

The contribution of macroalgae to the coastal dissolved organic matter pool

著者	Wada Shigeki, Hama Takeo
journal or publication title	Estuarine, coastal and shelf science
volume	129
page range	77-85
year	2013-09
権利	(C) 2013 Elsevier Ltd. “NOTICE: this is the author’s version of a work that was accepted for publication in Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 129, 2013 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.007 .
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/119801

doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.007

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

Contribution of macroalgae to coastal dissolved organic matter pool

Shigeki Wada^{a*}, Takeo Hama^b

^aShimoda Marine Research Center, University of Tsukuba, Shimoda, Shizuoka 415-0025,
Japan

^bLife and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tennoudai, Ibaraki 305-0821,
Japan

*Corresponding author. Shimoda Marine Research Center, Univ. Tsukuba, Shimoda, Shizuoka
415-0025, Japan.

E-mail address: swadasbm@kurofune.shimoda.tsukuba.ac.jp (S. Wada)

1 ABSTRACT

2 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) in coastal environments has various origins; one of the most
3 intensely studied sources is terrestrial DOM input via rivers. On the other hand, contributions
4 from other significant DOM sources, such as macroalgae, to the coastal DOM pool have not
5 been extensively studied. In the present study, to quantify the contribution of macroalgae to
6 the DOM pool in the coastal environment, we first carried out a bag-covering experiment on a
7 brown alga, *Ecklonia cava*, and identified fluorescent DOM components by parallel factor
8 analysis of three-dimensional excitation-emission matrix spectra. Using the fluorescent DOM
9 as an indicator, we evaluated the horizontal distribution of macroalgal DOM in the coastal
10 area, showing that the fluorescent DOM component had a synchronous gradient with
11 dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations along the transect line from the coast to
12 offshore. On the basis of the correlation between DOC and fluorescent DOM, we evaluated
13 concentrations of DOC originating from macroalgae, accounting for up to 20% of total DOC
14 concentrations. Such finding implies that macroalgae have measurable contribution to coastal
15 DOM pool in spite of past disregard of their role as DOM source.

16

17 *Keywords:* Macroalgae, DOM, DOC, EEM, PARAFAC

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Dissolved organic matter (DOM) is an important component of marine ecosystems,
3 because it has various ecological roles such as being the largest organic carbon reservoir on
4 earth (Hedges 2002), serving as an energy source in the microbial loop (Azam et al. 1983;
5 1993), transporting organic carbon (Bauer and Druffel 1998), an absorbing ultraviolet
6 radiation (Blough and Del Vecchio 2002) and interacting with chemical pollutants
7 (Sanchez-Marin et al. 2010). These ecological functions of DOM are commonly found in
8 various ecosystems including coastal area. In particular, coastal zone provides great value of
9 ecological service compared with other ecosystems (Costanza et al 1997), thus study on the
10 dynamics of coastal DOM will be an important issue. Marine organisms have been considered
11 as an important DOM sources (e.g., Hama et al. 2004; Kitayama et al. 2007; Condon et al.
12 2010), but input of terrestrial DOM via rivers also constitutes a part of coastal/estuary DOM
13 pools (Blough and Del Vecchio 2002; Cauwet 2002). In coastal environments, riverine input
14 has been considered an important DOM source, and the contribution of terrestrial DOM has
15 been estimated on local, regional and global scales (Meyer-Schulte and Hedges 1986; Opsahl
16 and Benner 1997; Cauwet 2002). The DOM pool in the estuaries of large rivers is strongly
17 affected by terrestrial DOM (Harvey and Mannino 2001; Goñi et al. 2003), but such
18 environments are of limited extent in some coastal areas.

19 Macroalgae are one of the most important primary producers in coastal ecosystems
20 where their productivity often exceeds phytoplankton and other benthic carbon fixer such as
21 seagrass, coral and benthic microalgae (Mann 1973; Yokohama et al. 1987; Alongi 1998). It is
22 well known that organic matter from macroalgal tissue supports various organisms such as
23 mesograzers (Mann 1973; Itoh et al. 2007), suspension feeders of detrital macroalgae
24 (Duggins et al. 1989; Duggins and Eckman 1997) and heterotrophic microbes (Mann 1988;
25 Rieper-Kirchner 1989; Uchida 1996). In addition, production of DOM is known to be one of

1 the important fates of macroalgal production, and a large part of the photosynthetic products
2 of macroalgae are released into ambient seawater as DOM (20-40%; Khailov and Burlakova
3 1969; Abdullah and Fredriksen 2004; Wada et al. 2007). Considering that these estimates are
4 higher than other primary producers such as phytoplankton (around 10%) (Baines and Pace
5 1991; Hama and Yanagi 2001), macroalgae could be the most important DOM producer in
6 coastal environments. However, the above studies on macroalgal DOM were based on
7 examination with incubation method for macroalgae using closed bag or chamber set up in
8 laboratory or natural environment, and the distribution of macroalgal DOM in the natural
9 marine environment is unknown. Therefore, the contribution of macroalgae to the coastal
10 DOM pool has not yet been studied.

11 To quantify the macroalgal DOM in seawater, an effective approach would be to use
12 some organic component as an indicator of macroalgal DOM. In our previous study,
13 collection of macroalgal DOM was achieved by covering bags on a brown alga *Ecklonia cava*
14 Kjellman, which is a common species in the northwestern Pacific Ocean, and analyses of
15 fluorescent spectra showed that fluorescent DOM (F-DOM) originating from *E. cava* contains
16 humic-like fluorophores (Wada et al. 2007). Since macroalgal release of humic-like material
17 with yellowish color had been known in various macroalgal species (Fogg and Boalch 1958;
18 Craigie and McLachlan 1964; Hulatt et al. 2009), the distribution of macroalgal DOM might
19 be evaluated based on that of the humic-like fluorophore. Although humic substances have
20 also been used as an indicator of terrestrial DOM (Klinkhammer et al. 2000), our research site
21 (Oura bay) has little riverine effect because a river does not feed directly into the bay and
22 there is a rapid turnover rate of the seawater in the bay (detailed description is in material and
23 methods, and discussion sections). Therefore the choice of this research site allows us to use
24 the humic-like fluorophore as an indicator of macroalgal DOM in natural coastal
25 environments.

1 In the present study, we have carried out bag-covering experiment according to Wada et
2 al. (2007) using *E. cava* to identify the F-DOM component originating from macroalgae. *E.*
3 *cava* is the dominant species in Oura bay, and their cover degree (composite community
4 consisting of *E. cava* and other macroalgal species) is 50-90% (Biodiversity Center of Japan
5 2011). Using the identified F-DOM components as an indicator, we provide novel evidence of
6 the macroalgal contribution to the coastal DOM pool by transect seawater sampling from
7 nearshore algal beds to offshore waters. Since there are only small effects from riverine DOM
8 into Oura bay, as shown by the analysis of estuary water from rivers around Oura bay, we can
9 quantify the macroalgal DOM based on the distribution of F-DOM.

10

1 **2. Materials and methods**

2 **2.1. Description of the research site**

3 The bag-covering experiment was carried out at a central area in Oura bay (34° 39'N,
4 138° 56'E), and distribution of macroalgal DOM was evaluated on a transect line from the
5 near algal bed in the bay to the offshore (34° 36'N, 138° 59'E) (Fig. 1). Oura bay is located on
6 the coast of Shimoda city, Izu Peninsula, Japan, and the maximum depth is about 14 m (mean:
7 about 8 m). It is the habitat for various macroalgae, e.g., *E. cava*, *Sargassum* spp. and *Eisenia*
8 *bicyclis* (Yokohama et al. 1987; Mikami et al. 2006; Wada et al. 2008), and *E. cava* is the
9 dominant species (Biodiversity Center of Japan 2011). On the transect line, St. 1 is the nearest
10 station to an algal bed and St. 10 is about 7 km off the coast. The largest rivers around our
11 research site are the Aono and Inozawa rivers, but these rivers do not flow directly into Oura
12 bay.

13 **2.2. Collection of dissolved organic matter derived from macroalgae**

14 As described in Wada et al. (2007), SCUBA divers used a transparent bag with a
15 stopcock to cover a whole blade of *E. cava* growing in Oura bay in November 2010 (duplicate
16 individuals). Briefly, we covered all blades of an individual *E. cava* with a transparent bag
17 containing ambient seawater, and the open end of the bag was tied up at the algal stipe. In
18 addition to two bags covering *E. cava*, we set up another two bags without algae as control
19 samples (duplicate). Duplicate samples were collected for each bag through the stopcock
20 using a 100-ml glass syringe (reproducibility of DOC concentrations: $7.0 \pm 9.1\%$) at each
21 sub-sampling time (0, 4, 24, and 29 h). The seawater was filtered through pre-combusted
22 (450°C, 4 h) glass-fiber filters (Whatman, GF/F) immediately after collection. The filtrate was
23 transported in acid cleaned polycarbonate bottles, and stored at below -20°C until analysis.

24 **2.3. Seawater sampling on the transect line from the near algal bed to offshore**

25 We collected seawater samples (duplicate) at 1-m depth using a Niskin bottle at stations

1 1-10 from the near algal bed in Oura bay to the offshore region (Fig. 1) in October and
2 December 2010 (Sts. 1-4, 6, and 10) and May 2011 (all stations). The conductivity,
3 temperature and depth (CTD) were logged using a CTD probe (Idronaut, Ocean Seven 301).
4 The seawater samples were filtered through a GF/F filter, and the filtrate was stored in
5 polycarbonate bottles below -20°C until analysis.

6 **2.4. Collection of estuary waters**

7 In October and December 2010, and May 2011, we collected surface water from the
8 estuary zone of 2 major rivers (Aono and Inozawa rivers) using a plastic bucket. These rivers
9 are near Oura bay, but the mouths of these rivers are outside of the bay. We confirmed using a
10 salinometer (Atago, Maste-AS/Mill α) that the salinity of the sample was near zero. The
11 samples were filtered through a GF/F filter, and the filtrate was stored in polycarbonate
12 bottles below -20°C until analysis.

13 **2.5. Analysis**

14 The high temperature catalytic oxidation method using a total carbon analyzer
15 (Shimadzu, TOC-V) was employed to measure the dissolved organic carbon (DOC)
16 concentration after acidification of the sample with HCl (Wada and Suzuki 2011). The
17 quantification limit (3σ limit) of DOC concentrations was 0.304 mg C l⁻¹. Analysis of F-DOM
18 was performed using a fluorometer (Hitachi F-4500) in three-dimensional excitation-emission
19 matrix (3D-EEM) scanning mode. The excitation and emission spectra was collected at 5- and
20 2-nm intervals and in the ranges 230-450 and 300-500 nm, respectively. The bandpass widths
21 were 10 nm for both excitation and emission, and the scan speed was 2400 nm min⁻¹. The
22 spectrum of milli-Q water was subtracted as the blank, and the fluorescent intensity was
23 normalized using the value from a quinine sulfate solution (10 μ g l⁻¹ in 0.1 N H₂SO₄ solution)
24 at 350/450 nm as 10 quinine sulfate unit (QSU) (Wada et al. 2007).

25 Using the average values of 3D-EEM spectra between duplicate samples, we identified

1 components of F-DOM by parallel factor analysis (PARAFAC, Stedmon et al. 2003) with
2 MATLAB (Mathworks) which statistically decomposed the spectra into each component. The
3 evidence for the validity of PARAFAC model was provided with split-half analysis (Stedmon
4 and Bro 2008) in which good agreement was obtained for excitation and emission loadings
5 between two randomly divided datasets. For the samples collected from the bag covering on *E.*
6 *cava*, three components, M1, M2 and M3 were identified by the PARAFAC analysis. This
7 analysis was also applied for the seawater samples along the transect cruise, and four
8 components, S1, S2, S3 and S4 were identified. The wavelengths (Ex/Em) at the top of the
9 peaks were described in Table 1.

10

1 3. RESULTS

2 3.1. Collection of macroalgal DOM in the bag-covering experiment

3 At the start of the experiment, DOC concentrations in the bags with *E. cava* were
4 0.863-0.867 mg C l⁻¹, which were similar to those in the control bags (0.809-0.945 mg C l⁻¹).
5 DOC concentrations in the bags with *E. cava* increased linearly with time, and the values at
6 the end of the experiment were 2.25-2.33 mg C l⁻¹. These values were 2-3 times higher than
7 those in the control bags (0.872-0.896 mg C l⁻¹), demonstrating that the increase in DOC in
8 the bag was due to the release of DOM from *E. cava*. Contamination of DOC from the bag
9 would be negligible, because the concentrations in the control bag were mostly constant
10 throughout the experimental period as well as Wada et al. (2007). Wada et al. (2007) had also
11 carried out five times bag-covering experiment in same way, and the DOC concentrations of
12 the seawater in the control bag were constant for 54-102 hrs. Since the DOC concentrations
13 partly depend on the water volume in the bag and the size of the algal body, we normalized
14 them as DOC per dry weight (N-DOC) according to Wada et al. (2007) using the following
15 equation:

$$16 \text{N-DOC (mg C g (dry weight)}^{-1}) = (\text{DOC}_{\text{sample}} - \text{DOC}_{\text{control}}) \times V / W$$

17 where DOC_{sample} and DOC_{control} are the DOC concentrations (mg C l⁻¹) in the sample and
18 the control bags, respectively. *V* and *W* are the seawater volume (l) in the sample bags and the
19 dry weight of the plant blades (g), respectively. The values of N-DOC were near zero at the
20 start of the experiment (-0.011 mg C g (dry weight)⁻¹), and increased with time. At the end of
21 the experiment, the values were 1.07-1.44 mg C g (dry weight)⁻¹ (Fig. 2a). Using the increase
22 in N-DOC between 0 and 29 h, we calculated the DOC production rate per dry weight in
23 November 2010 to be 0.893-1.20 mg C g (dry weight)⁻¹ d⁻¹, consistent with the results of
24 Wada et al. (2007), in which similar values were estimated for a similar season (1.5 and 1.2
25 mg C g⁻¹ d⁻¹ in October 2003 and December 2004). The macroalgal DOM in the bag would be

1 partly consumed by heterotrophic bacteria, and our estimate of DOC production rate implies
2 net value. However, we consider that bacterial activity has just negligible effect on the
3 estimate of DOC production rate, because our previous study on bacterial decomposition had
4 shown less availability of DOM originated from *E. cava* (decomposition rate: 0.58-3.4% d⁻¹)
5 (Wada et al. 2008). Using PARAFAC analysis, we identified three F-DOM components, M1,
6 M2 and M3 (Fig. 3a-c). All F-DOM components had a single emission maximum, and double
7 excitation maxima. There are several studies on the spectral characteristics of F-DOM
8 components in various aquatic environments (Table 1; Coble 1996; 2007), and our
9 identification was based on the peak positions from those studies. The emission wavelength of
10 the M1 component was 388 nm, and there were double excitation maxima at 240 and 290 nm.
11 Although the peak at 240/388 nm has not yet been defined, another peak at 290/388 nm is
12 similar to those of a marine humic-like fluorophore. The M2 component also has a single
13 emission maximum and double excitation maxima. The peak at 255/452 nm resembles that of
14 a humic-like fluorophore in the ultraviolet region and the peak at 350/452 is similar to a
15 visible humic-like one. The peaks for the M3 component had relatively short wavelengths,
16 275/332 nm, which are similar to those from a protein-like fluorophore.

17 With respect to the M1 and M2 peaks, initial intensities in the bag with *E. cava* were
18 0.62-0.65 and 0.48-0.49 QSU, respectively. These values increased with time, and at the end
19 of the experiment were 0.87-1.3, and 1.6-1.8 QSU, (Fig. 2b and c), which are about 2-3 times
20 higher than those in the control samples (0.56-0.63 and 0.66-0.66 QSU at the end of the
21 experiment). The intensity of the M3 peak also tended to increase with time, but at the end of
22 the experiment (2.1-3.3 QSU) was just a little higher than those of the control samples
23 (1.8-2.2 QSU) (Fig. 2d). The initial intensity of the M3 peak in the control bag was 1.9 times
24 higher than that in the bag with *E. cava*. The reason is unclear, but one of the possible reasons
25 is that protein in natural seawater was rapidly decomposed in the bag with *E. cava*. As shown

1 in a previous report (Sakami and Sugiyama 1994), bacterial community and their substrate
2 preference on the surface of macroalgal body would be characteristic. Therefore, we
3 considered that microbiota in seawater changes after covering bag on *E. cava*, and it might
4 induce the alteration of decomposition process. While such alteration would have little effect
5 on the dynamics of macroalgal DOM due to its refractory property (Wada et al. 2008), protein
6 in natural seawater is highly labile and decomposed within short period (turnover time: 0.5-33
7 and 4-82 hours; Keil and Kirchman 1993 and 1999, respectively), leading the difference in the
8 intensity of the M3 peak.

9 **3.2. Distribution of DOM along transect line**

10 The average value of the duplicate samples for the DOC concentrations in Oura bay (Sts.
11 1-4) in October, December and May were 0.85-0.94, 0.71-0.77 and 0.73-0.92 mg C l⁻¹,
12 respectively, being higher than those for the offshore region (Sts. 5-10: 0.87-0.89, 0.72-0.73
13 and 0.72-0.76 mg C l⁻¹, respectively) (Fig. 4a). Application of PARAFAC analysis for
14 3D-EEM spectra showed four F-DOM components, S1, S2, S3 and S4, although the peak
15 shape of S4 was unclear (Fig. 3d-g). The other components, S1, S2 and S3, had similar
16 fluorescent properties with M1, M2 and M3 F-DOM components, respectively, based on the
17 peaks shapes (Fig. 3) and the profiles of the spectral loadings of excitation and emission of
18 the peaks (Fig. 5). In addition, we calculated Kendall's coefficient of concordance (W) using
19 the values of loadings, and high values were obtained for all of the peaks (more than 0.97),
20 showing significant similarity of spectral characteristics between macroalgal and marine
21 DOM (P<0.01).

22 The peak intensities of S1 and S2 were higher in Oura bay (S1: 1.1-1.8, 0.76-0.85 and
23 1.0-1.7 QSU, and S2: 0.67-1.4, 0.44-0.52 and 0.73-1.3 QSU in October, December and May,
24 respectively), and clearly decreased toward offshore (S1: 0.70-1.2, 0.63-0.66 and 0.72-1.0
25 QSU, and S2: 0.43-0.68, 0.34-0.34 and 0.47-0.74 QSU in October, December and May,

1 respectively) (Fig. 4b and c), showing that these F-DOM components originated near the
2 coast of Oura bay. Intensities of S3 were also higher at the stations in Oura bay, but relatively
3 high intensities were sometimes found in the offshore region (St. 6 in October and December
4 2010; St. 9 in May 2011) (Fig. 5d). Such a distribution suggests that the origins of the S3
5 component might be both in Oura bay and offshore (e.g., phytoplankton). The salinity of
6 seawater samples were calculated from the CTD values of according to UNESCO 1978, and
7 the values were 33.6-33.8, 33.3-34.4 and 33.9-34.5 in October, December and May,
8 respectively (data not shown).

9 **3.3. DOC concentrations in estuary waters**

10 DOC concentrations in estuary waters from the Inozawa and Aono rivers were 0.55-0.86
11 and 0.66-0.97 mg C l⁻¹, respectively (Table 2), which are comparable or a little lower than
12 those in coastal region (Sts. 1-10: 0.71-0.92 mg C l⁻¹).

13

1 **4. Discussion**

2 In the bag-covering experiment, the intensities of the M1 and M2 peaks, which
3 correspond to marine humic-like and UV/visible humic-like materials (Coble 1996) increased
4 with time (Fig. 2b and c), showing the release of humic-like material from *E. cava*.
5 Considering that the macroalgal release of humic-like substances has been known using
6 various algal species (e.g., *E. cava*, *Fucus vesiculosus*, *Laminaria hyperbrea*, *Ascophyllum*
7 *nodosum*, *Chadophorasle* sp.) (Craigie and McLachlan 1964; Sieburth and Jensen 1969;
8 Wada et al. 2007; Jiang et al 2010), the release of DOM with humic-like characteristics from
9 *E. cava* is consistent with the previous findings.

10 The F-DOM components identified by seawater sampling along a transect line were S1,
11 S2, S3 and S4, and two of them, S1 and S2, had similar spectral characteristics to M1 and M2,
12 respectively (Fig. 3, 4). Such spectral similarities suggest that the organic composition of the
13 coastal DOM pool partly reflects the production of macroalgal DOM. In the horizontal
14 profiles of F-DOM components, the intensities of the S1 and S2 peaks were higher at the
15 stations near the coast of Oura bay compared to those in the offshore region (Fig. 4b and c),
16 showing the presence of sources of S1 and S2 near the coast of the bay. Since there is
17 community area of *E. cava* near the coast of Oura bay (Wada et al. 2008; Biodiversity Center
18 of Japan 2011), the origin of the S1 and S2 components is likely to be macroalgae in Oura bay.
19 Unlike the S1 and S2 components, the intensity of S3 is sometimes higher in offshore region.
20 We consider that the origin of the S3 component is not only macroalgae but also other source
21 such as phytoplankton, being consistent with the previous reports that phytoplankton-derived
22 protein has been found in various natural environments (Moncheva et al. 2003; Powell et al.
23 2005). The S4 peak had been identified by other previous studies (Murphy et al. 2008;
24 Yamashita et al. 2010), and they suggested this peak would be an artifact in the fluorescent
25 analysis. Therefore, we used the two peaks (S1 and S2) as the fluorescent tracer of macroalgal

1 DOM.

2 Another possible origin of the F-DOM components in the coastal area is riverine input,
3 because terrestrial DOM also contains humic substances (Coble 1996; Stedmon et al. 2003).
4 In the present study, we evaluated the contribution of terrestrial DOM based on the salinity at
5 each station and the DOC concentrations of estuary water from the Aono and Inozawa rivers.
6 The salinity values at Sts. 1-10 were in the ranges of 33.56-33.81, 33.30-34.42, and
7 33.85-34.45 in October, December and May, respectively, and the maximum difference in
8 salinity between the seawater in Oura bay and in the offshore region was just 1.12, showing
9 the small contribution from freshwater (up to 3% of water volume). In addition, DOC
10 concentrations in the estuary water from Aono and Inozawa rivers were 0.66-0.97 and
11 0.55-0.86 mg C l⁻¹, respectively (Table 2), which are similar to those in the seawater along the
12 transect line (0.85-0.94, 0.71-0.77 and 0.72-0.92 mg C l⁻¹ in October, December and May,
13 respectively), showing that riverine input of terrestrial DOM has a negligible contribution to
14 the DOM pool along the transect line. As a conclusion, we provide three piece of evidence in
15 the present study, similarities of spectral characteristics of fluorescent components between
16 macroalgal and coastal DOM, higher fluorescent intensities near algal bed, and negligible
17 contribution of riverine DOM that show the origin of the F-DOM components, S1 and S2, is
18 macroalgae in Oura bay.

19 The DOC concentrations in Oura bay were 0.85-0.94, 0.71-0.77 and 0.73-0.92 mg C l⁻¹
20 in October, December and May, respectively, which were generally higher than the values in
21 the offshore region (0.88 ± 0.017 , 0.72 ± 0.0046 and 0.74 ± 0.013 mg C l⁻¹) (Fig. 5a),
22 consistent with the profiles of the F-DOM components, S1 and S2 (Fig. 4b and c). In the case
23 of the data from the stations in Oura bay (Sts. 1-4), the DOC concentrations and the intensities
24 of the S1 and S2 peaks were significantly correlated ($r^2=0.706$ and 0.600 , $P<0.01$), but this
25 was insignificant for the samples in offshore region (Sts. 5-10) (Fig. 6). Considering that the

1 S1 and S2 peaks originated from macroalgal DOM as discussed above, the spatial variation of
2 the DOC concentrations in Oura bay is strongly influenced by macroalgal DOM. Our analysis
3 based on the horizontal distribution of DOM is useful in Oura bay, but it might be difficult to
4 adopt such approach in other coastal area with significant source of terrestrial DOM. In such
5 area with terrestrial DOM, we consider that analysis of organic component which is typical of
6 macroalgal DOM is effective. Considering that macroalgal DOM has characteristics which
7 contains mucous polysaccharides (Wada et al. 2007), analysis of carbohydrate composition
8 might allow us to make the distribution of macroalgal DOM more clear.

9 The slopes of the regression curve relating DOC concentrations and the intensities of the
10 S1 and S2 peaks were 0.213 and 0.207, respectively (Fig. 6a and b), and they represent the
11 ratios of the DOC concentration and intensities of F-DOM components of macroalgal DOM.
12 Using these values, we can calculate the macroalgal DOC concentration in seawater in Oura
13 bay according to following equation,

$$14 \quad M\text{-DOC} = S \times (FI_{\text{bay}} - FI_{\text{offshore}})$$

15 where S is the slope of the regression curve, and FI_{bay} is the fluorescent intensities at each
16 stations (Sts. 1-4) in the bay, and FI_{offshore} is the average value of the fluorescent intensities in
17 the offshore region (Sts. 5-10). Based on the intensities of S1 and S2, we calculated the
18 concentration of macroalgal DOC to be 0.025-0.19 and 0.022-0.18 mg C l⁻¹, respectively,
19 accounting for 3.5-20% (S1) and 2.7-19% (S2) of total DOC concentrations (Fig. 7). These
20 estimates imply the novel evidences that macroalgae have measurable contribution (up to
21 20% of total DOC concentrations) to the coastal DOM pool and that macroalgae are one of
22 the major factors controlling the spatial variation in DOC concentrations.

23 Contribution of macroalgae to the coastal DOM pool would depend on the macroalgal
24 production of DOM and physical mixing of seawater between inside and outside of the bay.
25 DOM production of macroalgae has been reported for various species (Khailov and

1 Burlakova 1969; Sieburth 1969; Abdullah and Fredriksen 2004; Wada et al. 2007), and the
2 macroalgal species in Oura bay (e.g., *Ecklonia cava*, *Eisenia bicyclis*, *Sargassum spp.*) were
3 commonly found in North Pacific regions and congeneric species are globally distributed (De
4 Voys 1979). In addition, the productivity of macroalgae in Oura bay (around 1000 g C m⁻²
5 y⁻¹; Yokohama et al. 1987) is similar to those in other regions (Mann 1973; Alongi 1998),
6 suggesting that the capacity of DOM production of macroalgae in Ouar bay could be
7 comparable with other coastal ecosystems.

8 In addition to the capacity of DOM production, the turnover rate of seawater in the bay is
9 also an important factor controlling the macroalgal contribution to the DOM pool, because
10 macroalgae are sessil organisms in coastal areas and the DOM produced by macroalgae would
11 be dispersed by mixing of the water mass. In order to estimate an approximate indication of
12 the turnover rate of seawater in Oura bay, we placed a CTD probe at a depth of 1 m near St. 2
13 after a hard rain in December 2010 (precipitation 33.5 mm within one day). Because Oura bay
14 is shallow (depth <14 m), the seawater in the bay was measurably diluted by rainwater, and
15 the salinity decreased to 33.4 immediately after the rain. The salinity increased with time due
16 to exchange with seawater and stabilized at 33.7 after 12 h (data not shown), showing that the
17 turnover rate of water in the bay is 2 per day (2 d⁻¹). Since the turnover rate would be variable
18 due to water current and landform, we cannot simply compare the value in Oura bay to those
19 in other local bay. However, similar timescales were also reported in other local coastal area
20 (e.g., Gokasho bay: 0.15-3.45 d⁻¹, Bora bay: 2.4-16 d⁻¹; Uchida et al. 1998, Casareto et al.
21 2000), supporting our idea that macroalgal contribution to the coastal DOM pool could be
22 found in other coastal environments.

23 **5. Conclusion**

24 In the present study, we examined the applicability of F-DOM component as the
25 indicator of macroalgal DOM based on three different evidences (similarity of fluorescent

1 characteristics, high intensity near algal bed and negligible contribution of riverine input). In
2 addition, we estimated the concentrations of DOC derived from macroalgae using the
3 correlation between bulk DOC concentration and intensity of F-DOM component. The
4 concentrations of macroalgal DOC account for up to 20% of bulk DOC concentrations,
5 implying that macroalgae would have measurable contribution to the coastal DOM pool.

6 **Acknowledgements**

7 We thank an anonymous reviewer for the time and effort they spent producing careful
8 and thorough review, which helped to make significant improvements to the manuscript. We
9 also thank to technical staffs in Shimoda Marine Research Center for their help to field
10 sampling. We are also grateful to staffs and students in aquatic ecological laboratory and
11 Chemical Analysis Center in Tsukuba University for their help with analyses. This study is
12 supported by ESPEC foundation for global environment research and technology and Nissei
13 Foundation grants for Environmental Problem, and carried out in part as a joint-research in
14 Japanese Association for Marine Biology (JAMBIO).

15 **References**

- 16 Abdullah, M.I., Fredriksen S., 2004. Production, respiration and exudation of dissolved
17 organic matter by the kelp *Laminaria hyperborea* along the west coast of Norway.
18 Journal of Marine Biological Association of United Kingdom 84, 887-894.
- 19 Alongi D.M., 1998. Coastal ecosystem processes. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- 20 Azam. F., Fenchel, T., Field, J.G., Gray, J.S., Meyer-Reil, L.A., Thingstad, F., 1983. The
21 ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 10,
22 257-263.
- 23 Azam, F., Smith, D.C., Steward, G.F., Hagström, Å., 1993. Bacteria-organic matter coupling
24 and its significance for oceanic carbon cycling. Microbial Ecology 28, 167-179.
- 25 Baines, S.B., Pace, M.L., 1991. The production of dissolved organic matter by phytoplankton

1 and its importance to bacteria: patterns across marine and freshwater systems. *Limnology*
2 and *Oceanography* 36, 1078-1090.

3 Bauer, J.E., Druffel, E.R.M., 1998. Ocean margins as a significant source of organic matter to
4 the deep open ocean. *Nature* 392, 482-485.

5 Biodiversity Center of Japan., 2011. The annual report of the 'Monitoring Sites 1000' in Japan.
6 Biodiversity Center of Japan, Ministry of the Environment of Japan, (in Japanese).

7 Blough, N.V., Del Vecchio, R., 2002. Chromophoric DOM in the coastal environment. In:
8 Hansell, D.A., Carlson, C.A. (Eds.), *Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter*.
9 Academic Press, San Diego, pp. 509-546.

10 Casareto, B.E., Suzuki, Y., Fukami, K., Yoshida, K., 2000. Particulate organic carbon budget
11 and flux in a fringing coral reef at Miyako Island, Okinawa, Japan in July 1996.
12 *Proceedings 9th International Coral Reef Symposium, Bali, Indonesia*. 1.

13 Cauwet, G., 2002. DOM in the coastal zone. In: Hansell, D.A., Carlson, C.A. (Eds.)
14 *Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter*. Academic Press, San Diego, pp.
15 579-609.

16 Coble, P.G., 1996. Characterization of marine and terrestrial DOM in seawater using
17 excitation-emission matrix spectroscopy. *Marine Chemistry* 51, 325-346.

18 Coble, P.G., 2007. Marine optical biogeochemistry: the chemistry of ocean color. *Chemical*
19 *Reviews* 107, 402-418.

20 Condon, R.H., Steinberg, D.K., Bronk, D.A., 2010. Production of dissolved organic matter
21 and inorganic nutrients by gelatinous zooplankton in the York River estuary, Chesapeake
22 Bay. *Journal of Plankton Research* 32, 153-170.

23 Costanza, R., D'Arge, R., De Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K.,
24 Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., van den Belt, M., 1997.
25 *The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital*. *Nature* 387, 253-260.

- 1 Craigie, J.S., McLachlan, J., 1964. Excretion of colored ultraviolet-absorbing substances by
2 marine algae. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 42, 23-33.
- 3 De Vooy, C.G.N., 1979. Primary production in aquatic environments. In: Bolin, B., Degens,
4 E.T., Kempe, S., Ketner, P. (Eds.) *The global carbon cycle*, Scientific Committee on
5 Problems of the Environment (SCOPE) of the International Council of Scientific Unions
6 (ICSU). Wiley, New York, pp. 259-292.
- 7 Duggins, D.O., Simenstad, C.A., Estes, J.A., 1989. Magnification of secondary production by
8 kelp detritus in coastal marine ecosystems. *Science* 245, 170-173.
- 9 Duggins, D.O., Eckman, J.E., 1997. Is kelp detritus a good food for suspension feeders?
10 Effects of kelp species, age and secondary metabolites. *Marine Biology* 128, 489-495.
- 11 Fogg, G.E., Boalch, G.T., 1958. Extracellular products in pure cultures of a brown alga.
12 *Nature* 181, 789-790.
- 13 Goñi, M.A., Teixeira, M.J., Perkey, D.W., 2003. Sources and distribution of organic matter in
14 a river-dominated estuary (Winyah Bay, SC, USA). *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*
15 57, 1023-1048.
- 16 Hama, T., Yanagi, K., 2001. Production and neutral aldose composition of dissolved
17 carbohydrates excreted by natural marine phytoplankton populations. *Limnology and*
18 *Oceanography* 46, 1945-1955.
- 19 Hama, T., Yanagi, K., Hama, J., 2004. Decrease in molecular weight of photosynthetic
20 products of marine phytoplankton during early diagenesis. *Limnology and Oceanography*
21 49, 471-481.
- 22 Harvey, H.R., Mannino, A., 2001. The chemical composition and cycling of particulate and
23 macromolecular dissolved organic matter in temperate estuaries as revealed by molecular
24 organic tracers. *Organic Geochemistry* 32, 527-542.
- 25 Hedges, J.I., 2002. Why dissolved organic matter? In: Hansell, D.A., Carlson, C.A., (Eds.),

- 1 Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter. Academic Press, San Diego, pp.
2 1-33.
- 3 Hulatt, C.J., Thomas, D.N., Bowers, D.G., Norman, L., Zhang, C., 2009. Exudation and
4 decomposition of chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) from some temperate
5 macroalgae. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 84, 147-153.
- 6 Itoh, H., Aoki, M.N., Tsuchiya, Y., Sato, T., Shinagawa, H., Komatsu, T., Mikami, A., Hama,
7 T., 2007. Fate of organic matter in faecal pellets egested by epifaunal mesograzers in a
8 *Sargassum* forest and implications for biogeochemical cycling. *Marine Ecology Progress*
9 *Series* 352, 101-112.
- 10 Jiang, D.G., Huang, Q.H., Li, J.H., 2010. Spectral characteristics variations of chromophoric
11 dissolved organic matter during growth of filamentous green macroalgae. *Spectroscopy*
12 *and spectral analysis* 30, 1880-1885.
- 13 Keil, R.G., Kirchman, D.L., 1993. Dissolved combined amino acids: Chemical form and
14 utilization by marine bacteria. *Limnology and Oceanography* 38, 1256-1270.
- 15 Keil, R.G., Kirchman, D.L., 1999. Utilization of dissolved protein and amino acids in the
16 northern Sargasso Sea. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 18, 293-300.
- 17 Khailov, K.M., Burlakova, Z.P., 1969. Release of dissolved organic matter by marine seaweed
18 and distribution of their total organic production to inshore communities. *Limnology and*
19 *Oceanography* 14, 521-527.
- 20 Kitayama, K., Hama, T., Yanagi, K., 2007. Bioreactivity of peptidoglycan in seawater.
21 *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 46, 85-93.
- 22 Klinkhammer, G.P., McManus, J., Colbert, D., Rudnicki, M.D., 2000. Behavior of terrestrial
23 dissolved organic matter at the continent-ocean boundary from high-resolution
24 distributions. *Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta* 64, 2765-2774.
- 25 Mann, K.H., 1973. Seaweeds: their productivity and strategy for growth. *Science* 182,

- 1 975-981.
- 2 Mann, K.H., 1988. Production and use of detritus in various freshwater, estuarine, and coastal
3 marine ecosystems. *Limnology and Oceanography* 33, 910-930.
- 4 Meyers-Schulte, K.J., Hedges, J.I., 1986. Molecular evidence for a terrestrial component of
5 organic matter dissolved in ocean water. *Nature* 321, 61-63.
- 6 Mikami, A., Komatsu, T., Aoki, M., Yokohama, Y., 2006. Seasonal changes in growth and
7 photosynthesis-light curve of *Sargassum horneri* (Fucales, Phaeophyta) in Oura Bay on
8 the Pacific coast of central Honshu, Japan. *La Mer* 44, 109-118.
- 9 Moncheva, S., Gorinstein, S., Shtereva, G., Toledo, F., Arancibia, P., Booth, W.A., Goshev, I.,
10 Weisz, M., Trakhtenberg, S., 2003. Seasonal variability of phytoplankton at Varna bay
11 (Black Sea). *Phytochemical analysis* 14, 245-250.
- 12 Murphy, K.R., Stedmon, C.A., Waite, T.D., Ruiz, G.M., 2008. Distinguishing between
13 terrestrial and autochthonous organic matter sources in marine environments using
14 fluorescent spectroscopy. *Marine Chemistry* 108, 40-58.
- 15 Opsahl, S., Benner, R., 1997. Distribution and cycling of terrigenous dissolved organic matter
16 in the ocean. *Nature* 386, 480-482.
- 17 Powell, M.J., Sutton, J.N., Del Castillo, C.E., Timperman, A.T., 2005. Marine proteomics:
18 generation of sequence tags for dissolved proteins in seawater using tandem mass
19 spectrometry. *Marine Chemistry* 95, 183-198.
- 20 Rieper-Kirchner, M., 1989. Microbial degradation of North Sea macroalgae: field and
21 laboratory studies. *Botanica Marina* 32, 241-252.
- 22 Sakami, T., Sugiyama, M., 1994. Effects of algal excreted organic matter on the bacterial
23 population of a brown alga *Eisenia bicyclis*. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 60, 473-477.
- 24 Sánchez-Marín, P., Santos-Echeandía, J., Nieto-Cid, M., Álvarez-Salgado, X.A., Beiras, R.,
25 2010. Effect of dissolved organic matter (DOM) of contrasting origins on Cu and Pb

- 1 speciation and toxicity to *Paracentrotus lividus* larvae. *Aquatic Toxicology* 96, 90-102.
- 2 Sieburth, J.M., Jensen, A., 1969. Studies on algal substances in the sea. II. The formation of
3 Gelbstoff (humic material) by exudates of phaeophyta. *Journal Experimental Marine*
4 *Biology and Ecology* 3, 275-289.
- 5 Sieburth, J.M., 1969. Studies on algal substances in the sea. III. The production of
6 extracellular organic matter by littoral marine algae. *Journal of Experimental Marine*
7 *Biology and Ecology* 3, 290-309.
- 8 Stedmon, C.A., Markager, S., Bro, R., 2003. Tracing dissolved organic matter in aquatic
9 environments using a new approach to fluorescence spectroscopy. *Marine Chemistry* 82,
10 239-254.
- 11 Stedmon, C.A., Bro, R., 2008. Characterizing dissolved organic matter fluorescence with
12 parallel factor analysis: a tutorial. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 6, 572-579.
- 13 Uchida, M., 1996. Formation of single cell detritus densely covered with bacteria during
14 experimental degradation of *Laminaria japonica* Thalli. *Fisheries Science* 62, 731-736.
- 15 Uchida, T., Toda, S., Nakamura, O., Abo, K., Matsuyama, Y., Honjo, T., 1998. Initial site of
16 *Gymnodinium mikimotoi* blooms in relation to the seawater exchange rate in Gokasho
17 Bay, Japan. *Plankton Biology and Ecology* 45, 129-137.
- 18 Wada, S., Aoki, M.N., Tsuchiya, Y., Sato, T., Shinagawa, H., Hama, T., 2007. Quantitative and
19 qualitative analyses of dissolved organic matter released from *Ecklonia cava* Kjellman, in
20 Oura Bay, Shimoda, Izu Peninsula, Japan. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
21 *Ecology* 349, 344-358.
- 22 Wada, S., Aoki, M.N., Mikami, A., Komatsu, T., Tsuchiya, Y., Sato, T., Shinagawa, H., Hama,
23 T., 2008. Bioavailability of macroalgal dissolved organic matter in seawater. *Marine*
24 *Ecology Progress Series* 370, 33-44.
- 25 Wada, S., Suzuki, S., 2011. Inhibitory effect of zinc on the remineralisation of dissolved

- 1 organic matter in the coastal environment. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 63, 47-59.
- 2 Yamashita, Y., Cory, R.M., Nishioka, J., Kuma, K., Tanoue, E., Jaffé, R., 2010. Fluorescence
3 characteristics of dissolved organic matter in the deep waters of the Okhotsk Sea and the
4 northwestern North Pacific Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research II* 57, 1478-1485.
- 5 Yokohama, Y., Tanaka, J., Chihara, M., 1987. Productivity of the *Ecklonia cava* community in
6 a bay of Izu Peninsula on the Pacific coast of Japan. *Botanical Magazine, Tokyo* 100,
7 129-141.

1 Figure legends

2

3 Figure 1 Location of study area.

4

5 Figure 2 Time course of N-DOC and fluorescent intensities in bag-covering experiment.

6 Ordinate shows N-DOC (mg C g^{-1}) or fluorescent intensities normalized as QSU. Abscissa is

7 the time after covering bag (h). The panels of the values of (a) N-DOC, and the intensities of

8 (b) M1, (c) M2 and (d) M3 were shown. Filled and open squares are bag with and without *E.*

9 *cava*, respectively. Plot is the average values and error bars are range between duplicate data.

10

11 Figure 3 Fluorescent components identified by PARAFAC analysis. Ordinate and abscissa are

12 excitation and emission wavelengths (nm), respectively. Contour line shows the fluorescent

13 intensities. Fluorescent components of macroalgal DOM and DOM in seawater samples are

14 (a) M1, (b) M2 and (c) M3, and (d) S1, (e) S2, (f) S3 and (g) S4, respectively.

15

16 Figure 4 Horizontal distribution of DOC concentrations and intensities of fluorescent

17 components. Ordinate shows the DOC concentrations (mg C l^{-1}) or fluorescent intensities

18 normalized as QSU. Abscissa is the sampling station number. The horizontal distributions of

19 (a) DOC concentrations, and fluorescent intensities of (b) S1, (c) S2 and (d) S3 were shown.

20 Open square, open triangle and filled diamond show the distributions in October and

21 December 2010, and May 2011, respectively. Error bars of the data on DOC concentrations

22 were range of duplicate samples. We did not show the error bar in the data of fluorescent

23 components, because the PARAFAC analysis was carried out on the average of duplicate

24 analysis of EEM spectra.

25

1 Figure 5 Profiles of loadings of each peak. Ordinate and abscissa are intensity (QSU) and
2 wavelength (nm), respectively. Open and filled circles mean the peaks of macroalgal and
3 seawater DOM, respectively. Each panel shows the loadings of excitation of M1 and S1 (a),
4 M2 and S2 (b) and M3 and S3 (c), and emission of M1 and S1 (d), M2 and S2 (e) and M3 and
5 S3 (c), respectively.

6

7

8 Figure 6 Relationship between DOC and fluorescent intensities. Ordinate and abscissa are
9 DOC concentrations and fluorescent intensities, respectively. The relationships of DOC and
10 S1 and S2 in Oura bay (Sts. 1-4) were shown in (a) and (b), and those in offshore region (Sts.
11 5-10) were shown in (c) and (d), respectively.

12

13 Fig. 7 Total DOC concentrations and proportion of M-DOC in total DOC concentrations at
14 the stations in Oura bay. Number on the Abscissa is No. of each station. Line plot is the total
15 DOC concentrations (mg C l^{-1}), and bar is the proportions of M-DOC in total DOC
16 concentrations (%). Filled and open bars are the values calculated using the intensities of S1
17 and S2, respectively.

18




Fig. 1 Location of study area.







Fig. 2 Time course of N-DOC and fluorescent intensities in bag-covering experiment.




Fig. 3 Fluorescent components identified by PARAFAC analysis.







Fig. 4 Horizontal distribution of DOC concentrations and intensities of fluorescent components.




Fig. 5 Profiles of loadings of each peak




Fig. 6 Relationship between DOC and fluorescent intensities.




Fig. 7 Total DOC concentrations and proportion of M-DOC in total DOC concentrations at the stations in Oura bay.

1 Table 1 Wavelengths (Ex/Em: nm) at the top of each peak and previous report (Coble 1996).

Peak	Wavelengths		
M1	240/388 & 290/388		
M2	255/452 & 350/452		
M3	275/332		
S1	240/392 & 290/392		This study
S2	250/452 & 365/452		
S3	275/328		
S4	Not clear		
B	275/310	Tyrosine-like, Protein-like	
T	275/340	Tryprophan-like, Protein-like	
A	260/380-460	Humic-like	Coble (1996)
M	312/380-420	Marine humic-like	
C	350/420-480	Humic-like	

2

3

4

5

1

Table 2 DOC concentrations (mg C l⁻¹) in estuary of Aono and Inozawa rivers.

	October 2010	December 2010	May 2011
Aono river	0.711	0.664	0.965
Inozawa river	0.553	0.616	0.858
Oura Bay			
(average of Sts. 1-4)	0.885	0.719	0.819

2

3

4

5