



A review on the radiological protection materiality in environmental sustainability reports

Tessari-Zampieri^a, M. C.; Santiago^a, A. F.; Lopes^a, R. N.;

Cotrim^a, M. E. B.; Scapin^a, M. A.

^a Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares IPEN-CNEN, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes, 2242, Cidade Universitária, CEP 05508-000, São Paulo – SP – Brasil <u>mczampieri@ipen.br</u>

ABSTRACT

Materiality should be used as a strategic business tool, with implications beyond social, economic, and environmental responsibility in sustainability reporting. Organizations can benefit by incorporate materiality into their existing economic processes, creating a broader approach and ensuring strategies with significant social and environmental topics. This provides stakeholder engagement; prioritizes financial resources for sustainability; develops new business; identifies climate change issues; among others. In this context, aim this study was to present a review the materiality related to radiologic protection in organizational sustainability reports. The results show that radiologic protection is considered in several topics in the organizational sustainability reports evaluated, represented from issues occupational health and safety, environmental assessment suppliers, environmental compliance, local communities, and waste management. It was concluded that, in preparing the materiality matrix, it is necessary to have the methods defined advance to grant them to be reproduced and periodically reviewed. This will allow to demonstrate the sustainability evolution and its alignment with the organizations' strategies.

Keywords: materiality, Global Report Initiative, radiologic protection.



1. INTRODUCTION

Materiality can be described as the analysis of the relevant requirements to be approached by organizations in relation to sustainability and social responsibility. It is an effective methodology to give credibility to an organization's strategic actions by identifying, estimating, and prioritizing resources to treat environmental, social, and governance (ESG) liabilities that affect the organization and its stakeholders. The use of market references for sustainability reporting, such as the global reporting initiative (GRI), helps organizations to understand and communicate the impact identified in their materiality studies. GRI guiding the establishment indicators for ESG sustainability programs, so that the data and the way's organizations deal with their material issues can be reported with objectivity and clarity [1]. Exposure public data and ways managing material issues are practices adopted by organizations to inform their interested parties (stakeholders), in a transparent way, the results of economic, environmental, and social impact, and their contribution the meeting sustainable development objectives [1].

Sustainable development the diversity topics and they're major or minor applicability in each organization requires studies/analysis to identify what is relevant or as defined by IIRC (The International Integrated Reporting Council) "that which can substantially affect an organization's ability to create value in short, medium or long-term" [2]. GRI establishes principles for defining quality for the report, adequacy quality of information, how it should be reported, standard presentation and indicators for different organizations. Superficial materiality studies carried out only to meet requirements of sustainability benchmarks can generate incomplete, inconsistent, and misaligned sustainability programs with the stakeholder interests. Therefore, quality of information obtained from stakeholders is of paramount importance to enable organizations to make consistent and sensible assessments of the measures that should be adopted [1] for both occupational health and safety.

GRI-403 is the specific standard for the 400 series for occupational health and safety [3] that covers social topics, healthy and safe working conditions, moreover, they are sustainable development goals "Agenda 2030, for sustainable development". GRI standards are divided into two groups and four series [1] being first group formed by Universal Standards (100 series) and second group by specific standards with economic (200 series), environmental (300 series), and social (400 series) topics. Government and stakeholder regulate handling, production, possession

and use ionizing sources [4]. In addition, transportation, storage, disposal radioactive materials, activities that involve radiation exposure are regulated by specific norms and resolutions [4]. For this case, the topics provided by GRI these subjects can be viewed in GRI-306 - Waste [5]. GRI-306 deals quantity, type, and quality waste generated by organization and especially negative risks associated these materials. GRI-307 - Environmental compliance [6], deals organization's compliance with environmental laws and/or regulations, including international declarations, conventions, and treaties. The impact on communities surrounding the organization is described in GRI Standard 413 - Local communities [7], describes groups people who live or work in any areas subject to economic, social, or environmental impact (positive or negative) resulting from organization's operations. Physical protection, mental, unhealthy, risk assessment, long latency occupational diseases and under-reporting are topics of this standard [3] that can be directly related to biological effects ionizing radiation (IR) [8].

IRs have atomic or molecular ionization characteristics and show benefits or cause serious harm to living beings. Thus, their regulation is necessary for the occupational safety and health exposed workers [4]. In this context, aim this study was to present review the materiality related to radiology protection in organizational sustainability reports.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study took into consideration the review of existing literature, technical references and research papers published as 2015-2022, to level concepts about sustainability present in a disperse environment full of definitions. The indicated period considered the year publication of agenda 2030 (2015) in the United Nations document "Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development" [9], which significantly influenced all society's efforts [10] in favor to sustainability. Published sustainability data and reports were from companies with the potential to exert influence for environmental innovation in the corporate sustainability.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The review results are shown in Table 1 and the following parameters were evaluated: institution, reliability - environmental data, stakeholders, materiality, and GRI.

Sustainability reports have reported reliability and environmental data; these items increase transparency, promote trust and credibility internal practices in organizations' auditing systems. Reporting reliable and environmental data has allowed stakeholders to form opinions about regulatory norms of organizations. This transparency was used to inform reliability data for public debate and support the development of environmentally desirable policy. Thus, when an organization is certified by ISO, IBAMA, INEA, CNEN, AIEA, it is known that it has obligatorily gone through various assessment processes and fulfilled a series requirement. These requirements must be maintained just as it is necessary to continuously increase levels from environmental management processes. With this, the certification demonstrates to customers and stakeholders trust and responsibility, which helps the organization to be internationally recognized through the activity it performs. The GRI standards on environmental, social, and economic impact can be understood since opinions can only be formed from the transparent and reliable information made available through periodic sustainability reports [11].

Communities, workers, governments, and services related to ionizing radiation sources are part of the stakeholder group. The stakeholder parameter indicated its importance to be considered when identifying material topics, as they are used to establishing the basis for the communication between organizations. The organization's activities and infrastructure can have significant economic, social, cultural and/or environmental impact in local communities. Where possible, organizations are expected to anticipate and avoid negative impact local communities. Establishing timely and effective stakeholder identification and engagement process is important to help organizations understand vulnerability local communities and how these populations may be affected by organization's activities. Due to the heterogeneous nature local communities, organizations can be found to assist the surrounding communities by offering preferential care, teaching, free online courses to external public. Therefore, organizations used in their sustainability reports materiality topics regarding stakeholder parameters proposed by GRI [6-11].

The materiality analysis was conducted by the organizations to identify topics that had the greatest potential to generate and suffer impacts, both negative and positive. In the review process of the institutions' materiality, it was found that some topics present different perspectives from stakeholders. Materiality was a resource used to define and propagate the main topics that helped organization achieve its strategic objectives within market. This matrix allowed the analysis each relevant topics, seeking actions that minimize costs and improved organization's participation for

sustainability. The structuring materiality matrix allowed organization to plan its practical initiatives for sustainability in orderly and efficient manner [6-11].

The radiation parameter can be further expanded and addressed in several sustainability topics where radiation protection issues must be addressed, such as environmental assessment of suppliers in GRI-308 [13], and management is approach GRI-103 [11]. Radiology protection is not directly addressed in these benchmarks, but its effects and impacts can be described in GRI guides contemplated in sustainability reports (Table 1) several institutions [14-22]. The radioactive waste disposal has been managed through several radiology protection and safety standards [23-26] such as at nuclear industry [27].

4. CONCLUSION

The 2030 Agenda with its wide range 17 sustainable development goals (SDGs) and 169 targets is ambitious. Integrated and indivisible approaches are important to balance environmental, economic, and social dimensions. The adoption ESG programs is essential for organizations to demonstrate effective and transparent contribution to SDGs, especially those using ionizing radiation sources. Application methodologies and benchmarks identify material topics related to radiology protection. These topics influence impact the diversity and complexity SDGs and targets, as they are fundamental to understanding and operational boundaries. In addition, it is important to create a basis for comparison between organizations in same sector. To prepare the materiality matrix, methods should be defined in advance so that they can be repeated and revised periodically. Thus, they become demonstrable, reliable, and transparent, within the knowledge of sustainability and direction the organizations' strategies, as well as stakeholders. Therefore, the topics related to radiology protection have high relevance due to their economic and environmental impact. Only this axis can define the materiality a topic since the GRI standard can be based on only one of the dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper is part of the COPDE/IPEN Intracenter Project No. 6/2020.

Institution	Reliability - Environmental Data	Stakeholders	Materiality	GRI
ELETROBRAS [14]	IBAMA; INEA;	Employees; Suppliers;	Safety practices;	G4-18; G4-19; G4-20; G4-21;
	Radioprotection Institute;	Service Providers;	Effluents/waste; Water	G4-22; G4-23.
	CNEN Dosimetry;	Customers; Shareholders;	management; Environmental	
	International Atomic Energy	Community; Environment;	management; Anti-corruption	
	Agency - IAEA; Standard	Government Agencies;	practices.	
	ISO 26.000.	Media; Unions.	_	
ELETROBRAS [15]	IBAMA; INEA; CNEN;	Shareholders/investors;	Safety practices;	102-32; 102-40; 102-42; 102-
	AIEA; EPA; Standard ISO	Distributors; Suppliers;	Effluents/waste; Water	43; 102-44; 102-46; 102-47;
	26.000.	Government/licensing	management; Anti-corruption	102-50; 102-52, 102-54; 102-
		agencies; Employees/trainees;	practices.	56.
		Nuclear industry bodies;	-	
		Social, environmental and		
		community organizations;		
		Trade unions/class		
		associations; Press/media.		
ELETROBRAS [16]	IBAMA; INEA; CNEN;	Workforce/family;	People	102-4; 102-11; 102-40; 102-
	AIEA; EPA; Standard ISO	Employees;	management/development;	42; 102-43; 102-44; 102-47;
	26.000.	Investors/shareholders/market	Health/safety/welfare; Human	102-49; 103-1; 103-2; 103-3;
		analysts; Communities;	rights; Communities.	EU-21.
		Society; Press/opinion	-	
		leaders;		
		Partners/sponsors/suppliers;		
		Governments/parliamentarian		
		s/regulators; Customers.		
UNIMED Vitória-ES [17]	Certified RN277:2011;	Suppliers; cooperative	Anti-Corruption;	102-21; 102-43; 102-44; 102-
	Standard ISO 9.001:2015	members; beneficiaries;	Occupational Health and	46; 102-47; 102-49; 103-1.
		employees; government and	Safety; Training and	
		society; environment;	Education; Local	
		surrounding community.	Communities.	

 Table 1: Institution, reliability - environmental data, stakeholders, materiality, and GRI.

7

UNIMED São José do Rio	UNIMED Gold Seal of	Cooperative/Collaborators;	Own Resources;	G4-24; G4-25; G4-26; G4-27;
Preto-SP [18]	Governance and	Beneficiary Public; Health	Communication and	G4-DMA; G4-EN1; G4-EN2;
	Sustainability; Abrinq as a	Service Provider, Other	Relationship Channels; Local	G4-DMA
	Child-Friendly Company.	UNIMEDs,	Communities; Additional	G4-LA4; G4-DMA; G4-LA5;
		Counselors/Executive	Products; Products - Health	G4-LA6; G4-LA7; G4-LA8
		Directors; Community; Public	Plans; Preventive Medicine.	
		Organ.		
UNIMED Blumenau-SC [19]	Certified RN277:2011;	Suppliers; Cooperative	Anti-Corruption;	102-21; 102-43; 102-44; 102-
	Standard ISO 9.001:2015	members; Beneficiaries;	Occupational Health and	46; 102-47; 102-49; 103-1
		Employees; Government and	Safety; Training/Education;	
		society; Environment;	Local Communities.	
		Surrounding community.		
Beneficiencia Portuguesa de	Code of Conduct; Internal	Directors - Executives of the	Humanized care; Diversity;	102-8; 102-41; 103-1; 201-4;
São Paulo [20]	rules, laws, and procedures.	organization and Specialists	Philanthropy; Customer	102-40; 102-42; 102-43; 102-
		in the sustainability theme.	safety; Education and	46; 102-54; 306-2; 401-1;
			research; Quality of	401-2; 402-344
			healthcare services;	
			Relationship with the	
			government.	
Beneficiencia Portuguesa de	Code of Conduct; Internal	Directors - Executives of the	Humanized care; Diversity;	102-42; 102-43; 402-44; 102-
São Paulo [21]	rules, laws, and procedures.	organization and Specialists	Philanthropy; Customer	46; 102-47; 102-49; 102-54;
		in the sustainability theme.	safety; Education and	306-2; 401-1; 401-2; 402-344
			research; Quality of	
			healthcare services;	
			Relationship with the	
			government.	
Beneficiencia Portuguesa de	Code of Conduct; Internal	Directors - Executives of the	Philanthropy; Customer	102-42; 102-43; 402-44; 102-
São Paulo [22]	rules, laws, and procedures.	organization and Specialists	safety; Education and	46; 102-47; 102-49; 102-54;
		in the sustainability theme.	research; Quality of	306-2; 401-1; 401-2; 402-344
			healthcare services;	
			Relationship with the	
			government.	

REFERENCES

- [1] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Fundaments-GRI-101.
 2016. Available at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standardsenglish-language/</u> Last accessed 08 Jul 2022.
- [2] IFRS International Financial Reporting Standards Foundation. Transition to integrated reporting: A guide to getting started. 2021. Available at: <u>https://www.integratedreporting.org/news/transition-to-integrated-reporting-a-guide-to-getting-started/</u> started/ Last accessed: 27 Sept 2022.
- [3] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Saúde e Segurança do Trabalho-GRI-403. 2018. Available at : <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-english-language/</u> Last accessed : 08 Jul 2022.
- [4] Comissão de Energia Nuclear. Norma CNEN NN 3.01. Diretrizes básicas de proteção radiológica. Resolução 164/14. março/2014. Available at : http://appasp.cnen.gov.br/seguranca/normas/pdf/Nrm301.pdf Last accessed: 10 Sept 2022.
- [5] Global Reporting Initiative Global Sustainability Standards Board. Avaliação Ambiental de Fornecedores-GRI-308, 2016. Avaible at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-english-language/</u> Last accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [6] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Forma de Gestão-GRI-103, 2016. Availabe at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gristandards-english-language/</u>. Last accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [7] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Comunidades Locais-GRI-413, 2016. Available at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-</u> standards-english-language/ Last accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [8] Rahman N., Dafader N.C., Shamsuzzaman M., Mondal I.H. Nuclear and radiological protective clothing. Protective Textiles from Natural Resources, 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90477-3.00009-2</u>.
- [9] United Nations. Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. 2015. Available at : <u>https://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E</u> Last accessed : 08 Jul 2022.

- [10] B3 S. A. Sistema Índice de Sustentabilidade Empresarial. O que é o ISE B3. São Paulo 2019;; Available at: <u>http://iseb3.com.br/o-que-e-o-ise</u> Last accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [11] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Fundamentos-GRI-101. 2016. Available at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-english-language/</u> Lat accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [12] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Resíduos-GRI-306, 2016. Available at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standardsenglish-language/</u> Last accessed: 08 Jul 2022.
- [13] Global Reporting Initiative. Global Sustainability Standards Board. Conformidade Ambiental-GRI-307, 2016. Available at: <u>https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-english-language/</u> Last accessed : 08 Jul 2022.
- [14] ELETROBRAS Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. ELETRONUCLEAR. Relatório de
Sustentabilidade.2021.Availabeat:https://www.eletronuclear.gov.br/QuemSomos/Governanca/Documents/Relat%C3%B3rios%20e
%20Balan%C3%A7os/Relatorio-anual-eletronuclear-2021.pdf. Last accessed: 08 Jul.2022.
- [15] ELETROBRAS Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. ELETRONUCLEAR. Relatório de Sustentabilidade. 2016. Availabe at: <u>https://www.eletronuclear.gov.br/Quem-Somos/Governanca/Documents/Relat%C3%B3rios%20e%20Balan%C3%A7os/Relat%C3%B3rios%20de%20Sustentabilidade/Relatorio%20Sustentabilidade_2016.pdf</u> Last accessed: 08 Jul.2022.
- [16] ELETROBRAS Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. ELETRONUCLEAR. Relatório de Sustentabilidade. 2018. Availabe at: <u>https://www.eletronuclear.gov.br/Quem-Somos/Governanca/Documents/Relat%C3%B3rios%20e%20Balan%C3%A7os/Relat%C3%B3rios%20de%20Sustentabilidade/Relat%C3%B3rio%20de%20Sustentabilidade%202018.pdf</u> Last accessed: 08 Jul.2022.
- [17] UNIMED do Brasil Vitória-ES. Relatório de Gestão e de Sustentabilidade. 2015. Availabe <u>https://www.unimedvitoria.com.br/admin/ImagensDinamicas/image/file/Relatorio%20Sustentabilidade%202015.pdf</u> Last accessed: 08.aug 2022.
- [18] UNIMED do Brasil São José do Rio Preto-SP. Relatório de Gestão e deSustentabilidade.2018.Availabeat:

https://www.unimedriopreto.com.br/pdf/Demostracoes_Contabeis_2018.pdf Last accessed: 08.aug 2022.

- [19] UNIMED do Brasil Blumenau-SC. Relatório de Gestão e de Sustentabilidade. 2021. Availabe https://www.unimed.coop.br/site/documents/1220961/6572557/Relat%C3%B3rio+de+Sustentab ilidade.pdf/af070511-1832-9bb5-cbc3-646b9ab6ddc3? Last accessed: 08.aug 2022.
- [20] Beneficiencia Portuguesa de São Paulo. Relatório de Gestão e de Sustentabilidade. 2018. Availabe
 <u>https://www.bp.org.br/2018/balancos_sociais/relatorio_de_sustentabilidade_2018_bp.pdf</u>
 Last accessed: 20.aug 2022.
- [21] Beneficiencia Portuguesa de São Paulo. Relatório de Gestão e de Sustentabilidade. 2019.Availabe at:

https://www.bp.org.br/2019/balancos_sociais/20_04_20_relatorio_de_sustentabilidade_bp_2019 digital.pdf Last accessed: 20.aug 2022.

[22] Beneficiencia Portuguesa de São Paulo. Relatório de Gestão e de Sustentabilidade. 2020.Availabe at:

https://www.bp.org.br/2020/balancos_sociais/relatorio_de_sustentabilidade_2020_bp.pdf Last accessed: 20.aug 2022.

- [23] Comissão de Energia Nuclear. Norma CNEN-NE-8.01. Gerência de rejeitos radioativos emInstalaçõesRadiativas.Abril/2014.Availabeat:http://appasp.cnen.gov.br/seguranca/normas/pdf/Nrm801.pdf Last accessed: 10 Nov 2022.
- [24] Comissão de Energia Nuclear. Norma CNEN-NE-6.06. Seleção e escolha de locais para depósito de rejeitos radioativos. Janeiro/1990. Availabe at: http://appasp.cnen.gov.br/seguranca/normas/pdf/Nrm606.pdf Last accessed: 10 Nov 2022.
- [25] Comissão de Energia Nuclear. Norma CNEN-NN-6.09. Critérios de aceitação para deposição de rejeitos radioativos de baixo e médio níveis de radiação. Setembro/2002. Availabe at: <u>http://appasp.cnen.gov.br/seguranca/normas/pdf/Nrm609.pdf</u> Last accessed: 10 Nov 2022.
- [26] Comissão de Energia Nuclear. Norma CNEN-NE-3.05. Requisitos de Radioproteção e Segurança para Serviços de Medicina Nuclear. Dezembro/2013. Last accessed: http://appasp.cnen.gov.br/seguranca/normas/pdf/Nrm305.pdf Last accessed: 10 Nov 2022.

[27] ELETROBRAS - Centrais Elétricas Brasileiras S.A. - ELETRONUCLEAR. Gerenciamento de resíduos. 2021. Availabe at : <u>https://www.eletronuclear.gov.br/Sociedade-e-Meio-Ambiente/Paginas/Gerenciamento-de-residuos.aspx</u> Last accessed: 10 Nov 2022.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material.

To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.