve stenosis/regurgitation, recurrent aortic arch obstruction, and systemic arterial blood pressure. The result is that the vast majority of patients, who had their congenital cardiac malformation "repaired" or "corrected" at a young age, require follow-up throughout childhood 12. and adult life. Imaging plays a major role in this follow-up. In current clinical practice, more than 90% of the imaging involves echocardiography. 14. Echocardiography of congenital heart disease is often considered to be one of the most difficult aspects of the technique. The examination should be performed by cardiologists, 16. pediatric cardiologists, or sonographers with great expertise and skill in this specific domain. 17. 18. Most of these professionals are content with two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography and are confident that they can image and evaluate even the most complex congenital cardiac 19. malformation. However, even experienced operators are often challenged by the spatial inter-21. pretation of complex congenital cardiac malformations. This is where three-dimensional (3D) imaging comes in as a very helpful technique; it can show the anatomy of the heart as it is, in its real 3D nature. Even if 3D imaging is broadly acces-

sible and its understanding is more intuitive to a wider audience, ranging from medical students 24. to electrophysiologists and cardiac surgeons, RT3DE scanning of congenital malformations is 25. demanding and should remain in the hands of the more experienced subspecialized echocar-27. diographers. Current 3D platforms have lower spatial and temporal resolution compared with 2D echocardiography, but the added dimension enhances the understanding of congenital 28. heart defects. Unique projections, such as en face views of intracardiac structures, including not only the semilunar and atrioventricular valves but also the interatrial and interventricular septums, can be created using 3D echocardiograms. Another very useful feature of 3D echocardiography is the possibility to image the entire heart in one full volume dataset.<sup>1</sup> Off-line analysis allows crosssections through the heart in any desired plane. For beginners in 3D analysis, it is very useful to start with the preprogrammed 34.

35. orthogonal cross-sections: sagittal (right-left), coronal (anterior-posterior) and transverse
36. (superior-inferior) orientation. In most current 3D analysis systems, like Philips Qlab and in the
37. Tomtec Cardio View, these three planes can be shown in the multiplane representation (MPR)
38. view, together with a fourth image, in which these planes are shown in a 3D rendered view
39. (Figure 1).



**Figure 1** Example of multiplane representation (MPR) of three orthogonal cross-sectional planes from a full volume 3D dataset in a patient with transposition of the great arteries after a Mustard-type atrial switch. RV right ventricle, LV left ventricle, RA right atrium, PVA pulmonary venous atrium, RVOT right ventricular outflow tract, Ao aorta, TV tricuspid valve.

Apart from these three cross-sectional planes which can be moved and rotated in any direc-24.tion, the anyplane mode allows cropping of the 3D image in any orientation or direction (Figure25.2). It is no longer necessary to memorize or to mentally reconstruct the transducer position that26.was necessary to create the cross-section: this can be displayed visually.27.

Apart from the advantage that multiple 2D cross sections can be shown (and understood!) 28. simultaneously in the 3D anatomy, the 3D hologram that can be produced (on the flat screen 29. of the computer) allows visualization of the actual anatomy in its true 3D sense. For complex 30. anatomy in particular, both the multiple 2D cross-sections visible in the 3D anatomy and the 31. rendered 3D images have proven to be very useful to both expert users of 2D echocardiography as well as those having less experience. In current practice, real-time 3D echocardiography 33. (RT3DE) has become an integral part of the analysis of congenital heart disease in many labs. If, in the future, 3D could match 2D echocardiography in terms of spatial and temporal resolution 35. (which is mainly a matter of increased computing performance), then the role of 3D echocardiography is likely to increase further and the complementary nature of the techniques would be enhanced. 38.

20

21.

23.





25.

Dedicated high-frequency pediatric probes are mandatory for transthoracic 3D echocardio-27. graphic studies in the pediatric age group, providing excellent results with image resolution good enough to visualize even very thin and delicate intracardiac structures. High-frequency 3D transducers (2–7 MHz) lack acoustic power and are not suitable for larger adult patients with a congenital cardiac malformation. The image resolution of the lower frequency transducer, mainly 1–3 MHz, sometimes 2–4 MHz, is certainly adequate for left ventricular (LV) volume calculations. In congenital heart disease practice, reliable assessment of LV function by RT3DE is important. The 2D analysis of LV function depends on assumptions about LV geometry, which 34. are not reliable in the often deformed left ventricles in patients with congenital heart disease. Some have reported excellent correlation between RT3DEderived LV volumes, ejection fraction, and stroke volume with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-derived volumes.<sup>2-4</sup> Reliable measurement of right ventricular (RV) volumes and function would be of great help, since the RV plays a more important role in congenital heart disease than in general cardiology. The last 38. section of this chapter will elaborate on RV analysis with 3D echocardiography. Application of transthoracic RT3DE for analysis of intracardiac morphology produces less spectacular images
 in adults than in the pediatric population due to the limited spatial resolution of the low-frequency transducers. Despite this limitation, it provides important additional information that
 cannot be obtained with 2D imaging, justifying its use to supplement 2D echocardiographic
 analysis. However, in all cases where a more detailed morphologic assessment is required a
 transesophageal RT3DE examination can be performed providing high quality 3D imaging.

Because of the enormous diversity of congenital heart disease (e.g., both unrepaired and<br/>repaired, with many different surgical techniques, creating different sequelae and residua)7.in adult patients, it is impossible to give a complete overview of the possibilities and added9.value of 3D echocardiography for all diagnostic categories. Instead, illustrative examples of10.congenital abnormalities that are common in adults are described in the following section with11.emphasis on the added value of 3D echocardiography in these cases.12.

14.

16.

#### PATENT FORAMEN OVALE

A patent foramen ovale (PFO) is present in approximately one third of the population and, 17. therefore, is not considered as a congenital cardiac anomaly. Increasingly, it has become the 18. focus of medical attention since transient ischemic attacks (TIAs), cerebral vascular accidents 19. (CVAs), and migraine have been attributed to paradoxical embolization through a foramen 20. ovale. The role of RT3DE is limited, since functional assessment, demonstration of right-to-left 21. shunting through the foramen using echo contrast, is the essence of the diagnosis. However, 22. the RT3D TEE en face view from the LA to the interatrial septum can be useful to detect the 23. exact location of the shunt orifice. In selected cases, e.g., if an atrial septal aneurysm is present, 24. RT3DE may contribute to assessing the exact anatomy of the interatrial septum and the extent 25. of the fossa ovalis membranous aneurysm. 26.

#### ATRIAL SEPTAL DEFECT

The nomenclature of atrial septal defects (ASD) has gradually changed over the past few 31. decades. It used to be customary to differentiate between a primum and secundum type ASD, 32. which is no longer done. The term primum ASD is considered obsolete and is classified as a 33. partial atrioventricular septal defect (pAVSD) and is discussed always as such, because of the 34. abnormality of the atrioventricular valves inherent to a partial AVSD. This section deals with 35. secundum type ASD and sinus venosus defects. 36.

The exact size and shape of ASDs and their exact position within the interatrial septum have 37. become more important since transcatheter closure of ASDs has developed into a serious alter-38. native to surgical closure. Not all defects are amenable for transcatheter closure: they should 39.

not be too large, the rims should be sufficient to provide good anchoring of the device, and the 2. defects should not be too close to important intracardiac structures, such as the mitral and tricuspid valves or orifices of veins that drain into the left or right atrium. In children, transthoracic 2D echocardiography is often diagnostic, because, in contrast to adult patients, the subcostal 4 view can virtually always be used and it is this view that provides the most information. Without this view, 2D transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is often indicated to identify all rims and the relation of the defect to relevant structures. Compared to this, transthoracic RT3DE provides an unlimited viewing perspective to the interatrial septum. The optimal 3D dataset is acquired 8. 9. from the subcostal position, but this is rarely feasible in adults. A foreshortened 4-chamber position or a parasternal long-axis view angulated to the right, with the ASD between the dotted lines and not further than 15 cm away from the transducer is next best option (Figure 3). 12. -R 35Hz 13.



Figure 3 Left Foreshortened 4-chamber view. Right The dotted lines represent the subvolumes that
will be acquired and stitched together to construct a full volume 3D dataset. RV right ventricle, LV left
ventricle, RA right atrium, LA left atrium, RVOT right ventricular outflow tract, Asc Ao ascending aorta.

30.

The added value that RT3DE has for analysis of an ASD is the possibility to create an en
 face view of the interatrial septum.<sup>5, 6</sup> The entire interatrial septum can be seen with the defect
 within it. It can be viewed and measured both from the left and right atrial side (Figure 4).

34. The rims around the defect can be seen in one view. From the right-sided view, distances

35. to important anatomical landmarks (e.g., the tricuspid valve, the ostium of the coronary sinus,

36. and the ostia of the caval veins) can be measured. Mathewson et al<sup>7</sup> proposed a standardized

37. orientation and nomenclature for the rims (Figure 5).

38. Something that is difficult to appreciate with 2D echocardiography – the change of the size
39. of the ASD during the cardiac cycle – is easy to see in the en face view using RT3DE.<sup>6, 8</sup> The area



14.

adequate rims around the defect. The patient is probably a good candidate for transcatheter closure. SCV superior vena cava, IVS interventricular septum, TV tricuspid valve, ASD atrial septal defect, Post RA posterior right atrial wall.



**Figure 5** Right atrial view as proposed by Mathewson et al. Left In the schematic drawing, all rims can be identified, except the anterior-superior rim, indicated by the question mark. It is not possible to differentiate between the real anterior-superior rim and the wall of the aortic sinus. Copyright Elsevier. Right For comparison, the RT3DE image of the right atrial view is shown. SVC superior vena cava, AAO ascending aorta, IVC inferior vena cava, ASD atrial septal defect, Ao aorta, TV tricuspid valve.

of the defect is largest during atrial relaxation and is smallest during atrial contraction. During 34. atrial contraction, the area was reported to >50% smaller than during atrial relaxation.<sup>9</sup> This 35. implies that the choice for the size of a closure device should be based on the measurement 36. during atrial relaxation, when the ASD is at its largest. 37.

In patients who have sufficient transthoracic echocardiographic (TTE) image quality, a RT3DE 38. study might provide all the answers to the questions about feasibility of transcatheter closure 39.



Figure 6 Comparison of transesophageal 2D versus live 3D representation of an ostium secundum
ASD with a small rim towards the aortic wall (anterior-superior). The 3D en face view from the left atrium
towards the interatrial septum (IAS) shows the exact shape and size of the defect and its anterior-superior
location in the IAS (bottom left). The zoomed 3D representation allows exact detection of the extent and
width of the anterior-superior rim and its relation to the aortic wall (bottom right). SVC superior vena
cava, Ao aortic root, MV mitral valve.

24.

and a TEE might be omitted.<sup>8</sup> However, besides RT3D TTE with all its additive information, RT3D
 TEE provides far more detailed information about spatial relationships of atrial septal defects
 and surrounding structures (Figures 6, 7, and 8) as well as much higher spatial resolution for
 more accurate quantification of ASD size (Figure 11).
 Because of the above stated additional information that 3D echocardiography provides, we
 believe that RT3DE should be included in all echocardiographic work-ups of ASDs, in addition
 to the standard modalities, especially when transcatheter closure is considered. RT3D TEE, apart

32. from offering additional diagnostic accuracy because of its superior image resolution when

33. compared with RT3D TTE, can be used as guidance for device closure of the defect.

34.

## 36. VENTRICULAR SEPTAL DEFECT

37.

38. The interventricular septum (IVS) has a complex, curved shape, which cannot be visualized in

39. its entirety in a single 2D plane. It is again the en face view of the IVS that brings added value



16.

**Figure 7** The 3D measurement of atrial septal defect (ASD) diameters and area in the same case as shown in Figure 6. Ao aortic root, MV mitral valve.



Figure 8Example of 2D TEE and RT3D TEE representation of a multifenestrated ASD. Because 2D TEE31.showed two defects in the 32° rotation (top left) and two defects in the 102° rotation (bottom left), there32.had to be at least three defects that could not be visualized in one 2D image plane. The RT3DE en face view33.from the right atrium (top middle) and from the left atrium to the interatrial septum (IAS) (bottom right)34.clearly visualized the orientation of the three defects to each other as well as the location within the IAS and35.the spatial relation to surrounding cardiac structures. RT3D color Doppler en face view from the right atrium36.superior, defect 2 (2) posterior-superior, and defect 3 (3) anterior-inferior. The RT3DE en face view from the37.right atrium to the IAS shows the location of the three defects on a nonplanar, domed IAS which makes 2D37.representation and planimetry of each defect very difficult (top middle). Ao aortic root, SVC superior vena38.cava, IVC inferior vena cava, LSV lower sinus venosus, UVS upper sinus venosus, CS coronary sinus.39.





by showing its curved structure, the ventricular septal defect (VSD) within it, and the relation to 1. other intracardiac structures. The spatial relationship of the VSD to other parts of the intracardiac anatomy can directly be assessed during data acquisition or off-line in one 3D dataset.<sup>10-13</sup> 3.

Transthoracic datasets are acquired from the apical 4-chamber position and from the para-4.sternal long-axis view. The subcostal view is very rewarding, but can be challenging to obtain5.in adult patients.6.

During cropping of the 3D dataset, the images from the left ventricle onto the IVS and the VSD 7. are easier to obtain than the images from the right side of the IVS. From the left side, the defect is 8. directly visible, showing its exact location, size, and relation to landmark structures like the mitral 9. and aortic valves (Figures 10, 11, and 17). The problem with the view from the right side of the 10.



Figure 10Example of transthoracic RT3DE representation of a large membranous VSD (\*) in a non-<br/>operated 61-year-old woman with Eisenmenger's syndrome and severe right heart dilatation. TV tricuspid<br/>valve, RA right atrium, IVS interventricular septum, AML anterior mitral leaflet, LA left atrium.24.<br/>25.

heart for the most common form of the VSD, the perimembranous VSD, is the fact that the right 27.
side of the VSD is partially (if not entirely) covered by the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve, its 28.
chordae, and papillary muscles. Only by digitally "cutting away" these structures that obscure the 29.
view can the VSD be visualized in a 3D fashion from the right side. In addition, muscular VSDs are 30.
usually better seen from the left side of the heart, where the IVS has a rather smooth wall, than 31.
from the right side of the heart. The many, coarse trabeculation on the right side of the IVS can 32.
obscure the defect (Figures 12 and 13). If the 3D en face view from the right side of the IVS does 33.
not provide the desired information, scrolling of a 2D cutting plane from right to left (and vice 34.
versa) through the IVS is helpful to find the delineation of the borders of the VSD.

37.

38

39.



Figure 11 Representation of the same ventricular septal defect (VSD) shown in Figure 10 in three cross-sectional planes, allowing exact detection of the VSD and measurement of diameters and area. The RT3DE
en face view from the left ventricle (LV) to the interventricular septum (IVS) shows the exact size, shape, and location of the VSD as well as a view through the VSD to the tricuspid valve (TV) behind it. RV right ventricle, MV mitral valve.



18.

Figure 12 Example of transthoracic RT3DE representation of a small muscular ventricular septal defect
(VSD) which is covered by marked trabeculation (Trab) on the right ventricular side of the interventricular
septum (IVS; top left). The 3D en face view from the left ventricle (LV) to the IVS provides clear visualization
of size, shape, and location of the VSD (top right), whereas the en face view from the right ventricle (RV)
to the VSD is obscured by the trabeculation (bottom right). The 3D color Doppler short-axis view from
the apex to the IVS shows the eccentric direction of shunt flow through the VSD (bottom left). LVOT left
ventricular outflow tract, MV mitral valve, TV tricuspid valve.



**Figure 13** Multiplane representation of the same muscular VSD shown in Figure 12 with 3D measurement 14. of the diameters and area.

#### ATRIOVENTRICULAR SEPTAL DEFECTS

16.

18.

RT3DE analysis of an atrioventricular septal defect (AVSD) is extremely rewarding.<sup>14-16</sup> Adult 19. patients with an AVSD can have a defect repaired in childhood or an unrepaired form of AVSD. 20. Patients with an AVSD, repaired or unrepaired, either complete or incomplete, invariably have 21. abnormalities at the level of the atrioventricular valves: the essence of an AVSD is that it has a 22. common atrioventricular junction, guarded by five leaflets (right mural leaflet, anterior-superior 23. leaflet, superior (or anterior) bridging leaflet, left mural leaflet, and inferior (or posterior) bridging 24. leaflet). In the complete form, there is only one orifice between atria and ventricles (Figures 14 and 25. 15). The septal defect of an AVSD is one large defect, extending both into the interatrial and into 26. the interventricular septum, and should not be confused with separate atrial and ventricular sep-27. tal defects that happen to be adjacent. This can occur in the presence of normal atrioventricular 28. valves, but the anatomy is completely different from an AVSD. In partial AVSD, there is fusion of the 29. anterior and posterior bridging leaflet in the midline, where these two leaflets are also attached 30. to the intact interventricular septum. This creates a separate left and right valve opening, in what 31. is anatomically still one common atrioventricular junction. An incomplete AVSD or a surgically 32. repaired complete AVSD has two atrioventricular orifices, but these should not be confused with 33. the mitral and tricuspid valves. The entirely different anatomy is difficult to appreciate with 2D 34. echocardiography, but is unmistakable with the enface view of the valves that is only possible with 35. 3D echocardiography. The en face view from the ventricular side is usually of a better quality than 36. the view from atrial side. This is unfortunate, since a good surgical view can rarely be produced 37. with adequate image quality. RT3D TEE is able to provide these images. The size and shape of the 38. septal defects can be depicted in an excellent manner with transthoracic 3D echocardiography.



Figure 14 Example of a complete AVSD in a 46-year-old woman. The septal commissure, often (but actually erroneously) referred to as "cleft" in the left atrioventricular valve (LAVV) is indicated with an arrow. Top right The 3D en face view from left ventricle (LV) to the interventricular septum (IVS) shows
clearly the atrial side of the AVSD below the LAVV and the ventricular side of the AVSD above the LAVV. Bottom left The 3D color Doppler 4-chamber view shows the regurgitant jet through the septal commissure in a typical location. Bottom right The 3D color Doppler short-axis en face view from the apex to the base shows the LAVV with a posterior bridging leaflet (PBL), an anterior bridging leaflet (ABL) and a septal commissure pointing to the ventricular septum. RV right ventricle, RA right atrium, ASD atrial septal defect, VSD ventricular septal defect, LVOT left ventricular outflow tract.

32.

In patients with AVSD, a septal commissure can erroneously be described as a "cleft" (Figure
14). However, several characteristic differences between the two exist. In AVSD, the clues are
(1) characteristic interatrial communication, (2) a common atrioventricular junction, (3) no
differential insertion of AV valves, (4) the line of apposition between the superior and inferior
bridging leaflets points to the ventricular septum, and (5) the mural leaflet of the left AV valve
is typically small and relatively triangular in shape. Whereas in a real cleft mitral valve (Figure
16), characteristic clues include the following: (1) the cleft typically runs toward the aortic valve,

(2) there are separate AV valves and a normal morphology of the right (tricuspid) valve, (3) no
 "primum" atrial communication exists, (4) the cleft never crosses the ventricular septum, and (5)
 differential insertion of the AV valves is usually maintained.
 3.



Figure 15A Complete atrioventricular septal defect with a common atrium in an 11-year-old patient.25.Left The common atrioventricular valve is shown in an open position with the left and right ventricular<br/>components (arrows). Right The 4-chamber view demonstrates the common atrioventricular (AV) valve26.in the closed position. There is no differential insertion of left and right ventricular components. B27.The en face view of the common atrioventricular junction from the ventricular aspect of the common<br/>atrioventricular valve is shown. The superior bridging leaflet (SBL) and inferior bridging leaflet (IBL) are<br/>indicated. These leaflets "bridge" across the plane of the ventricular septum (marked by the line). LV left<br/>ventricle, RV right ventricle, L left, R right, Sup superior, Inf inferior.30.

31.

4.

7.

8. 9.

12.

14.

16.

17. 18.

19.

21.

23.

24.

- 52
- 33.
- 34.

Echocardiographic analysis of the tricuspid valve (TV) is notoriously difficult. In the standard 35. 2D echocardiographic views, only two leaflets are visible and it can be difficult to differenti- 36. ate between the septal and the postero-inferior leaflet.<sup>17</sup> TEE is sometimes very helpful in the 37. evaluation of the tricuspid valve, but since the TV is positioned anteriorly, away from the trans- 38. ducer located in the esophagus, the results can be disappointing. In adults, the transgastric 39.

EBSTEIN'S ANOMALY



24. regurgitation in a 26-year-old woman. Top left The 2D image clearly depicts differential insertion of atrioventricular valves suggesting a real cleft. The RT3DE en face view from the left atrium to the mitral 25. valve (middle row) shows the prolapsing mitral valve with the cleft (\*), a septal (SML), anterior (AML) and 26. posterior mitral leaflet (PML) in systole (middle left) and diastolic opening (middle right). The 3D en face 27. view from the apex to the mitral valve clearly shows the cleft and the chordae attachment to the SML and AML (bottom left). The 3D en face view from the left atrium towards the cleft MV with color Doppler 28. (bottom middle) and without color Doppler (bottom right) clearly depicts the anatomy of the regurgitant lesion. B Magnified view of the true cleft in the anterior leaflet of the mitral valve (arrow). Note that the cleft is orientated toward the aorta and does not extend to the ventricular septum (>). The posterior mitral valve leaflet (PMVL) is indicated. The asterisks (\*) mark the two parts of the cleft anterior mitral valve 31. leaflet. RV right ventricle, LV left ventricle, IAS interatrial septum, Ao aortic root, LAA left atrial appendage, LVOT left ventricular outflow tract. 34. view is helpful for the evaluation of the TV with TEE. If the tricuspid valve is abnormal, as in the

- 35. case of Ebstein's anomaly, the most common congenital malformation of the tricuspid valve, it
- 36. becomes even more difficult.
- 37. The transthoracic acquisition of 3D data with the TV as the region of interest is best achieved
- 38. from the apical or foreshortened 4-chamber position. It will encompass the entire tricuspid
- valve. A high parasternal view with the transducer angulated to the right towards the right hip

can also provide a useable 3D dataset. In a 3D dataset acquired from these positions, Ebstein's1.malformation of the TV can be analyzed. The leaflets, their attachment to the septum and to the2.anterior wall, and the degree displacement of the functional TV orifice towards the pulmonary3.valve or the apex can be seen (Figures 17 and 18).4.



Figure 17 Example of transthoracic RT3DE representation of Ebstein's disease in a 22-year-old27.woman with congenitally corrected transposition of great arteries (ccTGA) in combination with a<br/>perimembranous ventricular septal defect (VSD) and pulmonary valve (PV) stenosis. The 3D en face view<br/>from the apex to the tricuspid valve (TV) reveals detailed information about TV leaflet anatomy during<br/>valve opening (top right), valve mid closure (middle left), and complete closure (middle right) showing<br/>four leaflets: a septal (SL), anterior (AL), posteromedial (PML) and postero-lateral (PLL) with the SL and the<br/>PLL connected by a bridge like a natural Alfieri stitch. A modified 3D 5-chamber view clearly shows the<br/>spatial relation between the VSD, the LVOT, and the stenotic PV (bottom left). The 3D en face view from<br/>the right ventricle (RV) to the interventricular septum shows the exact size, shape, and location of the VSD<br/>(bottom right). LV left ventricle, MV mitral valve, RA right atrium, LA left atrium, RVOT right ventricular<br/>outflow track, PA pulmonary artery.27.

36

To assess the size and (sometimes very irregular) shape of the actual TV orifice – often remote 37. from the anatomic TV annulus – an en face view is very helpful (Figure 17).<sup>18</sup> However, creating 38. this en face view can be very challenging; there is no standardized approach due to the wide 39.



16. Figure 17. The 3D color Doppler reveals not only mild tricuspid regurgitation (TR) but also a secundum type ASD in the same view (left). The flow propagation zone entering through the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) into the LA is an illusion (left), with the flow propagation belonging to the pulmonary valve 18. stenosis (PS) as shown in the 3D view cropped more anteriorly (right) with depiction of flow through the 19. VSD. MV mitral valve, RA right atrium, LA left atrium, ASD atrial septal defect, VSD ventricular septal defect.

21. variety of the degree and direction of displacement of the TV opening. Once a good en face view is obtained, not only the orifice can be seen but also the sail-like anterior-superior leaflet is visualized. In the same view, the right ventricular anterior wall and the presence (or absence) of attachment of the anterior superior leaflet to the RV free wall can be judged. The en face view 24. can be used for planimetry of the orifice, which is relevant in case of TV stenosis. 25.

Both the en face and the right lateral views will provide extra anatomical information in 27. addition to that from the 2D analysis. Combination of RT3DE with color Doppler provides more insight into the mechanism of tricuspid regurgitation. In our experience, the TV and Ebstein's 28. disease are more difficult to assess (even with 3D echocardiography) than abnormalities situated on the left side of the heart. However, actually seeing, in one image, that a tricuspid valve has three leaflets is already an improvement over 2D imaging.<sup>18</sup>

#### TRANSPOSITION OF THE GREAT ARTERIES 34.

36. In adult congenital heart disease, almost all patients with a simple transposition of the great

arteries (TGA) have had either a Mustard or a Senning atrial switch procedure. The LV sustains

the (low-resistance) pulmonary circulation and has, as a consequence, low systolic pressure. 38.

The RV sustains the systemic circulation and has systemic ventricular pressures. Due to these

pressure differences, the ventricular septum bulges to the left and the LV is squashed behind 1. the high-pressured RV. Assessment of LV ejection fraction by 2D echocardiography is not very 2. reliable in these patients, because the assumptions underlying Simpson's biplane planim-3. etry method (round shape and concentric contractions) are not valid in abnormally shaped, 4. squashed LVs. It has been reported that RT3DE can measure LV function more reliably than 2D echocardiography in these circumstances.<sup>3</sup> Assessment of RV function remains difficult. The systemic RV lies anteriorly in the chest and is, in adults, very often dilated. From an apical 7. 4-chamber position, it is a challenge to encompass the entire RV in a 3D dataset. Analysis of RV 8. function with dedicated software is difficult, but sometimes feasible (it is addressed separately 9. at the end of this chapter).

The anatomy of atrioventricular and semilunar valves is usually normal and the interventricular septum is most often intact; RT3DE has nothing extra to offer in this respect. "Piece de resistance" in the echocardiographic analysis of a patient with a Mustard or Senning repair is the analysis of the systemic venous pathways that are created to connect the superior and inferior vena cava with the left atrium. These intraatrial tunnels are usually referred to as atrial baffles (Figure 19).

> 18. to lunas 19. to lungs 21. to body 23. 24. from lungs superior baffle inferior baffle ++ from body 34. The morphologic right ventricle acts as the systemic venticle

Figure 19A schematic drawing of an atrial switch according to Mustard. The baffles are the intratunnels<br/>created by the surgeon to transport the systemic venous return from the superior and inferior vena cava<br/>towards the left atrium and the mitral valve in such a way that the pulmonary veins, which enter the<br/>posterior wall of the left atrium, have unobstructed communication with the right atrium.333

Many (sometimes even very experienced sonographers and cardiologists) have difficulties
 in understanding how the atrial baffles actually run inside the right atrium, how they are related
 to each other, how they drain into the remains of the left atrium, and how they relate to the
 pulmonary veins. These pulmonary veins drain, unaltered and untouched by the surgical proce dure, into the posterior wall of the left atrium. The pulmonary venous blood is directed toward
 the right atrium and should not be obstructed by the atrial baffles. RT3DE of an atrial switch
 repair is a good example how 3D echocardiography can be used in the analysis of complex
 anatomy. Analysis using the MPR mode in which the three orthogonal cross sections are shown
 together with the 3D dataset is the first very helpful step in the analysis of a transposition after
 atrial switch (Figure 20).



28. Figure 20 TGA after Mustard type atrial repair and stent in inferior baffle as treatment of baffle stenosis.
 29. The multiplane view is shown. The angles of the cutting planes are seen in the 3D image (right bottom).
 The relation between the green plane and red plane is better visualized in the upper images.

31.

By scrolling through the sagittal plane from posterior to anterior, the inferior baffle is seen
first, with its course almost purely from right to left (Figure 20). At this level, the pulmonary
venous atrium is seen, posterior from this inferior baffle, with the left pulmonary veins draining
from the left lateral side into this compartment. If one scrolls slightly more towards the anterior,
the inferior baffle disappears from this plane and the right pulmonary veins can now be seen
entering the pulmonary venous atrium as well as the connection between the pulmonary
venous atrium and the right atrium (Figure 21).

39.



Figure 21 A cross section through the 3D dataset mimicking an apical 4-chamber view in a patient with a12.TGA after Mustard repair. The pulmonary veins are shown along with the connection to the right atrium.13.Atrial baffles are not seen in this view, because they are in other levels. If one would scroll through the<br/>dataset more towards the posterior, the inferior baffle would be seen. Scrolling more anteriorly would<br/>show the superior14.

Most of these 2D cross-sections, now derived from the 3D dataset, can be acquired during 17. the standard 2D echocardiographic workup, implicating that there would be no real added 18. value of RT3DE. However, in our experience, the added value consists of the possibility to 19. establish and appreciate, off-line, the exact level and orientation of these cross-sections in the 20. intracardiac anatomy. Both superior and inferior baffles can be followed over their course, from 21. the caval veins to their entrance in the remnants of the original left atrium. If a baffle stenosis 22. is present, the area and length of a narrowed segment in the baffle can be seen and measured 23. with planimetry. If a patient with TGA after a Mustard or Senning type repair has an endocardial 24. pacemaker, the superior vena cava and the superior baffle are identified easily because they 25. contain a pacemaker wire. If a patient has a stent in the superior or inferior baffle, identification 26. of the anatomic structure that contains the stent is easier (Figure 20). 27.

For patients with a complex transposition and a Rastelli type repair, the intracardiac conduit 28. from the left ventricle towards the anteriorly positioned aortic valve can be visualized and 29. shown in relation to the adjacent structures. It is difficult, just as in 2D echocardiography, to 30. assess the conduit from the right ventricle to the pulmonary artery, because of its anteriorsuperior position in the chest, just behind the sternum. 32.

> 33. 34.

16.

# CONGENITALLY CORRECTED TRANSPOSITION OF THE GREAT ARTERIES

The now preferred name for this rare anomaly is atrioventricular and ventriculoarterial discor-37.dance, but in clinical practice it is still often referred to as congenitally corrected transposition38.of the great arteries (ccTGA). A more modern term is doubledisco heart.39.
It is a challenge to analyze these patients with 2D echocardiography. A former echocardio-1. graphic definition was "if you lose your way in a heart, it is a ccTGA." The most difficult part 2. was the identification of the connections between the ventricles and the great arteries: in one 3. plane it might look that the aorta was connected to the right ventricle (RV) and in another view 4. it looked as if the pulmonary artery was connected to the RV. The advantage of 3D echocardiography is that the entire anatomy is in a full volume 3D dataset and the anatomic relations 6. and connections of the great arteries can be showed unequivocally. In Figures 22, 23, and 24, illustrations are shown that demonstrate the added value of 3D echocardiography in the case 8. 9. of complex anatomy.





- 36.
- 37.
- 38
- 39.



 Figure 23
 Transesophageal RT3DE dataset with multiplane representation in the same patient with
 17.

 congenital corrected TGA shown in Figure 22 with clear demonstration of the abnormal, parallel orientation
 18.

 of the aorta (AO) and aortic valve (AV) to the pulmonary artery (PA) and pulmonary valve (PV) (top left). LV
 19.

 left ventricle, RV right ventricle, RA right atrium, LA left atrium, MV mitral valve, TV tricuspid valve.
 20.







Figure 25 Comparison of 2D and RT3DE representations of a Fallot's tetralogy in a 25-year-old woman presenting with the characteristic combination of overriding aorta, perimembranous (subaortic) ventricular septal defect (VSD), pulmonary valve (PV) stenosis, and right ventricular hypertrophy. The 2D 24. color Doppler image clearly shows direct right ventricular outflow (blue) towards the overriding aorta 25. (AO) (top right). The 3D en face view from the apex to base (bottom right) shows the location of the VSD 26. – connecting the RV to the aorta – located on a (green) line between the tricuspid valve (TV) and right ventricular outflow tract. The right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) is quite wide for a tetralogy of Fallot. 27. The green plane (middle left) also depicts the relation between the TV, VSD, and RVOT with the typical 28. ventricular infundibulum deviated anteriorly (\*) between the LVOT and RVOT, the hallmark of Fallot's tetralogy. LV left ventricle, RV right ventricle, RA right atrium, LA left atrium, MV mitral valve, LVOT left ventricular outflow tract, IVS interventricular septum. 31.

20

#### 33. TETRALOGY OF FALLOT

34.

In a complex congenital malformation like tetralogy of Fallot, RT3DE can be of great value for
understanding the exact morphology and spatial relationships as demonstrated in Figure 25.
However, in adults, the pulmonary valve might be difficult to assess with RT3DE. In normal
hearts, LV ejection fraction can be assessed more reliably with RT3DE than with 2D echocardiography. This is also probably true for the LV assessment in tetralogy of Fallot, in which the

shape of the LV is almost always compromised by a substantially dilated RV. Assessment of1.RV volumes and ejection fraction is extremely relevant in this population, which is addressed2.separately at the end of this chapter.3.

#### **RT3DE IN OTHER CONGENITAL CARDIAC MALFORMATIONS**

There is very little experience published in the literature about the added value of RT3DE in8.other malformations than the few described above. Case reports about subaortic stenosis9.(Figure 26), <sup>19</sup> double orifice mitral valve, <sup>20</sup> cleft mitral valve (Figure 16), <sup>21</sup> double aortic arch, <sup>22</sup>10.right atrial aneurysm, <sup>23</sup> infective endocarditis of a patent foramen ovale, <sup>24</sup> and cor triatriatum11.sinister<sup>25</sup> have been reported. These are examples of the growing awareness of the potential for12.RT3DE in congenital heart disease, but more experience is needed and the exact role of RT3DE13.in the analysis of these complex congenital cardiac lesions remains to be established.14.



Figure 26 Left lateral view onto the interventricular septum and cutting through the left ventricularoutflow tract (LVOT). A complex left ventricular outflow tract obstruction (LVOTO) is indicated (arrow)26.with only one small orifice. The attachment not only to the ventricular septum but also the mitral valve is27.nicely shown here. A discrete subaortic stenosis is always a circular structure; in order to visualize this, the28.en face view of the LVOTO would provide this additional information. AoV aortic valve, LV left ventricle, LA28.left atrium, AMVL anterior mitral valve leaflet.29.

30

4.

7.

#### 31

#### THE ROLE OF RT3DE IN THE ANALYSIS OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR FUNCTION

33

No geometric assumptions have been proven accurate for the measurement of right ventricular (RV) volumes and function by 2D echocardiography. However, RT3DE is free of geometric 35. assumptions and foreshortened views and would, in principle, be an ideal tool for RV functional 36. analysis. Some studies have shown that RV function using RT3DE is feasible: with cardiac MRI as a 37. reference, this technique proved to be accurate in experimental settings. However, both acquisition and analysis of a 3D dataset are challenging. Some "tips and tricks" are summarized below. 39.

#### 1. Acquisition

25.

A 3D dataset containing a RV can be acquired as follows. The patient is positioned in the left lateral decubitus position. In most cases, the patient needs to be turned slightly back towards 4. a supine position. The aim is to optimize the quality of the initially displayed 2D image and to have the RV centrally positioned within the ultrasound sector. Attention needs to be paid to use the minimum angle mode (large, medium, or small) and depth possible to assure optimal frame rates. Dilated or hypertrophic RVs, for example after an atrial switch procedure for transposition of 8. the great arteries, may be difficult to visualize completely in combination with acceptable frame 9 rates. Frame rates generally vary between 25 and 55 frames per cardiac cycle. Although the 3D transducer has a footprint that is not larger than a normal 2D transducer, the transducer itself is somewhat larger. Movement of the transducer in the intercostals spaces may thereby be limited. 12. After optimization of the 2D view, a switch to the real-time display is made where two 13. orthogonal views are shown (Figure 27). Attention is needed for the inclusion of the RV apex 14. and lateral wall, while checking the orthogonal view for visualization of the RV outflow tract. In patients with tetralogy of Fallot, the RV outflow tract may be dilated due to an operatively placed patch to relieve RV outflow tract obstruction. To visualize this, a more superior angulation of the probe is needed. In most healthy persons, the long axis of the heart is almost 18. purely superior-inferior (vertical), with the RV positioned just behind the sternum. This position implies that imaging of the RV outflow tract, anterior, just behind the sternum and superior, can 19. be guite challenging. In patients with moderately dilated or hypertrophic ventricles, the long 21. axis of the RV is often more horizontal. The sternum compromises the image quality to a lesser extent and imaging of the entire RV is more often possible. During one single end-expiratory breath hold, four or seven wedge-shaped subvolumes gated to the R wave are acquired to form a dataset containing the whole RV (Figure 28). 24.



Figure 27 Optimally, two orthogonal views should encompass the entire right ventricle (RV). The most
 difficult part to include is the right ventricular outflow tract.

| FR 33Hz M2<br>14cm   |     |
|--|-----|
| Full Yolume<br>3D 50%  | 1.  |
| 30 5008  | 2.  |
|  | 3.  |
|  | 4.  |
|  | 5.  |
|  | 6.  |
| 13 3.8   | /.  |
|  | 8.  |
|  | 9.  |
| Delsy Oms 54bpm  | 10. |
| Figure 28 Full volume 3D data set of the right ventricle (RV). LV left ventricle.                    | 11. |
|  | 12. |
| with increasing survival of patients with congenital neart disease, mythim disturbances with         | 13. |
| TSDE where steering of subvolumes is needed. However with single heart heat sustems this             | 14. |
| risble, where steering of subvolumes is needed. However, with single heart beat systems this         | 15. |
| problem will be largely solved.  | 10. |
| Analysis   | 17. |
| Analysis of the right ventricular datasets is best done with dedicated software (4D RV-Eurstien®     | 10. |
| TomToc Imaging Systems Unterschleischeim Germany) offering semiautomatic contour detec-              | 20  |
| tion of the endocardial borders. Hereafter, the program calculates BV volumes and ejection           | 20. |
| fraction (EE) with the possibility of manual contour revision (Eigure 20)                            | 21. |
| Analysis of patients who have a PV that functions as systemic ventricle, like in congenitally        | 22. |
| corrected transposition of the great arteries or transposition of the great arteries after a Mustard | 23. |
| or Senning renair is difficult. At present the role of 3D echocardiography for RV assessment         | 27. |
| in clinical practice is limited. Extensive validation of 3D echocardiographic measurements is        | 26  |
| needed before it will be applicable in clinical practice   | 20. |
| In summary, both acquisition and analysis of the right ventricle by RT3DF is feasible in most        | 28  |
| congenital heart diseases and forms a practical approach, but its value for clinical purposes        | 29. |
| remains to be established.   | 30. |
|  | 31. |
|  | 32. |
| CONCLUSIONS  | 33. |
|  | 34. |
| RT3DE is a useful tool for the analysis of adult congenital heart disease; however it still has      | 35. |
| substantial shortcomings in terms of spatial and temporal resolution and the still rather            | 36. |
| cumbersome method of obtaining a full volume 3D dataset. It provides additional information          | 37. |
| that cannot be obtained without a 3D technique. Analysis of ventricular function, which is as        | 38. |

important as in "regular" cardiology, is performed better with 3D imaging than with 2D imaging. 39.



Figure 29 RV volume analysis. Top The endocardial borders are traced manually in three orthogonal planes. Through automated contour detection the endocardial wall is analyzed automatically, based on algorithms. After automatic contour detection, it is possible to go through the entire dataset to see how the lines are drawn. If necessary, the borders can be corrected manually. Bottom Finally, a RV "beutel" is reconstructed, shown (in green). RV right ventricle, PV pulmonary vein, TV tricuspid valve, LV left ventricle.

35. Understanding of the intracardiac morphology is enhanced by the en face view, a unique
36. feature of 3D echocardiography. Currently, it will not replace the other echocardiographic
37. modalities because of its apparent weaknesses in resolution, but the strengths of RT3DE justify
38. its use in all regular echocardiographic work-ups of patients with congenital heart disease.

- 1. Balestrini L, Fleishman C, Lanzoni L, Kisslo J, Resai Bengur A, Sanders SP, et al. Real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography evaluation of congenital heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2000;13:171-6. 2. Altmann K, Shen Z, Boxt LM, King DL, Gersony WM, Allan LD, et al. Comparison of three-dimensional 2. echocardiographic assessment of volume, mass, and function in children with functionally single left 3. ventricles with two-dimensional echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Cardiol. 4. 1997:80:1060-5. 3. van den Bosch AE, Robbers-Visser D, Krenning BJ, Voormolen MM, McGhie JS, Helbing WA, et al. Realtime transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular volume and ejection fraction in congenital heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:1-6. 7. 4. Riehle TJ, Mahle WT, Parks WJ, Sallee D, 3rd, Fyfe DA. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic 8. acquisition and guantification of left ventricular indices in children and young adults with congenital heart disease: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:78-83. 9. 5. Marx GR, Fulton DR, Pandian NG, Vogel M, Cao QL, Ludomirsky A, et al. Delineation of site, relative size and dynamic geometry of atrial septal defects by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1995:25:482-90. 6. van den Bosch AE, Ten Harkel DJ, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Simoons ML, Bogers AJ, et al. Characterization of atrial septal defect assessed by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:815-21. 14. 7. Mathewson JW, Bichell D, Rothman A, Ing FF. Absent posteroinferior and anterosuperior atrial septal defect rims: Factors affecting nonsurgical closure of large secundum defects using the Amplatzer occluder. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2004;17:62-9. 8. Mehmood F, Vengala S, Nanda NC, Dod HS, Sinha A, Miller AP, et al. Usefulness of live three-17. dimensional transthoracic echocardiography in the characterization of atrial septal defects in adults. 18. Echocardiography. 2004;21:707-13. 9. Franke A, Kuhl HP, Rulands D, Jansen C, Erena C, Grabitz RG, et al. Quantitative analysis of the morphology of secundum-type atrial septal defects and their dynamic change using transesophageal three-dimensional echocardiography. Circulation. 1997;96:II-323-7. 10. Chen FL, Hsiung MC, Nanda N, Hsieh KS, Chou MC. Real time three-dimensional echocardiography in assessing ventricular septal defects: an echocardiographic-surgical correlative study. Echocardiography. 2006;23:562-8. 23. 11. Mehmood F, Miller AP, Nanda NC, Patel V, Singh A, Duncan K, et al. Usefulness of live/real time three-24. dimensional transthoracic echocardiography in the characterization of ventricular septal defects in adults. Echocardiography. 2006;23:421-7. 12. Hsu JH, Wu JR, Dai ZK, Lee MH. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography provides novel and 26. useful anatomic insights of perimembranous ventricular septal aneurysm. Int J Cardiol. 2007;118:326-27. 31. 13. van den Bosch AE, Ten Harkel DJ, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Simoons ML, Bogers AJ, et al. Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of ventricular septal 29. defects. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:7-13. 14. Seliem MA, Fedec A, Szwast A, Farrell PE, Jr., Ewing S, Gruber PJ, et al. Atrioventricular valve morphol-31. ogy and dynamics in congenital heart disease as imaged with real-time 3-dimensional matrix-array echocardiography: comparison with 2-dimensional imaging and surgical findings. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:869-76. 15. van den Bosch AE, Ten Harkel DJ, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Simoons ML, Bogers AJ, et al. Surgi-34. cal validation of real-time transthoracic 3D echocardiographic assessment of atrioventricular septal defects. Int J Cardiol. 2006;112:213-8. 16. Singh A, Romp RL, Nanda NC, Rajdev S, Mehmood F, Baysan O, et al. Usefulness of live/real time threedimensional transthoracic echocardiography in the assessment of atrioventricular septal defects. 37, Echocardiography. 2006;23:598-608.
- Chapter 3

|    | 17. | Anwar AM, Geleijnse ML, Ten Cate FJ, Meijboom FJ. Assessment of tricuspid valve annulus size, shape |
|----|-----|---|
| 1. |     | and function using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.   |
| 2. |     | 2006;5:683-7.   |
| 3. | 18. | Patel V, Nanda NC, Rajdev S, Mehmood F, Velayudhan D, Vengala S, et al. Live/real time three-       |
| 4  |     | dimensional transthoracic echocardiographic assessment of Ebstein's anomaly. Echocardiography.      |
| 4. |     |   |

2005;22:847-54.

- Miyamoto K, Nakatani S, Kanzaki H, Tagusari O, Kobayashi J. Detection of discrete subaortic stenosis
   by 3-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. Echocardiography. 2005;22:783-4.
- 20. Anwar AM, McGhie JS, Meijboom FJ, Ten Cate FJ. Double orifice mitral valve by real-time threedimensional echocardiography. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2008;9:731-2.
- Nomoto K, Hollinger I, DiLuozzo G, Fischer GW. Recognition of a cleft mitral valve utilizing real-time three-dimensional transoesophageal echocardiography. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10:367-9.
- 22. Sivaprakasam MC, Vettukattil JJ. 3-D echocardiographic imaging of double aortic arch. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2006;7:476-7.
- Guerra VC, Coles J, Smallhorn JF. Aneurysm of right atrium diagnosed by 3-dimensional real-time
   echocardiogram. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2005;18:1221.
- Acar P, Abadir S, Bassil R. Images in Congenital Heart Disease. Infective endocarditis of the patent oval
   fossa assessed by three-dimensional echocardiography. Cardiol Young. 2007;17:113.
- Patel V, Nanda NC, Arellano I, Yelamanchili P, Rajdev S, Baysan O. Cor triatriatum sinister: assessment by live/real time three-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography. Echocardiography. 2006;23:801-2.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

- 26.
- 27.
- 28.

29.

- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 53.

34.

55.

- 50.
- 5/.

38.



# Part 2

edulation of the last

and the stand of sales

up requests with a

and to also a stand a s

at an ensempted age

encopy aparais is

many well in this information

to the statistiction of

and the second approximate of the second approximation of

hearth an grant sta

Right ventricular acquisition, analysis, and clinical applications



## Chapter 4

Right ventricular quantification in clinical practice: twodimensional versus three-dimensional echocardiography compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

100

at the open the set

Terry and

the particular light

ha right

marker (the same

antitaques allerer anti

and the state of

ال مداعا

all control to state

H.B. van der Zwaan M.L. Geleijnse J.S. McGhie E. Boersma W.A. Helbing F.J. Meijboom J.W. Roos-Hesselink

Eur J Echocardiogr. 2011; in press

#### ABSTRACT

Background. To establish the additional value of three-dimensional echocardiography (3D3.echo) for assessment of right ventricular (RV) size and function in patients with congenital4.heart disease (CHD) in everyday clinical practice, the accuracy and reproducibility of 3D echo5.were compared with conventional two-dimensional echocardiography (2D echo) and cardiac6.magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging as the reference.7.

Methods. Patients with CHD and primarily affected right ventricles (RV group, n = 62), patients9.with CHD and primarily affected left ventricles (LV group, n = 27), and healthy controls (n = 31)10.were studied. 2D echo-, 3D echo- and CMR datasets were obtained.11.

**Results.** Moderate correlations were found between RV dimensions by 2D echo and CMR-13.derived RV end-diastolic volumes (r 0.32-0.77). The correlations between RV volumes obtained14.by 3D echo and CMR imaging were better (r 0.71-0.97) than the 2D echo-derived correlations (P15.<0.001). Only the 2D-echo derived RV inlet diameter correlated better in healthy controls than</td>16.in the RV group. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis revealed that 3D echo-derived17.end-diastolic volume best identified RV dysfunction (sensitivity 95% and specificity 100%). The18.3D echo-derived measurements were as reproducible as the 2D echo-derived measurements19.(n = 37, coefficients of variation ranging from 5 to 19%), with the tricuspid annular plane systolic20.excursion being the most reproducible measurement (coefficient of variation 6%).21.

22

27.

34.

1. 2.

8.

12.

Conclusions. 3D echo improved quantitative RV size and function assessment compared with23.2D echo in patients as well as in healthy controls. Everyday clinical use of 3D echo for RV assess-24.ment can be reality with the currently available software and provides incremental benefit in25.assessment of the right ventrcile.26.

#### INTRODUCTION 1

2.

Right ventricular (RV) size and function assessment based on two-dimensional echocardiography (2D echo) or cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is used as a decision-making tool 4 in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD).<sup>1</sup> Accurate quantification of RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF) is therefore essential. Guidelines on the assessment of RV size and function by M-mode and 2D echo include various imaging planes to measure dimensions, areas. and the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE).<sup>2</sup> The accuracy of these 2D echo 8. and M-mode-derived measurements has been investigated compared with CMR imaging,<sup>3-5</sup> 9. and resulted in a moderate to poor agreement. It has been suggested that 2D echo-derived measurements were less accurate in patients with CHD and enlarged right ventricles than in healthy controls.<sup>6</sup> Volumetric calculations based on 2D echo were unreliable because of the 12. need of geometric assumptions that were impossible to fit onto the complex, non-symmetric morhology of the right ventricle.7 14. In several studies the accuracy of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (3D echo) has been investigated compared with CMR imaging and resulted in good agreement.<sup>8-10</sup> Currently, software that enables a fast reconstruction of RV volumes and EF is commercially 17. 18. available. An advantage of 3D echo is that it is not reliant on correct image orientation at acquisition and the associated geometric assumptions.<sup>11</sup> Consequently, 3D echo has the potential of 19. improved accuracy, even though past findings have been varying.<sup>12</sup> Improved reproducibility 21. of 3D echo compared with the area-length method, the modified two-dimensional subtraction method, and Simpson's method of disc summation has been reported.<sup>13</sup> None of the cited studies clearly investigated the additional value of combined 2D echo and 3D echo measurements for RV size or function assessment. 24. 25. Therefore, we investigated the accuracy and reproducibility of 3D echo compared with 2D echo measurements for the assessment of RV size and function. Moreover, we compared the 27. ability of 3D echo versus 2D echo to identify RV dysfunction. For the purpose of this study, we assessed 2D echo, 3D echo, and CMR images of the right ventricle in patients with various CHD. Moreover, a group of healthy controls was studied to assess possible differences between patients and healthy controls. 31. **METHODS** 33. 34. Study population

Congenital heart disease patients 37.

We prospectively recruited 89 patients in sinus rhythm with complex and/or surgically repaired

CHD referred for quantitative analysis of their cardiac function by CMR imaging. The patients

underwent 2D echo, full-volume 3D echo, and CMR examinations. The 3D echo examination 1. was made by the same sonographer who had performed the 2D echo study. 2.

3.

4.

16.

#### Healthy controls

Thirthy-one healthy controls underwent 2D echo, full-volume 3D echo, and CMR examinations5.(Figure 1). Controls were eligible for inclusion in the study if they had no medical history or<br/>current symptoms suggestive of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension or a systemic6.illness with a potential cardiovascular component such as diabetes or thyroid disease. Par-<br/>ticipants taking any cardiovascular medications were excluded from the study. In all included9.healthy controls, heart rate and blood pressure were measured (in a supine position) and they<br/>underwent physical examinations and a complete routine 2D echocardiogram to exclude11.cardiac abnormalities.12.

The medical ethics committee approved the study and written informed consent was 13. obtained from all healthy controls, patients and/or their parents (if required).

#### Two-dimensional echocardiography

Echocardiographic studies were performed with a commercially available ultrasound system 17. (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with a broadband S5-1 transducer 18. (frequency transmitted 1.7 MHz, received 3.4 MHz) with the patient in the left lateral decubitus 19. position. Using a standard clinical protocol, RV outflow tract dimensions were measured on 20. parasternal short-axis views at aortic valve level, RV measurements as specified in the ASE 21. recommendations<sup>2</sup> were measured on modified apical four-chamber views. The mean frame 22. rate was 58 ± 9 frames per cardiac cycle (range 39 – 82). 23.

The datasets were digitally exported to a TomTec server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) connected to a terminal workstation for further analyses. Two-dimensional echo-derived RV parameters were analyzed using TomTec Image Arena version 4.1. All RV 26. diameters were measured at end-diastole. The diameters were measured I) perpendicular to the aortic valve and II) abreast the pulmonary annulus level. On the modified apical four-chamber 28. view, the RV inflow diameter was measured just above the level of the tricuspid valve annulus 29. and the long-axis diameter was measured from the apex to the tricuspid valve annulus. The 30. areas in end-diastole and end-systole were measured in a dynamic mode and the RV fractional 31. area change (end-diastolic area minus end-systolic area, divided by the end-diastolic area, 32. expressed as a percentage) was calculated. The TAPSE was measured at the lateral tricuspid annulus using M-mode. 34.

#### Acquisition and analysis by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

Real-time 3D echo harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultrasound system (Philips 37.
Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 matrix array transducer with 38.
the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. A full-volume scan from seven R-wave gated 39.



Figure 1 Example of radial long-axis images of the right ventricle obtained at end-diastole from a
 patient with pulmonary valve stenosis displayed by 2-dimensional echocardiography (top), 3-dimensional
 echocardiography (center) and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (bottom) with their respective
 measurements.

34.

subvolumes during a single end-expiratory breath-hold was acquired from a modified apical
four-chamber position. The depth and angle of the ultrasound sector were adjusted to a minimal
level still encompassing the RV. Each image was optimized for endocardial border visualization
by modifying time gain and compression and then the overall gain was slightly increased before
the acquisition. The mean volume rate was 26 ± 5 frames per cardiac cycle (range 14 – 39).

The digital RV 3D echo datasets were analyzed offline using the TomTec four-dimensional 1. RV Function program version 1.2. With this software, three-dimensional semiautomated border 2. detection of RV volumes over one cardiac cycle are done. It uses a physics-based modelling 3. algorithm that makes no assumptions regarding RV geometry. The working of the RV Function 4. program is reported in detail elsewhere.<sup>10</sup> In short, the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases have to be identified. Endocardial border contours are drawn onto still frames of the apical four-chamber view, short-axis view, and coronal view in both phases. Once these contours have 7. been traced, the software automatically delineates the RV endocardial border from the end-8. diastolic and end-systolic phases and, by sequential analysis, creates a RV mathematic dynamic 9. three-dimensional endocardial surface that represents the changes in the RV cavity over the 10. cardiac cycle. From this three-dimensional endocardial surface, global RV volumes and EF are 11. calculated.

#### 13. 14.

#### Acquisition and analysis by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

CMR images were acquired using a Signa 1.5 Tesla scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, 15. Wisconsin). Subjects were positioned in the supine position with dedicated phased-array cardiac surface coils placed over the thorax. The CMR imaging protocol included cine steady state 17. free precession sequences in short-axis planes to assess RV volumes. Electrocardiogram gating 18. and repeated breath holds were applied to minimize the influence of cardiac and respiratory 19. motion. RV volumes were measured from a multi-section image set of 8 to 12 contiguous slices 20. parallel to the plane of the atrioventricular valves covering the full length of the right ventricle. 21. Imaging parameters were as follows: slice thickness 7 to 10 mm, inter-slice gap 0 mm, field of 22. view 280 to 370 mm, phase field of view 0.75, matrix 160 x 128 mm, repetition time 3.5 ms, 23. echo time 1.5 ms, 12 views/segment, flip angle 45°, mean in-plane resolution 2 mm<sup>2</sup>, range of 24. temporal resolution 22 to 37 ms. 25.

The short-axis dataset was analyzed quantitatively on a commercially available Advanced26.Windows workstation (GE Medical Systems) using Advanced Windows version 5.1 of the MR27.Analytical Software System (Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands). The RV28.end-diastolic volume (EDV), end-systolic volume (ESV) and EF were calculated using manual29.detection of endocardial borders in end-diastole and end-systole with exclusion of trabeculae30.as described by Robbers-Visser et al.<sup>14</sup>31.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was was performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois). 34. Categorical variables are summarized as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables are 35. presented as mean ± SD. Patients were divided into two groups: primarily affected RV CHD (RV 36. group), and primarily affected left ventricular (LV) CHD (LV group). Differences between the two 37. patient groups and controls were analyzed using ANOVA between groups. Both 3D echo and 38.

CMR-derived volumes were indexed to the body surface area, which was calculated according to the formula by Dubois: BSA  $(m^2)$  = weight  $(kg)^{0.425}$  \* height  $(cm)^{0.725}$  \* 71.84 \* 10<sup>-4</sup>. 2. Regression analysis with Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the relation between 2D echo, 3D echo, and CMR imaging. The Z-statistic was used to evaluate differences 4. between two correlation coefficients. The agreement between 3D echo and CMR measurements was evaluated using Bland-Altman analysis by calculating the bias (mean difference) and the 95% limits of agreement (two SDs around the mean difference).<sup>15</sup> Paired t tests were used to analyze the significance of the biases in volumes and EF between 3D echo and CMR imaging. 8. 9. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were created to obtain the sensitivity, specificity, positive, and negative predictive values of 2D echo and 3D echo to identify RV dysfunction in patients with CHD. RV dysfunction was defined as indexed EDV >129 ml, indexed ESV >58 ml, and/or RV EF <48% obtained by CMR imaging.<sup>16</sup> We report the area under the ROC curve (or 12. c-index) as well as the 'optimal' cut-off value for each parameter to detect RV dysfunction, which is defined as the value of the parameter that corresponds with the highest sum of specificity 14. and sensitivity. The method of DeLong was used to study differences in areas under the curve between two correlated ROC curves.<sup>17</sup> The reproducibility of the 2D- and 3D echo measurements was evaluated in 37 randomly 18. selected persons (22 patients and 15 healthy controls). We expressed the intra-observer and inter-observer variability by the coefficient of variation, which is defined as the standard 19. deviation of the difference between the two readings (or readers) divided by their mean value, times 100. All statistical tests were two-sided, and P-value <0.05 was considered statistically 21. significant.

24.

#### 25. RESULTS

26.

27. The baseline characteristics of the RV group (n = 62, mainly patients with tetralogy of Fallot, pulmonary stenosis ± ventricular septal defect and transposition of the great arteries after an atrial switch), of the LV group (n = 27, mainly aortic valve pathology and transposition of the great arteries after an arterial switch) and of the healthy controls (n = 31) are listed in Table 1.
31. The patients with CHD had increased heart rates (P <0.001) and shorter statures (P <0.001), compared with the healthy controls.</li>
33. Table 2 displays the 2D echo, 3D echo and CMR-derived measurements of RV size and function. All RV diameters by 2D echo were larger in the RV group compared with the other two groups. TAPSE was lower in the RV group (P <0.001) while these patients had higher indexed EDV and ESV and lower EF by 3D echo and CMR imaging (all: P ≤0.001).</li>

57.

38.

| Table 1. Baseline characteristi | cs |
|---------------------------------|----|
|---------------------------------|----|

| Variable                            | RV group      | LV group      | Healthy controls | P-value* |   |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------|---|
| Number                              | 62            | 27            | 31               |          | 2 |
| Men (%)                             | 45            | 29            | 52               | 0.25     | 3 |
| Age (years)                         | 29 ± 11       | $25\pm8$      | 31 ± 7           | 0.082    | 4 |
| Heart rate (beats/min)              | 71 ± 11       | 64 ± 11       | $59\pm8$         | < 0.001  |   |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)      | $125 \pm 17$  | $131 \pm 17$  | $122 \pm 14$     | 0.54     | 5 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)     | $73 \pm 10$   | 71 ± 9        | 73 ± 9           | 0.13     | 6 |
| Height (cm)                         | 171 ± 13      | 177 ± 11      | 177 ± 8          | < 0.001  | 7 |
| Weight (kg)                         | 67 ± 16       | 71 ± 13       | $72 \pm 11$      | 0.21     | 8 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)             | $23 \pm 4$    | $23 \pm 3$    | $23 \pm 3$       | 0.97     |   |
| Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> ) | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ | $1.9\pm0.2$      | 0.25     | 9 |

\*P-value derived from ANOVA between groups. RV denotes right ventricle, LV left ventricle.

|  | able 2. Right ventricular measurements | by echocardiography and | l cardiac magnetic resonar | nce imaging |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|

|                         |  | All          | RV group     | LV group    | Healthy controls | P-value* | 13  |
|-------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|----------|-----|
|                         | PSAX RVOT 1 (mm/m <sup>2</sup> )           | 19±6         | 20 ± 7       | 15 ± 5      | 18 ± 2           | 0.001    | 1.5 |
|                         | PSAX RVOT 2 (mm/m <sup>2</sup> )           | $11 \pm 4$   | $11 \pm 4$   | $9\pm4$     | 11 ± 2           | 0.044    | 15  |
|                         | AP4C inlet (mm/m <sup>2</sup> )            | $24 \pm 5$   | $27 \pm 6$   | $22 \pm 4$  | $21 \pm 3$       | <0.001   | 16  |
| 2-Dimensional           | AP4C long-axis (mm/m <sup>2</sup> )        | 47 ± 6       | $49 \pm 7$   | 46 ± 6      | $43 \pm 3$       | <0.001   | 17  |
| echocardiography        | Area ED (cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ) | 17 ± 5       | $20\pm5$     | $15\pm3$    | $12 \pm 2$       | < 0.001  | 18  |
|                         | Area ES (cm <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ) | $11 \pm 4$   | $13 \pm 4$   | 9 ± 2       | 7 ± 2            | < 0.001  | 10  |
|                         | FAC (%)                                    | 37 ± 8       | $36 \pm 9$   | $38 \pm 6$  | $40\pm8$         | 0.032    | 19  |
| M-mode                  | TAPSE (mm)                                 | 23 ± 7       | 20 ± 5       | -           | $29\pm5$         | <0.001   | 20  |
|                         | EDV (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )                   | 93 ± 32      | $107 \pm 36$ | $78 \pm 19$ | 75 ± 12          | < 0.001  | 21  |
| Real-time 3-dimensional | ESV (ml/m²)                                | $46 \pm 22$  | $55 \pm 25$  | $38 \pm 12$ | $33 \pm 7$       | < 0.001  | 22  |
| echocardiography        | EF (%)                                     | $52 \pm 8$   | $50 \pm 9$   | 52 ± 7      | $57 \pm 4$       | 0.001    | 22  |
|                         | EDV (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )                   | $102 \pm 36$ | 119 ± 41     | 84 ± 23     | 82 ± 13          | <0.001   | 23  |
| Cardiac magnetic        | ESV (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )                   | $48 \pm 26$  | $60 \pm 29$  | 36 ± 12     | $32\pm8$         | <0.001   | 24  |
| resonance imaging       | FF (%)                                     | 55 + 9       | 51 + 9       | 58 + 6      | 61 + 6           | < 0.001  | 25  |

\*P-value derived from ANOVA between groups. PSAX denotes parasternal short axis, RVOT right ventricular outflow tract, AP4C apical four-chamber, ED(V) end-diastole (volume), ES(V) end-systole (volume), FAC fractional area change, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, EF ejection fraction.

27.

28.

29.

#### Accuracy of 2-dimensional versus 3-dimensional echocardiography

As shown in Table 3, the correlations for the 2D echo measurements ranged from 0.32 (RV 31. outflow tract at pulmonary valve level) to 0.74 (end-diastolic area) compared with the CMRderived EDV. The end-systolic area correlated with a coefficient of 0.77 with the ESV by CMR 33. imaging. Fractional area change and TAPSE correlated with CMR-derived EF with correlation 34. coefficients of 0.37 and 0.40, respectively. The correlations for 3D echo-derived volumes and 35. EF ranged from 0.71-0.97 (Figure 2). The 3D echo-derived measurements correlated better with 36. CMR imaging than the 2D-echo derived ones (All P <0.001). The strength of the correlations varied slightly between the RV group- and healthy control group, but only the RV inlet correlated 38. significantly better in healthy controls than in the RV group (P = 0.042). The mean differences 39.

| 1.<br>2. | CMR               | Echo           | All  | RV Group | LV Group | Healthy controls | Mean<br>difference | 95%<br>LOA | P-value* |
|----------|-------------------|----------------|------|----------|----------|------------------|--------------------|------------|----------|
| 3.       |                   | PSAX RVOT 1    | 0.47 | 0.46     | 0.27     | 0.35#            |                    |            | 0.56     |
| Л        |                   | PSAX RVOT 2    | 0.32 | 0.47     | 0.20     | 0.11#            |                    |            | 0.092    |
| т.<br>_  | End-diastolic     | AP4C inlet     | 0.46 | 0.33     | 0.47     | 0.67             |                    |            | 0.042    |
| 5.       | volume            | AP4C long-axis | 0.65 | 0.71     | 0.55     | 0.76             |                    |            | 0.64     |
| 6.       |                   | Area ED        | 0.74 | 0.69     | 0.71     | 0.73             |                    |            | 0.73     |
| 7.       |                   | EDV            | 0.97 | 0.97     | 0.96     | 0.93             | -17                | (-19:53)   | 0.065    |
| 8        | End-systolic      | Area ES        | 0.77 | 0.73     | 0.65     | 0.58             |                    |            | 0.25     |
| 0.       | volume            | ESV            | 0.96 | 0.96     | 0.94     | 0.91             | -3                 | (-25:32)   | 0.076    |
| 9.       |                   | FAC            | 0.37 | 0.35     | 0.35#    | 0.08#            |                    |            | 0.21     |
| 0.       | Ejection fraction | TAPSE          | 0.40 | 0.29^    | -        | 0.21             |                    |            | 0.73     |
| 1.       |                   | EF             | 0.71 | 0.71     | 0.52     | 0.63             | -3                 | (-9:16)    | 0.54     |

Table 3. Correlation of echocardiographic measurements and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

12. Data are displayed as correlation coefficients. All correlation coefficients had a P-value <0.01 unless

otherwise indicated. ^ P-value < 0.05, but larger than 0.01. \* P-value indicates the difference between the

correlation coefficients of the RV group versus healthy controls. # Did not reach statistical significance.

14. Abbreviations and units of the measurements, see Table 2.



echocardiography versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. The indexed end-diastolic volume (top),

- 38.
- 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37.</sup> end-systolic volume (center), and ejection fraction (bottom).

between 3D echo and CMR imaging were -17 ml for EDV, -3 ml for ESV, -3% for EF, with 95% 1. limits of agreement of  $\pm$  36 ml for EDV,  $\pm$  29 ml for ESV, and  $\pm$  13% for EF (Figure 3). 2.

The indexed EDV and ESV by 3D echo were the most sensitive and specific parameters to3.indentify RV dysfunction (AUC 0.99 (0.95-1.0); 0.96 (0.90-0.99)), while the best 2D echo-derived4.parameters were the areas in end-diastole and end-systole (AUC 0.87 (0.78-0.93); 0.85 (0.75-5.0.91)) (Table 4). The 3D echo-derived volumes were better than any of the 2D echo-derived RV6.measurements in identifying RV dysfunction (P = 0.008). In addition, 3D echo-derived EF was7.superior to TAPSE (P = 0.001) for identification of diminished RV EF (Figure 4).8.



 Figure 3
 Bland-Altman analysis for right ventricular measurements by 3-dimensional echocardiography
 29

 versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. The indexed end-diastolic volume (top), end-systolic volume
 30

 (center), and ejection fraction (bottom).
 31

Reproducibility of 2-dimensional versus 3-dimensional echocardiography

Chapter 4

94

For 2D echo-derived RV measurements, the inter-observer variability ranged from 8% up to34.19%, while the intra-observer variability ranged from 3% up to 15%. The inter-observer value35.for TAPSE was 6% and the intra-observer value 5%. For RV EDV, ESV, and EF by 3D echo, the36.inter-observer variability was 10%, 13% and 12%. The intra-observer variability was 6% for EDV,37.11% for ESV, and 6% for EF (Table 5).38.

|     | ventricular dystatica | lon            |                  |         |               |             |             |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| 2.  |                       |                | AUC (95% CI)     | P-value | Cut-off value | Sensitivity | Specificity |
| 3.  |                       | PSAX RVOT 1    | 0.74 (0.63-0.84) | 0.001   | 22            | 63          | 83          |
| 4   |                       | PSAX RVOT 2    | 0.69 (0.57-0.80) | 0.013   | 13            | 56          | 83          |
|     | 2 Dim en sien al      | AP4C inlet     | 0.73 (0.63-0.82) | < 0.001 | 27            | 60          | 81          |
| 5.  | 2-Dimensional         | AP4C long-axis | 0.71 (0.60-0.80) | 0.001   | 50            | 65          | 68          |
| 6.  | echocardiography      | Area ED        | 0.87 (0.78-0.93) | <0.001  | 16            | 100         | 57          |
| 7.  |                       | Area ES        | 0.85 (0.75-0.91) | <0.001  | 12            | 91          | 72          |
| 8   |                       | FAC            | 0.76 (0.66-0.85) | < 0.001 | 34            | 80          | 73          |
| 0.  | M-mode                | TAPSE          | 0.72 (0.61-0.81) | 0.004   | 22            | 90          | 57          |
| 9.  | Real-time             | EDV            | 0.99 (0.95-1.0)  | <0.001  | 116           | 95          | 100         |
| 10. | 3-dimensional         | ESV            | 0.96 (0.90-0.99) | <0.001  | 47            | 100         | 81          |
| 11  | echocardiography      | EF             | 0.86 (0.78-0.93) | < 0.001 | 50            | 87          | 69          |

 Table 4. ROC characteristics and optimal cut-off values of echocardiographic parameters to identify right

 ventricular dysfunction

12. Data are expressed as mean (95% confidence interval). All right ventricular diameters, areas and

volumes are indexed. ROC denotes receiver operating characteristic, other abbreviations and units of

measurements, see Table 2.



echocardiography derived ejection fraction, 2-dimensional echocardiography derived fractional area

change and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion to identify diminished right ventricular ejection
 fraction.

34.

- 25
- 36

- 38.
- ....

<sup>22</sup> 

|                         | 5 1            |               |                     |                |                |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                         |                | Inter-o       | observer            | Intra-observer |                |
|                         |                | Mean          | Mean Coefficient of |                | Coefficient of |
|                         |                | difference    | variation           | difference     | variation      |
|                         | PSAX RVOT 1    | $2.9\pm3.0$   | 8                   | $2.1 \pm 2.9$  | 8              |
|                         | PSAX RVOT 2    | $3.0 \pm 2.7$ | 13                  | $2.9 \pm 3.1$  | 15             |
| 2 Dimensional           | AP4C inlet     | $3.8\pm5.1$   | 12                  | $2.2 \pm 2.0$  | 5              |
| 2-Dimensional           | AP4C long-axis | $5.7 \pm 7.4$ | 9                   | $3.3 \pm 2.9$  | 3              |
| echocardiography        | Area ED        | $2.8 \pm 3.1$ | 11                  | 2.6 ± 1.4      | 5              |
|                         | Area ES        | $2.2 \pm 1.9$ | 11                  | $2.2 \pm 1.9$  | 11             |
|                         | FAC            | 8.2 ± 7.1     | 19                  | $6.3 \pm 5.0$  | 13             |
| M-mode                  | TAPSE          | 1.6 ± 1.5     | 6                   | 1.5 ± 1.3      | 5              |
|                         | EDV            | 26 ± 18       | 10                  | $14 \pm 11$    | 6              |
| Keal-time 3-dimensional | ESV            | $15 \pm 12$   | 13                  | $11 \pm 10$    | 11             |
| echocardiography        | EF             | $5\pm 6$      | 12                  | 5 ± 3          | 6              |

**Table 5.** Reproducibility of the echocardiographic measurements

Coefficients of variation are calculated as the standard deviation of the difference between the 2 readings (or readers) divided by their mean value times 100. Abbreviations and units of measurements, see Table 2.

#### DISCUSSION

16.

14.

17.

26.

27.

In the current study we directly compared 3D echo-derived RV volumes and EF versus multiple
2D echo-derived measurements for RV assessment. A better agreement between 3D echo versus 2D echo compared with CMR imaging was found. Furthermore, 3D echo established higher
specificity to exclude RV dysfunction in patients with CHD, in line with published studies that
focussed on the left ventricle.<sup>18, 19</sup> To investigate whether the superior accuracy would be at cost
of the reproducibility of the RV measurements, we studied the reproducibility of 3D echo versus
2D echo. The 3D echo measurements turned out to be at least as reproducible as the 2D echoderived RV dimensions and areas, with TAPSE being the most reproducible RV measurement.

#### Comparison with other studies

The agreement between 2D echo, 3D echo, and CMR imaging has been studied before by 28. Kjaergaard et al<sup>12</sup> who found only moderate correlations between 3D echo and CMR imaging. 29. They concluded that, for routine clinical purposes, TAPSE is the preferred method for RV EF 30. estimation. They did not report on reproducibility. Their results differ at two points from ours: 31. first, we found that 3D echo agreed better with CMR imaging than measurements by M-mode 32. or 2D echo and second, in our study TAPSE correlated only moderately with CMR imaging. This 33. discrepancy could be explained by the older 3D echo platform Kjaergaard et al<sup>12</sup> used in which 34. analysis of the RV dataset was based on the disc summation method. Moreover, they included 35. patients post myocardial infarction or with a history of pulmonary embolism while we studied 36. patients with CHD. In both groups regional RV function abnormalities were observed. Especially 37. in patients with tetralogy of Fallot, such regional RV function abnormalities are present. During 38. the initial operation in the latter patients, a large transannular patch was placed, resulting in 39.

abnormal function of their RV outflow tract. Morcos et al<sup>20</sup> found that TAPSE was of limited value in patients with tetralogy of Fallot, because assessing only the longitudinal RV shortening 2. at the inlet (TAPSE) does not represent global RV function if extensive regional abnormalities 3. are present. 4 Jenkins et al<sup>13</sup> compared 2D echo and 3D echo-derived volumes with CMR imaging in patients after acute myocardial infarctions. They found 3D echo to be more reproducible compared with the 2D echo-based area-length method, the modified 2D subtraction method, and 8. Simpson's disc summation method. Furthermore, studies on the accuracy of 2D echo compared with CMR imaging reported various strengths of correlations <sup>4, 6, 21</sup> depending on the meth-9. odology used and the patient population studied. The agreement between 3D echo and CMR imaging has been investigated in several studies and resulted in better agreement compared with 2D echo versus CMR imaging.<sup>8, 22</sup> 12. 13. An issue addressed by Lai et al<sup>6</sup> was whether 2D echo-derived measurements agreed better with CMR imaging in healthy controls than in patients with CHD. They found that the differences 14. between 2D echo and CMR-derived measurements were more pronounced in patients with RV volume overload and concluded that 2D echo appears to be less accurate in patients with 16. 17. CHD and a dilated right ventricle. We could not confirm these findings, as we found agreement 18. between the two techniques being comparable in the RV group and in the healthy controls. Only the 2D-echo derived RV inlet diameter correlated better in healthy controls than in the 19. RV group. Thus, even in dilated right ventricles, 2D echo and 3D echo can be applied and are as

- 21. accurate as in healthy controls.
- 22.

23. Clinical implications

When assessing RV function in clinical practice, all echo modalities - M-mode, 2D echo, and 3D 24. echo - have their pitfalls. M-mode measurement of TAPSE is sensitive and reproducible for the follow-up of longitudinal RV function, but it is angle-dependent and when the cursor is not 27. placed in the direction of the myocardial motion, underestimation of the annular excursion will occur. This can be prevented using a modified, more lateral four-chamber view focused 28. on the right ventricle. As illustrated before, TAPSE cannot always be extrapolated to global RV function.<sup>20</sup> The accuracy of 2D echo measurements is influenced by the image quality. When 31. imaging from an apical four-chamber view, the right ventricle appears in the poor lateral resolution of the transducer. To improve the resolution, the transducer can be moved more laterally so that the right ventricle appears more in the center of the image sector. The RV myocardial 34. performance index (Tei index) has also been used as a measurement for RV function,<sup>23</sup> but we decided not to use this measurement as only in systemic right ventricles a good correlation with CMR imaging has been described.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, the validity of this index for RV function assessment is much debated, since it includes isovolumic contraction and relaxation which, on pressure-volume analysis of the normal subpulmonary right ventricle, are not present.<sup>25, 26</sup> 38. Consequently, the relevance of the index is controversial.

To use 3D echo for RV imaging in clinical practice, the following conditions should be kept in mind. Firstly, one has to invest in an ultrasound system with 3D echo capabilities and in the 2. training of a dedicated sonographer. In our practice, we found that an average of 40 datasets 3. was needed to learn how to accurately apply 3D echo for RV imaging. Secondly, even though 4. the analysis of 3D datasets takes little time – approximately 2 to 5 minutes – it should be noted that the endocardial border identification may be challenging as echo dropouts frequently occur, especially of the anterior wall and the RV outflow tract. Thirdly, endocardial border 7. identification can be difficult, because the fibrous trabecular network is highly echogenic 8. and appears as a solid muscular layer, giving little differentiation between RV myocardium 9. and trabeculae. As a consequence, the RV cavity borders can be misleading. This is the main 10. source of the difference between 3D echo and CMR imaging in the assessment of RV volumes 11. and the reason why the RV cavity is underestimated using 3D echo. The development of more 12. powerful echocardiographic systems will improve the contrast resolution, thereby improving 13. the accuracy of the analyses. 14.

Currently there is no single echo modality or measurement that will provide "the" measure15.for RV function. Therefore, we would advice a combination of 2D echo-derived areas, TAPSE, and16.3D echo-derived volumes and EF to judge RV size and function for serial follow-up. Areas by 2D17.echo and TAPSE can be used because of their simplicity and good reproducibility, respectively.18.As the accuracy of each of these measurements is limited, multiple 2D parameters must be19.used. Using 3D echo will result in more accurate, good reproducible measurements provided20.the technique has been mastered during an appropriate learning period for acquisition and21.analysis. If doubts arise or significant changes in measurements are found over time, CMR imag-22.ing is indicated to confirm the echocardiographic data.23.

24.

34.

#### Limitations

In some patients the echocardiogram was not made at the same day as the CMR examination. 26. Since measurements of RV volumes and EF are load-dependent this may have caused differ-27. ences in volumes that are not technique related, but that are caused by hemodynamic changes 28. over time. Moreover, we choose not to calculate volumes based on 2D echo images, since these 29. measurements are not advised by the guidelines<sup>2</sup> and their accuracy has proven to be limited 30. because of the complicated RV geometry. Recently however, a relatively simple model based 31. on the 2D echo-derived end-diastolic area has been developed to quantify RV end-diastolic 32. volumes.<sup>27</sup> 33.

### CONCLUSIONS

3D echo improved the quantitative RV size and function assessment compared with 2D echo 38. in patients with CHD as well as in healthy controls. No single echocardiography modality will 39.

| 1.  | provide "the" measure for RV function. Therefore, we would advice a combination of 2D echo-       |
|-----|---|
| 2.  | derived areas, TAPSE, and 3D echo-derived volumes and EF to judge RV size and function for        |
| 3.  | serial follow-up. Everyday clinical use of 3D echo for RV assessment can be reality with the cur- |
| 4.  | rently available software and provides incremental benefit in assessment of the right ventrcile.  |
| 5.  |   |
| б.  |   |
| 7.  |   |
| 8.  |   |
| 9.  |   |
| 10. |   |
| 11. |   |
| 12. |   |
| 13. |   |
| 14. |   |
| 15. |   |
| 16. |   |
| 17. |   |
| 18. |   |
| 19. |   |
| 20. |   |
| 21. |   |
| 22. |   |
| 23. |   |
| 25. |   |
| 26. |   |
| 27. |   |
| 28. |   |
| 29. |   |
| 30. |   |
| 31. |   |
| 32. |   |
| 33. |   |
| 34. |   |
| 35. |   |
| 36. |   |
| 37. |   |
| 38. |   |
| 39. |   |

1. Friedberg MK, Rosenthal DN. New developments in echocardiographic methods to assess right 1. ventricular function in congenital heart disease. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2005;20:84-8. 2. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, et al. Guidelines for the 2. echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of 3. Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch 4. of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685-713; guiz 86-8. 3. Puchalski MD, Williams RV, Askovich B, Minich LL, Mart C, Tani LY. Assessment of right ventricular size and function: echo versus magnetic resonance imaging. Congenit Heart Dis. 2007;2:27-31. 7. 4. Hui W, Abd El Rahman MY, Dsebissowa F, Gutberlet M, Alexi-Meskishvili V, Hetzer R, et al. Comparison 8. of modified short axis view and apical four chamber view in evaluating right ventricular function after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. Int J Cardiol. 2005;105:256-61. 9. 5. Koestenberger M, Nagel B, Ravekes W, Everett AD, Stueger HP, Heinzl B, et al. Systolic right ventricular function in pediatric and adolescent patients with tetralogy of Fallot: echocardiography versus magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2011;24:45-52. 6. Lai WW, Gauvreau K, Rivera ES, Saleeb S, Powell AJ, Geva T. Accuracy of guideline recommendations 12. for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008:24:691-8. 14. 7. Jiang L, Levine RA, Weyman AE. Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and Function. Echocardiography. 1997;14:189-206. 8. Grewal J, Majdalany D, Syed I, Pellikka P, Warnes CA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of right ventricular volume and function in adult patients with congenital heart disease: 17. comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:127-33. 18. 9. Khoo NS, Young A, Occleshaw C, Cowan B, Zeng IS, Gentles TL. Assessments of right ventricular volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with 19. congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2009;22:1279-88. 10. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al. Clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-23. diogr. 2010;23:134-40. 24. 11. Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Multimodality Comparison of Quantitative Volumetric Analysis of the Right Ventricle. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:10-8. 12. Kjaergaard J, Petersen CL, Kjaer A, Schaadt BK, Oh JK, Hassager C. Evaluation of right ventricular vol-27. ume and function by 2D and 3D echocardiography compared to MRI. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2006;7:430-28 8. 13. Jenkins C, Chan J, Bricknell K, Strudwick M, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac 30. MRI. Chest. 2007;131:1844-51. 14. Robbers-Visser D, Boersma E, Helbing WA. Normal biventricular function, volumes, and mass in children aged 8 to 17 years. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;29:552-9. 15. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical 33. measurement. Lancet. 1986;1:307-10. 34. 16. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Boersma E, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Soliman OI, et al. Usefulness of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:843-50. 17. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated 37. receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44:837-45.

- 18. Soliman Ol, Kirschbaum SW, van Dalen BM, van der Zwaan HB, Mahdavian Delavary B, Vletter WB, et al. Accuracy and reproducibility of quantitation of left ventricular function by real-time threedimensional echocardiography versus cardiac magnetic resonance. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:778-83.
- Jacobs LD, Salgo IS, Goonewardena S, Weinert L, Coon P, Bardo D, et al. Rapid online quantification of left ventricular volume from real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic data. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:460-8.
- 20. Morcos P, Vick GW, 3rd, Sahn DJ, Jerosch-Herold M, Shurman A, Sheehan FH. Correlation of right ventricular ejection fraction and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion in tetralogy of Fallot by magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008.
- Lissin LW, Li W, Murphy DJ, Jr., Hornung T, Swan L, Mullen M, et al. Comparison of transthoracic echocardiography versus cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of ventricular function in adults after atrial switch procedures for complete transposition of the great arteries. Am J Cardiol. 2004;93:654-7.
- 22. Iriart X, Montaudon M, Lafitte S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach T, et al. Right ventricle three-dimensional echography in corrected tetralogy of fallot: accuracy and variability. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009.
- Schwerzmann M, Samman AM, Salehian O, Holm J, Provost Y, Webb GD, et al. Comparison of echocardiographic and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for assessing right ventricular function in adults with repaired tetralogy of fallot. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99:1593-7.
- 24. Salehian O, Schwerzmann M, Merchant N, Webb GD, Siu SC, Therrien J. Assessment of systemic right ventricular function in patients with transposition of the great arteries using the myocardial performance index: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Circulation. 2004;110:3229-33.
- 25. Redington AN, Rigby ML, Shinebourne EA, Oldershaw PJ. Changes in the pressure-volume relation of the right ventricle when its loading conditions are modified. Br Heart J. 1990;63:45-9.
- 26. Yoshifuku S, Otsuji Y, Takasaki K, Yuge K, Kisanuki A, Toyonaga K, et al. Pseudonormalized Doppler total ejection isovolume (Tei) index in patients with right ventricular acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2003;91:527-31.
- 27. Greutmann M, Tobler D, Biaggi P, Mah ML, Crean A, Oechslin EN, et al. Echocardiography for assessment of right ventricular volumes revisited: a cardiac magnetic resonance comparison study in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:905-11.



## Chapter 5

Test-retest variability of volumetric right ventricular measurements using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

iii.

Without milling

the particular land

ha.m.

and a School with the

main fit m

ال مدام

A COLUMN TO A

in our last

100

H.B. van der Zwaan M.L. Geleijnse O.I.I. Soliman J.S. McGhie E.J.A. Wiegers-Groeneweg W.A. Helbing J.W. Roos-Hesselink F.J. Meijboom

10.8

J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2011; in press

#### ABSTRACT

Background.Substantial variability in sequential echocardiographic right ventricular (RV)3.quantification may exist.Inter- and intra-observer values are well known, but acquisition4.(test-retest) variability has been rarely assessed.The objective of this study was to determine5.the test-retest variability of sequential RV volume and ejection fraction (EF) measurements by6.real-time three-dimensional echocardiography in patients with congenital heart disease and7.healthy controls.8.

Methods. Twenty-eight participants (21 patients with congenital heart disease, seven healthy10.controls; mean age,  $30 \pm 14$  years; 43% men) underwent a series of three echocardiographic11.studies. To obtain inter-observer and intra-observer test-retest variability, two sonographers12.acquired sequential RV datasets in each participant during one outpatient visit. RV volumetric13.quantification was done using semiautomated three-dimensional border detection. The14.variability data were analyzed using correlation coefficients, Bland-Altman analysis, and coef-15.ficients of variation.16.

**Results.** Absolute mean differences for sequential intra-observer acquisitions were  $12 \pm 12$  ml18.for end-diastolic volume,  $7 \pm 6$  ml for end-systolic volume, and  $4 \pm 3\%$  for EF. Inter-observer and19.intra-observer test-retest variability, respectively, were 7% and 7% for RV end-diastolic volume,20.14% and 7% for end-systolic volume, and 8% and 6% for EF.21.

Conclusions.Good test-retest variability, besides the practical nature of real-time three-23.dimensional echocardiography for RV volume and EF assessment, makes it a valuable technique24.for serial follow-up. Although it may be challenging to diminish all factors that can influence25.echocardiographic examination for serial follow-up, standardization of RV size and function26.measurements should be a goal to produce more interchangeable data.27.

28

1. 2.

9.

- 20
- 30
- 2.1
- 22
- 33
- 34.
- 35.
- 26
- 27
- 57.
- 39.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

2.

Right ventricular (RV) volumes and ejection fraction (EF) are important factors for the initiation of therapy and assessment of response to treatment in patients with congenital heart disease 4 (CHD).<sup>1-4</sup> Therefore, RV volumes and EF are tested sequentially in everyday clinical practice.<sup>5</sup> Subjective visual evaluation of RV function may be appropriate for single assessment, but it is insufficiently reliable for sequential use.<sup>6</sup> Longitudinal RV function can more accurately be determined by measuring the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion or the myocardial 8. performance index.<sup>7</sup> Quantification of RV dimensions and function can be done by two-9 dimensional echocardiography,<sup>7</sup> although the value of the estimations of RV volumes and EF is limited due to the need of geometric assumptions that are especially not true for the abnormal hearts that are of interest. Consequently, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging 12. has become the indicated technique for RV volume and EF assessment,<sup>5</sup> even though the cost and availability of CMR imaging may hamper its use in routine clinical practice.<sup>8-9</sup> 14. Real-time three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography (RT3DE) is an alternative imaging modality for quantification of RV volumes and EF.<sup>10</sup> In various studies, improved accuracy and 16. inter-observer and intra-observer values of RT3DE compared with two-dimensional echocar-17. diography in patients with CHD have been shown.<sup>11-13</sup> However, these inter-observer and intra-18. observer values reflect only the variability caused by variations in analysis of the same dataset. 19. Another source of variability is the difference in the acquisition of the datasets, the test-retest 21. variability between datasets that are acquired and subsequently analyzed. To what extent this affects patients with CHD with abnormally shaped right ventricles is unknown. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the test-retest variability of RT3DE for RV volumes and 24. EF. 25.

#### 27. METHODS

28.

#### 29. Study design

We prospectively recruited 33 participants (21 patients with complex and/or surgically repaired
CHD and 12 healthy controls) in sinus rhythm, who all underwent a RT3DE examination. The
patients were referred to the echocardiography laboratory for routine measurements of their
cardiac function and had sufficient acoustic windows. The healthy controls were employees
of the university or the hospital who had no medical histories or current symptoms suggestive of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension and/or a systemic illness with potential
cardiovascular components, such as diabetes or thyroid disease. The medical ethics committee
approved the study, and informed consent was obtained from all patients and healthy controls.
To assess inter-observer and intra-observer test-retest variability in a way that closely reflects
everyday clinical practice, the following schedule was applied (Figure 1). Sonographer 1 (J.S.M)

acquired a RV real-time 3D echocardiographic dataset, after which sonographer 2 (E.J.A.W.-G.)1.independently acquired a dataset using the same machine. Thereafter, sonographer 1 acquired2.a second dataset. In this manner, RV datasets were obtained independently by two sonogra-3.phers with various levels of experience regarding 3D echocardiographic assessment of the right4.ventricle (4 and 2 years, respectively), who were blinded to each other's results. After the acqui-5.sitions, they analyzed their own datasets in a blinded fashion, resulting in inter-observer and6.intra-observer test-retest variability. Furthermore, inter-observer variability was determined by7.having all datasets that were acquired by sonographer 2 also analyzed by sonographer 1.8.



Acquisition and analysis by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

Real-time 3D echocardiographic harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultra- 36. sound system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 37. matrix array transducer with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. A full-volume 38. scan was acquired from a modified apical transducer position in harmonic mode from seven 39.

34.

Chapter 5

1. R-wave-gated subvolumes during a single end-expiratory breath-hold. The depth and angle of

2. the ultrasound sector were adjusted to a minimal level still encompassing the right ventricle.

3. Before each acquisition, images were optimized for endocardial border visualization by modify-

4. ing time gain and compression and increasing the overall gain. The mean volume rate was 29

frames/ cardiac cycle (range, 20 – 45 frames/ cardiac cycle). The datasets were digitally exported
 to a TomTec server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) connected to a

7. terminal workstation for further analyses.





34.

The digital real-time 3D echocardiographic RV datasets were analyzed offline using Tom Tec's four-dimensional RV Function version 1.2. The datasets were judged for image quality:
 they should include an analyzable RV apex, lateral wall, and tricuspid valve area. The software
 performs 3D semiautomated border detection of RV volumes over one cardiac cycle. It uses a
 physics-based modelling algorithm that makes no assumptions regarding RV geometry. The

function of the TomTec analysis program is reported in detail elsewhere.<sup>12</sup> In short, the end-1.diastolic (largest RV volume) and end-systolic (smallest RV volume) phases need to be identi-2.fied. Endocardial border contours are drawn onto still frames of the apical four-chamber view,3.short-axis view, and coronal view in both end-diastole and end-systole (Figure 2). After the steps4.followed for contour tracing the software automatically delineates the RV endocardial border5.from the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases. By sequential analysis, the software creates an6.RV mathematic dynamic 3D endocardial surface that represents changes in the RV cavity over7.the cardiac cycle. From this 3D endocardial surface, global RV volumes and EF are calculated.8.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Categorical data are summarized as numbers and percentages, and continuous data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Agreement between the sequential acquisitions was evaluated by linear regression analysis with Pearson's correlation coefficient. The Z-statistic was used to evaluate differences between two correlation coefficients. Furthermore, the agreement was evaluated using Blandls. Altman analysis by calculating the bias (mean difference) and the 95% limits of agreement (2 standard deviations around the mean difference).<sup>14</sup> Paired *t* tests were used to analyze the significance of the biases in volumes and EF between sequential acquisitions. Moreover, the two readings (or readers) divided by their mean value times 100. All statistical tests were twosided, and P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

Of the original 33 participants, five were excluded from analysis for echocardiographic images 26. of insufficient quality (four because of poor acoustic windows and one because of the inability 27. to include the RV lateral wall and apex). The baseline characteristics of 28 participants (mean 28. age,  $30 \pm 14$  years; 43% men) are listed in Table 1. The patients who were included had the following types of CHD: atrial septal defect (n = 5), tetralogy of Fallot (n = 3), aortic valve pathology 30. (n = 5), pulmonary stenosis with or without ventricular septal defect (n = 2), double-outlet right 31. ventricle (n = 1), ventricular septal defect (n = 1), arterial switch for transposition of the great 32. arteries (n = 1), coarctation of the aorta (n = 1), mitral valve insufficiency (n = 1), and patent 33. arterial duct (n = 1). The heart rates did not change significantly over the various examinations. 34.

The RV volumes and EF obtained by the two sonographers are displayed in Table 2. Measurements on the sequential datasets by one sonographer were not different, while the enddiastolic volume measurements by the second sonographer differed from those obtained by 37. the first sonographer (P = 0.017). 38.

20

9.

23.
| 4    |   |              |
|------|---|--------------|
| Ι.   | Variable                                      | Value        |
| 2.   | Men (%)                                       | 43           |
| 3.   | Age (years)                                   | $30 \pm 14$  |
| 4.   | Heart rate (beats/min)                        | $60 \pm 10$  |
| <br> | Height (cm)                                   | $172 \pm 10$ |
| э.   | Weight (kg)                                   | $67\pm8$     |
| 6.   | Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )           | $1.8\pm0.1$  |
| 7.   | Specific characteristics of patients          |              |
| 8    | Age at initial repair (years), (n = 16)       | $10 \pm 19$  |
| 0.   | Right-sided congenital heart disease (number) | 11           |
| 9.   | Left-sided congenital heart disease (number)  | 10           |

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics (n = 28)

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD or number.

#### 12. Table 2. Right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction by real-time three-dimensional

#### echocardiography

| 1.7 |                           | Sc            | onographer 1  | Sonographer 2 |               |                      |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| 14. |                           | Acquisition 1 | Acquisition 2 | P-value*      | Acquisition 1 | P-value <sup>#</sup> |
| 15. | End-diastolic volume (ml) | 183 ± 43      | 180 ± 37      | 0.36          | 173 ± 39      | 0.017                |
| 16. | End-systolic volume (ml)  | $88\pm30$     | $86 \pm 23$   | 0.79          | $83 \pm 28$   | 0.076                |
| 17. | Stroke volume (ml)        | $95 \pm 22$   | 94 ± 19       | 0.41          | 91 ± 19       | 0.14                 |
| 10  | Ejection fraction (%)     | 53 ± 7        | 53 ± 6        | 0.70          | 53 ± 7        | 0.68                 |

## Data are expressed as mean $\pm$ SD.

\*Paired *t* test for sequential measurements recorded by one sonographer.

20. # Paired t test for sequential measurements between two sonographers.

21.

22. Inter-observer test-retest variability

23. The Z-statistic revealed no differences in the strength of the correlations found by sequential

24. acquisitions and the corresponding measurements between sonographers versus correlations

25. found by sequential acquisitions and measurements by one sonographer. The results of linear

26. regression and Bland-Altman analysis for the assessment of the inter-observer test-retest vari-

27. ability are displayed in Figure 3. For RV end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, and EF, the

28. inter-observer test-retest variability was 7%, 14%, and 8%, respectively (Table 3).

 Table 3. Sequential (test-retest) and inter-observer variability measurements by real-time three

<sup>30.</sup> dimensional echocardiography

| 31. |                      | Sequential acquisitions S |              | Sequential acqu | uisitions by | Inter-observer  |              |  |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 32. |                      | between sonographers      |              | one sonogi      | rapher       | reproducibility |              |  |
| 22  |                      | Absolute mean             | Coefficient  | Absolute mean   | Coefficient  | Absolute mean   | Coefficient  |  |
| 55. |                      | difference                | of variation | difference      | of variation | difference      | of variation |  |
| 34. | End-diastolic volume | $15 \pm 13$               | 7%           | $12 \pm 12$     | 7%           | $13 \pm 9$      | 5%           |  |
| 35. | End-systolic volume  | $12 \pm 12$               | 14%          | 7 ± 6           | 7%           | 11 ± 8          | 9%           |  |
| 36  | Stroke volume        | $13 \pm 10$               | 11%          | $12 \pm 10$     | 11%          | $11 \pm 10$     | 10%          |  |
| 27  | Ejection fraction    | 5 ± 4                     | 8%           | 4 ± 3           | 6%           | 5 ± 4           | 8%           |  |

Coefficients of variation represent the standard deviation of the difference between two sonographers, two

<sup>38.</sup> measurements, or two observers divided by the mean of the measurements, expressed as a percentage.



Sequential acquisitions between sonographers



#### Intra-observer test-retest variability

The results of linear regression and Bland-Altman analysis for the assessment of the intraobserver test-retest variability are displayed in Figure 4. The variations coefficients indicating 37. intra-observer test-retest variability were 7% for end-diastolic volume, 7% for end-systolic 38. volume, and 6% for EF (Table 3).

34.

Chapter 5 110



#### Sequential acquisitions by one sonographer



3.5 Inter-observer variability

36. Figure 5 shows the linear regression and Bland-Altman analysis for the inter-observer reproduc-

37. ibility. Absolute mean differences and coefficients of variation that were found for inter-observer

38. variability are displayed in Table 3. The variation coefficients for inter-observer variability were

39. 5% for end-diastolic volume, 9% for end-systolic volume, and 8% for EF.



Chapter 5

112

#### Inter-observer reproducibility

**Figure 5** Linear regression (a) and Bland-Altman analysis (b) for sequential right ventricular measurements by two observers by three-dimensional echocardiography End-diastolic volume (EDV; top), end-systolic volume (ESV; center), and ejection fraction (bottom) are shown. Patients are displayed in grey (n = 21) and healthy controls are displayed in black (n = 7). The regression line represents both groups.

- 34.
- 35.

#### DISCUSSION

2.

In this study we investigated the test-retest variability of RT3DE for RV volumes and EF assessment. In order to include a heterogeneous population with wider ranges of observed measure-4 ments, we included not only healthy controls but patients with various types of CHD as well. The smallest coefficients of variation were found for end-diastolic volume and EF. The larger variability found in the end-systolic volumes can be attributed to the more difficult endocardial 8. border identification in end-systole due to more densely packed trabeculations and papillary muscles. The inter-observer test-retest variability of RV EF was 8%, which is comparable 9. with results reported for CMR imaging.<sup>15</sup> Khoo et al<sup>16</sup> demonstrated no significant difference between RT3DE and CMR imaging on conventional reproducibility testing, suggesting that RT3DE was comparable with CMR imaging. Furthermore, the found test-retest variability 12. was comparable with the conventional inter-observer reproducibility found in this study and reported by others for RT3DE-derived RV assessment.<sup>12-13</sup> 14. The reproducibility of RT3DE for RV assessment has been studied extensively.<sup>11-13, 17</sup> Only in a few studies has intra-observer test-retest variability been reported, while the inter-observer 16. variability (i.e., the variability caused by acquisitions and analysis by different sonographers) 17. has not been described as yet.<sup>11, 17-18</sup> In one study, test-retest variability of RV volumes in 50 18. patients with left ventricular wall motion abnormalities was reported. RT3DE was found to have 19. lower intra-observer test-retest variability for RV volumes and EF than any two-dimensional echocardiographic measurement.<sup>18</sup> Small absolute mean differences were found ( $0 \pm 5$  ml for 21. the end-diastolic volume,  $0 \pm 3$  ml for the end-systolic volume, and  $0 \pm 4\%$  for EF). In our study, the differences were slightly larger, but this may be explained by the fact that we also included 24. patients with CHD with enlarged right ventricles. The relative RV volume differences will therefore be less substantial. Grewal et al<sup>11</sup> evaluated test-retest variability in 15 patients with severe 25. pulmonary regurgitation and found variability of 10.6% for the end-diastolic volume. This value is somewhat larger than the value we found (6%). Tamborini et al<sup>17</sup> found coefficients of varia-27. tion of 1.9% for end-diastolic volume, 6.1% for end-systolic volume, 3.0% in stroke volume, and 28. 2.2% for EF in 20 healthy controls. The test-retest variability can be partitioned into patient-related (body mass index, blood pressure, or heart frequency), acquisition-related (laboratory and sonographer), and readerrelated variability. Because RV volumes and EF are strongly influenced by differences in loading conditions over time, we sought to minimize these by repeating the study over a short 34. time frame, so that the main source of variation was related to imaging considerations. The differences between repeated studies might be expected to influence acquisition variability adversely.<sup>19</sup> Although it may be hard to diminish these influences, guidelines containing pre-

37. cise instructions for RV size and function measurements<sup>7</sup> should be developed to create more

38. standardized, robust, and interchangeable measurements.

39

#### **Clinical implications**

Sequential assessment of RV size and function is important in the everyday management of 2. adults with CHD, because RV function yields prognostic information.<sup>1-2, 20-21</sup> Although some 3. other imaging modalities are thought to have superior spatial resolution, such as CMR imaging 4. or computed tomography, the use of repeated echocardiography is attractive since no ionizing radiation is needed and patient discomfort is minimal. In clinical practice, sequential patient studies may be performed by different sonographers and may be interpreted by different read-7. ers, possibly readers with different approaches or without standardization of reading styles. 8. However, the different readers necessarily use a similar, modified apical four-chamber approach 9. for real-time 3D echocardiographic dataset acquisition and the same RV analysis software with 10. well-known and standardized steps for RV reconstruction.

Accurate and reproducible measurements are essential to permit meaningful comparison 12. between echocardiographic studies. Changes in these measurements may reflect improvement 13. or worsening of disease, but changes should always be seen in the light of test-retest variability. 14. Leibundgut et al<sup>22</sup> presented limits of agreement of about  $\pm 10\%$  for the intra-observer and 15. inter-observer variability of RV EF. They pointed out that these limits of agreement are not yet 16. sufficient to detect subtle changes in RV contractility during follow-up examinations. We can 17. underline these findings with the results on limits of agreement for RV EF estimation of the 18. current study. Therefore, the magnitude of RV EF changes that one can expect to find using 19. RT3DE that yields clinical clues should be >10% to overcome the variability in measurements 20. caused by the technique itself. For example, if RV function in a patient with tetralogy of Fallot 21. with a significant pulmonary valve insufficiency is examined twice a year, a decrease in RV EF 22. larger of >10% can provide the physician with a clue to start considering a pulmonary valve 23. replacement. Any decreases in RV EF of <10% may be caused erroneously by the technique 24. itself. It is important to realize that these measurement errors are not an exclusive characteristic 25. of echocardiography, but these errors are found with all imaging techniques used in clinical 26. practice, including CMR imaging and computed tomography.

#### Limitations

Our study was not designed to apply multivariate regression analysis that could have identified 30. the predictors of variability, because the study population was not large enough. However, 31. consistent with earlier studies,<sup>19</sup> we expect that a relatively poor dataset quality adversely 32. affects the test-retest variability for the RV measurements. Therefore, only datasets of sufficient 33. quality should be analyzed.<sup>12</sup> 34.

25

- . . .
- \_\_\_\_
- 57.
- 50.
- 39.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

2.

3. The good test-retest variability of RT3DE for RV volume and EF assessment makes it a valu-

4. able technique for serial follow-up. Furthermore, sequential use of this technique is attractive

5. becausee no ionizing radiation is needed and patient discomfort is minimal. In clinical practice,

- 6. different approaches to echocardiographic examination for repeated studies influence the
- 7. acquisition variability adversely. Even though it may be challenging to diminish these influ-

8. ences, standardization of RV size and function measurements should be a goal to produce

9. more interchangeable measurements.

| 2.  | more interentinged |
|-----|--------------------|
| 10. |                    |
| 11. |                    |
| 12. |                    |
| 13. |                    |
| 14. |                    |
| 15. |                    |
| 16. |                    |
| 17. |                    |
| 18. |                    |
| 19. |                    |
| 20. |                    |
| 21. |                    |
| 22. |                    |
| 23. |                    |
| 24. |                    |
| 25. |                    |
| 26. |                    |
| 27. |                    |
| 28. |                    |
| 29. |                    |
| 30. |                    |
| 31. |                    |
| 32. |                    |
| 33. |                    |
| 34. |                    |
| 35. |                    |
| 36. |                    |
| 37. |                    |
| 38. |                    |

1. Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, Landzberg MJ, Walsh EP, Lock JE, et al. Ventricular size and function assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair. Heart. 2008:94:211-6. 2. 2. Murphy JG, Gersh BJ, Mair DD, Fuster V, McGoon MD, Ilstrup DM, et al. Long-term outcome in patients 3. undergoing surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:593-9. 4. 3. Haddad F, Doyle R, Murphy DJ, Hunt SA. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease, part II: pathophysiology, clinical importance, and management of right ventricular failure. Circulation. 2008;117:1717-31. 4. Tobler D, Williams WG, Jegatheeswaran A, Van Arsdell GS, McCrindle BW, Greutmann M, et al. Cardiac 7. outcomes in young adult survivors of the arterial switch operation for transposition of the great arter-8. ies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:58-64. 5. Endorsed by the Association for European Paediatric C, Authors/Task Force M, Baumgartner H, Bon-9. hoeffer P, De Groot NM, de Haan F, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital heart disease (new version 2010): The Task Force on the Management of Grown-up Congenital Heart Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2010. 6. Puchalski MD, Williams RV, Askovich B, Minich LL, Mart C, Tani LY. Assessment of right ventricular size and function: echo versus magnetic resonance imaging. Congenit Heart Dis. 2007;2:27-31. 7. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, et al. Guidelines for the 14. echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685-713; guiz 86-8. 17. 8. Babu-Narayan SV, Gatzoulis MA, Kilner PJ. Non-invasive imaging in adult congenital heart disease 18. using cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2007;8:23-9. 9. Bleeker GB, Steendijk P, Holman ER, Yu CM, Breithardt OA, Kaandorp TA, et al. Assessing right ventricular function: the role of echocardiography and complementary technologies. Heart. 2006;92 Suppl 1:i19-26. 10. Mangion JR. Right ventricular imaging by two-dimensional and three-dimensional echocardiography. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2010;22:423-9. 11. Grewal J, Majdalany D, Syed I, Pellikka P, Warnes CA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assess-23. ment of right ventricular volume and function in adult patients with congenital heart disease: 24. comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:127-33. 12. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al. Clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification 26. in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-27. dioar. 2010:23:134-40. 13. Iriart X, Montaudon M, Lafitte S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach T, et al. Right ventricle three-dimensional echography in corrected tetralogy of fallot: accuracy and variability. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10:784-92. 14. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical 31. measurement. Lancet. 1986;1:307-10. 15. Luijnenburg SE, Robbers-Visser D, Moelker A, Vliegen HW, Mulder BJ, Helbing WA. Intra-observer and interobserver variability of biventricular function, volumes and mass in patients with congenital 33. heart disease measured by CMR imaging. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;26:57-64. 34. 16. Khoo NS, Young A, Occleshaw C, Cowan B, Zeng IS, Gentles TL. Assessments of right ventricular volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocar- 36. diogr. 2009;22:1279-88.

17. Tamborini G, Marsan NA, Gripari P, Maffessanti F, Brusoni D, Muratori M, et al. Reference values for 1. right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction with real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: evaluation in a large series of normal subjects. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:109-15. Jenkins C, Chan J, Bricknell K, Strudwick M, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of right ventricular volumes 18. 3. and ejection fraction using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac 4. MRI. Chest. 2007;131:1844-51. 19. Gottdiener JS, Panza JA, St John Sutton M, Bannon P, Kushner H, Weissman NJ. Testing the test: the reliability of echocardiography in the sequential assessment of valvular regurgitation. Am Heart J. 6. 2002:144:115-21. 20. Geva T, Sandweiss BM, Gauvreau K, Lock JE, Powell AJ. Factors associated with impaired clinical status 8. in long-term survivors of tetralogy of Fallot repair evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging. J Am 9. Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:1068-74. 21. Piran S, Veldtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with single or systemic right ventricles. Circulation. 2002;105:1189-94. 22. Leibundgut G, Rohner A, Grize L, Bernheim A, Kessel-Schaefer A, Bremerich J, et al. Dynamic assess-12. ment of right ventricular volumes and function by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: a comparison study with magnetic resonance imaging in 100 adult patients. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 13. 2010;23:116-26. 14. 16. 17. 18. 19. 21. 24. 25. 27. 28. 31. 34.



# Chapter 6

Clinical value of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography for right ventricular quantification in congenital heart disease: validation with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

- 10.18

at these rates and the fact

Thing and

the standing light

he man

and's School and the

and St.

814. 2797

H.B. van der Zwaan W.A. Helbing J.S. McGhie M.L. Geleijnse S. Luijnenburg J.W. Roos-Hesselink F.J. Meijboom

J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010 Feb;23(2):134-40

## ABSTRACT

Chapter 6

120

Background. The objective of this study was to test the feasibility, accuracy, and reproducibility3.of the assessment of right ventricular (RV) volumes and ejection fraction (EF) by real-time three-<br/>dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD), using<br/>cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) as a reference.3.

Methods. RT3DE datasets and short-axis cine CMR were obtained in 62 consecutive patients8.(mean age 27 ± 10 years, 68% men) with various CHDs. RV volumetric quantification was done9.using semiautomated 3-dimensional border detection for RT3DE images and manual tracing of10.contours in multiple slices for CMR images.11.

**Results.** Adequate RV RT3DE datasets could be analysed in 50 of 62 patients (81%). The time13.needed for RV acquisition and analysis was less for RT3DE than for CMR (P < 0.001). Compared</td>14.with CMR, RT3DE underestimated RV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and EF by  $34 \pm 65$ 15.ml,  $11 \pm 55$  ml and  $4 \pm 13\%$  (P < 0.05) with 95% limits of agreement of  $\pm 131$  ml,  $\pm 109$  ml, and  $\pm$ 16.27%, as shown by Bland-Altman analyses, with highly significant correlations (r = 0.93, r = 0.91, 17.17.and r = 0.74, respectively, p<0.001). Inter-observer variability was  $1 \pm 15\%$ ,  $6 \pm 17\%$ , and  $8 \pm 13\%$ 18.for end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and EF, respectively.19.

Conclusions. In the majority of unselected patients with complex CHD, RT3DE provides a fast21.and reproducible assessment of RV volumes and EF with fair to good accuracy compared with22.CMR reference data when using current commercially available hardware and software. Further23.studies are warranted to confirm our data in similar and other patient populations to establish24.its use in clinical practice.25.

26

20.

1. 2.

7.

12.

27.

## **INTRODUCTION**

2.

Because of improved surgical techniques and medical care, a growing number of patients with congenital heart disease (CHD) survive into adulthood. Right ventricular (RV) dysfunction is 4 a common problem in these patients, associated with significant morbidity and mortality.<sup>1-4</sup> Therefore, regular assessments of RV function in these patients are essential for clinical management. Accurate and accessible tools are needed to monitor RV function, which will lead to better timing of surgical re-intervention and medical therapy, ultimately with better survival. 8. 9. Currently, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is the standard for the guantification of RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF). However, CMR imaging is not widely available and is expensive,<sup>5,6</sup> acquisition and offline analysis are time-consuming, and a substantial number of patients with CHD have pacemakers or implantable cardioverterdefibrillators and thus (rela-12. tive) contraindications for CMR.<sup>7</sup> In routine clinical practice, two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography is most commonly used 14. for the noninvasive evaluation of cardiac function. However, it is well known that because of the complex cardiac geometry, 2D echocardiography has important limitations in the assessment of left <sup>8-10</sup> and in particular RV volumes and EF.<sup>11</sup> To overcome the problem of geometric 17. assumptions and apical foreshortening, real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) 18. was developed, which allows the fast acquisition of a pyramidal dataset that contains the entire 19. right ventricle. In experimental settings, this imaging modality was successfully applied for RV volume and EF calculation in both healthy volunteers <sup>12, 13</sup> and pediatric patients with CHD.<sup>14,</sup> 21. <sup>15</sup> These studies were limited by selected, nonconsecutive subjects or the use of older or not commercially available data analysis methods. In vitro validation has been done to investigate variables influencing the accuracy of RV RT3DE, in which optimal gain settings and long-axis 24. tracings were found to significantly affect RV volumes.<sup>16</sup> 25. The purpose of our study was to determine whether current commercially available hard-27. ware and software for the assessment of RV volumes and EF with RT3DE can be applied in

- 28. routine clinical CHD practice.
- 29.
- 30.

## 31. METHODS

32.

33. Study population

RT3DE full-volume acquisition of the right ventricle was performed on 62 consecutive patients
 with complex and/or surgically repaired CHD. They were in sinus rhythm and represented a
 wide range of RV EFs. The patients were referred for CMR for quantitative analysis of cardiac

37. function for clinical reasons and underwent RT3DE examinations within 2 hours of CMR to

38. pursue comparable loading conditions. The medical ethical committee approved this study,

39. and written informed consent was obtained from all patients and/or their parents (if required).

#### Data acquisition

RT3DE harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultrasound system (Philips Medical 4. Systems, Best, The Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 matrix array transducer, with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. To encompass the entire right ventricle into the RT3DE dataset, a full-volume scan was acquired from a modified apical transducer position in 7. harmonic mode from seven R wave-gated subvolumes during a single end-expiratory breath 8. hold. The output therefore was not truly real-time but reconstructed from seven subvolumes. 9. The depth and angle of the ultrasound sector were adjusted to a minimal level still encompass-10. ing the entire right ventricle. Before each acquisition, images were optimized for endocardial 11. border visualization by modifying the time gain and compression and increasing the overall 12. gain. An average of three datasets was acquired per patient, to ensure optimal datasets without 13. motion artifacts that may have occurred during the acquisition. The mean volume rate was 25 14. frames per cardiac cycle (range 14 – 36). The datasets were digitally exported to a TomTec server 15. (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) connected to a terminal workstation 16. for further analyses.

1. 2.

3.

18.

19.

#### Data analysis

The digital RT3DE RV datasets were analyzed offline using four-dimensional RV- Function ver-20.sion 1.2 (TomTec Imaging Systems) by an investigator blinded to the results of the CMR mea-21.surements (H.B.Z). This software performs 3D semiautomated border detection of RV volumes22.over one cardiac cycle. It uses a physics-based modelling algorithm that makes no assumptions23.regarding RV geometry. Analysis of a RT3DE dataset was judged possible when both the apex24.and the lateral wall were visible in the four-chamber view, allowing adequate tracing of the25.endocardial border. Analysis of the dataset was considered good when the RV anterior wall or26.the outflow tract was visible.27.

Once the TomTec analysis program starts, the screen displays a short-axis view (top), an 28. apical four- chamber view (left), and a coronal view (right) (Figure 1). RV quantification starts 29. by definition of the correct apical four-chamber view, with avoidance of RV foreshortening. 30. Then it is made sure that the displayed vertical lines are in the middle of the tricuspid valve 31. and apex in both the apical four -chamber and the coronal views. Subsequently, the horizontal 32. line displayed on the apical four -chamber view is moved to the level of the atrioventricular 33. valves, resulting in a view of both valve orifices at the short-axis view. The next step is to place 34. landmarks in both the tricuspid and mitral valve orifices. Then the horizontal line is moved up 35. to the left ventricular apex, resulting in a short-axis view of the apex at the top. A third landmark 36. is placed at the apex. Afterward, the end-diastolic (largest RV volume) and end-systolic (small-step is to place 38. the apical four -chamber view in both the end-diastolic and end-systolic frames. At the side of 39.



Figure 1. Display from the 4D RV function TomTec analysis program showing the initial stage of contour
 detection in which anatomical landmarks have to be placed at the tricuspid and mitral valve orifices and at the apex.

28.

these frames, a movie of the current view is displayed to facilitate detection of the endocardial contours that are drawn in the still-frames. The contours are adjusted as close as possible to the endocardial border. Trabeculae are mostly excluded from the volume, due to poor differentia-tion of trabeculae and myocardium (Figure 2). On the basis of these contours traced from the apical four -chamber view, two reference markers are extrapolated onto the sagittal view. Onto this sagittal view, endocardial border contours are traced, with care to include the two extrapolated reference markers in the end-diastolic and end-systolic frames. Hereafter, contours are drawn in the coronal view, again with attention to include the three reference markers that were extrapolated from the 4-chamber and sagittal views. Hereafter the software automati-cally delineates the RV endocardial border from the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases, and so y sequential analysis the software creates an RV mathematic dynamic three-dimensional

endocardial surface that represents changes in the RV cavity over the cardiac cycle. From this
 three-dimensional endocardial surface, RV end-diastolic volume (EDV), end-systolic volume
 (ESV) and EF (defined as [EDV-ESV]/EDV \*100) are derived. The background computation of
 the RV volumetric data is described in detail by Iriart *et al.*<sup>17</sup> Hereafter, manual correction of
 the contours can be done in any cross-section or phase of the cardiac cycle (Figure 3, Movie 1).



**Figure 2.** Display from the 4D RV function TomTec analysis program showing the endocardial border contour in the right ventricle at the apical four-chamber view.

## Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography reproducibility analysis

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

RT3DE measurements were repeated in 25 randomly selected patients by the same observer 28. after  $\geq 1$  month from the original measurements to obtain intra-observer values blinded to 29. CMR results. A second observer (J.S.M.) repeated the measurements in 25 randomly selected 30. patients for inter-observer comparison. 31.

#### 32

7. 8. 9.

12.

14.

16.

18.

19.

21.

23.

24.

27.

22

CMR images were acquired using a Signa 1.5-T scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis-34.consin). Patients were positioned in the supine position with dedicated phased-array cardiac35.surface coils placed over the thorax. The CMR protocol included cine steady-state free precession sequences in short-axis planes to assess the ventricular volumes. Electrocardiogram gating37.and repeated breath holds were applied to minimize the influence of cardiac and respiratory38.motion.39.



Figure 3. Display from the 4D RV function TomTec analysis program showing the final stage of contour
 detection in which manual correction of the contours can be applied in any cross-section or phase of the cardiac cycle.

28.

Ventricular volumes were measured from a multisection image set of 8 to 12 contiguous
 slices parallel to the plane of the atrioventricular valves covering the full length of both ven tricles. Imaging parameters were as follows: slice thickness, 7 to 10 mm; slice gap, 0 mm; field
 of view, 280 to 370 mm; phase field of view, 0.75; matrix size, 160 x 128 mm; repetition time, 3.5
 ms; echo time 1.5 ms; and flip angle, 45°.
 Three months after analysis of the RT3DE datasets, one physician (H.B.Z) analyzed the CMR

ventricular volumetric dataset quantitatively on a commercially available Advanced Windows
 workstation (GE Medical Systems) using Advanced Windows version 5.1 of the MR Analytical
 Software System (Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, The Netherlands). All CMR datasets
 were analyzed in a blinded way to prevent influence of the analyzer by the results of RT3DE.
 Using manual detection of endocardial borders in end-diastole and end-systole, the RV EDV,

ESV and EF were calculated with exclusion of trabeculae, as described by Alfakih et al.<sup>18</sup> In a 1.
random subgroup of 15 patients, we determined the volume of the trabeculae on the CMR 2.
images by subtraction of the volume with inclusion of trabeculae and the volume with exclusion of trabeculae.
4.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Cat-7. egorical variables are summarized as numbers and percentages. Continuous variables are 8. presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and differences were analyzed using Student's t 9. tests. Linear regression analysis with Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the 10. relation between RT3DE and CMR. The agreement between RT3DE and CMR measurements 11. was evaluated using Bland-Altman analysis<sup>19</sup> by calculating the bias (mean difference) and the 12. 95% limits of agreement (2 SDs around the mean difference). Paired *t* tests were used to analyze 13. the significance of biases in volumes and EF between RT3DE and CMR. The reproducibility of 14. RT3DE was evaluated by calculating the intra- and inter-observer variability by a variation coef-15. ficient, which was defined as the absolute difference expressed as a percentage of the mean of 16. both values. All statistical tests were two sided, and a *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically 17. significant. 18.

19.

21.

23.

## RESULTS

Chapter 6

## Population characteristics

Of the 62 consecutively enrolled patients (mean age 27 ± 10 years, 68% men), 12 (19%) had to24.be excluded because of insufficient image quality. Age, sex, weight, and type of CHD in these25.patients were not different from these variables in patients with sufficient image quality. The26.final cohort comprised 50 patients with a wide range of RV morphology and loading conditions.27.These included normal right ventricles in left-sided heart disease such as aortic valve pathol-28.ogy, subpulmonary right ventricles facing pressure overload as in pulmonary valve stenosis,29.volume overload as in atrial septal defects, and right ventricles with severely dilated RV outflow30.tracts as in tetralogy of Fallot. The patient characteristics are presented in Table 1.31.

#### Volume analysis

Table 2 shows the mean RV EDV, ESV, and EF for RT3DE and CMR. Linear regression analysis (Table 34. 2, Figure 4) showed acceptable correlations between RT3DE and CMR for EDV (r = 0.93, y = 0.76x 35. + 19 ml, P < 0.001), ESV (r = 0.91, y = 0.71x + 22 ml, P < 0.001), and EF (r = 0.74, y = 0.60x + 16 %, P 36. < 0.001). Bland-Altman analysis showed mean differences of 34 ml for EDV, 11 ml for ESV, 4% for EF 37. and 95% limits of agreement of ± 65 ml for EDV, ± 55 ml for ESV, ± 13% for EF (all P values < 0.05; 38. Figure 5). The mean value of the trabeculae on CMR images was 19 ± 13 ml in systole and diastole. 39.

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics (n = 50)

| 1.  | N : 11   |             |
|-----|--|-------------|
| -   | variable   | value       |
| 2.  | Clinical Data  |             |
| 3.  | Age (years)  | 27 ± 11     |
| 4.  | Men  | 68%         |
| _   | Heart rate (beats/min)                               | 72 ± 13     |
| Э.  | Body mass index (kg/m²)                              | $23 \pm 4$  |
| 6.  | Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )                  | $1.8\pm0.3$ |
| 7.  | Pathology  |             |
| 8.  | Tetralogy of Fallot                                  | 21          |
| 0   | Pulmonary stenosis +/- ventricular septal defect     | 5           |
| 9.  | Pulmonary atresia +/- ventricular septal defect      | 3           |
| 10. | Transposition of the great arteries, atrial switch   | 4           |
| 11. | Anomalous pulmonary venous drainage                  | 1           |
| 12  | Tricuspid insufficiency                              | 1           |
| 12. | Atrial septal defect                                 | 1           |
| 13. | Aortic valve pathology                               | 10          |
| 14. | Transposition of the great arteries, arterial switch | 3           |
| 15. | Cardiomyopathy                                       | 1           |

16. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD, percentage, or number.

17

 Table 2. Accuracy and reproducibility of right ventricular volumes and function by real-time three 

 18.
 19.

dimensional echocardiography

| 19. |                      |              |              |               | Bland Altn | nan comparison | Reproducibility* of RT3DE |                  |  |
|-----|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 20. | 0.<br>Variable BT3DE |              | CMP          | Linear        | Mean       | 95% limits of  | Interoberryor             | Intro ob com cor |  |
| 21. | valiable             | RISDE        | Civin        | regression(r) | difference | agreement      | Interobserver             | Intraobserver    |  |
| 22  | RV EDV (ml)          | $185\pm71$   | $219\pm86$   | 93            | 34         | -32 to 99      | 1 ± 15                    | 1 ± 12           |  |
| 22. | RV ESV (ml)          | $103 \pm 48$ | $114 \pm 62$ | 91            | 11         | -43 to 66      | 6 ± 17                    | 7 ± 14           |  |
| 23. | RV EF (%)            | 46 ± 8       | 49 ± 10      | 74            | 4          | -10 to 17      | 8 ± 13                    | 6 ± 9            |  |

<sup>24.</sup> Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

25. \* Interobserver and intraobserver variability are expressed as percentage s of the absolute mean

26. difference divided by the average of the two readings.

27.

28.

29. Time needed for acquisition and analysis

30. The average time for RV acquisition was  $5.7 \pm 3.0$  minutes for RT3DE versus  $20.5 \pm 4.6$  minutes

31. for CMR (P < 0.001). Analysis time for RT3DE by an experienced analyzer was 2.1 ± 0.5 (range,

32. 1.5-3.5 minutes), compared with 20.1  $\pm$  3.3 minutes for CMR (*P* < 0.001). With a less experienced

33. analyzer, analysis time for RT3DE was  $6.3 \pm 3.7$  (range, 3-20 minutes).

34.

35. Reproducibility of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

36. For RV EDV, ESV, and EF inter-observer variability was  $1 \pm 15\%$ ,  $6 \pm 17\%$ , and  $8 \pm 13\%$ . Intra-

37. observer variability was 1  $\pm$  12% for EDV, 7  $\pm$  14% for ESV, and 6  $\pm$  9% for EF (Table 2).

38



**Figure 4.** Results of linear regression analysis for real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography right ventricular measurements versus cardiac magnetic resonance values for end-diastolic volume (a), end-systolic volume (b) and ejection fraction (c).

## DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study is that in consecutive, unselected patients with complex and/25.or surgically repaired CHD, RT3DE of the right ventricle was feasible in >80%, and analysis took26.only a few minutes. RT3DE volume estimates and EF were in agreement with the CMR reference,27.although systematically significant lower volumes on RT3DE were found. There was substantial28.variation in EF measures between different techniques because of the inherent difficulty of29.measuring the right ventricle with any type of imaging technology.30.

21.

23. 24.

The importance of the assessment of RV EF for clinical decision making was outlined by 31. Piran et al<sup>4</sup> in patients with single or systemic right ventricles; they found that patients with low 32. systemic ventricular EFs (<35%) as assessed by 2D echocardiography were particularly likely to 33. develop symptomatic heart failure (P < 0.01). Rutledge et al<sup>1</sup> found poor RV function to be a 34. predictor of mortality in patients with a congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries, thereby justifying the regular assessment of RV EF for individual risk stratification. 36.

In clinical practice CMR is considered the standard for RV volumes and EF. The feasibility, 37. accuracy (compared with human RV cadaveric cardiac casts), and reproducibility of CMR have 38. been demonstrated in both healthy subjects and in various disease states.<sup>20-23</sup> Nevertheless, 39.





Figure 5. Results of Bland-Altman analysis for real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography right
 ventricular measurements versus cardiac magnetic resonance values for end-diastolic volume (a), end systolic volume (b) and ejection fraction (c).

22.

CMR has important limitations such as the relatively low temporal resolution, resulting in inaccurate definitions of the true end-diastolic and end-systolic times and consequently volumes. 24. Furthermore, the tricuspid and pulmonary valves are not well visible in the short-axis scanning 25. method, causing disagreement on the definition of the basal slice. The axial orientation method, 27. in which slices are made parallel to the tricuspid valve, has been suggested to be more accurate for RV quantification than the short-axis method.<sup>24</sup> However, the latter is most often applied in routine clinical practice, because it is preferred for left ventricular acquisition and analysis. More important, CMR is expensive<sup>5,6</sup> and time-consuming, and the presence of a pacemaker or implantable cardioverter-defibrillator constitutes a (relative) contraindication.<sup>7</sup> Compared with CMR, benefits of RT3DE are the relative ease of acquisition and analysis, low cost, and its potential for wide availability and bedside approach.<sup>5, 6</sup> Importantly, we found 34. that both RT3DE RV acquisition and analysis took little time. This finding is a major step forward compared with earlier studies using rotational 3D echocardiography, in which acquisition and analysis were very time-consuming. 25,26, 27 However, echocardiographic imaging of the right ventricle remains challenging because of several factors. The right ventricle is thin walled and retrosternally positioned, making visualization of the RV anterior wall and the outflow tract difficult, because ultrasound cannot penetrate bone structures. From the apical 4-chamber

view, most of the right ventricle is located in the lateral beam of the transducer, where the<br/>resolution is suboptimal. By moving the transducer more laterally towards the axilla, so that the<br/>right ventricle appears more in the axial resolution, image quality can be improved. In children,<br/>the subcostal view can be a realistic alternative for RV imaging, but for adults, this view is mostly<br/>insufficient because of abdominal fat. Heavy trabeculations in the right ventricle cause some<br/>difficulties in proper endocardial contour placement, needed for volumetric analysis.1.

Our findings on RV volumes and EF are consistent with earlier studies in healthy subjects7.and selected patients with CHD.12, 14, 28 In a recent study, Iriart et al, 17 focusing on patients with8.tetralogy of Fallot with dilated right ventricles using a 3D prototype platform, came to comparable conclusions: systematic underestimations of both EDV and ESV on RT3DE compared10.with CMR. Discrepancies in volumetric RV analysis between RT3DE and CMR may be explained11.by intrinsic characteristics of RT3DE and CMR and by differences in analysis methods. Mor-avi12.et al<sup>29</sup> studied the potential sources of volume underestimation by RT3DE as compared with13.CMR for the left ventricle. They found two important sources of volume underestimation: 1)14.minimal changes in endocardial surface position resulted in significant differences in measured15.volumes and 2) the exclusion of trabeculae and the mitral valve plane from the CMR reference16.eliminated the intermodality bias.17.

There are potential sources of RV volume underestimation by RT3DE compared with CMR. 18. First there are the above-discussed intrinsic inaccuracies of both techniques. Second, there 19. are some essential differences in acquisition and analysis. The RT3DE dataset is a true 3D 20. volumetric pyramid in which the entire right ventricle is included, whereas the CMR dataset is 21. built up through the summation of multiple 2D slices. Analysis of RT3DE datasets is based on a 22. semiautomated contour detection algorithm using the surface geometry reconstructed in the 23. dataset as a guide while searching for true 3D endocardial border along defined rays placed 24. orthogonal to the vertices of the surface geometry. Contours are drawn in several imaging 25. planes. Identifying the proper place for the endocardial contours is far more difficult than in 26. the egg-shaped fantom as described by Mor-avi et al<sup>29</sup>, so even more pronounced differences 27. in volumes are expected. Even so, in a substantial number of patients, the RV anterior wall and 28. the outflow tract are suboptimally visualized, and extrapolation of the endocardial contours is 29. applied by the semi-automatic TomTec software. Absence of these segments contributes to the 30. bias of RT3DE as compared with CMR, and importantly, these dropouts are poorly controlled. 31. Echocardiographic contrast may improve endocardial border definition, but this remains to 32. be established. Moreover, Soliman et al<sup>30</sup> showed that different semiautomated analysis algo-33. rithms had a significant impact on left ventricular volumetric calculation of the same RT3DE 34. dataset. The analysis of CMR datasets is done by manual, endocardial contour detection in 35. multiple short-axis slices. Accuracy of this analysis can be improved by the use of a long-axis 36. correction method, as can be used for the left ventricle. For the RV there is no such long-axis 37. correction method feasible, causing a partial volume defect.

Third, a final important methodological difference between RT3DE and CMR is the in- or 1. exclusion of trabeculae in the volumes, as suggested earlier by Nesser et al.<sup>31</sup> In the analysis of 2. RT3DE and CMR short axis datasets, it is common to exclude trabeculae from the RV EDV and 4. ESV. However, the identification (and consequently exclusion) of trabeculae and tracing of true endocardial borders is more challenging in RT3DE, with a lower spatial resolution than in CMR or computed tomography. The mean trabecular volume as determined by CMR in our study was  $19 \pm 13$  ml; if this is only partially identified by RT3DE, it explains most of the difference between the two modalities. 8. 9. Despite the bias in RV volumes and EF that could be explained by methodological differences, RT3DE had good correlations with the reference CMR for RV volumes and a fair correlation for RV EF. Moreover, RT3DE offers fast and reproducible assessments for RV volumes and EF. Therefore, RT3DE provides a practical method for serial RV assessment, a crucial element in 12.

- 13. management of patients with CHD.
- 14.

15. Study limitations

16. As we pointed out in the "Methods" section, the use of the name "real-time" 3D echocardiography may be misleading. The maximum angle of the real-time scan mode of the echo 17. 18. equipment we used for our study is limited, and stitching of multiple (4 or 7) subvolumes is needed to create a full-volume dataset. Since this technique has been systematically referred 19. to in literature as RT3DE, we have used that term in our paper to avoid confusion and to stay in 21. line with previous publications. Because full-volume acquisition requires 7 R wave-gated subvolumes, patients with irregular heart rhythms, such as atrial fibrillation, were excluded. Irregular R-R intervals cause stitching artifacts at the interfaces of subvolumes in the full-volume dataset. Newer systems with full-24. volume from a single heartbeat might overcome this problem, but only partially. With irregular 25. heart rate and consequent irregular filling of the ventricles, it will remain difficult to choose

27. which volume to trace and which EF to report.

The feasibility we report in the current study is based on the acquisitions made by one very
 experienced sonographer. These data cannot be extrapolated to a situation in which multiple
 sonographers not yet familiar with or experts in 3D echocardiographic acquisition, use RT3DE
 for RV volumes.

32. Because of our research question, whether RT3DE would be applicable in clinical practice, 33. we used a commercially available echocardiographic software package that did not allow 34. analysis of the CMR datasets with the same software. Niemann et al<sup>14</sup> used prototype software 35. enabling both RV RT3DE and CMR images analyses and found excellent correlations, whereas 36. comparable results to ours were seen when RT3DE was compared with the conventional CMR 37. disc summation method.

- 38.
- 39.

## CONCLUSIONS

In the majority of unselected patients with complex CHD, RT3DE provides fast and reproducible3.assessments of RV volumes and EF, with a fair to good accuracy compared with CMR reference4.data when using current commercially available hardware and software. Therefore, RT3DE5.provides a practical method for serial RV assessment, a crucial element in the management6.of patients with CHD. Further studies are warranted to confirm our data in similar and other7.patient populations. We expect that RT3DE will be applied in clinical practice as soon as some8.more technological developments have taken place.9.

7.
 8.
 9.
 10.
 11.
 12.

14.

16. 17. 18.

19.

1. 2.

35.

36. 37.

38.

| 1   | 1.  | Rutledge JM, Nihill MR, Fraser CD, Smith OE, McMahon CJ, Bezold LI. Outcome of 121 patients with   |
|-----|-----|--|
|     |     | congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries. Pediatr Cardiol. 2002;23:137-45.   |
| 2.  | 2.  | Murphy JG, Gersh BJ, Mair DD, Fuster V, McGoon MD, Ilstrup DM, et al. Long-term outcome in patients undergoing surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:593-9. |
| J.  | 3.  | Davlouros PA, Niwa K, Webb G, Gatzoulis MA. The right ventricle in congenital heart disease. Heart.  |
| 4.  |     | 2006;92 Suppl 1:i27-38.  |
| 5.  | 4.  | Piran S, Veldtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with  |
| 6.  |     | single or systemic right ventricles. Circulation. 2002;105:1189-94.  |
| 7   | 5.  | Bleeker GB, Steendijk P, Holman ER, Yu CM, Breithardt OA, Kaandorp TA, et al. Assessing right ventricu-  |
| /.  |     | lar function: the role of echocardiography and complementary technologies. Heart. 2006;92 Suppl  |
| 8.  |     | 1:i19-26.  |
| 9.  | 6.  | Babu-Narayan SV, Gatzoulis MA, Kilner PJ. Non-invasive imaging in adult congenital heart disease   |
| 10  |     | using cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2007;8:23-9.   |
| 10. | 7.  | Pulver AF, Puchalski MD, Bradley DJ, Minich LL, Su JT, Saarel EV, et al. Safety and imaging quality of MRI   |
| 11. |     | in pediatric and adult congenital heart disease patients with pacemakers. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.  |
| 12. |     | 2009;32:450-6.   |
| 13  | 8.  | Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Quantitative assess-   |
| 1.4 |     | ment of left ventricular size and function: side-by-side comparison of real-time three-dimensional   |
| 14. |     | echocardiography and computed tomography with magnetic resonance reference. Circulation.   |
| 15. |     | 2006;114:654-61.   |
| 16. | 9.  | Soliman OI, Kirschbaum SW, van Dalen BM, van der Zwaan HB, Delavary BM, Vletter WB, et al. Accu-   |
| 17  |     | racy and reproducibility of quantitation of left ventricular function by real-time three-dimensional   |
| 17. |     | echocardiography versus cardiac magnetic resonance. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:778-83.   |
| 18. | 10. | van den Bosch AE, Robbers-Visser D, Krenning BJ, Voormolen MM, McGhie JS, Helbing WA, et al. Real-   |
| 19. |     | time transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular volume and   |
| 20  |     | ejection fraction in congenital heart disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:1-6.   |
| 21  | 11. | Helbing WA, Bosch HG, Maliepaard C, Rebergen SA, van der Geest RJ, Hansen B, et al. Comparison of  |
| 21. |     | echocardiographic methods with magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of right ventricular  |
| 22. |     | function in children. Am J Cardiol. 1995;76:589-94.  |
| 23. | 12. | Gopal AS, Chukwu EO, Iwuchukwu CJ, Katz AS, Toole RS, Schapiro W, et al. Normal values of right  |
| 24  |     | ventricular size and function by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac   |
| 27. |     | magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:445-55.   |
| 25. | 13. | Kjaergaard J, Sogaard P, Hassager C. Quantitative echocardiographic analysis of the right ventricle in   |
| 26. |     | healthy individuals. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:1365-72.   |
| 27. | 14. | Niemann PS, Pinho L, Balbach T, Galuschky C, Blankenhagen M, Silberbach M, et al. Anatomically   |
| 20  |     | $oriented\ right\ ventricular\ volume\ measurements\ with\ dynamic\ three-dimensional\ echocardiography$   |
| 20. |     | validated by 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1668-76.   |
| 29. | 15. | Lu X, Nadvoretskiy V, Bu L, Stolpen A, Ayres N, Pignatelli RH, et al. Accuracy and reproducibility of real-  |
| 30. |     | time three-dimensional echocardiography for assessment of right ventricular volumes and ejection $% \left( {{{\left[ {{{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[ {{\left[$         |
| 31. |     | fraction in children. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:84-9.   |
| 22  | 16. | Hoch M, Vasilyev NV, Soriano B, Gauvreau K, Marx GR. Variables influencing the accuracy of right   |
| 32. |     | ventricular volume assessment by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography: an in vitro validation  |
| 33. |     | study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:456-61.  |
| 34. | 17. | Iriart X, Montaudon M, Lafitte S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach T, et al. Right ventricle three-dimen-   |
| 25  |     | sional echography in corrected tetralogy of fallot: accuracy and variability. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009.  |
| 55. | 18. | Alfakih K, Plein S, Thiele H, Jones T, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MU. Normal human left and right   |
| 36. |     | ventricular dimensions for MRI as assessed by turbo gradient echo and steady-state free precession   |
| 37. |     | imaging sequences. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2003;17:323-9.  |
| 38. | 19. | Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical   |
| 30  |     | measurement. Lancet. 1986;1:307-10.  |
| リフ. |     |  |

20. Grothues F, Moon JC, Bellenger NG, Smith GS, Klein HU, Pennell DJ. Interstudy reproducibility of right ventricular volumes, function, and mass with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Am Heart J. 2004:147:218-23. 2. 21. Keenan NG, Pennell DJ. CMR of ventricular function. Echocardiography. 2007;24:185-93. 22. Sarwar A, Shapiro MD, Abbara S, Cury RC. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the evaluation of 4. ventricular function. Semin Roentgenol. 2008;43:183-92. 23. Catalano O, Antonaci S, Opasich C, Moro G, Mussida M, Perotti M, et al. Intra-observer and interobserver reproducibility of right ventricle volumes, function and mass by cardiac magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown), 2007;8:807-14. 7. 24. Alfakih K, Plein S, Bloomer T, Jones T, Ridgway J, Sivananthan M. Comparison of right ventricular 8. volume measurements between axial and short axis orientation using steady-state free precession magnetic resonance imaging. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2003;18:25-32. 9. 25. Fujimoto S, Mizuno R, Nakagawa Y, Dohi K, Nakano H. Estimation of the right ventricular volume and ejection fraction by transthoracic three-dimensional echocardiography. A validation study using 11. magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Card Imaging. 1998:14:385-90. 26. Papavassiliou DP, Parks WJ, Hopkins KL, Fyfe DA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic measurement of right ventricular volume in children with congenital heart disease validated by magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 1998;11:770-7. 14. 27. Vogel M, Gutberlet M, Dittrich S, Hosten N, Lange PE. Comparison of transthoracic three dimensional echocardiography with magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of right ventricular volume and mass. Heart. 1997:78:127-30. 28. Soriano BD, Hoch M, Ithuralde A, Geva T, Powell AJ, Kussman BD, et al. Matrix-array 3-dimensional 17. echocardiographic assessment of volumes, mass, and ejection fraction in young pediatric patients 18. with a functional single ventricle: a comparison study with cardiac magnetic resonance. Circulation. 2008;117:1842-8. 29. Mor-Avi V, Jenkins C, Kuhl HP, Nesser HJ, Marwick T, Franke A, et al. Real-time 3-dimensional echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular volumes: multicenter study for validation with magnetic 21. resonance imaging and investigation of sources of error. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008;1:413-23. 30. Soliman OI, Krenning BJ, Geleijnse ML, Nemes A, Bosch JG, van Geuns RJ, et al. Quantification of left ventricular volumes and function in patients with cardiomyopathies by real-time three-dimensional 23. echocardiography: a head-to-head comparison between two different semiautomated endocardial 24. border detection algorithms. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:1042-9. 31. Nesser HJ, Tkalec W, Patel AR, Masani ND, Niel J, Markt B, et al. Quantitation of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction by three-dimensional echocardiography in patients: comparison with 26. magnetic resonance imaging and radionuclide ventriculography. Echocardiography. 2006;23:666-80. 27. 28. 34.

Chapter 6

134

- 58.
- 39



# Chapter 7

Usefulness of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease

10.0

lating of milding

the particular land

ha.m.

main (C. m

date the start the

A LOS AN OWNER

all some lands

100

H.B. van der Zwaan W.A. Helbing E. Boersma M.L. Geleijnse J.S. McGhie O.I.I. Soliman J.W. Roos-Hesselink F.J. Meijboom

12.8

Am J Cardiol. 2010 Sep 15;106(6):843-50

## ABSTRACT

Background. Because right ventricular (RV) dysfunction predicts a poor outcome in patients3.with congenital heart disease (CHD), regular monitoring of RV function is indicated. To date,4.cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging has been the reference method. A more practical,5.more accessible, and accurate tool would be preferred.6.

1. 2.

7.

17.

29.

34.

Methods.We defined normality regarding RV systolic function using healthy controls and<br/>tested the ability of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) to identify patients<br/>with CHD with RV dysfunction. The cut-off values for the RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF)9.were derived from CMR imaging from 41 healthy controls (mean age 27 ± 8 years, 56% men).11.In 100 patients with varying CHDs (mean age 27 ± 11 years, 65% men), both RT3DE datasets12.(iE33) and short axis CMR (1.5T) images were obtained within two hours. The RT3DE and CMR13.RV volumes and EF were calculated using commercially available software. Receiver operat-14.ing characteristic curves were created to obtain the sensitivity and the specificity of RT3DE to15.identify RV dysfunction.16.

**Results.** Applying the cut-off values derived from the healthy controls using the CMR data of18.patients with CHD, we identified 23 patients with an enlarged indexed end-diastolic volume,19.29 patients with an enlarged indexed end-systolic volume, and 21 patients with an impaired20.RV EF. The best cut-off values predicting RV dysfunction using RT3DE were identified (indexed21.end-diastolic volume >105 ml/m², indexed end-systolic volume >54 ml/m², and EF <43%). The</td>22.RT3DE findings revealed 23 patients with impaired RV EF, with 95% sensitivity, 89% specificity,23.and a negative predictive value of 99%.24.

Conclusions.RT3DE is a very sensitive tool to identify RV dysfunction in patients with CHD26.and could be applied clinically to rule out RV dysfunction or to indicate additional quantitative27.analysis of RV function.28.

#### INTRODUCTION

2.

The ideal index to assess right ventricular (RV) contractility - independent of the pre- ad afterload, independent of heart size and mass, easy and safe to apply, and proven useful in the 4 clinical setting – does not yet exist.<sup>1</sup> Thus, determination of the ejection fraction (EF) is still the most commonly used method to assess systolic RV performance. Cardiac magnetic resonance 7. (CMR) imaging has become the reference method for the assessment of RV EF but has a number of drawbacks, including limited availability, high cost, and time-consuming acquisition and 8. analysis.<sup>2, 3</sup> Owing to the complex RV geometry and the presence of myocardial trabeculae, 9 two-dimensional echocardiography is considered inaccurate and a three-dimensional image technology, such as real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE), is mandatory.<sup>4</sup> Earlier studies have shown that RT3DE can assess RV volumes and EF in various patient 12. 13. groups.<sup>5-7</sup> If proven to be a robust and reliable technique, RT3DE might replace CMR for RV systolic functional assessment in a substantial proportion of patients. To be usable in clinical 14. practice, a prerequisite is the reliability of RT3DE to detect RV dysfunction. This has not been previously studied. The purposes of the present study were to define normality regarding 16. systolic RV function using CMR values derived from healthy controls and to test the ability of 17. 18. RT3DE to accurately identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease (CHD), according to the reference method CMR imaging. For the purposes of the present study, the 19. cut-off values for the RV volumes and EF were identified and applied to differentiate between 21. normal and impaired RV function - to define RV dysfunction.

\_\_\_

## 24. METHODS

25.

A total of 41 healthy controls underwent full-volume RT3DE and CMR imaging to establish the
reference RV volumes and EF values. The controls were employees of the university or the hospital or their relatives who were willing to undergo a CMR scan. They were eligible for inclusion
in the study if they had no medical history or current symptoms suggestive of cardiovascular
disease, including hypertension or a systemic illness with a potential cardiovascular component such as diabetes or thyroid disease. Participants taking any cardiovascular medications
were excluded from the present study.
In all included healthy controls heart rate and blood pressure were measured (with the
subject supine), and they underwent routine two-dimensional echocardiography to exclude
cardiac abnormalities.
A total of 100 consecutive patients, in sinus rhythm, with complex and/or surgically repaired
CHD underwent full-volume RT3DE and CMR acquisition of the right ventricle. They were

38. referred for CMR imaging for a quantitative analysis of their cardiac function for clinical reasons

and underwent a RT3DE examination within two hours of CMR imaging to pursue comparable 1. loading conditions. 2.

The medical ethical committee approved the study, and all healthy controls, patients and/or3.their parents (if required) provided written informed consent.4.

CMR images were acquired using a Signa 1.5 Tesla scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee,5.Wisconsin). The subjects were positioned supine with dedicated phased-array cardiac surface6.coils placed over the thorax. The CMR protocol included cine steady-state free precession7.sequences in the short-axis planes to assess the RV volumes. Electrocardiogram gating and8.repeated breath holds were applied to minimize the influence of cardiac and respiratory9.motion.10.

The RV volumes were measured from a multisection image set of 8 to 12 contiguous slices11.parallel to the plane of the atrioventricular valves and covering the full-length of both ven-12.tricles. The imaging parameters were as follows: slice thickness 7 to 10 mm, interslice gap 0 mm,13.field of view 280 to 370 mm, phase field of view 0.75, matrix 160 x 128 mm, repetition time 3.514.ms, echo time 1.5 ms, flip angle 45°.15.

One physician (HBZ) analyzed the CMR RV volumetric dataset quantitatively on a commer-16.cially available Advanced Windows workstation (GE Medical Systems) using Advanced Windows,17.version 5.1, of the MR Analytical Software System (Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, The18.Netherlands). The RV end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, and EF were calculated using19.manual detection of the endocardial borders in end-systole and end-diastole with exclusion of20.trabeculae, as described by Robbers-Visser et al.<sup>8</sup>21.

RT3DE harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultrasound system (Philips Medical22.Systems, Best, The Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 matrix array transducer with the patient23.in the left lateral decubitus position. To encompass the entire right ventricle into the RT3DE24.dataset, a full-volume scan was acquired from a modified apical transducer position in harmonic25.mode from seven R wave-gated subvolumes during a single end-expiratory breath-hold. The26.output therefore was not truly real-time but was reconstructed from seven subvolumes. The27.depth and angle of the ultrasound sector were adjusted to a minimal level, still encompassing28.the entire right ventricle. Before each acquisition, the images were optimized for endocardial29.border visualization by modifying the time gain and compression and increasing the overall30.gain. An average of three datasets was acquired per patient, to ensure optimal datasets without31.motion artifacts that might have occurred during the acquisition. The mean volume rate was32.25 frames (range 14 to 38) per cardiac cycle. The datasets were digitally exported to a TomTec33.server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) connected to a terminal work-34.station for additional analyses.35.

The digital RT3DE RV datasets were analyzed offline using the TomTec four-dimensional 36. RV Function Program, version 1.2, by an investigator (HBZ) unaware of the results of the CMR 37. measurements. This software performs three-dimensional semiautomated border detection of 38. RV volumes over one cardiac cycle. It uses a physics-based modelling algorithm that makes 39.

no assumptions regarding RV geometry. The analysis of a RT3DE dataset was judged accurate, when both the apex and the lateral wall were both visible in the four-chamber view, allowing 2. adequate tracing of the endocardial border. 4. The functioning of the TomTec analysis program (Figure 1) has been previously reported in detail.<sup>6</sup> In brief, the program starts with a screen displaying a short-axis view (top), an apical four-chamber view (left), and a coronal view (right). The right ventricle must be outlined in the middle of the dataset. Next, by movement of a horizontal axis, landmarks can be placed 8. in the tricuspid and mitral valve orifices and apex. Subsequently the end-diastolic (largest RV 9. volume) and end-systolic (smallest RV volume) phases are identified. The endocardial border 10. contours are drawn onto the apical four-chamber still frames in both end-diastole and endsystole. Using these contours, two reference markers are extrapolated onto the sagittal view in which the endocardial border contours are traced with care to include the two extrapolated 12. 13. reference markers. Next, the contours are drawn in the coronal view, again with attention to include the three reference markers that were extrapolated from the four-chamber and sagittal 14. views. After these steps the software automatically delineates the RV endocardial border from the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases, and by sequential analysis the software creates an RV mathematic dynamic three-dimensional endocardial surface that represents changes in the 17. 18. RV cavity over the cardiac cycle. From this three-dimensional endocardial surface, RV volumes and EF are calculated. 19.



21.

24. 25. 26. 27. 28.

31.

Figure 1 Display from the 4D RV function TomTec analysis program showing the final stage of contour detection in which manual correction of the contours can be applied in any cross-section or phase of the cardiac cycle.

Statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 15.01.(SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). The categorical data are summarized as numbers and percentages2.and the continuous data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD. Differences between patients and3.controls were analyzed using chi-square tests or Student's *t* tests as appropriate.4.

Both RT3DE and CMR volumes were indexed to body surface area. The body surface area 5. was calculated according to the formula by Dubois: BSA (m<sup>2</sup>) = weight (kg)  $^{0.425}$  × height (cm) 6.  $^{0.725}$  × 71.84 × 10<sup>-4</sup>. 7.

All statistical tests were 2-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Because no standard on definition of RV dysfunction is available  $^9$ , we defined RV dysfunc-9.tion as follows. Using the CMR volumetric data from the healthy controls, we identified a range10.of normal RV function by the mean  $\pm 2$  SDs. Next, we defined the indexes of RV dysfunction11.either as a diminished RV EF (less than the mean minus 2 SDs in healthy controls), an enlarged12.indexed end-diastolic volume or an enlarged indexed end-systolic volume (both greater than13.the mean plus 2 SDs in healthy controls).14.

Using these cut-off values, we dichotomized the CMR data derived from our CHD group. 15. Receiver operating characteristic curves were created to predict RV dysfunction using the 16. RT3DE data. From the receiver operating characteristic curves, the sensitivity and specificity of 17. the RT3DE findings were derived, as well as the area under the curves. Next, two by two tables 18. were created to calculate the positive and negative predictive values of the RT3DE findings to 19. identify RV dysfunction using the CMR data. The cut-off RT3DE values to obtain the maximum 20. sum of the sensitivity and specificity, the greatest sensitivity and specificity, were calculated. 21.

#### 22

8.

## 23.

## 24.

25

The baseline characteristics of the healthy controls (mean age  $27 \pm 8$  years, 56% men) and the26.patients with CHD (mean age  $27 \pm 10$  years, 65% men) are listed in Table 1. The patients with27.CHD had a greater heart rate (P < 0.001) and shorter stature (P = 0.04) than the healthy controls.</td>28.Table 2 lists the types of CHD the patients had.29.

Table 3 lists the absolute and indexed RV volumes and EF obtained using CMR imaging.30.The patients with CHD had a greater indexed end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume31.and lower EF (all P < 0.001). Similar outcomes were measured using RT3DE. The end-diastolic</td>32.volume and end-systolic volume were greater in the patients with CHD (P < 0.001) and their EF</td>33.was lower (P < 0.001; Table 4).</td>34.

The cumulative percentage and median values of the indexed end-diastolic volume, end-35. systolic volume, and EF in the healthy controls and patients with CHD are depicted in Figure 2. The mean values and 95% confidence intervals of the end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, and EF as measured using RT3DE and CMR imaging in patients with CHD are shown 38.

RESULTS

| Table 1. Daseline Characteristics         |             |             |             |              |              |             |          |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Variable                                  | Healthy o   | ontrols     |             | Patients     | with CHD     |             | P-value* |
|   | All         | Men         | Women       | All          | Men          | Women       |          |
| Number                                    | 41          | 23          | 18          | 100          | 65           | 35          | 0.33     |
| Age (years)                               | 27 ± 8      | $26 \pm 9$  | $28 \pm 7$  | $27 \pm 10$  | $26 \pm 10$  | $28 \pm 11$ | 0.89     |
| Age at initial repair (years), $(n = 94)$ | -           | -           | -           | $5\pm10$     | $6 \pm 10$   | $6 \pm 12$  | -        |
| Age at reoperation (years), $(n = 51)$    | -           | -           | -           | $11 \pm 10$  | $11 \pm 10$  | $10 \pm 10$ | -        |
| Heart rate (beats/min)                    | $64 \pm 13$ | $64 \pm 14$ | $63 \pm 14$ | $72 \pm 12$  | $70 \pm 13$  | $75 \pm 11$ | < 0.001  |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)            | 121 ± 14    | 128 ± 13    | 114 ±<br>12 | 125 ± 18     | 126 ± 17     | 123 ± 20    | 0.29     |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)           | $73\pm8$    | $74 \pm 7$  | 71 ± 9      | 72 ±10       | $71 \pm 10$  | 73 ± 11     | 0.58     |
| Height (cm)                               | $177 \pm 8$ | $181 \pm 6$ | $171 \pm 5$ | $172 \pm 13$ | $176 \pm 13$ | $165 \pm 7$ | 0.04     |
| Weight (kg)                               | $70 \pm 11$ | $73 \pm 10$ | 66 ± 11     | $68 \pm 15$  | $70 \pm 17$  | $63 \pm 12$ | 0.36     |
| Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )      | $22 \pm 3$  | $22 \pm 3$  | $23\pm3$    | $22 \pm 4$   | $22\pm5$     | $23 \pm 4$  | 0.80     |
| Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )       | $1.9\pm0.2$ | $1.9\pm0.1$ | $1.8\pm0.1$ | $1.8\pm0.3$  | $1.8\pm0.4$  | $1.7\pm0.2$ | 0.04     |
|   |             |             |             |              |              |             |          |

## Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

\* Difference between healthy controls and patients with CHD.

### 14.

#### 15. Table 2. Congenital heart diseases (CHDs) studied

| 16. | Pathology  | Patients (n) |
|-----|--|--------------|
| 17  | Tetralogy of Fallot                                  | 38           |
| 17. | Aortic valve pathology                               | 17           |
| 18. | Pulmonary stenosis +/- ventricular septal defect     | 12           |
| 19. | Pulmonary atresia +/- ventricular septal defect      | 4            |
| 20. | Transposition of the great arteries, atrial switch   | 10           |
| 21  | Transposition of the great arteries, arterial switch | 9            |
| ΖΙ. | Coarctation of the aorta                             | 2            |
| 22. | Ebstein's anomaly                                    | 2            |
| 23. | Double outlet right ventricle                        | 1            |
| 24. | Double inlet left ventricle                          | 1            |
| 25  | Ventricular septal defect                            | 1            |
| 23. | Anomalous pulmonary venous connection                | 1            |
| 26. | Perinatal tricuspid insufficiency                    | 1            |
| 27. | Congenital hypertrophic cardiomyopathy               | 1            |

28.

29. in Figure 3. In patients with CHD and the healthy controls, significantly smaller volumes were

30. measured using RT3DE than using CMR imaging.

We derived cut-off values from the CMR data from healthy controls as indicators of RV
 dysfunction (indexed end-diastolic volume >129 ml/m<sup>2</sup>, indexed end-systolic volume >58 ml/
 m<sup>2</sup> and a RV EF <48 %). Using these cut-off values for CMR data from the patients with CHD, we</li>
 identified 23 patients (23%) with an enlarged indexed end-diastolic volume, 29 patients (29%)
 with an enlarged indexed end-systolic volume and 21 patients (21%) with an impaired RV EF.
 Of the 21 patients with impaired RV function according to the RV EF, half had tetralogy of Fallot
 and a quarter had undergone a Mustard or Senning operation for transposition of the great
 arteries.

39

| 5   |             |              |             |             |                   |              |         |  |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|--|
| Variable                                  | He          | althy cont   | rols        | Pati        | Patients with CHD |              |         |  |
|   | All         | Men          | Women       | All         | Men               | Women        |         |  |
| Absolute values                           |             |              |             |             |                   |              |         |  |
| End-diastolic volume (ml)                 | $158\pm32$  | $172 \pm 29$ | $139\pm27$  | $193\pm72$  | $195 \pm 72$      | $190 \pm 75$ | < 0.001 |  |
| End-systolic volume (ml)                  | $65\pm18$   | $70 \pm 17$  | $58 \pm 17$ | $94 \pm 47$ | 96 ± 49           | $91 \pm 46$  | < 0.001 |  |
| Stroke volume (ml)                        | $93\pm19$   | $107\pm18$   | $82 \pm 15$ | $100\pm34$  | $101 \pm 34$      | $99 \pm 36$  | 0.15    |  |
| Ejection fraction (%)                     | $60 \pm 6$  | $60\pm 6$    | $59\pm 6$   | $53\pm9$    | $52 \pm 10$       | $53\pm8$     | < 0.001 |  |
|   |             |              |             |             |                   |              |         |  |
| Normalized to body surface area           |             |              |             |             |                   |              |         |  |
| End-diastolic volume (ml/m <sup>2</sup> ) | $86 \pm 21$ | 90 ± 15      | $79 \pm 13$ | $109\pm37$  | $108 \pm 36$      | $112 \pm 39$ | < 0.001 |  |
| End-systolic volume (ml/m²)               | $35 \pm 11$ | $37 \pm 9$   | $33\pm9$    | $54 \pm 25$ | $53 \pm 26$       | $54 \pm 24$  | < 0.001 |  |
| Stroke volume (ml/m²)                     | $51 \pm 12$ | $53 \pm 9$   | 46 ± 6      | $56 \pm 18$ | 55 ± 17           | $59 \pm 20$  | 0.04    |  |
|   |             |              |             |             |                   |              |         |  |

Table 3. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging: right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

\* Difference between healthy controls and patients with CHD.

|  | Table 4 | Real-time three | -dimensional echo | cardiography: | right ventricular v | olumes and eiection fraction |
|--|---------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
|--|---------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------|

|   |                  | 5 1          | , , ,       |             |             | ,            |         |     |
|---|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----|
| Variable                                  | Healthy controls |              |             | C           | HD patient  | P-value*     | 14      |     |
|   | All              | Men          | Women       | All         | Men         | Women        |         | 15  |
| Absolute values                           |                  |              |             |             |             |              |         | 16  |
| End-diastolic volume (ml)                 | $127 \pm 32$     | $144 \pm 31$ | $108\pm22$  | $170\pm61$  | $175\pm60$  | $160 \pm 61$ | < 0.001 | 17  |
| End-systolic volume (ml)                  | $58 \pm 16$      | $66 \pm 14$  | $48 \pm 10$ | $96 \pm 44$ | $98 \pm 44$ | $79 \pm 35$  | < 0.001 | 17  |
| Stroke volume (ml)                        | $69 \pm 19$      | $78\pm20$    | $60 \pm 14$ | $80 \pm 26$ | $80 \pm 24$ | $80\pm30$    | 0.001   | 18  |
| Ejection fraction (%)                     | $55 \pm 5$       | $54\pm5$     | $56\pm5$    | $48\pm9$    | 46 ± 9      | $52 \pm 7$   | < 0.001 | 19  |
|   |                  |              |             |             |             |              |         | 20  |
| Normalized to body surface area           |                  |              |             |             |             |              |         | 21  |
| End-diastolic volume (ml/m <sup>2</sup> ) | $68 \pm 18$      | $73 \pm 14$  | 66 ± 10     | 96 ± 31     | 97 ± 30     | $94 \pm 32$  | < 0.001 | Ζ Ι |
| End-systolic volume (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )  | $31 \pm 9$       | $34\pm 6$    | $27\pm5$    | $51 \pm 22$ | $53 \pm 23$ | $46 \pm 19$  | < 0.001 | 22  |
| Stroke volume (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )        | 37 ± 11          | $39 \pm 9$   | $33\pm 6$   | $45 \pm 14$ | $44 \pm 12$ | 47 ± 16      | 0.005   | 23  |
| Data are expressed as mean ± SI           | D.               |              |             |             |             |              |         | 24  |

144

Chapter 7

<sup>\*</sup> Difference between healthy controls and patients with CHD.

25.

12.

Receiver operating characteristic curves were created to obtain the sensitivity, specificity, 27. and positive and negative predictive values of RT3DE to identify systolic RV dysfunction (Table 28. 5 and Figures 3 and 4). Scatter plots of the indexed end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, 29. and EF measured using CMR imaging in healthy controls are shown in Figure 3, together with 30. the best cut-off values predicting RV dysfunction in patients with CHD using the RT3DE data 31. (indexed end-diastolic volume >105 ml/m<sup>2</sup>, indexed end-systolic volume >54 ml/m<sup>2</sup>, and EF 32. <43%). In Table 5, 3 alternative scenarios are listed. RT3DE could either be a test with a maxi-33. mum sum of the sensitivity and specificity, a test with an optimal sensitivity or a test with an 34. optimal specificity.

38.




37. echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging of the indexed RV end-diastolic volumes (a),

indexed end-systolic volumes (b), and ejection fractions (c). CHD denotes congenital heart disease, RT3DE

<sup>50.</sup> real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography, CMR cardiac magnetic resonance, EDVi indexed end diastolic

39. volume, ESVi indexed end systolic volume, EF ejection fraction.



**Figure 3** Correlations between real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography derived and cardiac magnetic 21. resonance imaging derived volumes (a, b) and ejection fraction (c). Dotted lines represent the best cut-off values predicting right ventricular dysfunction.

### DISCUSSION

The backbone of the present study was the data on RV volumes and EF we measured using 27. CMR imaging in a group of 41 healthy controls. These data, consistent with previous studies,<sup>10-14</sup> have provided normal reference data for the definition of RV function. Combined with 29. predetermined cut-off values for normality derived using the CMR data, RT3DE data proved 30. to be highly sensitive to identify RV systolic dysfunction in patients with various CHDs. RV 31. systolic dysfunction, defined as a RV EF <43%, could be identified from the RT3DE findings in 32. 23 patients (23%), with a sensitivity of 95%, specificity of 89%, and negative predictive value of 33. 99%. The high sensitivity and negative predictive value imply that the RT3DE findings can be 34. used as a screening tool to exclude RV systolic dysfunction in patients with CHD.

It is important to accurately measure RV volumes and EF because impaired RV function 36. is known to be a predictor of adverse outcome and poor remodelling after pulmonary valve 37. replacement. The relation between the RV volumes and/or EF with the long-term outcome 38. in patients with CHD has been studied in both the subpulmonary and the systemic right 39.

Chapter 7

23. 24.

| 2.  |                                       | RV indexed EDV  | <b>RV</b> indexed ESV | RV EF            |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 3.  | Maximum sum sensitivity + specificity |                 |                       |                  |
| 4   | Cut-off value                         | 105             | 54                    | 43               |
| _   | Area under the curve (95% Cl)         | 0.97 (0.92-1.0) | 0.96 (0.90-0.99)      | 0.93 (0.86-0.97) |
| 5.  | Sensitivity                           | 96 (78-99)      | 83 (64-94)            | 95 (75-99)       |
| 6.  | Specificity                           | 88 (78-94)      | 90 (80-96)            | 89 (80-95)       |
| 7.  | Positive predictive value             | 69 %            | 75 %                  | 61 %             |
| 8   | Negative predictive value             | 99 %            | 93 %                  | 99 %             |
| 0.  | Maximum sensitivity                   |                 |                       |                  |
| 9.  | Cut-off value                         | 99              | 44                    | 55               |
| 10. | Sensitivity                           | 100 (85-100)    | 100 (88-100)          | 100 (83-100)     |
| 11. | Specificity                           | 77 (65-86)      | 60 (47-72)            | 23 (14-33)       |
| 12  | Positive predictive value             | 58 %            | 51 %                  | 26 %             |
| 12. | Negative predictive value             | 100 %           | 100 %                 | 100 %            |
| 13. | Maximum specificity                   |                 |                       |                  |
| 14. | Cut-off value                         | 143             | 72                    | 31               |
| 15. | Sensitivity                           | 39 (20-61)      | 45 (27-64)            | 20 (6-44)        |
| 16  | Specificity                           | 100 (95-100)    | 100 (95-100)          | 100 (95-100)     |
| 10. | Positive predictive value             | 100 %           | 100 %                 | 100 %            |
| 17. | Negative predictive value             | 85 %            | 83 %                  | 83 %             |

 Table 5. Test characteristics of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction

<sup>18.</sup> Data are presented as mean (95% confidence intervals).

19. Cut-off values, sensitivity and specificity were calculated using receiver operating characteristic curves.

20 EDV = end-diastolic volume; ESV = end-systolic volume; EF = ejection fraction.

21.

ventricle.<sup>15-17</sup> Knauth et al<sup>15</sup> published an interesting study in which they identified the cut-off values for indexed end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, and EF measured using CMR imaging as predictors of major adverse cardiac events in patients late after tetralogy of Fallot 24. repair. In patients with systemic right ventricles, Piran et al<sup>16</sup> found that a RV EF <35% was 25. a strong prognostic risk factor for the development of heart failure. In general, experts have agreed that a systemic RV EF >50% should be considered normal.<sup>18</sup> When we compared these 27. data with our own data, we found that only 10% of the patients we studied had a systemic right 28. ventricle. RV dysfunction was present in half of them according to their CMR data. Discussion remains on the relevance of defining absolute cut-off values for impaired systolic 31. RV function in patients with CHD. Earlier studies based their judgment of RV performance on visualization of the two-dimensional echocardiographic images and defined poor RV function subjectively as moderately or severely reduced function.<sup>16, 17, 19, 20</sup> CMR imaging and RT3DEdata made accurate, guantitative assessment of systolic RV function possible. We should define 34. normality for these techniques and, consequently, the cut-off points for abnormality. Therrien et al<sup>21</sup>, for example, suggested thresholds for end-diastolic volume and end-systolic volume, measured using CMR imaging, for adequate reverse remodelling after pulmonary valve 38. replacement late after tetralogy of Fallot repair.<sup>21, 22</sup> 39.



Chapter 7

**Figure 4** Plots of the sensitivity versus the specificity for the indexed end diastolic (a) and end systolic volumes (b) and ejection fraction (c).

23.

24.

The EF is an easy to apply measurement to assess RV contractility, but it is quite variable 25. and dependent on both the pre- and afterload. Thus, in the interpretation of EF the underlying 26. absolute volumes should also be taken into account. These volumes provide information on the 27. loading conditions of the heart: a larger volume is related to greater wall stress and impaired 28. contractile reserve during exercise.<sup>23</sup> In addition to the volumes and/or EF as indicators of 29. systolic RV function, several techniques, such as tissue Doppler imaging or speckle tracking 30. echocardiography, focus on regional RV function. Thus, RV regional longitudinal or circumferalle for information of RV myocardial function, such as in tricuspid valve insufficiency, these regionally orientated techniques could provide more accurate information on, for example, RV 34. longitudinal function. In contrast, regional techniques are only valuable when their results can be extrapolated to the global RV function. For example, tricuspid annular systolic plane excursion is a useful measurement for RV function in various patient groups. In operated patients 37. however, the RV longitudinal function will be reduced but the EF will remain constant; thus, this measurement will not give accurate information on RV performance.<sup>24</sup>

With the introduction of RT3DE, an alternative technique became available to assess global 1. RV function. RT3DE offers the possibility to provide easy, inexpensive, fast, bedside information. 2. We evaluated the ability of the RT3DE findings to identify systolic RV dysfunction; however, the 3. prognostic relation of RT3DE-derived RV volumes and EF needs to be established. 4 The main limitation of RT3DE is related to the spatial and temporal resolution. Moreover, echocardiographic imaging of the right ventricle is challenged by the retrosternal position of 7. the right ventricle. Seven subvolumes that are acquired over seven heartbeats are needed to gather a full-volume dataset. Irregularity of the heart rate causes stitching artifacts and unus-8. 9. able datasets. However, we expect that these technical features will improve within the near future. In contrast, the CMR estimation of RV volumes and EF uses two-dimensional images combined with a fixed slice height and, therefore, is not a three-dimensionally based technique. Also, 12. the temporal resolution of CMR is restricted. Identification of the upper slice containing part of the right ventricle is challenging, and both the acquisition and analysis are time-consuming. 14. 15. The present study did not provide any prognostic information on RV dysfunction or informa-16. tion for the various CHDs separately. Moreover, certain CHDs, such as an atrial septal defect or tetralogy of Fallot, primarily affect the right ventricle, and these ventricles are morphologically 17. 18. abnormal. Monitoring the global RV function in such ventricles is needed from early childhood onward. In the present study, we did not investigate such young children. 19. 21. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 31. 34. 38.

| 1.  | Carabello BA. Evolution of the study of left ventricular function: everything old is new again. Circulation. 2002;105:2701-3.                                     | 1.      |
|-----|---|---------|
| 2.  | Babu-Narayan SV, Gatzoulis MA, Kilner PJ. Non-invasive imaging in adult congenital heart disease  | 2.      |
|     | using cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown). 2007;8:23-9.  | 3.      |
| 3.  | Bleeker GB, Steendijk P, Holman ER, Yu CM, Breithardt OA, Kaandorp TA, et al. Assessing right ventricu-   | 4       |
|     | lar function: the role of echocardiography and complementary technologies. Heart. 2006;92 Suppl   | 5       |
| 4   | 1:119-26.<br>Lai WW Gauvraau K Biyera ES Saleeb S Bowell AL Geva T Accuracy of guideline recommendations  | ).<br>C |
| 4.  | for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imag-   | 6.      |
|     | ing. 2008;24:691-8.   | 7.      |
| 5.  | Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Multimodality   | 8.      |
|     | $\label{eq:comparison} Comparison of Quantitative Volumetric Analysis of the Right Ventricle. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.$   | 9.      |
| _   | 2010;3:10-8.  | 10.     |
| 6.  | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al.  | 11.     |
|     | in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging, I Am Soc Echocar-  | 12      |
|     | diogr. 2010;23:134-40.  | 12      |
| 7.  | Tamborini G, Marsan NA, Gripari P, Maffessanti F, Brusoni D, Muratori M, et al. Reference values for  | 17.     |
|     | right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction with real-time three-dimensional echocardiography:  | 14.     |
|     | evaluation in a large series of normal subjects. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:109-15.   | 15.     |
| 8.  | Robbers-Visser D, Boersma E, Helbing WA. Normal biventricular function, volumes, and mass in  | 16.     |
| 0   | Children aged 8 to 17 years. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;29:552-9.   | 17.     |
| 9.  | and function: echo versus magnetic resonance imaging. Congenit Heart Dis 2007:2:27-31   | 18.     |
| 10. | Alfakih K, Plein S, Thiele H, Jones T, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MU. Normal human left and right  | 19.     |
|     | ventricular dimensions for MRI as assessed by turbo gradient echo and steady-state free precession  | 20.     |
|     | imaging sequences. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2003;17:323-9.   | 21      |
| 11. | Teo KS, Carbone A, Piantadosi C, Chew DP, Hammett CJ, Brown MA, et al. Cardiac MRI assessment   | 22      |
|     | of left and right ventricular parameters in healthy Australian normal volunteers. Heart Lung Circ.  | 22.     |
| 12. | Autors and SK. Khan M. Pennell DJ. Reference right ventricular systolic and diastolic function  | 23.     |
|     | normalized to age, gender and body surface area from steady-state free precession cardiovascular  | 24.     |
|     | magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:2879-88.   | 25.     |
| 13. | Hudsmith LE, Petersen SE, Francis JM, Robson MD, Neubauer S. Normal human left and right ven-   | 26.     |
|     | tricular and left atrial dimensions using steady state free precession magnetic resonance imaging. J  | 27.     |
| 11  | Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2005;/://5-82.   | 28.     |
| 14. | mass systolic function and gender differences by cine magnetic resonance imaging. I Cardiovasc  | 29.     |
|     | Magn Reson. 1999;1:7-21.  | 30.     |
| 15. | Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, Landzberg MJ, Walsh EP, Lock JE, et al. Ventricular size and function   | 31      |
|     | assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair.  | 21.     |
|     | Heart. 2008;94:211-6.   | 52.     |
| 16. | Piran S, Veldtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with   | 33.     |
| 17  | Single of Systemic right ventricles. Circulation. 2002;105:1189-94.<br>Rutledge IM Nibill MR Fraser CD Smith OF McMahon CL Recold LL Outcome of 121 patients with | 34.     |
| ./. | congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries. Pediatr Cardiol. 2002;23:137-45.  | 35.     |
| 18. | Davlouros PA, Niwa K, Webb G, Gatzoulis MA. The right ventricle in congenital heart disease. Heart.   | 36.     |
|     | 2006;92 Suppl 1:i27-38.   | 37.     |
|     |   | 38.     |
|     |   |         |

Chapter 7

| 1.        | 19. | Meijboom F, Szatmari A, Deckers JW, Utens EM, Roelandt JR, Bos E, et al. Long-term follow-up (10 to 17 years) after Mustard repair for transposition of the great arteries. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.          |
|-----------|-----|--|
| 2.        |     | 1996;111:1158-68.  |
| 3.        | 20. | Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ, Spitaels SE, van Domburg R, van Rijen EH, Utens EM, et al. Decline in  |
| 4.        |     | (a prospective study of 22-29 years). Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1264-70.  |
| 5.        | 21. | Therrien J, Provost Y, Merchant N, Williams W, Colman J, Webb G. Optimal timing for pulmonary valve  |
| б.        |     | replacement in adults after tetralogy of Fallot repair. Am J Cardiol. 2005;95:779-82.  |
| 7.        | 22. | Buechel ER, Dave HH, Kellenberger CJ, Dodge-Khatami A, Pretre R, Berger F, et al. Remodelling of the right ventricle after early pulmonary valve replacement in children with repaired tetralogy of Fallot:    |
| δ.        |     | assessment by cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J. 2005;26:2721-7.  |
| 9.<br>10. | 23. | Apitz C, Sieverding L, Latus H, Uebing A, Schoof S, Hofbeck M. Right ventricular dysfunction and<br>B-type natriuretic peptide in asymptomatic patients after repair for tetralogy of Fallot. Pediatr Cardiol. |
| 11.       | 24  | 2009;30:898-904.   |
| 12.       | 24. | function reduced after cardiac surgery? A two- and three-dimensional echocardiographic study. Eur J  |
| 13.       |     | Echocardiogr. 2009;10:630-4.   |
| 14.       |     |  |
| 15.       |     |  |
| 16.       |     |  |
| 17.       |     |  |
| 18.       |     |  |
| 19.       |     |  |
| 20.       |     |  |
| 21.       |     |  |
| 22.       |     |  |
| 23.       |     |  |
| 24.       |     |  |
| 25.       |     |  |
| 26.       |     |  |
| 27.       |     |  |
| 28.       |     |  |
| 29.       |     |  |
| 30.       |     |  |
| 31.       |     |  |
| 32.       |     |  |
| 33.       |     |  |
| 34.       |     |  |
| 35.       |     |  |
| 36.       |     |  |
| 37.       |     |  |
| 38.       |     |  |
| 39.       |     |  |



# Part 3

stales a series in the

----

its represente active a

and a share share the second

at an ensemped age

endofic aparagely

many and will a store as

to be stall parties of

and the measurements

in horasangen de

# Troubleshooting for right ventricular assessment



# Chapter 8

Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimation: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with tetralogy of Fallot

lating of mil

Tella .....

manuffe by-

have the

and's Standard to

water fit an

12.00

H.B. van der Zwaan W.A. Helbing O.I.I. Soliman G. van Burken J.G. Bosch J.S. McGhie J.W. Roos-Hesselink F.J. Meijboom M. L. Geleijnse K.Y.E. Leung

Submitted for publication

#### ABSTRACT

Background.It has not been investigated in detail why right ventricular (RV) volumes assessed3.by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) differ from those obtained using4.cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging. We sought to study systematically the potential5.sources of RV volume differences in patients with tetralogy of Fallot.6.

1. 2.

7.

23.

34.

39.

Methods. Customized software was used that displays images obtained by RT3DE and CMR8.imaging in exactly the same anatomical plane to facilitate side-by-side comparison. The9.endocardial contours, derived from semiautomated three-dimensional border detection for10.RT3DE and manual tracing of contours in multiple slices for CMR imaging, were superimposed11.onto the images. A 9-segment model was used to estimate segmental RV volume differences.12.

**Results.** A total of 26 patients with tetralogy of Fallot (mean age  $26 \pm 9$  years, 62% men) were 14. included. Global RV volumes calculated using RT3DE were on average  $23 \pm 26$  ml smaller than 15. CMR imaging-derived volumes in end-diastole, and  $10 \pm 16$  ml in end-systole. However, no 16. statistically significant bias was found in RV ejection fraction ( $1.9 \pm 6.3\%$ ). Volume differences 17. were mainly caused by poorer visualization of the RV anterior wall using RT3DE, corroborated 18. by regional quantitative analysis (46% volume difference in the anterior segments). The use of 19. disc summation by CMR imaging resulted in differences in the apical and pulmonary valve area. 20. Trabeculae were more distinguishable from RV myocardium by CMR imaging than RT3DE; the 21. wall appeared to be thicker using RT3DE. 22.

Conclusions. The main sources of volume differences between RT3DE and CMR imaging in this24.patient population are caused by suboptimal visualization of the RV anterior wall by RT3DE, the25.use of disc summation by CMR imaging, and the visualization and management of trabeculae.26.The understanding of this intermodality discordance will help to implement RT3DE into clinical27.practice.28.

### **INTRODUCTION**

2.

The prognosis of patients with tetralogy of Fallot has increased over the last decades, because of improved surgical techniques and pediatric care. Now that operative mortality of the early 4 repair has fallen to low levels, attention has turned to improvement of longer-term outcomes and preservation of cardiac function. A substantial proportion of adults with tetralogy of Fallot develop right ventricular (RV) dysfunction and clinical symptoms of heart failure.<sup>1-3</sup> because their right ventricles are often volume overloaded. Therefore, it is important to monitor RV 8 9 function with accurate imaging techniques. The assessment of RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF) by two-dimensional echocardiography has been cumbersome.<sup>4</sup> Complicated geometric models have been used, but have been found inaccurate.<sup>5</sup> Three-dimensional echocardiography avoids the need for geometric 12. assumptions, because the right ventricle can be included into one dataset. Real-time threedimensional echocardiography (RT3DE), allows a fast volumetric analysis of RV volumes and 14. EF based on semiautomated endocardial surface detection.<sup>6</sup> Despite reasonably good correlations, several studies have reported that, within the same patient, systematic differences existed in RV volumes derived of RT3DE and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging.<sup>6-9</sup> There is no 18. consensus regarding the factors contributing to this intermodality discordance. The potential factors are the suboptimal visibility of certain parts of the right ventricle by RT3DE, differences 19. in the spatial resolution between RT3DE and CMR imaging, variation in the contrast resolution 21. that affects the identification of the endocardial boundaries, and to what extend trabeculae are included in the imaged RV volumes.<sup>10</sup> Also, the intermodality discordance may be increased by analysis-related variations, such as different views used to identify the endocardial boundary as well as different algorithms used to calculate volumes in the software packages.<sup>11</sup> 24. 25. Accordingly, this study was designed to identify and quantify the potential sources of RV 26. volume differences by RT3DE and CMR imaging. We hypothesized that the main reasons for 27. the intermodality discordance are: 1) the inability of RT3DE to include the RV outflow tract in the sector and 2) differences in the identification of the endocardial surface details such as trabeculae. To test this hypothesis, we used software that enabled a systematic and side-byside comparison of the RV segments by RT3DE and CMR imaging.

31.

32

# 33. METHODS

34.

35. Study population

36. A total of 26 patients with tetralogy of Fallot were included in the study. The patients were

37. referred for CMR imaging for a quantitative analysis of their cardiac function. All patients under-

38. went a RT3DE examination within two hours from CMR imaging, to pursue comparable loading

39

conditions. The medical ethical committee approved the study and written informed consent 1. was obtained from all patients and/or their parents if required. 2.

3.

4.

21.

#### Image acquisition and analysis by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

CMR images were acquired using a Signa 1.5 Tesla scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, 5.
Wisconsin). Subjects were positioned in the supine position with dedicated phased-array 6.
cardiac surface coils placed over the thorax. The CMR protocol included cine steady state free 7.
precession sequences in short-axis planes to assess RV volumes. Electrocardiogram gating and 8.
repeated breath holds were applied to minimize the influence of cardiac and respiratory motion. 9.

RV volumes were measured from a multi-section image set of 8 to 12 contiguous slices paral-10.lel to the plane of the atrioventricular valves covering the full length of both ventricles. Imaging11.parameters were as follows: slice thickness 7 to 10 mm, inter-slice gap 0 mm, field of view 28012.to 370 mm, phase field of view 0.75, matrix 160 x 128 mm, repetition time 3.5 ms, echo time13.1.5 ms, 12 views/segment, flip angle 45°, mean in-plane resolution 2 mm², range of temporal14.resolution 22–37 ms.15.

The short-axis dataset was analyzed quantitatively on a commercially available Advanced16.Windows workstation (GE Medical Systems) using Advanced Windows version 5.1 of the MR17.Analytical Software System (Medis Medical Imaging Systems, Leiden, the Netherlands). The RV18.endocardial borders in end-diastole and end-systole were manually delineated in the short-axis19.slices with exclusion of trabeculae as described by Robbers-Visser et al.<sup>12</sup>20.

#### Image acquisition and analysis by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

RT3DE harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultrasound system (Philips Medical 23. Systems, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 matrix array transducer with the patient 24. in the left lateral decubitus position. A full-volume scan was acquired from a modified apical 25. transducer position in harmonic mode from seven R-wave gated subvolumes during a single 26. end-expiratory breath-hold. The depth and angle of the ultrasound sector were adjusted to 27. a minimal level still encompassing the right ventricle. Before each acquisition, images were 28. optimized for endocardial border visualization by modifying time gain and compression and 29. increasing the overall gain. The volume rate was  $24 \pm 4$  frames per cardiac cycle. The datasets 30. were digitally exported to a server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) 31. connected to a terminal workstation for further analyses. 32.

The digital RT3DE RV datasets were analyzed offline using the four-dimensional RV Function 33. program version 1.2. This software performs three-dimensional semiautomated border detection of RV volumes over the cardiac cycle. It uses a physics-based modelling algorithm that 35. makes no assumptions regarding RV geometry. The details of the RV Function program are reported elsewhere.<sup>6</sup> In short, the end-diastolic (largest RV volume) and end-systolic (smallest RV volume) phases need to be identified. Endocardial border contours are drawn onto still frames of the apical four-chamber view, short-axis view, and coronal view in both end-diastole 39. 1. and end-systole. After the contour tracing steps, the software automatically delineates the RV

2. endocardial border from the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases and by sequential analysis

- 3. the software creates an RV mathematic dynamic three-dimensional endocardial surface that
- 4. represents changes in the RV cavity over the cardiac cycle.
- 5.

6. Software for side-by-side analysis

7. The RT3DE and CMR images and the endocardial contours derived from the software packages

8. described above, were analyzed using software developed in-house (3DStressView, Erasmus

9. MC, Rotterdam, the Netherlands).<sup>13</sup> With this software, the RT3DE and CMR images and the

10. endocardial contours can be aligned systematically using a standardized protocol, thereby

11. generating the corresponding anatomical views. These views can then be analyzed synchro-

- 12. nized and displayed side-by-side, to distinguish the differences in image appearance and in
- 13. border delineation.

14. To get the anatomically corresponding views, the RT3DE and CMR images were manipulated 15. as follows. Optimal anatomical views were obtained by manually annotating the RV apex and 16. the tricuspid valve hinge points, initially in an apical four-chamber view in end-diastole. An 17. orthogonal view through the RV long-axis was then generated. The annotation process was 18. repeated in this orthogonal long-axis view and if necessary multiple times in both views, to 19. quickly and accurately approximate the RV long-axis as described previously.<sup>14</sup> The coronal 20. view was then obtained by selecting the correct angle. The correspondence between RT3DE 21. and CMR views is expressed as a spatial transformation (translation and rotation), which is then 22. used to align the RT3DE and CMR endocardial contours. This allows us to superimpose the 23. RT2DE based contours onto the CMP image and view versa

23. RT3DE based contours onto the CMR image and vice versa.

The anatomically corresponding views and contours can then be played in a cineloop,
synchronized on the electrocardiographic R-peak. Zooming and navigation can be performed
for all views simultaneously, so that the view correspondence is preserved. The software can
display long-axis views and short-axis views in the apical, mid, and basal planes. Figure 1 shows
an example of the aligned RT3DE and CMR images and their contours.

29.

30. Image appearance, volume quantification, and statistical analysis

31. We visually inspected the RT3DE images and judged the quality of the following RV walls: the

32. anterior, lateral, and inferior walls at the basal, mid, and apical level. The segments were scored

33. as: 4 = excellent quality without possibility to improve, 3 = good quality without artifacts, 2 =

34. sufficient quality without artifacts or good quality with artifacts, 1 = poor or moderate quality

35. with artifacts and 0 = nonvisualized.<sup>13</sup>

36. Differences in endocardial border delineation were assessed quantitatively by calculating

37. global and segmental RV volumes and EF. The calculation of the global RV volumes is described

38. above. For segmental analysis, the right ventricle was divided into three longitudinal and three

39. vertical regions resulting in a total of nine segments, as described by Klein et al<sup>15</sup> (Figure 2).



**Figure 1** Display of the right ventricle in short-axis views, at the basal, mid and apical level, displayed by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (left) and real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography (right), with the magnetic resonance contours in green and the real-time 3-dimensional echo contours in different colors. 20.



21.

Figure 2 The 9-segment model used to divide the right ventricle into various regions.

- 1. Statistical testing was performed using Student's paired t tests. All statistical tests were two-
- 2. sided, and a P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
- 3.
- 4.

# RESULTS

- 6.
- 7. The baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. The mean age at
- 8. initial repair was 2  $\pm$  2 years; 14 patients underwent re-operations at 15  $\pm$  12 years.
- 9.
- **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics

| 11  | Age (years)                            | 26±9        |
|-----|--|-------------|
| 11. | Men (%)                                | 62          |
| 12. | Age at initial repair (years)          | $2\pm 2$    |
| 13. | Age at reoperation (years), $(n = 14)$ | $15\pm12$   |
| 14. | Heart rate (beats/min)                 | $74\pm11$   |
| 15  | Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)         | $123\pm18$  |
| 15. | Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)        | $72\pm11$   |
| 16. | Height (cm)                            | $170\pm14$  |
| 17. | Weight (kg)                            | $64\pm16$   |
| 18. | Body mass index (kg/m²)                | $22\pm4$    |
| 10  | Body surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )    | $1.7\pm0.3$ |

20.

# 21. Image appearance

The anterior wall was often suboptimally visualized in the RT3DE images, especially in the mid
 and basal regions. In contrast, the inferior wall was well imaged. Trabeculae were displayed

- 24. more prominently and the wall appeared to be thicker when viewed by RT3DE (Table 2).
- 25.

26. Table 2. Visual evaluation of the real-time three-dimensional echocardiographic image quality

| 20. |          |             |                               |                               |                               |
|-----|----------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 27  | Segment  | Apical      | Mid                           | Basal                         | Total                         |
| 27. | Anterior | $1.1\pm0.8$ | 0.4 ±0.6                      | $0.4\pm0.6$                   | $\textbf{0.6}\pm\textbf{0.5}$ |
| 28. | Lateral  | $1.3\pm0.9$ | $1.5 \pm 1.1$                 | $\textbf{2.3}\pm\textbf{1.1}$ | $1.7\pm0.8$                   |
| 29. | Inferior | $1.8\pm1.2$ | $\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{0.8}$ | $\textbf{3.1}\pm\textbf{0.8}$ | $\textbf{2.8}\pm\textbf{0.7}$ |
| 30. | Total    | $1.4\pm0.6$ | $1.8\pm0.6$                   | $2.8\pm0.7$                   | $1.7\pm0.5$                   |

31. Values are indicated in percentages of the absolute volume difference as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

Scoring as follows: The segments were scored as: 4 = excellent quality without possibility to improve, 3

= good quality without artifacts, 2 = sufficient quality without artifacts or good quality with artifacts, 1 =
 <sup>33.</sup> poor or moderate quality with artifacts and 0 = nonvisualized.

34.

35. Border delineation

36. When comparing the delineated contours of the RT3DE and CMR images, we found the largest

37. difference in the anterior region (RV outflow tract) when we visually judged these contours.

38. Delineation in the anterior segments based on RT3DE images was complicated by poor visual-

39. ization of the anterior wall, resulting in varying degrees of RV volume underestimation (Figure

On the other hand, because the delineation by CMR imaging was confined to short-axis
 planes, differences in identifying the height of the apex, tricuspid valve, and pulmonary valve
 were noticeable (Figure 4). Endocardial borders were traced more toward the ventricular cavity
 on RT3DE images, especially when many trabeculae were present (Figure 5).



**Figure 3** Two examples of contour delination in mid short-axis views, showing different degrees of underestimation by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography.

# 21.

23.

#### Quantitative analysis

Global differences in RV volumes and EF were assessed by subtracting the RT3DE values24.from the CMR values (Table 3). The average global RV volume difference was  $23 \pm 26$  ml in25.end-diastole, and  $10 \pm 16$  ml in end-systole. In both cases, there was a statistically significant26.underestimation (P < 0.001). In contrast, RV EF was not different between the two techniques</td>27.with a bias of only  $2 \pm 6\%$ .28.

| Table 3. Global right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction |             |                                  |                                      |    |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----|
|   | CMR         | RT3DE                            | CMR – RT3DE                          | 30 |
| End-diastolic volume (ml)                                       | $234\pm88$  | $211\pm84$                       | $23.0\pm25.5^{\ast}$                 | 31 |
| End-systolic volume (ml)  | $123\pm 62$ | $113\pm55$                       | $\textbf{9.8} \pm \textbf{16.0}^{*}$ | 32 |
| Stroke volume (ml)  | $111\pm35$  | $98\pm37$                        | $13.2 \pm 21.9^{*}$                  | 33 |
| Ejection fraction (%)   | 49.3 ± 9.1  | $\textbf{47.4} \pm \textbf{8.4}$ | $1.9\pm 6.3$                         | 24 |

 $CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, RT3DE = real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography. Values are indicated as mean <math>\pm$  standard deviation.

\*Denotes statistically significant (*p*<0.05) underestimation by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography.

37

38.





31.

Figure 5 Delineation difficulties when many trabeculae is present.

By dividing the right ventricle into nine segments, we quantified the difference between RT3DE and CMR derived volumes for each RV segment (Table 4). The smaller RV volumes found by RT3DE were contributed mostly by the anterior segments in both end-diastole and end-systole. To evaluate which regions contribute most to regional volume differences, regardless of over or underestimation, we calculated the absolute regional volume differences as a percentage of the absolute volume differences. In accordance with the signed regional volume differences, the largest volume difference (46%) was located in the anterior segments (Table 5).

| Segment      | Apical                               | Mid   | Basal                             | Total                            |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| End-diastole |                                      |   |                                   |                                  |
| Anterior     | $11.3\pm10.0^{\ast}$                 | $5.9 \pm 12.3$                                | $\textbf{-2.6} \pm \textbf{10.6}$ | $14.6\pm19.9$                    |
| Lateral      | $-1.2 \pm 4.4$                       | $4.1\pm6.6^{\ast}$                            | $\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{12.8}$  | $\textbf{6.3} \pm \textbf{14.8}$ |
| Inferior     | $\textbf{6.0} \pm \textbf{5.5}^{*}$  | $\textbf{-3.7} \pm \textbf{4.9*}$             | $\textbf{-0.3}\pm\textbf{8.8}$    | $\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{12.3}$ |
| Total        | $16.3\pm13.7$                        | $\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{18.5}$              | $\textbf{0.6} \pm \textbf{23.6}$  | $23.0\pm25.5$                    |
| End-systole  |                                      |   |                                   |                                  |
| Anterior     | $\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{10.4}^{*}$ | $\textbf{8.8} \pm \textbf{8.7}^{*}$           | $\textbf{0.4}\pm\textbf{6.9}$     | $13.0\pm11.7$                    |
| Lateral      | $-1.2\pm2.3$                         | $\textbf{0.5}\pm\textbf{5.1}$                 | $\textbf{3.9} \pm \textbf{10.4}$  | $\textbf{3.2}\pm\textbf{13.2}$   |
| Inferior     | $\textbf{0.3}\pm\textbf{3.8}$        | $\textbf{-5.7} \pm \textbf{5.6}^{\texttt{*}}$ | $-1.1 \pm 6.7$                    | $-6.4 \pm 12.0$                  |
| Total        | 3.0 ± 8.1                            | $\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{11.2}$              | $\textbf{3.3} \pm \textbf{16.5}$  | 9.8 ± 16.0                       |

Table 4. Signed right ventricular volume differences (ml) per segment

Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of signed regional volume differences (CMR – RT3DE).

\* Denotes statistically significant under- or overestimation of regional volume (P < 0.05).

| Seament | Apical | Mid | Basal |
|---------|--------|-----|-------|

Table 5 Absolute right ventricular volume differences (%) per segment

| Segment      | Apical                            | Mid                               | Basal                             | Total                             | 14 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| End-diastole |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   | 1. |
| Anterior     | $17.4\pm10.4$                     | $14.1\pm10.0$                     | $14.1\pm12.4$                     | $45.6\pm15.5$                     | 15 |
| Lateral      | $5.5\pm3.6$                       | $\textbf{9.0} \pm \textbf{5.5}$   | $12.0\pm11.0$                     | $\textbf{26.4} \pm \textbf{12.4}$ | 16 |
| Inferior     | $10.4\pm9.2$                      | $\textbf{7.4} \pm \textbf{6.1}$   | $10.2\pm 6.8$                     | $\textbf{27.9} \pm \textbf{13.2}$ | 17 |
| Total        | $\textbf{33.2} \pm \textbf{13.4}$ | $\textbf{30.5} \pm \textbf{13.8}$ | 36.3 ±15.1                        | 100                               | 18 |
| End-systole  |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   | 10 |
| Anterior     | $10.0\pm6.6$                      | $21.3 \pm 10.3$                   | $12.9\pm8.6$                      | 44.1 ± 12.8                       | 19 |
| Lateral      | $\textbf{4.7}\pm\textbf{3.0}$     | $\textbf{7.1} \pm \textbf{5.4}$   | $13.9\pm9.2$                      | $25.7 \pm 11.1$                   | 20 |
| Inferior     | $\textbf{6.1} \pm \textbf{5.3}$   | $12.6\pm7.7$                      | $11.6\pm7.4$                      | $\textbf{30.2} \pm \textbf{8.5}$  | 21 |
| Total        | $\textbf{20.7} \pm \textbf{8.6}$  | 40.9 ±9.6                         | $\textbf{38.3} \pm \textbf{10.2}$ | 100                               | 22 |

12.

23.

24. 25.

26. 27.

Mean ± standard deviation of signed regional volume differences (CMR – RT3DE).

\* Denotes statistically significant under- or overestimation of regional volume (P < 0.05).

#### DISCUSSION

In the current study we investigated the global and segmental RV volume differences between 28. RT3DE and CMR imaging in patients with tetralogy of Fallot using software that facilitates side-29. by-side comparison. The differences found for RV volumes by RT3DE and CMR imaging were 30. caused by several sources related to either the anatomical characteristics of the right ventricle 31. or technological limitations of the used techniques. Firstly, the RV anterior segments were 32. poorly visualized by RT3DE in most patients (Table 2). This is due to the retrosternal position 33. of the RV anterior wall that is difficult to image from a modified apical four-chamber view. 34. The anterior wall, including the RV outflow tract, could possibly be better visualized from a 35. parasternal short-axis view, but including the apex in the dataset from that view is impossible 36. in most instances. In children the subcostal view can be used to image the right ventricle, but 37. in adults this is complicated because of abdominal subcutaneous tissue. The poor visualization 38. of the RV anterior segments causes an important part of the intermodality discordance, namely 39.

46%. Lack of visualization of the anterior segments resulted generally in underestimation of regional volumes by RT3DE. However, this underestimation was consistent in both phases, so 2. that no statistically significant bias was found in EF. Secondly, definition of the correct short-axis plane containing the apex and pulmonary valve 4. using CMR imaging is difficult. The long-axis resolution in the short-axis datasets is inferior (7 to 10 mm) compared with the in-plane resolution (average 2 mm<sup>2</sup>). Moreover, the short-axis datasets are planned from the atrioventricular groove down to the apex, while the pulmonary 8. valve often is in a plane more superior to the atrioventricular groove. Consequently, the true 9. length of the RV outflow tract may not be optimally imaged. To overcome the analysis related problems by CMR imaging, the use of three-dimensional reconstruction software packages for CMR images were tested. Sugeng et al<sup>9</sup> compared RV volumes obtained by CMR imaging, RT3DE, and computed tomography with true volumes from a RV phantom. They found that 12. using the method of disc summation resulted in an overestimation of 20% of the true RV volumes, while the RV Function program adapted to CMR images, which is a three-dimensional 14. approach, resulted in the most accurate estimation of the true volume. The incorporation of information from the long-axis view, in addition to the short-axis views used by the disc summation method, has been suggested to improve the delineation of the complex RV anatomy.<sup>16</sup> 17. 18. Thirdly, there exist differences between RT3DE and CMR imaging in the visualization of trabeculae. In RT3DE, it is difficult to distinguish between the RV myocardium and the trabeculae, 19. because the inner border of the trabeculae responds more strongly to the ultrasound. As a 21. consequence, the endocardial contours are traced more inwards the RV cavity thereby excluding the trabeculae. CMR imaging provides images with clearer differentiation between the myocardium and trabeculae, because it has a favourable signal-to-noise ratio. This results in a sharply depicted endocardial contour where only the large trabeculae are visible and can be 24. excluded from the RV cavity. This discrepancy between exclusion of all trabeculae and part of 25. the RV cavity by RT3DE and exclusion of only a part of the trabeculae by CMR imaging contrib-27. utes to the RV volume difference between the two imaging modalities in all segments. In various studies, variables influencing the accuracy of left ventricular and RV volumes by RT3DE have been investigated.<sup>17-19</sup> In a phantom, Mor-Avi et al<sup>19</sup> found minimal changes in the position of the endocardial contours (1 mm) to result in significant differences in measured left ventricular volumes (11%) and consequently, imply larger inter-observer variability. RT3DEderived RV volume calculations based on disc summation of latex models derived from excised lamb hearts, were used to test the hypothesis that variables in RT3DE acquisition and off-line analysis would alter RV volume measurements.<sup>18</sup> Gain settings, size, and orientation of the cut 34. planes (either short-axis or long-axis) were found to affect the calculated RV volumes. Khoo et al<sup>7</sup> discussed the main culprits for the volume underestimation by RT3DE imaging compared with CMR imaging in patients with congenital heart disease. They hypothesized the poor endocardial border definition, limited size of the RT3DE imaging volume, and gain settings dur-

39. ing offline analysis to influence the calculated RV volumes. In the current study, we found the

visualization of the anterior segment being the main cause in regional RV volume differences, 1. mostly due to difficulties in imaging the anterior segments from the modified apical transducer 2. position. 3.

In addition, the software platforms that were used to calculate the RV volumes could pro-4. duce different volumes due to different tracing algorithms and available background models used to produce RV casts. The planes used to trace contours at the endocardial border for RT3DE images are an apical four-chamber view, a short-axis view and a coronal view, while for 7. CMR images, only the short-axis orientation is used to trace contours. The analysis software 8. used for RT3DE images is based on a semiautomated contour detection algorithm. This algo-9. rithm reconstructs a surface geometry from the dataset as a guide while searching for the true 10. 3-dimensional endocardial border along defined rays placed orthogonal to the vertices of the 11. surface geometry. In CMR imaging volumes are reconstructed from 2-dimensional images. A 12. uniform method for analysis, and more importantly, clear agreement on how to handle RT3DE 13. drop-outs and trabeculae, is needed to reduce the intertechnique discordance. 14.

In summary, echocardiography has practical advantages above CMR imaging in everyday 15. clinical practice. It is crucial to pay attention to correct visualization of the anterior wall for reliable RV volume assessment. Also, it would be of great importance to establish guidelines on 17. how to handle trabeculae, because their visualization on RT3DE and CMR images varies from 18. patient to patient. Such guidelines would ensure more uniform methodology and result in less 19. disparity between measurements performed by different operators in different hospitals. 20.

### 21.

- 22
- 23.

## 24.

The main sources of RV volume differences between RT3DE and CMR in patients with tetralogy25.of Fallot are the RV anterior region which is poorly visualized by RT3DE, the use of disc summa-26.tion by CMR imaging, and the visualization and management of trabeculae. The understanding27.of this intermodality discordance will help to implement RT3DE into clinical practice, assuming28.that consensus will be reached regarding a uniform methodology for contour delineation.29.

- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34.
- 35
- 06
- .....
- 57.
- 39.

CONCLUSIONS

- Kantor PF, Redington AN. Pathophysiology and management of heart failure in repaired congenital heart disease. Heart Fail Clin. 2010;6:497-506, ix.
- Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, Landzberg MJ, Walsh EP, Lock JE, et al. Ventricular size and function assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair. Heart. 2008;94:211-6.
- Murphy JG, Gersh BJ, Mair DD, Fuster V, McGoon MD, Ilstrup DM, et al. Long-term outcome in patients undergoing surgical repair of tetralogy of Fallot. N Engl J Med. 1993;329:593-9.
- Lai WW, Gauvreau K, Rivera ES, Saleeb S, Powell AJ, Geva T. Accuracy of guideline recommendations for two-dimensional quantification of the right ventricle by echocardiography. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008;24:691-8.
- Jiang L, Levine RA, Weyman AE. Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and Function. Echocardiography. 1997;14:189-206.
- van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al. Clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:134-40.
- Khoo NS, Young A, Occleshaw C, Cowan B, Zeng IS, Gentles TL. Assessments of right ventricular volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2009;22:1279-88.
- Leibundgut G, Rohner A, Grize L, Bernheim A, Kessel-Schaefer A, Bremerich J, et al. Dynamic assessment of right ventricular volumes and function by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: a comparison study with magnetic resonance imaging in 100 adult patients. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:116-26.
- Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Multimodality
   Comparison of Quantitative Volumetric Analysis of the Right Ventricle. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.
   2010;3:10-8.
- Nesser HJ, Tkalec W, Patel AR, Masani ND, Niel J, Markt B, et al. Quantitation of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction by three-dimensional echocardiography in patients: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging and radionuclide ventriculography. Echocardiography. 2006;23:666-80.
- Soliman OI, Krenning BJ, Geleijnse ML, Nemes A, van Geuns RJ, Baks T, et al. A comparison between QLAB and TomTec full volume reconstruction for real time three-dimensional echocardiographic quantification of left ventricular volumes. Echocardiography. 2007;24:967-74.
- Robbers-Visser D, Boersma E, Helbing WA. Normal biventricular function, volumes, and mass in children aged 8 to 17 years. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;29:552-9.
- Nemes A, Leung KY, van Burken G, van Stralen M, Bosch JG, Soliman OI, et al. Side-by-side viewing of anatomically aligned left ventricular segments in three-dimensional stress echocardiography.
   Echocardiography. 2009;26:189-95.
- Leung KYE, Van Stralen M, Nemes A, Voormolen MM, Van Burken G, Geleijnse ML, et al. Sparse Registration for Three-Dimensional Stress Echocardiography. IEEE Trans Med Imag. 2008;27:1568-79.
- Klein SS, Graham TP, Jr., Lorenz CH. Noninvasive delineation of normal right ventricular contractile motion with magnetic resonance imaging myocardial tagging. Ann Biomed Eng. 1998;26:756-63.
- Moroseos T, Mitsumori L, Kerwin WS, Sahn DJ, Helbing WA, Kilner PJ, et al. Comparison of Simpson's method and three-dimensional reconstruction for measurement of right ventricular volume in patients with complete or corrected transposition of the great arteries. Am J Cardiol. 2010;105:1603-9.
- Chukwu EO, Barasch E, Mihalatos DG, Katz A, Lachmann J, Han J, et al. Relative importance of errors in left ventricular quantitation by two-dimensional echocardiography: insights from three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:990-7.
- 39.

| 18. | Hoch M, Vasilyev NV, Soriano B, Gauvreau K, Marx GR. Variables influencing the accuracy of right ventricular volume assessment by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography: an in vitro validation | 1.  |
|-----|--|-----|
| 10  | study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:456-61.  | 2.  |
| 19. | diographic quantification of left ventricular volumes: multicenter study for validation with magnetic  | 3.  |
|     | resonance imaging and investigation of sources of error. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008;1:413-23.   | 4.  |
|     |  | 5.  |
|     |  | б.  |
|     |  | 7.  |
|     |  | 8.  |
|     |  | 9.  |
|     |  | 10. |
|     |  | 11. |
|     |  | 12. |
|     |  | 13. |
|     |  | 14. |
|     |  | 15. |
|     |  | 10. |
|     |  | 17. |
|     |  | 10. |
|     |  | 20  |
|     |  | 21. |
|     |  | 22. |
|     |  | 23. |
|     |  | 24. |
|     |  | 25. |
|     |  | 26. |
|     |  | 27. |
|     |  | 28. |
|     |  | 29. |
|     |  | 30. |
|     |  | 31. |
|     |  | 32. |
|     |  | 33. |
|     |  | 34. |
|     |  | 35. |
|     |  | 36. |
|     |  | 37. |
|     |  | 38. |
|     |  | 39. |

Chapter 8



# Chapter 9

Right ventricular visualization and quantification using contrast-enhanced real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

iii.

Address of the little

analy in the

4.16. 2.

the standing logical

ha ra

water fit an

the make apparents

and the state of

alua di

1812

in the latter

100

H.B. van der Zwaan F. Gommans M.L. Geleijnse J.S. McGhie F.J. Meijboom A.P. van Dijk J.W. Roos-Hesselink

12.8

Submitted for publication

#### ABSTRACT

Background.Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) can be used for right3.ventricular (RV) assessment.Proper endocardial border definition is a prerequisite for reliable4.assessment.We investigated the potential incremental value of using contrast on RV visualiza-5.tion and RV volume measurements by RT3DE.6.

Methods. A total of 45 healthy participants (28 ± 8 years; 96% men) underwent non contrast-<br/>enhanced and contrast-enhanced RT3DE to evaluate global RV systolic function. A 17-segment8.RV model was used to grade the endocardial border definition as follows: 0, not visible; 1, barely<br/>visible; 2, visible; and 3, optimal. Three image-quality groups (good, fair, and uninterpretable)11.were identified. RV volumes and ejection fraction were obtained using semiautomated three-<br/>dimensional border detection software.13.

**Results.** The number of RV segments with optimal visualization of the endocardial border 15. increased from 36% to 44% (P <0.001) during contrast-enhanced RT3DE, compared with non 16. contrast-enhanced RT3DE. The number of participants with a good-quality echocardiogram 17. increased from 18% to 42% (P = 0.009). Non contrast-enhanced RT3DE provided significantly 18. higher values of RV end-diastolic- and end-systolic volumes as compared with contrast- 19. enhanced RT3DE (86 ± 13 ml versus 79 ± 11 ml and 41 ± 7 ml versus 36 ± 5 ml, P < 0.001). Using 20. contrast did not significantly improve the intra-observer variability. 21.

22

1. 2.

7.

14.

Conclusions. Even though contrast-enhanced RT3DE improved the RV endocardial contour23.definition of the RV anterior and lateral walls as compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE,24.the definition of the inferior wall and RV outflow tract appeared worse. Furthermore, smaller RV25.volumes were found using contrast-enhanced RT3DE. To make clinical application of contrast-26.enhanced RT3DE for RV assessment possible, the image quality of contrast-enhanced images27.needs to improve.28.

29.

20

2.1

30

33

- 35.
- 36.
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.

#### INTRODUCTION

2.

Right ventricular (RV) function assessment is important in a variety of disease states such as left sided heart failure, pulmonary hypertension and several congenital heart lesions.<sup>1</sup> Cardiac 4 magnetic resonance imaging is the reference technique for the assessment of RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF).<sup>2</sup> In clinical practice, two-dimensional echocardiography is widely used to obtain measurements on RV size and function, but it is operator dependent as it requires multiple, successive acquisitions.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, RV volumes and EF cannot easily be obtained 8. 9. by two-dimensional echocardiography, since geometric assumptions are needed and often inadequate.<sup>4</sup> With the introduction of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) volumetric RV measurements can be acquired, since there is no longer a need of geometric modeling. Furthermore, using RT3DE improved the accuracy and reproducibility of RV assess-12. ment <sup>5, 6</sup> and identified RV dysfunction accurately.<sup>7</sup> Despite significant improvements in 13. ultrasound technology, endocardial border definition during echocardiography is still limited 14. in about 20% of routine echocardiographic examinations.<sup>8,9</sup> The right ventricle contains abundant trabeculae and the definition of the endocardial border is most problematic in end-systole as the trabeculae are densely packed in this phase of the cardiac cycle. 18. Left ventricular quantification by RT3DE using echo contrast resulted in a more accurate assessment of left ventricular function as well as lower inter- and intra-observer variability 19. compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE.9-11 Using echo contrast combined with RT3DE to improve the endocardial border visualization of the RV has not been investigated so far. Our 21.

22. aim was to study the potential incremental value of using echo contrast on RV endocardial

23. border visualization, RV volume measurements, and variability using RT3DE.

24.

### 26. METHODS

27.

28. Study population

 A total of 45 healthy participants in sinus rhythm were included, who were employees of the university or the hospital in Nijmegen (n = 40) or Rotterdam (n = 5). All participants underwent non contrast-enhanced, and afterwards, contrast-enhanced ull-volume RT3DE examinations.
 Participants were enrolled consecutively and not selected based on image quality. Participants were eligible for inclusion in the study if they had no medical history or current symptoms suggestive of cardiovascular disease, including hypertension or a systemic illness with a potential cardiovascular component such as diabetes or thyroid disease. Participants taking any cardiovascular medications were excluded from the study. In all included participants heart rate was measured and they underwent physical examinations and a routine two-dimensional echocardiogram to exclude cardiac abnormalities.

The medical ethical committee approved the study and written informed consent was 1. obtained from all participants. 2.

3.

4.

24.

#### Echocardiographic acquisition

RT3DE harmonic imaging was performed using the iE33 ultrasound system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with an X3-1 matrix array transducer with the patient in the left lateral decubitus position. A full-volume scan from four to seven R-wave gated sub-7. volumes during a single end-expiratory breath-hold was acquired from a (modified) apical four-8. chamber position. The depth and angle of the ultrasound sector were adjusted to a minimal 9. level still encompassing the right ventricle. Each image was optimized for endocardial border 10. visualization by modifying time gain and compression and then the overall gain was slightly 11. increased before the acquisition. After non contrast-enhanced image acquisition, imaging was 12. repeated with intravenous infusion of SonoVue contrast agent (Bracco, Milan, Italy). SonoVue 13. was prepared according to the manufacturers' recommendation by mixing with 5 ml saline. A 14. bolus injection of 0.8 ml was slowly injected in a peripheral vein and flushed with saline. Imaging 15. was performed using the machines' integrated contrast preset function in harmonic mode at a 16. low mechanical index (0.24), and care was taken to record the images at a phase when the con-17. trast agent flow was relatively stable with absent or minimal attenuation close to the septum. 18.

The mean volume rate was  $15 \pm 5$  frames per cardiac cycle (range 10 - 33) for non contrastenhanced images and  $12 \pm 3$  frames per cardiac cycle (range 9 - 20) for contrast-enhanced 20. images. The datasets were digitally exported to a QLAB server (Philips Medical Systems, Best, 21. The Netherlands) and a TomTec server (TomTec Imaging Systems, Unterschleissheim, Germany) 22. connected to a terminal workstation for further analyses. 23.

#### Echocardiographic analysis

Analyses of the digital RV RT3DE data sets were performed on a QLAB workstation using the26.multi-plane reconstruction viewer (3DQ-Advanced version 8.0; Philips Medical Systems) and27.the four-dimensional RV Function program version 1.2.28.

#### Endocardial border definition

Qualitative assessment of the endocardial border was performed in both non contrastenhanced and contrast-enhanced images. A 17-segment model was used <sup>12</sup> (Figure 1). The endocardial border was judged by displaying three RV short-axis views (at basal, medial and apical level), a modified four-chamber view and a coronal view derived from the RT3DE datasets. Two experienced observers (H.B.Z. and J.S.M.) analyzed the endocardial border definition of the aforementioned image planes in a dynamic format and on an ordinal scale: 0, not visible; 1, barely visible (myocardial boundaries undefined); 2, visible (endocardial boundaries defined) and 3, optimal (excellent delineation of the endocardial border).<sup>12</sup> A global endocardial visualization score was calculated as the sum of each RV segment's score.



16. Figure 1 A 17-segment model of the right ventricle showing the parasternal short-axis images recorded
at 3 equivalent levels (basal, medial, apical), the apical four-chamber view and the coronal view. Right
ventricular segments, short-axis view: 1, anterobasal; 2, laterobasal; 3, inferobasal; 5, anteromedial; 6,
lateromedial; 7, inferomedial; 9, anteroapical; 10, lateroapical; 11, inferoapical. Four-chamber view: 13,
four-chamber laterobasal; 14, lateromedial; 15, lateroapical. Coronal view: 17, right ventricular outflow
tract. Interventricular septum: 4, basal septum; 8, medial septum; 12, apical septum and 16, four-chamber
septum.

22.

23. On the basis of the global score, 3 image quality groups were defined: good (37-51), fair 24. (22-37) and uninterpretable ( $\leq$  21) Uninterpretable echocardiograms were considered non-25. diagnostic, and further analysis of RV volumes and EF was deemed not feasible.

26.

27. Right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction analysis

28. With the RV Function program, three-dimensional semiautomated border detection of RV 29. volumes was performed. The program uses a physics-based modeling algorithm without 30. assumptions regarding RV geometry. The working of the RV Function program is reported in 31. detail elsewhere.<sup>6</sup> In short, the end-diastolic and end-systolic phases are identified. Endocar-32. dial border contours are drawn onto still frames of the apical four-chamber view, short-axis 33. view, and coronal view in both phases (Figure 2). Once these contours have been traced, the 34. software automatically delineates the RV endocardial border from the end-diastolic and end-35. systolic phases and by sequential analysis creates a RV mathematic dynamic three-dimensional 36. endocardial surface that represents the changes in the RV cavity over the cardiac cycle. From 37. this three-dimensional endocardial surface, global RV volumes and EF are calculated. The 38. non contrast-enhanced images were analyzed blindly and at least one month apart from the 39. contrast-enhanced images to prevent influencing of the consecutive measurements.



enhanced RT3DE (B) in a 38 years-old female. Display from the four-dimensional RV function analysis program showing the final stage of contour detection in which manual correction of the contours can be applied in any cross-section or phase of the cardiac cycle.

14.

16.

18.

34.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 15.0 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Categori-19.cal data are summarized as numbers and percentages. Continuous data are presented as mean20.± SD.21.

The agreement between non-contrast enhanced- and contrast-enhanced RT3DE measure-22.ments was evaluated using Bland-Altman analysis by calculating the bias (mean difference) and23.the 95% limits of agreement (two SDs around the mean difference).13 The paired Student's t test24.was used to analyze the significance of the differences in RV volumes and EF and the variability25.of the measurements between non contrast-enhanced data versus contrast-enhanced data.26.

The reproducibility of the non contrast-enhanced and contrast-enhanced measurements 27. was evaluated in 16 randomly selected participants. We expressed the intra-observer variability 28. by the coefficient of variation, which is defined as the standard deviation of the difference 29. between the two readings divided by their mean value, times 100. All statistical tests were twosided, and a P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. 31.

# RESULTS

All 45 participants completed the imaging protocol without any adverse events occurring during contrast infusion. Because of insufficient image quality of the non contrast-enhanced and/ or contrast enhanced RT3DE (endocardial contour definition score  $\leq$ 21), 13 participants were excluded from RV volumes and EF analysis. The mean age of the participants was 28 ± 8 years; 39.

- 1. 96% was male. The mean heart rate was  $65 \pm 13$  beats per minute. The mean body surface area
- 2. was  $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ m}^2$ . The total infusion dose of contrast was on average 0.8 ml.
- 3.
- 4. Right ventricular endocardial border definition
- 5. During non contrast-enhanced RT3DE, from the total number of 765 RV segments, the endo-
- 6. cardial border was invisible in 201 (26%) and barely visible in 140 (18%). In 279 (36%) the endo-
- 7. cardial border was visualized optimally (Table 1). The mean global endocardial visualization
- 8. score was  $28 \pm 11$ . A total of 8 (18%) patients had a good quality echocardiogram, whereas 24

9. (53%) and 13 (29%) had a fair quality or uninterpretable echocardiogram, respectively.

10

 
 Table 1. Right ventricular endocardial border definition: non contrast-enhanced versus contrastenhanced real-time three-dimensional echocardiography

| 12. |                             | Non contrast-enhanced images | Contrast-enhanced images | P-value |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| 13. | Overall segments (n = 765)  |                              |                          | <0.001  |
| 14. | Invisible                   | 201 (26%)                    | 166 (22%)                |         |
| 15  | Barely visible              | 140 (18%)                    | 120 (16%)                |         |
| 10. | Visible                     | 145 (19%)                    | 143 (19%)                |         |
| 16. | Optimal                     | 279 (36%)                    | 336 (44%)                |         |
| 17. | Anterior segments (n = 135) |                              |                          | <0.001  |
| 18. | Invisible                   | 102 (76%)                    | 63 (47%)                 |         |
| 10  | Barely visible              | 18 (13%)                     | 23 (17%)                 |         |
| 12. | Visible                     | 11 (8%)                      | 24 (18%)                 |         |
| 20. | Optimal                     | 4 (3%)                       | 25 (19%)                 |         |
| 21. | Outflow tract ( $n = 45$ )  |                              |                          | 0.013   |
| 22. | Invisible                   | 33 (73%)                     | 44 (98%)                 |         |
| 23  | Barely visible              | 9 (20%)                      | 1 (2%)                   |         |
| 25. | Visible                     | 3 (7%)                       | 0 (0%)                   |         |
| 24. | Optimal                     | 0 (0%)                       | 0 (0%)                   |         |
| 25. | Lateral segments (n = 270)  |                              |                          | <0.001  |
| 26. | Invisible                   | 57 (21%)                     | 44 (16%)                 |         |
| 27  | Barely visible              | 64 (24%)                     | 49 (18%)                 |         |
| 27. | Visible                     | 77 (29%)                     | 51 (19%)                 |         |
| 28. | Optimal                     | 72 (27%)                     | 126 (47%)                |         |
| 29. | Inferior segments (n = 135) |                              |                          | 0.004   |
| 30. | Invisible                   | 5 (4%)                       | 11 (8%)                  |         |
| 31  | Barely visible              | 27 (20%)                     | 28 (21%)                 |         |
| 22  | Visible                     | 18 (13%)                     | 31 (23%)                 |         |
| 32. | Optimal                     | 85 (63%)                     | 65 (48%)                 |         |
| 33. | Septal segments (n = 180)   |                              |                          | 0.37    |
| 34. | Invisible                   | 4 (2%)                       | 4 (2%)                   |         |
| 35  | Barely visible              | 22 (12%)                     | 19 (11%)                 |         |
| 20. | Visible                     | 36 (20%)                     | 37 (21%)                 |         |
| 36. | Optimal                     | 118 (66%)                    | 120 (67%)                |         |

<sup>37.</sup> The quality of the endocardial border visibility of the real-time three-dimensional echo datasets

38. judged in a dynamic format: 0, not visible; 1, barely visible (myocardial boundaries undefined); 2, visible

(endocardial boundaries defined) and 3, optimal (excellent delineation of the endocardial border).

During contrast-enhanced RT3DE, optimal visualization of the border was possible in 3361.(44%) segments (P< 0.001 versus non contrast-enhanced RT3DE) (Table 1). The RV endocardial</td>2.border definition significantly improved in the anterior and lateral wall segments. As compared3.with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE, the mean global endocardial visualization score improved4.to 31  $\pm$  13 (P 0.009). A total of 19 (42%) patients had a good quality echocardiogram, whereas 155.(33%) had a fair quality and 11 (24%) an uninterpretable echocardiogram. An example of non6.contrast-enhanced RT3DE and contrast-enhanced RT3DE in the same participant is depicted7.in Figure 2.8.

#### Right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction

Non contrast-enhanced RT3DE provided significantly higher RV end-diastolic volumes compared with contrast-enhanced RT3DE (86 ± 13 ml versus 79 ± 11 ml, P < 0.001) (Table 2, Figure 12. 3). The RV end-systolic volumes by non contrast-enhanced RT3DE were also higher (41 ± 7 ml 13. versus 36 ± 5 ml, P < 0.001). Accordingly, the values of RV EF were not different between the two 14. techniques (53 ± 4% versus 54 ± 4%, P = 0.091). 15.

**Table 2.** Right ventricular volumetric measurements: non contrast-enhanced versus contrast-enhanced16.17.17.

|   | Non contrast-enhanced | Contrast-enhanced | P-value* | 1  |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|----|
| End-diastolic volume (ml)                   | $168 \pm 30$          | $154 \pm 24$      | <0.001   | 19 |
| End-systolic volume (ml)                    | 79 ± 17               | 71 ± 12           | < 0.001  | 2  |
| Stroke volume (ml)                          | 88 ± 15               | $84 \pm 15$       | 0.072    |    |
| Ejection fraction (%)                       | 53 ± 4                | $54 \pm 4$        | 0.091    | 2  |
|   |                       |                   |          | 2  |
| Normalized to body surface area             |                       |                   |          |    |
| End-diastolic volume (ml / m <sup>2</sup> ) | 86 ± 13               | 79 ± 11           | <0.001   | 2. |
| End-systolic volume (ml / m <sup>2</sup> )  | 41 ± 7                | 36 ± 5            | <0.001   | 24 |
| Stroke volume (ml / m²)                     | 45 ± 7                | 43 ± 7            | 0.083    | 2  |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD. \*P-value derived from a paired Student's t test.

Bland-Altman analysis for non contrast-enhanced versus contrast-enhanced RV volumes 28. and EF by RT3DE are displayed in Figure 4. Bland-Altman analysis showed mean differences of 29. 19 ml for end-diastolic volume, 11 ml for end-systolic volume, and -1% for EF with 95% limits of 30. agreement of  $\pm$  23 ml for end-diastolic volume,  $\pm$  12 ml for end-systolic volume, and  $\pm$  4% for 31. EF (Figure 4). 32.

#### ~ ~

9.

34.

#### Variability measurements

The intra-observer variability measurements of the non contrast versus contrast-enhanced35.RT3DE measurements are displayed in Table 3. The use of contrast resulted in lower variability36.measurements for end-diastolic volume measurements ( $19 \pm 13$  versus  $11 \pm 10$ , P 0.039). Using37.contrast showed no effect on the variability of the other measurements.38.



end-diastolic volume (top left), end-systolic volume (bottom left), stroke volume (top right), and ejection
 fraction (bottom right) by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography.

|                           |                             |                             |                             |                             | _ |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
|                           | Non contrast- enhanced      |                             | Contrast- enhanced          |                             |   |
|                           | Absolute mean<br>difference | Coefficient of<br>variation | Absolute mean<br>difference | Coefficient of<br>variation |   |
| End-diastolic volume (ml) | 19 ± 13                     | 7                           | 11 ± 10                     | 6                           |   |
| End-systolic volume (ml)  | 9 ± 6                       | 7                           | $5 \pm 4$                   | 5                           |   |
| Stroke volume (ml)        | $12 \pm 9$                  | 10                          | 11 ± 8                      | 10                          |   |
| Ejection fraction (%)     | 3 ± 3                       | 5                           | 4 ± 2                       | 4                           |   |

 
 Table 3. Intra-observer variability measurements: non contrast-enhanced versus contrast-enhanced realtime three-dimensional echocardiography

Coefficients of variation represent the SD of the difference between two measurements divided by the mean of the measurements, expressed as a percentage.

### DISCUSSION

The current study shows that, among unselected healthy participants, contrast-enhanced 13. RT3DE improved the endocardial contour definition of the right ventricle, especially of the RV 14. anterior and lateral walls, compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE. On the other hand, 15. the RV outflow tract and inferior wall appeared worse compared with non contrast-enhanced 16. RT3DE. Furthermore, smaller RV volumes were found when using contrast-enhanced RT3DE 17. compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE. The intra-observer variability improved for 18. end-diastolic volume measurements based on contrast-enhanced RT3DE compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE, but the variability was not different for the other variability measurements. 21.

Proper endocardial contour delineation is essential for accurate and reproducible RV measurements. A good signal-to-noise ratio adds in delineating endocardial border contours. One of the big advantages of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, the reference technique for RV volumes and EF assessment, is the favorable signal-to-noise ratio. Using contrast to enhance RV cavity opacification, will add to the signal-to-noise ratio in echocardiographic images. In the harmonic setting of an ultrasound machine, the machine "filters" out all returning fundamental frequencies from the tissue and by that the microbubble harmonic signal is enhanced. Therefore, second harmonic detection systems improve the signal-to-noise ratio of contrast images and contrast intensity. In addition, harmonic imaging helps to reduce artifacts and shadowing effects and enhances detection of contrast in areas of low microbubble concentration.<sup>9</sup>

The anterior wall and the RV outflow tract are the most challenging parts of the right ventricle 32. to image using echocardiography. The retrosternal position of these RV walls is responsible for 33. the suboptimal visualization by echocardiography besides their location in the far field of the 34. ultrasound transducer when imaging from a modified or RV focused four-chamber view. As a 35. consequence, the delineation of the endocardial contours is difficult in the anterior wall and RV 36. outflow tract. In the current study we found a clear improvement in the endocardial contour 37. definition of the anterior wall, but the definition of the RV outflow tract was poorer. These 38.

39.

8.

9.
regional differences in endocardial border visualization may be attributed to their anatomical
 position, fiber orientation, and beam interception.
 The RV volumes by contrast-enhanced RT3DE were found to be smaller than the RV vol umes by non contrast-enhanced RT3DE. This will result in a larger bias compared with cardiac
 magnetic resonance imaging-derived RV volumes, since the reported RV volumes found by

cardiac magnetic resonance imaging were larger than for RT3DE.<sup>6, 14</sup> Initially, this may look
 paradoxical, because it would be expected that using contrast would lead to opacification of

8. the intertrabecular spaces, resulting in larger RV volumes. The following factors made their

9. contribution to our findings and should be considered when using contrast-enhanced RT3DE.

10. Firstly, the definition of the tricuspid annular plane is difficult in contrast-enhanced images,

11. because not only the RV lumen is opacified with contrast, but also the lumen of the right atrium.

12. Therefore, it is not as straightforward as in non contrast-enhanced images to define the level

13. of the tricuspid valve and this may lead to uncertainty during RV analysis. Secondly, specific,

14. integrated imaging functions are used to improve the detection of ultrasound contrast agents

15. such as power modulation, which is integrated in preset functions on the ultrasound machine.

16. In power modulation, a multipulse technique is used whereby the acoustic amplitude of the

17. transmitted pulses is changed. Although this method improves contrast imaging quality, this

18. function nearly halves the frame rate.<sup>10, 15</sup> Because the RT3DE volume rates are already lower as

19. compared with conventional two-dimensional echocardiography, this is an undesirable effect.

20. The datasets we included only had  $12 \pm 3$  frames per cardiac cycle and this has affected the cal-21. culated RV volumes. Thirdly, in most datasets, the RV outflow tract was obliterated by a shadow.

culated RV volumes. Thirdly, in most datasets, the RV outflow tract was obliterated by a shadow.
 Most probably this was caused by attenuation from contrast within the left ventricular cavity.

23. Using agitated saline could possibly improve this issue, because this contrast agent does not

pass the pulmonary circulation because of the large sized microbubbles that live shortly and
 rapidly diffuse. Furthermore, no distinction of the RV inflow portion, the left ventricular outflow

26. portion, and the right ventricular outflow portion could made, while this is a key landmark

27. in the analysis of the RT3DE datasets. Additionally, by leaving the integrated contrast preset

functions and by adjusting resolution and penetration, a better definition of the RV outflow
 tract may be achieved.

30. The feasibility that we reported in this study, 71%, is lower than what we published before 31. in patients with a variety of congenital heart defects.<sup>6</sup> This may be caused by the following 32. factors. Most likely the poorer feasibility in healthy controls can be attributed to the fact that 33. echocardiography of a normal right ventricle is limited by the retrosternal position of this heart 34. chamber. The normal-sized right ventricle lies mainly directly behind the sternum. In contrast, 35. in the diseased right ventricle, which in most cases is dilated, only a small area of the right 36. ventricle is behind the sternum, i.e. the RV anterior wall. In addition, most of the healthy partici-37. pants were included in a hospital different from the patients with congenital heart defects of 38. our previous study. Various levels of experience of the sonographers may have influenced the

39. image quality of the RT3DE datasets.

Regarding the clinical applications of contrast ultrasound imaging for RV assessment, the 1. American Society of Echocardiography stated that commercially available contrast agents 2. have been used successfully and safely to show various abnormalities of RV morphology.<sup>16</sup> 3. Furthermore, they pointed out that contrast agents can be helpful in the endocardial border 4. definition of geometrically unusual chambers, such as repaired tetralogy of Fallot or systemic right ventricles, thereby aiding in function assessment. An example of the latter application is provided by Van den Bosch et al<sup>12</sup> who investigated 10 patients with tetralogy of Fallot and 7. 10 patients with systemic right ventricles by two-dimensional echocardiography. They con-8. cluded contrast-enhanced echocardiography to be superior to conventional two-dimensional 9. echocardiography for RV endocardial border definition and the potential for a more accurate 10. assessment of RV dimensions and function.

#### **Clinical perspectives**

12.

RV imaging using echo contrast agents requires a slow injection of the contrast media in order 14. to avoid attenuation artifacts, and also requires optimizing the transducer position for visual-15. izing the RV.<sup>17</sup> Furthermore, specific preset functions on the ultrasound machine should be 16. applied and even modified to improve the endocardial border definition in all RV segments. At 17. this moment, the image quality of contrast-enhanced RT3DE datasets prevents this technique 18. to be applied in clinical practice. With advances in the RT3DE ultrasound system, it may be 19. expected that contrast-enhanced RT3DE can be used to visualize cardiac morphology and to 20. enhance RV volume and EF assessment. Another future development may be the fusion of 21. various datasets to improve image resolution. Because non contrast-enhanced and contrast 22. enhanced datasets appear to be complementary to each other, fusion of these datasets may 23. result in the best possible definition of RV images by RT3DE.<sup>18</sup> 24.

#### Study limitations

The study participants did not undergo cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, the reference 27. technique for RV volume and EF assessment.<sup>19</sup> Therefore, data on the accuracy of contrast-28. enhanced RT3DE could not be provided. However, various studies have been published on 29. the agreement of RV volumes and EF by RT3DE versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.<sup>6</sup>, 30. <sup>14, 20-22</sup> RV volumes obtained by RT3DE were found to be smaller compared with the refer-31. ence technique. In the current study, our aim was not to study accuracy, but to establish the 32. additional value on RV border delineation and to find possible differences in RV volumes using 33. contrast-enhanced versus non contract-enhanced RT3DE. 34.

26.

- 39.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

2.

3. Even though contrast-enhanced RT3DE improved the RV endocardial contour definition of the

- 4. RV anterior and lateral walls as compared with non contrast-enhanced RT3DE, the definition
- 5. of the inferior wall and RV outflow tract appeared worse. Furthermore, smaller RV volumes
- 6. were found using contrast-enhanced RT3DE. To make clinical application of contrast-enhanced
- 7. RT3DE for RV assessment possible, the image quality of contrast-enhanced images needs to
- 8. improve.
- 9.
- 10
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 24.
- 25
- 26
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33
- 34.
- 35
- 36
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.

- 1. Haddad F, Doyle R, Murphy DJ, Hunt SA. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease, part II: pathophysiology, clinical importance, and management of right ventricular failure. Circulation. 2. 2008:117:1717-31. 2. Kilner PJ, Geva T, Kaemmerer H, Trindade PT, Schwitter J, Webb GD. Recommendations for cardio-3. vascular magnetic resonance in adults with congenital heart disease from the respective working 4. groups of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2010;31:794-805. 3. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, et al. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch 7. of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc 8. Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685-713; guiz 86-8. 4. Jiang L, Levine RA, Weyman AE. Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and 9. Function. Echocardiography. 1997;14:189-206. 5. Jenkins C, Chan J, Bricknell K, Strudwick M, Marwick TH. Reproducibility of right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac MRI. Chest. 2007;131:1844-51. 6. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al. 13. Clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification 14. in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging, J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:134-40. 7. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Boersma E, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Soliman OI, et al. Usefulness of 16. real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients 17. with congenital heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:843-50. 18. 8. Mor-Avi V, Sugeng L, Lindner JR. Imaging the forgotten chamber: is the devil in the boundary? J Am 19. Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:141-3. 9. Soliman OI, De Jong N, Van Der Zwaan HB, Galema TW, Vletter WB, Van Dalen BM, et al. Contrast 20. echocardiography: mechanism of action, safety and clinical applications. Minerva Cardioangiol. 21. 2010;58:343-55. 10. Krenning BJ, Kirschbaum SW, Soliman OI, Nemes A, van Geuns RJ, Vletter WB, et al. Comparison of 22. contrast agent-enhanced versus non-contrast agent-enhanced real-time three-dimensional echo-23. cardiography for analysis of left ventricular systolic function. Am J Cardiol. 2007;100:1485-9. 24. 11. Nemes A, Geleijnse ML, Krenning BJ, Soliman OI, Anwar AM, Vletter WB, et al. Usefulness of ultrasound contrast agent to improve image quality during real-time three-dimensional stress echocardiography. Am J Cardiol. 2007;99:275-8. 12. van den Bosch AE, Meijboom FJ, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Ten Cate FJ, Roelandt JR. Enhanced 27. visualisation of the right ventricle by contrast echocardiography in congenital heart disease. Eur J 28 Echocardiogr. 2004;5:104-10. 13. Bland JM, Altman DG. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical <sup>29</sup>. measurement. Lancet. 1986;1:307-10. 14. Shimada YJ, Shiota M, Siegel RJ, Shiota T. Accuracy of right ventricular volumes and function deter-31. mined by three-dimensional echocardiography in comparison with magnetic resonance imaging: a meta-analysis study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:943-53. 15. Krenning BJ, Vletter WB, Nemes A, Geleijnse ML, Roelandt JR. Real-time 3-dimensional contrast stress 33. echocardiography: a bridge too far? J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:1224-5. 34. 16. Mulvagh SL, Rakowski H, Vannan MA, Abdelmoneim SS, Becher H, Bierig SM, et al. American Society of Echocardiography Consensus Statement on the Clinical Applications of Ultrasonic Contrast Agents in Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:1179-201; quiz 281. 17. Mangion JR. Right ventricular imaging by two-dimensional and three-dimensional echocardiogra- 37. phy. Curr Opin Cardiol. 2010;22:423-9.
- Chapter 9

39

| 1.        | 18. | Szmigielski C, Rajpoot K, Grau V, Myerson SG, Holloway C, Noble JA, et al. Real-time 3D fusion echo-<br>cardiography. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:682-90. |
|-----------|-----|---|
| 2.        | 19. | Endorsed by the Association for European Paediatric C, Authors/Task Force M, Baumgartner H, Bon-  |
| 3.        |     | hoeffer P, De Groot NM, de Haan F, et al. ESC Guidelines for the management of grown-up congenital  |
| 4.        |     | Disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2010.   |
| 5.        | 20. | Grewal J, Majdalany D, Syed I, Pellikka P, Warnes CA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assess-   |
| 6.        |     | ment of right ventricular volume and function in adult patients with congenital heart disease:  |
| 7.        | 21. | Iriart X, Montaudon M, Lafitte S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach T, et al. Right ventricle three-dimensional   |
| 8.        |     | echography in corrected tetralogy of fallot: accuracy and variability. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009;10:784-   |
| 9.        | 22  | 92.<br>Khoo NS Young A. Ocelechaw C. Cowan P. Zong IS Contles TL. Accessments of right ventricular  |
| 10.       | 22. | volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with  |
| 11.<br>12 |     | congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-   |
| 12.       |     | ulogi. 2009,22.127 7-66.  |
| 14.       |     |   |
| 15.       |     |   |
| 16.       |     |   |
| 17.       |     |   |
| 18.       |     |   |
| 19.       |     |   |
| 20.       |     |   |
| 21.       |     |   |
| 22.       |     |   |
| 23.       |     |   |
| 24.       |     |   |
| 25.       |     |   |
| 26.       |     |   |
| 27.       |     |   |
| 28.       |     |   |
| 29.       |     |   |
| 30.       |     |   |
| 32        |     |   |
| 33.       |     |   |
| 34.       |     |   |
| 35.       |     |   |
| 36.       |     |   |
| 37.       |     |   |
| 38.       |     |   |
| 39.       |     |   |



### Part 4

stales a series 2. 2.5

----

an and a second and a second and a second a se

at an owner of a go

encode aparage la

many will be in some up

to be stall parties of

my to realize m

and allow manufactor

hornsanger in

### General discussion and summary

and the



# Chapter 10

General discussion

a) 'see of entree we all see ( a)

against have a site a series if a

despersoners allowangers address The test mendulary maringed to attend allo another mentals

you with apparent the frank

L'unter bar dersenation

na Pizza

One of the opinion leaders in the field of right ventricular (RV) function, Andrew Redington, once defined easily applicable, real-time, three-dimensional assessment of RV volumes as the 2. "holy grail" in RV imaging.<sup>1</sup> The quest for this grail has by no means ended, but with the studies summarized in this thesis we hope that some steps in the right direction are made. A number 4. of important requirements in RV assessment are fulfilled using the currently available real-time three-dimensional (3D) echocardiography (echo) system. In this last chapter, we will summarize our data on RV assessment using real-time 3D echo, discuss the outcomes against the 8. background of the published literature, and comment on its present strengths and limitations. 9. Insights on regional RV function provided by echocardiographic techniques and stress testing will be highlighted. Thereafter, new developments in RV guantification using cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging will be discussed as well as the place of computed tomography for this purpose. The prognostic implications of imaging findings will be mentioned and expected 12. future directions for RV imaging will be discussed. 14.

#### **RIGHT VENTRICULAR IMAGING** 16

17.

18. Most patients with congenital heart defects currently survive into adulthood. The operations performed for the more complex congenital heart lesions are rarely entirely curative.<sup>2</sup> Residual 19. lesions or sequelae are the rule. The severity and hemodynamic impact may change over time, 21. which implies that lifelong follow-up is generally required to optimize the quality and span of life.<sup>3, 4</sup> Heart failure in patients with congenital heart disease is often predominantly a problem of the right ventricle. Especially in patients with systemic right ventricles and tetralogy of Fallot, RV dysfunction can develop.<sup>5,6</sup> Four imaging modalities are at present available for RV imaging: 24. echocardiography, CMR imaging, radionuclide angiography, and computed tomography. For 25. understanding of the next paragraphs, it is necessary to know that CMR imaging is considered 27. the clinical standard to evaluate RV function, because it reliably quantifies RV volumes and ejection fraction (EF) when used in a standardized way. The calculation of RV volumes and EF using 28. CMR imaging is based on the disc summation method. A stack of short-axis slices are created from the tricuspid valve down to the apex. Manual tracing of the contours in each end-diastolic and end-systolic slice results in end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes from which EF can be derived. Echocardiography 34.

Echocardiography remains the first line cardiovascular imaging modality in patients with congenital heart disease, although suboptimal acoustic access can be problematic after previous

cardiovascular surgery.<sup>7</sup> Numerous echocardiographic indices can be used to quantitatively

assess RV systolic function,<sup>8</sup> for example the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE),

fractional area change, myocardial performance index, tissue velocity, strain, and strain rate.

A systematic review of the clinical value of these modalities in patients with congenital heart 1. disease is presented in Chapter 2 of this thesis. 2.

3.

4.

#### Right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction

Using two-dimensional echocardiography to estimate RV volumes and EF has not led to clinical applications.<sup>9</sup> The proposed geometric models were either very complex and/or were not valid in abnormally shaped right ventricles. Therefore, a true 3D approach was needed. The history of 3D echo extends approximately 15 years. Previous 3D echo methods were offline and based on sequential rotational or fanlike scanning and acquisition of multiple cross-sectional images.<sup>10</sup> RV volume quantification was derived from adding multiple slice volumes.<sup>11</sup> These methods were hampered by long acquisition and analysis times and poor image quality. The introduction of real-time 3D echo allowed visualization of the right ventricle in a realistic fashion with instantaneous online volume-rendered reconstruction. Fullvolume data acquisition and subsequent offline analysis of RV volumes and EF with the TomTec's four-dimensional RV 4. Function program, now allows fast (mean time needed for analysis 3 minutes), and practical RV 15. quantification.

Real-time 3D echo has some obvious strengths being its ease of use, patient comfort, 17. portability, speed, and relative inexpensiveness compared with CMR imaging or computed 18. tomography. The three-dimensional approach results in actual three-dimensional acquisitions 19. and measurements. This does distinguish real-time 3D echo from CMR imaging. Real-time 20. 3D echo does not rely on geometric assumptions about RV shape and this is an advantage, 21. especially in abnormally shaped right ventricles or ventricles with wall motion abnormalities. 22. On the other hand, real-time 3D echo has some limitations for RV assessment, being its opera-23. tor dependency, need for adequate acoustic windows, limited temporal resolution compared 24. with two-dimensional echocardiography, and the retrosternal position of the right ventricle 25. resulting in suboptimal visualization of the RV anterior wall and RV outflow tract. In case of 26. poor acoustic windows, endocardial blurring may affect the semi-automated tracking algo-27. rithm used in the 4D RV Function program. Furthermore, dedicated training for acquisition and 28. analysis is needed, although a short learning period is needed in those already familiar with 29. echocardiography.

A prerequisite for implementation of a new imaging technique in everyday clinical practice, is that the technique can be applied in the majority of the target population. Therefore, we tested the feasibility of real-time 3D echo by including consecutive, unselected patients with complex and/or surgically repaired congenital heart disease. Real-time 3D echo of the right ventricle turned out to be feasible in ~80% of patients (Chapter 4 of this thesis).<sup>12</sup> This is in accordance with other studies using real-time 3D echo in patients with congenital heart disease, showing feasibility between 52% and 100%.<sup>13-16</sup> In healthy controls a somewhat lower feasibility of ~70% was found (Chapter 10 of this thesis). Most likely this poorer feasibility can be attributed to the fact that echocardiography of a normal right ventricle is limited by the 39.

retrosternal position of this heart chamber. The normal-sized right ventricle lies mainly directly behind the sternum. In contrast, in the diseased right ventricle, which in most cases is dilated, only a small area of the right ventricle is behind the sternum, i.e. the RV anterior wall. 4. Because CMR imaging is the reference technique for RV assessment, the accuracy of echocardiography has been studied, with CMR imaging as the reference. Significant biases between real-time 3D echo and CMR imaging were found for RV volumes and EF measurements (Chapters 4 and 5 of this thesis). These biases were confirmed in a meta-analysis including 807 participants.<sup>17</sup> Larger RV volumes were associated with increased underestimation of RV volumes, 8. 9. explained mainly by blurring of the endocardium. Blurring would prompt observers to exclude that area from the RV volume, considering this portion as part of the RV endocardium, and thus trace inside the endocardial border, resulting in an increase of the volume underestimation. To understand the connection of the RV volumes obtained by real-time 3D echo, CMR imaging, 12. and computed tomography, and true RV volumes, Sugeng et al<sup>18</sup> analyzed RV volumes and EF on images obtained in RV shaped phantoms. RV volumes that were measured in vitro, were 14. compared with the true volumes. These in vitro measurements showed that volumetric analysis of CMR images yielded the most accurate measurements. This volumetric analysis comprised 16. analysis of the CMR images using a modified version of the TomTec's four-dimensional RV Func-17. 18. tion program. In contrast, using the aforementioned disc summation method resulted in RV volumes that were consistently overestimated by ~20% compared with the true volumes. In 19. patients, computed tomography measurements showed a slight overestimation and real-time 21. 3D echo images a small underestimation, but with wider margins of error. Eliminating analysisrelated intermodality differences by using the four-dimensional RV Function program for all images, allowed fair comparisons and highlighted the limitations of each imaging modality. 24. To gain further insight into the bias between real-time 3D echo and CMR imaging, we studied 26 patients with tetralogy of Fallot (Chapter 8 of this thesis). We used software that dis-25. played images obtained by real-time 3D echo and CMR imaging in exactly the same anatomical 27. plane to facilitate side-by-side comparison. Volume differences were mainly caused by inferior visualization of the RV anterior wall on real-time 3D echocardiographic images, corroborated by regional guantitative analysis (46% difference in the anterior segments). Furthermore, using disc summation by CMR imaging resulted in biases in the apical and pulmonary valve areas. 31. Trabeculae were more distinguishable from RV myocardium in CMR imaging than in real-time 3D echo; the RV wall appeared to be thicker on real-time 3D echocardiographic images. In addition, Hoch et al<sup>19</sup> found variables in real-time 3D echo acquisition and analysis, including gain 34. settings, thickness, and orientation of discs, to alter RV volume measurements. Latex phantoms derived from excised lamb hearts were used for comparison. Different gain settings and longaxis tracings significantly affected RV volumes. Mor-avi et al<sup>20</sup> studied the potential sources of left ventricular volume underestimation by real-time 3D echo in a multicenter study including phantom imaging, intermodality analysis-related differences, and differences in left ventricular boundary identification. Minimal changes in endocardial surface position (1 mm) resulted

in significant differences in the measured volumes (11%). Exclusion of trabeculae and mitral
 valve plane from the CMR reference eliminated the intermodality bias. They advised tracing the
 endocardium including trabeculae in the left ventricular cavity.
 3.

One of the challenges, for both real-time 3D echo and CMR imaging, when analyzing the right 4. ventricle, is proper endocardial border delineation. Using contrast-enhanced two-dimensional echocardiography in patients with tetralogy of Fallot or a systemic right ventricle has resulted in improved endocardial border definition.<sup>21</sup> We evaluated the potential incremental value of 7. using contrast-enhanced real-time echo on RV endocardial border visualization, RV volume 8. measurements and inter-observer and intra-observer variability (Chapter 9 of this thesis). The 9. number of RV segments with optimal visualization of the endocardial border increased using 10. contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo, compared with non contrast-enhanced real-time 3D 11. echo. However, significantly lower RV volumes were found, which would make the difference 12. with CMR-derived volumes even bigger. With differences in measurements between contrast-13. enhanced real-time 3D echo and CMR-derived RV volumes exceeding 20%, it is questioned 14. whether this should be used in clinical practice. Another indication for using contrast for 15. ventricular function assessment is the reduction of inter- and intra-observer variability. This 16. has been shown for contrast use in three-dimensional echocardiographic assessment of the 17. left ventricle.<sup>22</sup> We found no improvement of inter-observer and intra-observer variability 18. using contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo-derived images for RV assessment. Based on these 19. findings, we concluded that using contrast is not recommended in real-time 3D echo-derived 20. assessment of the right ventricle so far. 21.

Because echocardiography is accessible, fast, and relatively inexpensive, it would be an ideal 22. technique to use for screening patients who are at risk of RV dysfunction. We therefore tested 23. the sensitivity and specificity of real-time 3D echo to identify congenital heart disease patients 24. with RV dysfunction<sup>23</sup> (Chapter 7 of this thesis). Before identifying RV dysfunction, one should 25. first agree on normal values. Normal values obtained by CMR imaging have been reported by 26. various research groups (Table 1).<sup>23-30</sup> In addition, five groups defined normal values using real-27. time 3D echo. Tamborini et al<sup>31</sup> provided reference values for RV volumes and EF in 245 healthy 28. controls using the 4D RV Function program. The mean RV end-diastolic volume was  $49 \pm 10$  ml/ 29. m<sup>2</sup>, the mean end-systolic volume was 16  $\pm$  6 ml/m<sup>2</sup>, and the mean RV EF was 67  $\pm$  8% (Table 1). 30. Aune and coworkers<sup>32</sup> obtained reference ranges for RV volumes in 166 participants using real-31. time 3D echo combined with analysis software that was developed for left ventricular volume 32. calculation. Normal reference values were  $40 \pm 11 \text{ ml/m}^2$  for end-diastolic volume,  $16 \pm 6 \text{ ml/} 33$ . m<sup>2</sup> for end-systolic volume, and 61  $\pm$  10% for RV EF. Gopal et al<sup>29</sup> studied 71 healthy controls 34. using real-time 3D echo with the disk summation method for analysis. The mean indexed 35. normal end-diastolic volume was 70  $\pm$  14 ml/m<sup>2</sup>, end-systolic volume 33  $\pm$  10 ml/m<sup>2</sup> and for 36. RV EF 53  $\pm$  10%. Kjaergaard et al<sup>33</sup> studied 54 healthy controls and found a mean indexed RV 37. end-diastolic volume of  $60 \pm 12 \text{ ml/m}^2$ , end-systolic volume of  $28 \pm 7 \text{ ml/m}^2$ , and RV EF of 53 38.  $\pm$  6% using three-dimensional echocardiography based on the disk summation method. The  $\,$  39.

- 1. real-time 3D echo-derived RV volumetric values are again significantly smaller than found in
- 2. studies on healthy controls using CMR imaging. The reported EF of  $67 \pm 8\%^{31}$  is in our opinion
- 3. an overestimation of the true RV function. Somewhat smaller RV volumes may be expected
- 4. using real-time 3D echo compared with CMR imaging, but a mean normal RV EF is around 55%
- 5. for both techniques.
- 6. Because of the discrepancies in literature regarding normal values, we decided to establish
- 7. our own normal values. We found a mean RV EF by real-time 3D echo of 55  $\pm$  5% versus 60  $\pm$
- 8. 6% using CMR imaging (Chapter 7 of this thesis). After defining normal values, we determined
- 9.

| 11. | Deal time 2D eshe     | Number | End-diastolic volume | End-systolic volume | Ejection fraction |
|-----|-----------------------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 12. | Real-time 3D echo     |        | (111/111.)           | (1111/111.)         | (70)              |
|     | Aune (2009)           | 166    | 40 ± 11              | 16 ± 6              | 61 ± 10           |
| 13. | Gopal (2007)          | 71     | $70 \pm 14$          | $33 \pm 10$         | 53 ± 10           |
| 14. | Kjaergaard (2006)     | 54     | 60 ± 12              | 28 ± 7              | 53 ± 6            |
| 15. | Tamborini (2010)      | 245    | $49 \pm 10$          | 16 ± 6              | 67 ± 8            |
| 16  | Van der Zwaan (2010)  | 41     | $68 \pm 18$          | 31 ± 9              | $55 \pm 5$        |
| 10. | CMR                   |        |                      |                     |                   |
| 17. | Alfakih (2003)        | 60     | 81 ± 14              | 35 ± 10             | 57 ± 5            |
| 18. | Gopal (2007)          | 71     | 71 ± 13              | $34 \pm 10$         | $53 \pm 9$        |
| 19. | Hudsmith (2005)       | 108    | 91 ± 16              | 36 ± 10             | 61 ± 6            |
| 20  | Lorenz (1999)         | 75     | $75 \pm 13$          | $30 \pm 10$         | 61 ± 7            |
| 20. | Maceira (2006)        | 120    | 88 ± 11              | 34 ± 7              | 61 ± 6            |
| 21. | Robbers-Visser (2009) | 60     | $82 \pm 15$          | 30 ± 8              | 65 ± 5            |
| 22. | Teo (2008)            | 60     | 81 ± 23              | 45 ± 17             | 46 ± 12           |
| 23. | Van der Zwaan (2010)  | 41     | 86 ± 21              | 35 ± 11             | 60 ± 6            |

0. Table 1. Reported normal right ventricular volumes and ejection fraction

24.

25. cut-off values indicating RV dysfunction. We found real-time 3D echo to be a very sensitive tool

26. to identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease. Real-time 3D echo can

27. clinically be applied to rule out RV dysfunction or to indicate further quantitative analysis of RV

28. function, for example using CMR imaging.

29. The reproducibility of real-time 3D echo-derived measurements is important to consider 30. when using this technique for serial measurements or as a screening tool in clinical practice. 31. To be suitable for clinical use, the coefficients of variation (i.e., the standard deviation of the 32. difference between two measurements, divided by the mean of the measurements) should 33. be within the clinically acceptable 15% range.<sup>18</sup> We therefore tested the inter-observer, intra-34. observer, and test-retest variability of real-time 3D echo-derived RV volumes and EF (Chapters 35. 4, 5, and 6 of this thesis). The coefficients of variation found, ranged from 5 to 13% and were 36. thus all within the 15% range. For inter-observer variability of end-diastolic volumes, consis-37. tently lower coefficients of variation were found than for end-systolic volumes, in accordance 38. with CMR imaging findings. The variability of CMR-derived measurements has been found 39. to range from 3% to 8% in patients with congenital heart disease.<sup>34</sup> Interestingly, in a study that assessed the variability of real-time 3D echo and CMR measurements, the variability of 1. real-time 3D echo was found to be lower than that of CMR imaging.<sup>18</sup> In addition, test-retest 2. variability represents not only the difference in the analysis of datasets, but also the variation 3. in the acquisition of datasets. We found a good test-retest variability that was comparable with 4. our measurements on conventional reproducibility. These findings indicate that real-time 3D echo is a valuable technique for serial follow-up. We agree with Mor-Avi et al<sup>35</sup> who state in an editorial that RV assessment by real-time 3D echo will become a milestone in the management 7. of diseases involving the right ventricle. Guidelines should be developed to ensure a uniform 8. methodology of acquisition and analysis of RV volumes and EF measurements, and will result in 9. more interchangeable data between different operators in different laboratories.

#### Right ventricular systolic function

Contractility is the fundamental ability of the heart muscle to do its job. Therefore, a lot of focus13.on the measurement of contractility has been generated. The ideal measure of contractility14.should be independent of pre- and afterload, independent of the cardiac shape or mass, easy15.and safe to apply, and proven to be useful in clinical practice.<sup>36</sup> Despite many investigations, this16.ideal measure was never found. EF was then chosen by the cardiology community and remains17.the index used to assess cardiac function. EF represents the hearts global pump performance18.and is not only dependent on contractility, but also upon preload and afterload. Interestingly,19.in various acquired and congenital heart diseases, EF is preserved whereas techniques focusing20.on regional RV systolic function reveal a diminished RV performance. Over time, RV EF may21.deteriorate as well, symptoms may develop which will affect outcome. Techniques focussing22.on regional RV function may therefore be sensitive to detect early RV deterioration. Because we23.think this is an interesting approach, in the following section we will highlight some echocar-24.diographic measurements on regional, i.e. myocardial RV function in patients with congenital25.heart disease, i.e. tetralogy of Fallot or systemic right ventricle.26.

#### Tetralogy of Fallot

27. 28.

12.

Greutmann et al<sup>37</sup> studied patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and identified, as expected, 29. an impaired RV outflow tract function. Patients with a normal CMR-derived RV EF were found 30. to compensate the loss of this RV outflow tract function with increased contractions of the RV 31. body, measured as fractional area change on short-axis and on four-chamber views. In contrast, 32. patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot and abnormal global RV EF showed significantly lower 33. systolic function of the RV-body compared with normal controls. They found a simple regression model, incorporating fractional shortening of the RV outflow tract and fractional area change on four-chamber view to allow accurate echocardiographic estimation of CMR-derived 36. RV EF. Scherptong et al<sup>38</sup> evaluated adult patients with corrected tetralogy of Fallot twice with 37. a time-interval of 4 years using two-dimensional speckle-derived EF. Strain imaging measures 39.

the degree of myocardial shortening or lengthening (in the longitudinal and circumferential directions), or thickening or thinning (in the radial direction), whereas strain rate is the defor-2. mation over time.<sup>8</sup> RV EF was found to remain unchanged during these 4 years, whereas RV longitudinal peak systolic strain of the RV free wall decreased, suggesting that strain may be a 4. sensitive marker to detect early deterioration in RV performance.<sup>38</sup> Two-dimensional speckle tracking-derived strain was used to establish the relation between RV performance and RV time delay. RV outlet deformation has been found to be delayed and related to impaired RV performance.<sup>39</sup> In contrast to the reduced strain found in the RV free wall, strain in the interventricular 8. septum turned out to be normal. Solarz et al<sup>40</sup> speculated that the septal function is preserved 9. as a compensatory mechanism for impaired RV free wall function. Tissue Doppler-derived myocardial velocities were found to be decreased in pediatric patients with tetralogy of Fallot and were related to the severity of pulmonary regurgitation.<sup>41</sup> Salehian et al<sup>42</sup> also found lower 12. myocardial velocities and found RV peak systolic velocity to be an independent predictor of maximal oxygen consumption during exercise. In summary, both the longitudinal RV function 14. as well as the function of the outlet portion has been judged to be reduced in patients with tetralogy of Fallot. 16.

17.

#### 18. Systemic right ventricle

Patients that underwent an atrial switch procedure for transposition of the great arteries, had 19. reduced RV myocardial velocities and deformation. The reduced deformation parameters correlated well with global RV EF assessed by CMR imaging. More specifically, the global RV long-21. axis RV function was found to be diminished.<sup>43, 44</sup> Reduced systemic RV myocardial velocities and deformation have also been found in patients with a congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries, even when patients were asymptomatic.<sup>45</sup> Besides tissue Doppler-derived 24. indices of deformation, two-dimensional speckle tracking has been used to assess systemic 25. RV function, and provided comparable data. Global longitudinal strain and strain rate were found to be reduced.<sup>46</sup> Using deformation imaging has provided information on the adaptive 27. response of the right ventricle in order to sustain systemic pressures. In a very interesting study by Pettersen et al<sup>47</sup>, it was stated that reduced longitudinal systemic RV function has been interpreted as ventricular dysfunction. But longitudinal shortening is only one aspect of myocardial 31. deformation. Therefore, they assessed not only the longitudinal, but also the circumferential deformation. They found a predominant circumferential over longitudinal free wall shortening in the systemic right ventricle, comparable with a normal left ventricle. Of note, the systemic 34. right ventricle did not display torsion as is found in the normal left ventricle. Thus, deformation imaging does not only reveal information on regional RV performance, but does also provide us with information on adaptive mechanisms in various pathologic states

- 38.
- 39.

#### The right ventricle during exercise

A reduction in exercise tolerance may be the first sign of deterioration of cardiac function in 2.
patients with congenital heart disease.<sup>48</sup> Changes in cardiac function that are not apparent at 3.
rest may become evident during exercise testing. In addition, parameters of submaximal exercise testing may provide important information on risk assessment and long-term prognosis.
Therefore, we briefly describe some outcomes of RV function assessment during exercise.

Stress echocardiography and stress CMR imaging have been found feasible and safe, and can 7. either be done using physical exercise or pharmacological stress. In the detection of viability 8. or in the early diagnosis of RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease, dobuta-9. mine stress functional CMR imaging can be useful.<sup>49,48</sup> In 26 patients with transposition of the 10. great arteries after atrial switch repair, RV longitudinal two-dimensional strain was found to be 11. homogenously reduced compared with healthy controls. RV transverse two-dimensional strain 12. on the other hand, was greater than longitudinal strain, opposite from findings in the normal 13. RV free wall. Furthermore, transverse two-dimensional strain best predicted exercise capacity.<sup>50</sup> 14. Harada et al<sup>51</sup> assessed RV functional reserve during exercise using tissue Doppler imaging in 15. patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. The magnitude of increase in tissue velocities was less 16. in patients than in healthy controls. Patients with a systemic RV who were unable to increase RV 17. EF during stress CMR imaging, were found to have an increased risk of adverse outcomes such 18. as hospitalization for heart failure, cardiac surgery, aborted cardiac arrest, or death.<sup>52</sup> 19.

The right ventricle is the most affected ventricle during exercise. Ominous ventricular 20. tachyarrhythmias of RV origin – associated with mild reduction in systolic function – have 21. been reported in highly trained cyclists. In athletes presenting with ventricular tachycardia, RV 22. abnormalities were detected in 89%, whereas left ventricular abnormalities were evident in 23. only 3%. A lack of evidence of inherited disease would imply that extreme exercise may be the 24. cause of RV dysfunction and arrhythmias.<sup>53</sup> Whether transient RV dysfunction results in chronic 25. remodelling and/ or clinical events is an important issue. It is the RV that should be the focus of 26. attention when assessing the clinical impact of endurance training.<sup>53</sup> 27.

#### Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

CMR imaging has some advantages and disadvantages compared with echocardiography. The 30. strengths of CMR imaging are the unrestricted access to cardiovascular anatomy and function, non-invasiveness, favourable signal to noise ratio, and comprehensiveness. With a single 32. study, assessment of RV performance can be linked to cardiac and pericardial morphology 33. and myocardial tissue characteristics, flow patterns and great vessel anatomy. This approach 34. provides the clinician a complete view, not only of the right ventricle as such, but also of the right ventricle being an essential part of the cardiopulmonary system.<sup>7, 49</sup> 36.

On the other hand, limited availability, high cost, time-consuming RV analysis and acquisi- 37. tion, claustrophobia, and poor patient compliance restrict the use of CMR imaging. Patients are 38. required to lie still in a tubular bore of magnet, which may not be tolerated by a percentage 39.

1.

of patients (~ 5%). Limited ability to comply with breath-hold instructions can be an added problem in patients with Down syndrome or other cognitive or behavioural issues, although 2. this is less often a problem in the adult than the paediatric population.<sup>7</sup> A substantial number 3. of patients with congenital heart disease have pacemakers or implantable cardioverter defibril-4. lators and thus (relative) contraindications for CMR imaging.<sup>54</sup> To realize its full potential and to avoid pitfalls, CMR imaging of congenital heart disease requires training and experience.<sup>7</sup> So far, CMR imaging for longitudinal follow-up of patients is hampered by continuous updates and changes of the hardware, software, and scanning techniques over the years. CMR-8. derived RV images are analyzed by manually tracing the endocardial contours; the observer has to define the most basal and apical images that contain part of the right ventricle. This results in substantial inter-observer and intra-observer variability, especially when studies are acquired and analyzed by different laboratories and over many years. Reproducibility data in research 12. settings have been reported.<sup>24, 26, 28, 34</sup> Grothues et al<sup>55</sup> described inter-observer variability in 60 patients, being 6% for end-diastolic volume, 14% for end-systolic volume, and 8% for EF. 14. Using slices oriented in the axial direction rather than the conventional short-axis direction, has resulted in reproducible RV measurements in patients with corrected tetralogy of Fallot.<sup>49, 56</sup> Some developments in the analysis of CMR-derived images have taken place. As mentioned 18. before, CMR-derived RV volumes were found to be most accurate compared with true volumes using the 4D RV Function program.<sup>18</sup> Moroseos et al<sup>57</sup> compared RV volumes measured using 19. the conventional method of disc summation versus three-dimensional reconstruction by the 21. piecewise smooth subdivision surface method, in patients with complete or corrected transposition of the great arteries. The piecewise smooth subdivision surface method uses images acquired from combinations of views to reconstruct the three-dimensional shape of the right ventricle. The authors suggested incorporating information from additional images to obtain 24. accurate analysis of the short-axis views for RV volume measurements. 25. So far, using real-time 3D echo does not give information on the function or size of various 27. RV regions, whilst the function of various regions may differ significantly in various congenital heart defects. Bodhey et al<sup>58</sup> used CMR imaging in patients with tetralogy of Fallot and found

the apical trabecular region to take up the greatest part of the volume overload caused by
pulmonary valve regurgitation; the ejecting force of the outlet was decreased. In another study,
three-dimensional RV endocardial surface models were reconstructed to obtain regional EFs
in patients late after tetralogy of Fallot repair. The RV outflow tract did dysfunction most, and
contributed to global RV dysfunction independent of RV size, degree of pulmonary regurgitation, and other confounding factors. Measures of regional dysfunction were associated with
decreased exercise capacity, sustained ventricular tachycardia, and symptoms of heart failure.<sup>59</sup>

36.

#### 37. Computed tomography

38. Thoracic computed tomography (CT) is widely used to evaluate thoracic disorders, some of

39. which can potentially affect the right ventricle. CT is not the first line technique for RV function

assessment, because it requires radiation exposure and needs iodinated contrast medium injec tions. CT offers a good spatial resolution, relatively unrestricted access, and shorter acquisition
 times than CMR imaging. In patients with a pacemaker or implantable cardioverterdefibrillator,
 CT provides an alternative to CMR imaging. Initially, CT had a limited temporal resolution, but
 by using the 64-slice multidetector row CT, the resolution improved. Drawbacks compared with
 CMR imaging include less versatile tissue characterization, inferior ability to evaluate cardiovas cular physiology, and reliance on a radio-opaque contrast agent.<sup>7</sup>

With the introduction of the electrocardiogram (ECG)-gated cardiac CT, scans can be8.obtained through retrospective or prospective techniques.60 Prospective ECG-gated acquisition9.allows imaging at lower radiation doses than retrospectively ECG-gated CT, but it requires both10.a latest generation multidetector row CT with large volume coverage and adequate heart rate11.control. Beta-blockers are commonly used for patients with high heart rates (> 75 beats/min).12.When conditions for prospective ECG-gated acquisition are not met, a retrospective technique13.is used, enabling reconstruction of the RV end-diastolic and the end-systolic images from the14.same MDCT data for the purposes of the functional analysis.61 Even non-ECG-gated contrast-15.enhanced MDCT of the thorax can provide information about the right ventricle, mainly about16.its structure, because RV volumes and septal bowing can be assessed without gating.6017.

RV function assessment can be optimized using bi- or multiphasic contrast injection 18. or by mixing saline with a contrast medium, to allow a more accurate distinction between 19. myocardium and endocardium.<sup>62</sup> RV function assessment using multidetector row CT led to 20. overestimation of the end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes.<sup>18</sup> The limited temporal resolu- 21. tion of CT compared with CMR imaging is considered the main reason for this phenomenon.<sup>62</sup> 22. Inter-observer variability of multidetector row CT was not significantly different from that of 23. CMR imaging. Accurate assessment of RV volumes by 16-detector CT is feasible but still rather 24. time-consuming.<sup>63</sup> Gao et al<sup>61</sup> compared RV volumes and EF using 64-row CT and CMR imaging 25. in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or cor pulmonale. Semi-manual analysis 26. was compared with automated analysis for volumetric RV assessment using multidetector CT.<sup>64</sup> 27. RV volumes were significantly larger when using the automated method. Not surprisingly, the 28. automated analysis method was perfectly reproducible, since no user input was required. 29.

RV function assessment using CT is usually done as part of a more extensive screening in 30. patients with coronary artery disease, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary hypertension, or after 31. myocardial infarction.<sup>62</sup> In young adults for whom successive examinations are necessary, one 32. is especially concerned about the radiation exposure and its associated stochastic effects. This 33. risk is dose-, age-, and gender-dependent and makes repeat CT examinations or studies in 34. young patients unattractive.<sup>7</sup> In addition, if the patient is young, the ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle has to be followed, reducing the dose exposure, but without losing 36. diagnostic information by applying specific protocols.<sup>65, 66</sup> 37.

Chapter 10

#### **PROGNOSTIC IMPORTANCE OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR IMAGING PARAMETERS**

2.

Besides exploring the accuracy and reproducibility of an imaging technique, its prognostic

- 4. value needs to be established to enhance clinical validation.
- 5.

#### 6. Congenital heart disease

In this thesis, patients with congenital heart defects were studied; most of them of young adult age. Various research groups established the prognostic value of RV dysfunction using 8 various imaging parameters. Knauth et al<sup>6, 67</sup> assessed 88 patients with tetralogy of Fallot by 9. CMR imaging, ~20 years post initial repair. Severe RV dilatation and either left ventricular or RV dysfunction were found to be predictive of major adverse clinical outcomes, i.e. death, sustained ventricular tachycardia, increase in New York Heart Association class to grade III or 12. IV. In another study, clinical records of 121 patients with congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries were retrospectively reviewed.<sup>68</sup> Using multivariate analysis, poor RV 14. function assessed by eyeballing turned out to be a risk factor of death. Eyeballing-derived RV dysfunction was identified as risk factor for death in 123 patients with an atrial corrected 16. transposition of the great arteries.<sup>69</sup> Piran et al<sup>70</sup> studied a cohort of 188 patients with single 17. or systemic right ventricles. They found patients who had a low systemic EF (<35%) measured 18. by two-dimensional echocardiography, or falling systemic ventricular EF during exercise by 19. radionuclide ventriculography, were particularly likely to develop symptomatic heart failure. Prediction of clinical outcome in patients with systemic right ventricles was done using regres-21. sion analysis to identify prognostic echocardiographic variables.<sup>71</sup> The qualitative systemic RV and the subpulmonary left ventricular function as well as TAPSE were found to determine New York Heart Association class, maximal exercise capacity, and NT-pro-BNP levels. In summary, 24. RV dysfunction assessed by various imaging modalities, is found to be predictive of death and 25. poor clinical conditions in patients with congenital heart diseases.

27.

#### 28. Pulmonary hypertension

29. Not only in congenital heart disease the importance of RV assessment has been recognized, 30. but also in patients with pulmonary hypertension, heart failure, or post myocardial infarction. 31. Forfia et al<sup>72</sup> used the TAPSE to risk stratify patients with pulmonary hypertension. Survival 32. estimates at 1 and 2 years were 94% and 88%, respectively, in those with a TAPSE of  $\geq$  18 mm, 33. versus 60% and 50%, respectively, in patients with a TAPSE less than 18 mm. Progressive RV dila-44. tation measured by CMR imaging in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension, 35. independently predicted 1-year mortality beside a decrease in stroke volume and a decrease 36. in left ventricular end-diastolic volume.<sup>73</sup> Nath et al<sup>74</sup> evaluated the correlation between RV 37. indices and clinical improvement in epoprostenol treated pulmonary hypertension. Changes in 38. New York Heart Association class did not correlate with changes in the myocardial performance 39. index. They concluded that the myocardial performance index was insensitive to the clinical response to epoprostenol therapy. In patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, RV function was judged by echocardiography before and after pulmonary endarterec-2. tomy. RV fractional area change, TAPSE, and mid-apical and basal strain, and strain rate based 3. on color-coded tissue Doppler imaging were used. RV function was found to improve after pul-4. monary endarterectomy, but this was not reflected by TAPSE because of postoperative changes in overall heart motion. Motion independent deformation parameters, strain and strain rate, appeared superior in the accurate description of regional RV function or the contractile status 7. of the RV free wall.<sup>75</sup> In conclusion, TAPSE was found to be predictive of survival in patients with 8. pulmonary hypertension, but not in those after operations. The myocardial performance index 9. did not correlate with changes in patient's clinical condition.

12.

34.

#### Coronary heart disease and heart failure

In 377 patients with heart failure, the prognostic value of thermodilution-derived RV EF has 13. been investigated. During a median follow-up period of 17 ± 9 months, 105 patients died and 14. 35 underwent heart transplantation. Besides pulmonary artery pressure, RV EF turned out 15. to be an independent prognostic predictor.<sup>76</sup> In addition, radionuclide angiography-derived 16. RV EF was found to be an independent predictor of survival in 205 patients with moderate 17. heart failure.<sup>77</sup> RV fractional area change was a predictor of mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and heart failure in 416 patients with left ventricular dysfunction.<sup>78</sup> Antoni et al<sup>79</sup> studied 19. the prognostic value of the RV fractional area change, TAPSE, and two-dimensional speckle 20. tracking-derived strain in 621 patients treated with primary percutaneous intervention for 21. acute myocardial infarction. After multivariate analysis, RV fractional area change and strain 22. turned out to independently predict the composite endpoint of mortality, reinfarction, and 23. hospitalization for heart failure at 24 months of follow-up. After multivariate analysis TAPSE no longer predicted the composite endpoint. 25.

### FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR IMAGING

Even though it may sound paradoxical, we hope that all that has been investigated in this thesis 30. will be outdated soon, since this would imply that the development of real-time 3D echo has 31. continued rapidly. In the following section we will outline some of these future developments. 32.

#### Technical improvements

The currently used ultrasound systems have various imaging options for using 3D echocardiog-35. raphy. To obtain information on ventricular function, full-volume real-time 3D echo is needed.36. Up to a few months ago, it was required to stitch multiple (4 to 7) subvolumes that were col-37. lected over several cardiac cycles together, to create a volume large enough to encompass38. a complete right or left ventricle. At this moment, ultrasound systems are available that can 39.

acquire a full-volume dataset in a single or in two heartbeats.<sup>80, 81</sup> The advantages are that the acquisition is less influenced by patient movement, for example in small infants, and the 2. technique may even be applied in patients with irregular heart rhythms. So far, irregular heart rhythms such as atrial fibrillation resulted in stitching artifacts and therefore real-time 3D echo, 4. as well as CMR imaging, could not be used in these patients. With the acquisition in a single heartbeat, stitching is no longer required. On the other hand, ventricular filling varies a lot during irregular heart rhythms and therefore we would recommend acquiring multiple datasets and taking the mean volumes and EF that are calculated from these datasets for an adequate 8. estimation of cardiac function. 9 Because RV volume and EF assessment is critically dependent on echocardiographic image guality, optimization of image guality is essential. Even though the temporal resolution of realtime 3D echo is comparable with CMR imaging, it is worse compared with two-dimensional 12. echocardiography. The newer ultrasound probes provide images with higher temporal resolution and will result in more accurate estimations of RV end-systolic volumes. The spa-14. tial resolution of echocardiography is higher compared with CMR imaging, and the newer echocardiographic probes obtain higher spatial resolutions. The details seen at for example

17. the endocardial border, such as trabeculae, will appear even more prominent. This may further

18. influence the difference in RV volumes by echocardiography and CMR imaging, and neces-

19. sitates a clear consensus statement on how to handle these endocardial structures during RV

20. volume calculations.

21. The introduction of semi-automated border detection software dedicated to RV function analysis (4D RV Function program) meant a big step forward to clinical RV assessment based on real-time 3D echo. As described in this thesis, analyses only take few minutes for a moderately experienced observer. RV analysis is still more complex however, compared with left ventricular 24. volume analysis. For the latter analysis, only three points need to be marked: the lateral and 25. medial mitral valve annulus, and the left ventricular apex.<sup>82</sup> Thereafter, the software calculates 27. left ventricular volumes and EF. For RV analysis, manual endocardial contour delineation is needed in three different views, where after the software calculates RV volumes and EF. The 28. more automated the analysis will become, the better reproducible the measurements will be. We expect new analysis software to be developed that will more closely resemble the analysis 31. of the left ventricle. Furthermore, images obtained by different imaging techniques may be analyzed by one software package, such as investigated by Sugeng et al<sup>18</sup> By this means, analysis related differences that influence RV measurements by various techniques will be reduced. The results of a study in which real-time 3D echo and CMR-derived RV volumes and EF were 34 analyzed within one software package, are promising.<sup>16</sup>

36.

#### 37. Prognostic importance

38. Besides a thorough validation of the basic characteristics of new imaging techniques, the deter-

39. mination of their prognostic value is needed. Few major adverse events may be expected when

examining a young group of adult patients with congenital heart defects. However, scoring
 these events over a five-year period and identifying real-time 3D echo-derived independent
 predictors of outcome would certainly strengthen the clinical use of real-time 3D echo. A limita tion to this approach will be that by the time the results may be expected, newer ultrasound
 systems with better image quality will be available.

#### New applications

Imaging the right ventricle during cardiac surgery or percutaneous interventions can best be8.done using three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography. So far no publications on9.the use of three-dimensional transesophageal echocardiography for RV assessment have been10.published. We have some experience and expect that applying this approach will result in a11.clearer definition of the RV inflow and outflow portions whilst the biggest challenge will be to12.incorporate the complete RV apex.13.

#### Three-dimensional deformation imaging

Currently, three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography has become available. Threedimensional tracking of speckle patterns in high volume rate datasets will diminish the need for assumptions on the expected motion pattern by the tracking algorithm, and thereby increase the accuracy of measurements of RV deformation. In other words, measurements will be more accurate since the motion of speckle patterns does not only occur within the imaging plane, but also in the other directions. The standardization of the measurement planes of the basal, mid, and apical part of the RV free wall from a three-dimensional dataset will improve the reproducibility of the measurements.

#### Fusion of images by various techniques

Fusion of multiple imaging modalities will result in combining the benefits of different tech-26.niques. For example the assessment of cardiac shape or volumes by real-time 3D echo or CMR27.imaging may be combined with speckle tracking derived deformation parameters or Doppler28.measurements of flow patterns.29.

In addition, fusion of images can result in improved image quality. Szmigielski et al<sup>83</sup> assessed 30. three-dimensional fusion echocardiography combining several real-time 3D echo-derived fullvolumes from different transducer positions. In a cardiac phantom they found fused datasets to 32. show an improved contrast-to-noise ratio, which is an indicator of image quality. In patients, a 33. better endocardial border definition was established using fusion echocardiography compared 34. with a single real-time 3D echo dataset. Yet, fusion of the datasets did not affect the absolute 35. left ventricular volumes or EF. 36.

7.

14.

24.

38.

39.

#### CONCLUSIONS

2.

3. Using currently available real-time 3D echo results in widely applicable, accurate, and repro-

- 4. ducible measurements of RV volumes and EF. There is still a lot to learn about the assessment
- 5. and implications of RV (dys)function in adults with congenital heart disease. Understanding the
- 6. uniqueness of normal and abnormal RV physiology combined with appropriate application of
- 7. the available imaging techniques, such as real-time 3D echo, deformation imaging, and CMR
- 8. imaging, will provide solutions to problems that challenge these patients and their caregivers.
- 9.
- 10
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31.
- 32.
- 33
- 34.
- 35
- 36
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.

| 1.      | Redington AN. Right ventricular function. Cardiol Clin. 2002;20:341-9, v.   |     |
|---------|---|-----|
| 2.      | Ou P, Iserin L, Raisky O, Vouhe P, Brunelle F, Sidi D, et al. Post-operative cardiac lesions after cardiac  | 1.  |
|         | surgery in childhood. Pediatr Radiol. 2010;40:885-94.   | 2.  |
| 3.      | Warnes CA. The adult with congenital heart disease: born to be bad? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;46:1-8.   | 3.  |
| 4.      | Warnes CA. Jane Somerville. Clin Cardiol. 2008;31:183-4.  | 1   |
| 5.      | Graham TP, Jr., Bernard YD, Mellen BG, Celermajer D, Baumgartner H, Cetta F, et al. Long-term out-  | 4.  |
|         | come in congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries: a multi-institutional study. J Am   | 5.  |
|         | Coll Cardiol. 2000;36:255-61.   | б.  |
| 6.      | Knauth AL, Gauvreau K, Powell AJ, Landzberg MJ, Walsh EP, Lock JE, et al. Ventricular size and function   | 7.  |
|         | assessed by cardiac MRI predict major adverse clinical outcomes late after tetralogy of Fallot repair.  | 8   |
| -       | Heart, 2008;94:211-6.   | 0.  |
| 7.      | Kilner PJ, Geva I, Kaemmerer H, Trindade PI, Schwitter J, Webb GD. Recommendations for cardio-  | 9.  |
|         | vascular magnetic resonance in adults with congenital neart disease from the respective working   | 10. |
| Q       | Mortens LL Friedberg MK Imaging the right ventricle-current state of the art. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2010.  | 11. |
| о.<br>а | liang L Levine RA Weyman AF Echocardiographic Assessment of Right Ventricular Volume and  | 12  |
| ۶.      | Function Echocardiography 1997:14:189-206   | 12  |
| 10.     | van den Bosch AE, Krenning BJ, Roelandt JR, Three-dimensional echocardiography, Minerva Cardio-   | 13. |
|         | angiol. 2005;53:177-84.   | 14. |
| 11.     | Vogel M, Gutberlet M, Dittrich S, Hosten N, Lange PE. Comparison of transthoracic three dimensional   | 15. |
|         | echocardiography with magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of right ventricular volume  | 16. |
|         | and mass. Heart. 1997;78:127-30.  | 17  |
| 12.     | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink JW, et al.  | 10  |
|         | eq:clinical Value of Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography for Right Ventricular Quantification  | 18. |
|         | in Congenital Heart Disease: Validation With Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-  | 19. |
|         | diogr. 2010;23:134-40.  | 20. |
| 13.     | Grewal J, Majdalany D, Syed I, Pellikka P, Warnes CA. Three-dimensional echocardiographic assess-   | 21. |
|         | ment of right ventricular volume and function in adult patients with congenital heart disease:  | 22  |
| 14      | comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:127-33.  | ~~~ |
| 14.     | Inart X, Montaudon M, Lante S, Chabaneix J, Reant P, Balbach I, et al. Right ventricle infee-dimensional  | 23. |
|         | approving a second s | 24. |
| 15      | Khoo NS Young A Occleshaw C Cowan B Zeng IS Gentles TL Assessments of right ventricular   | 25. |
| 15.     | volume and function using three-dimensional echocardiography in older children and adults with  | 26. |
|         | congenital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocar-   | 27  |
|         | diogr. 2009;22:1279-88.   | 27. |
| 16.     | Niemann PS, Pinho L, Balbach T, Galuschky C, Blankenhagen M, Silberbach M, et al. Anatomically  | 28. |
|         | oriented right ventricular volume measurements with dynamic three-dimensional echocardiography  | 29. |
|         | validated by 3-Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1668-76.  | 30. |
| 17.     | Shimada YJ, Shiota M, Siegel RJ, Shiota T. Accuracy of right ventricular volumes and function deter-  | 31. |
|         | mined by three-dimensional echocardiography in comparison with magnetic resonance imaging: a  | 20  |
|         | meta-analysis study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:943-53.   | 52. |
| 18.     | Sugeng L, Mor-Avi V, Weinert L, Niel J, Ebner C, Steringer-Mascherbauer R, et al. Multimodality   | 33. |
|         | Comparison of Quantitative Volumetric Analysis of the Right Ventricle. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.   | 34. |
| 10      | 2010;3:10-8.  | 35. |
| 19.     | HOCH IVI, VASIIYEV IVV, SOFIANO B, GAUVREAU K, MARX GR. VARIABLES INfluencing the accuracy of right   | 36  |
|         | study. LAm Soc Echocardiogr 2007;20:456-61  | 27  |
|         | Stary, 57411 Soc Echocalalogi, 2007,20,750 01.  | 57. |
|         |   | 38. |
|         |   | 39. |

| 1.  | 20. | Mor-Avi V, Jenkins C, Kuhl HP, Nesser HJ, Marwick T, Franke A, et al. Real-time 3-dimensional echocar-<br>diographic guantification of left ventricular volumes: multicenter study for validation with magnetic |
|-----|-----|---|
| 2.  |     | resonance imaging and investigation of sources of error. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008:1:413-23.  |
| 2   | 21. | van den Bosch AE, Meijboom FJ, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Ten Cate FJ, Roelandt JR. Enhanced   |
| J.  |     | visualisation of the right ventricle by contrast echocardiography in congenital heart disease. Eur J  |
| 4.  |     | Echocardiogr. 2004;5:104-10.  |
| 5.  | 22. | Krenning BJ, Kirschbaum SW, Soliman OI, Nemes A, van Geuns RJ, Vletter WB, et al. Comparison of   |
| 6.  |     | contrast agent-enhanced versus non-contrast agent-enhanced real-time three-dimensional echo-  |
| 7   |     | cardiography for analysis of left ventricular systolic function. Am J Cardiol. 2007;100:1485-9.   |
| 0   | 23. | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Boersma E, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Soliman OI, et al. Usefulness of  |
| 0.  |     | real-time three-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients  |
| 9.  | ~ . | with congenital heart disease. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106:843-50.   |
| 10. | 24. | Maceira AM, Prasad SK, Khan M, Pennell DJ. Reference right ventricular systolic and diastolic function  |
| 11. |     | normalized to age, gender and body surface area from steady-state free precession cardiovascular  |
| 12  | 25  | magnetic resonance. Eur Heart J. 2006;27:2879-88.   |
| 12. | 25. | Allakin K, Plein S, Thiele H, Jones T, Ridgway JP, Sivananthan MO. Normal numan left and right  |
| 13. |     | imaging coquences. [Magn Decen Imaging 2002;17:222.0  |
| 14. | 26  | Hudsmith LE Deterson SE Francis IM Robson MD Neubauer S Normal human left and right ven-  |
| 15. | 20. | tricular and left atrial dimensions using steady state free precession magnetic resonance imaging 1   |
| 16  |     | Cardiovasc Magn Reson, 2005;7:775-82.   |
| 17  | 27. | Lorenz CH, Walker ES, Morgan VL, Klein SS, Graham TP, Jr. Normal human right and left ventricular   |
| 17. |     | mass, systolic function, and gender differences by cine magnetic resonance imaging. J Cardiovasc  |
| 18. |     | Magn Reson. 1999;1:7-21.  |
| 19. | 28. | Robbers-Visser D, Boersma E, Helbing WA. Normal biventricular function, volumes, and mass in  |
| 20. |     | children aged 8 to 17 years. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2009;29:552-9.   |
| 21  | 29. | Gopal AS, Chukwu EO, Iwuchukwu CJ, Katz AS, Toole RS, Schapiro W, et al. Normal values of right   |
| 21. |     | ventricular size and function by real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography: comparison with cardiac  |
| 22. |     | magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2007;20:445-55.  |
| 23. | 30. | Teo KS, Carbone A, Piantadosi C, Chew DP, Hammett CJ, Brown MA, et al. Cardiac MRI assessment   |
| 24. |     | of left and right ventricular parameters in healthy Australian normal volunteers. Heart Lung Circ.  |
| 25. | 21  | 2008;1/:313-7.  |
| 26  | 31. | right ventricular volumes and election fraction with real-time three-dimensional echocardiography:  |
| 20. |     | evaluation in a large series of normal subjects. I Am Soc Echocardiogr 2010:23:109-15   |
| 27. | 32. | Aune F. Baekkevar M. Rodevand O. Otterstad JF. The limited usefulness of real-time 3-dimensional  |
| 28. | 52. | echocardiography in obtaining normal reference ranges for right ventricular volumes. Cardiovasc   |
| 29. |     | Ultrasound. 2009;7:35.  |
| 30. | 33. | Kjaergaard J, Sogaard P, Hassager C. Quantitative echocardiographic analysis of the right ventricle in  |
| 31  |     | healthy individuals. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:1365-72.  |
| 22  | 34. | Luijnenburg SE, Robbers-Visser D, Moelker A, Vliegen HW, Mulder BJ, Helbing WA. Intra-observer  |
| 32. |     | and interobserver variability of biventricular function, volumes and mass in patients with congenital   |
| 33. |     | heart disease measured by CMR imaging. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;26:57-64.   |
| 34. | 35. | Mor-Avi V, Sugeng L, Lindner JR. Imaging the forgotten chamber: is the devil in the boundary? J Am  |
| 35. |     | Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:141-3.  |
| 36  | 36. | Carabello BA. Evolution of the study of left ventricular function: everything old is new again. Circula-  |
| 27  | 27  | UON. 2002;105:2/01-3.<br>Greutmann M. Tobler D. Riaggi P. Mah MI. Grean A. Wald P.M. et al. Echocardiagraphy for according  |
| 57. | 57. | of regional and global right ventricular systolic function in adults with repaired tetralogy of Fallet. Int   |
| 38. |     | J Cardiol. 2010.  |
| 39. |     |   |

| 38. | Scherptong RW, Mollema SA, Blom NA, Kroft LJ, de Roos A, Vliegen HW, et al. Right ventricular peak systolic longitudinal strain is a sensitive marker for right ventricular deterioration in adult patients with tetralogy of Fallot. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009;25:669-76. | 1.<br>2 |
|-----|---|---------|
| 39. | van der Hulst AE, Roest AA, Delgado V, Holman ER, de Roos A, Blom NA, et al. Relationship between   | 2       |
|     | temporal sequence of right ventricular deformation and right ventricular performance in patients  | 5.      |
|     | with corrected tetralogy of Fallot. Heart. 2011;97:231-6.   | 4.      |
| 40. | Solarz DE, Witt SA, Glascock BJ, Jones FD, Khoury PR, Kimball TR. Right ventricular strain rate and   | 5.      |
|     | strain analysis in patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot: possible interventricular septal compensa-   | 6.      |
|     | tion. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2004;17:338-44.  | 7.      |
| 41. | loyono M, Harada K, Iamura M, Yamamoto F, Iakada G. Myocardial acceleration during isovolumic   | 8.      |
|     | requiraction as a new index of right ventricular contractile function and its relation to pullionary  | 0       |
| 42. | Salehian O, Burwash IG, Chan KL, Beauchesne LM, Tricuspid annular systolic velocity predicts maximal  | 1.0     |
|     | oxygen consumption during exercise in adult patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc  | 10.     |
|     | Echocardiogr. 2008;21:342-6.  | 11.     |
| 43. | Eyskens B, Weidemann F, Kowalski M, Bogaert J, Dymarkowski S, Bijnens B, et al. Regional right and  | 12.     |
|     | left ventricular function after the Senning operation: an ultrasonic study of strain rate and strain.   | 13.     |
|     | Cardiol Young. 2004;14:255-64.  | 14.     |
| 44. | Vogel M, Derrick G, White PA, Cullen S, Aichner H, Deanfield J, et al. Systemic ventricular function in   | 15.     |
|     | patients with transposition of the great arteries after atrial repair: a tissue Doppier and conductance catheter study. I Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:100-6  | 16      |
| 45. | Bos JM, Hagler DJ, Silvilairat S, Cabalka A, O'Learv P, Daniels O, et al. Right ventricular function in   | 17      |
|     | asymptomatic individuals with a systemic right ventricle. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2006;19:1033-7.  | Ι/.     |
| 46. | Chow PC, Liang XC, Cheung EW, Lam WW, Cheung YF. New two-dimensional global longitudinal strain   | 18.     |
|     | and strain rate imaging for assessment of systemic right ventricular function. Heart. 2008;94:855-9.  | 19.     |
| 47. | Pettersen E, Helle-Valle T, Edvardsen T, Lindberg H, Smith HJ, Smevik B, et al. Contraction pattern of  | 20.     |
|     | the systemic right ventricle shift from longitudinal to circumferential shortening and absent global  | 21.     |
| 10  | Ventricular torsion. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;49:2450-6.   | 22.     |
| 40. | congenital heart disease Curr Onin Pediatr 2010;22:579-86   | 23      |
| 49. | Goetschalckx K, Rademakers F, Bogaert J. Right ventricular function by MRI. Curr Opin Cardiol.  | 23.     |
|     | 2010;25:451-5.  | 24.     |
| 50. | Di Salvo G, Pacileo G, Rea A, Limongelli G, Baldini L, D'Andrea A, et al. Transverse strain predicts exer-  | 25.     |
|     | cise capacity in systemic right ventricle patients. Int J Cardiol. 2010;145:193-6.  | 26.     |
| 51. | Harada K, Toyono M, Yamamoto F. Assessment of right ventricular function during exercise with   | 27.     |
|     | quantitative Doppler tissue imaging in children late after repair of tetralogy of Fallot. J Am Soc Ecno-  | 28.     |
| 52  | Winter MM Scherntong RW Kumar S Rouma RI Tulevski II Tons LE et al Ventricular response to  | 29.     |
| 52. | stress predicts outcome in adult patients with a systemic right ventricle. Am Heart J. 2010;160:870-6.  | 30.     |
| 53. | La Gerche A, Prior DL, Heidbuchel H. Clinical consequences of intense endurance exercise must   | 21      |
|     | include assessment of the right ventricle. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:1263; author reply -4.  | 22      |
| 54. | Pulver AF, Puchalski MD, Bradley DJ, Minich LL, Su JT, Saarel EV, et al. Safety and imaging quality of MRI  | 32.     |
|     | in pediatric and adult congenital heart disease patients with pacemakers. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.   | 33.     |
|     | 2009;32:450-6.  | 34.     |
| 55. | right ventricular volumes function and mass with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. Am Hoart  | 35.     |
|     | 2004;147:218-23.  | 36.     |
| 56. | Fratz S, Schuhbaeck A, Buchner C, Busch R, Meierhofer C, Martinoff S, et al. Comparison of accuracy of  | 37.     |
|     | axial slices versus short-axis slices for measuring ventricular volumes by cardiac magnetic resonance   | 3.2     |
|     | in patients with corrected tetralogy of fallot. Am J Cardiol. 2009;103:1764-9.  | 20.     |
|     |   | 59.     |

57. Moroseos T, Mitsumori L, Kerwin WS, Sahn DJ, Helbing WA, Kilner PJ, et al. Comparison of Simpson's method and three-dimensional reconstruction for measurement of right ventricular volume in patients with complete or corrected transposition of the great arteries. Am J Cardiol. 2010;105:1603-9. 3. 58. Bodhey NK, Beerbaum P, Sarikouch S, Kropf S, Lange P, Berger F, et al. Functional analysis of the com-4. ponents of the right ventricle in the setting of tetralogy of Fallot. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2008;1:141-7. 59. Wald RM, Haber I, Wald R, Valente AM, Powell AJ, Geva T. Effects of regional dysfunction and late gadolinium enhancement on global right ventricular function and exercise capacity in patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot. Circulation. 2009;119:1370-7. 8. 60. Dupont MV, Dragean CA, Coche EE. Right ventricle function assessment by MDCT. AJR Am J Roent-9. genol. 2011;196:77-86. 61. Gao Y, Du X, Liang L, Cao L, Yang Q, Li K. Evaluation of right ventricular function by 64-row CT in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cor pulmonale. Eur J Radiol. 2010. 62. Plumhans C, Muhlenbruch G, Rapaee A, Sim KH, Seyfarth T, Gunther RW, et al. Assessment of global 12. right ventricular function on 64-MDCT compared with MRI. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2008;190:1358-61. Muller M, Teige F, Schnapauff D, Hamm B, Dewey M. Evaluation of right ventricular function with 13. 63. multidetector computed tomography: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging and analysis 14. of inter- and intraobserver variability. Eur Radiol. 2009;19:278-89. 64. Coche E, Walker MJ, Zech F, de Crombrugghe R, Vlassenbroek A. Quantitative right and left ventricular 16. functional analysis during gated whole-chest MDCT: a feasibility study comparing automatic segmentation to semi-manual contouring. Eur J Radiol. 2010;74:e138-43. 65. Savino G, Zwerner P, Herzog C, Politi M, Bonomo L, Costello P, et al. CT of cardiac function. J Thorac 18. Imaging. 2007;22:86-100. 19. 66. Ou P, Celermajer DS, Calcagni G, Brunelle F, Bonnet D, Sidi D. Three-dimensional CT scanning: a new diagnostic modality in congenital heart disease. Heart. 2007;93:908-13. 67. Geva T, Sandweiss BM, Gauvreau K, Lock JE, Powell AJ. Factors associated with impaired clinical status 21. in long-term survivors of tetralogy of Fallot repair evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;43:1068-74. 68. Rutledge JM, Nihill MR, Fraser CD, Smith OE, McMahon CJ, Bezold LI. Outcome of 121 patients with congenitally corrected transposition of the great arteries. Pediatr Cardiol. 2002;23:137-45. 24. 69. Hraska V, Duncan BW, Mayer JE, Jr., Freed M, del Nido PJ, Jonas RA. Long-term outcome of surgi-25. cally treated patients with corrected transposition of the great arteries. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2005;129:182-91. 70. Piran S, Veldtman G, Siu S, Webb GD, Liu PP. Heart failure and ventricular dysfunction in patients with 27. single or systemic right ventricles. Circulation. 2002;105:1189-94. 28. 71. Winter MM, Bouma BJ, Hardziyenka M, De Bruin-Bon RH, Tan HL, Konings TC, et al. Echocardiographic determinants of the clinical condition in patients with a systemic right ventricle. Echocardiography. 2010;27:1247-55. 72. Forfia PR, Fisher MR, Mathai SC, Housten-Harris T, Hemnes AR, Borlaug BA, et al. Tricuspid annular dis-31. placement predicts survival in pulmonary hypertension. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2006;174:1034-32. 41. 73. van Wolferen SA, Marcus JT, Boonstra A, Marques KM, Bronzwaer JG, Spreeuwenberg MD, et al. Prognostic value of right ventricular mass, volume, and function in idiopathic pulmonary arterial 34. hypertension. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:1250-7. 74. Nath J, Demarco T, Hourigan L, Heidenreich PA, Foster E. Correlation between right ventricular indices and clinical improvement in epoprostenol treated pulmonary hypertension patients. Echocardiography. 2005;22:374-9.

20

- 75. Giusca S, Dambrauskaite V, Scheurwegs C, D'Hooge J, Claus P, Herbots L, et al. Deformation imaging 1. describes right ventricular function better than longitudinal displacement of the tricuspid ring. Heart. 2010:96:281-8. 2. 76. Ghio S, Gavazzi A, Campana C, Inserra C, Klersy C, Sebastiani R, et al. Independent and additive 3. prognostic value of right ventricular systolic function and pulmonary artery pressure in patients with 4. chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001;37:183-8. 77. de Groote P, Millaire A, Foucher-Hossein C, Nugue O, Marchandise X, Ducloux G, et al. Right ventricular ejection fraction is an independent predictor of survival in patients with moderate heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32:948-54. 7. 78. Zornoff LA, Skali H, Pfeffer MA, St John Sutton M, Rouleau JL, Lamas GA, et al. Right ventricular 8. dysfunction and risk of heart failure and mortality after myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;39:1450-5. 9. 79. Antoni ML, Scherptong RW, Atary JZ, Boersma E, Holman ER, van der Wall EE, et al. Prognostic value of right ventricular function in patients after acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percuta-11. neous coronary intervention. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:264-71. 80. Macron L, Lim P, Bensaid A, Nahum J, Dussault C, Mitchell-Heggs L, et al. Single-beat versus multibeat 12. real-time 3D echocardiography for assessing left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction: a com-13. parison study with cardiac magnetic resonance. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:450-5. 14. 81. Shahgaldi K, Manouras A, Abrahamsson A, Gudmundsson P, Brodin LA, Winter R. Three-dimensional echocardiography using single-heartbeat modality decreases variability in measuring left ventricular volumes and function in comparison to four-beat technique in atrial fibrillation. Cardiovasc Ultra-16. sound. 2010;8:45. 17. 82. Soliman OI, Krenning BJ, Geleijnse ML, Nemes A, van Geuns RJ, Baks T, et al. A comparison between 18. QLAB and TomTec full volume reconstruction for real time three-dimensional echocardiographic
- quantification of left ventricular volumes. Echocardiography. 2007;24:967-74. 83. Szmigielski C, Rajpoot K, Grau V, Myerson SG, Holloway C, Noble JA, et al. Real-time 3D fusion echocardiography. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2010;3:682-90.
  - - 23.

19.

- 24.

- 27.

34.

Chapter 10 210



## Chapter 11

Summary - Samenvatting

a) 'see [] en sen vers all see ( a

against have a site and the second of the

despersoners all any part address The test mendality any part to attend all any parts ments

your make appaged the frank

Lintelli for deranges

and Person

#### SUMMARY

2.

3. Part 1: Echocardiography in congenital heart disease

In Chapter 1 a general introduction to the characteristics of the right ventricle is provided. Not 4 only basic information on right ventricular (RV) anatomy and function is given, but also the various imaging techniques used for RV assessment, are described. Furthermore, the outline of the thesis is given. A systematic review of the clinical value of echocardiographic imaging modalities in patients with congenital heart disease is presented in Chapter 2 of this thesis. 8. 9. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (real-time 3D echo) -derived measurements were found to be more accurate for RV quantification than conventional two-dimensional echocardiography-derived measurements in patients with tetralogy of Fallot, atrial septal defects or systemic right ventricles. Doppler-based techniques measuring regional RV wall 12. motion velocities, strain, and strain rate showed a variable agreement with RV ejection fraction (EF) by cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging, the reference technique for RV assessment.. 14. In addition to the measurements suggested in the latest echocardiography and congenital heart disease guidelines, we advised using real-time 3D echo for serial follow-up in patients with congenital heart disease. In case of poor acoustic windows or if deterioration of RV 17. 18. function is suspected based on echocardiographic measurements, CMR imaging remains the indicated imaging technique. The use of all possible applications of real-time 3D echo in adult 19. congenital heart disease is described in Chapter 3. The assessment of RV volumes and EF is dis-21. cussed, as well as the additional value of real-time 3D echo for imaging of cardiac morphology. Illustrative examples of congenital abnormalities that are common in adults are described, and implicate that the clinician does no longer have to reconstruct cardiac anatomy from multiple two-dimensional images, but can have a realistic three-dimensional view. 24. 25.

26. Part 2: Right ventricular acquisition, analysis, and clinical applications

In Chapter 4 the accuracy and reproducibility of real-time 3D echo were compared with conventional two-dimensional echocardiographic measurements and CMR imaging in patients with congenital heart disease and healthy controls. The participants underwent echocardiography and CMR imaging and the results were compared. We found that real-time 3D echo improved quantitative RV size and function assessment compared with two-dimensional echocardiography alone. The reproducibility of real-time 3D echo was comparable to the two-dimensional echocardiography-derived measurements on variability. Furthermore, receiver operating characteristic curves revealed that real-time 3D echo-derived measurements were highly sensitive and specific to identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease. So, clinical use of real-time 3D echo for RV quantification represents an additional value in RV assessment.
In Chapter 5 we investigated the test-retest variability of RV volume and EF measurements by real-time 3D echo in patients with congenital heart disease and healthy controls. Conventional

 closely represents everyday clinical use of the technique, was rarely examined. Two sonographers acquired three sequential RV datasets in each participant during one outpatient visit.
 The test-retest variability turned out to be good and, besides the practical nature of real-time
 3D echo for RV volume and EF assessment, makes it a valuable technique for serial follow-up.
 Although it may be challenging to diminish all factors that influence serial echocardiographic
 examination, standardization of RV size and function measurements should be a goal to produce more interchangeable data.

In a clinical validation study including patients with a variety of congenital heart defects, we 8. found real-time 3D echo to be feasible in about 80% of patients, as is described in Chapter 6. 9. Furthermore, a good agreement was established between real-time 3D echo and CMR imag- 10. ing. Compared with CMR imaging, real-time 3D echo resulted in smaller RV end-diastolic and 11. end-systolic volumes. Importantly, the time needed for RV acquisition and analysis by real-time 12. 3D echo was only some minutes and consequently much less than for CMR imaging. So, in 13. the majority of unselected patients with complex congenital heart disease, real-time 3D echo 14. provides fast and reproducible assessment of RV volumes and EF when using current com- 15. mercially available hardware and software. In Chapter 7 we investigated the usefulness of 16. real-time 3D echo to identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease. First, 17. we defined cut-off values for normal RV volumes and EF that were derived by CMR imaging in 18. healthy controls. Thereafter, receiver operating characteristic curves were created in patients 19. with various congenital heart diseases to obtain the sensitivity and the specificity of real-time 20. 3D echo for identification of RV dysfunction. The best cut-off values predicting RV dysfunction 21. based on real-time 3D echo were identified. Real-time 3D echo turned out to be a very sensitive 22. tool to identify RV dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease and could clinically be 23. applied to rule out RV dysfunction or to identify patients whom may need further quantitative 24. analysis of their RV function, for example using CMR imaging.

#### Part 3: Troubleshooting for right ventricular assessment

In Chapter 8 the potential sources of segmental volume differences between real-time 3D echo 28. and CMR imaging were studied in patients with tetralogy of Fallot. As mentioned before in this 29. summary, using real-time 3D echo results in smaller RV volumes compared with CMR imaging. Software that facilitates side by side comparison of echocardiographic and CMR-derived 31. images, was applied. The differences found for RV volumes were caused by several sources 32. related to either anatomical characteristics of the right ventricle or technological limitations 33. of the used techniques. The main sources of RV volume differences between real-time 3D echo 34. and CMR imaging were the RV anterior region which is poorly visualized by real-time 3D echo, 35. the use of disc summation by CMR imaging, and the visualization and management of trabeculae. The understanding of this intermodality discordance will help to implement real-time 37.

27.

3D echo into clinical practice, assuming that consensus will be reached regarding a uniform 38. methodology for contour delineation. Because the identification of the RV endocardial border 39.
represents a crucial step in RV analysis, we investigated the value of using contrast on both RV endocardial border visualization and quantification in Chapter 9. Non contrast-enhanced and 2. contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo datasets were obtained to determine RV volumes and EF. A 17-segment RV model was used to grade the endocardial border definition. Three image-4. quality groups (good, fair, and uninterpretable) were identified. During contrast-enhanced 3D echo, compared with non contrast-enhanced 3D echo, the number of segments with optimal visualization of the endocardial border increased as well as the number of participants with a good-guality echocardiogram. On the other hand, the RV outflow tract and inferior wall 8. 9. appeared worse compared with non contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo. Furthermore, smaller RV volumes were found using contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo compared with non contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo. The variability of the contrast-enhanced versus the non contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo images was not different. So, using echo contrast 12. does not provide the ultimate solution for poor RV visibility and quantification. At this moment, it is not advised to use contrast-enhanced real-time 3D echo for RV analysis. 14. In Chapter 10 the findings of this thesis are evaluated. Results of our studies concerning 16. the assessment of RV size and function and the prognostic importance of RV function in cardiac disease are discussed in the context of known literature on these topics. Furthermore, 17. 18. future perspectives on the clinical role of real-time 3D echo-derived RV volumes and EF were 19. described. 21. 24. 25. 27. 28. 31. 34.

### SAMENVATTING

2.

3. Deel 1: Echocardiografie bij congenitale hartziekten

In Hoofdstuk 1 worden in de algemene introductie de karakteristieken van de rechter ventrikel 4 (RV) beschreven. Naast basisinformatie over RV anatomie en functie, komen ook de verscheidene beeldvormingstechnieken die gebruikt worden voor RV bepalingen aan bod. Verder is een overzicht van de inhoud van dit proefschrift weergegeven. Een systematisch overzichtsartikel betreffende de klinische waarde van echocardiografische beeldvormingsmodaliteiten 8. 9. bij patiënten met congenitale hartziekten is weergegeven in Hoofdstuk 2. Hieruit bleken RV metingen met behulp van real-time driedimensionale echocardiografie (3D echo) meer accuraat te zijn dan de gebruikelijke tweedimensionale echocardiografische metingen bij patiënten de volgende specifieke congenitale hartziekten: tetralogie van Fallot, atrium septum defect en 12. systemische rechter ventrikel. De toepassing van Doppler echocardiografie, waarmee regionale RV wandbewegingssnelheden, strain, en strain rate kunnen worden bepaald, resulteerde in 14. een wisselende overeenkomst met RV ejectiefractie (EF) op basis van cardiale magnetische resonantie imaging (MRI), de referentietechniek voor bepaling van RV volumina en EF. Naast de metingen die gedaan zouden moeten worden volgens de meest recente richtlijnen wat 17. 18. betreft echocardiografie en wat betreft aangeboren hartafwijkingen, is het wenselijk om 3D echo toe te passen voor seriële follow-up van patiënten met congenitale hartziekten. In het 19. geval van onvoldoende beeldvormingskwaliteit of als een achteruitgang van de RV functie 21. wordt vastgesteld op basis van echocardiografische metingen, is MRI de aangewezen techniek. Het spectrum aan mogelijke toepassingen van 3D echo bij volwassenen met congenitale hartziekten is beschreven in Hoofdstuk 3. De berekening van RV volumina en EF is becommentarieerd, evenals de toegevoegde waarde van 3D echo voor het in beeld brengen van de 24. cardiale morfologie. Illustratieve voorbeelden van congenitale hartziekten die gezien worden 25. op de volwassenen leeftijd zijn beschreven. Dit impliceert dat de dokter niet langer de cardiale 27. anatomie in het hoofd hoeft te reconstrueren op basis van tweedimensionale afbeeldingen, maar hij/ zij ziet zich direct geconfronteerd met een realistische driedimensionale afbeelding.

29.

31. Deel 2: Rechter ventrikelacquisitie, -analyse en klinische toepassingen

In Hoofdstuk 4 worden de accuraatheid en reproduceerbaarheid van RV metingen op basis van
3D echo vergeleken met conventionele tweedimensionale echocardiografie en MRI bij patiënten met congenitale hartziekten en gezonde vrijwilligers. De geïncludeerde personen ondergingen elk de drie beeldvormingsonderzoeken en de resultaten hiervan werden met elkaar
vergeleken. We vonden dat 3D echo een toegevoegde waarde had wat betreft de accuraatheid
van de metingen ten opzichte van tweedimensionale echocardiografie, wanneer vergeleken
met MRI. De reproduceerbaarheid van de metingen op basis van 3D echo was vergelijkbaar
met die gebaseerd op tweedimensionale echocardiografie. Receiver operating characteristic

curves lieten zien dat metingen verkregen met 3D echo zeer sensitief en specifiek waren om RV disfunctie op te sporen bij patiënten met congenitale hartziekten. Kortom, klinisch gebruik 2. van 3D echo voor de begaling van de RV grootte en functie resulteert in een verbetering ten 3. opzichte van het gebruik van tweedimensionale echocardiografie alleen. In Hoofdstuk 5 heb-4. ben we de test-retest variabiliteit onderzocht van RV volume en EF metingen gebaseerd op 3D echo bij patiënten met congenitale hartziekten en gezonde vrijwilligers. Conventionele reproduceerbaarheid was al uitgebreid onderzocht, maar de test-retest variabiliteit, die 7. beter overeenkomt met de dagelijks klinische praktijk, was nog nauwelijks onderzocht. Twee 8. echocardiografisten hebben drie opeenvolgende RV datasets opgenomen bij elke deelnemer 9. tijdens een poliklinisch bezoek. De test-retest variabiliteit bleek goed te zijn en maakt dat 10. 3D echo, naast de praktische aard van de techniek, een waardevolle techniek is voor seriële 11. follow-up. Hoewel het moeilijk is om alle factoren uit te schakelen die van invloed zijn op seriële 12. echocardiografische onderzoeken, zal standaardisatie van RV metingen een doel moeten zijn 13. om data te verkrijgen die uitwisselbaar zijn tussen de verschillende afdelingen of ziekenhuizen. 14.

In een klinische validatie studie bij patiënten met een verscheidenheid aan congenitale hart-15. ziekten vonden we dat 3D echo bij zo'n 80% van opeenvolgend geïncludeerde patiënten kon 16. worden toegepast, zoals beschreven is in Hoofdstuk 6. Verder werd een goede overeenkomst 17. tussen RV volumina en EF op basis van 3D echo en MRI gevonden. In vergelijking met MRI 18. waren de RV volumina die gemeten werden met 3D echo kleiner. Een belangrijke bevinding 19. was dat de tijd die benodigd was om de rechter ventrikel in beeld te brengen en te analyseren, 20. slechts enkele minuten bedroeg en dus veel korter is dan wanneer gebruik gemaakt wordt van 21. MRI. Dus, bij de meerderheid van de ongeselecteerde patiënten met congenitale hartziekten, 22. voorziet 3D echo in snelle en reproduceerbare metingen van RV volumina en EF indien gebruik 23. gemaakt wordt van de huidige, commercieel beschikbare, hardware en software. In Hoofdstuk 24. 7 hebben we de bruikbaarheid van 3D echo voor het vaststellen van RV disfunctie bij patiën-25. ten met congenitale hartziekten onderzocht. Eerst hebben we de normaalwaarden voor RV 26. volumina en functie bepaald aan de hand van MRI waarden van gezonde vrijwilligers. Daarna 27. hebben we gebruik gemaakt van receiver operating characteristic curves bij patiënten met 28. congenitale hartziekten, om de sensitiviteit en de specificiteit van 3D echo voor het vaststellen 29. van RV disfunctie te bepalen. We hebben de beste afkapwaarden die RV disfunctie op basis van 30. 3D echo voorspelden, vastgesteld. 3D echo bleek een heel sensitieve methode te zijn om RV 31. disfunctie bij patiënten met congenitale hartziekten te identificeren en kan klinisch toegepast 32. worden om RV disfunctie uit te sluiten of om patiënten te identificeren die verdere kwantita-33. tieve analyse van hun RV functie behoeven, door bijvoorbeeld gebruik te maken van MRI. 34.

35.

### Deel 3: Probleemanalyse voor rechter ventrikel bepalingen

In Hoofdstuk 8 zijn de potentiële bronnen van volumeverschillen tussen 3D echo en MRI bestu37.
deerd bij patiënten met tetralogie van Fallot. Zoals eerder in deze samenvatting genoemd, zijn
38.
de RV volumina berekend op basis van 3D echo kleiner dan die bepaald met MRI. Software die
39.

een zij aan zij weergave van de 3D echo en MRI beelden mogelijk maakt, was voor deze studie gebruikt. De verschillen die gevonden werden tussen de RV volumina bleken veroorzaakt te 2. zijn door verscheidene bronnen, die ofwel samenhingen met anatomische RV karakteristieken 3. ofwel met de technologische beperkingen van de gebruikte technieken. De belangrijkste 4. bronnen van verschillen tussen RV volumina op basis van 3D echo en MRI bleken de matige afbeelding van het RV anterieure segment met 3D echo, het gebruik van de methode van disc sommatie voor RV bepalingen met MRI en de afbeelding en hantering van trabekels. Begrip 8. van de verschillen tussen beide technieken zal de implementatie van 3D echo in de klinische 9. praktijk vergemakkelijken. Omdat het tracen van de RV endocardiale grens een cruciale stap betreft in de RV analyse, hebben we de waarde van het gebruik van contrast onderzocht op zowel de zichtbaarheid van de RV endocardiale grens en de RV bepalingen in Hoofdstuk 9. 3D echo datasets met en zonder contrast werden opgenomen om RV volumina en EF te 12. bepalen. Een 17-segment RV model werd gebruikt om de definitie van de endocardiale grens te graderen voor de verschillende RV segmenten. Drie groepen met een verschillende beeld-14. vormingskwaliteit (goed, redelijk en niet te interpreteren) werden vastgesteld. Bij het gebruik van contrast nam het aantal segmenten met een optimale afbeelding van de endocardial 16. grens toe alsook het aantal deelnemers met een goede kwaliteit echocardiogram. Echter bij 17. 18. het bekijken van segmentale verschillen bleek juist dat de RV uitstroombaan en onderwand slechter afgebeeld werden bij het gebruik van contrast. Verder werden kleinere RV volumina 19. gevonden bij de toepassing van contrast. De variabiliteit van de RV metingen op basis van 3D 21. echo verschilde niet. Dus, het gebruiken van contrast voorziet niet in de ultieme oplossing voor matige RV beeldvorming en kwantificatie. Op dit moment is er geen indicatie om contrast toe te passen bij toepassing van 3D echo voor RV bepalingen. 24. In Hoofdstuk 10 worden de bevindingen uit dit proefschrift geëvalueerd. De studieresultaten betreffende de bepaling van RV grootte en functie met 3D echo en de prognostische betekenis 25. van RV functie bij cardiale ziekten, worden weergegeven binnen de context van bekende litera-27. tuur. Verder worden ook de verwachtingen ten aanzien van toekomstige ontwikkelingen en de klinische toepassing van 3D echo voor RV volumina en EF, beschreven. 28. 31.

- 34.
- 35.
- 36
- 37.
- 38.
- 39.



List of publications Abstracts Related awards PhD portfolio About the author Dankwoord

a) 's as of an inter we all when the a

against have a state a series i is

desperieurs allergijes adhar The ted mendalad merijaal te atempakte weiged

your make appaged the frank

L'untakte ball derestation

S. S. S. Post.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

| 2.  |     |  |
|-----|-----|--|
| 3.  | 1.  | Soliman OI, Kirschbaum SW, van Dalen BM, van der Zwaan HB, Mahdavian Delavary                  |
| 4.  |     | B, Vletter WB, van Geuns RJ, Ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse ML. Accuracy and reproducibility of        |
| 5.  |     | quantitation of left ventricular function by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography      |
| 6.  |     | versus cardiac magnetic resonance. Am J Cardiol. 2008 Sep 15;102(6):778-83.                    |
| 7.  | 2.  | Soliman OI, van Dalen BM, Nemes A, van der Zwaan HB, Vletter WB, ten Cate FJ, Theuns DA,       |
| 8.  |     | Jordaens LJ, Geleijnse ML. Quantification of left ventricular systolic dyssynchrony by real-   |
| 9.  |     | time three-dimensional echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2009 Mar;22(3):232-9.          |
| 10. | 3.  | van Dalen BM, Soliman OI, Vletter WB, Kauer F, van der Zwaan HB, ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse        |
| 11. |     | ML. Feasibility and reproducibility of left ventricular rotation parameters measured by        |
| 12. |     | speckle tracking echocardiography. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2009 Jul;10(5):669-76.                  |
| 13. | 4.  | van Dalen BM, Kauer F, Michels M, Soliman OI, Vletter WB, van der Zwaan HB, ten Cate FJ,       |
| 14. |     | Geleijnse ML. Delayed left ventricular untwisting in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am         |
| 15. |     | Soc Echocardiogr. 2009 Dec;22(12):1320-6.  |
| 16. | 5.  | van Dalen BM, Kauer F, Vletter WB, Soliman OI, van der Zwaan HB, Ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse        |
| 17. |     | ML. Influence of cardiac shape on left ventricular twist. J Appl Physiol. 2010 Jan;108(1):146- |
| 18. |     | 51.  |
| 19. | 6.  | van Dalen BM, Soliman OI, Kauer F, Vletter WB, van der Zwaan HB, Cate FJ, Geleijnse ML.        |
| 20. |     | Alterations in left ventricular untwisting with ageing. Circ J. 2010 Jan;74(1):101-8.          |
| 21. | 7.  | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Luijnenburg SE, Roos-Hesselink          |
| 22. |     | JW, Meijboom FJ. Clinical value of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography for right      |
| 23. |     | ventricular quantification in congenital heart disease: validation with cardiac magnetic       |
| 24. |     | resonance imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010 Feb;23(2):134-40.                               |
| 25. | 8.  | Soliman OI, De Jong N, Van Der Zwaan HB, Galema TW, Vletter WB, Van Dalen BM, Schin-           |
| 26. |     | kel AF, Ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse ML. Contrast echocardiography: mechanism of action, safety      |
| 27. |     | and clinical applications. Minerva Cardioangiol. 2010 Jun;58(3):343-55.                        |
| 28. | 9.  | van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Boersma E, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Soliman OI, Roos-            |
| 29. |     | Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ. Usefulness of real-time three-dimensional echocardiography          |
| 30. |     | to identify right ventricular dysfunction in patients with congenital heart disease. Am J      |
| 31. |     | Cardiol. 2010 Sep 15;106(6):843-50.  |
| 32. | 10. | van der Zwaan HB, Stoel MG, Roos-Hesselink JW, Veen G, Boersma E, von Birgelen C. Early        |
| 33. |     | versus late ST-segment resolution and clinical outcomes after percutaneous coronary            |
| 34. |     | intervention for acute myocardial infarction. Neth Heart J. 2010 Sep;18(9):416-22.             |
| 35. | 11. | van Dalen BM, Caliskan K, Soliman Oll, Kauer F, van der Zwaan HB, Vletter WB, van Vark         |
| 36. |     | LC, Ten Cate FJ, Geleijnse ML. Diagnostic value of rigid body rotation in noncompaction        |
| 37. |     | cardiomyopathy. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2011.   |
| 38. | 12. | van der Zwaan HB, Geleijnse ML, Soliman Oll, McGhie JS, Wiegers-Groeneweg EJA,                 |
| 39. |     | Helbing WA, Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ. Test-Retest Variability of Volumetric Right        |

|     | Ventricular Measurements Using Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography. J Am           | 1.        |
|-----|---|-----------|
|     | Soc Echocardiogr. 2011.   | 2.        |
| 13. | van der Zwaan HB, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Boersma E, Helbing WA, Meijboom FJ,              | 3.        |
|     | Roos-Hesselink JW. Right ventricular quantification in clinical practice: two-dimensional   | 4.        |
|     | versus three-dimensional echocardiography compared with cardiac magnetic resonance          | 5.        |
|     | imaging. Eur J Echocardiogr. 2011   | б.        |
| 14. | van der Zwaan HB, Roos-Hesselink JW, Boersma E, Helbing WA, Soliman OII, Geleijnse          | 7.        |
|     | ML, Meijboom FJ. Right ventricular quantification in congenital heart disease: a systematic | 8.        |
|     | review on conventional and new echocardiographic techniques. Submitted.                     | 9.        |
| 15. | van der Zwaan HB, Leung KYE, Soliman Oll, van Burken G, Bosch JG, McGhie JS, Roos-          | 10.       |
|     | Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ, Geleijnse ML, Helbing WA. Sources of differences in volumetric   | 11.       |
|     | right ventricular estimation using a 9-Segment model: real-time 3-dimensional echocar-      | 12.       |
|     | diography versus cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Submitted.                             | 13.       |
| 16. | van der Zwaan HB, Gommans F, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Meijboom FJ, van Dijk AP,             | 14.       |
|     | Roos-Hesselink JW. Right Ventricular Visualization and Quantification by Using Contrast in  | 15.       |
|     | Real-Time Three-Dimensional Echocardiography. Submitted.                                    | 16.       |
|     |   | 17.       |
|     |   | 18.       |
|     |   | 19.       |
|     |   | 20.       |
|     |   | 21.       |
|     |   | 22.       |
|     |   | 23.       |
|     |   | 24.       |
|     |   | 25.       |
|     |   | 26.       |
|     |   | 27,       |
|     |   | 28.       |
|     |   | 29.       |
|     |   | 5U.<br>21 |
|     |   | 21.<br>22 |
|     |   | 32.       |
|     |   | 34        |
|     |   | 35        |
|     |   | 36.       |
|     |   | 37.       |
|     |   | 38.       |
|     |   | 39.       |
|     |   | ~ ~ 1     |

### ABSTRACTS

- 2.
- 3. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres 2009, Amsterdam:
- 1. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Roos- Hesselink JW, Meijboom 4 FJ. Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography for right ventricular function in congenital heart disease: validation with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Oral Presentation. 8 9. Annual Congress of the European Society of Cardiology 2009, Barcelona, Spain: 10. 2. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Geleijnse ML, Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ. Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography for right ventri-12. cular function in congenital heart disease: validation with cardiac magnetic resonance 13. imaging. Oral Presentation. 14. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie najaarscongres 2009, Amsterdam: 3. van der Zwaan HB, Soliman OII, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Geleijnse ML, 16. 17. Meijboom FJ. The ability of real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography to identify right 18. ventricular dysfunction in congenital heart disease. Oral Presentation. 19. EuroEcho 2009, Madrid, Spain: 21. 4. van der Zwaan HB, Soliman Oll, Helbing WA, McGhie JS, Roos - Hesselink JW, Geleijnse ML, Meijboom FJ. The ability of real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography to identify right ventricular dysfunction in congenital heart disease. Poster Presentation. 24. 25. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres 2010, Papendal: van der Zwaan HB, Leung KYE, Soliman Oll, van Burken G, Bosch JG, McGhie JS, Roos-26. 5. 27. Hesselink JW, Geleijnse ML, Meijboom FJ, Helbing WA. Sources of differences in volumetric 28. right ventricular estimation using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Poster Presentation. Annual Congress of the European Society of Cardiology 2010, Stockholm, Sweden: 6. van der Zwaan HB, Helbing WA, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ. Comparing right ventricular measurements in healthy Dutch volunteers with the cur-34. rent quidelines. Poster Presentation. 36. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie najaarscongres 2010, Egmond aan Zee: 37. **7.** van der Zwaan HB, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Boersma E, Helbing WA, Meijboom FJ, Roos-Hesselink JW. Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus three-dimensional
- 39. echocardiography as compared to cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. *Oral Presentation*.

1. EuroEcho 2010, Copenhagen, Denmark: van der Zwaan HB, Meijboom FJ, McGhie JS, Helbing WA, Geleijnse ML, Roos-Hesselink 8. 2. JW. Echocardiographic variables of right ventricular size and function in patients with con-3. genital heart disease: comparison with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging Moderated 4. Poster Presentation. van der Zwaan HB, Leung KYE, Soliman Oll, van Burken G, Bosch JG, McGhie JS, Roos-9. 6. Hesselink JW, Geleijnse ML, Meijboom FJ, Helbing WA. Sources of differences in volumetric 7. right ventricular estimation using a 9-segment model: real-time 3-dimensional echocar-8. diography and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Moderated Poster Presentation. 9. Annual Congress of the European Society of Cardiology 2011, Paris, France: 10. van der Zwaan HB, Geleijnse ML, Soliman Oll, McGhie JS, Wiegers-Groeneweg EJA, 12. Helbing WA, Roos-Hesselink JW, Meijboom FJ. Test-retest variability of volumetric right 13. ventricular measurements using real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. 14. 11. van der Zwaan HB, Gommans F, Geleijnse ML, McGhie JS, Meijboom FJ, van Dijk AP, 15. Roos-Hesselink JW. Right ventricular visualization and quantification using contrast using 16. real-time three-dimensional echocardiography. 18. 19. 21. 23. 24. 25. 27. 28. 29. 34.

# 1. AWARDS

2.

| <ul> <li>Price best oral presentation Congenital heart disease session "Nederlandse Verenigi<br/>voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres 2009" (Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dime<br/>sional echocardiography for right ventricular function in congenital heart disease: valid<br/>tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati<br/>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>1.</li> <li>Price best oral presentation in a compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>1.</li> <li>1.</li> <li>1.</li> <li>1.</li> <li>2.</li> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> <li>9</li></ul> | ∠.        |   |   |
|--|-----------|---|---|
| <ul> <li>voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres 2009" (Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dime<br/>sional echocardiography for right ventricular function in congenital heart disease: valic<br/>tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati<br/>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>environment of the set o</li></ul>     | 3.        | - | Price best oral presentation Congenital heart disease session "Nederlandse Vereniging       |
| <ul> <li>sional echocardiography for right ventricular function in congenital heart disease: valid tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Jesus to a compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a dimensional echocardiography as comp</li></ul>                                 | 4.        |   | voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres 2009" (Feasibility and accuracy of real-time 3-dimen-     |
| <ul> <li>tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati<br/>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance</li> <li>endimagnetic echocardiac magnetic echocardiac echocard</li></ul>             | 5.        |   | sional echocardiography for right ventricular function in congenital heart disease: valida- |
| <ul> <li>Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati<br/>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolog<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>endition of the set of a set of the set of t</li></ul>             | 6.        |   | tion with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)   |
| <ul> <li>voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimati<br/>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a</li> <li>a</li></ul>                 | 7.        | - | Price best poster presentation Imaging session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie     |
| <ul> <li>using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and card<br/>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a</li> &lt;</ul>                   | 8.        |   | voorjaarscongres 2010" (Sources of differences in volumetric right ventricular estimation   |
| <ul> <li>magnetic resonance imaging)</li> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio<br/>gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging<br/>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging</li> <li>a</li> <li>a&lt;</li></ul>                  | 9.        |   | using a nine-segment model: real-time three-dimensional echocardiography and cardiac        |
| <ul> <li>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardio gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus thre dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance mag</li></ul>                                 | 10.       |   | magnetic resonance imaging)   |
| 12.       gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus three dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging         13.       dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging         14.       15.         15.       16.         17.       18.         19.       11.         20.       21.         21.       22.         23.       24.         24.       25.         25.       26.         27.       28.         29.       30.         31.       23.         32.       33.         34.       35.         35.       36.         37.       38.         38.       39.         39.       30.         31.       31.         32.       33.         33.       34.         35.       36.         36.       37.         38.       36.         39.       30.         31.       31.         32.       33.         33.       34.         35.       36.         36. </td <td>11.</td> <td>-</td> <td>Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolo-</td>   | 11.       | - | Price best oral presentation Heart failure session "Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiolo-   |
| 13.       dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging         14.       .         15.       .         16.       .         17.       .         18.       .         19.       .         20.       .         21.       .         22.       .         23.       .         24.       .         25.       .         26.       .         27.       .         28.       .         29.       .         30.       .         31.       .         32.       .         33.       .         34.       .         35.       .         36.       .         37.       .         38.       .         39.       .         30.       .         31.       .         32.       .         33.       .         34.       .         35.       .         36.       .         37.       .         38.   | 12.       |   | gie najaarscongres 2010" (Right ventricular quantification: two-dimensional versus three-   |
| 14.         15.         16.         17.         18.         19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 13.       |   | dimensional echocardiography as compared with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging)           |
| 15.         16.         17.         18.         19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 14.       |   |   |
| 16.         17.         18.         19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 15.       |   |   |
| 17.         18.         19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 16.       |   |   |
| 18.         19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 17.       |   |   |
| 19.         20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 18.       |   |   |
| 20.         21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.  | 19.       |   |   |
| 21.         22.         23.         24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.  | 20.       |   |   |
| <ol> <li>22.</li> <li>23.</li> <li>24.</li> <li>25.</li> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> </ol>  | 21.       |   |   |
| <ol> <li>23.</li> <li>24.</li> <li>25.</li> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> </ol>   | 22.       |   |   |
| 24.         25.         26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 23.       |   |   |
| <ol> <li>25.</li> <li>26.</li> <li>27.</li> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>39.</li> </ol>  | 24.       |   |   |
| 26.         27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 25.       |   |   |
| 27.         28.         29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         30.  | 26.       |   |   |
| <ol> <li>28.</li> <li>29.</li> <li>30.</li> <li>31.</li> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>30.</li> </ol>   | 27.       |   |   |
| 29.         30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 28.       |   |   |
| 30.         31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         30.  | 29.       |   |   |
| 31.         32.         33.         34.         35.         36.         37.         38.         39.  | 5U.<br>21 |   |   |
| <ul> <li>32.</li> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>39.</li> </ul>   | 21.<br>22 |   |   |
| <ul> <li>33.</li> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>39.</li> </ul>  | ⊃∠.<br>>> |   |   |
| <ul> <li>34.</li> <li>35.</li> <li>36.</li> <li>37.</li> <li>38.</li> <li>39.</li> </ul>   | 27        |   |   |
| 36.<br>37.<br>38.  | 35        |   |   |
| 37.<br>38.<br>39   | 36        |   |   |
| 38.  | 37        |   |   |
| 20   | 38.       |   |   |
|  | 39.       |   |   |

| 1.                         | PHD PORTFOLIO SUMMARY  |   |             |                    |
|----------------------------|--|---|-------------|--------------------|
| 2.                         |  |   |             |                    |
| 3.                         | Summary of PhD training and teaching activi  | ties  |             |                    |
| 4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7.<br>8. | Heleen B. van der Zwaan<br>Erasmus MC Department: Cardiology<br>Research School: Coeur | PhD period: April 2008- Jan 2011<br>Promotor(s): Prof.dr. J.W. Roos-Hesselink;<br>Prof.dr. W.A. Helbing<br>Co-promotor: Dr. F.J. Meijboom |             |                    |
| 9.                         | 1. PhD training  |   |             |                    |
| 10.<br>11.                 |  |   | Year        | Workload<br>(ECTS) |
| 12.                        | General academic skills  |   |             |                    |
| 13.<br>14.                 | <ul><li>Biomedical English Writing and Communicat</li><li>Research Integrity</li></ul> | ion   | 2009        | 2.0                |
| 15.                        | <ul> <li>NWO Talent class "Branding Yourself"</li> </ul>                               |   | 2009        | 2.0                |
| 16.                        | <ul> <li>NWO Talent class "Networking"</li> </ul>                                      |   | 2010        | 0.5                |
| 17.                        |  |   | 2010        | 0.5                |
| 18.                        | Research skills  |   |             |                    |
| 19.<br>20.                 | - Statistics "Classical Methods for Data-Analysis                                      |   | 2008        | 5.7                |
| 21.                        | In-depth courses (e.g. Research school, Media  | al Training)  |             |                    |
| 22.                        | - Cardiac function and adaptation, Papendal  |   |             |                    |
| 23.                        | - Coeur courses on congenital heart disease ar   | nd cardiovascular   | 2008        | 2.0                |
| 24.                        | imaging and diagnostics  |   | 2009 - 2010 | 3.0                |
| 26.                        |  |   |             |                    |
| 27.                        | Presentations  |   |             |                    |
| 28.                        | Oral presentations   |   |             |                    |
| 29.                        | - Coeur courses on congenital heart disease. E   | rasmus MC   | 2009        | 0.3                |
| 30.                        | Rotterdam  |   |             |                    |
| 31.                        | - Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie voo  | orjaarscongres,   | 2009        | 0.9                |
| 32.                        | Amsterdam  |   |             |                    |
| 33.                        | - Annual Congress of the European Society of   | Cardiology,   | 2009        | 1.8                |
| 34.                        | Barcelona, Spain   |   |             |                    |
| 36.                        | - 4th European Echocardiography Course on C  | ongenital Heart   | 2009        | 1.5                |
| 37.                        | Disease, Rotterdam   |   |             | _                  |
| 38.                        | - Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie naj  | aarscongres,  | 2009        | 0.9                |
| 39.                        | Amsterdam  |   |             |                    |

|   | Year        | Workload |
|---|-------------|----------|
|   |             | (ECTS)   |
| - Congres Algemene Cardiologie, Davos, Switzerland                    | 2010        | 1.2      |
| - Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie voorjaarscongres,           | 2010        | 0.9      |
| Amsterdam   |             |          |
| - Wetenschappelijke vergadering sectie Kindercardiologie,             | 2010        | 0.6      |
| Nijmegen  |             |          |
| - 44 <sup>th</sup> annual meeting Association for European Paediatric | 2010        | 1.2      |
| Cardiology, Innsbruck, Austria  |             |          |
| - Three-Dimensional Echo Course, Rotterdam                            | 2010        | 0.9      |
| - PhD day Coeur, Rotterdam  | 2010        | 0.6      |
| - Academic innovations in Grown-Up Congenital Heart Disease,          |             |          |
| Zeist   | 2010        | 0.6      |
| - Nederlandse Vereniging voor Cardiologie najaarscongres,             |             |          |
| Egmond aan Zee  | 2010        | 0.9      |
| - EuroEcho, Copenhagen, Denmark                                       | 2010        | 1.8      |
| - Interactieve echocursus voor gevorderden: aangeboren                |             |          |
| hartafwijkingen, Rotterdam  | 2011        | 0.6      |
| - Coeur course on congenital heart disease, Erasmus MC,               |             |          |
| Rotterdam   | 2011        | 0.3      |
|   |             |          |
| (Moderated) poster presentations                                      |             |          |
| - EuroEcho, Madrid, Spain   | 2009        | 1.5      |
| - Annual Congress of the European Society of Cardiology,              |             |          |
| Stockholm, Sweden   | 2010        | 1.8      |
|   |             |          |
| International conferences   |             |          |
| - Three-dimensional cardiac imaging in comparison, Berlin,            |             |          |
| Germany   | 2008        | 0.6      |
| - EuroEcho, Lyon, France  | 2008        | 1.2      |
|   |             |          |
| Seminars and workshops  |             |          |
| - Coeur research seminars and lectures                                | 2008 – 2010 | 1.9      |
|   |             |          |
| Didactic skills   |             |          |
| - Course Teach the Teacher, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam                     | 2008 – 2010 | 1.9      |
|   |             |          |
|   |             |          |
|   |             |          |
|   |             |          |

| 1.  | 2. Teaching activities                              |             |     |
|-----|---|-------------|-----|
| 2.  | Lecturing   |             |     |
| 3.  | - Patiënten voorlichtingsdag                        | 2010        | 0.6 |
| 4.  | Supervising practicals Erasmus MC                   |             |     |
| 5.  | - Keuze-onderwijs tweedejaars geneeskunde studenten | 2009 – 2010 |     |
| 6.  | - RISK onderwijs                                    | 2010        |     |
| 7.  | - Junior Med School                                 | 2010        |     |
| 8.  | - Minor onderwijs aangeboren hartafwijkingen        | 2010        |     |
| 9.  |   |             |     |
| 10. |   |             |     |
| 11. |   |             |     |
| 12. |   |             |     |
| 13. |   |             |     |
| 14. |   |             |     |
| 15. |   |             |     |
| 16. |   |             |     |
| 17. |   |             |     |
| 18. |   |             |     |
| 19. |   |             |     |
| 20. |   |             |     |
| 21. |   |             |     |
| 22. |   |             |     |
| 23. |   |             |     |
| 24. |   |             |     |
| 25. |   |             |     |
| 26. |   |             |     |
| 27. |   |             |     |
| 28. |   |             |     |
| 29. |   |             |     |
| 30. |   |             |     |
| 31. |   |             |     |
| 32. |   |             |     |
| 33. |   |             |     |
| 34. |   |             |     |
| 35. |   |             |     |
| 36. |   |             |     |
| 37. |   |             |     |
| 38. |   |             |     |
| 39. |   |             |     |

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

2.

Heleen Berdina van der Zwaan was born on December 3rd, 1982 in Alkmaar, The Netherlands. After graduating summa cum laude from secondary school in 2001 (VWO, Nature & Health, Erf-4 gooiers College, Huizen), she started her medicine study at the Free University in Amsterdam. During medical school, she worked in the Anatomy department, teaching to medicine- and 7. biomedical students. She was an active member of the "Jaar Vertegenwoordigers Commissie" of the Free University. During the second year, her interest in cardiology was raised and 8. 9. she chose to follow a special, optional program on Heart- and Vessel diseases. Furthermore, she participated in a research project on ST-segment resolution and clinical outcomes after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction (head; Prof.dr. C.A. Visser). In 2007 she graduated summa cum laude from medical school and began to work as a cardiol-12. ogy resident at the Erasmus MC Thoraxcenter in Rotterdam. After three months, she started a research project concerning right ventricular assessment using real-time 3D echo in patients 14. with congenital heart disease, under the supervision of Prof.dr. J.W. Roos-Hesselink, Prof.dr. W.A. Helbing and Dr. F.J. Meijboom. April 2011 she started working in the internal medicine depart-16. ment at the Sint Franciscus Gasthuis in Rotterdam for two years (head: A.P. Rietveld), as part of her cardiology training. Afterwards she will work one year at the cardiology department of the 18. Albert Schweitzer Ziekenhuis in Dordrecht (head: Dr. M.J. Kofflard). Thereafter the cardiology 19. training will be continued at the Erasmus MC Thoraxcenter (head: Prof.dr. F. Zijlstra). 21. 24. 25. 27. 28. 31. 34.

20

### DANKWOORD

2.

3. The secret of getting things done is to act! (Dante Alighieri)

4.

Bovenstaande uitspraak is wellicht een goede typering voor het promotietraject in algemene zin; natuurlijk zijn er verscheidene mensen die de promovendus bijstaan, inspireren, uitdagen en nieuwe inzichten verschaffen. Graag wil ik hierbij jedereen danken die een bijdrage heeft geleverd aan de succesvolle totstandkoming van mijn proefschrift, waaronder alle patiënten 8. 9. met aangeboren hartafwijkingen die hebben deelgenomen aan het onderzoek. De afronding van dit promotietraject zal hopelijk het begin zijn van een mooie wetenschappelijke carrière. In de eerste plaats gaat mijn dank uit naar mijn co-promotor, dr. F.J. Meijboom. Folkert, wat een project en wat een bijzondere periode was dit! Jij vertrok uit het Erasmus MC toen 12. ik er begon. Het onderzoek maakte zijn dieptepunt door toen ik ermee startte, maar met de nodige inspanningen mag het resultaat er nu echt zijn. Jij typeerde het omslagpunt in het 14. onderzoekstraject aan de hand van het 'Tipping point' beschreven door Malcolm Gladwell: hoe kleine dingen een groot verschil kunnen maken. Vanaf een bepaald moment nam ons onderzoeksproject een zeker vlucht en ontvingen we positieve commentaren van reviewers. 17. 18. Ik dank je hartelijk voor het keer op keer kritisch bekijken van onze resultaten, je ideeën en je bereidheid om alle manuscripten van gedetailleerd en helder commentaar te voorzien. Verder 19. ben ik je ook bijzonder dankbaar voor alle keren dat je mij de kans gegeven hebt om presenta-21. ties te houden op congressen en symposia waardoor ik me verder heb kunnen bekwamen in het presenteren en een leuk netwerk heb kunnen opbouwen. Prof.dr. J.W. Roos-Hesselink, Jolien, tijdens één van onze gesprekken liet je me weten nooit spijt gehad te hebben van dingen die je gedaan had. Het waren de dingen die je niet gedaan 24. had waar je achteraf wel eens spijt van hebt gehad. Ik geloof dat ik nu hetzelfde kan zeggen 25. aangaande dit onderzoek. Ik ben heel blij dat ik dit traject ingegaan ben. Niet alleen heb ik veel 27. van je kunnen leren op het gebied van aangeboren hartafwijkingen of het onderhouden van

28. een netwerk, maar ook heb je mij vakkundig mijn eerste rode piste afgeholpen! Dank voor je

29. motiverende gesprekken, het delen van je enthousiasme voor het werk en de fijne omgang.

30. Prof.dr. W.A. Helbing, Wim, hartelijk dank voor het medemogelijk maken van dit onderzoek-31. sproject. Het voelt alsof ik in je voetsporen getreden ben: vijftien jaar geleden onderzocht jij 32. het gebruik van tweedimensionale echocardiografie voor de beoordeling van rechterven-33. trikelkarakteristieken. In tegenstelling tot tweedimensionale echocardiografie, bleek juist 34. cardiale MRI, destijds een heel nieuwe techniek, een geschikte beeldvormingstechniek voor 35. rechterventrikelbeoordeling. Ik hoop dat je het idee met mij deelt dat de driedimensionale 36. echocardiografische benadering van toegevoegde waarde is voor de afbeelding van die inter-37. essante rechterhartkamers.

Graag wil ik prof.dr. M.L. Simoons, prof.dr. L. Mertens en prof.dr.ir. A.F.W. van der Steen har-telijk danken voor het plaatsnemen in de leescommissie en voor de kritische beoordeling van

mijn proefschrift. Professor Simoons, ik ben heel content met mijn keuze voor het Erasmusm MC. Als co-assistent uit Amsterdam mocht ik bij u solliciteren waarbij u mij vragen stelde over 2. het syndroom van Eisenmenger, vanwege mijn interesse voor de congenitale cardiologie. 3. In mijn opinie vormt het Thoraxcentrum waaraan u zoveel jaren leiding hebt gegeven, een 4. ontzettend uitdagende, stimulerende en faciliterende werkomgeving. Professor Mertens, ik vind het een eer dat u mij zult opponeren. Uw kennis over de echocardiografische benadering van de rechterventrikel is veelomvattend zoals blijkt uit de voordrachten die u de afgelopen 7. jaren op verscheidene congressen hebt gegeven en die ik bij heb kunnen wonen. Onze 8. onderzoeksgebieden, deformation imaging en driedimensionale echocardiografie, zullen zich 9. wellicht binnen afzienbare tijd verenigen in driedimensionale speckle tracking. Professor Van 10. der Steen, het is bijzonder dat iemand een professor in eerste instantie doet denken aan een 11. stripfiguur. In ons geval bleek dat zo te zijn! Desalniettemin heb ik met plezier samengewerkt 12. met verscheidene medewerkers van de Biomedial Engineering afdeling van wie ik veel heb 13. mogen leren. 14.

Prof.dr. A.J. Bogers, dr. F.J. ten Cate en dr. A.P. van Dijk, vriendelijk bedankt voor het plaatsne-15.men in de grote leescommissie. Dr. Ten Cate, dank voor uw onaflatende belangstelling voor16.mijn onderzoek en het enthousiasme als ik opnieuw een prijs gewonnen had 'voor de echo17.afdeling'. Ik ben heel blij dat ik onder uw leiding ben begonnen aan de klinische opleiding tot18.cardioloog. Dr. Van Dijk, Arie, hartelijk dank voor de samenwerking aangaande het gebruik van19.echo contrast voor visualisatie van de rechterventrikel. Ik denk dat er een helder manuscript uit20.voortgekomen is.21.

Jackie McGhie, zonder jouw bijzondere expertise, volhardendheid, Schotse optimisme en 23. humor zouden we die bijzondere rechterventrikels nooit zo mooi in beeld gekregen hebben. lk 24. heb ontzettend plezierig met je samengewerkt: in eerste instantie was het ploeteren om tot de 25. juiste wijze van opname en analyse te komen, maar met wat trial and error zijn we nu experts 26. op het gebied van driedimensionale echocardiografie en rechterventrikels. We hebben onze 27. expertise intussen met velen mogen delen in allerhande hands-on sessies op verscheidene 28. congressen. Veel dank voor je betrokkenheid en ik stel voor dat we regelmatig fijn rode wijn 29. gaan drinken op een Amsterdams (of Delfts, Rotterdams, ..) terras. 30.

Dr. M.L. Geleijnse, Marcel, dank voor de kritische evaluatie van mijn manuscripten. Het is wel31.aardig om te beseffen dat onze voorkeur aangaande hartkamers en politiek net gekruist is. Het32.is je ongetwijfeld bekend wat men zegt over jeugdigheid en links stemmen en de move naar33.rechts bij het ouder worden: misschien is er hoop!34.

Wim Vletter, dank voor je acquisities op de vrijdagmiddagen in Jackie haar afwezigheid. lk 35. glimlach als ik bedenk dat je er een sport van maakte om die lastige pulmonalisklep in beeld 36. te krijgen. Je hoopte hiermee indruk te maken op Jackie en zodoende uit te komen onder je 37. wekelijkse kook- en/of stofzuigbeurten! Ellen Wiegers, heel fijn dat je bereid bent geweest 38. om je de driedimensionale echocardiografische benadering van de rechterventrikel eigen te 39.

maken. Het heeft geleid tot een mooie publicatie. Ik verwacht dat je je met veel enthousiasme zal mengen in de wetenschappelijke achtergronden van de echocardiografie. Willeke van der 2. Bent, zonder jouw bemoedigende woorden, lekkere muffins, humor en gezelligheid was mijn 3. promotietijd een stuk minder aangenaam geweest. Met name bij aanvang van het project heb 4. je me stevig bij de arm genomen en gewezen waar ik zo ongeveer uit moest komen. Ik denk dat het heel aardig gelukt is en dank je voor je inspanningen met betrekking tot de laatste loodies. René Frowijn, jetwat angstig kijk ik uit naar je serie boeken over de promovendi in kamer Ba-302 door de jaren heen! Dank voor je onuitputtelijke geduld als ik weer eens tachtig 8. 9. keer te vaak geklikt had of vieze vingers op het computerscherm maakte zonder dat het een touchscreen was. Intussen heb je me geleerd hoe ik figuren omzet van Powerpoint, naar PDF, naar Photoshop en weer terug, dus dat zal jou wat ontlasten. Kitty, Celeste en Tineke, fijn dat ik altijd welkom was op jullie zonnige kamer en zo nu en dan eens fijn bij kon kletsen. Anja, 12. Debbie, Ellen, Linda, Lourus en Marianne, dank dat ik vaak eventies snel voor mocht gaan om 14. kort een driedimensionaal echo te maken. Ik denk dat het nu aan jullie is: na al het onderzoek naar het gebruik van driedimensionale echocardiografie in het Thoraxcentrum, moeten we natuurlijk ook de eerste zijn die deze onderzoeksresultaten routinematig implementeren in de dagelijkse, klinische realiteit. Ik heb er vertouwen in dat dit bij jullie in goede handen zal zijn. 18. 19. Prof.dr. E. Boersma, Eric, hartelijk dank voor het meedenken bij moeilijk te duiden resultaten, het kiezen van de juiste statistische tests bij bepaalde onderzoeksvragen en je heldere input 21. op mijn manuscripten. Dr. J.G. Bosch, dr. K.Y.E. Leung en Gerard van Burken, Hans, Esther en Gerard, heel hartelijk dank voor de plezierige samenwerking. Ik blijf het een zeer sterke kwaliteit van het Thoraxcentrum vinden dat er zulke korte lijnen bestaan tussen de klinische cardiologie en ingenieurs. 24. Doordat mijn achtergrond van die van jullie verschilt, heb ik veel mogen leren. 25. Graag wil ik de afdeling Radiologie hartelijk danken voor de gastvrije ontvangst bij het 27. vervaardigen van MRI scans. In het bijzonder wil ik dr. A. Moelker bedanken, Adriaan, fijn dat je

28. je inzichten aangaande MRI scans van de rechterventrikel hebt gedeeld en de supervisie van de

29. MRI scans bij de gezonde vrijwilligers op je wilde nemen. Ik verwacht dat de analysesoftware

30. voor kwantificatie van ventrikelvolumina op basis van MRI ook spoedig meer driedimensionaal

31. van aard zal zijn en daarmee sneller en eenvoudiger zal worden.

Lucia Jewbali en dr. L. M. van den Toorn wil ik danken voor hun samenwerking aangaande de
 patiënten met pulmonale hypertensie. Ik denk dat jullie streven naar snelle en gestructureerde
 diagnostiek bij patiënten met pulmonale hypertensie van groot belang is voor het welbevin den van patiënten en een voorbeeld vormt van de moderne geneeskunde.

36. De kindercardiologen wil ik danken voor hun interesse in mijn onderzoek. Ik hoop van harte
37. dat driedimensionale echocardiografie een plaats zal vinden binnen de dagelijkse routine van
38. jullie afdeling.

39.

Dr. O.I.I. Soliman, Osama, during almost my complete PhD project you have been on my righthand side. We shared not only ideas on ventricular guantification using three-dimensional 2. echocardiography, but more importantly, we discussed life. Even though you insulted the right 3. ventricle I worked on by calling it the wrong ventricle, that made you laugh all the time, in my 4. new kitchen I will finally teach you how to cook penne all'arrabbiata. Dr. J.A. Schaar, Johannes, als een van mijn eerste kamergenoten heb ik, vaak met verbazing, genoten van al je levenswijsheden, enorme algemene kennis en scherpheid wat betreft onderzoek. Laura van Vark, wat 7. hebben we een ontzettend gezellige, relaxte, goede tijd gehad in Ba-302. Ik vind het best heel 8. bijzonder dat we eigenlijk vanaf onze start in het Erasmus MC fijn contact hebben en hoop dat 9. dit zo blijft. Floris Kauer, van die tijd in Ba-302 maakte jij natuurlijk ook deel uit: er zullen talloze 10. anekdotes blijven bestaan! Zeker de laatste maanden van mijn onderzoek, en daarmee ook 11. de laatste maanden van jouw versnelde onderzoeksperiode, hebben we vooral hard gewerkt 12. en ik hoop dat de resultaten daarvan zich snel voor je zullen openbaren in de vorm van mooie 13. publicaties. Lotte de Groot, wat een energie heb jij vanaf het begin gestoken in het opzetten 14. en includeren van patiënten voor je onderzoeksproject Hiervoor heb je chirurgen met de neus 15. dezelfde kant op moeten krijgen, secretaresses moeten motiveren, patiënten bereid moeten 16. vinden, en, niet in de laatste plaats, je co-promotor op het juiste spoor weten te krijgen. Ik ben 17. er zeker van dat dit zal resulteren in iets moois. 18.

Dr E. Moltzer, Els, glansrijk ben je mij een aantal maanden geleden voorgegaan. Ik heb 19. genoten van onze cuppu's samen en hoop dat we elkaar zo nu en dan tegenkomen om terug 20. te kijken op onze bewogen promotietrajecten. Saskia Luijnenburg en Tirza Springeling, in de 21. eerste plaats dank voor jullie hulp bij het includeren en scannen van de gezonde vrijwilligers. 22. Hoewel jullie misschien nog niet helemaal overtuigd zijn van de superioriteit van echocardiografie ten opzichte van MRI (!), denk ik dat we heel plezierig hebben samengewerkt. De etentjes 24. met elkaar moeten we in stand houden. Natuurlijk dank ik alle andere arts-onderzoekers van de Cardiologie evenals de arts-assistenten voor de fijne samenwerking en de onvergetelijk 26. skiweekenden die het werkplezier zeker vergroot hebben. Dank aan de congenitale dokters 27. en poli dokters voor de fijne werksfeer. Dank aan allen die als gezonde vrijwilligers hebben 28. deelgenomen aan mijn onderzoek. 29.

30

Giske Biesbroek en Nynke Teeninga, wat fijn dat jullie mij tijdens mijn verdediging bij zullen31.staan! Gis, onze vriendschap bestaat al zo'n vijftien jaar en lijkt alle verhuizingen en veranderin-32.gen van werk vrijwel moeiteloos te doorstaan. Ik hoop dat er nu weer wat lucht komt en we33.elkaar vaker kunnen zien. Ik ben ontzettend benieuwd naar het verloop van jouw promotietra-34.ject en hoop dat we vaker samen reisjes kunnen plannen als één van ons een congres heeft! Nijn,35.sinds de introductieweek van geneeskunde hebben we elkaar in het vizier en in verscheidene36.theatervoorstellingen hebben we samen op de planken gestaan. Ik heb grote bewondering37.voor je doorzettingsvermogen om een lastig promotietraject tot een goed einde te brengen.38.Ik ben ervan overtuigd dat je er in zal slagen en hoop dat de weg naar kindergeneeskunde39.

1. daarmee gelegd is. Verder hoop ik vooral op gezelligheid, theaters en terrasjes samen, buiten

2. het werk.

3. Vera en Ties, wat een ontzettend warm welkom hebben jullie mij gegeven! Dank voor jullie

4. steun de afgelopen tijd, jullie interesse in mijn onderzoek en de onmisbare hulp bij het klussen

5. in ons nieuwe, mooie huis. Voorlopig zullen er even geen boekjes meer verschijnen van Bas' of

6. mijn hand, maar dat zal het aantal etentjes bij ons thuis en onze vrije tijd wellicht ten goede

7. komen.

Oop en Oom, het boek is nu eindelijk af! Ik heb ontzettend veel bewondering voor jullie
 kracht en doorzettingsvermogen in de afgelopen periode. Nu vooruit met hopelijk nog heel
 wat bijzondere momenten samen in het verschiet. Opa en oma, ik hoop van harte dat jullie er
 de vijftiende bij kunnen zijn.

 Lennart, leukerd, wat een heerlijke broer ben je! Ik kan ontzettend met je lachen en de afgelopen periode heb ik veel aan je nuchtere kijk op het leven en je brede schouder gehad. Ik ben trots op hoe je in het leven staat! Het is goed om te zien hoe je je weg binnen de fysiotherapie vindt en die master zal zeker van toegevoegde waarde zijn. Ik wens Es en jou heel veel geluk en mooie momenten toe in jullie appartement in Haarlem waar ik lekker vaak langs zal komen, zodat we naar het filmhuis en Fred kunnen.
 Gijs en Cynthia, lieve pap en mam, wat een ongelooflijk jaar hebben we achter de rug!

Gelukkig bleek al snel dat we elkaar ook onder dergelijke, heftige omstandigheden heel nabij
 konden zijn. De liefde die jullie voor elkaar voelen en waarin ik ben grootgebracht, maakt dat
 ik me sterk voel. Dank voor jullie onuitputtelijke interesse en steun voor mijn studie, promoti etraject en al het andere in het leven. Ik heb mij altijd gestimuleerd gevoeld om het beste te
 bereiken. Nu hoop ik dat we nog veel jaren van elkaar zullen genieten, mooie reisjes kunnen
 maken en vooral ook de eens zo 'gewone' momenten intens met elkaar mogen beleven.

25. Sebas, liefste, dat geluk niet afhankelijk is van dingen buiten ons, maar van de manier waarop 26. wij de dingen zien, hebben we de afgelopen tijd ervaren. Ons prille samenzijn kreeg vorig jaar 27. onmiddellijk een vuurdoop, maar zoals jij eerder schreef, bestaat er tussen ons een diepe band 28. en unieke liefde. Jouw intelligentie, humor en optimisme maken elke dag bijzonder. Ik ben 29. ontzettend gelukkig dat we samen zoiets moois hebben. Onze beide promotietrajecten zijn 30. hierbij afgerond, en daarmee verdwijnt de directe aanleiding tot al dit moois, maar laten we 31. deze liefde een levenlang leven. Nu ons huis zover klaar is, mijn moeder dapper opkrabbelt, en 32. dit boek compleet is, moeten we wel op zoek naar een hobby: heb je een voorstel?!

33.

- 34.
- 35.
- 36
- 37.

38.

39.

### ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR THIS THESIS WAS GENEROUSLY PROVIDED BY:

Actelion Pharmaceuticals Nederland BV AstraZeneca Cardialysis Erasmus University Rotterdam Merck Sharp & Dohme B.V. Philips Nederland B.V. / Healthcare

Siemens AG Healthcare Sector

TomTec Imaging Systems GmbH