11-1-2008

On Some Properties of Quasi-Negative-Binomial Distribution and Its Applications

Anwar Hassan
University of Kashmir, anwar.hassan2007@gmail.com

Sheikh Bilal
Degree College, sbilal_sbilal@yahoo.com

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/jmasm

Part of the Applied Statistics Commons, Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons, and the Statistical Theory Commons

EARLY SCHOLARS
On Some Properties of Quasi-Negative-Binomial Distribution and Its Applications

Anwar Hassan
University of Kashmir
India

Sheikh Bilal
Degree College
India

The quasi-negative-binomial distribution was applied to queuing theory for determining the distribution of total number of customers served before the queue vanishes under certain assumptions. Some structural properties (probability generating function, convolution, mode and recurrence relation) for the moments of quasi-negative-binomial distribution are discussed. The distribution's characterization and its relation with other distributions were investigated. A computer program was developed using R to obtain ML estimates and the distribution was fitted to some observed sets of data to test its goodness of fit.

Key words: Simultaneous quasi-negative-binomial distribution, Borel-Tanner distribution, probability generating function, convolution property, characterization, chi-square fitting.

Introduction

The classical negative binomial distribution has become increasingly popular as a more flexible alternative to the Poisson distribution, especially in cases when it is doubtful whether the strict independence requirements for a Poisson distribution will be satisfied. In a classical negative binomial distribution the probability of success from trial to trial is assumed to be constant, but this assumption holds true only in the case of chance mechanism and is not realistic for many practical situations. Most living beings use past experiences (successes or failures) and wisdom to help determine future strategies to achieve goals, thus, the probability of success or failure does not remain constant. It is generally felt that the probability of a success

depends on the number of previous failures, and the quasi- negative-binomial distribution, as well as other distributions, takes this fact into consideration.

Much work has been done on the quasi-binomial distribution, but little has been done on quasi-negative-binomial distribution. The quasi-negative-binomial distribution has been obtained in different forms by Janardan (1975), Nandi & Das (1994), and Sen & Jain (1996), but has not to date been studied in detail. This article examines various aspects of this distribution. The distribution of the number of customers served in the queuing theory under certain assumptions, which gives rise to a quasi-negative-binomial distribution, was derived. It is also shown that the quasi-negative-binomial distribution belongs to a family of Abel series distributions. Some structural properties of the distribution are discussed, along with its relation with some other important distributions, and a characterization of the distribution is provided. A computer program written in R was developed to obtain ML estimates and the distribution was fitted to a number of data sets to show its superiority over other distributions.

Anwar Hassan is a Post Graduate in the Department of Statistics. Research interests: Probability and Lagrangian Probability distributions and Statistical Inference. E-mail: anwar.hassan2007@gmail.com. Sheikh Bilal Ahmad is in the Department of Statistics. Research interests: Probability distributions and Statistical Inference. E-mail: sbilal_sbilal@yahoo.com.

Methodology

Quasi-negative-binomial distribution (QNBD)

In the theory of queuing, suppose there exists a single queue beginning with r customers. Haight & Brever (1960) showed that, if it is first assumed that the random arrival time of a customer is at a constant rate (λ), and a constant amount of time is devoted to serving each customer (β), then the probability distribution of the total number of customers served before the queue vanishes is:

$$P(X:x) = \frac{r}{(x-r)!} \, x^{x-r-1} (\lambda\beta)^{x-r} \, e^{-\lambda\beta x}$$

(2.1)

$$x = r, r+1, ...$$

This is known as the Borel-Tanner distribution and it gives the probability of a customer arriving during the period $(t, t+\Delta t)$ as $\lambda(\Delta t) + 0(\Delta t)$, by assuming λ is constant, where $0(\Delta t)$ is the probability of two or more customers arriving in this period. This assumption, however, is not realistic. The random arrival time of customers is not at a constant rate, it varies from interval to interval of equal length. In order to make the formula more flexible it is allowed to vary in different intervals of equal length with a constant amount of time (β) spent serving each customer. Thus gives the probability distribution of total number of customers served before the queue vanishes as:

$$P(X:x) =$$

$$E\left[\frac{r}{(x-r)!} \, x^{x-r-1} (\lambda\beta)^{x-r} \, e^{-\lambda\beta x} \right]$$

(2.2)

where expectation is to be taken over λ. Suppose that the distribution of λ is a gamma variate with parameters (a, b), then the above equation becomes:

$$P(X = x) = \frac{r}{(x-r)!} \, x^{x-r-1}$$

$$\beta^{x-r} \, \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{a+x-r-1} \, e^{-\lambda(b+\beta x)} \, d\lambda$$

(2.3)

$$= \frac{\beta r}{(x-r)!} \, (\beta x)^{x-r-1} \, \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \, \frac{\Gamma(a+x-r)}{(b+\beta x)^{a+x-r}}$$

Taking $x = x + r$, that is, starting with an idle queue the probability distribution becomes:

$$P(X:x) = \frac{\Gamma(a+x)}{\Gamma(a) \, x!} \, \frac{(\beta r) b^a (\beta r + \beta x)^{x-1}}{(b+\beta r + \beta x)^{a+x}}$$

$$x = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

$$= \binom{a+x-1}{x} \, \frac{\theta_1 \, (\theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{(1 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{a+x}}$$

$$x = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

(2.4)

where $\beta r b^{-1} = \theta_1$, $\beta b^{-1} = \theta_2$. The distribution represented by (2.4) is a quasi-negative-binomial distribution (QNBD). Hence, the distribution of the total number of customers served before the queue vanishes, assuming a start with an idle queue wherein the random arrival time of customers follows a gamma distribution and the time occupied in serving each customer is constant, is a QNBD.

Equation 2.3 clearly suggests that the quasi-negative-binomial distribution is a mixture of the Borel-Tanner distribution (2.1) with gamma $\gamma(a,b)$ as the mixing distribution. Another way of obtaining the QNBD (2.4) is to compound the restricted generalized Poisson model $(\theta, \alpha\theta)$ with the gamma distribution $\gamma(a,b)$, where $\theta_1 = b^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \alpha b^{-1}$. This is the method employed to obtain the probability generating function of the proposed model (2.4).

The Abel series distribution and QNBD.

Charalambides (1990) explored the use of the Abel series and introduced the family of Abel series distributions with applications to fluctuations of sample functions of stochastic processes. Nandi & Das (1994) defined a family of Abel series distributions for real valued parameters r and b by its probability function:

$$P(x) = \frac{r(r+bx)^{x-1} h(x,b)}{f(r)}$$
$$x = 0,1,2,........$$

(3.1)

where $h(x,b) \geq 0$; $r \geq 0$ if $b \geq 0$ and $r + xb \geq 0$ if $b \leq 0$; $f(r)$ is finite and positive function given by:

$$f(r) = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} r(r+bx)^{x-1} h(x,b)$$

and

$$h(x,b) = \frac{1}{x!} \frac{d^x f(r)}{dr^x}\Big|_{r=-xb}.$$

Taking

$$f(r) = (c-r)^{-a}$$

results in

$$h(x,b) = \frac{(a+x-1)!}{(a-1)!x!}(c+bx)^{-a-x}$$

and, using (3.1), gives

$$P(X:x) = \binom{a+x-1}{x} \frac{r(r+bx)^{x-1}(c+bx)^{-a-x}}{(c-r)^{-a}}$$
$$x = 0,1,.........$$

(3.2)

Finally, taking

$$\frac{r}{(c-r)} = \theta_1 \quad, \frac{b}{(c-r)} = \theta_2$$

and

$$\frac{c}{(c-r)} = 1 + \frac{r}{(c-r)} = 1 + \theta_1$$

the quasi-negative-binomial distribution (2.4) is obtained. Hence, the QNBD is a member of the Abel series of distributions.

Structural properties.
　　Some of the structural properties that describe the nature of the quasi-negative-binomial distribution were studied. These properties are described as follows:

Convolution property.
　　Using (3.2) it is possible to show that quasi-negative-binomial variates possess the important – and very desirable – convolution property given by Theorem 4.1: The sum of two independent quasi-negative-binomial variates X_1 and X_2 with parameters $(a_1, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $(a_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, respectively, is a quasi-negative-binomial variate with parameters $(a_1 + a_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Proof:
　　The sum of the probabilities of the QNBD equals unity, therefore from (3.2) the following results:

$$(c-r)^{-a} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \binom{a+x-1}{x} r(r+bx)^{x-1}(c+bx)^{-a-x}$$

(4.1)

Considering the expansion of $(c-r)^{-(a_1+a_2)} = (c-r)^{-a_1}(c-r)^{-a_2}$ as a single series of Abel polynomials on the left-hand side and the product of two series of Abel polynomials on the right-hand side, using (4.1) and simplifying, the following identity is obtained:

$$\binom{a_1+a_2+x-1}{x} r(r+bx)^{x-1}(c+bx)^{-(a_1+a_2+x)}$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{x} \binom{a_1+t-1}{t}\binom{a_2+(x-t)-1}{x-t} r(r+bt)^{t-1} r(r+b(x-t))^{x-t-1}$$
$$(c+bt)^{-(a_1+t)}(c+b(x-t))^{-(a_2+x-t)}$$

(4.2)

This identity reduces to a Vandermonde-type identity on $b = 0$, Lagrangian Probability Distribution (Consul & Famoye, 2006).
　　Assuming the sum $X_1 + X_2 = x$, then by definition:

$$P((X_1+X_2):x) = \sum_{t=0}^{x} P_t(a_1,r,b,c) P_{x-t}(a_2,r,b,c)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(c-r)^{-(a_1+a_2)}} \sum_{t=0}^{x} \binom{a_1+t-1}{t} \binom{a_2+(x-t)-1}{x-t} r(r+bx)^{t-1}$$

$$r(r+b(x-t))^{x-t-1}(c+bt)^{-(a_1+t)}(c+b(x-t))^{-(a_2+x-t)}$$

Using the result (4.2) in the above gives:

$$P\big((X_1+X_2):x\big)$$

$$= \binom{a_1+a_2+x-1}{x} \frac{(r+bx)^{x-1}(c+bx)^{-(a_1+a_2+x)}}{(c-r)^{-(a_1+a_2)}}.$$

Next, taking

$$\frac{r}{(c-r)} = \theta_1 \quad, \frac{b}{(c-r)} = \theta_2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{c}{(c-r)} = 1 + \frac{r}{(c-r)} = 1 + \theta_1$$

results in the convolution property:

$$P(X_1 + X_2 = x)$$

$$= \binom{a_1+a_2+x-1}{x} \theta_1 (\theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{x-1}$$

$$(1 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{-(a_1+a_2+x)}$$

$$= P_X(a_1 + a_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)$$

More generally the sum of n independent quasi-negative-binomial variates with parameters $(a_i, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, $i = 1,2,........n$ is also a quasi-negative-binomial variate with parameters $\big(\sum a_i, \theta_1, \theta_2\big)$.

Unimodality

The QNBD is unimodal according to the Lemma: if the mixing distribution is non-negative, continuous, and unimodal then the resulting distribution is unimodal. (Holgate, 1970) Thus, the proposed model is unimodal since the mixing distribution is the gamma distribution, which is unimodal.

Theorem 4.2: The QNB model (2.4) is unimodal for all values of (a, θ_1, θ_2) and the mode is $x = 0$ if $a\theta_1 < 1$ and, for $a\theta_1 > 1$, the mode is at some point $x = M$ such that

$$\frac{a\theta_1 - 1}{1 - \theta_1} < M < \frac{\theta_1(a-1)}{1 - \theta_1} .$$

Proof:

The QNBD model (2.4) gives the ratio

$$\frac{P(1)}{P(0)} = \frac{a\theta_1(1+\theta_1)^a}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{a+1}} < 1 \quad \text{If } a\theta_1 < 1,$$

since $\dfrac{(1+\theta_1)^a}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{a+1}} < 1 \qquad \forall(a, \theta_1, \theta_2)$

In general, the ratio of any two successive probabilities of QNBD (2.4) is:

$$\frac{P(x+1)}{P(x)}$$

$$= \frac{a+x}{x+1} \frac{(\theta_1+\theta_2+x\theta_2)^x}{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}} \frac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{a+x}}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2+x\theta_2)^{a+x+1}}$$

$$\text{(4.3)}$$

Since $\dfrac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{a+x}}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2+x\theta_2)^{a+x+1}} < 1$

$\forall(a, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, the ratio $\dfrac{P(x+1)}{P(x)} < 1$, if

$$\frac{\theta_1(a+x)}{x+1} < \frac{(1+x\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_2\theta_1^{-1}+x\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^x} \ , \quad \text{which}$$

is true only if $a\theta_1 < 1$ as

$$\frac{(1+x\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_2\theta_1^{-1}+x\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^x} < 1 \qquad \forall(\theta_1, \theta_2) .$$

Hence, for $a\theta_1 < 1$, the ratio $\dfrac{P(x+1)}{P(x)}$ is a non-increasing function, therefore the mode of the distribution is $x = 0$. Suppose $a\theta_1 > 1$ and the mode is at $x = M$, the ratio defined by (4.3) gives two inequalities:

$$\frac{P(M+1)}{P(M)} =$$

$$\frac{a+M}{M+1} \frac{(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^M}{(\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{M-1}} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{a+M}}{(1+\theta_1 + \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{a+M+1}}$$

$$< 1$$

and

$$\frac{P(M)}{P(M-1)} =$$

$$\frac{a+M-1}{M} \frac{(\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{M-1}}{(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{M-2}}$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1 - \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{a+M-1}}{(1+\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{a+M}}$$

$$> 1$$

$$(4.5)$$

By inequality (4.4):

$$\frac{\theta_1(a+M)}{M+1} < \frac{(1+M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-1}}{(1+\theta_2\theta_1^{-1} + M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^M} < 1$$

$$(4.6)$$

since

$$\frac{(1+M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-1}}{(1+\theta_2\theta_1^{-1} + M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^M} < 1 \quad \forall(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

The inequality (4.6) gives the lower bond to *M* as:

$$M > \frac{a\theta_1 - 1}{1 - \theta_1} \quad (4.7)$$

And by inequality (4.5):

$$\frac{a+M-1}{M} \frac{(\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{M-1}}{(\theta_1 - \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{M-2}}$$

$$> \frac{(1+\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{a+M}}{(1+\theta_1 - \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{a+M-1}}$$

Again

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1 + M\theta_2)^{a+M}}{(1+\theta_1 - \theta_2 + M\theta_2)^{a+M-1}} > 1 \quad \forall(a, \theta_1, \theta_2)$$

gives

$$\frac{\theta_1(a+M-1)}{M} > \frac{(1-\theta_2\theta_1^{-1} + M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-2}}{(1+M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-1}}$$

$$(4.8)$$

because

$$a\theta_1 > 1, \quad \frac{\theta_1(a+M-1)}{M} > 1 \quad \forall(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

and

$$\frac{(1-\theta_2\theta_1^{-1} + M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-2}}{(1+M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-1}} < 1 \quad \forall(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

Thus, (4.8) can be written as:

$$\frac{\theta_1(a+M-1)}{M} > 1 > \frac{(1-\theta_2\theta_1^{-1} + M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-2}}{(1+M\theta_2\theta_1^{-1})^{M-1}}$$

which gives the upper bond to *M* as:

$$M < \frac{\theta_1(a-1)}{1-\theta_1} \quad (4.9)$$

By combining (4.7) and (4.9):

$$\frac{a\theta_1 - 1}{1-\theta_1} < M < \frac{\theta_1(a-1)}{1-\theta_1}$$

the proof is completed.

Probability generating function

Consul & Shenton (1972, 1974) showed that the derivation of the probability generating function (PGF) of a generalized Poisson variate is not straightforward and is based on the power series expansion of a function in terms of another variable (see GPD by Consul-1989). As they show, the PGF of a generalized Poisson variate $(\theta, \alpha\theta)$ is:

$$G_x(u) = E(u^X) = e^{\theta(t-1)}$$

where $t = ue^{\alpha\theta(t-1)}$, and u is a dummy variable.

Similar to the generalized Poisson variate, the PGF of QNBD also does not seem to be straightforward. Therefore, by compounding the restricted generalized Poisson model $(\theta, \alpha\theta)$ with the gamma distribution $\gamma(a,b)$, where $\theta_1 = b^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \alpha b^{-1}$, and using a theorem by Feller (1943), the PGF of a QNBD is:

$$G_x(u) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-b\theta} \theta^{a-1} e^{\theta(t-1)} d\theta$$

$$= (1 + \theta_1 b - \theta_1 t)^{-a}, \ \theta_1 = b^{-1} \text{ and } \theta_2 = \alpha b^{-1}$$

where $t = ue^{\alpha\theta(t-1)}$.

The function $t(u)$ can be written explicitly using Lagrange's Theorem (see Whittaker and Watson, 1927) as:

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-n\alpha\theta} \frac{(n\alpha\theta)^{n-1}}{n!} u^n$$

Since θ is varying as gamma distribution $\theta \sim \gamma(a,b)$, the equation above gives:

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u^n \frac{(n\alpha)^{n-1}}{n!} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta(b+n\alpha)} \theta^{a+n-2} d\theta$$

And, after simplification results in:

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u^n \frac{\Gamma(a+n-1)}{\Gamma(a)\,n!} \frac{b^a (n\alpha)^{n-1}}{(b+n\alpha)^{a+n-1}}$$

Taking $\theta_1 = b^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \alpha b^{-1}$:

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u^n \binom{a+n-2}{n-1} \frac{n^{n-2} \theta_2^{\,n-1}}{(1+n\theta_2)^{a+n-1}}$$

Hence the PGF of a QNBD (2.4) is:

$$G_x(u) = (1 + \theta_1 b - \theta_1 t)^{-a}$$

where

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^\infty u^n \binom{a+n-2}{n-1} \frac{n^{n-2} \theta_2^{\,n-1}}{(1+n\theta_2)^{a+n-1}}.$$

Recurrence relation between the moments

Suppose $\mu_k'(a,\theta_1)$ denotes the rth moment about the origin of a QNBD (2.4), then

$$\mu_k'(a,\theta_1) = \theta_1 \sum_{x=0}^\infty x^k \frac{(a+x-1)!}{(a-1)!\,x!} \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{a+x}}$$

$$= \theta_1 \sum_{x=1}^\infty x^{k-1} \frac{(a+x-1)!}{(a-1)!(x-1)!} \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{a+x}}$$

taking $x = x+1$ and expanding $(x+1)^{k-1}$ results in:

$$\mu_k'(a,\theta_1) =$$

$$a\theta_1 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j} \sum_{x=0}^\infty x^j (\overline{\theta_1+\theta_2} + \theta_2 x)$$

$$\frac{(\overline{a+1}+x-1)!}{(\overline{a+1}-1)!\,x!} \frac{\theta_1(\overline{\theta_1+\theta_2}+\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{(1+\overline{\theta_1+\theta_2}+\theta_2 x)^{\overline{a+1}+x}}$$

Converting the above series into $\mu_k'(a,\theta_1)$ functions the recurrence relation

$$\mu_k'(a,\theta_1) = a\theta_1 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{j}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \mu_j'(a+1,\theta_1+\theta_2) + \\[2mm] \dfrac{\theta_2}{(\theta_1+\theta_2)} \mu_{j+1}'(a+1,\theta_1+\theta_2) \end{array} \right] \quad (4.10)$$

is obtained.

Where $\mu_j'(a+1,\theta_1+\theta_2)$ is the jth moment about the origin of a QNBD with parameters $(a+1,\theta_1+\theta_2,\theta_2)$. The relation (4.10) is used to determine the moments about the origin of a QNBD. Thus the mean of the distribution is:

$$\mu_1' = a\theta_1 \left[1 + \frac{\theta_2}{(\theta_1+\theta_2)} \mu_1'(a+1,\theta_1+\theta_2) \right]$$

$$(4.11)$$

Using (4.10) recursively on the function μ_1', the mean is $\mu_1' = a\theta_1 \, _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2]$, where $_2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2]$ is a hypergeometric function defined by:

$$_2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 1^{[j]} (a+1)^{[j]} \frac{\theta_2^{\ j}}{j!}.$$

The second moment about the origin is determined from (4.10) as:

$$\mu_2' = a\theta_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \dfrac{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) + \\[2mm] \dfrac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_2'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.12)$$

Repeated use of (4.12) on the function μ_2' gives:

$$\mu_2' = a\theta_1 \{ _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] + A_1 \} \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) + (a+1)$$

$$\theta_2 \frac{\theta + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2} \mu_1'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+(a+1)(a+2)\theta_2^{\ 2} \frac{\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}$$

$$\mu_1'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + \dots$$

Repeated use of (4.11) on the function μ_1' gives:

$$A_1 = (\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)(a+1) \, _2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_2^{\ 2}$$
$$(a+1)(a+2) \, _2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$

On substituting the value of A_1 in (4.12) the second moment is obtained by:

$$\mu_2' = a\theta_1 \, _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1(\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)a(a+1) \, _2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1\theta_2^{\ 2}a(a+1)(a+2) \, _2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$

Placing $k = 3$ in (4.10) the third moment is obtained by:

$$\mu_3' = a\theta_1 \left[1 + \frac{2\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) \right.$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_2'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$\left. +\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_3'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) \right]$$

$$(4.14)$$

Repeated use of (4.14) on the function μ_3' gives:

$$\mu_3' = a\theta_1 \{ _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] + A_2 + A_3 \} \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$A_2 = \frac{2\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) + (a+1)\theta_2$$

$$\frac{2\theta_1 + 5\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2} \mu_1'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+(a+1)(a+2)\theta_2^{\ 2}$$

$$\frac{2\theta_1 + 7\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2} \mu_1'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + \dots$$

$$(4.16)$$

and

$$A_3 = \frac{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2} \mu_2'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2) + (a+1)\theta_2$$

$$\frac{\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2} \mu_2'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+(a+1)(a+2)\theta_2^{\ 2}$$

$$\frac{\theta_1 + 5\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2} \mu_2'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + \dots$$

$$(4.17)$$

Repeated use of (4.11) in (4.16) gives:

$$A_2 = (2\theta_1 + 3\theta_2)(a+1) \, _2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2] + 2\theta_2^{\ 2}$$
$$(a+1)(a+2) \, _2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$

Converting μ_2' functions on the right hand side of (4.17) into μ_1' functions by the repeated use of (4.12) and using (4.11) on the function μ_1' gives:

$$A_3 = (\theta_1 + 3\theta_2)(a+1)\,_2F_0$$
$$[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_2^2 a(a+1)(a+2)\,_2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+(\theta_1^2 + 6\theta_1\theta_2 + 9\theta_2^2)(a+1)(a+2)\,_2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+(3\theta_1\theta_2 + 10\theta_2^2)(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)\,_2F_0[4, a+4, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+3\theta_2^4(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)\,_2F_0[5, a+5, \_; \theta_2]$$

$$+\frac{3\theta_1 + 7\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}\theta_2(a+1)\mu_1'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{3\theta_1 + 10\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}\theta_2^2(a+1)(a+2)\mu_1'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + ...\Bigg\}$$

Substituting the values of A_2 and A_3 into (4.15) results in:

$$\mu_3' = a\theta_1\,_2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_1$$
$$3(\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)a(a+1)\,_2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1(\theta_1^2 + 6\theta_1\theta_2 + 12\theta_2^2)a(a+1)$$
$$(a+2)\,_2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1\theta_2(3\theta_1\theta_2 + 10\theta_2^2)a(a+1)$$
$$(a+2)(a+3)\,_2F_0[4, a+4, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+3\theta_1\theta_2^4 a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)$$
$$(a+4)\,_2F_0[5, a+5, \_; \theta_2]$$

$$+3\Bigg\{\frac{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_2'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}\theta_2(a+1)\mu_2'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}\theta_2^2(a+1)(a+2)\mu_2'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + ...\Bigg\}$$

$$+\Bigg\{\frac{\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_3'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 5\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 2\theta_2}\theta_2(a+1)\mu_3'(a+2, \theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 6\theta_2}{\theta_1 + 3\theta_2}\theta_2^2(a+1)(a+2)\mu_3'(a+3, \theta_1 + 3\theta_2) + ...\Bigg\}\Bigg]$$

Similarly the fourth moment can be determined from (4.10) as:

$$\mu_4' = a\theta_1\Bigg[1 + \frac{3\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{3(\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_2'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_3'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

$$+\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_4'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)\Bigg]$$

(4.18)

Repeated use of (4.18) on the function μ_4' gives:

$$\mu_4' = a\theta_1\Bigg[\,_2F_0[1, a+1; \_, \theta_2] +$$

$$\Bigg\{\frac{3\theta_1 + 4\theta_2}{\theta_1 + \theta_2}\mu_1'(a+1, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$$

Repeated use of (4.11), (4.12), and (4.14) recursively on the functions μ_1', μ_2' and μ_3' respectively with simplifications results in:

$$\mu_4' = a\theta_1\,_2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_1$$
$$(7\theta_1 + 14\theta_2)a(a+1)\,_2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1(6\theta_1^2 + 36\theta_1\theta_2 + 6\theta_2 + 55\theta_2^2)a(a+1)$$
$$(a+2)\,_2F_0[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+\theta_1(\theta_1^3 + 3\theta_1\theta_2 + 12\theta_1^2\theta_2 + 63\theta_1\theta_2^2$$
$$+13\theta_2^2 + 114\theta_2^3)a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)$$
$$_2F_0[4, a+4, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_1\theta_2(6\theta_1^2\theta_2$$
$$+52\theta_1\theta_2^2 + 131\theta_2^3)a(a+1)(a+2)$$
$$(a+3)(a+4)\,_2F_0[5, a+5, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_1\theta_2^2$$
$$(15\theta_1\theta_2^2 + 70\theta_2^3)a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)$$
$$(a+4)(a+5)\,_2F_0[6, a+6, \_; \theta_2] + 15\theta_1\theta_2^6$$
$$a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)(a+4)$$
$$(a+5)(a+6)\,_2F_0[7, a+7, \_; \theta_2]$$

The moments about the origin can be easily verified for the negative-binomial distribution when $\theta_2 = 0$. Further, central moments can be obtained from the moments about origin, thus resulting in the variance:

$$\mu_2 = a\theta_1 \, _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] + \theta_1(\theta_1 + 2\theta_2)$$
$$a(a+1) \, _2F_0[2, a+2, \_; \theta_2]$$
$$+ \theta_1\theta_2{}^2 a(a+1)(a+2) \, _2F_0$$
$$[3, a+3, \_; \theta_2] - \left[a\theta_1 \, _2F_0[1, a+1, \_; \theta_2] \right]^2$$

The third and fourth central moments are coming in messy forms and are not shown here.

Relation with other distributions.

Theorem 5.1: Let X = a quasi-negative-binomial variate with parameters (a, θ_1, θ_2). If $a \to \infty$ such that $a\theta_1 = \alpha$ and $a\theta_2 = \lambda$ show that X tends to generalized Poisson distribution with parameters (α, λ).

Proof:
The QNBD can be expressed as:

$$P(X : x) = \frac{a(a+1)...(a+x-1)}{x!}$$
$$\frac{\theta_1(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{(1 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{a+x}}$$

(5.1)

$$= \frac{(1+a^{-1}).......(1+(x-1)a^{-1})}{x!}$$

$$\frac{(a\theta_1)(a\theta_1 + a\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{1 + (a+x)(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x) + \frac{(a+x)(a+x-1)}{2!}}$$
$$(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^2 + ...(\theta_1 + \theta_2 x)^{a+x}$$

Taking limit $a \to \infty$, such that $a\theta_1 = \alpha$ and $a\theta_2 = \lambda$ results in a generalized Poisson distribution with parameters (α, λ) as defined by Consul & Jain (1973).

Theorem 5.2: Let X = a quasi-negative-binomial variate with parameters (a, θ_1, θ_2). If

$a \to \infty$ such that $a\lambda^{-1} = \alpha$, show that X tends to the Borel-Tanner distribution.

Proof:
Stating (5.1) as:

$$P(X : x) = \frac{a(a+1)......(a+x-1)}{x!}$$
$$\frac{r(r+x)^{x-1}\lambda^a}{(r+\lambda+x)^{a+x}}$$

where

$$r = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \text{ and } \lambda = \frac{1}{\theta_2}.$$

Shifting the support of x from 0 to r, that is, $x = x - r$, results in:
$$P(X : x) =$$

$$\frac{a(a+1)......(a+x-r-1)}{(x-r)!} \frac{rx^{x-r-1}\lambda^a}{(\lambda+x)^{a+x-r}}$$
$$x = r, r+1, r+2, ...$$

$$= \frac{rx^{x-r-1}}{(x-r)!} \frac{a(a+1)......(a+x-r-1)}{\lambda^{x-r}(1 + x\lambda^{-1})^{a+x-r}}$$

Taking the limit $a \to \infty$ in such a way so $a\lambda^{-1} = \alpha$ results in the Borel-Tanner distribution

$$P(X : x) = \frac{r}{(x-r)!} x^{x-r-1} e^{-\alpha x} \alpha^{x-r},$$
$$x = r, r+1, r+2, ...$$
.

Theorem 5.3: Let X = a quasi-negative-binomial variate with parameters (a, θ_1, θ_2). Show that zero-truncated quasi-negative-binomial distribution tends to quasi-logarithmic series distribution as $a \to 0$.

Proof:
The zero-truncated quasi-negative-binomial distribution is

$$P_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma(a+x)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(x+1)}$$

$$\frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{[1-(1+\theta_1)^{-a}](1+\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{a+x}} \quad ,$$

$$x = 1,2,.....$$

(5.2)

Writing:

$$\Gamma(a)\left[1-(1+\theta_1)^{-a}\right] =$$

$$\Gamma(a)\begin{bmatrix} 1-(1-a\theta_1+\dfrac{a(a+1)}{2!} \\ \theta_1^2 - \dfrac{a(a+1)(a+2)}{3!}\theta_1^3 + ... \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \Gamma(a+1)\begin{bmatrix} -(\theta_1-\dfrac{(a+1)}{2!}\theta_1^2 + \\ \dfrac{(a+1)(a+2)}{3!}\theta_1^3 ......) \end{bmatrix}$$

(5.3)

Substituting the value from (5.3) into (5.2) and taking limit $a \to 0$ the quasi-logarithmic series distribution is obtained:

$$P_1(x) = \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{x-1}}{x[-\log(1-\theta_1)](1+\theta_1+\theta_2 x)^{a+x}} \quad ,$$

$$x = 1,2,...$$

Theorem 5.4: If X_1 and X_2 are two independent quasi-negative-binomial variates with parameters (n_1,θ_1,θ_2) and (n_2,θ_1,θ_2), respectively, then the conditional probability of X_1, given $X_1+X_2=n$, gives a hypergeometric-QNBD.

Proof:

Because X_1 and X_2 are two independent quasi-negative-binomial variates, the conditional probability

$$P\left[X_1:x \Big/ (X_1+X_2):n \right] =$$

$$\frac{P(X_1=x, X_2=n-x)}{\sum_{x=0}^{n} P(X_1=x, X_2=n-x)}$$

can be written as

$$P\left[X_1:x \Big/ (X_1+X_2):n \right]$$

$$= \frac{\dbinom{n_1+x-1}{x}\dfrac{\theta_1(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1+x}} \dbinom{n_2+n-x-1}{n-x}\dfrac{\theta_1(\theta_1+(n-x)\theta_2)^{n-x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+(n-x)\theta_2)^{n_2+n-x}}}{\dbinom{n_1+n_2+n-1}{n}\dfrac{\theta_1(\theta_1+n\theta_2)^{n-1}}{(1+\theta_1+n\theta_2)^{n_1+n_2+n}}}$$

$$= \frac{\dbinom{n_1+x-1}{x}\dbinom{n_2+n-x-1}{n-x}}{\dbinom{n_1+n_2+n-1}{n}}$$

$$\theta_1(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}$$

$$\frac{(\theta_1+(n-x)\theta_2)^{n-x-1}(1+\theta_1+n\theta_2)^{n_1+n_2+n}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1+x}}$$

$$(1+\theta_1+(n-x)\theta_2)^{n_2+n-x}(\theta_1+n\theta_2)^{n-1}$$

Thus resulting in a new distribution, here called the hypergeometric QNBD. This probability distribution reduces to the classical hypergeometric distribution on $\theta_2 = 0$.

Some characterization.
    A number of complex distributions can be reduced to the simpler form QNBD as shown in the following theorems.

    Theorem: 6.1. If X is a quasi-inverse Polya variate with parameters (n, a, b, t), and if $b \to \infty$ such that $ab^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $tb^{-1} = \lambda_2$ show that X approaches to quasi-negative-binomial variate.

Proof:

If X is a quasi-inverse Polya variate with parameters (n, a, b, t), then its probability mass function is:

$$P(X:x) = \frac{n}{n+x}\binom{n+x}{x}$$

$$\frac{a}{a+xt}\frac{(a+xt)^{[x]}(b+xt)^{[n]}}{(a+b+\overline{n+xt})^{[n+x]}}$$

$$x = 0,1,2,.....$$

,

which can be rewritten as:

$$P(X:x) = \frac{n(n+1)....(n+x-1)}{x!}$$

$$a(a+xt+1)...(a+xt+x-1)$$

$$\frac{(b+xt)...(b+xt+n-1)}{(a+b+\overline{n+xt})...}$$

$$(a+b+\overline{n+xt}+n+x-1)$$

.

Taking limit $b \to \infty$ such that $ab^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $tb^{-1} = \lambda_2$ results in:

$$P(X:x) = \binom{n+x-1}{x}\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1+x\lambda_2)^{x-1}(1+\lambda_1+(n+x)\lambda_2)^{-(n+x)}}{(1+n\lambda_2)^{-n}}.$$

Incorporating $\theta_1 = \lambda_1(1+n\lambda_2)^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \lambda_2(1+n\lambda_2)^{-1}$, the QNBD (2.4) is obtained.

Theorem 6.2: If X is a generalized negative Polya-Eggenberger variate with parameters (n,β,α,γ), and if $\beta \to \infty$ such that $n\beta^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $\gamma\beta^{-1} = \lambda_2$ show that X approaches to quasi-negative-binomial variate.

Proof:
The generalized negative Polya-Eggenberger distribution with parameters (n,β,α,γ) is:

$$P(X=x) = \frac{n}{(n+\beta x)}\binom{n+\beta x}{x}\frac{a^{[x]}\,b^{[n+\beta x-x]}}{(a+b)^{[n+\beta x]}}\quad,$$

$$x = 0,1,2,.......$$

This can be rewritten as:
$$P(X:x) =$$

$$\frac{n(n+\beta x-1)....(n+\beta x-x+1)}{x!} \quad (6.1)$$

$$\frac{\alpha^{[x]}\gamma^{[n+\beta x-x]}}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{[n+\beta x]}}$$

Writing

$$\frac{\gamma^{[n+\beta x-x]}}{(\alpha+\gamma)^{[n+\beta x]}} = \frac{\gamma^{[\alpha]}\gamma^{[n+\beta x-x]}}{\gamma^{[n+\beta x-x+\alpha+x]}}$$

$$= \frac{\gamma^{[\alpha]}}{(\gamma+n+\beta x-x)^{[\alpha+x]}}$$

$$= \frac{\gamma(\gamma+1)...(\gamma+\alpha-1)}{(\gamma+n+\beta x-x)...}$$

$$(\gamma+n+\beta x-x+\alpha+x-1)$$

On substituting this value into (6.1) and taking the limit $\beta \to \infty$, such that $n\beta^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $\gamma\beta^{-1} = \lambda_2$ results in:

$$P(X:x) = \binom{\alpha+x-1}{x}\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1+x)^{x-1}\,\lambda_2^{\alpha}}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+x)^{\alpha+x}}$$

$$x = 0,1,...$$

Taking $\theta_1 = \lambda_1\lambda_2^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \lambda_2^{-1}$ QNBD (2.4) is obtained.

Theorem 6.3: If X is a quasi-inverse hypergeometric variate with parameters (n, a, b, t), and if $b \to \infty$ such that $ab^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $tb^{-1} = \lambda_2$, show that X approaches to quasi-negative-binomial variate.

Proof:
If X is a quasi-inverse hypergeometric variate with parameters (n, a, b, t) then its probability mass function is:

$$P(X:x) = \frac{n}{n+x}\frac{a}{a+xt}\frac{\binom{a+xt}{x}\binom{b+nt}{n}}{\binom{a+b+\overline{n+x}\,t}{n+x}}$$

$$x = 0,1,2,.....$$

Restating this as

$$P(X:x) = \frac{(n+x-1)!}{(n-1)!x!}\frac{a}{a+xt}$$

$$\frac{(a+xt-x+1)^{[x]}(b+nt-n+1)^{[n]}}{(a+b+(n+x)t-(n+x)+1)^{[n+x]}},$$

expanding

$$\frac{a}{a+xt}\frac{(a+xt-x+1)^{[x]}(b+nt-n+1)^{[n]}}{(a+b+(n+x)t-(n+x)+1)^{[n+x]}}$$

and taking $\lim b \to \infty$, such that $ab^{-1} = \lambda_1$ and $tb^{-1} = \lambda_2$ the equation reduces to:

$$P(X:x) = \binom{n+x-1}{x}$$

$$\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1 + x\lambda_2)^{x-1}(1+\lambda_1+(n+x)\lambda_2)^{-(n+x)}}{(1+n\lambda_2)^{-n}}.$$

Taking $\theta_1 = \lambda_1(1+n\lambda_2)^{-1}$ and $\theta_2 = \lambda_2(1+n\lambda_2)^{-1}$, the QNBD (2.4) is obtained.

Theorem 6.4: If X_1 and X_2 are two independent non-negative integer valued random variables such that

$$P\left[{X_1:0}\middle/{(X_1+X_2):x}\right] =$$

$$\frac{n_2^{[n_1]}}{(n_2+x)^{[n_1]}}\frac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1}}{(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}$$

(i)

and

$$P\left[{X_1:1}\middle/{(X_1+X_2):x}\right] =$$

$$\frac{xn_2^{[n_1]}}{(n_2+x-1)(n_2+x)^{[n_1]}}$$

$$\frac{n_1\theta_1}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}\frac{(\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{x-2}}{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1+n_2+x}}{(1+\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{n_2+x-1}}$$

(ii)

where $n_2^{[n_1]} = n_2(n_2+1)......(n_2+n_1-1)$ and $\theta_1 > 0$, $\theta_2 > 0$, $n_1 > 0$, $n_2 > 0$, show that X_1 and X_2 are two independent quasi-negative-binomial variates with parameters (n_1,θ_1,θ_2) and (n_2,θ_1,θ_2) respectively.

Proof:
Let $P(X_1 = x_1) = f(x_1)$ and $P(X_2 = x_2) = g(x_2)$. By condition (i)

$$\frac{f(0)g(x)}{\sum\limits_{t=0}^{x}f(t)g(x-t)} = \frac{n_2^{[n_1]}}{(n_2+x)^{[n_1]}}\frac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1}}{(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}$$

(6.2)

and by condition (ii)

$$\frac{f(1)g(x-1)}{\sum\limits_{t=0}^{x}f(t)g(x-t)} = \frac{xn_2^{[n_1]}}{(n_2+x-1)(n_2+x)^{[n_1]}}$$

$$\frac{n_1\theta_1}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}$$

$$\frac{(\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{x-2}}{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}\frac{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_1+n_2+x}}{(1+\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{n_2+x-1}}$$

(6.3)

Dividing (6.2) by (6.3) results in:

$$\frac{f(0)g(x)}{f(1)g(x-1)} =$$

$$\frac{(n_2+x-1)}{x}\frac{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}{n_1\theta_1(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}$$

$$\frac{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{(\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{x-2}}$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{n_2+x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_2+x}}$$

which gives a recurrence relation
$$g(x) =$$

$$\frac{f(1)}{f(0)}\frac{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}{n_1\theta_1(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}$$

$$\frac{(n_2+x-1)}{x}\frac{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{(\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{x-2}}$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1+(x-1)\theta_2)^{n_2+x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_2+x}}g(x-1)$$

Repeated use of the equation above gives:
$$g(x) =$$

$$\left[\frac{f(1)}{f(0)}\frac{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}{n_1\theta_1(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}\right]^x$$

$$\frac{(n_2+x-1)....n_2}{x(x-1)......1}\frac{(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{\theta_1^{-1}}$$

$$\frac{(1+\theta_1)^{n_2}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_2+x}}g(0)$$

Substituting $\dfrac{f(1)}{f(0)}=\dfrac{n_1\theta_1(1+\theta_1)^{n_1}}{(1+\theta_1+\theta_2)^{n_1+1}}$ results

in:

$$g(x)=\binom{n_2+x-1}{x}\frac{(n_2+x-1)....n_2}{x(x-1)......1}$$

$$\frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_2+x}}(1+\theta_1)^{n_2}\,g(0)$$

.

f the above relation represents a probability mass function, then $\sum_x g(x)=1\Rightarrow g(0)=(1+\theta_1)^{-n_2}$ and this reduces the equation above to

$$g(x)=\binom{n_2+x-1}{x}\frac{(n_2+x-1)...n_2}{x(x-1)...1}$$

$$\frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{x-1}}{(1+\theta_1+x\theta_2)^{n_2+x}}$$

$$x=0,1,2,...$$

This is a quasi-negative-binomial distribution with parameters (n_2,θ_1,θ_2). Similarly it can be shown that $f(x)$ also represents a quasi-negative-binomial distribution with parameters (n_1,θ_1,θ_2).

Goodness of Fit

Due to its complicated likelihood function, the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameters of the proposed distribution are not straightforward and require some iterative procedure such as Fisher's scoring method or the Newton-Rampson method for their solution. R-software provides one such solution. In R-software there exists the function nlm, which minimizes the negative log-likelihood function or equivalently maximizes the log likelihood function for estimating the parameters of the distribution by adopting the Newton-Rampson iterative procedure. A random start procedure is employed, that is, for a set of random starting points, the function nlm searches recursively until global maxima is reached. To verify that the global maximum has been found the gradient should be equal to zero. The closer the value of the random starting points to the ML estimate, the lesser number of iterations will be required to obtain the global maximum.

Two data sets examine the fitting of the proposed model and compare it with the negative binomial distribution and generalized negative binomial distribution defined by Jain & Consul (1971). A computer program was developed using R-software to estimate the parameters of the distribution by using the nlm function. The ML estimates of the parameters so obtained are shown at the bottom of the tables. It is evident from tables 4.1 and 4.2 that, in all cases, the Chi-square values of the proposed model give the best fit as compared to other distributions.

Table 4.1: Absenteeism among shift-workers in steel industry; data from Arbous & Sichel, 1954

Count	Observed Frequency	Expected Frequencies		
		NBD	GNBD Jain & Consul's (1971)	QNBD Proposed Model
0	7	12.02	10.51	10.47
1	16	16.16	17.45	16.05
2	23	17.77	20.38	18.55
3	20	18.08	20.80	19.19
4	23	17.65	19.88	18.72
5	24	16.80	18.34	17.63
6	12	15.72	16.56	16.24
7	13	14.52	14.78	14.74
8	09	13.28	13.08	13.23
9	09	12.06	11.53	11.80
10	08	10.89	10.13	10.46
11	10	09.78	08.89	9.25
12	08	08.75	07.79	8.15
13	07	07.80	16.83	7.18
14	02	06.93	05.99	6.31
15	12	06.14	05.26	5.55
16	03	05.43	04.61	4.88
17	05	04.79	04.05	4.30
18	04	04.22	03.56	3.79
19	02	03.17	03.14	3.34
20	02	03.23	02.76	2.94
21	05	02.86	02.43	2.60
22	05	02.50	02.15	2.30
23	02	02.91	01.90	2.04
24	01	01.91	01.68	1.81
25-48	16	12.77	13.50	16.48
TOTAL	248	248	248	248
ML Estimate		p=0.854 n=1.576	p=0.00010775 β=5978.5288 n=29337.08391	$a = 2.0034559$ $\theta_1 = 3.8528528$ $\theta_2 = 0.0609776$
χ^2 d.f.		14.92 17	27.79 16	11.18 16

Table 4.2: Counts of numbers of European red mites on apple leaves; data from Garman, 1951

Count	Observed Frequency	Expected Frequencies		
		NBD	GNBD Jain & Consul's (1971)	QNBD Proposed Model
0	70	69.49	69.49	70.91
1	38	37.60	37.60	33.93
2	17	20.10	20.10	20.07
3	10	10.70	10.70	12.01
4	09	05.69	05.69	6.89
5	03	03.02	03.02	3.63
6	02	01.60	01.60	1.69
7	01	00.85	00.85	0.65
8	00	00.95	00.95	0.22
TOTAL	150	150	150	150
ML Estimates		p=0.5281 n=1.0246	p=0.52810 β =1.000 n=1.0246	$a = 0.6268217$ $\theta_1 = 2.3046227$ $\theta_2 = $ -0.1785658
χ^2 d.f.		2.484 3	2.484 2	1.957 2

References

Charalambidies, C. A. (1990). Abel series distributions with applications to fluctuations of sample functions of stochastic processes, *Communications in Statistics- Theory and Methods*, *19*, 317-335.

Consul, P. C. (1974). *A simple urn model dependent on predetermined strategy*, *Sankhya, B, 36*, 391-399

Consul, P. C. (1989). *Generalized Poisson distributions properties and applications*, Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York, NY.

Consul, P. C., & Famoye, F. (2006). *Lagrangian Probability Distributions*, Birkhauser: Boston, MA.

Consul, P. C., & Jain, G.C. (1973). A generalization of the Poisson distribution, *Techno-metrics*, *15*(4), 791-799.

Consul, P. C., & Shenton, L.R. (1972). Use of Lagrangian expansion for generating discrete generalized probability distributions, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, *23*(2), 239-248.

Consul, P. C., & Shenton, L.R. (1974). *On the probabilistic structure and properties of discrete Lagrangian distributions, statistical distribution in scientific work*, Vol. 1, G.P. Patil, S. Kotz, & J. K. Ord, Eds. D. Reidel Company: Boston, MA.

Feller, W. (1943): On a general class of contagious distributions, *Ann. Math. Stat. 14*, 389-400.

Gupta, R. C., & Ong, S. H. (2004). A new generalization of the negative binomial distribution, *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, *45*, 287-300.

Haight, F. A., & Brever, M. A. (1960). The Borel-Tanner distribution, *Biometrika*, *47*, 143-150.

Holgate, P. (1970). The modality of compound Poisson distribution, *Biometrika*, *57*, 665-667.

Janardan, K. G. (1975). Markov-Polya urn-model with pre-determined strategies, *Gujarat Statist. Rev.*, *2* (1), 17-32.

Johnson, N. L., & Kotz, S. (1992). *Univariate Discrete distributions*, second edition, John Willy & Sons, Inc.

Nand, S. B., & Das, K. K. (1994). *A family of Abel series distribution*, *Sankhya, B, 56*(2), 147-164.

Sen, K. & Jain, R. (1996). Generalized Markov-Polya urn-model with pre-determined strategies. *J. Statist. Plann. Infer.*, *54*, 119-133.

Whittaker, E. T., & Watson, G. N. (1927). *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA.