Case 3782 – *Nebela militaris* Penard, 1890 (Arcellinida, HYALOSPHENIIDAE): proposed conservation of the specific name by giving it precedence over *Nebela bursella* Taranek, 1881

Clément Duckert*

22

Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Émile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland (clement.duckert@unine.ch)

Quentin Blandenier*

Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Émile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland / Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Plaza de Murillo 2, 28014 Madrid, Spain

Fanny A.L. Kupferschmid

Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Émile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Anush Kosakyan

Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Branišovská 31, České Budějovice 37005 Czech Republic

Edward A. D. Mitchell

Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Émile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland / Jardin Botanique de Neuchâtel, Chemin du Perthuis-du-Sault 58, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Enrique Lara

Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Plaza de Murillo 2, 28014 Madrid, Spain

David Singer

Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Émile-Argand 11, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland / Department of Zoology, Institute of Biosciences, University of São Paulo, Brazil

* Co-first authors

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:AD19F334-9593-4EA1-9734-0041EB04529E http://dx.doi.org/10.21805/bzn.v77.a007

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Article 23.9.3 of the Code, is to conserve the specific name *Nebela militaris* Penard, 1890, a junior subjective synonym of *Nebela bursella* Taranek, 1881 – referred to as *Nebela bursella* Vejdovský in the literature. Due to the absence of any type or reference specimen and due to the confusing original description, doubts about the taxonomic status of *N. bursella* persist. A review of the literature revealed that the names *N. militaris* and *N. bursella* originally referred to the same species, with the name *N. bursella* later being applied erroneously to another species generally known as *N. militaris*, but there has been no mention of the former taxon since 1964 and its name is unknown to most active testate amoeba researchers. To avoid confusion, we propose to conserve the widely used species name *Nebela militaris* Penard, 1890 by granting it conditional precedence over *Nebela bursella* Taranek, 1881, and to designate a neotype.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; testate amoebae; Arcellinida; HyaLOSPHENIIDAE; *Nebela militaris; Nebela bursella.*

1. In 1880, František Vejdovský found specimens of an unknown species of testate amoeba, which he later described as *Nebela bursella* in Vejdovský (1882: 32, pl. II, fig. 2a–c). Before publishing, he mentioned his finding to a fellow naturalist, Karl J. Taranek, who reported this species in 1881 as *Nebela bursella* Vejdovský (Taranek, 1881: 230–231, fig. 2). In this publication, the description and illustration of *Nebela bursella* are congruent with those of Vejdovský (1882). Neither author designated a type specimen or provided any permanent reference slides, and the original material is considered to be lost (Duckert et al., 2018). Because Taranek (1881) attributed the name *Nebela bursella* Vejdovský, 1881 or as *N. bursella* Vejdovský, 1882. Despite this, Taranek did not explicitly attribute the text of his description to Vejdovský, and the specific name must be attributed solely to Taranek (1881). In consequence, Vejdovský's specific name is simultaneously a junior homonym and junior subjective synonym of Taranek's name.

2. Taranek (1882: 36–38) reported on *Nebela bursella* again, and his illustrations clearly show that he included in this taxon specimens that differed markedly in morphology. While some of the illustrations (Taranek, 1882: pl III, figs. 8, 12) are congruent with those of Taranek (1881) and Vejdovský (1882), others clearly do not match the original description. They depict larger and broader specimens which, based on the current taxonomy of the Arcellinida, should rather be assigned to another species of the genus *Nebela* (Taranek, 1882: pl. III, figs. 7; pl. IV, fig. 16), or potentially even to the genus *Heleopera* (Taranek, 1882: pl. III, figs. 9–11) (Duckert et al. 2018, see also Tsyganov et al. 2016 for a comparison between *Nebela* and *Heleopera*). This error was not corrected and led to the misinterpretation that *Nebela bursella* being synonymized with *Nebela tincta* (Leidy, 1879) (see Awerintzev, 1906) – now *Nebela tincta* sensu Kosakyan & Lara (2013).

3. Penard (1890: 164, pl. VII, figs. 16–22) described *Nebela militaris* Penard, 1890 but he neither designated a type nor mentioned any isolated specimens in his description.

However, he did mention in his description the location (Tröllhattan, Rosersberg, Marstrand in Sweden and the Vallée de Joux in Switzerland) of the specimens on which he established his species and several permanent slides that he made, of which the exact year of creation is not known but thought to postdate 1900, are still preserved in two distinct collections in the Natural History Museum of London and the Natural History Museum of Geneva. We found five slides containing specimens of Nebela militaris after inspection of Penard's permanent slides in both museums, but it is apparent that none of those specimens can be considered as type material, as the registers of the collections show that none have been isolated in the regions mentioned by Penard in his description of Nebela militaris. The registers of Penard's permanent slide collections in both the Natural History Museum of London and the Natural History Museum of Geneva have been transmitted to the Commission Secretariat. Given that Penard did not designate any name-bearing type, that no specimen on which he could have based his description was found in his collections of permanent slides and that the absence of type was (and unfortunately still is) more the rule than the exception in the field of taxonomy of testate amoebae, we are certain that there is not any type material for Nebela militaris. The specimens that Penard isolated as well as his original description and illustrations of Nebela militaris (Penard, 1890) are very similar to the first description of Nebela bursella by Taranek (1881). Nonetheless, Penard stated that his was truly a distinct species while acknowledging that some may consider it to be merely an aberrant form of Nebela bursella. He did not explain what features of N. militaris could be considered as abnormal in comparison to N. bursella, but in a subsequent major monograph Penard (1902) considered N. bursella a synonym of N. tincta, cited by him as Hyalosphenia tincta Leidy, 1879. This allows us to infer that Penard (1890) based his concept of N. bursella on Taranek's (1882) misidentified specimens and thought that his new species *N. militaris* might be regarded as an abnormally slender form of *Nebela tincta*.

4. The name *Nebela militaris* was rapidly accepted by the scientific community. A list of 26 works by 53 authors using this name as valid for the taxonomic species in question is listed in the Appendix, with the most important works in bold. Among these works, we may mention Amesbury et al. (2016), Payne et al. (2011), Mitchell et al. (2008) and Charman et al. (2007). In contrast, the name *Nebela bursella*, having long been considered a junior synonym of *Nebela tincta* (Leidy, 1879) (Awerintzev, 1906) fell into disuse, being used as valid name for the last time by Sudzuki (1964). It is no longer found in its original meaning in major compendia such as Grospietsch (1958) and Tsyganov et al. (2016), and to revive it now as the valid senior subjective synonym of *N. militaris* would lead to confusion.

5. Due to the absence of any type and the lacunar state of the taxonomy of testate amoebae, it is also required that a neotype be designated for *Nebela militaris* Penard, 1890. It has been shown that species of testate amoeba can hide complexes of closely related species that differ only by slight variations in their morphology, even in the case of well-known species (see Kosakyan et al., 2013 as an example). As *Nebela militaris* has been recorded worldwide from a variety of different habitats it is likely that it corresponds to such a species complex, with each species potentially having a restricted distribution and ecology. However, the illustrations and the descriptions originally made by Penard are not representative of the morphotype found in Europe, and establishing a species on specimens found in such a large area (Switzerland and Sweden) increases the chance of lumping distinct species.

6. To avoid future confusion over the identity of *Nebela militaris* and any potential closely related species yet to be described, we propose to designate a neotype representative of the specimens found in the Swiss Jura mountains and propose this region as the type locality, as it is from this region that the specimens studied by Duckert et al. (2018) and the specimens isolated by Penard originate. Accordingly, we selected the specimen 516-2 from the Penard Collection now deposited at the Natural History Museum of Geneva in Switzerland (https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:Nebela_militaris#/media/File:Collection_Penard_MHNG_Specimen_516-2-1_Nebela_militaris.tif) to be designated as the neotype.

7. This application is submitted to coincide with the publication of Duckert et al. (2018), who reappraised the taxonomic status of *Nebela militaris* and *Nebela bursella* and thereby became aware of this nomenclatural problem.

- 8. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:
 - (1) to use its plenary power to give the specific name *militaris* Penard, 1890, as published in the binomen *Nebela militaris*, precedence over the specific name *bursella* Taranek, 1881, as published in the binomen *Nebela bursella*, whenever the two are considered synonyms; and
 - (2) to place on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology the following names:
 - (a) *militaris* Penard, 1890, as published in the binomen *Nebela militaris* and as defined by the neotype (specimen 516-2 from the Penard collection in the MHNG) designated in para. 7, with the endorsement that it is to be given precedence over the name *bursella* Taranek, 1881, as published in the binomen *Nebela bursella*, whenever the two are considered synonyms; and
 - (b) bursella Taranek, 1881, as published in the binomen Nebela bursella, with the endorsement that it is not to be given precedence over the name militaris Penard, 1890, as published in the binomen Nebela militaris, whenever the two are considered synonyms.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Commission for their comments, which greatly improved the manuscript. This work was funded by the University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland and the Swiss National Science Foundation (projects No 31003A_141188 to E.M. and 31003A_163254 to E.L.).

References

- Amesbury MJ, Swindles GT, Bobrov A, Charman DJ, Holden J, Lamentowicz M, Mallon G, Mazei Y, Mitchell EAD, Payne RJ, Roland TP, Turner TE, Warner BG (2016) Development of a new pan-European testate amoeba transfer function for reconstructing peatland palaeohydrology. Quaternary Science Reviews 152: 132–151.
- Awerintzev S (1906) Die Süsswasser-Rhizopoden. Trudy Imperatorskago Sankt-Peterburgskago Obschestva Estestvoisptatelei 36: 249–250.
- Charman DJ, Blundell A, ACCROTELM members (2007) A new European testate amoebae transfer function for palaeohydrological reconstruction on ombrotrophic peatlands. Journal of Quaternary Science 22 (3): 209–221.
- Duckert C, Blandenier Q, Kupferschmid FAL, Kosakyan A, Mitchell EAD, Lara E, Singer D (2018) En garde! Redefinition of *Nebela militaris* (Arcellinida, Hyalospheniidae) and erection of *Alabasta* gen. nov. European Journal of Protistology 66: 156–165.

- Grospietsch T (1958) Wechseltierchen (Rhizopoden). Kosmos-Gesellschaft der Naturfreunde/ Franckh, Stuttgart, 87 pp.
- Kosakyan A, Gomaa F, Mitchell EAD, Heger TJ, Lara E (2013) Using DNA-barcoding for sorting out protist species complexes: A case study of the *Nebela tincta-collaris-bohemica* group (Amoebozoa; Arcellinida, Hyalospheniidae). European Journal of Protistology 49: 222–237.
- Leidy J (1879) Freshwater Rhizopods of North America. Report of the United States Geological Survey of the Territories. Volume XII. Government Printing Office, Washington, 324 pp., 48 pls.
- Mitchell EAD, Charman DJ, Warner BG, (2008) Testate amoebae analysis in ecological and paleoecological studies of wetlands: past present and future. Biodiversity and Conservation 17: 2115–2137.
- Payne RJ, Lamentowicz M, Mitchell EAD (2011) The perils of taxonomic inconsistency in quantitative palaeoecology: experiments with testate amoeba data. Boreas 40 (1): 15–27.
- Penard E (1890) Études sur les Rhizopodes d'eau douce. Aubert-Schuchardt, Genève, 320 pp.
- Penard E (1902) Faune rhizopodique du bassin du Léman. Henry Kündig, Genève, 714 pp.
- Sudzuki M (1964) Zur biologischen Analyse der mikroskopischen Süsswassertierwelt geringster Wassermengen I. Zoological Magazine [Tokyo] 73: 165–174.
- Taranek KJ (1881) Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Süsswasser-Rhizopoden Böhmens. Sitzungsberichte der Königlichen Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften in Prag 1881: 220–235.
- Taranek KJ (1882) Monographie der Böhmens. Ein Beitrag zur Kenntniss der Süsswasser-Monothalamien. Abhandlungen der Königlichen Böhmischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften 2: 1–56.
- Tsyganov AN, Babeshko KV, Mazei YA (2016) [A guide to testate amoebae with the keys to genera]. Penza State University Press, Penza, 132 pp. [In Russian.]
- Vejdovský F (1882). Thierische Organismen der Brunnenwasser von Prag. Self-published, Prague, 1–70 pp.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 75: 206.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the Bulletin; they should be sent to the Secretariat, International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, c/o Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, 2 Conservatory Drive, Singapore 117377, Republic of Singapore (e-mail: iczn@nus.edu.sg).

Appendix: List of references

- Amesbury MJ, Swindles GT, Bobrov A, Charman DJ, Holden J, Lamentowicz M, Mallon G, Mazei Y, Mitchell EAD, Payne RJ, Roland TP, Turner TE, Warner BG (2016) Development of a new pan-European testate amoeba transfer function for reconstructing peatland palaeohydrology. Quaternary Science Reviews 152: 132–151.
- Beyens L, Chardez D, De Landtsheer R, De Baere D (1986) Testate amoebae communities from aquatic habitats in the Arctic. Polar Biology 6 (4): 197–205.
- Bobrov AA, Yazvenko SB, Warner BG (1995)Taxonomic and ecological implications of shell morphology of three testaceans (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) in Russia and Canada. Archiv für Protistenkunde 145 (1–2): 119–126.
- Booth RK, Zygmunt JR (2005) Biogeography and comparative ecology of testate amoebae inhabiting Sphagnum-dominated peatlands in the Great Lakes and Rocky Mountain regions of North America. Diversity and Distributions 11 (6): 577–590.
- Bunbury J, Finkelstein SA, Bollmann J (2012) Holocene hydro-climatic change and effects on carbon accumulation inferred from a peat bog in the Attawapiskat River watershed, Hudson Bay Lowlands, Canada. Quaternary Research 78 (2). 275–284.
- Chardez D (1968) Etudes statistiques sur l'ecologie et la morphologie des thécamoebiens. Hydrobiologia 32 (1–2): 271–287.
- Charman D J, Blundell A, ACCROTELM members (2007) A new European testate amoebae transfer function for palaeohydrological reconstruction on ombrotrophic peatlands. Journal of Quaternary Science 22 (3): 209–221.
- Clarke KJ (2003) Guide to the identification of soil protozoa-testate amoebae. Soil Biodiversity Programme Research Report No. 4. Freshwater Biological Association (FBA) Special Publication, Ambleside, 40 pp.
- Coûteaux MM (1985) Relationships between testate amoebae and fungi in humus microcosms. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 17(3): 339–345.
- Grospietsch T (1958) Wechseltierchen (Rhizopoden). Kosmos-Gesellschaft der Naturfreunde. Franckh'sche Verlagshandlung, Stuttgart, 80 pp.
- Heal OW (1962) The abundance and micro-distribution of testate amoebae (Rhizopoda: Testacea) in Sphagnum. Oikos 35–47.
- Laggoun-Défarge F, Mitchell E, Gilbert D, Disnar JR, Comont L, Warner BG, Buttler A (2008) Cutover peatland regeneration assessment using organic matter and microbial indicators (bacteria and testate amoebae). Journal of Applied Ecology 45 (2): 716–727.
- Lamentowicz Ł, Lamentowicz M, Gąbka M (2008) Testate amoebae ecology and a local transfer function from a peatland in western Poland. Wetlands 28 (1): 164.
- Lamentowicz M, Tobolski K, Mitchell EAD (2007) Palaeoecological evidence for anthropogenic acidification of a kettle–hole peatland in northern Poland. The Holocene 17 (8): 1185–1196.
- Mitchell EAD, Charman DJ, Warner BG, (2008) Testate amoebae analysis in ecological and paleoecological studies of wetlands: past present and future. Biodiversity and Conservation 17: 2115–2137.
- Nicholls KH (2015) *Nebela kivuense* Gauthier-Lièvre et Thomas, 1961 (Amoebozoa, Arcellinida), missing for a half-century; found 11,500 km from "home". Acta Protozoologica 54 (4): 283–288.

Ogden GG, Hedley RH (1980) An atlas of freshwater testate amoebae. Soil Science 130 (3): 176.

- Oliverio AM, Lahr DJ, Nguyen T, Katz LA (2014) Cryptic diversity within morphospecies of testate amoebae (Amoebozoa: Arcellinida) in New England bogs and fens. Protist 165 (2): 196–207.
- Payne RJ, Lamentowicz M, Mitchell EAD (2011) The perils of taxonomic inconsistency in quantitative palaeoecology: experiments with testate amoeba data. Boreas 40 (1): 15–27.
- Penard E (1890) Études sur les Rhizopodes d'eau douce. Imprimerie Aubert-Schuchardt, Genève, 230 pp.
- Penard E (1902) Faune rhizopodique du bassin du Léman. Kündig, Genève, 714 pp.

- Schnitchen C, Magyari E, Tóthmérész B, Grigorszky I, Braun M (2003) Micropaleontological observations on a Sphagnum bog in East Carpathian region–testate amoebae (Rhizopoda: Testacea) and their potential use for reconstruction of micro–and macroclimatic changes. Hydrobiologia 506 (1–3): 45–49.
- Schoning K, Charman DJ, Wastegoard S (2005) Reconstructed water tables from two ombrotrophic mires in eastern central Sweden compared with instrumental meteorological data. The Holocene 15 (1): 111–118.
- Swindles GT, Roe HM (2007) Examining the dissolution characteristics of testate amoebae (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) in low pH conditions: implications for peatland palaeoclimate studies. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 252 (3–4): 486–496.
- Tolonen K, Warner BG, Vasander H (1994) Ecology of testaceans (Protozoa: Rhizopoda) in mires in southern Finland: II. Multivariate analysis. Archiv für Protistenkunde 144 (1): 97–112.
- Tsyganov AN, Babeshko KV, Mazei YA (2016) A guide to testate amoebae with the keys to genera. Publishing house PSU, Penza, 132 pp.