frontiers | Frontiers in Education

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 16 May 2023
DOI 10.3389/feduc.2023.1209966

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Yehudit Judy Dori
✉ yjdori@technion.ac.il

RECEIVED 21 April 2023
ACCEPTED 27 April 2023
PUBLISHED 16 May 2023

CITATION
Peretz R, Dori D and Dori YJ (2023) Corrigendum: Developing and assessing pre- and in-service science and engineering teachers' systems thinking and modeling skills through an asynchronous online course. *Front. Educ.* 8:1209966. doi: 10.3389/feduc.2023.1209966

Corrigendum: Developing and assessing pre- and in-service science and engineering teachers' systems thinking and modeling skills through an asynchronous online course

Roee Peretz[1], Dov Dori[2] and Yehudit Judy Dori[1,3]*

[1]Faculty of Education in Science and Technology, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, [2]Faculty of Data and Decision Sciences, Technion, Haifa, Israel, [3]The Samuel Neaman Institute for National Policy, Technion, Haifa, Israel

KEYWORDS

STEM teachers, systems thinking, modeling, online assignments, rubric, Object-Process Methodology—OPM

A corrigendum on
Developing and assessing pre- and in-service science and engineering teachers' systems thinking and modeling skills through an asynchronous online course

By Peretz, R., Dori, D., and Dori, Y. J. (2023). *Front. Educ.* 8:1154893. doi: 10.3389/feduc.2023.1154893

In the published article, there was an error in Table 3. The "Content description" column heading was placed above the "Module" column, and vice versa. This has been rectified.

In the published article, there was an error in the **Abstract**. The original sentence was: "Research tools included the online assignment that the participants developed, dedicated rubrics for analyzing their assignments, accounting for use of modeling, media, visualization, micro–macro-process scientific understanding levels, and a mix of closed- and open-ended questions."

This should have been written as: "Research tools included the online assignment that the participants developed, a dedicated rubric for analyzing their assignments, accounting for use of modeling and systems concepts and the integration of sustainability and COVID-19 issues, a variety of thinking skills, visualizations and disciplines, and a mix of closed- and open-ended questions."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/feduc.2023.1209966

TABLE 3 The content of the four modules that formed the learning process.

Module	Content description
1	- Introduction to OPM. - Identifying objects, processes, and states in a system.
2	- System aspects: function, structure, and behavior. - Structural relations, state transitions, system aspects, and OPM modalities.
3	Understanding the System Diagram (SD): System Purpose—beneficiary and benefit, system function; and process enablers—agents and instruments.
4	- Diving into the details: the first detail level (SD1) of the OPM system diagram, divided into major subprocesses. - Synchronous vs. asynchronous processes.